

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

SCREENING HEARINGS

November 27, 2023

2023 Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Kathryn Bostrom

1	* * * *
2	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
4	* * * *
5	
6	BEFORE: REPRESENTATIVE "MICAH" CASKEY, IV, CHAIRMAN
7	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
8	SENATOR SCOTT TALLEY
9	REPRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN, JR.
10	SENATOR RONNIE SABB
11	REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
12	HOPE BLACKLEY
13	LUCY GREY MCIVER
14	ANDREW N. SAFRAN
15	J.P. PETE STROM
16	ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
17	* * * *
18	
19	DATE: November 27, 2023
20	TIME: 9:30 a.m.
21	LOCATION: Gressette Building, Room 105
22	1101 Pendleton Street
23	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
24	
25	REPORTED BY: Kathryn B. Bostrom, Court Reporter

1	CONTENTS:
2	(Hearings)
3	PAGE
4	Exhibit Index
5	Sanford Graves Cox
6	Examination by Ms. Hall
7	Examination by Representative Rutherford 16
8	Examination by Senator Sabb
9	Examination by Senator Rankin
10	Re-Examination by Senator Sabb 26
11	Riley Maxwell
12	Examination by Ms. Benson
13	Examination by Senator Sabb
14	Examination by Senator Rankin 45
15	Charles J. McCutchen
16	Examination by Mr. Gentry 53
17	Examination by Senator Rankin 57
18	Jane H. Merrill
19	Examination by Ms. Starnes 68
20	Examination by Senator Rankin
21	Examination by Ms. Blackley
22	Christian G. Spradley
23	Examination by Mr. Fiffick 86
24	Examination by Chairman Caskey 91
25	Examination by Senator Rankin

1	Examination by Mr. Safran
2	S. Boyd Young
3	Examination by Mr. Davidson
4	Examination by Representative Jordan
5	Examination by Senator Rankin
6	Jerrod A. Anderson
7	Examination by Mr. Cohl
8	Examination by Senator Talley
9	Examination by Mr. Safran
10	Examination by Senator Rankin
11	Deanne M. Gray
12	Examination by Mr. Gentry
13	Examination by Senator Talley
14	Examination by Senator Rankin
15	Examination by Mr. Safran
16	Pete G. Diamaduros
17	Examination by Ms. Webb
18	Examination by Senator Talley
19	Examination by Mr. Strom
20	Examination by Ms. Blackley
21	Jonathan W. Lounsberry
22	Examination by Mr. Wilkinson
23	Examination by Senator Talley
24	Examination by Ms. McIver
25	Examination by Ms. Blackley

1	Gina J. McAlhany
2	Examination by Mr. Wilkinson 201
3	Sammy Diamaduros
4	Examination by Ms. Crater
5	Examination by Mr. Safran
6	Examination by Senator Rankin
7	Examination by Representative Jordan
8	Examination by Senator Talley
9	Certification of Reporter
10	Word Index
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	EXHIBITS
2	Exhibit No. 1 (22 Pages)
3	(PDQ - Sanford Cox Graves)
4	Exhibit No. 2 (2 Pages)
5	(Amendment to PDQ - Sanford Cox Graves)
6	Exhibit No. 3 (11 Pages)
7	(Sworn Statement - Sanford Cox Graves)
8	Exhibit No. 4 (15 Pages) 29
9	(PDQ - Riley Maxwell)
10	Exhibit No. 5 (7 Pages)
11	(Sworn statement - Riley Maxwell)
12	Exhibit No. 6 (14 Pages)
13	(PDQ - Charles McCutchen)
14	Exhibit No. 7 (6 Pages) 52
15	(Sworn statement - Charles McCutchen)
16	Exhibit No. 8 (20 Pages) 66
17	(PDQ - Jane H. Merrill)
18	Exhibit No. 9 (2 Pages) 66
19	(Amendment to PDQ - Jane H. Merrill)
20	Exhibit No. 10 (7 Pages) 66
21	(Sworn Statement - Jane H. Merrill)
22	Exhibit No. 11 (16 Pages) 85
23	(PDQ - Christian G. Spradley)
24	Exhibit No. 12 (8 Pages) 85
25	(Sworn Statement -Christian G. Spradley)

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

1	Exhibit No. 13 (14 Pages)
2	(PDQ - S. Boyd Young)
3	Exhibit No. 14 (5 Pages)
4	(Sworn Statement - S. Boyd Young)
5	Exhibit No. 15 (12 Pages)
6	(PDQ - Jerrod A. Anderson)
7	Exhibit No. 16 (1 Pages)
8	(Amendment to PDQ - Jerrod A. Anderson)
9	Exhibit No. 17 (6 Pages)
10	(Sworn Statement - Jerrod A. Anderson)
11	Exhibit No. 18 (15 Pages)
12	(PDQ - Deanne M. Gray)
13	Exhibit No. 19 (8 Pages)
14	(Sworn Statement - Deanne M. Gray)
15	Exhibit No. 20 (19 Pages)
16	(PDQ - Pete G. Diamaduros)
17	Exhibit No. 21 (7 Pages)
18	(Sworn Statement - Pete G. Diamaduros)
19	Exhibit No. 22 (24 Pages)
20	(PDQ - Jonathan Lounsberry)
21	Exhibit No. 23 (7 Pages)
22	(Amendment to PDQ - Jonathan Lounsberry)
23	Exhibit No. 24 (7 Pages)
24	(Sworn Statement - Jonathan Lounsberry)
25	Exhibit No. 25 (16 Pages)

1	(PDQ - Gina J. McAlhany)
2	Exhibit No. 26 (6 Pages)
3	(Amendment to PDQ - Gina J. McAlhany)
4	Exhibit No. 27 (7 Pages) 200
5	(Sworn Statement - Gina J. McAlhany)
6	Exhibit No. 28 (16 Pages)
7	(PDQ - Sammy Diamaduros)
8	Exhibit No. 29 (7 Pages) 213
9	(Sworn Statement - Sammy Diamaduros)
10	
11	
12	
13	Court Reporter's Legend:
14	dashes [] Intentional or purposeful]
15	interruption
16	[ph] Denotes phonetically written
17	[sic] Written as said
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good morning. I will go ahead and
call to order today's convening of the Judicial
Merit Selection Commission. The first item on
our agenda is executive session. So on motion of
Senator Talley, seconded by Representative
Jordan, the pending question is going into
executive session. All in favor, signify by
saying aye.
MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All those nay. The ayes have it.
And we will step into executive session for a
legal briefing. Thank you.
(Executive session was held from 9:35 - 10:01 am)
(Off the Record)
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, ladies and gentlemen, we
are now out of executive session. For the
record, while we were in executive session, no
decisions were made nor were any votes taken. So
we are now back on the record to proceed with our
first screening of the day. Before us, we have
Mr. Sanford Graves. Did I say that correctly,
sir?
MR. GRAVES: That is correct. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you will please come to the

1	modium
	podium.
2	MR. GRAVES: I'm present, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would, please,
4	raise your right hand.
5	WHEREUPON:
6	SANFORD GRAVES, being duly sworn and
7	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
8	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. There should be
10	some documents in front of you. If you would
11	take a look at those.
12	MR. GRAVES: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal data
14	questionnaire and the sworn statement that you've
15	submitted to the commission?
16	MR. GRAVES: Yes, sir. And an amendment.
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Are there any other
18	updates or corrections that need to be made?
19	MR. GRAVES: No, sir, not to the written documents.
20	However, there's a comment I'd like to make
21	regarding the sworn statement at the appropriate
22	time.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir. We'd be happy to hear it.
24	MR. GRAVES: The question 18 sections B and D
25	reference sentencing of certain individuals,

1	particularly juveniles and those from a
2	disadvantaged background. I just want to point
3	out that in a recent case, State v. Mack,
4	addresses two of the concerns that are in those
5	with the hallmark features of youth as well as
6	disadvantaged background. And I just wanted to
7	note that with regard to those two questions, I
8	would consider the Mack factors, the Aiken v.
9	Byers factors in those as well. It's just I
10	don't want to change my answer. It's just an
11	augmentation to let you know that there's
12	additional factors that to be considered where
13	life without parole is sentenced to a juvenile.
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay, great. Well, I appreciate
15	that.
16	MR. GRAVES: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to our
18	entering these documents into the record as a
19	part of your testimony?
20	MR. GRAVES: Not at all. No, sir.
21	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Let me give staff an
22	opportunity to do that.
23	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 MARKED FOR
24	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (22 pages)
25	PDQ - Sanford Graves)

1	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 MARKED FOR
2	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (2 pages)
3	Amendment to the PDQ - Sanford
4	Graves)
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (11 pages)
7	Sworn Statement - Sanford Graves)
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So you know, Senator Rankin is
9	caught up in traffic. He is on his way here.
10	He's asked to be bootlegged conferenced in so
11	we've got a phone up here so he can hear our
12	proceedings. He wanted to be here but my
13	understanding is there's a pretty significant
14	traffic accident that's blocking traffic. Okay,
15	the Judicial Merit Selection Commission has
16	throughly investigated your qualifications for
17	the bench. Our inquiry is focused on nine
18	evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box
19	survey, thorough study of your application
20	materials, verification of your compliance with
21	state ethics laws, search of newspaper articles
22	in which your name appears, study of previous
23	screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of
24	interest. We've received no affidavits filed in
25	opposition to your election and no witnesses are

1	present to testify. Before giving you the
2	opportunity to make any brief remarks you may
3	want to, I want to extend an opportunity to
4	introduce us to this young lady who has joined
5	you today.
6	MR. GRAVES: Thank you. This is my wife of 27 years,
7	Jennifer Graves.
8	MS. GRAVES: Hi.
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Graves, thank you for being here
10	today.
11	MS. GRAVES: Thank you for having me.
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, Mr. Graves, I will simply
13	say if you have a brief opening statement, we'd
14	be happy to hear it. Otherwise, I will turn to
15	staff for questions.
16	MR. GRAVES: I have no statement, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. In which case, Ms. Hall.
18	MS. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MS. HALL:
21	Q. I note for the record that based on the testimony
22	contained in the candidate's PDQ which has been
23	included in the record with the candidate's consent,
24	Sanford Cox Graves, meets the constitutional and
25	statutory requirements for this position regarding

age, residence, and years of practice. Mr. Graves,
how do you feel your legal and professional experience
thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to
be an effective circuit court judge?

A. Thank you for your question. I have a diverse and
varied background in legal experience over 21 years.

- varied background in legal experience over 21 years.

 I've worked in the -- for a circuit court judge. I have been a solicitor for almost seven years. I have been a county attorney, a city attorney, a city prosecutor. I currently teach at the Coastal Carolina University. I teach a business law class. And I've served a judge in two other capacities. I was the circuit -- I was the drug court judge for Horry County as well as municipal court judge -- associate municipal court judge for the city of Conway. I have a -- I believe I have a full background and one that will serve me well as a circuit court judge.
- Q. Thank you. On to the ballot box. Mr. Graves, the commission received 87 ballot box surveys regarding you with 15 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. Sanford is a very polite, intelligent, and honest person. I believe his demeanor is well suited for the bench and he -- and he has a strong desire to further serve the community in which he lives. The

1 15th circuit desperately needs and deserves it. 2 Sanford has a great demeanor and is very experienced 3 in circuit courts. I believe he has all the 4 characteristics to make a great judge. Five of the 5 written comments expressed some concerns. Two of the 6 comments express concern with the lack of experience 7 in circuit court or in trial. What response would you 8 offer to these concerns? 9 I actually have a great background in the circuit Α. 10 courts, having worked for a judge including a death

courts, having worked for a judge including a death penalty trials as both a clerk for the circuit court and I assisted our elected solicitor in a death penalty trial as well. So I've seen the far extremes of these things. I have spent plenty of time in court with pleas. I've handled over 2,000 individual warrants in the circuit courts. And I would -- I would say that I have an abundance of experience. And I'm confident in my ability to move forward with my foundation.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Graves, the remaining three comments express concerns that you might be overly confident in your opinion on the law or unwilling to consider other viewpoints. What response would you offer to these concerns?
- A. I believe that it's a judge's role to be cognizant of

- the law as well as an attorney's role to know the law
 and to present the law. And I would express a air of
 self-awareness for the things that I know and don't
 know. And I would avoid any situation where I was
 unable to be persuaded towards what the state
 legislature, the case law, and the rules require.
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Graves. The citizen's committee report. So I would note that the Pee Dee Citizen's Committee report reported Mr. Graves is qualified in the areas of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. And well qualified in the areas of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. So just some housekeeping issues. Mr. Graves, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 in the South Carolina Appellate Court rules?
- 19 | A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Mr. Graves, since submitting your letter of intent,
 have you contacted any member of the Commission about
 your candidacy?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator prior

to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 1 2 Α. No. 3 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including 0. 4 limitations on contacting members of the General 5 Assembly regarding your screening? 6 Yes. Α. 7 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of Q. 8 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 9 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 10 your behalf? 11 No. Α. 12 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 13 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina 14 Code 2-19-70(e) 15 Α. Yes. 16 MS. HALL: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record 17 that any concerns raised during the investigation 18 by staff regarding the candidate were 19 incorporated into the questioning of the 20 candidate today. I have no further questions. 21 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of the 22 Commission have any questions for -- or comments 23 for Mr. Graves? Mr. Rutherford? 24 EXAMINATION 25 BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

- 1 Q. Good morning, Professor Graves. How are you?
- 2 A. Fine. Thank you, sir.
- 3 Q. Good. One of the things that's interesting, you --
- 4 you appear to have a varied background and talk about
- 5 your varied background but only 87 respondents. Have
- 6 you taken a hiatus from practicing law or is that --
- 7 | A. I had a health issue in 2020. It wasn't me. I had a
- 8 bad surgery. And so for a short period of time, I
- 9 have recovered from that. But other than that, no.
- 10 And I would think that I would have more responses as
- 11 | well. I join you in that.
- 12 Q. Prior to -- prior to 2020, you were acting in a role
- as a professor alone but not practicing, is that --
- 14 A. No, sir. I started practicing actually in January of
- 15 | 2023 was the first class that I taught for Coastal.
- 16 0. Okay.
- 17 | A. And I'm continued next semester as well.
- 18 | Q. But prior to 2020, you were in what role?
- 19 A. Prior to 2020, I worked as -- for my own law firm,
- 20 | Sanford Cox Graves, P.A.
- 21 | Q. And you did primarily what?
- 22 A. Private practice. I did government work for the City
- of Conway. I did some criminal defense work. And
- 24 some business consultations mostly. I did some civil
- 25 defense including defending in a wreck case.

1 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I don't have anything 2 further. Other members of the commission? 3 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 4 Senator Sabb. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY SENATOR SABB: 7 0. Good morning. 8 Good morning, sir. 9 I wanted to follow-up with regard to the line of Q. 10 questioning associated with your civil experience. 11 And is it pretty much limited to the one defense case 12 that you mentioned? 13 As far as civil work in it's entirety? Α. 14 Yeah, and I guess I would be focused on the jury Q. 15 trials. 16 Α. Correct. So one -- I have not done very many civil 17 jury trials. However, as a government attorney, most of the civil work that I have done has been in the 18 19 role of a county attorney and a city attorney, where I was taking on matters on behalf of the government 20 21 institutions. I have been involved in cases regarding 22 the 7th Congressional district after redistricting. 23 There were some situations at the beginning of that 24 and I represented the Horry County Election Commission 25 and Voter Registration Board in those things.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

also assisted in bringing cases with regards to waste removal on behalf of the county, casino boats on behalf of the county, and other things such as that. So I've done motions practice. But none of those matters -- but none of the matters that I brought forth on behalf of the County needed to go to jury trial and I worked diligently to make sure that they went the course they were supposed to go through ADR, through intense negotiations, and things such as that.

- Q. Would you consider the absence of more experience in the area to be a weakness?
- Α. No, sir, because attorneys and judges are required to have the level of competence to go forward, to -- to complete the work that is before them. There's no circumstances where I would present myself to this board or present myself to the citizens of the state of South Carolina to do a job that I didn't think I was qualified to do, that I had the ability to push I would also point out, Senator, that I worked for Circuit Court Judge John Breeden for a year and we handled numerous civil matters. I also went out of my way on numerous occasions to watch other judges and other attorneys do their cases to So I've -- I believe I have a good completion. foundation to present myself to this board.

Q. And I note that you've had some involvement with the drug court, is that right?

- A. Yes, sir. I was appointed to be the drug court judge.

 My term lasted about seven months. What had happened,

 briefly, is that the incumbent judge didn't get reelected in her primary and the -- and the succeeding

 judge had not been sworn in. So there was a gap,

 there was leadership needed, and I was the person that
 they turned to for that. Including after the new

 judge was sworn in, I continued on for several months,
 four months or so past that. I believe that they were
 entirely satisfied with my participation in that.
- Q. I think it's important work and I was delighted to see that the Chief Justice reached down and selected you out of all of the other qualified persons that I'm sure would have done a fine job, you were selected. I think it's -- I would certainly consider it an honor to have received that phone call. I think it's almost second only to receiving the call from the president of the United States of America, right?
- A. Yes, sir. I'm certainly honored by it. And I will tell you that reflecting on that experience, I've had individuals come up to me afterwards who somehow projected onto me that I was the thing that made them change. And I told them that they were the agent of

1 their own change but I was a participant and a guiding 2 factor in their recovery. And that's been fulfilling 3 to me because I've gone in Barnes & Noble and met 4 people that were in my program. Thank you, sir. 5 Q. Well, I'm a staunch supporter of our drug courts. 6 I noted that you took the South Carolina Bar and the 7 Florida Bar. And just as a matter of curiosity, I was 8 wanting to know why Florida? 9 Mrs. Graves is from Okeechobee and at the time, my Α. 10 father also lived in Florida. He has since moved. 11 But the thought was at the beginning of a practice and 12 youthfulness, I wanted to make sure that I had plenty 13 I'm very pleased to say that I came to of options. 14 South Carolina, my home, Horry County, my home, and 15 I've had every reason to stay and every reason to 16 believe that I can move forward from this foundation 17 and never had to go to Florida. 18 Last question. I know your wife's a Gator fan, right? 0. 19 I know that you graduated from Florida as did --Α. 20 there's -- I can't remember who else. But I'd rather 21 keep my silence on that subject. 22 All right, taking the fifth on me, huh? Thank you so Q. 23 much for answering the questions. 24 SENATOR RANKIN: Mr. Chairman. 25 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin.

EXAMINATION

BY SENATOR RANKIN:

- Q. On the record, body language might suggest not so much. Sam, I know you well and I appreciate the chairman's accommodations by phone to get to hear your -- the beginning of your remarks. And you have been before JMSC one time.
- 8 A. Correct, sir, on 2019.
 - Q. Okay. And persistence is a virtue many would say.

 Some may have a different view of it. But I would encourage that as a positive virtue. And you have read prior transcripts. I remember our exchange before, effectively, where I think you might have voiced words that might have been said by oh, a certain other guy from Horry and how they view what this process involves. And tell us why now. Why now is this -- do you believe you are better equipped and ready for this role?
 - A. Right. So I believe that, you know, being a professor and presenting the law to students, I stand in front of my class and tell them what the law is and I give them their foundations. And I want them to go forward and become better business people based on these things. I also am very pleased with what I've done in the past. I think I've built a good foundation and

1 now's the time. I'm sort of rebuilding after what I 2 talked to Representative Rutherford about and I have a 3 caseload that's manageable. I have a caseload that is 4 easily managed if I were to be fortunate enough to get 5 another task. There's not a whole lot of switching that needs to be done. I looked at this race in 6 7 particular for the open seat -- excuse me, the seat 8 that Judge Hocker will be vacating and it appealed to 9 me for several different reasons. The first is it is 10 a six month term beginning on January 1, 2025, which 11 is 14 months from now, 13 months from now. So it's a 12 very long runway. The next item for that is only 13 lasts six months. Whoever gets this seat -- whoever's 14 fortunate enough to be elected will have to run again 15 So if it's me, you'll have an next spring. 16 opportunity to interview me again so that I could get my own six month term following that. So I look at it 17 18 as though there's plenty of opportunities to present 19 myself to you again and -- and that's sort of the 20 timeliness of it is I believe that however good I look 21 now, I will look better even more in the spring of --22 or the fall of 2024. 23 In terms of your understanding of what is required in Q. 24 the progression of a docket of civil versus criminal, 25 invariably you know and you are aware of others in the

- state, I'm sure, that say that the criminal docket is
 by far the larger. But to the point of an actual jury
 trial, you have prosecuted multiple tens, if not
 hundreds, of city cases. Perhaps not jury trials but
 prosecuting, correct?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And then in terms of your days in the solicitor's office prosecuting to verdict before a jury, how many would you say?
- 10 A. Dozens. Certainly not hundreds.
- 11 Q. Tens upon tens.
- 12 A. Yes. Yes, sir. And that's -- you know, I know I'm

 13 under oath. I can't give you an exact number but it's

 14 -- it's several. It's a dozen or two, yeah.
 - Q. All right. And then finally, to prepare yourself for this run, what different have you done in terms of your boning up on an area that you, perhaps, sensed were -- you were lacking or an area that you felt that -- not told but that you knew you needed to get a little better handle on.
- 21 A. Right.

16

17

18

19

- 22 Q. What have you done?
- 23 A. Thank you, sir. In fact, I believe that in order to
 24 be an effective judge -- in order to be a -- fulfill
 25 that role useful for the state, the -- knowing the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

law, knowing what the legislature has provided, knowing the rules is important. So I've studied the rules and the -- and the code. But I've also studied the case law through the advance sheets and other -other missives from the Court, particularly the most recent items. For instance, in a most recent case with the -- it's a kitchen outfitter -- kitchen refitters, the Court of Appeals cited the scintilla of evidence rule in a summary judgement motion and the Supreme Court reminded them, and perhaps all judges that were watching, that they would like to see a material issue of fact rather than -- a genuine issue of material fact rather than scintilla of evidence. So if you're a judge and you're paying attention and you're watching, you don't mention scintilla of the evidence. That is archaic and you -- you look to the genuine issue of material fact in a summary judgement So those are some of the things -- there's dozens of things that I've gone through such as that but that's just one that pops out immediately. I've attempted to make myself prepared for summary judgement in civil motion versus lots of the criminal stuff that I believe I have an excellent hand on. All right. A couple of attributes of SENATOR RANKIN: -- not questions to you but for the record.

1 Judge Breeden, who was a wonderful trial judge as 2 well as our current solicitor, Jimbo Richardson, 3 have written wonderful things about you. 4 Chairman, that's all I have. Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Any other members 6 who have questions or comments? Senator Sabb. 7 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 RE-EXAMINATION 9 BY SENATOR SABB: 10 I neglected to ask you about your mediations. Q. 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. How are you certified and what is it that you do? 13 In 2019, I -- excuse me. 2021, I took the 14 certification class and one of the things that -- that 15 I intend to do that I haven't done so much, I created 16 a business, Millstone Mediation, which is my company 17 and I'm going to Charleston to do the volunteer clinics. I've read several books on the mediation. 18 Ι 19 believe that alternative dispute resolution is key 20 primarily in the mediation aspect because it allows 21 the participants to craft their own solution with the 22 mediator being the agent that causes them to confront the questions that break down the impasse in order to 23 24 get there. Civil mediation then? 25

0.

A. Correct, sir.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Through the ADR process, I'm named in several cases as either the mediator of record or the alternative mediator. However, none of those cases have actually made it to me yet. I think it's just a pipeline situation where I expect them but I do not have them yet.

SENATOR SABB: Thank you.

Any other comments or questions for CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, seeing none, that will Mr. Graves? conclude this portion of our screening process. Mr. Graves, there are a couple of things I need to remind you of. Pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws and we will view violations with the appearance of improprieties serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations. On that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications. And you may be called back at such time if the need arises. Thank you for being here today and thank you for offering for

1	service to the state of South Carolina, sir.
2	MR. GRAVES: Thank you, sir. And thank you to all
3	members of the commission.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Go Gators.
5	(Off the record)
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, ladies and gentlemen. We
7	are back on the record. Proceeding with our next
8	screening, we have before us Riley Maxwell, who
9	is a candidate for the Circuit Court bench Seat
10	16, which is an at large seat. Do I have all of
11	that right, sir?
12	MR. MAXWELL: That's correct.
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. If you would please raise
14	your right hand.
15	WHEREUPON:
16	RILEY MAXWELL, being duly sworn and
17	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
18	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. There should be some
20	documents in front of you. Please have a look at
21	those.
22	MR. MAXWELL: Okay.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Sir, are those are the personal data
24	questionnaire and the sworn statement that you
25	have submitted to the commission?

1	MR. MAXWELL: They are.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
3	that need to be made?
4	MR. MAXWELL: No, there aren't.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Do you have any objection to
6	our entering them into the record as a part of
7	your sworn testimony?
8	MR. MAXWELL: I do not.
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Let me give them a
10	moment to do that.
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15 pages)
13	PDQ - Riley Maxwell)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
16	Sworn Statement - Riley Maxwell)
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
18	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
19	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
20	focused on nine evaluative criteria and has
21	included a ballot box survey, a thorough of your
22	application materials, verification of your
23	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
24	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
25	study of previous screenings, and a check for

1 economic conflicts of interest. We've received 2 no affidavits filed in opposition to your 3 election and no witnesses are present to testify 4 If you would like to make any brief 5 opening remarks, we'd be happy to hear those or 6 we can proceed to questions from staff counsel. 7 MR. MAXWELL: I'll briefly just reintroduce myself. 8 My name's Riley Maxwell. I've been deputy 9 solicitor for 16 years or been assistant 10 solicitor -- working for the solicitor's office 11 in the Sixth Circuit for 16 years. Been deputy 12 solicitor since 2014. And this is my first time 13 running for a judicial seat. 14 Thank you, sir. Ms. Benson. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. BENSON: 16 EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON: 17 18 I note for the record that based on the testimony 0. 19 contained in the candidate's PDQ which has been 20 included in the record with the candidate's consent, 21 Mr. Maxwell meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, 22 23 residence, and years of practice. Mr. Maxwell, how do 24 you feel your legal and professional experience thus

far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an

effective circuit court judge?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, being a solicitor, especially in a small, rural Α. county where I've mostly been in Fairfield County, it is incumbent upon the solicitor who's handling the criminal matters in a case to deal directly with the circuit court judge who's assigned to do those specific terms. So it's me, basically, in the role of reaching out to that judge, letting him know what's on the docket for that week. Again, I'm working with public defenders, I'm working with private bar, or private lawyers, in doing so but we're the main -- a lot of time the main point of contact and getting -making sure court runs efficiently. And I feel I've done a fairly good job at understanding how court should run. Of course, it's different from county to county depending on the size of the county, size of the docket, and what may be going on, trials or pleas, bond hearings for that matter. But I think I've developed, over the years, that experience of understanding, from a general sessions perspective, exactly what a judge should -- what the role is in that process. And even though I lack some civil experience or I never really practiced as a -- as a lawyer in private practice, I have handled civil cases in making -- filing petitions, filing suits under

1 forfeiture law. And those were before common pleas court, just like a lawsuit. I've also handled appeals 2 3 in common pleas. Appeals from magistrate and 4 municipal court. Those are handled in common pleas. 5 So I end up at the non-jury terms, the common plea 6 non-jury terms on a fairly regular basis. And I'm not 7 -- I'm sitting there looking at other judges handle 8 motions to compel, motions for summary judgement so 9 that's a fairly regular thing that I get to observe. 10 And I did recently sit in with a -- on a civil trial, 11 wrongful death car wreck trial recently with some 12 private lawyers that I helped them as best I could. 13 Thank you. Mr. Maxwell, the commission received 80 **Q.** 14 ballot box surveys regarding you with 14 having 15 additional comments. The ballot box had many positive comments about you including level handed, -- level 16 headed, even handed, quickness of mind, dedicated to 17 18 fairness, and relates well with diverse groups. 19 of the written comments expressed concern about your level of civil experience. How would you like to 20 21 respond to those comments? 22 As I've just stated in regards, I get to see a lot of Α. 23 non-jury stuff. I do attend a lot of civil trials, if 24 they do happen to be taking place in Fairfield County.

Even though it's been a long time, I have -- I did

1 serve as Judge Eddie Wilmaker's law clerk up in the 2 13th circuit many years ago. He was the 3 administrative judge for Greenville County at the 4 The first half of my clerkship up there, I was able to -- that was a lot of work. That was a very 5 6 eye opening experience dealing with the administrative 7 aspect of common pleas court, going to a lot of non-8 I do try to keep up in the -- with the iury terms. 9 new cases as they come out, both civilly and 10 And as I've said, I've handled cases in criminally. 11 common pleas term, even though they weren't, I guess -12 - because they delve out of criminal matters, they 13 weren't, I guess, what you would consider to be common 14 civil type suits. 15 Q. Thank you. Mr. Maxwell, your SLED report indicated 16

Q. Thank you. Mr. Maxwell, your SLED report indicated that there were several lawsuits involving a Riley Maxwell that you had not listed on your PDQ. None of these actions matched your current or your previous addresses. We reviewed those in your session. Were you a party to any of these actions on the SLED report?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I was not familiar with anything that was presented to me. There was no middle name involved. I don't believe there was any birth year or any more information involved. And the addresses associated

- with those lawsuits, I've never lived at. I've had no associations with those. And I have no other knowledge other than what was on those -- well, on the index printed out for me.
 - Q. That was another Riley Maxwell?
 - A. As far as -- I don't know of another other than my grandfather was named Riley Maxwell. None of those were associated with him as well.
 - Thank you, Mr. Maxwell. I would note for the record Q. that the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Maxwell was qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, professional and academic ability, physical health, mental stability, and experience. And well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, character, reputation, The committee noted lack of and judicial temperament. experience in civil but otherwise qualified. housekeeping issues. Mr. Maxwell, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court rules?
- 22 | A. I am.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?

1 Α. No, ma'am, not about -- not in regards to the 2 candidacy. 3 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 4 sought or received the pledge of any legislator prior 5 to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 6 No, ma'am. Α. 7 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including Q. 8 limitations on contacting members of the General 9 Assembly regarding your screening? 10 Yes, ma'am. Α. 11 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of 0. 12 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 13 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 14 your behalf? 15 No, ma'am. Α. 16 0. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 17 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina 18 Code Section 2-19-70(e)

by staff regarding the candidate were
incorporated into the questioning of the
candidate today. And, Mr. Chairman, I have no
further questions.

19

20

21

Α.

I am.

MS. BENSON:

Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record

that any concerns raised during the investigation

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of the
2	commission have questions or comments for Mr.
3	Maxwell? Mr. Strom?
4	MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I don't have a
5	question. I was looking over your letters of
6	reference and I see Creighton Coleman, Doug
7	Barfield, then you have a letter from the
8	solicitor, and the public defender all
9	recommending you. Creighton and Doug, you know,
10	are some of the top lawyers in the area. You've
11	got both sides of the criminal bar. That speaks
12	highly of you and your impartiality. So
13	congratulations on that. I looked at those
14	letters to see who's supporting you. I get you
15	don't have the civil experience but if you're at
16	the solicitor's office, it's hard to get civil
17	experience. But you're in a courtroom every day,
18	right?
19	MR. MAXWELL: Yes, sir.
20	MR. STROM: Yeah. That's all I have. Thank you, Mr.
21	Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Other questions?
23	Senator Sabb.
24	SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	EXAMINATION

BY MR. SABB:

Q. So personal story. So when I was trying to figure out what I wanted to do in life, I found myself on a basketball court and was playing basketball with two guys, both of whom were educators and one was teaching school by day and attending law school at the University of Miami at night. And as a result of the -- of course, we were winning. I want Senator Rankin to know that. We were winning.

10 | REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Add that in.

- Q. And during our break, we got a chance to talk and part of that conversation, I'm absolutely satisfied to this day led me to want to be a lawyer and found myself in law school. And I noted that you were on the golf course and then the next thing you know, you're in law school. I'm curious as to whether or not anything that happened with your caddying motivated you to go to law school.
- A. It was not necessarily that. I can recall, I think, around my junior year of college before I knew what was going -- what I was going to go into next, I was a business degree and had sports -- did some sports management stuff and ended up with a degree in that as well. And my dad mentioned why don't you go to law school. And I believe before my sophomore year, I was

1 a runner aide in Judge Early's office when he was 2 still in private practice with the Ness Law Firm down 3 in Bamberg and so it's kind of those two things. 4 said well, this may be the path. And then, I quess, 5 finishing school, kind of having a fun, relaxing year 6 down in Charleston with not too serious of a job. 7 Still had to wake up early and get out there and do 8 basically manual work, carry golf clubs, I said I need 9 to figure out what's next. So I was down there, 10 decided to take the LSAT. I think fairly early on in 11 moving down to Charleston, I think I took it in the fall, just after a couple of months of -- after I had 12 13 graduated from college and started law school the next 14 So it wasn't anything specifically with the 15 caddying part of it but did caddy for some lawyers on 16 the course down there.

- Q. I thought you might have met a guy like Pete Strom out there or something like that.
- 19 A. I caddied for Vernon Jordan down there if you recall
 20 from --
- 21 Q. Oh, I absolutely remember Vernon Jordan.
- 22 A. -- the Bill Clinton --
- 23 Q. Yeah.

17

18

A. -- Monica Lewinsky scandal. He was down there as a guest of somebody and I caddied for him. Very

pleasant person. I remember caddying for some guests of Ron Motley, who at the time he was suing -- I don't know the entity necessarily but, essentially, suing Saudi Arabia or companies in Saudi Arabia --

Q. Yeah.

A. -- over the 911 attack. And the people, after I kind of started talking to them, I said well, how do y'all know Mr. Motley. I could tell they were not from South Carolina. They were from up -- up north. And they told me their son had died in the World Trade Center.

Q. Yeah.

- A. And that's how they -- through that lawsuit and Mr.

 Motley representing them is how they got to be down
 there and me meeting them. And they -- again, they
 were very pleasant people. And -- but I can't say
 that's what turned me to that but that was just some
 experiences I had down there --
- 19 Q. I got you.
 - A. -- that were legally related.
 - Q. Yeah, I'm a huge Vernon Jordan fan when he worked with the Urban League and a lot of the great work that he did. And so I'm a huge fan. Let me shift gears a little bit. So you've been prosecuting for 16 years.

 I'm curious, number one, as to what is your position

with regard to second chance as it relates to defendants.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do believe that -- that people deserve second Α. I remember having a friend ask me one time if I got a bonus for putting people in prison. kind of laughed at it and said that would be a pretty dirty game if that was the case. So I never have counted my victories of putting somebody in prison or getting so many years. I have no idea what my conviction rate is or even how I'd even go about even trying to calculate that. So I don't look at my job as throw everybody in prison. Everybody's got to be -- everybody's quilty. I think I've always been very fair minded when I receive a case about the merits of the case, what the person's background is, and what they may have done or not done since they've been arrested. Because maybe it's been a little while. Have they gone to rehab? Have they cleaned their act Do they have a job? Have they had a family? I certainly think -- I certainly believe in second chances. But it takes -- I think -- you have to evaluate it on a case by case basis, the tendency of violence. Either prior violence or the violence of whatever they may be currently charged with. You have to take that into account. The timeframe of when

prior violent acts, or prior crimes, may have taken place in regards to when they -- what they're before the Court on today. If it's been 10 years, a year, 15 years. What have they been doing in that timeframe? Getting in trouble, staying out of trouble, living a productive life or not, and, again, what have they done since they've gotten arrested to when they're showing up in front of the court.

- Q. What's the -- in your view, what's the most difficult thing a circuit court judge has to do?
- A. I recall I believe it was Judge Kinard telling a story one time of a felony DUI -- of two different felony DUI cases in which he had very similar circumstances. You had two friends in the car, get into a car wreck, coming -- leaving the party/leaving the bar, passenger dies, the driver survives and is now charged with felony DUI. And he said -- whether it was the same day or the same week or just in the same close timeframe, you have two separate -- two different cases with that factual scenario and you have one family of a deceased saying this is -- our -- our son's dead. This man's responsible for killing him.
- Q. Throw the book at him.

A. He needs the maximum sentence. You go to the other case. You've got the family saying this is our son's

1 friend.

- Q. They want him on probation. They want him on probation.
- A. Could have been -- just as easily could have been my son behind the wheel and he'd been the one dead. Take -- go lenient on him. And so you have to weigh those out as best you can. I've heard other lawyers talk about when nobody's happy when they leave -- I'm not saying it's always true but when nobody's happy when they leave the courtroom, defense or the prosecution, then maybe it was a fair resolution to the case. So I think the challenge of balancing those cases out is one of the most difficult things a judge can do. We deal with the same circumstances and people asking for very, very different sentences in those types of cases.
- Q. Yeah. Let me apologize to the court reporter for talking over you. I knew I created a challenge for her in doing that. But I go back to what Mr. Strom indicated in your letters of recommendation and the fact that you've got letters from what we call both sides of the aisle, I too think that's commendable.

 I'm curious as to what defense lawyers would say about you in terms of how you worked with them. I practiced law in the third and prosecuted for a period of time

1 myself and one of the things that we prided ourselves 2 on is creating a scenario where defense lawyers could 3 make money. Where we didn't have them in a courtroom 4 all the time but they knew when their case was up for 5 trial, that's the time when we expected to be there 6 and be ready to go to court. And I did it for 20 7 years and I never had a defense lawyer who was 8 supposed to come to court at a certain time that did 9 But I know a lot of the other circuits not show up. 10 that would have defense lawyers come and just sit just 11 waiting to see whether or not their case would come to 12 trial. And I'm curious as to what the defense bar 13 would say about you in terms of how you work with 14 defense lawyers.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. One thing that I have always stressed is when we have

-- we start court as soon as we can. Sometimes

there's a little bit of delay getting stuff going,

getting everybody signed up, but one of my main

priorities is I know defense lawyers. They've got

other counties they've got to get to. I try to put

them first on the docket, get them out of there as

soon as I possibly can. I always try to check with

them ahead of time do you have some other place to be?

Or they'll call me, they'll email saying hey, can you

get me up first or second and I always try to do that.

1 I always try to be very up front in my dealings with 2 the -- with the private bar, public defenders 3 I understand their time's valuable and I'm included. 4 fine to give them time sometimes to make sure they get 5 paid before I push a case too much or too soon if it's 6 not gotten too much age on it. So I'm fine -- I would 7 think that most defense lawyers don't have any issues 8 I hope -- hope working with me, whether it be for 9 scheduling purpose or for case by case. 10 SENATOR SABB: Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Representative Rutherford. 12 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Senator, what he wanted to 13 say was you can ask Todd how he treat me because 14 I deal with him a lot and I read the ballot box 15 surveys with a great deal of interest because I 16 wanted to see whether anybody would have negative to say about Riley, and they didn't, except for 17 18 his lack of civil experience because he is the 19 level headed prosecutor that they all proclaim 2.0 him to be. I am difficult to deal with at best 21 and --22 SENATOR SABB: Shocking. 23 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yeah. But Riley manages 24 me and other lawyers that come up there very 25 He's very patient and kind of lays out why well.

1	he's doing what he's doing, and gives us a chance
2	to push back on it. Never had a bad experience
	-
3	with him. And I looked at the ballot box
4	responses but know that you also practice in a
5	small county. When you go up there, Riley's
6	going to get you in and out, tell you what you're
7	dealing with on the way. Call him on his cell
8	phone, he'll step out of court in front of the
9	judge and tell you what the schedule looks like,
10	and just does everything he can to treat you the
11	way that a lawyer should. So, Riley, I want to
12	say thank you for that.
13	MR. MAXWELL: Thank you.
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Your ballot box surveys
15	were very good, the way I expected them to be and
16	the lawyers that practice around you and in front
17	of you think a lot of you. So I just wanted you
18	to know that.
19	MR. MAXWELL: I appreciate that.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the commission?
21	SENATOR RANKIN: Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin.
23	EXAMINATION
24	BY SENATOR RANKIN:
25	Q. Luke Rankin. I don't believe we've ever met.

1 A. No, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And if we have, forgive me for not recognizing you.

 For a fact --
- 4 A. Nice to see you, Senator.
 - Q. For a fact, you did not meet me on the golf course at Kiawah unless it was when my wife, who is from Winnsboro, and I were riding one time just to look. I am curious about Kiawah and the biggest tip you ever got while caddying. Not from whom, but the amount.
 - Oh. I think during the member guests tournaments, it Α. was about a couple -- about three or four hundred dollars, I think, and that was -- that may have been two or three days of caddying all in a row. of the better experiences, just to kind of go off that, that I enjoyed and I was hoping to get a good tip out of it, was I ended up caddying for the Palmer Cup which was a top college -- U.S. college amateurs versus top European amateurs and Bill Haas was one of the -- he's one of the main guys that was playing in that tournament. He was at Wake Forest at the time, I think. And I caddied for the European team and the defending national champion. And they had given them some really nice tournament specific bags for the tournament and I kept looking at that bag thinking he's got 100 bags. I hope he just gives me the -- the

1 nice bag at the end of this four day tournament. he gave me all the golf balls out of it but not --2 3 Was there a language barrier between you two? Q. 4 He was Spanish but he'd been in the United States and Α. 5 he was -- he was actually a little bit younger than me 6 because I think I was 23 at the time. I think he 7 might have been 20 and he was Alejandro Cañizares from 8 Arizona State and that was in August or late July and 9 he had won the national -- individual national 10 championship -- NCAA national championship in June, I 11 think. 12 Q. Winnsboro is where you work. 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 And full-time since '06? Q. Started in fall of '07. 15 Α. 16 0. Where do you eat lunch in Winnsboro? 17 Α. The Barn used to be a weekly place but if you've ever 18 -- but if you've been up to the Barn, it's great buffet style Southern cooking all you can eat and it 19 20 can be dangerous to eat up there more than -- more 21 than one time a week. Now it's down to about once a 22 month. 23 Speedy Wok. Q.

I've only eaten there once or twice. But there's a

24

25

Α.

new --

1	Q.	And I don't know how to spell the last word and then
2		that's finally where I was going. There's a new
3		Mexican restaurant.
4	Α.	There's a new Mexican restaurant out there.
5	Q.	My wife is from there and these folks have heard this
6		too many times. When I get a Winnsboro candidate,
7		man, I want to find out where to eat other than Speedy
8		Wok.
9	Α.	The Barn. The Barn is I think is the best place in
10		Winnsboro.
11		SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you so much for being here and
12		running.
13		MR. MAXWELL: All right, thank y'all.
14		CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the commission.
15		Representative Rutherford.
16		REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I don't know what your
17		impression was of Vernon Jordan but I remember
18		driving down the street one time and this was
19		when Bill Clinton was president, but seeing this
20		guy standing on a corner and everybody just drawn
21		and staring at him. He just had that kind of
22		effect literally on a street corner. He was a
23		massive guy and it always struck me, to this day,
24		about the presence that he had when he walked
25		around. And I don't know if he had it on the

1 golf course but man, he sure had it just standing 2 on a street corner in Washington D.C. He was an 3 impressive quy. 4 It's been 20 years but he was very MR. MAXWELL: 5 pleasant. Did not talk about oh, this is the 6 person I know. Just a very normal -- normal quy. 7 He was a guest of, if I remember correctly, a 8 regular member who I caddied for that maybe I 9 think were retirees from up north that were 10 living down there and were there all the time. 11 So I knew the members. Their wives played and 12 everybody was very pleasant. A lot of people 13 know -- remember who he is. Tell that story a 14 lot of times nowadays, people don't quite 15 remember who he is. He was on -- people played 16 him on Saturday Night Live. 17 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: He was a big deal. He was 18 a big deal. Appreciate it. 19 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Anybody else? Mr. Maxwell, thank 2.0 you for being here. I think my colleagues have 21 taken most of the comments that I would have made 22 or asked the questions I would have asked. 23 would note, though, given your relative lack of 24 civil experience, what other ways -- and this is more by way of suggestion as you move forward in 25

the process, certainly CLEs are an opportunity to

-- to expedite one's learning. And just had seen
in your CLE transcripts that, for reasons that
are completely understandable, they're mostly the
prosecution -- solicitor's conferences. So
whatever it's worth going forward, I know there
will be some time with this seat before whomever
is elected to it actually takes the office.
Would certainly commend that to you.

MR. MAXWELL: All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Anyway, that will conclude this portion of the screening process. I do need take a moment though to remind you that pursuant to the commission's evaluative criteria, the commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws and that we will view any violation or appearance of improprieties serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in our screening The record will remain open until deliberations. the formal release of the report of qualifications. And you may be called back at such time if the need were to arise. So with that, thank you for being here today and thank you for offering for service to the state of

1	South Carolina.
2	MR. MAXWELL: Thank y'all.
3	(Off the record)
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go back on the record.
5	Before us, we have Mr. Charles Chad McCutchen who
6	is a candidate for the circuit court bench seat
7	16, which is an at large seat. Do I have that
8	all correct, sir?
9	MR. MCCUTCHEN: Correct, Your Honor.
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you would, please, raise your
11	right hand.
12	WHEREUPON:
13	CHARLES MCCUTCHEN, being duly sworn and
14	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
15	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There should be some documents in
17	front of you, if you'd take a look at those,
18	please.
19	MR. MCCUTCHEN: I recognize them.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal data
21	questionnaire and sworn statement that you have
22	submitted to the commission?
23	MR. MCCUTCHEN: They are.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
25	that need to be made to those?

1	MR. MCCUTCHEN: No, everything should be up to date.
2	I've updated my expenditures a couple of times, I
3	think, but everything should be correct and up to
4	date.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Do you have any objection to
6	our entering those into the record as part of
7	your sworn testimony?
8	MR. MCCUTCHEN: No.
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Let me give staff a moment to
10	do that.
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14 pages)
13	PDQ - Charles McCutchen)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
16	Sworn Statement - Charles
17	McCutchen)
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
19	Commission has throughly investigated your
20	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
21	focused on nine evaluative criteria and has
22	included a ballot box survey, thorough of your
23	application materials, verification of your
24	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
25	newspaper articles in which your name appears,

1	study of previous screenings, and a check for
2	economic conflicts of interest. We've received
3	no affidavits filed in opposition to your
4	election and no witnesses are present to testify.
5	We'd be happy to hear any brief opening statement
6	you have or if there are none, I'll simply
7	recognize staff counsel for some questions.
8	MR. MCCUTCHEN: I would just like to thank, you know,
9	the Commission all the Commission for having
10	me here. Again, it's an honor to be here.
11	Introduce my wife, Tara, who came with me this
12	morning. Certainly be glad to answer any
13	questions that any of you guys may have.
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: First, let me apologize, Tara, for
15	not recognizing you were sitting back there. Try
16	to make sure candidates can get full credit with
17	their spouse for introducing them. So I
18	apologize for not affording you that opportunity.
19	With that being said, I'd recognize Mr. Gentry
20	for some questions.
21	MR. GENTRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. GENTRY:
24	Q. I note for the record that based on the testimony
25	contained in the candidate's PDQ which has been

Α.

included in the record with the candidate's consent,
Charles J. McCutchen, meets the constitutional and
statutory requirements for this position regarding
age, residence, and years of practice. Mr. McCutchen,
how do you feel your legal and professional experience
thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to
be an effective circuit court judge?

7 8

5

6

1

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

Well, as you can probably deduce from my application, I've been a practicing attorney for 21 years now. have had the opportunity, you know, -- I've only practiced in private practice but I've done a -- you know, insurance defense work. I've been in a general practice for the last 20 years and practicing a lot of different areas of law. And I think that's pretty important whenever you deal with so many different areas of law, especially in the circuit court bench. And even areas that don't come in front of a circuit court judge, I practice and I realize that there's an overlay in some of those. Like, you know, how a family court -- how a criminal case can affect a family court case like a DSS abuse and neglect case. Or something like a workers' comp case effecting a -a tort case in common pleas. So I feel like having that broad range of experience, you know, would be an asset to me if I would be fortunate enough to take

1 this position.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The Commission received 107 ballot box survey Q. The ballot box, for responses with 14 comments. example, contain the following positive comments. Very well qualified. Mr. McCutchen would be a wonderful judge. He has a great temperament. comments indicated a pattern for concern. I'd note that the Low Country Citizen's Committee reported Mr. McCutchen to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. And well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. Additionally, the Committee noted good experience. Not as much on criminal side. Highly relational and likeable. Compassionate. Great disposition. Would make a great circuit court judge. Mr. McCutchen, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court rules?
- A. I am.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the commission about your candidacy?

- 1 A. I have not.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 6 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of
 12 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware
 13 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
 14 your behalf?
- 15 A. No, and I have not.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. GENTRY: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record
 that any concerns raised during the investigation
 by staff regarding the candidate were
 incorporated into the questioning of the
 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
 questions.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Do members of the 2 commission have any comments or questions for Mr. McCutchen? Chairman Rankin. 3 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY SENATOR RANKIN: 6 Mr. McCutchen, we met before when you came through 0. 7 which doesn't seem -- it can't a year ago. 8 It was a little -- maybe it's more than a year because 9 I think I screened before Thanksqiving last year. 10 Okay. Okay, well, good it isn't. I was right. It Q. 11 It had to be a little more. can't be a year ago. 12 -- and I don't recall kind of honing in on your being 13 from Kingstree before. There's this family called 14 McGill -- McGills. Do you know any of those guys, by 15 chance? Or gals? Ladies? 16 Α. I do. I went to school with most of the younger McGills and I've known former Senator McGill for a 17 18 long time. I think everybody about my age refers to 19 him as Uncle Yancey anyway. But yeah, I actually went to a football game with my good buddy, Stan, the other 20 21 -- a couple of weeks ago. Stan Williamson? 22 0. 23 Α. McGill. 24 Stan McGill, okay. Okay. Q. 25 Which was, ironically, in Kingstree. Α.

1 Q. Well, and I was going to focus on Tommy McGill, who 2 would be closer to you in age though much older. 3 would be born in about '63, four, or five. you know Tommy? He's Yancey and Hilton and all of 4 5 their -- not the youngest child. A lawyer and a 6 If you don't know him, then I'm going to preacher. 7 move right along. 8 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: This is a test. 9 I don't. You know, I knew Yancey and Hilton the best. Α. 10 Okay, that's good. You have from the civil lens, Q. 11 perhaps, the best of both worlds having worked with a 12 well known defense firm and similarly, a well known 13 plaintiff's firm. And then you've got John Grantland 14 writing a letter saying how he wished he could have 15 hired and did hire you before you went to Orangeburg.

16 And so I -- that has got to be a great testament --

19

21

Α.

22 23

17

18

20

24

25

perhaps no other letter in here but maybe a preacher and John Grantland who have sung your praises. Criminal, though. Do you feel like you have the -the experience and/or certainly the capacity to learn it, if you haven't, the criminal practice where, I think, you write effectively historic five year look back four percent have been your -- your wheel, or the makeup of your time. Tell us about that.

Well, and that's, obviously, been my track record over

1 -- over the years. I mean, even past couple of weeks, 2 you know, I've had a few criminal cases come in the 3 door like a DUI case over in Orangeburg County. 4 I recognize and, obviously, I think we've discussed 5 this before, I actually had the opportunity, because I stay in touch with the solicitor's office, three weeks 6 7 ago to the day, I went and observed a murder trial. 8 It was a four day murder trial in Orangeburg County. 9 From jury selection all the way through verdict and 10 sentencing. And that was, you know, -- as I don't 11 practice in that realm every day, it was pretty interesting to see the jury selected, the pre-trial 12 13 motions, Jackson v. Denno hearing, the -- the issues 14 on the admissibility of a polygraph. I mean, those 15 are things that as long as I have access to the court system, which is public, -- I mean, I took it upon 16 17 myself to go see -- the thing should have only lasted 18 three days but it ultimately, lasted four. So and I 19 picked up a lot of valuable information just in those 20 three days. And yes, I believe I'm more than capable 21 and have the mental ability to bridge the gap between 22 my civil and criminal experience. 23 In Orangeburg and particularly, their docket, do you Q. 24 have any idea what the caseload is in terms of civil

versus criminal cases? And that's not a trick

question. I couldn't tell you in Horry.

- A. Senator Rankin, as far as I understand, the -- the civil docket is fairly well up to speed and caught up. And I think our current judges do a great job of trying to get things ready for trial and, you know, have enough non-jury terms to -- to get it ready for trial. I think there might be a little bit of a backlog but our solicitors, especially our deputy solicitor in Orangeburg does a great job of moving a lot of cases. I mean, if I had to just simply guess, I would think there might be a little more criminal backlog than civil. But I know civil's fairly caught up which is a good thing. Which allows them to focus more on the criminal backlog, assuming there is one.
- Q. Well, and it may be unfair but the point of that is, in terms of what business is out there for a circuit court judge in any given district is invariably, we hear seemingly more criminal. Now, Richland County may be way different than Horry or the 15th Judicial Circuit but in terms of -- if you were successful in getting this nomination and the General Assembly votes and selects you, you're going to have hit the ground running on the criminal docket largely. And so to those who might say, Mr. McCutchen, with only four percent experience in the past five years in the

criminal realm, it's going to be a slow uptake for him. Speak to that, if you will.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Well, and, obviously, I believe that, you know, the Α. best way you learn is by experience. You know, I would be willing to go -- I don't plan on sticking around Orangeburg. I'd be willing to hit the road to go wherever I was needed anywhere in the state. know we do have more criminal terms then we do civil A lot of those, mostly are non-jury terms, or they're not trying a case that week so it's a lot of But, you know, there again the best way to get it done is to do it. But there again, you know, sitting with judges, as you -- you know, between election and the time you take the bench, I think that's a resource that I don't know of any judge that hadn't taken advantage of that. Which certainly, I would -- I'd be willing to hit the ground running tomorrow if you'd let me.
- Q. Pleasant, professional, though, -- and this, perhaps, from a defense minded person -- though, despite working as a plaintiffs' lawyer, he/she whomever, anonymous, affirms your excellent demeanor and your fairness. So I want to credit you that. No other questions.

MR. MCCUTCHEN: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the commission 2 comments or questions? Senator Sabb. 3 Chairman Rankin stole my thunder on SENATOR SABB: 4 that ballot box comment. I thought it was great. 5 But the omitted part I also wanted to mention 6 which is despite working as a plaintiffs' lawyer 7 in Orangeburg County where winning and settling 8 cases in excess of the real value is like 9 shooting fish in a barrel, has always 10 demonstrated both professionalism and 11 pleasantness that is often missing when one side 12 has an unfair advantage over the other. I just -13 - I love the comment. But I'd just say for the 14 record, I've really known the work of -- and 15 actually, I didn't realize his name was Charles 16 until really today. And I know the last time I should have realized it but it struck me today 17 18 that it's Charles and not Chad because most of us 19 affectionately refer to him that way. too, enjoyed reading the letters of reference. 2.0 21 And, you know, you've got Lee Cope in there who I 22 just think is just one of the most brilliant 23 minds in the legal profession and just a heck of 24 a trial lawyer. And, you know, in there and all 25 the others. And so just wanted you to know that

there are many of us who admire the work of the Orangeburg County Bar that you guys have done -- and how you've, in my mind, educated folks to where they hold folks accountable when it comes to violations of the law. I think it's remarkable and congratulations. And you all make practicing law better for all of us, plaintiffs' lawyers in particular. So thank you.

MR. MCCUTCHEN: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other comments or questions? Well, Mr. Mccutchen, I'll just add to this high praise. One of the comments that stood out to me in your ballot box survey, -- of course, you know, you don't get to see all of this because of our provisions around anonymity but it stood it out to me because it's reflective of something that I value as an attorney and somebody who's in this position trying to figure out, you know, qualifications for judicial service. And this one line said Mr McCutchen's always well prepared for court hearings. He is objective and practical about his client's position in whatever the case my be. He gets along well with opposing counsel and it's unusual if he's not able to reach a reasonable settlement. I just -- the

1 preparation for court stands out to me and I 2 think in addition to all the other superlative 3 comments about how great you are, that one really 4 resonated with me and I think I'd be remiss if I 5 didn't share that with you. So kudos. 6 Well, and -- and if I may say MR. MCCUTCHEN: 7 something. Getting back to Senator Rankin's 8 question and that goes for I realize that that's 9 not over when you place a robe on. There's 10 always preparation that goes into any term of 11 court, especially general sessions that I know 12 I'd be doing a lot of. 13 Good. Well, thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 14 concludes this portion of our screening process. 15 I do need to take a moment to remind you that 16 pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, 17 the Commission expects candidates to follow the 18 letter as well as the spirit of the law. 19 we -- if there were any violations with the 2.0 appearance of impropriety, we would view 21 seriously and potentially deserving of heavy weight in our screening deliberations. 22 The 23 record will remain open until the formal release 24 of the report of qualifications. And you may be called back at such time if the need arises. 25

1	Thank you for being here today and thank you for
2	offering for service to the state of South
3	Carolina.
4	MR. MCCUTCHEN: Thank you all for having me.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.
6	(Off the record)
7	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, we will go back on the
8	record. Good morning. Before us we have Ms.
9	Jane Merrill who is a candidate for Seat 16 on
10	the circuit court bench which is an at large
11	seat. Do I have all that right?
12	MS. MERRILL: You do. Good morning.
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good morning. Thank you for being
14	here. If you would please, raise your right
15	hand.
16	WHEREUPON:
17	JANE MERRILL, being duly sworn and cautioned
18	to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
19	but the truth, testifies as follows:
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There should be some documents in
21	front of you. If you'd please take a look at
22	those.
23	MS. MERRILL: Yes, I'm familiar with these documents.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal data
25	questionnaire and the sworn statement that you

1	submitted to the commission?
2	MS. MERRILL: Yes, and there's also an amendment
3	included.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Are there any further updates
5	or changes that need to be made?
6	MS. MERRILL: No, not at this time.
7	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to our
8	entering those as a part of sworn testimony
9	today?
10	MS. MERRILL: No objection.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Let me give staff a second to do
12	that.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 MARKED FOR
14	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (20 pages)
15	PDQ - Jane Merrill)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 MARKED FOR
17	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (2 pages)
18	Amendment to PDQ - Jane Merrill)
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 MARKED FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
21	Sworn Statement - Jane Merrill)
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
23	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
24	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
25	focused on the nine evaluative criteria and has

2.0

included a ballot box survey, thorough study of your application materials, verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, the study of previous screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of interest. We have received no affidavits filed in opposition to your election and no witnesses are present to testify today. Before giving you an opportunity to make any brief opening comments you may like to, I've noticed there's some folks who have come in the room with you. If you want to introduce them, we'd be happy to meet.

MS. MERRILL: Thank you. I've brought my husband of 20 years, Albert Merrill, and Denea Harris who I met through the bar mentoring program who is a close friend who came from Ohio to support me today.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Great. Well, thank you for being here We're glad to have you. Apologize we're running a little bit behind. But per custom and tradition, here we are. So thank you for that. If you have any brief opening remarks, I'd be happy to hear those. Otherwise, I will recognize staff counsel for some questions.

1 MS. MERRILL: I'd just like to thank the Commission. 2 I know time is a finite resource and I imagine 3 being on this commission takes a lot of it so I 4 appreciate it. I'd also like to thank Ms. 5 Starnes and Ms. Crawford and Ms. Putnam. 6 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Great. Ms. Starnes. 7 MS. STARNES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. STARNES: 10 I would note for the record that based on the 0. 11 testimony contained in Ms. Merrill's PDO which has 12 been included in the record with her consent, Ms. 13 Merrill meets the constitutional and statutory 14 requirements for this position regarding age, 15 residence, and years of practice. Ms. Merrill, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus 16 17 far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit judge? 18 19 I think my well rounded experience, my strong work Α. 20 ethic, and my desire to serve the public make me well 21 suited for the circuit court bench. I had the opportunity to serve as a circuit court clerk so I 22 23 kind of saw how things work behind the bench. And 24 though there's a phrase all trial attorneys say:

ladies and gentlemen of the jury, and I have said that

1 phrase representing plaintiffs and defendants in 2 common pleas and representing defendants in the state 3 as an assistant solicitor in general sessions. 4 think all that experience -- and I wouldn't have that 5 experience without a strong work ethic. As a daughter of a social worker and truck driver, I worked my way 6 7 through college with multiple jobs. In law school, I 8 served on law review, wrote and published a scholarly 9 article, and also became a mother. And I've continued 10 that work ethic in my practice. And then also my 11 family instilled in me the importance of public 12 service. And though I am in private practice, I have 13 done that through various different avenues such as 14 the bar's mentoring program. As I mentioned, Ms. 15 Harris is here with me today and she nominated me and 16 I won Mentor of the Year several years ago. I've also 17 done community service. I've been an adjunct 18 professor at Lander. Anybody who's done that knows 19 you do not do that for the money and so I've done 20 that. So I think serving your community is important 21 and being public service is very important. 22 those reasons, I think I'm well suited for the bench. 23 Thank you. Ms. Merrill, the commission received 138 Q. 24 ballot box surveys regarding you with 18 additional 25 The ballot box survey, for example, contain comments.

the following positive comments. Jane will make an excellent judge. She is smart, fair, and driven. She will work tirelessly to do her job well. I have seen her try a number of cases and interact with other lawyers for several years. She is kind, caring, passionate, and hard working. Three of the 18 comments expressed concerns about your temperament to be a judge. What response would you offer to this concern?

- A. It's always my goal to be respectful to everyone. In the courtroom, outside the courtroom, and I would continue to do that. And it saddens me to think that, perhaps, I do not do that or, you know, these folks had this impression. It's hard to address it directly without knowing more context. But certainly, you know, I do always try to respect people, like I said, both inside and out of the courtroom.
- Q. Thank you. In your last screening, you told the Commission that you're plaintiff in a pending action in Abbeville County that involves a dog attack. Since your last screening, would you please tell us the status of this lawsuit?
- A. So there is a -- the defendant is in default and there is default judgement hearing scheduled for December 18th, I believe, in Abbeville. The primary plaintiff

- is my uncle. He ended up with some medical bills and he was injured and was in the hospital overnight. My interest is very limited to vet bills.

 4 Q. Thank you. I would note that the Piedmont Citizens

 5 Committee reported that Ms. Merrill is well qualified
 - Committee reported that Ms. Merrill is well qualified as to the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. She was found qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The Committee noted that Ms. Merrill has appeared before this Committee before. Then and now she has made a forceful impression on us as a skilled and talented lawyer, a dedicated community servant, and a person of great character and integrity. We believe that she would make a fine circuit court judge. Ms. Merrill, I do have a few housekeeping issues I would like to go through with Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court rules?
 - A. I am.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?

- 1 A. I have not.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 5 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including
 limitations on contacting members of the General
 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 9 A. I am.

- 10 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of
 11 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware
 12 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
 13 your behalf?
- 14 A. I have not. I'm not aware of anyone trying to intervene.
- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina
 Code Section 2-19-70(e)?
 - A. Yes, I am familiar with those.
- MS. STARNES: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
 record that any concerns raised during the
 investigation by staff regarding the candidate
 were incorporated into the questioning of the
 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
 questions.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of the 2 commission have any questions or comments for Ms. 3 Merrill? 4 SENATOR RANKIN: Chairman. 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Chairman Rankin. As it was, Chairman Rankin. 6 7 EXAMINATION 8 BY SENATOR RANKIN: 9 Custom and design, I think, is the term. Right on 0. 10 time and me right out of the gate. Ms. Merrill, 11 welcome back. 12 Α. Thank you. I am aware and familiar with at least one of the 13 14 letters of -- authors, Ms. Himpie, who I know well, 15 who writes glowingly about your candidacy and your balance, your professionalism. And then a little side 16 17 note, if I may. My father was a judge, a county judge 18 that then became a special circuit court judge way, 19 way back in the day. And one particular civil case 20 that he had before him, a jury trial involved the 21 Tams, a beach music group. Perhaps you've heard of 22 them. 23 I have. Α. 24 And they were suing, I think a hotel, the Holiday Inn Q. 25 that they had performed for and I think the case

1 bottom line centered on whether they should have been 2 paid something that they didn't get. My father 3 requested of the Tams' lawyer that before the verdict 4 was rendered, either way good or bad for them, that at 5 the end of the case before they dismissed the jury, 6 that the Tams would sing a song. I wonder with the 7 Church, your Bishop's letter noting your being a 8 depended soloist in the Church, whether you might 9 regale Todd Rutherford something like that. Or could 10 he find you on YouTube to celebrate your voice.

A. I hope not find me on YouTube and perhaps in a less formal setting, certainly.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. We have seen multiple candidates each with very unique backgrounds. Today seems to be a unique day with a caddy at Kiawah and now a soloist who obviously is great in need this time of year. Do you have any gigs with the church between now and Christmas?
- A. So we have a traditional lessons and carol service on December 17th so I'll do that and we have a little trio for that.
- Q. Very good. Thank you for that gift. And then, again, thank you with -- the first guy today -- persistence.

 He has run before. You have run before. My question to you now back specific to your candidacy. What have you done differently since your last run? What have

1 you, not by gentle suggestion or by something you took 2 away from that last screening experience, to prepare 3 to be ready now -- more ready now for service if you 4 were so screened and nominated and, ultimately, picked 5 by majority of 170 House and Senate members? 6 Certainly. I've -- well, I've tried -- well, I Α. 7 already had tried a lot of jury trials but I've tried 8 several of those in the past year. And I have also really prepared for this hearing in kind of reviewing 9 10 things and trying to kind of figure out -- and, of 11 course, it's a, you know, similar people most of the 12 time but not always the exact same make up. Kind of 13 what the Commission, you know, may be looking for and 14 all of that. So I know one thing that was brought up 15 in my last screening was, I mean, I don't have a lot of civil experience but I did work in a civil defense 16 17 firm for three years. But the question specifically 18 asked in the last five years to give those 19 percentages. So I have done less civil work more 20 recently but I still primarily practice in circuit 21 court. 22 The 29 cases you reference have gone to trial and Q. 23 resulted in verdict. Were those criminal only or --24 No, it was --Α.

-- was it family court because it could --

25

Q.

A. It was criminal, common pleas, and family court. And I think I put that on my PDQ to qualify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You reference -- in fairness to you, 29 gone to trial and resulted in verdict, approximately, -- including criminal and general sessions family court and civil cases in common pleas, what -- what type posture of civil cases were you involved in that went to trial and verdict?
- So I had a case where an elderly woman fell in the Α. movie theater and that case went to trial. And I was actually brought on by another attorney because she does a lot of workers' comp law but doesn't -- hasn't done much in circuit court. So I was kind of brought on by her to help and then I ended up kind of taking the lead during the trial because she had not tried a So I've tried that as far as civil goes. case yet. And I've also -- I think this will be outside of the five years so I'm trying to think about when this other civil case was where I represented a business who was trying to recoup. They terminated an employee but the paperwork didn't go through properly, essentially, so the former employee continued to receive a paycheck and with one headquarters being somewhere else and things like that, they didn't catch it for a little while. I can't recall off the top of

- 1 my head if that was within or outside the five year. 2 But that's two civil trials specifically that I can 3 think of. 4 You're a mediator in both, I quess, mostly family 0. 5 court or is it all stripes --6 I'm only certified in circuit but I do family court Α. 7 mediations as long as the parties agree. So by the 8 Court rules, I can't be appointed for a family court -9 - like a family court judge can't appoint me because I 10 don't have that official certification but the parties 11 can agree to use me for -- as a mediator.
- Q. Okay. And then finally, Jerry Peace writes about you.

 Your -- and he is a federal administrative law judge.
- 14 | What area is he?
- 15 A. He does Social Security.
- 16 Q. Okay. And he says great things about you.
- 17 A. Thank you.
- 18 | SENATOR RANKIN: All right. That's all for me. Thank
- 19 you, ma'am.
- 20 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Blackley.
- MS. BLACKLEY: Thank you, Chairman.
- 22 EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MS. BLACKLEY:
- 24 Q. Good morning. Yeah, it's still morning.
- 25 A. Good morning.

Q. I just wanted to -- I was -- Senator Rankin asked you a couple of questions about your experiences. I wanted to know a little bit more about your civil background and I get that, I think, it's been one case within the last three years.

- 6 A. I think that other one I referenced would be prior to that timeframe.
- 8 Q. But before then, you do have civil experience based on 9 having worked for a firm?
 - A. Yes. When I was with that civil defense firm, I tried four or five cases and I also argued motions for summary judgement. You know, motions to compel, defended motions for summary judgement. Things like that.
 - Q. Okay, great. Last question. In regards to the -some of the -- some of the ballot box comments in
 regards to temperament, I'm sure you're aware of the of a couple of them in regards to your temperament.
 How would you address that to this Commission in
 regards to being what one would say vindictive or
 petty? I look at these and I was -- it brought pause
 to me because you have some that say that and then you
 have one that says that you can basically be easily,
 what I would assume or interpret, is soft and would
 cry. I would like you to address that to us in

regards to where you think that might -- someone may have interpreted that from you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So as far as whether I cry, I certainly maintain my Α. composure in court. I think if I didn't, there would be many comments that say I don't. But I will say that I don't think crying is necessarily a sign of weakness. And the job we do is hard. And I will give you an example of a case I cried about. When I was a prosecutor, I prosecuted a sexual predator who infected a young girl with HIV. During the trial, of course, I had my -- maintained my composure during court. I absolutely did. But I will tell you when I got in my car, I shed a few tears on my way home to go home to my own two daughters. I mean, it was a terrible case. But I don't think there's any shame or problem with having compassion for a victim for whom I'm seeking justice. That wasn't done in the That was privately in my car on my way courtroom. I don't know if someone saw this and -- I can't speak exactly to it without more details but, you know, sometimes the work we do is difficult and sometimes it does bother me but not in the courtroom.
- Q. What about the temperament issue that some folks have raised?
- A. I guess the one way I would address that is it's hard

1 to specifically -- like petty and vindictive, I really 2 don't -- I don't know where that's coming from and I 3 feel like if that were truly a universal issue, there 4 were would be numerous comments with that. without more specific -- specifics it's harder for me 5 6 to specifically tell you why someone would say that. 7 I will say I have tried some really tough cases 8 I mean, I had a murder case that was very 9 intense and when my client was acquitted, I distinctly 10 remember, you know, some folks on the other side being 11 very, very upset about it. I don't -- I'm not saying 12 it came from that case. I don't know that. But I'm 13 just using that as an example of where it might -- you 14 know, somebody could just be upset about a particular 15 case, you know, or something like that.

Q. Well, with a lot of comments that I've seen on multiple candidates, you get some very positive and you get some -- some not so positive. I would just encourage you to continue to tredge on and be as firm and focused as you need to be and not let that be a worry. But I did want to address it since it was mentioned. But you're right. If it probably was a problem, you'd probably have a lot more. I thank you for coming back and -- and doing with -- following up with what was given you -- given to you as far as

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

advice on trying to complete all areas of being qualified.

MS. MERRILL: Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 | CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb.

SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I really want to do more of an editorial than -- than anything, I think. I mean, I just want to congratulate you on being such a scholar. When you look back at how you performed both in undergrad and in law school, I mean, I just -- I think it's -- it's extraordinary. So I just wanted to compliment you on you law review and the mock trial stuff and all of that. And then the other part, as I looked at your career, when you talked about your family and being committed to public service, I think it shows in every aspect of -- of your professional career. And I think to have someone to come from Ohio to be here with you, I just think speaks volumes to -- to the impact you've, obviously, had on her life. And for you to give of your time to mock trial since 2013 -- I did it for -- for two and a half years hard and then after that from time to time but I know the dedication it takes, the time it takes, and all of that, and I know the impact that you have on

1 the lives of those kids because I've had, I 2 think, three now in the short period of time when 3 I was there either in law school or one trying to 4 get into law school, and I know that that 5 experience, you know, just has a good impact on 6 their life. And then the other part is the work 7 you do for veterans with appeals and those kinds 8 of things. That's probably not one of the more 9 financially rewarding areas of practice and not a 10 whole lot of people do it. But if there's 11 anybody who we ought to do work for, it's our 12 veterans. And so when I look at what you do with 13 your law practice and outside of your law 14 practice, I'm proud to witness lawyers doing that 15 kind of work. And so I just have an appreciation 16 for how you've gone about the business of living 17 a lawyerly life. So I just want to congratulate 18 you and wish you good luck on the rest of the 19 journey. 2.0 MS. MERRILL: Thank you. I appreciate that. I'll --21 I'll share with you one of my former mock trial students is a 2L at USC. And I guess he was in 22 the pro bono office and they were talking about, 23 24 I quess, the list of candidates and he reached

out to me and he said I saw your name on the list

of judges -- or running for judge. I thought that was really great, you know. So I still try to keep in touch with them as much as I can. So I'm really proud of all of them.

SENATOR SABB: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MERRILL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the Commission comments or questions? All right, seeing none, Ms. Merrill, thank you so very much for being I do need to take a moment to remind here today. you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws and we will view any violations with the appearance of impropriety, we would view seriously and potentially deserving of very heavy weight in our screening deliberations. The record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications. And you could be called back at such time if the need were to So that will conclude this portion of our arise. screening process. Thank you very much for being here today and thank you for offering for service to the State of South Carolina.

MS. MERRILL: Thank you. Thank you all.

CUNTRMAN CACKEY: And thank whall for hoing here too
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And thank y'all for being here too.
(Off the record)
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go back on the record. In
front of us, we have Mr. Christian Spradley who
is a candidate for Seat 16 of the circuit court
bench which is, of course, an at large street.
Mr. Spradley, did I get all of that correct?
MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, you did sir. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would please,
raise your right hand.
WHEREUPON:
CHRISTIAN SPRADLEY, being duly sworn and
cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Fantastic. There should be some
documents in front of you. If you would have a
look at those, please.
MR. SPRADLEY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal data
questionnaire and the sworn statement that you
have submitted to the commission?
MR. SPRADLEY: They are.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or corrections
that need to be made?

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to our
2	entering those into the record as part of your
3	sworn testimony?
4	MR. SPRADLEY: No objection.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Let me give staff a
6	moment to do that.
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 MARKED FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (16 pages)
9	PDQ - Christian Spradley)
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 MARKED FOR
11	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (8 pages)
12	Sworn Statement - Christian
13	Spradley)
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
15	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
16	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
17	focused on nine evaluative criteria and has
18	included a ballot box survey, thorough study of
19	your application materials, verification of your
20	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
21	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
22	study of any previous screenings, and a check for
23	economic conflicts of interest. We have received
24	no affidavits filed in opposition to your
25	election and no witnesses are present to testify

1 Before recognizing you for an opportunity today. 2 to make any brief opening comments, if you wanted 3 to do so, I do want to want to give you a chance 4 to introduce these folks who have joined you here 5 this morning. 6 MR. SPRADLEY: Thank you. With me my wife, Kristie, 7 and my two daughters, Reese and Morgan. 8 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you all for being here today. 9 It's a big day and we're glad to have you. 10 Mr. Spradley, as I said if you'd like to make any 11 brief opening remarks --12 MR. SPRADLEY: I'll waive outside of thanking your 13 You've got a great staff. Lindi and Erin staff. 14 And they've -- for a first time are awesome. 15 person coming through, it made a very strange 16 path a lot easier to come through. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 17 Outstanding. Mr. Fiffick. 18 MR. FIFFICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. FIFFICK: 21 I note for the record that based on the testimony 0. contained in the candidate's PDQ which has been 22 23 included in the record with the candidate's consent, 24 Mr. Spradley meets the constitutional and statutory 25 requirements for this position regarding age,

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

residence, and years of practice. Mr. Spradley, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you in being an effective circuit court judge?

Α. I believe I'm a -- a unique candidate in that I have practiced in a large are of law. I started out as a public defender. Worked there for three years. Then I worked as a solicitor as three years. luckily, I was hired for the law firm that I'm with now, the Moore, Bradley, Myers Law Firm where I'm the managing partner. Where I'm at now, we opened an office in Saluda, a small town. You have to be able to do a little bit of everything in a small town and I've had to do that. I've represented Saluda County. I have represented municipalities. I've done civil work, plaintiff's work. I've done a little bit of insurance defense work because our firm does some of I have done property litigation. I have practiced in probate court. I've touched a little bit of a lot of different areas of law and I think that's important for somebody being on the bench. And I also believe that being the managing partner of a firm our size, I think we have probably 50 employees all the way around now, is pretty good experience. served as the town judge for the town of Ridge Spring

1 many, many, many years ago and I think that was a 2 great experience also.

- Q. Thank you, sir. The commission received 144 ballot box surveys regarding you with 30 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, had the following kind comments. Outstanding attorney of the highest character. Great attitude and universally well respected. And a kind, intelligent lawyer that would do excellent on the bench. Nine of the written comments expressed concerns regarding your judicial temperament and perhaps you might be quick to anger. How would you respond to these concerns?
- A. First off, those trouble me greatly. That's not who I am and that's not who I want perceived by others.

 Unfortunately -- or fortunately, how you're perceived by others is their reality. And that's something that moving forward I would have to, obviously, work on. I was actually in a roll call a few weeks ago and this lawyer that I sort of knew walked in, and I said something to him about his shoes because I had the same exact pair of shoes on. They were almost tennis shoes like shoes. And I said man, nice shoes. And he turned around and kind of snapped at me and I realized he couldn't see that I was wearing the same shoes.

 And his perception of me at that moment, if I hadn't

- stepped out, would have been very different even
 though I didn't mean anything by it. But his
 perception is what is important. And moving forward,
 I would have to -- I feel like I would need to spend
 more time or be more mindful of how others may see
 something that I say or do.
 - Q. Thank you, sir. I just now have a few housekeeping issues before -- I will note that the Midlands Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Spradley is qualified as to his constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The committee found Mr. Spradley well qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of ethnical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. Noting that Mr. Spradley is well qualified all the way around, pleasant, and enduring. Just a couple of housekeeping issues for you. Mr. Spradley, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court rules?
 - A. Yes, sir.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?

- 1 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 5 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with section 2-19-70 including limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of
 11 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware
 12 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
 13 your behalf?
- 14 A. No, I have not and do not.
- Q. And finally, have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code 2-19-70(e)?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. FIFFICK: Mr. Chairman, I would note for the
 record that any concerns raised during the
 investigation by staff regarding the candidate
 were incorporated into the questioning of the
 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further
 questions.
- 25 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Mr. Spradley before I go

1 to anybody else, I just want to note for the 2 record, and to you that we, of course, all join 3 in sadness with the passing of your friend -- our 4 friend and your law partner, Stanley Myers. And I think I would remiss if I didn't point that 5 6 out. 7 MR. SPRADLEY: Well, I appreciate that very much. 8 It's been one of the toughest things I've had to 9 deal with. Stanley was like a brother to me and 10 a huge part of our firm. And, you know, Jake was 11 already out with some health problems and that 12 just compounded a lot of things. And it makes 13 you think about your own mortality when somebody 14 that young that is in that kind of health just 15 passes away that quickly. I appreciate that. 16 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: With that being said, members of the 17 Commission have questions for comments from Mr. 18 Spradley? 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 21 Well, let me ask here then as others gather their 0. thoughts. And you talked about this with Mr. Fiffick 22 23 and I certainly don't mean to dwell on the negative 24 but some of the comments did come back on the ballot 25 box survey which, of course, you're not privy to in

full, or really at all other than what we share here. There are so many that speak to your excellence as an attorney, your reputation as a person, and being a man of character. And I don't think there's any questioning your knowledge of the law. There are some, though, who perceive that you would be susceptible to robe-itis, or the condition which some, unfortunately, have found themselves afflicted that comes sometimes with sitting on the bench, and I just want to give you an opportunity to respond to that more fully and why we should not see that as precluding your election, or qualifications.

A. I don't believe that I would be that kind of person.

You know, until somebody puts the robe on, I guess
there's really no way to really know the person till
that happens. But, you know, when I was the town
judge in Ridge Spring, I found that it was a very
different mind set that when I was sitting on the
bench as the town judge than being a -- a litigator
and being a proponent, or a gladiator in the ring, for
a client. And I think that experience was great for
me. I worry about how people think of me. I would
want to model myself after Judge Keesley. I mean, I
remember reading -- I had somebody get me a copy of
his transcript when he was actually sworn in and how

1 he spoke about that if he ever started getting robe-2 itis for somebody to tell him that he had a hanging 3 thread from his robe and he would know what they 4 And I kind of took that to -- to heart that --5 that I would hope that if that happened, and I don't 6 think it would, but that I would listen to other 7 people and change what I was doing. But I don't 8 believe that that would be a problem for me. 9 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin. 10

SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

BY SENATOR RANKIN:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To that last point, I can't help but tack to the 0. Spearman husband and wife, I guess, Molly who I know well and the comments that she has about you. cannot imagine her knowing you as long as she has ever imagine you becoming a judge that she may never appear before but that you have appeared before and walked out grimacing. So that's a slightly editorial but to that point, let me just ask you. You've been in front a judge, or judges, who wore the robe like, what, not silk glove with an iron fist. They wore it like a buzz saw. Without naming that experience and that judge, is that a fair statement that you've encountered someone who did not have the temperament

or was not having a good day, and took it out on either your or the litigants in the courtroom?

- A. Yes, sir. My first job was as a public defender in Aiken County and that was many years ago. And I never had a problem with that judge personally. I saw that judge say and do things to other people that shocked me but I can't see myself being that way. And as you point out, I've known Ms. Spearman -- I think my brother was a page for her when she was in the House. So I've known her a very, very long time. Her son was a law clerk for our law firm. But I have -- I have been around judges that have done things that I didn't agree with or I thought were too harsh.
- Q. And, of course, Molly knows you.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And the folks that have commented overwhelmingly glowingly about you though the negative, though in the minority, commenters have said the other. Judge Keesley would, obviously, be a person you would want to be compared too. Who else? Well, no, first why Judge Keesley? And then who else and why would you want to be compared to favorably?
- A. In preparing this, I kind of went through and I kind of don't want to leave any judge out because I think we have a bunch of great jurists in the state. But

1 the first one that came to mind is Justice Kittredge 2 because he is just such a great professional person 3 and he is such a great proponent in public for the bar 4 and for the bench. Judge Verdin and her temperament 5 is awesome. She controls her courtroom very, very 6 well in a way that I don't think anybody would ever be 7 upset with her. And Judge Keesley because he -- he --8 his temperament is he's always very quiet, he's always 9 very nice to everybody that's in the courtroom, and he 10 lets you know that he cares about what he's ruling on. 11 He doesn't just rule. A lot of time, he worries about 12 his rulings and he is a proponent of the rule of law 13 and whatever the law says that's what he's going to 14 He's not going to make something up to fit 15 He's going to follow what's been passed by the facts. y'all. 16

Q. You do have a mix of civil/criminal 30 percent-ish on each, and you have prosecuted cases too. And tell me your view of the role of the solicitor in the Court in terms of your experience that you would draw from in terms of being fair to both the proponent and opponent of a plea and/or sentencing.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Now, you're going to make me wish I had written down the name of this case. There was a case, and I'm not going to remember the name of it, but when I was a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

prosecutor, I had a quote from that case on the back of the door to my office so when I shut the door, I saw that -- that -- that case. I'm not going to be able to quote it exactly but it goes into saying that a prosecutor is a quasi judicial position. solicitor and the prosecutor they are supposed to represent society and society includes the defendant. And so the prosecutor has a duty to make sure that when they are prosecuting somebody that they are doing it within the law. That they're not doing something that they shouldn't do. And that's why the prosecutors are given so much power in our state. And having been on that side -- you know, a public defender and a solicitor -- I've been asked before why I left the public defender's office and went to the solicitor's office because I felt like I could do more good at the solicitor's office. I felt like that if somebody needed help, I could get -- I could do something to help somebody. And one thing that I've done in the last two years is I went and took a class to learn how to be a addiction drug -- addiction court judge because that's something that if I'm lucky enough to get elected that I want to volunteer to do because when I was at the solicitor's, I prosecuted a lot of drug cases, and -- and all of y'all know, drugs

1 touch 80/85 percent of every crime that -- that we 2 deal with. And sometimes we need to be able to -- or 3 most of the time we need to be able to help those 4 people and find some way to get them treatment, 5 whether it's through mental health court, whether it's through veteran's court, whether it's through drug 6 7 court. I maybe went off the rails there a little bit 8 answering your question. 9 No, you didn't. In Horry County in the more rural Q. 10 areas, we call what you do in your volunteer work 11 out'n a fire. What do y'all call it as a volunteer 12 fireman when you go respond and successfully put a 13 fire out? 14 It's going and doing a job. I mean, that's what we Α. 15 called it when I worked for the City of Columbia and it's the same for a volunteer as it is for a paid 16 17 person. Same danger, same training, same everything. 18 But out'n a fire, I've heard that too. That's a good 19 country word. 20 O-u-t apostrophe N. Q. 21 Yes, sir, I think that's right. Α. 22 Oh, the things we learn. Other CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 23 members of the commission. Mr. Safran. 24 EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Mr. Spradley, appreciate you being here. Just a couple of points. You've been actively involved in, more or less, adversarial proceedings for 20 plus years. That's fair?
- A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And, you know, I think at times, I like to look at these ballot boxes and kind of have a different context. I mean, if you've got a sitting judge where you start getting these kind of reports about robeitis and poor behavior, you look at it and say yeah, you know, you're already there. I think I pay less attention to it when they're talking about somebody who's been involved in an active trial practice because, unfortunately, you can't avoid upsetting people sometimes even if you don't intend to do it. And I'm hearing from you that if those statements are being made, a lot of it's probably coming from the heat of battle, I guess, whether it's true or perceived. I mean, is that --
- A. That's exactly. I mean, and if -- if I knew who they were, I'd apologize to them right now. Maybe they'll watch this and they'll see me apologize to them.

 Because I don't want anybody to take anything personal that I've ever done as a lawyer. That's one thing I think that within our profession, we've kind of lost.

1 I mean, I remember when I first started, the public 2 defender's office, the solicitor's office, and the 3 judge we'd all go eat lunch once a week whenever we 4 had a term of court and it's gotten to where now, man, 5 everybody just hates each other. And it's bad. 6 People take things much too personal now than they 7 should because it's an adversarial thing where we go 8 in there and beat each other over the head, and walk 9 out and shake hands is the way it should be.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, when I started out, I think it was the same as Q. what you're describing where people could be collegial but still be able to maintain opposite positions, you know, on the record in professional context. think there's been a tendency to get away from that primarily because there -- it's a perception that if you're trying to be friendly with everybody that you can't be doing your job but I don't believe that's the I, too, have been down with the judge in Aiken, or the former judge in Aiken. Look, unfortunately, or fortunately, I never had to take the lash on that but, I mean, I'm sure there are plenty of people who did. So you've, at least, seen things that have gotten your attention in terms of what comes from the bench and you understand that it does have implications. that --

- 1 A. That is very true, yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. I think you'd agree with me that in the course of time
- 3 that you've been practicing you've seen the attitude
- 4 towards how the judges behave has changed
- 5 dramatically.
- 6 A. Very much.
- 7 Q. I mean, it used to be Judge Keesley to some extent
- 8 might have been an aberration.
- 9 A. That's true.
- 10 | Q. But I think most people are kind of looking at him, or
- 11 somebody like him, as kind of the model now. And I
- think you've also picked up on the fact -- you're
- right, I don't think anybody's ever had a cross word
- 14 for Judge Verdin.
- 15 | A. I don't think.
- 16 | O. I don't think. And, I quess, looking at what she does
- and how well she does it, and at the same time seeing
- 18 how nobody can think of anything derogatory to say
- 19 proves that it can be done.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. Let me ask you this. You, I guess, -- you were a
- 22 | firefighter actually for the city of Columbia at one
- 23 point?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Was that part-time or was that a full-time --

1 Α. No, sir. When I graduated -- when I graduated from 2 undergrad, I was working for the Aiken Public Safety 3 at the time as a full-time firefighter. I went to 4 USC-Aiken. And when I got out, I applied to law 5 school and didn't get in. And so I went to work for 6 the city of Columbia for three years. And then I met 7 my wife and decided that I didn't want to spend one 8 out of every three nights away from her. 9 applied to a few more law schools and when I got 10 accepted, I went to see Chief Jantzen and I said 11 Chief, I got accepted to law school. I'm thinking 12 about going. And he said, well, Chris, you're fired. 13 He said if you don't make it, you can come back and 14 I'll give you your job back but you're going to go to 15 law school. You're going to go try it because it's an 16 opportunity that most people don't get. And I've been thankful to him my entire life for that because he's 17 18 You know, I still volunteer today. He said 19 you can go volunteer as a fireman but being a lawyer 20 is something not everybody can do. 21 And, well, I think, probably having done that for Q. 22 those three years really kind of brings a different 23 perspective to things. I mean, I've represented 24 firefighters. I know how much it takes to do that. Ι

mean, it's not just a disruption of schedule. It's a

- danger that you face, literally, every time you go out.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. It sounds like to me this is something you've been really wanting to do now for quite a while.
 - A. Yes, sir. I actually spoke to Judge Keesley about it many, many, many years ago and just waiting on the right time in my life. I mean, I've got one daughter that's out of college and one's that -- she's a junior over at Carolina right now. And it's just the right time struck.
 - Q. On top of that, do you really think, though, that the experiences that you've gotten in that period since you first started bringing it up really have made you more aware of what the job entails and also maybe helped you develop in a way where if, by chance, sometimes, you know, people do get angry in the context of litigation, that we understand that as a judge, it's a different game -- ball game altogether.
 - A. Oh, yes, sir. I mean, like I said earlier, being a litigator is a complete different mind set than -- than being a judge. I mean, a judge -- hopefully -- hopefully, if I'm lucky enough to be elected that I'll be -- people will leave the courtroom understanding why what happened happened, and maybe not like it, but

1 be okay with it. 2 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you very much. 3 MR. SPRADLEY: Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the commission 5 comments or questions? All right, seeing none 6 then, Mr. Spradley, this will conclude this part 7 of the screening process. I do need to take a 8 moment, though, to remind you that pursuant to 9 the Commission's evaluative criteria, the 10 Commission expects candidates to follow the 11 letter as well as the spirit of the law. We will 12 view any violation or the appearance of 13 impropriety, as serious and potentially deserving 14 of very heavy weight in our screening 15 deliberations. The record will remain open until 16 the formal release of the report of 17 qualifications. And you could be called back at such time if the need were to arise. 18 And with 19 that, I thank you very much for being here today 2.0 and thanks to your family for joining us, and 21 thank you, sir, for offering for service to the State of South Carolina. 22 23 MR. SPRADLEY: Thank y'all. 24 (Off the record) 25 All right, ladies and gentlemen, we CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

1	will go back on the record. Before us now we
2	have Mr. Boyd Young, who is a candidate for the
3	circuit court bench Seat 16, which is an at large
4	seat. Do I have that all right, sir?
5	MR. YOUNG: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right, if you would please,
7	raise your right hand.
8	WHEREUPON:
9	BOYD YOUNG, being duly sworn and cautioned
10	to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
11	but the truth, testifies as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. There should be
13	documents in front of you. If you would, please,
14	take a look at those.
15	MR. YOUNG: I have.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal data
17	questionnaire and the sworn statement that you've
18	submitted to the commission?
19	MR. YOUNG: They are.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
21	that need to be made?
22	MR. YOUNG: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to our
24	entering those onto the record as part of your
25	sworn testimony?

MR. YOUNG: No, sir.
(EXHIBIT NO. 13 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (14 pages)
PDQ - Boyd Young)
(EXHIBIT NO. 14 MARKED FOR
IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages)
Sworn Statement - Boyd Young)
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. The Judicial Merit
Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated
your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry
has focused on the nine evaluative criteria and
has included a ballot box survey, a thorough
study of your application materials, verification
of your compliance with state ethics laws, search
of newspaper articles in which your name appears,
the study of previous screenings, and a check for
economic conflicts of interest. We have received
no affidavits filed in opposition to your
election and no witnesses are present to testify.
If you have any brief opening remarks, we'd be
happy to hear from you. Otherwise, I'll just
recognize staff counsel to begin questions.
MR. YOUNG: Please continue.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Mr. Davidson.
MR. DAVIDSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Young.

MR. YOUNG: Afternoon.

MR. DAVIDSON: Good to see you.

3 EXAMINATION

BY MR. DAVIDSON:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Young meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice. Mr. Young, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?
- Well, I have been a lawyer for 24 years. Α. those, I spent clerking. Twenty-three years I've spent trying cases in circuit court either in South In that time, I have spent a lot Carolina or Georgia. of time in front of a lot of very good jurists and learned a lot from them. I've also spent some time in front of some not so good jurists and have learned a lot from them as well. I have grown up in a household dedicated to leadership and service. I have spent my legal career in service to the citizens of South Carolina and I think I would be a good candidate to continue that on the bench.

Thank you, Mr. Young. The commission received 272 1 Q. 2 ballot box surveys regarding your candidacy with 67 3 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for 4 example, contained the following positive comments. 5 Mr Young listens to opposing viewpoints, cares about 6 the law, and makes careful decisions. He can handle 7 large caseloads and many employees and complicated 8 internal processes. He is a respected member of the 9 legal community and has a reputation for honesty, 10 intelligence, dependability, and hard work. Four of 11 the written comments expressed concerns. 12 those expressed concerns regarding impartiality based 13 on your work experience questioning the ability to be 14 impartial in criminal matters. What response would 15 you offer? I would say that over my career, I have tried cases in 16 Α. 17 every circuit in South Carolina, almost every county 18 in South Carolina, and the relationships that I have 19 developed with the prosecutors that I have worked with 20 and their support -- most of my letters of support are 21 from prosecutors that I have had cases against --22 signify that I can work well with both sides and will 23 not be disposed of being favorable to either side. 24 Thank you, Mr. Young. I would note that the Midlands Q.

25

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Young is

1 qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 2 qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. 3 And well qualified in the evaluative criteria of 4 ethical fitness, processional and academic ability, 5 character, reputation, experience, and judicial 6 The committee stated in summary no doubt temperament. 7 well qualified. Just a few housekeeping issues to run 8 through. Mr. Young, are you aware that as a judicial 9 candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina 10 11 Appellate Court rules?

- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 16 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including
 limitations on contacting members of the General
 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of

1 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 2 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 3 your behalf? 4 No, sir. Α. 5 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 6 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina 7 Code Section 2-19-70(e)? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Thank you, sir. Mr. Chairman, I would MR. DAVIDSON: 10 note for the record that any concerns raised 11 during the investigation by staff regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning 12 13 of the candidate today. I have no further 14 Thank you, Mr. Young. questions. 15 MR. YOUNG: Thank you. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 16 Thank you, sir. And, Mr. Young, before we go any further, I did not see that 17 18 there's a gentleman behind you who, though, 19 appearing in our reference letters may be someone 20 that you want to introduce to the Commission. 21 MR. YOUNG: Sure. I'd like to introduce my uncle, 22 Jeff Young. 23 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Judge, thank you for being here, 24 sir. 25 MR. J. YOUNG: Good to see y'all.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Glad to have you. Members of the 2 commission, questions or concerns? 3 Representative Jordan. 4 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Young. 8 Α. Good morning, Mr. Jordan. 9 Thank you for appearing before us and let me say I Q. 10 know you and your work history speaks for itself. 11 is tremendous on the criminal side not just in volume 12 but in complexity. You've handled some truly 13 difficult matters and have done so for a very long 14 But let's go ahead and jump on it. 15 about the civil. 16 Well, you know, the first time I ran four years ago, I Α. did not have a lot of civil experience. Any civil 17 18 experience I had was sort of quasi civil in a post 19 conviction relief aspect. Since that time, I have dedicated myself to attending civil CLEs, watching 20 21 civil court, and the more that I've watched civil court and the more CLEs that I attended, I realized 22 23 that what we're really doing in a capital case, or in 24 a death penalty case, is the first trial is a criminal 25 case and the second trial is really sort of a but for

causation trial about how an individual got to be where they are. And the more I watched civil trials, the more I recognized like this is what a capital case penalty trial is. It is a civil trial in finding those answers, those but for answers on how somebody ended up there. So while I recognize that I still need more civil experience and I do continue to judicially read the advance sheets every Wednesday at 10:00 and follow the cases, and attend as much court as I can. But that's what I think about.

- 11 Q. Tell me about some of the court you've attended.

 12 Motion hearings? Trials? What --
 - A. Trials. I generally take discovery over to the -fortunately enough my office is not far from the
 Richland County courthouse, -- and go -- sit and read
 discovery or read depositions or work on motions and
 just sit and watch cases. Most of them have been
 wreck cases and a med mal case.
 - Q. And when you say you watch the trial, you watch it all the way from jury selection and handling whatever pretrial motions? I mean, --
 - A. On the short cases, I am able to spend one or two days over there for a wreck case and watch it from start to finish. Other cases, I've had to go see a particular expert that I wanted to watch and how that was handled

or how that issue was handled and I would go watch that.

- Q. Let me ask you. What have you learned from watching those things as far as I'm sure you -- for instance, you just talked about qualifying an expert so you've seen some technical issues. What have you learned about the process of running court and seeing that first hand?
- A. I think the process in running civil court was really sort of -- what struck me was the way that they were able to limit the issues ahead of case so we're really narrowed and focused on particular issues to get those answers in a case and able to direct the evidence to that whereas in criminal cases it's always -- a lot of it is sort of all over the place and challenging everything. But the way that they were able to focus it in civil cases was what I learned a lot about.
- Q. So, and I'll wrap up. There might be other questions.

 But so you've, obviously, tried a ton of criminal cases.
- A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Now seeing a fair amount of civil court, is there a
 difference in your mind and opinion as to the judge's
 role in the process in those two different entities?
- 25 A. No, sir, I don't think that there is. I think the

1 judge is there to call balls and strikes and be a 2 neutral unattached umpire for the advocates for each 3 side. 4 What about -- how do you square, for instance, in a 5 criminal case jury finds someone guilty. Now the 6 sentencing is squarely in the hands of the judge. On 7 the civil side, do you think a judge ever has the 8 responsibility to dive into a verdict when they find 9 it to be -- I think I'm one of the rare lawyers in the 10 state I've had additur and remittitur granted against 11 So how does it -- how would you process that? me. 12 Α. Well, I've seen additur granted twice in cases and, 13 you know, I don't know how I'd personally feel making 14 that decision where a jury has said like you're at 15 fault and this is the amount. I think there are some 16 reasonable numbers and some unreasonable numbers there 17 on, you know, de minimus awards and stuff like that. 18 But -- so I think there are times where a judge has to 19 say well, that doesn't jive with what your assessment 20 was on liability and that needs to be a call that the 21 judge needs to be prepared to make. 22 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the commission, 24 questions or comments? Mr. Strom. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Representative MR. STROM:

1 Jordan, this candidate has run several times and 2 I just want to put a couple of things on -- on 3 The first time you ran, I spent a the record. 4 good bit of time talking to you about your 5 experience because a lot of us felt like death 6 penalty lawyers are kind of a different breed. 7 You know, a lot of us train in a solicitor's 8 office and go into private practice but like the 9 death penalty guys kind of do whatever to win 10 their case. And you really educated me about 11 that and specifically I remember you had a 12 letter, or maybe more than one letter, from the 13 victim's family member who you represented the 14 person who killed their relative, as their 15 And the victim's family wrote a letter lawver. 16 And in all of my years of serving on this Commission, I don't think I've seen anything 17 18 that's moved me as much as that because we're all 19 concerned about the perception of the judiciary, the perception of the Court, everybody needs to 20 21 have a fair trial, and all sides need to feel 22 like they're treated fairly. And when I see a 23 quy who's a death penalty lawyer get a letter 24 from a victim's family, that tells me just 25 volumes about you. And I thank you for doing

1 your part to uphold the reputation of the 2 judiciary while also advocating for your client. 3 MR. YOUNG: If I may respond because just a little. 4 You know, I think as a young lawyer, I started 5 off and I could have gone in prosecution or 6 It didn't really matter to me. defense. The 7 opening was in Charleston at the public 8 defender's office so that's where I went. 9 me it was about like winning the case but I 10 pretty quickly figured out that trying to win 11 cases at all costs is not what's in my client's 12 best interest. Particularly, in capital cases 13 that I've -- since I've started doing capital 14 cases, I have more letters from victims to me 15 about reaching out, keeping the informed, telling 16 them what's going on, telling them why we're 17 doing what we're doing, explaining the process. 18 You might not like me, I understand you 19 absolutely hate my client and that's fine, but 2.0 just engaging in dialogue with them. You know, 21 nobody wants to come to court. Something has 22 happened that people aren't going to be happy 23 But I think through dialogue and 24 entertaining that, we can all be better and not 25 just advocates against each other. Not just

adversarial but working together to find the best solutions.

MR. STROM: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Chairman Rankin.

EXAMINATION

BY SENATOR RANKIN:

- Q. Quick question. Hope it will be quick. At the outset, you said you had been in front of both good and bad, my words not yours, but jurists both of whom in those categories that you have learned from. Not who but what have you learned from the good and what have you learned from the bad. What is about it the good? What are their attributes? And the bad.
- A. I think the good ones are consistency and predictability. The judges who are on time, running court, you know what they're going to do, you know what they're going to say, you know how they're going to move through the case has been what has been the best factor in the judges that I've worked with in moving a lot of cases. In a -- you know, in a week long docket, I've seen 250/300 cases get moved by a judge in a docket week. And then not as good jurists are people that are unpredictable or people who get emotional or get emotionally involved on one side or the other. And I think you've got to have a very even

- temperament, you've got be able to take criticism, and make hard decisions, and move forward.
 - Q. What does emotionally involved mean?
- A. I think anger, showing frustration, demonstrating
 frustration from the beach, and being angry at
 litigants or advocates on either side diminishes their
 ability to effectively move court and it --
- 8 Q. Excuse me.

3

- 9 A. Go ahead.
- Q. Consistency on the other side is a positive attribute.

 If you are consistently lenient on criminal sentences,

 is that a consistency that is -- and, again, pick

 either side.
- 14 | A. Sure.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. If you are consistent because you are known to be the judge who does blank, is that -- that is a positive attribute because everybody will know what you're going to get?
- A. Well, I think consistency on following recommendations or negotiations is key to moving a lot of cases in front of a judge. Whether or not you're a consistent sentencer, I think you do want to try and make sure that everybody that comes before you on a similar fact pattern is getting relatively the same sentence. Now, that doesn't mean that I think you need to be

consistently light or not. I mean, one of the reasons
I want to be a judge is I want safe communities for my
family and -- and my children as well. I don't think
that everybody ought to get probation. I don't want
to get too far into what -- like how would I sentence
on a particular case, but I think there needs to be
consequences for people but those consequences need to
consistently applied.

- Q. I don't do any criminal. I do do civil and I want to kind of delve down on your response to Representative Jordan about your aversion, effectively, to get in and become the 13th juror. Not specifically about should you or should you not grant additur or remittitur but is a judge not more than a effective rubber stamper who, either on the criminal side y'all got a deal, negotiated or recommended, boom, next or on the civil jury verdict, boom, next. You follow my question.
- A. Yes, sir, I do. And yeah, no, a criminal -- a judge, whether criminal or civil, is not a rubber stamper.

 They need to be an evaluator of the deal, the offer, the agreement, whatever it is to make sure that it is being consistent -- consistently applied -- does that sort of make sense -- across the board. I don't think a judge needs to be a rubber stamp. I think that the judge needs to be in a position to evaluate it also on

1 sort of a public policy equality. 2 SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you. 3 Other comments or questions for Mr. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 4 Well, Mr. Young, I'll just add here. 5 Obviously, because of our protocols regarding 6 anonymity, we can't give you all of the ballot 7 box surveys but I think that I would be remiss if 8 I didn't point out here that you should be very 9 proud of the reputation you've earned. 10 comments and -- I'll just mention here that you 11 had 272 respondents which is not always the case. 12 Obviously, you know, some folks are in more rural 13 areas and they come across less lawyers who are 14 able to, you know, add feedback. But the volume 15 of complimentary remarks here stands out with 16 respect to your -- your intellect, your knowledge 17 of the law, and your demeanor and disposition, 18 the way you treat people. So I just want to 19 mention that to you here. Seeing no further 2.0 comments or questions, that will conclude this 21 portion of our screening process. I do need to 22 remind you that pursuant to the Commission's 23 evaluative criteria, the Commission expects 24 candidates to follow the spirit as well as the 25 letter of the law. And that we will view any

1	violation or the appearance of impropriety as
2	very heavy and deserving potentially of serious -
3	- or heavy weight in our screening deliberations.
4	The record will remain open until the formal
5	release of the qualifications report. And you
6	may be called back if such need were to arise.
7	So thank you for being here today, sir, and thank
8	you for offering for service to the state of
9	South Carolina.
10	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, everyone.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: On motion of Mr. Rutherford,
12	seconded by Representative Jordan, the pending
13	question is going into executive session. All in
14	favor signify by saying aye.
15	MEMBERS: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All those nay. The ayes have it.
17	And we will go into executive session. Thank you
18	very much.
19	(Executive Session was held from 12:20 to 1:16 pm)
20	(Off the record)
21	SENATOR RANKIN: We'll pick up our next contestant for
22	family court our next candidate. I want to
23	welcome Jerrod Anderson. And are you doing
24	okay. All right. Welcome, Mr. Anderson.
25	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

1	SENATOR RANKIN: Raise your right hand, if you will.
2	WHEREUPON:
3	JERRORD ANDERSON, being duly sworn and
4	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
5	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
6	SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. You have before you the
7	PDQ and Sworn Statement that you have prepared.
8	Are those ready to go into the record without
9	objections on your part?
10	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
11	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
12	Lindi, we'll put them in the record, without
13	objection.
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 15 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (12 pages)
16	PDQ - Mr. Anderson)
17	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 MARKED FOR
18	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
19	Amendment to PDQ - Mr. Anderson)
20	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 MARKED FOR
21	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
22	Sworn Statement)
23	SENATOR RANKIN: And Mr. Anderson, you are familiar
24	with this process now, coming through the various
25	stages. But you know that our evaluation

1 includes the nine evaluative criteria that we are 2 searching and focused on as we vet your 3 candidacy. Those include the ballot box survey, 4 a study of your application materials, 5 verification of your compliance with state ethics 6 laws, search of newspaper articles in which your 7 name appears, and checks for economic conflicts 8 of interest. No affidavits have been filed in 9 opposition to your election, and no witnesses are 10 present to testify. You have the opportunity to 11 make a brief statement to start this process, 12 otherwise, if you want to waive and revisit that 13 at the end, you can do so. 14 MR. ANDERSON: I would waive at this time. 15 SENATOR RANKIN: All right. We're going to turn it 16 over to staff counsel for questions, and then 17 members of the Commission as they may deem fit as 18 well. Thank you. 19 MR. COHL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. COHL: 22 Mr. Anderson, please state for the record the city and 0. 23 circuit in which you reside. 24 City, Orangeburg, circuit, First Judicial Circuit of Α. South Carolina. 25

1 Q. Thank you. 2 SENATOR RANKIN: Is your light on there? 3 No, it's not. Hello. Is that better? MR. ANDERSON: 4 SENATOR RANKIN: Much better. Thank you. 5 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir. 6 MR. COHL: Mr. Chairman, I note, for the record, that 7 based on the testimony contained in the 8 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 9 record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Anderson 10 meets the statutory requirements for this 11 position regarding age, residence and years of 12 practice. 13 Mr. Anderson, why do want to serve as a family court 0. 14 judge, and why do you feel that your legal and 15 professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge? 16 17 Α. I believe it's a calling. I've had dealings in family 18 court for over 24 years. I've had the pleasure of 19 serving the public. I've had the pleasure of serving my clients. And I believe that with that experience, 20 21 I would be an asset and one that could help move that 22 docket like it should be moved, however, preserving 23 justice and meeting the needs of our community and our 24 public. Thank you. 25 Are there any areas of the law for which you would Q.

need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge and how would you handle that additional preparation?

- A. I've had experience in all the areas that are pertinent to meeting the criteria of adjudicating issues before the Court. I know that I don't know it all. I know that I would take advantage of researching case law and statutes to meet the issues that are presented before the Court. I know also that I could ask opposing counsels to brief and submit their stance on the issues to get even a more keen and another look at the issues that come before the Court. So I am open to learn, however, I do believe, with my experience and my education, that I could meet the needs of the demands of the bench.
- Q. Thank you. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. Okay. I think the most complex case that I've dealt with was termination of parental rights. I've been a prosecutor for DSS. I have defended parents in those actions. And I believe that they're complex because they deal with a grave right that is -- that is being questioned to be terminated. So you balance the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statute which looks at criteria if there's enough evidence to prove by clear and convincing evidence from 15 out of the last 22 months that the child's been in foster care to failure to remedy the conditions that led to the removal of the child from that environment in a reasonable time. You have to weigh the evidence and present it and test it, you know, from both sides. Secondly, there's a criteria of best interest for the child. And so while we might have what we need to terminate those rights, is this really in the child's best interest. And there have been situations where, one, the child does not want to be adopted and they're at an age where the Court gives serious weight to their recommendation. And so it's not in the child's best interest, even though we do meet the criteria to terminate those parental rights. And so, on a case-by-case basis, the number of children that are involved in the household, there's just many criteria, many factors that weigh into whether or not this act should be taken, and also, is it suitable in this specific situation. So I would say that's highly complex, given the gravity of the right that's at issue. Secondly, I've dealt with divorces that deal with equitable division of marital property where numbers play a key factor in developing 1 what is the marital portion and what -- how should you 2 appropriate it to the parties. It's equivalent to 3 taking something, shattering it and spreading the 4 But if you have many -- a business and you 5 have retirements, it can get quite complex, however, 6 you have to find the asset, value the asset, determine 7 whether or not it's a separate property or marital 8 property and then apportion it according to the laws 9 of the state.

- Q. Thank you.
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Anderson, the Commission received 91 ballot box surveys regarding you with 9 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: "Mr. Anderson has a variety of experiences in family court." "He would be an excellent addition to the bench." "His knowledge and temperament would make him an asset to the judiciary." No comments indicated a pattern for I would note that the Lowcountry Citizens Committee found Mr. Anderson to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability. The Committee found him well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability,

- 1 character, reputation, experience and judicial 2 temperament. The Committee stated, in summary: 3 "Dedicated, empathetic, calm, good integrity, 4 tremendous desire to help and protect children, wide 5 experience, and would make a great family court 6 judge." Mr. Anderson, are you aware that as a 7 judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of 8 Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 9 Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 10 A. I am aware.
- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 14 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 18 | A. I am.
- 19 Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you
 20 sought or received a pledge of any legislator either
 21 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
 22 screening?
- 23 A. No, I have not.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware

1 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 2 your behalf? 3 I have not, and I am unaware of any third parties Α. 4 trying to. 5 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 6 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina 7 Code Section 2-19-70(E). 8 Α. Yes. 9 Thank you. I would note -- I would just MR. COHL: 10 note for the record that any concerns raised 11 during the investigation regarding the candidate 12 were incorporated into the questioning of the 13 candidate today. 14 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 15 SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Thank you, sir. 16 Questions by members of the Committee? SENATOR TALLEY: 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. TALLEY: 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Anderson. 0. 21 Good afternoon, sir. Α. 22 You made a comment in response to one of Mr. Cohl's 0. 23 questions you thought you would be an asset in helping 24 move the docket. 25 Yes, sir. Α.

Q. What do you mean by that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- What I mean is that you have a volume of cases that Α. are filed and everyone has an opportunity and a right to be heard as to the issues that are presented before the Court. Sometimes, that docket could be slowed by many variables. I'll put -- But if a matter has been filed and it's a scheduled time to have a hearing, and that matter is continued, that just adds to the congestion of the docket. So I believe that there should be good cause for that continuance and I would hold that in a high standard according to the law before a continuance is given. Sometimes, you have complex cases that have many issues that involve experts sometimes. And so different timetables present different variables which could increase the congestion of a docket. So I would do what I could to make sure that the docket is run efficiently so we can move the dockets and people could get the justice as to the issues that are presented before the Court.
- Q. And that leads to another question. Temporary hearings take up a lot of time on family court dockets, as I'm sure you're well aware.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And so to your point about moving cases and the issues involved, but also realizing that those temporary

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

hearings at times are anything but temporary and the lives of people that come before a family court judge, whether it's custody, whether it's financial, whatever the case may be. How would you approach temporary hearings and what would be your overall approach to that?

Well, I think that good representation has a great Α. idea of what type of time is necessary to hear a So they would have a good temperament as to if we need 15 minutes for this temporary hearing as opposed to 30 minutes, as opposed to more time. So if it is scheduled for 15 minutes, that's a signal that the parties have spoken, we have an agreement that we could capture and have before the Court and adjudicate it within a reasonably quick time. So giving the docket flexibility as to agreements, you don't need a lot of wiggle room. But if you get into 30 minutes and above, maybe we need to schedule a little bit more flexibility because we might run over. So I think that we need to try to move the docket efficiently, but schedule it accordingly, and give the parties an opportunity to be heard. Also, a lot of the attorneys see the issues before they come to court. know, we could have a good idea of trying to boil it down and move the docket and give it just enough time

1 to be heard and have it ordered -- have it weighed and 2 ruled upon and so we can move to the next case. I 3 think that all domestic relations are imperative and 4 important, especially when you're dealing with 5 families and children, vulnerable adults. 6 believe that when you're dealing with a person, you 7 have to have a balance. You have to be open to 8 hearing what are the needs. You have to prioritize 9 those needs. And you have to move efficiently, but 10 giving care to those who need it and the time to 11 examine it and investigate it to make sure that, while we rule, it impacts the family like it should impact 12 13 the family.

- 14 Q. You talked about TPR cases --
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- and mentioned involving sort of the interest of the child through the child's words. When is that appropriate?
 - A. I think what the child has to say when they're able to communicate is always important. I believe it's up to the trier of fact to give it the weight that it needs in a certain situation given the circumstance and given the facts that are presented. I think that the law gives the child's words even more weight, depending on the issue, at age 14 and up, okay, until

1	they reach majority. So again, the guardian ad litem
2	who is brought in to look out for the best interest of
3	the child, one of the core requirements is what are
4	the wishes of the child? And so the guardian is the
5	one that usually investigates that and presents that.
6	But of course, any party that is aware, on some level,
7	can present that. So I would say all the time, when
8	they communicate it, but it's a question of what
9	weight you give it, given the issues before the Court.
10	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, sir.
11	MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
12	SENATOR RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
13	MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	EXAMINATION
15	BY MR. SAFRAN:
16	Q. Mr. Anderson, I've been very impressed
17	A. I'm sorry, I can't hear you.
18	Q. Can you hear me now?
19	A. Yes, very well, sir.
20	Q. You speak very eloquently.
21	A. Thank you.
22	Q. And you know, I think you certainly have a very good
23	core in terms of what you see as kind of the priority
24	in the family court. I heard a couple of things
25	and again, as somebody who hasn't done family court in

a lot of years, you know, I may be wrong about some things, so correct me if you think that I am. Okay?

A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Basically, when you're talking those 15-minute 0. hearings, my understanding is the reason those temporary hearings are set for 15 minutes is is because, number one, if they ask for any more time, they're not going to get in. And so as a practical matter, all those temporary hearings, even the ones that are contested, that aren't more or less the result of agreement, are still going to be in that same time slot. You know, I hear you saying, correctly, I believe, that you've got to be in a situation where there is more time often needed. mean, how do you balance where they're pushing you from a docket situation saying move, move, move, but at the same time, you recognize -- and again, rightfully -- that there are far more things that need to be addressed than 15 minutes is going to necessarily allow you to do.
- A. Got you. Well, I would think that we need to prioritize the needs of the families that are before the Court, especially the children, and we go from there. I recall a certain case that I was prosecuting on behalf of DSS where the judge had a probable cause

1 hearing, which are scheduled for 15 minutes, and my 2 client had to come back two other times that week 3 because there were certain pressing needs that this 4 child needed -- or these children needed. And I liked 5 the way this judge handled and prioritized the needs of these children while maintaining a docket and we 6 7 moved Heaven and earth to make sure we were back in 8 front of this judge to make sure we could report and 9 not misrepresent the facts that were -- and can 10 address the concerns of the judge. So I learned right 11 there that we need to make sure that we do what we do 12 by maintaining the laws of this state, but 13 prioritizing what's important, that is the needs, in 14 this case, of the child. So I think from that build, 15 if you will, and prioritizing, I think we can preserve 16 what's important.

- Q. Well, I think we would all agree that when you're dealing with situations involving the children, particularly their safety and it's exigent, then certainly, you've got to do that. Okay?
- A. Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. But I think what maybe Senator Talley was alluding to is that it's become pretty well common knowledge that when you go in there on a temporary hearing, it's all there on paper. It may or may not get read while

- 1 they're in there with the judge.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 And that, as a result of what's on that paper, maybe 0. 4 who writes the best affidavit, 95-plus percent of that 5 case is going to ultimately be decided based on that 6 temporary order, whether we want to acknowledge that 7 or not. I think, as a practical matter, that's what 8 So again, recognizing that we're looking at 9 situations where children are in danger, that's 10 obviously going to be number one priority. But these 11 children are ultimately impacted by what happens in 12 that temporary hearing, even if they're not in danger 13 in the moment. So how do we still reconcile it with 14 those cases?
- 15 | A. Wow.
- 16 | Q. Listen, I'm not --
- 17 | A. Uh-huh.
- 18 | Q. -- beating you up. I'm --
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 Q. -- just asking, because --
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. -- I look at it this way, as somebody who is viewing
 23 from the outside, to me, one of the most basic flaws
 24 of the way we handle family court cases is exactly
 25 that: that we basically afford the least amount of

1

2

3

45

6

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 A. Yeah.

time to the most important hearing. So I'm just asking you how do you kind of weight that and balance it out?

- Well, I think that all the evidence should be considered. I think that the ruling in a temporary hearing is just that temporary relief. There is no doubt that your temporary relief does not prejudice your permanent position. However, parties get used to certain way of doing things. And so may it be negotiated, may it have a history that -- one that everybody can agree upon and maybe it meets the best interest, because it's working, you stick to that formula. But I think that the judge can only weigh what's in -- what they've been presented, the evidence properly presented, and they can only rule in situations where, number one, it's contested, or number two, the parties have worked it out and they believe that it's a reasonable settlement. So I just think that it takes, you know, the advocate on behalf of the parties. It takes a judiciary that properly weighs it according -- and rules according to South Carolina law. There's just a lot of factors in it, so T --
- Q. No, and I understand.

- Q. I mean, nobody can make you come in here and commit to an absolute answer.
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. I think what I'm hearing from you is you recognize
 that there's a problem and that there has to be some
 way for you to more or less, in deciding how you're
 going to do it, even if it may be bringing them back
 later in the week, if it's substantial enough, you
 recognize that would be an option for you.
- 10 A. Yes, sir. And I would move to be part of the solution and not the problem.
- 12 MR. SAFRAN: All right. Thank you very much.
- 13 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
- 14 | SENATOR RANKIN: Other questions?
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY SENATOR RANKIN:
- 17 Q. Mr. Anderson, couple of quick ones on my part.
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. You submitted a couple of writing samples that I note.
- 20 One is from 2011 and the other is 2012.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I don't -- forgive me for not knowing whether we asked for the writing sample, whether it is in the
- 24 | last five years. But without -- unless you -- and
- 25 staff can correct me, but why did you choose those two

- as one and unopposed draft with a pro se litigant and then the other looked to be a temporary order.
- A. I think it was the area that it covered. An secondly, fatigue. You know, I was getting that application in and so I chose what I, you know, felt was relevant to what I believe you asked me for. And so that's why I did submit those. But I do have other more current ones that I can submit supplement.
- 9 Q. And I'm not quibbling with it, because --
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. -- I don't know, as I preface what we decide or tell
 12 the candidates' --
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. -- timeliness of. But I'm curious then looking to your most significant litigated cases --
- 16 | A. Uh-huh.
- Q. -- each of which are DSS cases where you prosecuted or you defended?
- A. Well, I've worn three hats, okay. And again, I've prosecuted on behalf of DSS. I did that between -- I think it was July of 2004 and September of 2006, so over two years. I did a lot of defense work after that as part of my private practice.
- Q. And to help you -- not help you, but the dates of these five are 2022 Green, 2012 Young, 2023 Jeter.

- 1 I'm just giving the last names -- 2019 Cattles.
- 2 | A. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. And then again Cattles in 2022.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So you would've been defending those from, you said,
 6 '16 forward, or you would've been --
- 7 Well, okay. Presently -- I did prosecute for DSS Α. 8 again on a private contract in 2016. So yes, I was --9 in that timeframe, I was prosecuting for a small stint 10 for DSS. Presently, I am counsel for the quardian ad 11 -- volunteer quardian ad litem program. So I'm sorry 12 that -- I've had dozens if not hundreds of cases that 13 I've dealt with for DSS from wearing a hat as 14 prosecutor, from wearing a hat as defense counsel, and 15 also as wearing a hat as counsel for the guardian ad 16 litem who's appointed to look out for the best interest of the child. 17
- 18 Q. So let me interrupt, just briefly, not to linger here,
 19 but --
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- these cases that you've cited again, 2020 -- well, in fact, all -- so 2019 forward --
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. -- are you the guardian in these three?
- 25 A. Yes. I was counsel on behalf -- I think I started my

1 quardian ad litem stint end of '19 into 2020 to the 2 present. So I could've been the counsel for the 3 quardian ad litem. Crazy thing is, for instance, 4 Cattles has a history that involves nine children and 5 has a history where this person got the children back. 6 I prosecuted early, years before on that case. 7 so, you know, I wore a -- I was prosecuting way back 8 in the day in '06, -- '07 -- wait, sorry, '04, '05, 9 '06, around that time. So I'm sorry. That's why it's 10 kind of a blur, because I kind of touched it because 11 of the history, given the size of the county and the -12 - I wouldn't say frequency, but it's not uncommon for 13 people to have multiple cases, multiple children. 14 so it can be kind of slippery given all the factors of 15 in and out, different children at different times. 16 different circumstances.

- Q. In terms -- and I apologize -- to describe your recollection with these five cases --
- 19 A. Uh-huh.

17

- 20 Q. -- is that what you're saying is --
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Okay. And that's fine.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. That's fine.
- 25 A. Uh-huh. I'm sorry.

- 1 Q. To the quick question, hopefully, a quick answer --
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- or as briefly as you can, submission of affidavits
- 4 by warring parents at a temporary --
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. -- hearing, have you represented either father or
- 7 mother in that context in the last five years?
- 8 | A. I have.
- 9 Q. In a private, not for appointed case.
- 10 A. I have. The couple was not married and my client was
- 11 the mother who had physical custody of the child.
- 12 Q. And I'm going to stop you, because I don't want get --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- too much in the weeds.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. So in that case, you had affidavits for maintaining
- 17 the custodial arrangement and those opposing from the
- 18 | father -- or the -- yeah, the father, but not the
- 19 husband.
- 20 A. I represent the mother that wanted to maintain
- 21 custody, yes, sir. And father was represented by
- 22 | local counsel who I've been fighting against, or if
- 23 you will, opposing counsel too many of times.
- 24 | Q. Y'all submitted affidavits.
- 25 A. We did, sir.

- Q. And the judge considered those and ruled from the bench upon sitting and reading those or thereafter?

 Which?
- 4 A. She did. She --
- 5 Q. Which?
- 6 A. She read them and then ruled.
- 7 Q. From the bench.
- 8 A. From the bench, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And is that your preference? Do you think that gives
 10 the parties the flavor and the time for the judge to
 11 sit and absorb and then read? Is that the way you
 12 plan to do it if you're successful?
- 13 A. Definitely. If it's properly presented, I will
 14 definitely weigh it -- read it and consider it and
 15 rule, yes, sir.
- 16 Q. What are you least looking forward to hearing if you're successful in this role?
- 18 Α. Being a father, you know, you talk about being 19 responsible for something and something else. But until that person comes in the world, you really don't 20 21 know the boundaries of your selfishness. And so I 22 believe that a child not only deserves another chance 23 and another chance, but needs help, nurturing and 24 I don't look forward to when trust is protection. 25 broken and children are victimized. I don't look

1 forward to that. However, there is a need to protect 2 and adjudicate issues -- those issues, and I will be 3 more than willing and ready and educated to do so. 4 SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you. Any other questions? All 5 right. Mr. Anderson, I did offer you opening 6 remarks. You now have the opportunity, if you'd 7 like, to make any closing remarks. We'd welcome 8 those at this time and --9 MR. ANDERSON: I would just like to thank you for your time and thank you for the opportunity. 10 11 SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. Hold on one 12 second --13 MR. ANDERSON: Oh, yes, sir. 14 -- I want to put a couple of things SENATOR RANKIN: 15 on the record. Again, pursuant to the evaluative 16 criteria, in addition to those considerations I 17 addressed at the outset, be aware, as you know, 18 we are very much sticklers to both the letter and 19 the spirit of the ethics law. Any violation 2.0 thereof or the appearance of impropriety would be 21 deemed very serious by us and potentially 22 deserving our calling you back should that 23 occasion arise, which we certainly don't expect. 24 I need you, though, sir, to understand and affirm 25 that this record is not closed until the formal

1	release of the Report of Qualifications. In
2	which case, if there were such an occasion, you
3	understand, do you not, that we can call you
4	back?
5	MR. ANDERSON: I understand and affirm.
6	SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Anderson.
7	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
8	SENATOR RANKIN: Happy week to you.
9	MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.
10	(OFF THE RECORD)
11	S ENAMOD DANKIN: All wight Mg Cross Wolgons Wo
12	ENATOR RANKIN: All right, Ms. Gray. Welcome. We are a few minutes behind. You were supposed to
13	be at 1:30, see, and I apologize that we have
14	taken 16 minutes into your schedule. You will
15	have all the time you need to make any answers
16	you need. First, let me ask you to raise your
17	right hand.
18	WHEREUPON:
19	DEANNE M. GRAY, being duly sworn and
20	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
21	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
22	SENATOR RANKIN: You have before you the PDQ and Sworn
23	Statement. Are those ready to be put into the
24	record without addition or correction?
25	MS. GRAY: They are.

1	SENATOR RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to
2	Lindi. You are well familiar with this process,
3	and welcome back.
4	MS. GRAY: Thank you.
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (15 pages)
7	PDQ - Ms. Gray)
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (8 pages)
10	Sworn Statement)
11	SENATOR RANKIN: For the record, you understand,
12	obviously, our investigation of your candidacy.
13	It focuses on those nine evaluative criteria,
14	including the ballot box survey, a thorough study
15	of your application materials, verification of
16	your compliance with state ethics laws, search of
17	newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
18	study of previous screens and then finally, check
19	for economic conflicts of interest. No
20	affidavits have been filed in opposition to your
21	election. No sworn statements or witnesses have
22	been filed against you and are present to
23	testify. The floor is yours to make a brief
24	opening statement, or to Mr. Gentry, we will go
25	with questions, and then I'll give you that

1 opportunity, if you choose, to waive it now. 2 MS. GRAY: I'll waive it now. 3 Thank you. All right, Mr. Gentry. SENATOR RANKIN: 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. GENTRY: 6 Hi, Ms. Gray. Please state for the record the city 0. 7 and circuit in which you reside. I currently reside in Summerville, South Carolina, 8 9 which is in the First Judicial Circuit. 10 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that MR. GENTRY: 11 based on the testimony contained in the 12 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the 13 record with the candidate's consent, Ms. Gray 14 meets the statutory requirements for this 15 position regarding age, residence and years of 16 practice. Ms. Gray, why do you want to serve as a family court 17 Q. 18 judge and why do you feel like your legal and 19 professional experience so far qualifies you to be a 20 family court judge? 21 I didn't come to family law with that intent when I Α. 22 entered law school. I somewhat fell into it at the 23 Court of Appeals. None of the other clerks wanted to 24 deal with family law cases, so they kind of got 25 shifted to me, and that's where I developed my love of

1 family law. And now, I wouldn't practice any other 2 type of law other than family law. My family has 3 always raised me to be civic minded and help my 4 I am the spouse of a retired Marine. 5 father was in the Navy. I've worked for the County of 6 Charleston as an assistant solicitor and spent almost 7 a decade with the Department of Social Services. 8 Family court, in my opinion, is the court that 9 citizens of South Carolina are most likely to have 10 impact their individual lives, whether that's through 11 DJJ or DSS or names changes, adoptions; unfortunately, 12 divorces and separations. I feel that the family 13 court has the most impact on the individuals in the 14 community and that's why I seek to serve in the family 15 court. And feel that my background and qualifications 16 not only as an assistant solicitor in family court, 17 but as a DSS attorney, now in private practice. Along 18 with being a Marine Corp spouse and being exposed to 19 so many different types of people, backgrounds, leads 20 me to a unique perspective as a family court judge. 21 Excuse me. 22 Are there any areas of the law which you need Q. 23 additional preparation in order to serve as a family 24 court judge, and how would you handle that additional

25

preparation?

A. I don't feel I need any additional preparation at this time. However, it's always important for all attorneys and sitting judges to maintain their continuing legal education and being up to date on things. So that is always something that I will maintain and strive for to make sure that I'm up to date on the most current topics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters, and specifically, discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- As a family court prosecutor, I did do a waiver Α. hearing involving a young man who was charged with criminal sexual conduct in Charleston County. We did take the waiver hearing to its completion. He was waived up by the family court. As a DSS attorney, I handled many termination of parental rights actions, some near the end that were actually -- there were private adoptions filed at the same time. So it was not just a DSS action, but it was also including a private TPR and adoption action as well. Now, in private practice, especially with the complex financial matters, I have handled cases where you're looking at retirement funds, realty, things of that nature, that are over a million dollar mark.

The

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

currently have a case, complex-wise, both financial and otherwise, where there is a DSS allegation against one of the parents. There is also now a separation and divorce action pending. And in addition, there's some mismanagement accusations regarding a trust that one of the spouses was in charge of. So having to unroll that trust and those funds to determine what is marital and what is not, before we look at dividing aspects, is another complex matter that I'm currently involved in.

The Commission received 164 ballot box surveys 0. regarding Ms. Gray, with 30 additional comments. ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Excellent trial lawyer with a good understanding of family law. She would be a wonderful addition to family court. Her wealth of knowledge in the family court, a well-rounded attorney and great temperament. There were no comments that indicated a pattern for concern. I would also note that the Low Country Citizens Committee found Ms. Gray to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability, and well qualified in the evaluative

ability, character, reputation, experience and

criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic

1 judicial temperament. Additionally, the Committee 2 stated, in summary, in all caps with three exclamation marks, HIGHLY QUALIFIED!!! Would make a great family 3 4 court judge. Organized, compassionate, great comments 5 from her peers. Ms. Gray, are you aware that as a 6 judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of 7 Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 8 Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

- 9 A. Yes, I am.
- 10 Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you
 11 contacted any members of the Commission about your
 12 candidacy?
- 13 A. Other than just introducing myself, no.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with --
- 15 A. Oh, excuse me. You said of the Commission. No, I have not.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the South Carolina Code
 Section 2-19-70 including the limitations on
 contacting members of the General Assembly regarding
 your screening?
- 21 A. I am.
- 22 Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you
 23 sought or received a pledge of any legislator either
 24 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
 25 screening?

1 Α. No, I have not. 2 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of Q. 3 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 4 of anyone attempting to intervene in the process on 5 your behalf? 6 I have not, and I am not aware of anyone. Α. 7 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 8 Commission's guidelines on pledging on South Carolina 9 Code Section 2-19-70, Subsection E? 10 Yes. Α. 11 I would just note for the record that any MR. GENTRY: concerns raised during the investigation 12 13 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 14 the questioning of the candidate today. 15 Chairman, I have no further questions. 16 SENATOR RANKIN: Okay. Thank you. Questions by 17 members of the Commission? Senator Talley. 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. TALLEY: 20 Q. Ms. Gray, good afternoon. 21 Good afternoon. Α. Nice to see you again. I think one of the things that 22 23 came up when you were before the Commission previously 24 was in regards to your private practice experience

25

and now, obviously, we see that for about two years,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

you have done that. So I'm just curious, going from your DSS role to private practice, what was the biggest learning curve? I think the biggest learning curve was client control,

so to speak. Most people assume that as a DSS attorney, I didn't have much client control, with their preconceived notions of DSS. I had been there for almost a decade. I had worked really hard to get things organized and I had a really good working relationship with my clients. I've been blessed working for the firm that I have. I am given complete control over whether I'm accepting a client or not accepting a client. But the biggest learning curve for me was learning to be, for lack of a better word, somewhat confrontational with my private clients to make sure that they were telling me the truth. have some clients who were very wary, whether it my gender or my background, of telling me the truth about their extramarital activities and trying to make sure that they knew it was important to talk to me first and I, above all else, need to know the truth so I can best represent them. The first year, it was a lot of that aspect of learning how to advocate for my clients, but also learn how to kind of confront when necessary to get the best outcome and how to really

1 listen to them and have them listen to me as well. 2 an attorney for DSS and even the state, our clients 3 are somewhat captive that that's their job. 4 private clients, a lot of times, they have many other 5 things going on in their lives, so we have to track 6 them down, hunt them down, and try and express to them 7 how important it is to give us what we need as soon as 8 possible so that we can prepare the best case for 9 them. 10

- Q. And now that you've been on the private side, I'm assuming you've handled several temporary hearings --
- 12 | A. Yes.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- as part of that. A lot of times, with family court candidates, we hear about docket management being efficient, which I think everybody agrees is something that needs to happen. But now having had that experience, how, generally, would you see yourself handling temporary hearings?
- A. I think it's important to maintain a schedule as much as possible. In family court, it's -- the citizens need to feel comfortable bringing those issues to the family court. But I also think it's important to maintain the dignity and integrity of the family court so it doesn't turn into a free-for-all. There are -- sometimes, I've been in temporary hearings that the

parties -- it's a lot more conversational, which I think sometimes can lead to an extended time for that temporary hearing. There are judges that are currently sitting that are more strict with it, plaintiff gets five minutes, defense gets five minutes. I'll allow you a rebuttal and really keeps to the 15-minute time limit. Now, if something comes up in that time that needs to be addressed, definitely need to address that. But I think it's important to maintain that integrity of the schedule, not just for the clients, but also for the other attorneys who are waiting. Everybody's time is valuable. So although all issues need to be looked at and examined, we also need to be mindful of people's time as well.

- Q. That kind of ties back to your comments about client control as well.
- A. Exactly. And that's why they need to tell me ahead of time if there's issues I need to know about so I don't get in court and then all of a sudden, the Court's asking me Does your client's girlfriend have this or that, when he hasn't even told me he has a girlfriend despite my numerous requests. So that's additional time that we need to do to answer those questions.
- Q. Thank you.

25 | SENATOR RANKIN: Other questions?

1 EXAMINATION

- 2 BY SENATOR RANKIN:
- 3 Q. All right, Ms. Gray, I'm -- just a last question.
- 4 | A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. Obviously, referencing to your private practice, now, since '22, I think.
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When did you join the firm?
- 9 A. January of 2022.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you are approximately, what, a month and a half shy of two years under the belt.
- 12 | A. Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Why now? What makes you better and more qualified and ready to serve if you are successful in this process?
 - A. Honestly, this process -- this is now my fourth time seeing y'all. Some of it's been enjoyable, some of it's been rough. But I think it's been a learning experience, has made me really examine not only my own background and qualifications, how others in the community see me. Some of the first ballot boxes I got, I had to sit back and it -- it's good. Because they're anonymous, it lets me know how people feel about me or how they perceive me. And that's actually one of my first hearings here, I admitted I'm somewhat of an introvert, which seems odd being, you know, a

1 trial litigation attorney and family law, but I'm 2 slightly shy. But it was causing people not to feel 3 like I wanted to connect with them or be interested in 4 Through this process, I've also traveled to 5 many delegation meetings. I've probably seen more of 6 the state of South Carolina during this process than 7 any other time. We were just at Aiken High School. 8 They have a new auditorium that they just opened 9 If you haven't see it, it's worth the trip. 10 But it's been a learning experience, because in 11 sitting in some of those meetings, I've learned more 12 about those communities that I may be holding court 13 So I think this process, above all else, has with. 14 led me to those experiences that have only helped me 15 grow as an attorney, an individual, and, hopefully, 16 prepared me for the bench. 17

- Q. Very good. All right.
- 18 SENATOR RANKIN: Mr. Safran.
- 19 EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. SAFRAN:
- 21 Ms. Gray, it's good to see you again. 0.
- 22 Α. You too.
- 23 And I know we've had this conversation. 0.
- 24 Uh-huh. Α.
- 25 Just following up on what Senator Talley and Senator 0.

Rankin said, I think maybe what were focused on -- and I know it may sound like a broken record, because I know I was focused on it before. Is it -- having spent two years dealing with clients that really are not entities --

5

6

3

4

Uh-huh. Α.

7 8

9

-- or people that, you know -- or ones that, you know, Q. are kind of used to the system, haven't you kind of felt like there's been kind of an educational aspect to what's going on in terms of having private clients over the last two years?

10 11

12

13

Α. not just separation. With child custody, name changes, emancipation of minors, things of that

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Oh, definitely. I've learned a lot. Family law is some expansive of a topic. It's not just divorce and nature, which is why I think it impacts the lives of South Carolinians more than problem any other court, but it's always something that you have to continually educate yourself on. Because, honestly, there's so many different topics that even though you deal with one this month, you may or may not see it again for another couple of months, so you always have to go back and refresh yourself. And even as a DSS attorney, I would do that. I never want to just rely on my memory of things. Always helps to go back to

- the statutes, look at case law to make sure I'm up to date on everything that I need to be.
 - Q. Well, and beyond the states, I mean, it -- would you agree with me that having now been able to get into so much more of that broad spectrum than what you were doing at DSS.
- 7 A. Uh-huh.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Because no matter how accomplished you were at doing that, you know, it's been an eye opener for you.
- And one of the smallest areas that seems small I has. Α. until you're in it are the amount of forms that you need. Not just court forms, but DHEC forms for adoptions and divorces and things of that nature that aren't necessarily in your forefront. You're worried about your temporary hearing, you're worried about mediation, but not necessarily worried about that form that DHEC needs. So that was a huge learning experience for me making sure that I knew all those forms, I have them prepped, I have them ready to go. And just the differences between the local courts and how the different courts like to have things presented Any additional stipulations or provisions they have for submitting documents and things like that has been a wealth of new information.
 - Q. Let me -- just one last thing.

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Obviously, you had a comfort zone involving certain aspects and having years of experience over at DSS.

 What has been the biggest -- besides the forms, but I mean, in terms of substantively, what has been the biggest challenge for you in the private area compared to what you were doing before?
- And I'm not sure if this answers your question or not, Α. but in mentoring new attorneys, I always stress to them that I wasn't asking them to be a copy of me, but I was there to help them become the best attorney they could be. I found myself, when I left DSS and getting out of that comfort zone trying to copy other attorneys that I respected. And probably about a month or two in, when the stress of trying to do that became so much that I finally sat down and, once again, had that talk within myself of I don't need to be like this family law attorney, or do things exactly how this family law attorney does it. You take all that information, include it in yourself, and figure out the best way for you to advocate for your clients. And I think that has been the biggest growth for me as well is realizing that it may not be how this person would advocate for my client, or this one would, but my clients came to me, they trusted me, they trust my

1 insight and judgment, and I'm advocating them as the 2 best family law attorney I can be. 3 Thank you very much. 0. 4 SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Any other questions? Ιf 5 not, Ms. Gray, thank you so much. That will -6 - these questions and answers, this portion of 7 the evaluation is complete. You do know, though, 8 that the formal record of report will not be 9 released until the very end. In the unlikely 10 event there would be a violation or appearance of 11 impropriety regarding our ethics laws, you 12 understand that we have the right to call you 13 back for further questions, correct? 14 MS. GRAY: I do understand. 15 SENATOR RANKIN: And we don't expect that, but your affirmation of that is what we need for the 16 17 record. And we wish you well and thank you for 18 your presence today. 19 MS. GRAY: Thank you. 20 SENATOR RANKIN: Take care. 21 (Off the Record) 22 All right. Let's go back on the CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 23 record. Before us, we have Pete Diamaduros. 24 I saying that correctly, sir? 25 MR. DIAMADUROS: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Who is a candidate for
seat four of the family court bench in the
Seventh Judicial Circuit. Did I get all that
right?
MR. DIAMADUROS: You got it.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would, sir,
please raise your right hand.
WHEREUPON:
PETE DIAMADUROS, being duly sworn and
cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There should be some documents in
front of you. You can take a second and look at
those.
MR. DIAMADUROS: I'm familiar with them.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Are those the Personal Data
Questionnaire and the Sworn Statement that you've
submitted to the Commission?
MR. DIAMADUROS: They are.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
that need to be made to those?
MR. DIAMADUROS: None.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Do you have any objection to
us entering those in the record as a part of your

1 MR. DIAMADUROS: I don't. 2 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Well, thank you, sir. Let me 3 give the staff an opportunity to do that. 4 (EXHIBIT NO. 20 MARKED FOR 5 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (19 pages) 6 PDO - Pete Diamaduros) 7 (EXHIBIT NO. 21 MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages) 9 Sworn Statement) The Judicial Merit Selection 10 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 11 Commission has thoroughly investigated your 12 qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is 13 focused on the nine evaluative criteria and has 14 included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of 15 your application materials, verification of your 16 compliance with state ethics laws, search of 17 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 18 study of any previous screenings, and a check for 19 economic conflicts of interest. We have received 2.0 no affidavits filed in opposition to your 21 election, and no witnesses are present to Before giving you the opportunity to 22 testify. 23 make any brief opening remarks you'd like, if 24 you'd like to introduce us to the young lady 25 who's come with you today, we'd be happy to meet

, s or f ebb,
s or
s or
s or
f
ebb,
ebb,
ebb,
the
hat
he
or
or ars

Mr. Diamaduros, why do you want to serve as a family

court judge and why do you feel that your legal and

be an effective judge?

professional experience qualify and will assist you to

Well, let's start with I have a servant's heart and I

know that everybody talks about public service when

they come up here. But I've been engaged in public

service all my life. And that's not to say -- I'm not

bragging, but I've just had opportunities to serve and

2

1

Q.

Α.

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 1819
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 2425
- I've been blessed to serve. I have 37 years of practice in law. You know, I love the law. I can't say that every day is a great day in the office, but I love going to work. And I've been blessed with the opportunity now to look at my life and where I stand in my life and be able to say, It's time to give back. And when you want to be a servant, it's supposed to hurt. And the two things that will be effected, financially. This is not a pay raise for me. And I don't say that to brag. I just say that to -- I'm not here looking for another job. Time. You know, I've

this point, I can do that. My kids are grown.

been practicing, basically, in a small law firm with a

bunch of friends for years and I'll have to sacrifice

my freedom to have a schedule, work nine to five, four

or five days a week, whatever it's supposed to be.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They're out of the house, they're married. When I say service, I served for 28 years on the Supreme Court Commission on Lawyer Conduct. Just got replaced in I served on the State Ethics Commission for five years. I've been in the Rotary Club for 35 When I was the president of the Rotary Club, we made a big donation because we wanted to make a change or do something to upfit the YMCA with a bunch of new weight machines. I've been active with the Wofford Terrier Club Board. In my years of being at Wofford College, I served in the athletic department where we served as a fundraising arm of that The year that I was the chairman of the Terrier Club Drive, we raised a million dollars for the first time. So when I say I've -- you know, I've served, I have that servant heart, I've always done that, and that's always been part of that. has an interim program. I have kids that come in with me and my brother and just kind of shadow us for two weeks every year. I called the head of the prelaw department and asked him, How long have I been doing And he told me, I don't know, but probably over this? 20 years. So I've got that as far as why I want to serve, because I think I know so much and learned so much that street smarts, common sense, the people that

1 I've interacted with give me the ability to really sit 2 on the bench and be a good judge and to help good 3 lawyers coming along become better lawyers and 4 domestic practitioners. As far as a couple of things, 5 my skill set of what I can bring to the table, besides 6 common sense, everybody can know the statutory law. 7 Everybody can know the -- you know, the case law. But 8 I just know people. And I've worked, in these 37 9 years, I estimate that I've probably handled 7500 10 In the family court arena, I've probably 11 handled 1500 to 2500, in that range, and I've 12 practiced in a third of the counties in this state. 13 I'm the one that does the work. I don't second-chair 14 I am the lawyer that you're going to be 15 with from the time you hire me till the time you 16 My other practice, criminal and personal leave. 17 injury, they kind of all intertwine in the domestic 18 So many divorces happen because of things 19 that happen, people are charged criminally, or where 20 someone's hurt at work, hurt in a workers' comp 21 accident, the money gets spent, now the family can't 22 live, people divorce. So I think that skill set of 23 being well rounded in the law makes me fit to serve. 24 When it comes to consistency, you know, in family 25 court -- let's just say for a child, you're looking

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for what's stable, consistent, ethical, integrity of the parents. And that's kind of how I built my I've been practicing for 35 of my 37 years practice. basically in the same law firm. Started out with four of us, went to three of us, two of us. I have the same staff. We got two staff members that have been with me for 30 years. Got the same wife that's been with me for -- be 36 years on the date of this election, if it happens on February the 7th. two grown children. One of them is a lawyer in Greenville, practices with Maynard -- what's it called -- was Nexsen Pruet, now it's Maynard Nexsen. I have a daughter who finished Wofford College -- my son finished Wofford too -- but she is a paralegal/project manager with a law firm in Greenville. So when I look at that, that kind of comes in to my consistency and my stability. When I look at the integrity part, all these years of practicing law, I don't represent anybody, especially in the domestic arena, unless we have had long conversations and realistic expectations have been agreed upon. If they're not realistic and they want some crazy outcome that I don't think's ever going to happen based on my experience before the family courts for 37 years, I just don't take the Tell them to go find somebody that's going to case.

1 promise them what they want, but I'm not getting it. 2 Being a judge is going to be pretty time consuming, if 3 you read the articles about Spartanburg where you got 4 700 cases per judge at this time. But I have always 5 been able to handle a schedule of 100 -- you know, a docket of 150 to 200 clients at a time throughout my 6 7 career. I consistently return phone calls, 8 consistently respond to emails, to letters. I give 9 them my time at night. On weekends, when I get an 10 emergency call from our answering service, if I'm 11 sitting there doing nothing, I pick up the phone and 12 call them back. If I'm doing something with family, 13 I'll call them back two hours later at 11:00 o'clock, 14 if I have to. So I bring that to y'all just to say my 15 history in the law, my skill set, my knowledge and my 16 common sense is why I think I'll be a good judge if elected. 17 18

- Q. Thank you. And Mr. Diamaduros, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?
- A. I don't think I'd need any additional preparation.

 I've been doing this a long time.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, Mr. Diamaduros. And please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

family court matters; and specifically, discuss your experience with the financial aspects of your family court work.

Well, the financial aspects are probably the easiest to handle. I was an accounting major at Wofford, you And when you're an accounting major, you understand business valuations. You understand, you know, interest rates. You understand discount rates. You understand all of it that it takes. But the reality is the financial part of a case -- I don't care if you're worth \$10 million or a \$100 million in getting divorced, that's easy. Your identifying assets, you're coming up with what they're worth. you know, I tell some of clients it's just as easy as getting a stack of index cards and tossing them to her side and your side and adding it up, and if it's the same, fine, it's easy to split. What I find hard is that most divorces that come before the family courts I would probably venture to say 90 percent of them are upside down in life. Everybody's broke. You know, the credit cards are maxed out, the Disney vacation's over, summer trip to Europe's over and now, we're getting divorced. We don't have assets to split, we got a lot of debt. Those are harder to handle. that part, I think, is the easy part. When I talk

1 about complex -- when you talk about complex, the 2 financial matters are, like I said, easy. The hard 3 part are the custody fights. You've got two people 4 who loved each other enough to have two or three 5 children. Now, they talk about each other in such a 6 way that you feel like you ought to call DSS in, if 7 half of what they say about each other is true, to 8 take the kids. So, I mean, that's hard. And you have 9 to be able to fight through that. I've had -- oh, 10 gosh, just a couple of years ago, probably had five 11 seriously contested domestic custody fights at one 12 time, and I swore I'd never take five on again, not at 13 Because Thanksqiving, Easter, Christmas, 14 the emails and the calls that I get about the 15 craziness that's going on, it's just -- it's nerve-16 racking. But that part is easier, I think, to handle 17 as a judge, because now, you can look at sole custody, 18 joint custody, some sort of shared custody, and having 19 been through a lot of that, I think I can pretty much 20 decipher that and give a fair ruling. 21 Thank you. And Mr. Diamaduros, the Commission Q. 22 23

received 387 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 67 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: A very knowledgeable and wonderful temperament. Amazing

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

attorney. Kindhearted and perfect candidate. great amount of experience and works well with others Has the skill set to hit the ground in the courtroom. Steady and hard worker. A lawyer with many years of experience at a high level and the kind of lawyer we love to see run for judgeships because they have earned the right to be there with their breadth of knowledge and fine demeanor. Three of the written comments, however, expressed concerns. Some of the comments indicated that you can be condescending to low income clients and have made inappropriate comments about low income clients receiving legal What response would you like to offer to services. this concern?

A. I think that one's kind of a funny one. If I'm making a negative comment towards a low income client, then if I'm representing the other spouse, they're low income too. So I don't think I'd be making those comments. I just don't find -- I really can't respond to that. If somebody said, in this particular case when I was against him, he said something, maybe I could figure it out. But I don't make fun of people for being low income people. I grew up -- you know, I grew up from an immigrant family, flipping cheeseburgers and working with low income people that

- I consider to be brothers of mine today.
- 2 Thank you, Mr. Diamaduros. And I would note that the Q. 3 Upstate Citizens Committee found Mr. Diamaduros 4 qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 5 qualifications, physical health and mental stability. 6 The Committee found him well qualified in the 7 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional 8 and academic ability, character, reputation, 9 experience and judicial temperament. And Mr. 10 Diamaduros, just for a few housekeeping issues, so are 11 you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound 12 by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 13 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 14 | A. I am.
- 15 Q. Thank you. And Mr. Diamaduros, since submitting your
 16 Letter of Intent, have you contacted any members of
 17 the Commission about your candidacy?
- 18 A. No, I haven't.
- Q. And are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including
 the limitations on contacting members of the General
 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And since submitting your Letter of
 Intent, have you sought or received a pledge of any
 legislator either prior to this date or pending the

1 outcome of your screening? 2 No. Α. 3 All right. And have you asked any third parties to 0. 4 contact members of the General Assembly on your 5 behalf, or are you aware of anyone attempting to 6 intervene in this process on your behalf? 7 Α. No, and I have not. 8 Thank you. And have you reviewed and do you 0. 9 understand the Commission's Guidelines on Pledging in 10 SC Code 2-19-70(E)? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. All right. Thank you. 13 I would just note for the record that any MS. WEBB: 14 concerns raised during the investigation 15 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 16 the questioning of the candidate today. And Mr. 17 Chairman, I have no further questions. 18 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of the 19 Commission have questions or comments for Mr. 20 Diamaduros? Senator Talley. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. TALLEY: 23 0. Good afternoon, Pete. 24 Good afternoon. Α. 25 My friend, good to see you. One thing I would tell 0.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you at the outset is the breadth of comments about you in the ballot box should be a sign of affirmation to you and the respect you have amongst your peer and the legal community worked with you for many years. I, too, laughed when I saw the comment from one person about the low income. I mean, you and I have had cases where we walked in with clients that had financial declarations but we worked hard for them and that's just what we do, correct?

A. That's correct.

I would also like to just comment, you've got Yeah. 0. letters of recommendation from people that are well known and well respected in our community, and you have done it all. I mean, in all candor and just so the record's clear, you and I have a couple of cases against each other right now. But we've always been professional and worked together well, and you've done a good job representing your clients. So I would like for you to expand a little bit on -- you mentioned Spartanburg's docket. You've been a part of it probably even in the last couple of weeks. you see as something you could bring to the bench that would help us, particularly with the problem we have there on getting temporary hearings before a judge before two months, three months, those kind of things

2

Α.

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

that we just continue to face in the Seventh Circuit?

Well, I just talked about this with somebody the other day. I said I don't know if they'll allow me to do it or whether I can just ask to do it. But you know, when you get in front of the judge, some judges, you hand up your packet of material at the temporary hearing and they want you to tell them a little bit about the case. So I tell them something, the other lawyer tells them something and they issue a ruling. They never really look at the packets. Other judges take the packets and say I don't need to hear from I'll read the packets and issue a ruling. You y'all. know, that's really an easy way to do it. I really would like to say that if the two attorneys would consent, if you and I had a case against each other and we're trying to get into court quickly and they tell us it's six weeks, I got no problem saying, during my in-chambers weeks, If y'all want to submit your packets, I'll be glad to sit there and rule on them without you needing to discuss it. Everything's supposed to be in there. I find it interesting, when we do discuss, sometimes we say things that aren't even in the affidavits themselves, pushing our point So I think it's kind of like maybe a speed temporary docket where you submit your stuff and he

submits his stuff, and if everybody's in agreement, 1 2 and the clients are in agreement, I'll just issue a 3 ruling. And if I need to talk to you, we can easily 4 get on a conference call or a Zoom meeting. 5 think that's one way to help the backlog. The other 6 thing is you have to look at some clients and, when 7 they're going to come in and hand the court, you know, 8 eight pages of affidavits and that many text messages 9 and IM screenshots, they can't ask for a 15-minute 10 hearing. You know, they got to -- or you know, I 11 would sit there and do it, as we did recently with a 12 case that was very contentious with Todd Thigpen, 13 Judge Thigpen. He asked us to submit all our packet 14 by noon and we had a hearing at 4:00 o'clock that 15 And that way, he had time to look at all afternoon. 16 that stuff instead of just looking and trying to rule with -- you know, in 15 minutes. 17 18 Appreciate that. One other question, and then there 0. 19 may be others. Anything you want us to ask your 20 brother later today? You don't have to comment. 21 I don't -- nothing from me. Α. 22 MR. TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

25 EXAMINATION

CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

MR. STROM:

23

24

Mr. Strom.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

BY MR. STROM:

1

- Q. Good to see you, Mr. Diamaduros.
- 3 A. Good to see you, Mr. Strom.
- 4 You know, I think I've known you about 40 years from 0. 5 during law school meeting at the Winner's Circle. 6 And I've followed you and Gia, and your career, and 7 your family, and these folks have heard me say this a 8 I worry about the people we put on the family 9 court bench, because circuit court, general sessions, 10 common pleas, you have the benefit of a jury. 11 12 people to make a decision. But when we have 12 somebody on the family court bench, you're in charge 13 of money, you're in charge of children, you're in 14 charge of property. I mean, you're kind of Solomon. 15 And you know, that first hearing means a lot. And I 16 have a lot of angst about putting somebody on the 17 family court bench that hasn't been in private 18 practice and his peers, like Senator Talley, don't 19 have good things to say about them, because you just 20 don't know what you're going to get. And that impacts 21 a lot of families. And I can tell you I've followed you and I've seen that smile, I know your heart, and 22 23 I'm not sure why you want to do this, but this is what I think the General Assembly intended and what we've 24 25 all kind of grew up thinking it was. Sort of the

1 twilight of your career when you've had a good 2 practice, go back and do some public service and use 3 that wisdom that you have to try to make the place 4 That sounds like where you're going with 5 this, and I'm not surprised that you want to do it. 6 And I congratulate you and thank you for running. 7 Thank you. I will say one thing that I like to say. Α. 8 We're not a small Bar in Spartanburg up at the 9 Greenville area, but we're a close Bar. And you know, 10 you don't burn bridges with people you're going to be 11 working with again. That's one of the problems I see 12 with a lot of young lawyers. They get behind these 13 emails or these text messages and they get kind of 14 tough and then they just burn bridges and they hate 15 the other guy. And you get into court and you see 16 judges having to send them out. Recently, in 17 Spartanburg, they had to send them out of the room, 18 Y'all got to go calm down and come back in. Y'all are 19 screaming at each other. Nobody's -- you know, there's no decorum. So that's one of the things we 20 21 can bring. The old guys like us can bring that. 22 You're going to practice law with these people for a 23 long time. Got to be nice. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. STROM: 25 Ms. Blackley. CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

1 MS. BLACKLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. BLACKLEY: 4 It's so good to see you. 5 Α. Thank you. 6 Your reputation precedes you. I'm glad to see you 0. 7 standing there to vie for this position. The only 8 thing that I would consider negative would be the 9 reference letter from Freddie Gault. I'm just 10 kidding. Freddie and I used to work together in the 11 congressional office. I know him very well. I didn't 12 -- I don't have any questions. I just wanted to 13 commend you for running and thank you for doing so. 14 Your reputation proceeds you. 15 Thank you. Freddie Gault and I, we know where the Α. 16 skeletons are buried. That's why I had to keep him --17 Q. I understand. 18 -- in my corner. Α. 19 Thank you. Q. 20 Α. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the Commission have 22 questions or comments? Seeing none, then, sir, 23 this will end this portion of the screening 24 I do need to take a moment to remind 25 that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative

1	criteria, the Commission expects candidates to
2	follow the spirit, as well as the letter of the
3	ethics laws. And any violation or any appearance
4	of impropriety would be viewed as serious and
5	potentially deserving of heavy weight in our
6	screening deliberations. And as you know, the
7	record will remain open until the formal release
8	of the qualifications report and should the need
9	arise, we will retain the ability to call you
10	back here or refer the conversation, in the
11	unlikely event that that became necessary. So
12	thank you for being here today, sir. And thank
13	you for offering for service to the State of
14	South Carolina.
15	MR. DIAMADUROS: And thank y'all for serving.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.
17	MR. DIAMADUROS: Bye-bye.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Bye-bye.
19	(Off the Record)
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Good afternoon.
21	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Good afternoon.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Before us, we have Mr. Jonathan
23	Lounsberry am I saying that correctly?
24	MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay who is a candidate for seat

four of the family court bench in the Seventh
Judicial Circuit. Do I have all that right?
MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. We should stop there,
then.
WHEREUPON:
JONATHAN LOUNSBERRY, being duly sworn and cautioned to
speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
truth, testifies as follows:
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Fantastic. There should be some
documents in front of you. If you would take a
look at those, sir.
MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the Personal Data
Questionnaire and the Sworn Statement that you
had submitted to the Commission?
MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
that need to be made to those.
MR. LOUNSBERRY: There is one amendment that's
included in this.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And we have that.
MR. LOUNSBERRY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Do you have any
objection to our entering them into the record as

1	a part of your sworn testimony today?
2	MR. LOUNSBERRY: No.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Let me give staff
4	a second to do that.
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (24 pages)
7	PDQ - Jonathan Lounsberry)
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 23 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
10	Amendment to PDQ - Jonathan
11	Lounsberry)
12	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 MARKED FOR
13	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
14	Sworn Statement - Jonathan
15	Lounsberry)
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
17	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
18	qualifications for the bench. We have focused
19	our inquiry on the nine evaluative criteria and
20	has included a ballot box survey, a thorough
21	study of your application materials, verification
22	of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
23	newspaper search of articles in which your name
24	appears, a study of any previous screenings, and
25	a check for economic conflicts of interest. We

1 have received no affidavits in opposition to your 2 election, and no witnesses are present to 3 testify. If you would like to offer a brief 4 opening statement, we'd be happy to hear it, 5 otherwise, I would just recognize staff counsel 6 for some questions. 7 MR. LOUNSBERRY: Just brief. I'm thankful to be 8 here, and it's an honor and a privilege to be 9 before you, and I'm happy to answer any questions 10 you may have. 11 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Fantastic. Ms. Wilkinson. 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. WILKINSON: 14 Mr. Lounsberry, please state for the record the city Q. 15 and circuit in which you reside. 16 Spartanburg, South Carolina, in Spartanburg County. Α. It's the Seventh Judicial Circuit. 17 18 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I note, for the record, 19 that based on the testimony contained in Mr. 20 Lounsberry's PDQ, which has been included in the 21 record with his consent, Mr. Lounsberry meets the 22 statutory requirements for this position 23 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 24 Mr. Lounsberry, why do you want to serve as a family Q. 25 court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and

professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- As to why to serve, the keyword would be to serve. Α. Ι take inspiration -- I've mentioned before this Commission before -- my mother, who was a school teacher, I used to joke, around the time Moses went to school, it was about 40 years before she left us. she instilled in me, along with my father, that the most important thing you could do is to serve the community and to give of your gifts. As far as experience, I've been blessed with having a varied career in family court and have touched almost all of the cases that would appear before family court, even some of the, I quess, rare ones. And I have had great mentors, and I would not stand before y'all today if I did not believe I had the experience to be able to take this position on.
- Q. Mr. Lounsberry, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge, and how would you handle that additional preparation?
- A. The two areas that would come to mind would be what we affectionally call the institutional areas, DJJ and DSS. Early in my career, I've handled a number of DJJ cases and throughout my career, sometimes attached to

my private cases, I've handled DSS actions as part of those private cases, but I've also have handled a few direct DSS cases. Since, I think, the end of August of this year, I've been sitting in court on days where DSS hearings have been heard and DJJ hearings have been heard. I've been appointed as a guardian in a couple of DJJ cases, on a temporary basis, to move those forward. And I would continue to, as long as I could, observe and seek as much education as I could in those areas to better myself in those.

- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. I have practiced all across this state. I've practiced in Columbia. I've practice in Charleston, and I'm now in -- well, I like to call it the Upstate, but some people call it the Upcountry. I have handled marital estates that have had no commas, and I've handled marital estates that have had two or three commas. I would say each divorce or each custody cases is complex in their own right, because we're dealing with people's emotions, and as long as we keep that in mind, we're able to help them find a practical and rational solution to help them move forward. And

my goal -- I tell all my clients, My goal is to help you not have to see me again. I don't want you to keep coming back. I want to be able to solve whatever issue you've going through in the best practical way to keep you moving forward. With complexities of financial issues, I've had to use experts who have valued businesses, who have valued percentages of golf courses, who have valued all kinds of things. We've had to use forensic accountants to review a person's living -- standard of living to make sure that we understand what their need is, if we're either trying to seek alimony or making a case against alimony. In custody cases, I've interacted with some of the nation's leading experts in psychological issues.

Q. Mr. Lounsberry, the Commission received 240 ballot box surveys regarding you with 43 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Jonathan has a sharp legal mind and a perfect temperament for the judiciary. Jonathan Lounsberry is an outstanding family court practitioner. His experience, skill and demeanor would make him an excellent family court judge. Jonathan is one of the most intelligent, hardworking and ethical lawyers that I know. He would be a fantastic family court judge and an asset to the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

state in that role. Ten of the ballot box responses did express concerns. Although you had an overwhelming number of positive comments regarding temperament and experience, some did express concerns in those areas. Several comments indicated an issue with temperament, stating that you come off as arrogant and condescending, and they were concerned about how you would treat certain litigants such as pro se litigants. What would your response be for these concerns?

A. My par necessary per arrangement of the pe

My response is, even though that's the less enticing part of this process is to hear what people don't necessarily like about you, it's good to know. And if I could, at one point, I would like to know who those people were that mentioned the condescending or the arrogant, so that I could sit down and, as the same goes, bury the hatchet with them. My mother always raised me with the understanding that the first impression you make on someone is the only impression you make on them, and that I needed to treat others like I wanted to be treated, always. And in preparing for this hearing today, I was listening to a sermon in church and I was reminiscing on the fact that Jesus always got the best questions from the lawyers. the lawyers always got the best responses. And the

1	lawyer who got the response to the question, What do I
2	need to get to heaven? is, basically, give away allow
3	your stuff and live by these two Commandments, right,
4	Love the Lord God above everyone else and love your
5	neighbor as you love yourself. I try very hard every
6	day to live that out. And it pains me to think that
7	there's someone out there who has a less than
8	flattering opinion of me. As far as treating people
9	differently, I'd hearken back to that treat others as
10	you want to be treated. Court for me to stick with
11	the theme, is a lot like church. I grew up in this
12	town. I grew up in Columbia and I grew up in
13	Spartanburg. And I grew up going to the church across
14	the street. And so a lot of times I spent looking at
15	the State House and looking at Trinity. And there's a
16	certain reverence you have when you go into a
17	cathedral like that. And for me, there's a certain
18	reverence I have when I go into a courthouse. And I
19	believe that, you know this saying is attributed to
20	Aristotle, that every man is was justice is giving
21	every man his due. Every person who comes into court
22	deserves to be treated with respect. Every pro se
23	litigant I have, I treat them as a lawyer. I treated
24	them as they are a respected person of our profession
25	because they're choosing to represent themselves, and

that's, I think, the highest thing that we can do is to show the world how we feel and to treat everyone like we want to be treated.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Several of the comments also noted that you lack the requisite experience needed for the position and were often second chair on cases. What is your response to this concern?
- Α. I have served second chair and I would not have learned to be the lawyer that I am without having served second chair. But it's been a while since I've served second chair. I've tried -- the longest case I've tried -- I had a co-counsel for a bit and she got out. And we were equal, in part. It was a ten-day custody trial tried in Spartanburg under Judge Sinclair on a third-party custody issue, which has since been appealed and it's now created law in this state. But I wouldn't have been able to try that case -- and in fact, I called my mentor shortly after -who basically taught me how to do this -- and told him I wouldn't have been able to do that if I hadn't sat second chair. But it's been some time. MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note that a
 - MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note that a

 Upstate Citizens Committee found Mr. Lounsberry

 qualified in the evaluative criteria areas of

 constitutional qualifications, physical health

1 and mental stability, and well qualified in the 2 evaluative criteria areas of ethical fitness, 3 professional and academic ability, character, 4 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 5 The Committee did not have any related or summary 6 concerns or comments. 7 Q. Mr. Lounsberry, there are a few housekeeping issues. 8 Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are 9 bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 10 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 13 contacted any members of the Commission about your 14 candidacy? 15 Α. No. 16 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the 0. 17 limitations on contacting members of the General 18 Assembly regarding your screening? 19 Yes. Α. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 20 Q. 21 sought or received a pledge of any legislator either 22 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 23 screening? 24 Α. No. 25 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of 0.

1 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 2 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 3 your behalf? 4 No. Α. 5 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 6 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina 7 Code Section 2-19-70(E). 8 Α. Yes. 9 I would just note for the record that MS. WILKINSON: 10 any concerns raised during the investigation 11 regarding Mr. Lounsberry were incorporated into 12 the questioning of him today. Mr. Chairman, I 13 have no further questions. 14 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do the members 15 of the Commission have questions or comments for 16 Mr. Lounsberry? Mr. Talley. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. TALLEY: 19 How you doing, sir? Q. 20 I'm doing well. How are you? 21 Good to see you. Just for the record and clarity, you 0. 22 and I actually have some cases against each other 23 right now. 24 Α. Yes, sir, we do. 25 We've had many over the last several years. So I just Q.

1 want that to be on the record. I want to just state 2 to you, Jonathan, that, you know, you heard some of 3 these comments. I don't -- I certainly don't think 4 that's widespread amongst the members of the Bar in 5 Spartanburg. I think you have great respect amongst 6 members of our Bar. That you pointed out, you have a 7 lot of experience, prior to coming to Spartanburg, 8 working on some of the bigger cases that worked their 9 way through the appellate court process here in South 10 Carolina. In fact, the ten-day custody case you 11 mentioned, I think my associate was involved with you 12 on that for a period of time as well. She was the one 13 that was removed that you were talking about.

A. She was in the -- she was the -- that was the second ten-day trial.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. That was the second ten-day trial. Okay. And so, yeah, I mean, I'd say that just so the record is clear, you, obviously, have stood on your own and tried your own cases and done many of that. I asked Mr. Diamaduros, who was in here earlier, this same question. So in fairness, you are well aware of our family court backlog in the Seventh Judicial Circuit in Spartanburg County, in particular. So if you're successful in this, what is something you think you could bring to the bench to help move the docket, in

3

4

5

6

8

7

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

particular, get temporary hearings scheduled sooner than they are right now. As you well know, we wait oftentimes months before we're able to get those first hearings in court up there, so just -- I just offer that to you.

Thank you. It's a crutch that we hear, you know, Α. since about 2022, 2023, that we're still trying to crawl out from COVID. And what the bench has done up there this year, I think, is pulling us out. think we need to keep moving in that direction, which is setting as many trials that need to be set as can be set. A lot of those times, what happens is -- when I tell my clients, you know, We're going to get ready And I know it's not fun, but we have to do for trial. it. And we show up. And they keep asking why. said, Well, some people go to Disneyworld and they figure out, when they see Space Mountain, that they don't want to get on it. So getting people to court kind of helps resolve cases. But whatever we could do to help move those trials forward I think would be I don't know how it would exactly fit in, helpful. but in some of the cases I've tried in district court, you get that scheduling order, you get a tight deadline on discovery, there has to be an exchange of witness lists and exhibits before trial, and any

1 objections noted to those exhibits, so that when you 2 go to court, you know, you spend the first couple of 3 minutes putting all of the exhibits into the record, 4 and then dealing with the ones that have objections 5 I think those could move trials forward. 6 a lot of times, what I experience as causing a backlog 7 is for getting temporary hearings, is having those 8 trials set. And what's causing those trials to have a 9 backlog is people, you know, it's kind of this 10 constant rat race -- it's getting in discovery and 11 trying to get things done to get ready for trial. 12 Q. Thank you. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. TALLEY: 14 Ms. McIver. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 15 MS. McIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 16 EXAMINATION BY MS. McIVER: 17 18 Mr. Lounsberry, I noticed in one of your letters of 0. 19 reference from John B. White that you are a member of 20 the Fellow in Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers and the 21 International Academy of Family Lawyers. 22 Yes, ma'am. Α. 23 For those of us who don't practice in the family Q. 24 court, can you kind of explain what that means and why

that's important for someone who's running for this

position?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- To be a Fellow in the American Academy of Matrimonial Α. Lawyers, it is -- it is not kind of a pay-to-play process. Both of those organizations are peer recognized organizations for experience and knowledge -- for knowledge and experience in family law. particularly, for the American Academy, it is a process of taking a 200-question test on 15 different subjects that touch family court law, Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, all of the things we would regularly deal with. And then being vetted by not people that you know, but by people that you've tried cases against and judges you've appeared in front of. Currently, I think there are around -- I don't know the exact number, so I'll give you a range. between 14 and 1500 fellows across the United States in the American Academy. And globally -- the International Academy is global. There are about 1,010 or so fellows on the International. Now that -kind of the overlay there is if you have a bit of your practice that focuses on international family law, you kind of can move over into that one as well.
- Q. Thank you. My dad practiced in family court and he would mention those organizations and say that he wanted to, you know, talk to someone, and he said

they're a member of this, a member of that, and I
didn't really know what it meant. Certainly, we
appreciate someone with your credentials and
background and being willing to serve. May I ask you
one other question?

A. Yes. Is it about the pin?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Yeah. I've been staring at it and I'm very curious.
- So the pin is a reminder to do the best you can with Α. what you have. Now, there's kind of a longer story. The joke is my father is from western North Carolina. He grew up in Appalachia. And so I grew up with a lot of funny sayings. All right. I would ask him for things when I was little and he would say, Son, if a frog had wings, he wouldn't bump his butt when he I would also hear, When life -- you know, jumped. other people would hear, When life gives you lemons, you make lemonade. I heard, Sometimes, you just got to turn chicken mess into chicken salad. And as a family court lawyer, all I do is make chicken salad sandwiches. So I told somebody one day, I said, I Everybody has their pin. But I want a want a pin. Duke's mayonnaise jar. I opened my mouth and what happened, it appeared on my desk. And I said, Well, if they went through the trouble of finding it, I'm going to wear it. We also like to joke and say it's a

- symbol of hope, hope I don't have to use too much mayonnaise. But that's -- that's the pin.
 - Q. Thank you very much.

SENATOR TALLEY: And Mr. Chairman, for the record, he does wear it every day.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Blackley.

MS. BLACKLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION

9 BY MS. BLACKLEY:

3

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 Q. So good to see you. I am --
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. I just have a comment. I am a little stunned at the fact that there was any comment about you being arrogant and your temperament. I know people who've worked with you, and I know you, and I've never heard anything like that or experienced that. But I would say the same thing about you as I did the last candidate, your reputation proceeds you in your temperament and your work. And so, you know, these ballot boxes have a way of having things that may not be as pleasurable as we would like. But I wanted that on the record, that I know of, that I've had experiences with you and never, ever felt anything like that or received anything like that from you and anybody I have heard of who has dealt with you hasn't.

So thank you for running. Thank you for being here. 1 2 And I hope I don't have to use a lot of mayonnaise in 3 my life. 4 Thank you. Α. 5 Q. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other questions or comments? 7 All right. Seeing none, then, that will conclude I do need 8 this portion of the screening process. 9 to take the opportunity, though, to remind you 10 that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative 11 criteria, the Commission expects candidates to 12 follow the spirit, as well as the letter of our 13 state's ethics laws. And we will view violations 14 or the appearance of impropriety as serious and 15 potentially deserving of heavy weight in our screening deliberations. The record will remain 16 open until the formal release of the 17 18 qualifications -- report of qualifications --19 excuse me -- and you may be called back if the 20 need were to arise. So thank you for being here 21 today, and thank you for offering for service to 22 the State of South Carolina. 23 MR. LOUNSBERRY: Thank you all. 24 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We can go off the record for a 25 second.

1	(Off the Record)
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good afternoon. We will go back on
3	the record as we continue with your screening.
4	Before us, we have Ms. Gina McAlhany. Is
5	MS. McALHANY: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: that correct?
7	MS. McALHANY: That is correct.
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. And you are a candidate
9	for seat six of the family court bench for the
10	Ninth Judicial Circuit.
11	MS. McALHANY: That is correct.
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would, please,
13	raise your right hand.
14	WHEREUPON:
15	GINA MCALHANY, being duly sworn and
16	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
17	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There should be some documents in
19	front of you. Can you take a look at those,
20	please?
21	MS. McALHANY: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the Personal Data
23	Questionnaire and the Sworn Statement that you've
24	submitted to the Commission?
25	MS. McALHANY: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
2	that need to be made?
3	MS. McALHANY: There are not.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection to us
5	entering those into the record, along with your
6	sworn testimony today?
7	MS. McALHANY: No, sir.
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 25 MARKED FOR
9	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (16 pages)
10	PDQ - Gina McAlhany)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 26 MARKED FOR
12	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
13	Amendment to PDQ - Gina McAlhany)
14	(EXHIBIT NO. 27 MARKED FOR
15	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
16	Sworn Statement - Gina McAlhany)
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
18	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
19	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has
20	focused on the nine evaluative criteria and it
21	has included a ballot box survey, a thorough
22	study of your application materials, verification
23	of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
24	search of newspaper articles in which your name
25	appears, a study of any previous screenings, and

1 a check for economic conflicts of interest. have received no affidavits filed in opposition 2 3 to your election, and there are no persons 4 present to testify. If you would like to make a 5 brief opening statement, we'd be happy to hear from you, otherwise, I will recognize staff 6 7 counsel and we'll proceed with questions. 8 MS. McALHANY: Thank you. I would just say thank you 9 for having me this afternoon, and I certainly 10 appreciate all the time and hard work and 11 dedication you each put into this process. 12 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Ms. Wilkinson. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. WILKINSON: 15 Ms. McAlhany, please state for the record the city and Q. circuit in which you reside. 16 Summerville, South Carolina, Ninth Circuit. 17 Α. 18 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I note, for the record, 19 that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the 20 21 record with her consent, Ms. McAlhany meets the 22 statutory requirements for this position 23 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 24 Ms. McAlhany, why do you want to serve as a family Q. 25 court judge, and why do you feel that your legal and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

professional experience qualify and will assist you to be an effective judge?

Thank you, Sharon. I have practiced in the family Α. court for 30 years, which is hard to believe, honestly, but that has been my practice. fortunate enough, when I came out of law school 30 years ago this month I was able to hang a shingle and have continued in private practice continuously since then with my own law firm. Over the course of my 30 years, I have litigated almost every type of case you could as a family court practitioner, whether it's custody, visitation, grandparent visitation, termination of parental rights, adoptions, equitable distribution. I handled prenuptial agreements, name changes. And the reason I was able to develop my practice is when I came out, I didn't have a client base, obviously, coming out of law school, but I was fortunate enough to have a contract with the Public Defender Corporation. At that time, there were no circuit defenders. That wasn't in existence. And so that allowed me to build my practice while having some sort of income to feed myself. And quite frankly, that's a job that I have held for the -- essentially, the entirety of my practice, representing juveniles in family court. In addition to that position, which I

1 would say probably has been the most meaningful 2 position I've had, I have served at the attorney for 3 the Department of Social Services, again, as a 4 contract position, prosecuting the abuse and neglect 5 I have served as the quardian ad litem's 6 attorney in abuse and neglect cases, obviously, 7 representing the volunteer quardian ad litems -- in 8 those cases. And saying that, when you asked me why do 9 I want to be a judge, I certainly think based on my 10 practice and based on everything that I've dealt with, 11 and some of the most serious things that could come 12 across in the family court, that gives me, certainly, 13 a realization and a keen -- I say a keen awareness of 14 the seriousness and the magnitude of the decisions and 15 the cases that come before a family court judge. 16 would hope that my experience in practicing in all of these areas would aid me in making rulings and 17 18 decisions and serving the litigants that come before 19 me, the families, the children, the things that we all 20 hold most sacred. I think that's important to have 21 that experience to aid anybody in seeking to take this 22 step and become a judge. 23 Are there any areas of the law for which you would Q. 24 need additional preparation in order to serve as a

family court judge, and how would you handle that

additional preparation?

- A. Again, I feel like I have practiced, and I continue to practice in, obviously, juvenile law every day, seems like. I'm in court frequently. I've handled DSS cases while in private practice. The one thing that I think is just significant to brush up on are just the Rules of Evidence. That matters. I think, as a judge, you have to have a handle on what those are, how they apply. So that's something I would always review just to refresh.
- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters and specifically discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. Sure. The complex -- I'll talk about that first.

 Obviously, in my practice -- and I've cited -- I've given some examples of cases that I've represented clients in. You know, I've represented the most serious of cases, meaning juveniles charged with murder, looking at waiver hearings. I have, in the prosecution of abuse and neglect, had obviously seen the most horrific things and prosecuted those and, hopefully, at times, given permanency to a child. I had a case, at one point -- I think, again, I referenced that regarding a mother charged with murder

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in a DSS case of her special needs child. Her three children were removed from her. And that case went on for a while. The three children that mother had -the remaining children, sorry, her special needs -her special needs son she was accused of murdering. She had three other children who were excellent students, no problems, never been in trouble before, no criminal record. And as you can imagine, you know, we had to deal not only with the complexity of the family court, with the complexity of the pending criminal charges, but was ultimately able to resolve the case, and it was determined -- because this child that died was loved, was a special needs child, that 14 he had had a seizure and it was not murder. As far as financials, certainly, the financial cases that I had -- I've practiced in Summerville and it's certainly a growing community now. I've litigated everything from a hog cooker and who gets possession, certainly, to people that own businesses. I have had forensic accountants, obviously, when things become above my pay grade, to deal with valuations of businesses, obviously, determining what the values are and the equitable distribution. The cases that I sometimes --I just had a recent case where the people owned two businesses, owned multiple properties. I was dealing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the corporate attorney in the division of the business. And ultimately, we were able to address the division of assets, the division of the businesses.

We had a large retirement fund. We had two thrift saving plans retirement funds. We had military retirement. And those are the issues that I was addressing. So I believe I've dealt with certainly all facets regarding family court and financials.

Ms. McAlhany, the Commission received 243 ballot box Q. surveys regarding you with 56 additional comments. The ballot box survey contained all positive comments for you with no negative comments or comments of Some of the positive comments include: concern noted. Great demeanor and temperament. Experienced in all areas of family law. Great mediator. Gina may be the most qualified candidate to run for family court in the Low Country in the last ten years. experienced practitioner who has the empathy and knowledge to be a very effective family court judge. Gina is an experienced attorney who is honest, forthright, and dependable. She is able to cut to the chase, consider practical implications, and comprehend complex situations. I believe her to be well qualified. Ms. McAlhany, a SLED check revealed, and your PDQ has been amended to provide, that you were

involved in a 2009 lawsuit as a homeowner against a construction company for quality of work. Please explain the nature and disposition of the lawsuit.

- A. Sure. In 2009, my husband and I were plaintiffs in a construction litigation lawsuit against the builder of our first home that we'd ever purchased. We learned, when we were selling the home, that he had failed to install piers on the front of our house. We did not know how our house was standing, apparently, so we brought a lawsuit to address that. We ultimately settled that at the depositions and were awarded \$40,000, which covered the cost of installing the piers on our home so we were able to sell it.
- Q. Also, you were listed as the defendant in a 2009 -excuse me -- 2012 foreclosure action regarding
 collection of attorney's fees. Is there any
 additional information you want to put on the record
 regarding that foreclosure action?
- A. No. And quite frankly, I didn't even remember it. I had represented the -- the foreclosure action was against a husband and wife and I had represented the wife in the domestic action in Berkeley County. I was awarded attorney's fees from the husband in that action and when they foreclosed on the home, they named me, because, obviously, I had a financial

1 interest.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- The Low Country Citizens Committee reported Ms. Q. McAlhany to be well qualified in the evaluative criteria areas of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and judicial temperament. Ms. McAlhany was also found qualified in the areas of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The related comments noted that Ms. McAlhany is, quote, Super qualified and experienced, end quote. Quote, Well-regarded and well liked. Wonderful disposition, empathetic, smart, dedicated, would make a great family court judge, A+, end quote. We do have a few housekeeping issues. Ms. McAlhany, are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you contacted any member of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 22 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?

- 1 Α. Yes, ma'am. 2 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you Q. 3 sought or received a pledge of any legislator either 4 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 5 screening? 6 No, ma'am. Α. 7 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of Q. 8 the General Assembly on your behalf or are you aware 9 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
- 11 A. No, ma'am.

your behalf?

10

15

21

22

23

24

- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina
 Code Section 2-19-70(E).
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- MS. WILKINSON: I would just note for the record that
 any concerns raised during the investigation
 regarding the candidate were incorporated into
 the questioning of her candidate today. Mr.
 Chairman, I have no further questions.
 - CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of the Commission have any comments or questions for Ms. McAlhany? Ms. McAlhany, I'll just jump in here and say the comments we see in the ballot box survey are simply remarkable. You have

1 certainly done an admirable job and earned a 2 reputation that has distinguished you as somebody 3 that I think, as members of the Bar, we can all 4 be very proud of. There's a high number of 5 responses, which is not always the case, and to have them all -- all the comments be universally 6 7 positive is not something we frequently see, so. 8 MS. MCALHANY: I really appreciate that. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other comments or questions? 10 Well, with that, then, we will conclude right. 11 this portion of the screening. Ms. McAlhany, I 12 do need to take this moment, though, to remind 13 you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative 14 criteria, the Commission expects candidates to 15 follow letter, as well as the spirit of our ethics laws. And we will view violations or any 16 17 appearance of impropriety as very serious and 18 potentially deserving of very heavy weight in our 19 screening deliberations. On that note, and as 2.0 you know, the record will remain open until the 21 formal release of the report of qualifications 22 and should the need arise out of a remote 23 possibility, we will retain the ability to call 24 you back for further discussion. With that, I'll 25 recognize Senator Rankin, who's energy is --

1 MR. RANKIN: Boundless. 2 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: -- culpable. 3 Boundless. I just want to state the MR. RANKIN: 4 obvious here. And it's not a question. It's no 5 gotcha, but that you enjoy the regard of so many 6 that you practice with and the fact that they 7 have chosen to stand down to let the one most 8 qualified get the job is -- speaks volumes of 9 your credentials. So I just want to compliment 10 you. And as you embark upon this, again, absent 11 a slip through the cup and the lip between here 12 and election day and ascending to the bench, that 13 you wear your robe lightly as you have presented 14 to us in a very serious, but very light way. 15 God bless you. 16 MS. McALHANY: Thank you so much. 17 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And with that, I'll thank you and 18 thank you for offering for service to the State 19 of South Carolina. 2.0 MS. McALHANY: Thank you, I appreciate it. Thank you. 21 (Off the record) All right. Good afternoon. 22 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 23 will go back on the record. Before us, we have 24 Mr. Sammy Diamaduros. Did I say that correctly? 25 MR. DIAMADUROS: You did, which is pretty good.

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. I had a little practice
2	earlier, so I'm glad I got it. So you are here
3	as a candidate for Seat one of the family court
4	bench in the Sixteenth Judicial Circuit; is that
5	right?
6	MR. DIAMADUROS: Correct.
7	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. If you would, please, raise
8	your right hand.
9	WHEREUPON:
10	SAMMY DIAMADUROS, being duly sworn and
11	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
12	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Please take a look at the documents
14	that should be right in front of you.
15	MR. DIAMADUROS: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the Personal Data
17	Questionnaire and the Sworn Statement that you've
18	submitted to the Commission?
19	MR. DIAMADUROS: They are.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or changes
21	that need to be made?
22	MR. DIAMADUROS: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Do you have any objection to
24	our entering them in the record as a part of your
25	sworn testimony today?

1	MR. DIAMADUROS: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Fantastic. I'll let the staff do
3	that.
4	(EXHIBIT NO. 28 MARKED FOR
5	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (16 pages)
6	PDQ - Sammy Diamaduros)
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 29 MARKED FOR
8	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (7 pages)
9	Sworn Statement - Sammy
10	Diamaduros)
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit Selection
12	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
13	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is
14	focused on the nine evaluative criteria and has
15	included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of
16	your application materials, verification of your
17	compliance with state ethics laws, search of
18	newspaper articles in which your name appears,
19	study of any previous screenings, and a check for
20	economic conflicts of interest. There are no
21	affidavits filed in opposition to your election,
22	and no witnesses are present to testify. Before
23	extending to you an opportunity to make any brief
24	comments you may want to make, I do want to offer
25	you the opportunity to introduce us to this young

1 lady who has followed you here. 2 MR. DIAMADUROS: Thank you. This my wife, Beth 3 Diamaduros, and she just came to support me. 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you for being here, Beth. 5 MRS. DIAMADUROS: Thank you. And as I said, if you have any 6 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 7 brief opening remarks, we'd be happy to hear 8 them, otherwise, we'll turn to staff counsel. 9 I can make a brief statement. MR. DIAMADUROS: 10 of all, thank y'all for having me here and thank 11 y'all for everything y'all do, because y'all have 12 been interviewing lawyers for like three weeks, 13 now, so I'm assuming that's probably hard and 14 My name is Sammy Diamaduros. I'll be 15 brief and then take questions. I'm running for 16 the Sixteenth Circuit Seat 1 Family Court. 17 consider it an honor to be able to have that 18 opportunity. I consider it an opportunity in 19 life to do something different, sort of like a 20 calling. It's not something I took lightly to 21 It's not something I planned when I became a do. 22 lawyer, to run for a judge one day. This just 23 sort of came to me. I've spent a lot of time 24 praying about it, talking to my family, my wife, 25 my kids, step kids. And even Judge White, who's

1 my partner, who's retiring, I've spent a lot of 2 time talking to him to get the pros and the cons, and many other lawyers and judges like Judge 3 4 Guyton just get their opinions and thoughts on 5 what it's like to be a judge so I would have an 6 So I think I'm ready to do it. idea. 7 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Outstanding. Ms. Crater. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. CRATER: 10 Mr. Diamaduros, please state for the record the city Q. 11 and circuit in which you reside. 12 Α. Union, South Carolina, Sixteenth Judicial Circuit. 13 Mr. Chairman, I note, for the record, MS. CRATER: 14 that based on the testimony contained in the 15 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Mr. 16 17 Diamaduros meets the statutory requirements for 18 this position regarding age, residence and years 19 of practice. 20 Mr. Diamaduros, why do you want to serve as a family Q. 21 court judge and why do you feel that your legal and professional experience qualify and will assist you to 22 23 be an effective judge? 24 Well, after 30-plus years of being a lawyer and an Α. 25 advocate for one side or the other, I think this is a

1 good opportunity to make a difference. I don't have 2 to pick a side. I can actually do what I think's 3 right, try to use my demeanor and my personality and 4 my ability to deal with people over the years, my 5 experience and my knowledge of the law, and go into a 6 case and look at the facts and the law and help make 7 decisions that are right and that are fair and 8 equitable do so something different than just arguing 9 one point my whole career. So I think it can actually 10 be something rewarding to close out my career with. 11 As for experience, I can go straight into that if you 12 want.

Q. Of course.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have been practicing law for over 30 years. Α. my first two as assistant solicitor in the Sixth Circuit, which is Chester, Lancaster and Fairfield. We prosecuted general session cases and DJJ cases. I got more experience prosecuting DJJ cases probably than DJJ after that. I worked under the Honorable John I had a lot of experience and served two Justice. years of prosecutor. Then I went back to Union and have practiced there my entire career for 30-plus And I have done family court throughout my 30 vears. I think I've handled -- I won't list them -years. but virtually every type of family court case there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

can be. I'm sure there's some issue I might not have done regularly that I'd have to do a little research on, and that's not a problem. I've also done criminal and civil. Just briefly, I've been in family court I've been in criminal court in tons of times. magistrate's, municipal, and general sessions, and I've had trials on all of those. I've had trials in common pleas court and civil trials in magistrate's I've had trials in Workers' Compensation Commission. I've had trials in probate court. served as a quardian a lot and I've served as a mediator numerous times. So I think my experience would make me well qualified to handle virtually any And I want to add to that, even doing situation. criminal, I think, helps with family law, because there's a lot of things in family law, like domestic violence and crimes that matter, and we sort of understand those. Even, I've also done a lot of real estate, a little bit more in the last few years with the boom of real estate. In a small town, you do everything. And I think that's helped, because I've seen so many people come see me and they're going to sell their property. But they didn't know that after they got divorced, that they still need to do a deed, or what type of deed, which matters to title insurance 1 companies if it's right of survivorship or if it's tenancy in common. And I think all of that 2 3 experience, even though it doesn't seem like it would 4 matter, does. Because I've dealt with a hundred loan 5 closes last year, or more, easily -- probably. Don't 6 know the exact number. And so I know values, 7 appraisals. I understand a lot of that. And all 8 that, I think, put together will make it beneficial 9 for me.

Q. Mr. Diamaduros, are there any areas of the law for which you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge and how would you handle that additional preparation?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I'm going to say no. There might be some areas that we don't see frequently anyway. It might take some research, but I don't think there's any areas that I'm not prepared for. But obviously, the day-to-day divorce, the DSS cases, those are so routine, but I'm sure there will be something that will take attention to, but I don't really have a list.
- Q. Please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters, and specifically, discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. So probably the larger case I dealt with was the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Boulware case that went to the Supreme Court. not handle the appeal but I handled the trial. The case lasted for several years, but I was only involved for the last few weeks. I was retained. But I did qo to the trial, which had six or seven lawyers, DSS. And it was a three-day trial, I believe. successful in that the foster parents did not have standing in that case, but it was overturned in Supreme Court, because any South Carolina resident has standing for adoption. So that would probably be the more popular case I've handled. Recently, I handled an adoption in the Randall case, which was one of my bigger adoptions for multiple reasons. One, it was not an intra-family. It was outside, so it takes all the requirements of an adoption. But also, because there's an agency in Union called C4 ministries opened up by two preachers and they're the ones -- one of them was getting the child. And when we went to court, they showed up with about 30 people from the C4 Ministry to support it. So that was the most uplifting, exciting time I've had, because I've never had that many people to celebrate a family court I've also handled numerous custody cases, divorce cases. I've handled an adult charged with neglect of a child over returning a child to the

1 hospital and how they should've done it. 2 matters, tons of them. Now, obviously, I would say 3 that I think we tried more cases early on than now, 4 because mediation seems to work well. So I would say 5 that more cases are mediated than tried. But I've handled tons of financial matters. Given that in 6 7 Union, we probably don't have some of the same 8 financial matters on a day-to-day basis that you might 9 have in Greenville or Charleston, but still, we've 10 handled them. And it's the same rules. It's just 11 probably not as big of numbers as on a daily basis in 12 some of the bigger towns.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Diamaduros, the Commission received 343 ballot box **Q.** surveys regarding you, with 21 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: Beyond qualified. Will have an excellent courtroom temperament. experienced, fair and ethical. And there is no attorney that is more personable, good-hearted, and morally righteous. The only negative comment raised did not reveal any pattern of concern. I would note that the Piedmont Citizens Committee found Mr. Diamaduros qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The Committee found him well

1 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 2 fitness, professional and academic ability, character, 3 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 4 Committee stated, in summary, Mr. Diamaduros was a 5 member of this committee for many years. He impressed 6 us then and impresses us now. As a lawyer with a deep 7 commitment to his clients and his community, a deep 8 knowledge of the areas of law required of family court 9 practitioners and deep reserves of humility and compassion. We think he would make an excellent 10 11 family court judge. I just have a few housekeeping 12 issues. Mr. Diamaduros, are you aware that as a 13 judicial candidate, you are bound by the Code of 14 Judicial Conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 15 Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

16 A. Yes, ma'am.

17

18

19

- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 20 A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 24 | A. I am.
 - Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you

1 sought or received a pledge of any legislator either 2 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 3 screening? 4 No, ma'am. Α. 5 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of 6 the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware 7 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 8 your behalf? 9 No, ma'am. Α. 10 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 11 Commission's guidelines on pledging in SC Code 2-19-12 70(E)? 13 Α. Yes, ma'am. 14 I would just note for the record that any MS. CRATER: 15 concerns raised during the investigation 16 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 17 the questioning of the candidate today. 18 Chairman, I have no further questions. 19 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do members of 20 the Commission have questions or comments of Mr. 21 Diamaduros? If I could add one more thing before 22 MR. DIAMADUROS: 23 that, I'll just add that I did forget to say one 24 other thing that would -- I think would assist me 25 in being a family court judge is I was married in

1 1991 and divorced in two thousand -- separated in 2 2007, divorced in 2009, which is in my 3 questionnaire. But I've also lived through a 4 divorce with joint custody, equitable division of 5 property, alimony. And I think living through it 6 -- and I'm remarried to my lovely wife, Beth and 7 we have -- I have three children, she has two, so 8 we have five combined. So I sort of know how to 9 handle a blended family and go through a divorce, 10 so I think I understand the personal toll of a 11 divorce more than some lawyers. Not to say that 12 makes me better, but I felt the pain of what a 13 divorce is like. And it's not an easy thing, and 14 I think that should help. That's all. 15 Mr. Safran. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 16 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SAFRAN: 19 I just had a quick, I guess, wonder. In one of the Q. 20 comments, it said that, you know, nothing personal, 21 but they questioned the breadth of your experience. And it looks like to me you've been doing some level 22 23 of family court work for over 30 years. You've been 24 through a divorce yourself, so you've kind of seen a

lot of what you're going to have in front of you on a

day-to-day basis. Why would anybody question that,
you think?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So first of all, they told me that comment. Α. it was very minimal, but I lacked experience and depth. I can't answer it. I have been, for 30something years, the first two were just prosecution of juveniles and then after, I have done family court continuously through the 30 years and there's never been a pause in it. I've also served as county attorney, which also is a little different area in I wonder sometimes -- and this might come Union. across wrong, but sometimes only people look at a small town and think that we're from Union and maybe we don't have the depth and experience that you would have in a Greenville or Charleston. And I don't believe that's correct. So I can't really answer why. And I know we had it, but I wonder sometimes if we're not a little bit -- the smalltown lawyer maybe not as good as some of the bigger cities and maybe we didn't see enough to have the depth or experience. that's probably the best I could say, I think.
- Q. Well, you know, I've practiced in both bigger and small. And when you're in a small town, you take everything. I mean, that's what comes in the door. And I guess, you know, you may not have people, you

1 know, bringing their yachts up to the office, but by 2 the same token, you're dealing with the same issues. 3 It may just be in a different scale. I'm sure over 4 the course of time, you've had some people who've had more assets than others. But it sounds like to me 5 6 you've done divorces, you've done custody, you've done 7 adoption at some point or another. You've done pretty 8 much anything and everything you'd see as a family 9 court judge, haven't you? 10

- A. Yes, sir, DSS, DJJ, TPRs. I did one of those in the last year or two. I mean, I don't think anything I could've missed. My partner, Tom White, once told me when he became a judge, he told me, we may not be used to handling \$50 million estates, but there's also people that live in a mobile home and have one car and you have to divide that too. So there's actually different complexities to everything.
- Q. Oh, there are. Look, Tom was a classmate of mine, and I can hear him saying it. So --
- A. He'd spend hours talking to me on that, so.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. I think that the thing is is that maybe there's an undervaluing of being a big person, being something that will listen, being somebody who won't take themselves too seriously. That's what I'm reading here is the description of you. You know, you've been

- a people person for along time. And I think isn't
 that really what you would appreciate out of a family
 court judge when you go in with your client?

 A. Yes, I believe we want -- well, you got to have a
 family court that's stern, but you also have to have
 - family court that's stern, but you also have to have somebody that's compassionate and nice. I got questions, going through this process, of maybe I was too nice. I'm very argumentative and stand my ground when I go to court. I've had day hearings recently. I just think sometimes you have to be nice first, because that's what people want. But when a client comes in front of you, with a lawyer or not, being nice and compassionate and listening is more important than stern, unless they're disrespectful or something like that.
 - Q. Well, isn't this really oftentimes the worst time they're ever having in their lives when they come in front of you in family court?
- 19 A. I would agree.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And that's the time, really, that need to have a little bit of concern and compassion.
- A. Yeah. Well, my wife is a nurse, and I'll say this.

 We deal with people at their weakest time and so does

 she in hospitals, doctors. I think if you don't have

 compassion with people that are going through a hard

- time or going through a sickness, then you don't need to be there in the first place.
 - Q. Well, and, you know, you've been in front of a ton of judges over the years and I think Judge Sinclair was one of them.
- 6 A. Uh-huh.

4

- 7 | Q. He's pretty nice, wasn't he?
- 8 A. Yeah, he's very nice.
- 9 Q. And but he kept control of the courtroom and made things run the way they should.
- 11 A. Well, I've seen tough when we had Judge Guyton. And
 12 we had Judge Jones, bless his soul. And Judge White,
 13 they were all three, in our circuit, completely
 14 different judges, but very good and different, is the
 15 best way to say it.
- 16 | Q. Well, so you've seen kind of how to work it.
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. And I guess, maybe you borrow something from every one of them in terms of trying to maybe how you want to run things.
- A. Honestly, that's what I'm going to try to do is to
 piece it together. And past judges in Union. I
 learned a lot from the ones that were there, the Jack
 Flynns and the Robert Guesses. And Judge Wilborn was
 there when I first started. That's how long ago I was

- 1 there. But they were all different.
- Q. Thank you for your comments and thank you foroffering.
- 4 A. Thank you. Appreciate it.
- 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin.
- 6 EXAMINATION
- 7 | BY SENATOR RANKIN:
- 8 Q. You're the only candidate in the field, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 And we had that with your -- the previous screening of Q. 11 Ms. McAlhany, who you will meet if you haven't 12 already. But similarly for her, you obviously enjoy 13 the regard and esteem of those who could have run as 14 well, but chose not to. So that puts you literally 15 head and shoulders above the others in terms of no one's standing in the race besides you. So there's a 16 17 compliment, obviously, to you in the regard that you 18 The negative comment, we throw in there just 19 for spice, I think just to get a reaction. But again, don't believe -- and your wife in particularly --20 21 that's not the diagnoses that the world of the anonymous -- the world of anonymity shares of you. 22 23 And one particular that may have been spoken of, which 24 I think is incredibly appropriate, in terms of the 25 personableness, good-heartedness, and moral

1 righteousness that I think is so important as a judge, 2 whatever the context of law before them, but 3 particularly, as a family court litigant. And then 4 one final comment. You could not have said it any 5 better, Been there, done that. And I've been there, 6 did that and I think that does give you an acute 7 appreciation for the person coming before you. I have 8 said, as a lawyer, to the client across the table from 9 me I can feel for you because you really have no sense 10 of control in the process that is about to become 11 this, and this your case. And so in a family court context, I share that I'm not in control. 12 I cannot do 13 any more than I can do. You, having been there, I 14 think are going to be so perfect for the litigants 15 before you. Not that you'll have to tell that story, 16 but that you will wear on your robe and be able to 17 relate with folks. I wish you well, and Godspeed and 18 lovingly, tenderly, respectfully, do wear that robe 19 lightly. 20 Thank you. Α. 21 Representative Jordan. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 22 23 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 EXAMINATION 25 BY MR. JORDAN:

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 2122
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. Thank you for offering. I'm very impressed by your qualifications and I'm just curious. Did your brother and you talk about doing this at some point in time years ago or just did --
- Α. So it's been mentioned to me for several years, mainly from my partner, Judge White, that, I'm going to retire one day and you might be the heir apparent that might want to do this. And I never answered because I just didn't put it on my plate. If it came, I would decide at that time. So we didn't talk at length until recently when we both decided that Spartanburg created a new seat and my partner decided to retire early, because I was unaware he was going to retire early and then he hinted he might. So it just sort of hit us both at the same time. So, yeah, I actually hate to say this, but I met with my partner Tom White longer -- Judge White -- than anybody before I made a decision because I wanted to hear -- he had been with us for 20 years and I wanted to have like ten years on the other side so I didn't get into something I didn't like. He told me everything for an hour or two, everybody positive, some of the negatives, everything about being a judge. So me and my brother -- my brother and I did not plan it that way. But now that we're doing it, it seems to work in good fashion. Ι

1 wish him and myself well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I was just curious. I have a brother that if I told
 him I was going to be a judge, I think he'd try and be
 a judge too. And he's not even a lawyer, so.
- Α. There will be no competition. I think my brother moved first because Spartanburg seat was announced and he said he was going to do it. And then I got a call from Tom saying he was -- again, I'm sorry, I keep calling him Tom, but he's my partner. But Judge White -- and he said he was going to retire and he sort of put the word out and he said, I want to talk to you, and we talked and, very amicably, we both decided to We're not doing -- I will say this. doing because we're going broke from practice law and we need this for money. I don't know if that's appropriate to say. But we didn't need it to end our careers. We've had a prosperous career for 30something years. It just gives me something to do different and I think it will be rewarding and I can make a difference. And so just being -- again, I hate to say -- repeat it, but being an advocate for 30 years, sometimes you just get tired of just picking a side and getting hired and arguing in court when you know you might not even have the good side, but you still have to represent your client.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I can't decide which permutation of 2 events would lead to the most interesting 3 Thanksgiving dinner next year, your shared 4 success, your shared failure, one or the other. 5 And then you both have children I understand are lawyers as well. Good luck. 6 7 MR. DIAMADUROS: My son works for Mike Kelley law 8 group and my daughter works in Columbia in 9 Hometown Ticketing. My other daughter is in 10 University of South Carolina, and my two step 11 kids are both in high school or middle school. 12 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Talley. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY SENATOR TALLEY: 15 Mr. Chairman, Mr. Jordan actually took my question, Q. 16 which you've somewhat answered. I guess I hadn't 17 thought about the timing of the new seat in 18 Spartanburg. But one thing we were talking about 19 earlier and so I'll just throw it out to you now. Will this leave two active lawyers practicing in Union 20 21 if you're both successful? 22 So we have -- my brother and me are one firm. Α. All and 23 Frost is another firm. But Mr. Frost works in Union 24 but lives in Spartanburg. 25 Right. Q.

- A. Cheryl Bland is a lawyer that does family court, but she actually lives out of town. Then we also have a couple of prosecutors, Jennie Williams, who has been practicing about 10, 12, years, does DJJ but doesn't do family law. So there are still some people, but there's not a lot left.
- 7 Q. Right. I just wondered if y'all just couldn't stand -8 -
- 9 A. And Melinda Butler --
- 10 Q. -- to be alone and so that's why y'all both did it at the same time.
- 12 A. And also, Melinda Butler. Melinda Butler also 13 practices --
- 14 Q. That's right, I didn't think about Melinda.
- 15 A. She does almost all family court and criminal defense 16 appointed. So there's still -- there's about six or 17 eight. Two of them are prosecutors, though.
- 18 Q. Well, I'm glad you're offering. It's good to see you.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other questions or comments?

 22 Seeing none then, that will bring us to the

 23 conclusion of this part of our screening process,

 24 Mr. Diamaduros. So I do need to take this moment

 25 to remind that pursuant to the Commission's

1 evaluative criteria, the Commission expects 2 candidates to follow the letter, as well as the 3 spirit of our ethics laws. And we will view 4 violation or the appearance of impropriety as 5 serious and potentially deserving of very heavy 6 weight in our screening deliberations. 7 you know, the record will remain open until the 8 formal release of the report of qualifications 9 and -- however remote, should the need arise, we 10 would be able to call you back for further 11 discussion. So with that, thank you for being 12 here today and thank you for offering for service 13 to the State of South Carolina. 14 MR. DIAMADUROS: Thank you all. Appreciate it. 15 Thank you, sir. On motion of CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin and seconded by Representative 16 Rutherford, the pending question now is going 17 18 into executive session. All in favor, signify by 19 saying, Aye. 20 MEMBERS: Aye. 21 Opposed, Nay. The ayes have it and CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 22 we will go into executive session. 23 (Executive session was held from 3:32 - 5:54) 24 (Off the record) 25 Good evening, ladies and gentlemen, CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

we are now back on the record. We have been in
executive session and for the record while we
were in Executive Session receiving a legal
briefing, no decisions were made and no votes
were taken. There being no further business on
the agenda for today, we will stand adjourned
until tomorrow at 9:00 am. Thank you.
(There being no further questions, the hearings
concluded at 5:55 p.m.)

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, KATHRYN BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC 4 IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY 5 CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THE HEARINGS ON MONDAY, THE 27TH 6 DAY OF NOVEMBER 2023, THAT THE WITNESS WAS FIRST DULY SWORN 7 BY ME AND THAT THE FOREGOING 235 PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE 8 AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID 9 DEPOSITION. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR 11 COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE 12 PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY 13 INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE. 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF SAID TRANSCRIPT 15 WAS THEREAFTER SEALED BY ME AND DELIVERED TO ERIN CRAWFORD, 16 GRESSETTE BUILDING, 1101 PENDLETON STREET, COLUMBIA, SOUTH 17 CAROLINA, WHO WILL RETAIN THIS SEALED ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT 18 AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING SAME WITH THE COURT 19 PRIOR TO TRIAL OR ANY HEARING WHICH MIGHT RESULT IN A FINAL 20 ORDER ON ANY ISSUE. 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 4TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2023. 22 23 24 KATHRYN B. BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER 25 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 23, 2032

	11	121:17 139:6	2-19-70(e)	2021
\$	11:6 85:7	144:14 200:9	16:14 35:18	26:13 165:4
	11:00	213:5	56:18 72:18	2022
\$10	168:13	164	90:17 109:7	138:25 139:3
169:11	12	149:11	128:7 173:10	155:9 193:7
\$100	85:10 121:15	17	191:7 209:14	2023
169:11	177:11 233:4	121:20	20	17:15 138:25
\$40,000	12:20	170	43:6 47:7 49:4	193:7
207:12	120:19	75:5	54:13 66:14	2024
\$50	13	17th	67:15 98:3	23:22
225:14	23:11 105:2	74:19	162:4 165:23	2025
	138	18	230:19	23:10
0	69:23	9:24 69:24 70:6	200	21
	13th	145:5	168:6	13:6 54:9 162:7
04	33:2 118:12	18th	200-question	220:14
140:8	14	70:25	195:8	22
05	23:11 32:14	19	2004	10:24 125:3
140:8	52:12 55:3	140:1 145:8	138:21	155:6 182:5
06	105:3,5 131:25	162:5	2006	23
47:14 140:8,9	195:16	1991	138:21	47:6 182:8
07	144	223:1	2007	24
47:15 140:8	88:3	1:16	223:2	106:14 123:18
	15	120:19	2009	182:6,12
1	13:20 29:12	1:30	207:1,4,14	240
	41:3 121:14	144:13	223:2	186:15
1	125:3 130:10,12	144.13	2011	243
10:23 23:10	133:6,19 134:1		137:20	206:9
121:18 214:16	145:6 176:17	2	2012	25
1,010	195:8	2	137:20 138:25	
195:19	15-minute	11:1,2 66:17	207:15	200:8
10	133:4 154:7	2,000	2013	250/300
41:3 66:19	176:9	14:15	81:21	116:21
233:4	150	2-19-	2014	2500
100	168:6	222:11	30:12	166:11
46:25 168:5	1500	2-19-70	2016	26
107	166:11 195:16	16:3 35:7 56:7	139:8	200:11
55:2	15th	72:6 90:6	2019	27
10:00	14:1 60:19	108:21 127:15	22:8 26:13	12:6 200:14
111:9	14:1 60:19 16	150:18 151:9	139:1,22	272
10:01	28:10 30:9,11	172:19 190:16	2020	107:1 119:11
8:14	39:24 51:7 65:9	208:23 221:21		28
	84:5 85:8 104:3	200.23 221.21	17:7,12,18,19 139:21 140:1	165:2 213:4
	1 04.J 0J.0 IU4.J	1	137.41.140:1	1

29	43	70(E)	Abbeville	accepted
75:22 76:3	186:16	222:12	70:20,25	101:10,11
213:7	4:00	700	aberration	accepting
2L	176:14	168:4	100:8	152:12,13
82:22	170.14	7500	ability	
02.22		166:9	14:18 15:13	access 59:15
	5	7th	19:18 34:13	accident
3	5	18:22 167:9	55:13 59:21	11:14 166:21
3	29:14 105:6	16.22 107.9	71:7 89:14	
11:5	50		107:13 108:4	accommodations
30	87:23	8	117:7 126:25	22:5
88:4 95:17	501	8	149:25 166:1	accomplished
130:11,17	15:17 34:20	66:13 85:11	172:8 180:9	158:8
149:12 167:7	55:20 71:21	145:9	190:3 208:5	account
202:4,6,9	89:20 108:10	80	210:23 216:4	40:25
216:14,23	127:8 150:7	32:13	221:2	accountable
219:19 223:23	172:12 190:10	80/85	absence	63:4
224:8 231:21	208:16 221:14	97:1	19:10	accountants
30-	56	87	absent	186:9 205:20
224:5 231:17	206:10	13:19 17:5	211:10	accounting
30-plus	5:54	13.19 17.3	absolute	169:5,6
215:24 216:22	234:23		137:2	accusations
343	5:55	9	- absolutely	149:5
220:13	235:9	9	37:12 38:21	accused
35	233.9	66:16 126:13	79:12 115:19	205:5
165:5 167:3		90	absorb	acknowledge
36	6	169:19	142:11	135:6
167:8	6	91	abundance	acquitted
37	52:11,15 121:21	126:12	14:17	80:9
164:10 166:8	200:12	911	abuse	act
167:3,24	63	39:6	54:21 203:4,6	40:18 125:20
387	58:3	95-plus	204:21	acting
170:22	67	135:4	academic	17:12
3:32	107:2 170:22	9:00	15:13 34:13	action
234:23		235:7	55:13 71:7	70:19 148:20,21
<i>23</i> 1,23	7		89:14 108:4	149:4 207:15,
		9:35 8:14	126:25 149:24	18,20,22,24
4	7	0.14	172:8 190:3	actions
4	29:15 52:14		208:5 221:2	33:18,20 124:23
29:11	66:20 162:8	A	- Academy	148:17 185:1
40	182:9,13 200:15	A +	194:20,21	active
177:4 184:7	213:8	208:13	195:2,7,17,18	98:13 165:9
		200.13		
	1	<u> </u>	1	1

			1	1000117
232:20	Additionally	12,15 225:7	141:3,16,24	100:2 134:17
actively	55:14 150:1	adoptions	145:20 162:20	136:11 158:4
98:2	additur	147:11 148:19	175:23 176:8	226:19
activities	113:10,12	158:13 202:13	183:1 201:2	agreed
152:19	118:13	219:13	213:21	167:21
acts	address	ADR	affirm	agreement
41:1	70:14 78:19,25	19:8 27:3	143:24 144:5	118:21 130:13
actual	79:25 80:21	adult	affirmation	133:11 176:1,2
24:2	134:10 154:9	219:24	160:16 174:2	agreements
acute	206:2 207:10	adults	affirms	130:16 202:14
229:6	addressed	131:5	61:22	agrees
ad	133:19 143:17	advance	afflicted	153:15
132:1 139:10,	154:8	25:4 111:8	92:8	ahead
11,15 140:1,3	addresses	advantage	afford	8:2 43:23
203:5,7	10:4 33:19,25	61:16 62:12	135:25	110:14 112:11
add	addressing	124:7	affording	117:9 154:17
37:10 63:11	206:7	adversarial	53:18	aid
119:4,14 217:14	adds	98:3 99:7 116:1	afternoon	203:17,21
222:22,23	129:8	advice	105:25 106:1	aide
addiction	adjourned	81:1	128:20,21	38:1
96:21	235:6	advocate	151:20,21	Aiken
adding	adjudicate	136:19 152:23	163:15 173:23,	10:8 94:4 99:18,
169:16	130:14 143:2	159:21,24	24 176:15	19 101:2 156:7
addition	adjudicating	215:25 231:21	180:20,21 199:2	air
64:2 126:17	124:5	advocates	201:9 211:22	15:2
143:16 144:24	adjunct	113:2 115:25	age	aisle
149:4,16 202:25	69:17	117:6	13:1 30:22 44:6	42:22
additional	administrative	advocating	54:4 57:18 58:2	Albert
10:12 13:20	33:3,6 77:13	115:2 160:1	68:14 86:25	67:15
32:15 69:24	admirable	affect	106:9 123:11	Alejandro
88:4 107:3	210:1	54:20	125:13 131:25	47:7
124:1,3 126:13	admire	affectionally	146:15 163:24	alimony
147:23,24 148:1	63:1	184:23	183:23 201:23	186:12 223:5
149:12 154:22	admissibility	affectionately	215:18	allegation
158:22 168:19,	59:14	62:19	agency	149:2
21,22 170:23	admitted		219:16	allowed
184:19,21	155:24	affidavit 135:4	agenda	202:21
186:16 203:24			8:5 235:6	
204:1 206:10	adopted 125:13	affidavits	agent	alluding 134:22
207:17 218:11,		11:24 30:2 53:3	20:25 26:22	
13 220:14	adoption	67:7 85:24 105:18 122:8	agree	alternative
	148:21 219:10,	103.10 122.0	77:7,11 94:13	26:19 27:4
	ı	ı	I	1

		 	1	1
altogether	announced	210:17 234:4	appraisals	143:23 180:9
102:19	231:6	appeared	218:7	198:20 210:22
amateurs	anonymity	71:12 93:18	appreciation	234:9
46:17,18	63:15 119:6	195:13 196:23	82:15 229:7	arises
Amazing	228:22	appearing	approach	27:24 64:25
170:25	anonymous	109:19 110:9	130:4,5	Aristotle
amended	61:22 155:22	appears	approximately	188:20
206:25	228:22	11:22 29:24	76:4 155:10	Arizona
amendment	answering	52:25 67:4	Arabia	47:8
9:16 11:3 66:2,	21:23 97:8	85:21 105:15	39:4	arm
18 121:19	168:10	122:7 145:17	archaic	165:12
181:20 182:10	answers	162:17 182:24	25:16	arrangement
200:13	111:5 112:13	200:25 213:18	area	141:17
America	144:15 159:8	appellate	19:11 24:17,18	arrested
20:20	160:6	15:18 34:21	36:10 77:14	40:17 41:7
American	anybody's	55:21 71:21	138:3 159:6	arrogant
195:2,7,17	100:13	89:21 108:11	178:9 224:10	187:7,16 197:14
amicably	apologize	127:9 150:8	areas	article
231:12	42:17 53:14,18	172:13 190:10	15:10,12 54:14,	69:9
amount	67:20 98:21,22	192:9 208:17	16,17 81:1 82:9	articles
46:9 112:22	140:17 144:13	221:15	87:20 97:10	11:21 29:24
113:15 135:25	apostrophe	application	119:13 123:25	52:25 67:4
158:11 171:2	97:20	11:19 29:22	124:4 147:22	85:21 105:15
and/or	Appalachia	52:23 54:8 67:2	158:10 168:18	122:6 145:17
58:20 95:22	196:11	85:19 105:13	184:18,22,23	162:17 168:3
Anderson	apparent	122:4 138:4	185:10 187:5	182:23 200:24
120:23,24,25	230:7	145:15 162:15	189:24 190:2	213:18
121:3,10,16,19,	apparently	182:21 200:22	203:17,23	ascending
23 122:14,22	207:9	213:16	206:15 208:4,7	211:12
123:3,5,9,13	appeal	applied	218:10,14,16	aspect
126:12,15,21	219:2	101:4,9 118:8,	221:8	26:20 33:7
127:6 128:20	appealed	22	arena	81:16 110:19
132:11,16	23:8 189:16	apply	166:10 167:19	152:23 157:9
137:13,17	appeals	204:9	argued	aspects
143:5,9,11,13	25:8 32:2,3 82:7	appoint	78:11	124:19 148:11
144:5,6,7,9	146:23	77:9	arguing	149:9 159:3
anger	appearance	appointed	216:8 231:23	169:2,4 185:14
88:11 117:4	27:18 50:17	20:3 77:8	argumentative	204:14 218:24
angry	64:20 83:15	139:16 141:9	226:8	Assembly
102:17 117:5	103:12 120:1	185:6 233:16	arise	16:5,8 35:9,12
angst	143:20 160:10	apportion	50:23 83:21	56:9,12 60:21
177:16	180:3 198:14	126:8	103:18 120:6	72:8,11 90:8,11
	100.5 170.11			,
	1	1	I .	1

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
108:23 109:1	attached	175:14	awareness	155:19 196:4
127:17,25	184:25	attribute	203:13	backgrounds
150:19 151:3	attack	117:10,17	awesome	74:14 147:19
172:21 173:4	39:6 70:20	attributed	86:14 95:5	backlog
177:24 190:18	attempted	188:19	aye	60:8,12,14
191:1 208:25	25:21	attributes	8:9,10 120:14,	176:5 192:22
209:8 221:23	attempting	25:24 116:13	15 234:19,20	194:6,9
222:6	16:9 35:13	auditorium	ayes	bad
assessment	56:13 72:12	156:8	8:11 120:16	17:8 45:2 74:4
113:19	90:12 109:2	augmentation	234:21	99:5 116:9,12,
asset	128:1 151:4	10:11		13
54:25 123:21	173:5 191:2	August	В	bag
126:6,18 128:23	209:9 222:7	47:8 185:3		46:24 47:1
186:25	attend	authors	back	bags
assets	32:23 111:9	73:14	8:20 27:23 28:7	46:23,25
169:13,23 206:3	attended	avenues	42:19 45:2	balance
225:5	110:22 111:11	69:13	50:22 51:4	73:16 124:25
assigned	attending	aversion	53:15 58:23	131:7 133:15
31:6	37:6 110:20	118:11	64:7,25 65:7	136:2
assist	attention	avoid	73:11,19 74:24	balancing
13:3 30:25 54:6	25:14 98:12	15:4 98:14	80:24 81:8	42:12
68:17 87:3	99:23 218:19	awarded	83:20 84:3	ball
106:12 123:15	attitude	207:11,23	91:24 96:1	102:19
164:3 184:1	88:7 100:3	·	101:13,14	ballot
202:1 215:22	attorney	awards 113:17	103:17 104:1	11:18 13:18,19,
222:24	13:9 18:17,19		120:6 134:2,7	20 29:21 32:14,
assistant	54:9 63:17	aware	137:7 140:5,7	15 44:14 45:3,
30:9 69:3 147:6,	76:11 88:6 92:3	15:15 16:8	143:22 144:4	14 52:22 55:2,3
16 216:15	147:17 148:16	23:25 34:18 35:12 55:18	145:3 154:15	62:4 63:13 67:1
assisted	149:17 152:6	56:12 71:19	155:21 157:23,	69:24,25 78:16
14:12 19:1	153:2 156:1,15	72:11,14 73:13	25 160:13,22 164:15 168:12,	85:18 88:3,5
associate	157:24 159:11,	78:17 89:18	13 178:2,18	91:24 98:7
13:14 192:11	18,19 160:2	90:11 102:15	180:10 186:3	105:12 107:2,3
associations	171:1 203:2,6	108:8 109:1	188:9 198:19	119:6 122:3
34:2	206:1,20 220:19	127:6,10,25	199:2 210:24	126:12,14
assume	224:10	127:0,10,23	211:23 216:21	145:14 149:11,
78:24 152:5	attorney's	143:17 150:5	234:10 235:1	13 155:20
assuming	15:1 207:16,23	151:3,6 172:11	background	162:14 170:22,
60:14 153:11	attorneys	173:5 190:8	10:2,6 13:6,16	23 174:2 182:20
214:13	19:12,23 68:24	191:1 192:21	14:9 17:4,5	186:15,17 187:1
athletic	130:22 148:3	208:15 209:8	40:15 78:4	197:20 200:21
165:11	154:11 159:9,14	221:12 222:6	147:15 152:18	206:9,11 209:24
			11,,12,102,10	
	I	I	I .	1

164:21 167:4	52:20 54:16	48:19	boil
188:2 189:19	61:14 65:10	bills	130:24
basis	66:24 68:21,23	71:1,3	bond
32:6 40:22	69:22 84:6	birth	31:18
125:17 185:7	85:16 87:21	33:24	boning
220:8,11 224:1	88:9 92:9,19	Bishop's	24:17
basketball	95:4 99:23	74:7	bono
37:4	104:3 105:10	bit	82:23
battle			bonus
98:18			40:5
beach			book
		1	41:23
			books
	1		26:18
			boom
			118:16,17
			217:20
		•	
	beneficial	1 ' '	bootlegged
	218:8	1	11:10
	benefit	, ,	born
	177:10		58:3
	Benson		borrow
· ·	30:14,15,17		227:18
	35:20		bother
	Berkelev		79:22
	207:22		bottom
,			74:1
			Boulware
			219:1
		· ·	bound
	· ·		15:16 34:19
			55:19 71:20
ĺ ,			89:19 108:9
		blur	127:7 150:6
		140:10	172:11 190:9
	· ·	board	208:15 221:13
		18:25 19:16,25	boundaries
belt		118:23 165:10	142:21
155:11	1	boats	Boundless
bench	Bill	19:2	211:1,3
	1)	1	1 '
11:17 13:24 28:9 29:19 51:6	38:22 46:18	body	box
	basis 32:6 40:22 125:17 185:7 220:8,11 224:1 basketball 37:4 battle 98:18 beach 73:21 117:5 beat 99:8 beating 135:18 begin 105:22 beginning 18:23 21:11 22:6 23:10 behalf 16:8,10 18:20 19:2,3,6 35:12, 14 56:12,14 72:11,13 90:11, 13 109:1,3 127:25 128:2 133:25 136:19 138:20 139:25 151:3,5 173:5,6 191:1,3 209:8, 10 222:6,8 behave 100:4 behavior 98:10 belt 155:11	188:2 189:19 61:14 65:10 basis 66:24 68:21,23 32:6 40:22 69:22 84:6 125:17 185:7 85:16 87:21 220:8,11 224:1 88:9 92:9,19 basketball 95:4 99:23 37:4 104:3 105:10 battle 106:25 124:15 98:18 126:17 142:2,7, beach 8 156:16 161:2 73:21 117:5 162:12 166:2 174:22 177:9, 12,17 181:1 182:18 192:25 193:8 199:9 200:19 211:12 212:4 213:13 begin 218:8 18:23 21:11 21:4 21:13 22:6 23:10 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14,15,17 35:20 30:14 30:14,15,17 35:20 <	basis 66:24 68:21,23 71:1,3 32:6 40:22 69:22 84:6 birth 125:17 185:7 85:16 87:21 33:24 220:8,11 224:1 88:9 92:9,19 Bishop's basketball 37:4 104:3 105:10 battle 106:25 124:15 126:17 142:27, 98:18 126:17 142:27, 47:5 60:7 67:21 98:18 126:12 166:2 74:7 beach 174:22 177:9, 130:18 174:19 99:8 12,17 181:1 182:18 192:25 beating 193:8 199:9 120:19 211:12 15egin 212:4 213:13 175:7 189:12 beginning 218:8 18-226:21 16:8,10 18:20 21:23:0 177:10 behalf 16:8,10 18:20 20:12 224:19 19:2,3,6 35:12, 45:61:2,14 72:21,133:90:11, 13 109:1,3 127:25 128:2 133:25 136:19 138:20 139:25 151:3,5 173:5,6 191:1,3 209:8, 165:7 220:11 10:4 49:17,18 86:9 152:10 164:10, 13 184:11 140:

11:18 13:18,19,	briefing	buddy		candidacy
20 29:21 32:14,	8:13 235:4	57:20		15:22 34:25
15 44:14 45:3,	briefly	buffet	C	35:2 55:25
14 52:22 55:2,3	20:5 30:7	47:19	C4	71:25 73:15
62:4 63:13 67:1	124:16 139:18		219:16,19	74:24 89:25
69:24,25 78:16	141:3 148:8	build	caddied	107:2 108:15
85:18 88:4,5	168:24 185:11	134:14 202:21	38:19,25 46:21	122:3 127:13
91:25 105:12	204:11 217:4	builder	49:8	145:12 150:12
107:2,3 119:7	218:21	207:5	caddy	172:17 190:14
122:3 126:12,14	brilliant	built	38:15 74:15	208:21 221:19
145:14 149:11,	62:22	22:25 167:2		candidate
13 162:14		bump	caddying 37:17 38:15	15:16 16:18,20
170:22,23 174:2	bring	196:14	39:1 46:9,13,16	28:9 34:19
182:20 186:15,	166:5 168:14	bunch		35:22,24 48:6
17 187:1 200:21	174:22 178:21	94:25 164:22	calculate	51:6 55:19
206:9,11 209:25	192:25 233:22	165:8	40:11	56:22,24 65:9
213:15 220:13,	bringing	buried	call	71:19 72:22,24
15	19:1 102:14	179:16	8:3 20:18,19	84:5 87:5 89:19
boxes	137:7 153:21	burn	42:21 43:24	90:21,23 104:2
98:7 155:20	225:1	178:10,14	45:7 88:18	106:24 108:9
197:20	brings	bury	97:10,11 113:1,	109:12,13 114:1
Boyd	101:22	187:17	20 144:3 160:12	120:22 127:7
104:2,9 105:4,7	broad	business	168:10,12,13	128:11,13 150:6
	54:24 158:5	13:11 17:24	170:6 176:4	151:13,14 161:1
Bradley 87:10	broke	22:23 26:16	180:9 184:23	171:1 172:11
	169:20 231:14	37:22 60:16	185:17,18	173:15,16
brag	broken	76:19 82:16	210:23 231:7	180:25 190:8
164:19	142:25 157:2	126:4 169:7	234:10	197:18 199:8
bragging	brother	206:2 235:5	called	206:16 208:15
164:9	91:9 94:9	businesses	27:23 50:22	209:18,19 212:3
breadth	165:19 176:20	186:7 205:19,	57:13 64:25	221:13 222:16,
171:7 174:1	230:2,23,24	21,25 206:3	83:20 97:15	17 228:8
223:21	231:2,5 232:22	Butler	103:17 120:6	candidate's
break	brothers	233:9,12	165:20 167:11	12:22,23 30:19,
26:23 37:11	172:1	butt	189:18 198:19	20 53:25 54:1
breed	brought	196:14	219:16	86:22,23 106:6,
114:6	19:5 67:14	buzz	calling	7 123:8,9
Breeden	75:14 76:11,13	93:23	123:17 143:22	146:12,13
19:20 26:1	78:21 132:2		214:20 231:9	163:21,22
bridge	207:10	Bye-bye 180:17,18	calls	201:20 215:15,
59:21	brush	,	168:7 170:14	16
bridges	204:6	Byers 10:9	calm	candidates
178:10,14		10:9	127:3 178:18	27:16 50:15
				2
	I	1	1	1

53:16 64:17	careers	10:3 12:17 15:6	24:4 27:3,5	27:10 28:4,6,13,
74:13 80:17	231:17	17:25 18:11	31:24 33:9,10	19,23 29:2,5,9,
82:24 83:12	careful	25:4,6 31:5	41:13,20 42:12,	17 30:14 36:1,
103:10 119:24	107:6	40:7,14,15,22	16 59:2,25	22 44:11 45:20,
153:14 180:1	cares	41:25 42:11	60:10 62:8 70:4	22 48:14 49:19
198:11 210:14	95:10 107:5	43:4,11 44:5,9	75:22 76:6,7	50:11 51:4,10,
234:2	caring	54:20,21,22,23	78:11 80:7	16,20,24 52:5,9,
candidates'	70:5	59:3 61:10	95:18 96:25	18 53:14 57:1
138:12	carol	63:23 73:19,25	106:16 107:16,	62:1 63:10
candor	74:18	74:5 76:9,10,16,	21 111:9,17,18,	64:13 65:5,7,13,
174:14	Carolina	19 78:4 79:8,15	22,24 112:14,	20,24 66:4,7,11,
capable		80:8,12,15	17,20 113:12	22 67:19 68:6
59:20	13:10 15:17 16:13 19:17	95:24 96:1,3	115:11,12,14	73:1,5 77:20
capacities		99:18 110:23,	116:20,21	81:4 83:7 84:1,
13:12	21:6,14 28:1 34:21 35:17	24,25 111:3,18,	117:20 129:2,	3,9,15,19,23
	39:9 51:1 55:21	23 112:11,13	13,24 131:14	85:1,5,14 86:8,
capacity 58:20	56:17 65:3	113:5 114:10	135:14,24	17 90:25 91:16,
	71:21 72:17	115:9 116:18	138:15,17	20 93:9 97:22
capital	83:24 89:21	118:6 119:11	139:12,21	103:4,25 104:6,
110:23 111:3	90:17 102:10	124:8,20 130:4	140:13,18	12,16,20,23
115:12,13	103:22 106:17,	131:2 133:24	146:24 148:23	105:8,24
caps	24 107:17,18	134:14 135:5	166:10 168:4	109:16,23 110:1
150:2	108:10 109:6	140:6 141:9,16	174:7,15	113:23 116:4
captive	120:9 122:25	144:2 149:1	184:13,25	119:3 120:11,16
153:3	120:9 122:23	153:8 158:1	185:1,2,3,7,22	160:22 161:1,6,
capture	136:22 146:8	166:7 167:25	186:13 189:6	12,16,20,23
130:14	147:9 150:8,17	169:10 171:20	191:22 192:8,19	162:2,10 163:3,
car	151:8 156:6	175:8,15 176:12	193:19,22	5,10 173:18
32:11 41:14	172:13 180:14	186:12 189:11,	195:13 203:5,6,	176:23 178:25
79:13,18 225:15	183:16 190:10	17 192:10	8,15 204:5,17,	179:21 180:16,
cards	191:6 192:10	202:10 204:24	19 205:15,23	20,22,25 181:4,
169:15,21	196:10 198:22	205:1,2,12,24	216:17,18	10,14,18,22,24
care	201:17 208:17	210:5 216:6,25	218:18 219:23,	182:3,16 183:11
125:4 131:10	209:13 211:19	218:25 219:1,3,	24 220:3,5	191:14 194:14
160:20 169:11	215:12 219:9	8,11,12 229:11	casino	197:6 198:6,24
career	221:15 232:10	case-by-case	19:2	199:2,6,8,12,18,
81:14,17 106:23	234:13	125:17	CASKEY	22 200:1,4,17
107:16 168:7	Carolinians	caseload	8:2,11,16,25	201:12 209:21
177:6 178:1	157:17	23:3 59:24	9:3,9,13,17,23	210:9 211:2,17,
184:12,24,25		caseloads	10:14,17,21	22 212:1,7,13,
216:9,10,22	carry	107:7	11:8 12:9,12,17	16,20,23 213:2,
231:17	38:8	cases	16:21 18:3	11 214:4,6
	case	18:21 19:1,23	21:25 26:5	215:7 222:19
		10.21 17.11,20		
	1	l	1	

				1
223:15 228:5	chair	132:13 146:10	37:11 40:1 45:1	check
229:21 232:1,12	189:6,8,10,11,	151:15 160:22	57:15 86:3	11:23 29:25
233:21 234:15,	21	161:1,6,12,16,	102:16 142:22,	43:22 53:1 67:5
21,25	chairman	20,23 162:2,10	23	85:22 105:16
catch	8:2,11,16,25	163:3,5,10,19	chances	145:18 162:18
76:24	9:3,9,13,17,23	165:13 173:17,	40:4,21	182:25 201:1
categories	10:14,17,21	18 176:22,23,24	change	206:24 213:19
116:10	11:8 12:9,12,17,	178:24,25	10:10 20:25	checks
cathedral	18 16:16,21	179:1,21	21:1 93:7 165:8	122:7
188:17	18:3 21:24,25	180:16,18,20,	changed	cheeseburgers
Cattles	26:4,5,7 27:10	22,25 181:4,10,	100:4	171:25
139:1,3 140:4	28:4,6,13,19,23	14,18,22,24	character	Cheryl
caught	29:2,5,9,17	182:3,16	15:13 34:15	233:1
11:9 60:3,12	30:14,15 35:20,	183:11,18	55:13 71:7,15	Chester
causation	24 36:1,4,21,22,	189:22 191:12,	88:7 89:14 92:4	216:16
111:1	24 44:11 45:20,	14 194:13,14,15	108:5 127:1	chicken
	21,22 48:14	197:4,6,7 198:6,	149:25 172:8	196:18,19
causing 156:2 194:6,8	49:19 50:11	24 199:2,6,8,12,	190:3 208:5	Chief
1	51:4,10,16,20,	18,22 200:1,4,	221:2	20:14 101:10,11
cautioned	24 52:5,9,18	17 201:12,18	characteristics	child
9:7 28:17 51:14	53:14,21 56:20,	209:20,21 210:9	14:4	58:5 125:5,9,12
65:17 84:13	24 57:1,3 62:1,3	211:2,17,22	charge	131:17,19
104:9 121:4 144:20 161:10	63:10 64:13	212:1,7,13,16,	149:6 177:12,	132:3,4 134:4,
181:7 199:16	65:5,7,13,20,24	20,23 213:2,11	13,14	14 139:17
212:11	66:4,7,11,22	214:4,6 215:7,	charged	141:11 142:22
	67:19 68:6,7	13 222:18,19	40:24 41:16	157:14 166:25
Cañizares 47:7	72:20,24 73:1,4,	223:15,16 228:5	148:13 166:19	204:23 205:1,
	5,6 77:20,21	229:21,23	204:19,25	12,13 219:18,25
celebrate	81:4,5 83:7	232:1,12,15	219:24	child's
74:10 219:22	84:1,3,9,15,19,	233:21 234:15,	charges	125:3,11,15
cell	23 85:1,5,14	21,25	205:11	131:17,24
45:7	86:8,17,18	chairman's	Charles	children
Center	90:19,23,25	22:5	51:5,13 52:13,	118:3 125:18
39:11	91:16,20 93:9,	challenge	16 54:2 62:15,	127:4 131:5
centered	10 97:22 103:4,	42:12,18 159:6	18	133:23 134:4,6,
74:1	25 104:6,12,16,	challenging	Charleston	18 135:9,11
certification	20,23 105:8,24	112:15	26:17 38:6,11	140:4,5,13,15
26:14 77:10	109:9,16,23	champion	115:7 147:6	142:25 167:10
certified	110:1,4 113:23,	46:22	148:14 185:16	170:5 177:13
26:12 77:6	25 116:3,4	championship	220:9 224:15	203:19 205:2,3,
Chad	119:3 120:11,16 122:19 123:6	47:10	chase	4,6 223:7 232:5
51:5 62:18	122:19 123:6	chance	206:22	1,0 220.7 202.0
	140.14,1/		200.22	

choose	12 216:16	49:24 58:10	CLES	co-counsel
137:25 146:1	227:13	59:22,24 60:3,	50:1 110:20,22	189:12
choosing	circuits	12 61:8 73:19	client	Coastal
188:25	43:9	75:16,19 76:5,7,	80:9 92:21	13:10 17:15
chose	circumstance	16,19 77:2 78:3,	115:2,19 134:2	code
138:5 228:14	131:22	8,10 87:15	141:10 152:4,6,	15:16 16:14
chosen	circumstances	110:15,17,18,	12,13 154:15	25:3 34:19
211:7	19:15 41:13	20,21 111:2,4,7	159:24 171:16	35:18 55:19
Chris	42:14 140:16	112:9,17,22	202:16 226:3,11	56:18 71:20
101:12	cited	113:7 118:9,16,	229:8 231:25	72:18 89:19
Christian	25:8 139:21	19 217:4,8	client's	90:17 108:9
84:4,12 85:9,12	204:16	civil's	63:22 115:11	109:7 127:7
64:4,12 83:9,12 Christmas	cities	60:12	154:20	128:7 150:6,17
74:17 170:13	224:19	civil/criminal	clients	151:9 172:12
	citizen's	95:17	123:20 152:10,	173:10 190:9
church	15:7,8 55:8	civilly	15,17,24 153:2,	191:7 208:16
74:7,8,17	citizens	33:9	4 154:11 157:4,	209:14 221:13
187:23 188:11, 13	19:16 34:10	clarity	10 159:21,25	222:11
	71:4 89:9	191:21	168:6 169:14	cognizant
Circle	106:23 107:25	class	171:11,12	14:25
177:5	126:20 147:9	13:11 17:15	174:7,18 176:2,	COHL
circuit	149:20 153:20	22:21 26:14	6 186:1 193:13	122:19,21 123:6
13:4,7,13,17	172:3 189:23	96:20	204:18 221:7	128:9
14:1,3,7,9,11,16 19:20 28:9	208:2 220:22	classmate	clinics	Cohl's
30:11 31:1,6	city	225:18	26:18	128:22
33:2 41:10 51:6	13:9,15 17:22	CLE	Clinton	Coleman
54:7,16,17	18:19 24:4	50:3	38:22 48:19	36:6
55:18 60:16,20	97:15 100:22	cleaned	close	colleagues
65:10 68:18,21,	101:6 122:22,24	40:18	41:18 67:17	49:20
22 71:17 73:18	146:6 163:16	clear	178:9 216:10	collection
75:20 76:13	183:14 201:15	125:2 174:15	closed	207:16
77:6 84:5 87:4	215:10	192:18	143:25	college
104:3 106:13,16	civic	clerk	closer	37:20 38:13
107:17 122:23,	147:3	14:11 33:1	58:2	46:17 69:7
24 146:7,9	civil	68:22 94:11	closes	102:9 165:11
161:3 163:16,17	17:24 18:10,13,	clerking	218:5	167:13
175:1 177:9	16,18 19:21	106:15	closing	collegial
181:2 183:15,17	23:24 25:22	clerks	143:7	99:11
192:22 199:10	26:25 31:22,24	146:23	Club	Columbia
201:16,17	32:10,20,23	clerkship	165:5,6,10,14	97:15 100:22
202:20 212:4	33:14 34:17	33:4	clubs	101:6 185:16
214:16 215:11,	36:15,16 44:18		38:8	188:12 232:8

				1
combined	149:12,14,18	151:17,23	221:4,5	competition
223:8	150:4 154:15	161:18 162:11	common	231:5
comfort	170:23,24	165:3,4 170:21	32:1,3,4,5 33:7,	complete
159:2,13	171:9,10,12,19	172:17 173:19	11,13 54:23	19:14 81:1
comfortable	173:19 174:1	179:21 180:1	69:2 76:1,6	102:21 152:11
153:21	179:22 186:16,	181:16 182:17	134:23 165:25	160:7
Commandments	18 187:3,5	184:5 186:15	166:6 168:16	completely
188:3	189:4 190:6	190:13 191:15	177:10 217:8	50:4 227:13
commas	191:15 192:3	198:11 199:24	218:2	completion
185:19,21	198:6 206:10,	200:18 206:9	communicate	19:24 148:15
commend	11,12,13 208:9	208:20 209:22	131:20 132:8	complex
50:9 179:13	209:22,24	210:14 212:18	communities	124:17,20,23
commendable	210:6,9 213:24	213:12 217:10	118:2 156:12	125:22 126:5
42:22	220:14,16	220:13 221:18	community	129:13 148:9,22
comment	222:20 223:20	222:20 234:1	13:25 69:17,20	149:9 168:25
9:20 62:4,13	228:2 233:21	commission's	71:15 107:9	170:1 185:12,22
128:22 171:16	commission	16:13 27:14	123:23 147:4,14	204:12,15
174:5,11 176:20	8:4 9:15 11:15	35:17 50:14	155:20 174:4,13	206:23 218:22
197:12,13	13:19 15:21	56:17 64:16	184:10 205:17	complex-wise
220:20 224:3	16:22 18:3,24	72:17 83:11	221:7	149:1
228:18 229:4	27:15 28:3,25	90:16 103:9	comp	complexities
	29:18 32:13	109:6 119:22	54:22 76:12	186:5 225:17
commented	34:24 36:2	128:6 151:8	166:20	
94:16	45:20 48:14	173:9 179:25	companies	complexity 110:12 205:9,10
commenters	50:15 51:22	191:6 198:10	39:4 218:1	,
94:18	52:19 53:9 55:2,	209:13 210:13		compliance
comments	24 57:2 62:1	222:11 233:25	company	11:20 29:23
13:20,22 14:5,6,	64:17 66:1,23	commit	26:16 207:2	52:24 67:3
20 16:22 26:6	68:1,3 69:23	137:1	compared	85:20 105:14
27:10 32:15,16,	70:19 71:24	commitment	94:20,22 159:6	122:5 145:16
19,21 36:2	73:2 75:13	221:7	compassion	162:16 182:22
49:21 55:3,4,7	78:19 83:7,12	committed	79:16 221:10	200:23 213:17
57:2 62:2 63:10,	84:21 85:15	81:15	226:21,25	complicated
12 64:3 67:10	88:3 89:24	committee	compassionate	107:7
69:25 70:1,7	91:17 97:23	15:7,9 34:10,16	55:17 150:4	compliment
73:2 78:16 79:5	103:4,10 104:18	55:8,15 71:5,11,	226:6,13	81:11 211:9
80:4,16 83:8	105:9 107:1	12 89:9,11	compel	228:17
86:2 88:4,6,10	108:14 109:20	107:25 108:6	32:8 78:12	complimentary
91:17,24 93:15	110:2 113:23	126:21,23 127:2	Compensation	119:15
103:5 107:3,4,	114:17 119:23	128:16 149:20	217:9	composure
11 113:24	122:17 126:12	150:1 172:3,6	competence	79:4,11
119:3,10,20	127:12 149:11	189:23 190:5	19:13	compounded
126:13,15,19	150:11,15	208:2 220:22,25		91:12
		200.2 220.22,23		
	<u> </u>	1	1	I

comprehend	89:20 108:10	163:22 175:15	108:25 127:24	141:7 229:2,12
206:22	127:8 148:14	183:21 201:21	151:2 173:4	continually
	150:7 165:3	215:16	190:25 209:7	157:18
concern 14:6 32:19 55:7	172:12 190:9	consequences	222:5	
70:9 126:20	208:16 221:14	118:7	contacted	continuance
149:19 171:14	conference		15:21 34:24	129:10,12
189:7 206:13	176:4	considerations	55:24 71:24	continue
220:21 226:21		143:16	89:24 108:14	70:12 80:19
	conferenced	considered	127:12 150:11	105:23 106:25
concerned 114:19 187:7	11:10	10:12 136:5	172:16 190:13	111:7 175:1
	conferences	142:1	208:20 221:18	185:8 199:3
concerns	50:5	consistency		204:2
10:4 14:5,8,21,	confident	116:14 117:10,	contacting	continued
24 16:17 35:21	14:18,21	12,19 166:24	16:4 35:8 56:8	17:17 20:10
56:21 70:7	conflicts	167:16	72:7 90:7	69:9 76:22
72:21 88:10,12	11:23 30:1 53:2	consistent	108:22 127:16	129:8 202:8
90:20 107:11,12	67:6 85:23	117:15,21	150:19 172:20	continuing
109:10 110:2	105:17 122:7	118:22 167:1	190:17 208:24	148:4
128:10 134:10	145:19 162:19	consistently	221:22	continuously
151:12 171:9	182:25 201:1	117:11 118:1,8,	contained	202:8 224:8
173:14 187:2,4,	213:20	22 168:7,8	12:22 13:21	contract
10 190:6 191:10	confront	constant	30:19 53:25	139:8 202:18
209:17 222:15	26:22 152:24	194:10	68:11 86:22	203:4
conclude	confrontational	constitutional	106:6 107:4	control
27:12 50:11	152:15	12:24 15:10	123:7 126:14	152:4,6,12
83:21 103:6	congestion	30:21 34:12	146:11 149:13	154:16 227:9
119:20 198:7	129:9,16	54:2 55:10	163:20 170:24	229:10,12
210:10	congratulate	68:13 71:10	183:19 186:17	controls
concluded	81:7 82:17	86:24 89:10	201:19 206:11	95:5
235:9	178:6	106:8 108:1	215:14 220:15	convening
concludes	congratulations	126:22 149:22	contentious	8:3
64:14	36:13 63:6	172:4 189:25	176:12	conversation
conclusion	congressional	208:7 220:24	contestant	37:12 156:23
233:23	18:22 179:11	construction	120:21	180:10
condescending	connect	207:2,5	contested	conversational
171:10 187:7,15	156:3	consultations	124:17 133:10	154:1
condition		17:24	136:16 148:9	
92:7	cons	consuming	168:25 170:11	conversations
conditions	215:2	168:2	185:12 204:12	167:20
125:5	consent	contact	218:22	conviction
conduct	12:23 30:20	16:7 31:12	context	40:10 110:19
15:17 34:20	54:1 68:12	35:11 56:11	70:15 98:8	convincing
55:20 71:20	86:23 106:7	72:10 90:10	99:13 102:18	125:2
33.20 /1.20	123:9 146:13	72.10 70.10		
	<u> </u>			

			122 0 24 25	1
Conway	costs	98:2 114:2	132:9,24,25	courthouse
13:15 17:23	115:11	132:24 137:17,	133:23 135:24	111:15 188:18
cooker	could've	19 141:10	146:17,20,23	courtroom
205:18	140:2 225:12	143:14 157:22	147:8,13,15,16,	36:17 42:10
cooking	counsel	166:4 170:10	20,24 148:9,11,	43:3 70:11,17
47:19	30:6 53:7 63:24	174:15,21 185:7	12,16 149:16,17	79:18,22 94:2
Cope	67:25 105:22	194:2 233:3	150:4,8 153:13,	95:5,9 102:24
62:21	122:16 139:10,	courses	20,22,23 154:19	171:3 220:17
copy	14,15,25 140:2	186:8	156:12 157:17	227:9
92:24 159:10,13	141:22,23	court	158:12 161:2	courts
core	163:8,9,10	13:4,7,13,14,15,	164:2 165:2	14:3,10,16 21:5
132:3,23	183:5 201:7	17 14:7,11,14	166:10,25	158:20,21
corner	214:8	15:18 19:20	168:20 169:1,3 172:13 175:16	167:24 169:18
48:20,22 49:2	counsels	20:2,3 25:5,8,10	172.13 173.10	covered
179:18	124:10	28:9 31:1,6,13,	170:7177:9,12,	138:3 207:12
Corp	counted	14 32:2,4 33:7	183:25 184:12,	COVID
147:18	40:8	34:21 37:4 41:3,	13,20 185:4,12,	193:8
corporate	counties	8,10 42:17 43:6,	14 186:21,22,25	Cox
206:1	43:20 166:12	8,16 45:8 51:6	188:10,21	12:24 17:20
Corporation	country	54:7,16,18,20,	190:10 192:9,22	craft
202:19	55:8 97:19	21 55:18,21	193:4,18,22	26:21
correct	149:20 206:17	59:15 60:17	194:2,24 195:9,	Crater
8:24 18:16 22:8	208:2	63:21 64:1,11	23 196:19 199:9	215:7,9,13
24:5 27:1 28:12	county	65:10 68:21,22 71:17,21 73:18	201:25 202:4,	222:14
51:8,9 52:3 84:7	13:9,13 18:19,	75:21,25 76:1,5,	11,25 203:12,	Crawford
133:2 137:25	24 19:2,3,6	13 77:5,6,8,9	15,25 204:4,12,	68:5
160:13,25	21:14 31:3,15,	79:4,12 84:5	14 205:10	crawl
174:9,10 199:6,	16 32:24 33:3	87:4,19 89:21	206:8,16,19	193:8
7,11 212:6	45:5 59:3,8	95:19 96:21	208:13,17 212:3	craziness
224:16 227:17	60:18 62:7 63:2	97:5,6,7 99:4	214:16 215:21	170:15
228:8,9	70:20 73:17	104:3 106:13,16	216:23,25	crazy
correction	87:14 94:4 97:9	108:11 110:21,	217:4,5,8,9,10	140:3 167:22
144:24	107:17 111:15	22 111:9,11	218:12,22,24	created
corrections	140:11 147:5	112:7,9,22	219:1,9,19,22	26:15 42:18
9:18 84:23	148:14 183:16	114:20 115:21	221:8,11,15	189:16 230:12
correctly	192:23 207:22	116:16 117:7	222:25 223:23	creating
8:22 49:7	224:9	120:22 123:13,	224:7 225:9	43:2
133:13 160:24	couple	18 124:2,6,9,12,	226:3,5,9,18	credentials
180:23 211:24	25:24 27:13	17,19 125:13	229:3,11 231:23	196:3 211:9
cost	38:12 46:11	126:16 127:5,9	233:1,15	credit
207:12	52:2 57:21 59:1	129:5,19,21	Court's	53:16 61:23
	78:2,18 89:17	130:2,14,23	154:19	169:21
				107.21
	1	1		1

	 I		 I	
Creighton	189:24 190:2	custom	day	dealt
36:6,9	198:11 200:20	67:21 73:9	8:21 36:17 37:6,	124:20 125:23
cried	208:4 210:14	cut	13 41:18 47:1	139:13 197:25
79:8	213:14 220:23	206:21	48:23 59:7,8,11	203:10 206:7
crime	221:1 234:1		73:19 74:14	218:4,25
97:1	criticism	D	86:9 94:1 140:8	DEANNE
crimes	117:1		164:12 175:3	144:19
41:1 217:17	cross	D.C.	188:6 196:20	death
criminal	100:13	49:2	197:5 204:3	14:10,12 32:11
17:23 23:24	crutch	dad	211:12 214:22	110:24 114:5,9,
24:1 25:22 31:5	193:6	37:24 195:23	226:9 230:7	23
33:12 36:11	cry	daily	day-to-day	debt
54:20 55:16	78:25 79:3	220:11	218:17 220:8	169:24
58:19,21 59:2,	crying	danger	224:1	decade
22,25 60:11,14,	79:6	97:17 102:1	days	147:7 152:8
18,23 61:1,8	culpable	135:9,12	24:7 46:13	deceased
75:23 76:1,5	211:2	dangerous	59:18,20 111:22	41:21
107:14 110:11,	cup	47:20	164:24 185:4	December
24 112:14,19	46:17 211:11	data	de	70:24 74:19
113:5 117:11	curiosity	9:13 28:23	113:17	decide
118:9,15,18,19	21:7	51:20 65:24	dead	138:11 230:10
148:14 166:16	curious	84:19 104:16	41:22 42:5	232:1
205:8,11 217:3,	37:16 39:25	161:16 181:14	deadline	decided
5,15 233:15	42:23 43:12	199:22 212:16	193:24	38:10 101:7
criminally	46:8 138:14	date	deal	135:5 230:11,12
33:10 166:19	152:1 196:7	16:1 35:5 52:1,4	31:5 42:14	231:12
criteria	230:2 231:2	56:4 72:4 90:4	44:14,15,20	deciding
11:18 27:15	current	108:19 127:21	49:17,18 54:15	137:6
29:20 34:11,15	26:2 33:18 60:4	148:4,7 150:24	91:9 97:2	decipher
50:14 52:21	138:7 148:7	158:2 167:8	118:15,20	170:20
55:9,12 64:16	curve	172:25 190:22	124:24 125:24	decision
66:25 71:6,9	152:3,4,13	209:4 222:2	146:24 157:20	113:14 177:11
83:12 85:17	custodial	dates	195:11 205:9,21	230:18
89:13 103:9	141:17	138:24	216:4 226:23	decisions
105:11 108:1,3	custody	daughter	dealing	8:19 107:6
119:23 122:1	130:3 141:11,21	69:5 102:8	33:6 45:7 131:4,	117:2 203:14,18
124:5 125:1,8,	157:14 170:3,	167:13 232:8,9	6 134:18 157:4	216:7 235:4
16,19 126:22,24	11,17,18 185:21	daughters	185:23 194:4	declarations
143:16 145:13	186:13 189:14,	79:14 86:7	205:25 225:2	174:8
149:21,24	15 192:10	Davidson	dealings	decorum
162:13 172:4,7	202:12 219:23	105:24,25	44:1 123:17	178:20
180:1 182:19	223:4 225:6	106:2,4 109:9		170.20
	I	1	I	1

dedicated	17:23,25 18:11	13,21 203:3	13:24 68:20	23 221:4,12
32:17 71:14	42:10,23 43:2,7,	dependability	127:4	222:21,22 232:7
106:22 110:20	10,12,14,19	107:10	desk	233:24 234:14
127:3 208:12	44:7 54:12	dependable	196:23	died
dedication	58:12 61:20	206:21	desperately	39:10 205:13
81:24 201:11	75:16 78:10	depended	14:1	dies
deduce	87:17 115:6	74:8	details	41:16
54:8	138:22 139:14	depending	79:20	difference
Dee	154:5 233:15	31:16 131:25	determine	112:23 216:1
15:8	degree	depositions	126:6 149:7	231:20
deed	37:22,23	111:16 207:11	determined	differences
217:24,25	delay	depth	205:12	158:20
deem	43:17	224:5,14,20	determining	differently
122:17	delegation	deputy	205:22	74:25 188:9
deemed	156:5	30:8,11 60:8	develop	difficult
143:21	deliberations	derogatory	102:16 202:15	41:9 42:13
deep	27:20 50:20	100:18	developed	44:20 79:21
221:6,7,9	64:22 83:17	describe	31:19 107:19	110:13
default	103:15 120:3	124:16 140:17	146:25	dignity
70:23,24	180:6 198:16	148:8 168:25	developing	153:23
defendant	210:19 234:6	185:11 204:11	125:25	diligently
70:23 96:7	delighted	218:21	DHEC	19:7
207:14	20:13	describing	158:12,17	diminishes
defendants	delve	99:11	diagnoses	117:6
40:2 69:1,2	33:12 118:10	description	228:21	dinner
defended	demands	225:25	dialogue	232:3
78:13 124:22	124:15	deserve	115:20,23	direct
138:18	demeanor	40:3	Diamaduros	112:13 185:3
defender	13:23 14:2	deserves	160:23,25	direction
36:8 87:7 94:3	61:22 119:17	14:1 142:22	161:5,9,15,19,	193:10
96:14 202:19	171:8 186:22	188:22	22 162:1,6	directly
defender's	206:14 216:3	deserving	163:2,4,9,15,23	31:5 70:14
96:15 99:2	demonstrated	27:19 50:19	164:1 168:18,24	dirty
115:8	62:10	64:21 83:16	170:21 172:2,3,	40:7
defenders	demonstrating	103:13 120:2	10,15 173:20	disadvantaged
31:10 44:2	117:4	143:22 180:5	177:2 180:15,17	10:2,6
202:20	Denea	198:15 210:18	192:20 211:24,	discount
defending	67:15	234:5	25 212:6,10,15,	169:8
17:25 46:22	Denno	design	19,22 213:1,6,	discovery
139:5	59:13	73:9	10 214:2,3,5,9,	111:13,16
	department	desire	14 215:10,17,20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
defense	147:7 165:11,	uesire	218:10 220:13,	193:24 194:10

discuss	dividing	104:13 158:23	driving	
124:18 148:10	149:8	161:12 181:11	48:18	
169:1 175:20,22	division	199:18 212:13	drug	
185:13 204:13	125:24 206:1,3	dog	13:13 20:2,3	earlier
218:23	223:4	70:20	21:5 96:21,25	102:20 192:20
discussed	divorce	dollar	97:6	212:2 232:19
59:4	149:4 157:13	148:25	drugs	early
discussion	166:22 185:21	dollars	96:25	38:7,10 140:6
210:24 234:11	218:18 219:24	46:12 165:14	DSS	184:24 220:3
dismissed	223:4,9,11,13,	domestic	54:21 124:22	230:13,14
74:5	24	131:3 166:4,17	133:25 138:17,	Early's
Disney	divorced	167:19 170:11	20 139:7,10,13	38:1
169:21	169:12,23	207:22 217:16	147:11,17	earned
Disneyworld	217:24 223:1,2	donation	148:16,20 149:2	119:9 171:7
193:16	divorces	165:7	152:2,5,7 153:2	210:1
disposed	125:24 147:12	door	157:23 158:6	earth
107:23	158:13 166:18	59:3 96:2	159:3,12 170:6	134:7
disposition	169:18 225:6	224:24	184:24 185:1,3,	easier
55:17 119:17	DJJ	doubt	5 204:4 205:1	86:16 170:16
207:3 208:12	147:11 184:23,	108:6 136:7	218:18 219:5	easiest
dispute	24 185:5,7	Doug	225:10	169:4
26:19	216:17,18,19	36:6,9	due	easily
disrespectful	225:10 233:4	dozen	188:21	23:4 42:4 78:23
226:14	docket	24:14	DUI	176:3 218:5
disruption	23:24 24:1 31:9,	dozens	41:12,13,17	Easter
101:25	17 43:21 59:23	24:10 25:19	59:3	170:13
distinctly	60:3,23 116:21,	139:12	Duke's	easy
80:9	22 123:22	draft	196:22	169:12,14,17,25
distinguished	128:24 129:5,9,	138:1	duly	170:2 175:13
210:2	16,17 130:16,	dramatically	9:6 28:16 51:13	223:13
distribution	20,25 133:16	100:5	65:17 84:12	eat
202:14 205:23	134:6 153:14	draw	104:9 121:3	47:16,19,20
district	168:6 174:20	95:20	144:19 161:9	48:7 99:3
18:22 60:17	175:25 192:25	drawn	181:7 199:15	eaten
193:22	dockets	48:20	212:10	47:24
dive	129:18,22	Drive	duty	economic
113:8	doctors	165:14	96:8	11:23 30:1 53:2
diverse	226:24	driven	dwell	67:6 85:23
13:5 32:18	documents	70:2	91:23	105:17 122:7
divide	9:10,19 10:18	driver		145:19 162:19
225:16	28:20 51:16	41:16 69:6		182:25 201:1
<i>223</i> .10	65:20,23 84:16	71.10 07.0		213:20
	03.20,23 84:10			213:20

Eddie	96:23 102:23	93:25	entire	108:4 126:25
33:1	168:17	encourage	101:17 216:22	149:24 167:1
editorial	election	22:11 80:19	entirety	172:7 186:24
81:6 93:19	11:25 18:24	end	18:13 202:24	190:2 208:4
educate	30:3 53:4 61:14	32:5 47:1 74:5	entities	220:18 221:1
157:19	67:8 85:25	122:13 140:1	112:24 157:5	ethics
educated	92:12 105:19	148:18 160:9	entity	11:21 27:17
63:3 114:10	122:9 145:21	179:23 185:3	39:3	29:23 50:16
143:3	162:21 167:9	208:10,13	environment	52:24 67:3
education	183:2 201:3	231:16	125:6	83:13 85:20
124:14 148:4	211:12 213:21	ended	equal	105:14 122:5
185:9	eloquently	37:23 46:16	189:13	143:19 145:16
educational	132:20	71:1 76:14	equality	160:11 162:16
157:9	email	111:6	119:1	165:4 180:3
educators	43:24	enduring	equipped	182:22 198:13
37:5	emails	89:17	22:17	200:23 210:16
effect	168:8 170:14	energy	equitable	213:17 234:3
48:22	178:13	210:25	125:24 202:13	ethnical
effected	emancipation	engaged	205:23 216:8	89:13
164:17	157:15	164:7	223:4	Europe's
effecting	embark	engaging	equivalent	169:22
54:22	211:10	115:20	126:2	European
effective	emergency	enjoy	Erin	46:18,21
13:4 24:24 31:1	168:10	211:5 228:12,18	86:13	evaluate
54:7 68:18 87:4	emotional	enjoyable	essentially	40:22 118:25
106:13 118:14	116:24	155:16	39:3 76:22	evaluation
123:16 164:4	emotionally	enjoyed	202:23	121:25 160:7
184:2 202:2	116:24 117:3	46:15 62:20	estate	evaluative
206:19 215:23	emotions	entails	217:19,20	11:18 27:15
effectively	185:23	102:15	estates	29:20 34:11,14
22:13 58:22	empathetic	entered	185:19,20	50:14 52:21
117:7 118:11	127:3 208:12	146:22	225:14	55:9,12 64:16
efficient	empathy	entering	esteem	66:25 71:6,9
153:15	206:18	10:18 29:6 52:6	228:13	83:11 85:17
efficiently	employee	66:8 85:2	estimate	89:13 103:9 105:11 108:1,3
31:13 129:17	76:20,22	104:24 161:24	166:9	119:23 122:1
130:20 131:9	employees	181:25 200:5	ethic	126:22,24
elderly	87:23 107:7	212:24	68:20 69:5,10	143:15 145:13
76:9	ENATOR	entertaining	ethical	149:21,23
elected	144:11	115:24	15:12 34:15	162:13 172:4,7
14:12 20:6	encountered	enticing	55:12 71:6	179:25 182:19
23:14 50:8		187:11		

F				
189:24 190:2	179:2 183:12	85:7,10 105:2,5	55:14,15 58:20	expert
198:10 200:20	191:17 194:16	121:14,17,20	59:22 60:25	111:25 112:5
208:3 210:13	197:8 201:13	145:5,8 162:4,7	61:4 68:16,19	experts
213:14 220:23	215:8 223:17	182:5,8,12	69:4,5 71:8	129:14 186:6,14
221:1 234:1	228:6 229:24	200:8,11,14	75:2,16 78:8	explain
evaluator	232:13	213:4,7	82:5 87:2,24	194:24 207:3
118:20	examine	exhibits	88:2 89:15	explaining
evening	131:11 155:18	193:25 194:1,3	92:21 93:23	115:17
234:25	examined	exigent	95:20 106:11	exposed
event	154:13	134:19	107:13 108:5	147:18
160:10 180:11	examples	existence	110:17,18 111:7	express
events	204:17	202:20	114:5 123:15,20	14:6,21 15:2
232:2	excellence	expand	124:4,14,16,18	153:6 187:2,4
everybody's	92:2	174:19	127:1,5 146:19	expressed
40:12,13 154:12	excellent	expansive	148:8,10 149:25	14:5 32:19 70:7
169:20 176:1	25:23 61:22	157:13	151:24 153:17	88:10 107:11,12
Everything's	70:2 88:9	expect	155:18 156:10	171:9
175:20	126:17 149:14	27:7 143:23	158:18 159:3	extend
evidence	186:22 205:6	160:15	164:3 167:23	12:3
25:9,13,16	220:17 221:10	expectations	168:25 169:2	extended
112:13 125:2,7	excess	167:20	171:2,5 172:9	154:2
136:4,14 204:7	62:8	expected	184:1,11,16 185:11,13	extending
exact	exchange	43:5 45:15	186:21 187:4	213:23
24:13 75:12	22:12 193:24	expects	189:5 190:4	extent
88:21 195:15	exciting	27:15 50:15	192:7 194:6	100:7
218:6	219:21	64:17 83:12	195:5,6 202:1	extramarital
EXAMINATIO	exclamation	103:10 119:23	203:16,21	152:19
N	150:2	180:1 198:11	204:11,13 208:5	extraordinary
12:19 16:24	excuse	210:14 234:1	215:22 216:5,	81:11
18:5 22:1 30:16	23:7 26:13	expedite	11,18,20 217:12	
36:25 45:23	117:8 147:21	50:2	218:3,21,23	extremes 14:13
53:22 57:4 68:8	150:15 198:19	expenditures	221:3 223:21	
73:7 77:22	207:15	52:2	224:4,14,20	eye
86:19 91:19	executive	experience	experienced	33:6 158:9
93:11 97:24	8:5,8,12,14,17,	13:2,6 14:6,17	14:2 197:16	
106:3 110:5	18 120:13,17,19	15:13 18:10	206:14,18,20	F
116:5 122:20	234:18,22,23	19:10 20:22	208:10 220:18	face
128:18 132:14	235:2,3	30:24 31:19,23	experiences	102:1 175:1
137:15 146:4	EXHIBIT	32:20 33:6	39:18 46:14	facets
151:18 155:1	10:23 11:1,5	34:14,17 36:15,	78:2 102:13	206:8
156:19 163:13	29:11,14 52:11,	17 44:18 45:2	126:16 156:14	
173:21 176:25	14 66:13,16,19	49:24 54:5,24	197:23	fact
		·		
	1	I	1	Ī

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
24:23 25:12,13,	47:15	183:24 184:12,	107:23	field
17 42:21 46:3,5	familiar	13,20 185:12,14	favorably	228:8
100:12 117:23	16:3 33:22 35:7	186:21,22,25	94:22	Fiffick
131:21 139:22	56:7 65:23 72:6,	192:22 194:21,	features	86:17,18,20
187:23 189:18	19 73:13 90:6	23 195:6,9,21,	10:5	90:19 91:22
192:10 197:13	108:21 121:23	23 196:19 199:9	February	fight
211:6	127:15 145:2	201:24 202:3,	167:9	170:9
factor	150:14,17	11,25 203:12,	federal	fighting
21:2 116:19	161:15 172:19	15,25 204:12,14	77:13	141:22
125:25	190:16 208:23	205:10 206:8,	feed	fights
factors	221:21	15,16,19 208:13	202:22	170:3,11
10:8,9,12	families	212:3 214:16,24	feedback	figure
125:19 136:22	131:5 133:22	215:20 216:23,	119:14	37:2 38:9 63:18
140:14	177:21 203:19	25 217:4,15,16	feel	75:10 159:20
facts	family	218:12,22,24	13:2 30:24	171:22 193:17
95:15 131:23	40:19 41:21,25	219:22 221:8,11	31:13 54:5,23	figured
134:9 216:6	54:20,21 57:13	222:25 223:9,23	58:19 68:16	115:10
factual	69:11 75:25	224:7 225:8	80:3 87:2 89:4	filed
41:20	76:1,5 77:4,6,8,	226:2,5,18	106:11 113:13	11:24 30:2 53:3
failed	9 81:15 103:20	229:3,11 233:1,	114:21 123:14	67:7 85:24
207:7	114:13,15,24	5,15	146:18 147:12,	105:18 122:8
failure	118:3 120:22	fan	15 148:1 153:21	129:3,7 145:20,
125:4 232:4	123:13,17	21:18 39:21,23	155:22 156:2	22 148:19
fair	124:2,17,19	fantastic	164:2 170:6	162:20 201:2
40:14 42:11	126:16 127:5	84:15 181:10	183:25 189:2	213:21
70:2 93:24	129:21 130:2	183:11 186:25	201:25 204:2	filing
95:21 98:4	131:12,13	213:2	215:21 229:9	31:25
112:22 114:21	132:24,25	fashion	fees	final
170:20 216:7	135:24 146:17,	230:25	207:16,23	229:4
220:18	20,21,24 147:1,	father	fell	finally
Fairfield	2,8,12,14,16,20,	21:10 73:17	76:9 146:22	24:15 48:2
31:3 32:24	23 148:9,11,12,	74:2 141:6,18,	Fellow	77:12 90:15
216:16	16 149:15,16,17	21 142:18 147:5	194:20 195:2	145:18 159:16
fairly	150:3 153:13,	184:8 196:10	fellows	financial
31:14 32:6,9	20,22,23 156:1	fatigue	195:16,19	124:19 130:3
38:10 60:3,12	157:12 159:18,	138:4	felony	148:11,23 149:1
114:22	19 160:2 161:2	fault	41:12,17	169:2,4,10
fairness	164:1 166:10,	113:15	ĺ ,	170:2 174:8
32:18 61:23	21,24 167:24	favor	felt	185:14 186:6
76:3 192:21	168:12,20	8:8 120:14	24:18 96:16,17 114:5 138:5	204:14 205:15
fall	169:1,2,18 171:24 177:7,8,	234:18	157:9 197:23	207:25 218:24
23:22 38:12	171:24 177:7,8,	favorable	223:12	220:1,6,8
23.22 30.12	12,17 101:1		443.14	220.1,0,0
				1

financially	87:9,10,17,22	85:17 105:11	forgive	24 127:8 149:20
82:9 164:18	91:10 94:11	112:12 122:2	46:2 137:22	150:7 159:12
financials	152:11 155:8	157:1,3 162:13	form	172:3,6,12
205:15 206:8	164:21 167:4,15	182:18 200:20	158:16	189:23 190:9
find	202:9 232:22,23	213:14	formal	208:6,16
48:7 74:10,11	fish	focuses	27:22 50:21	220:22,25
97:4 113:8	62:9	145:13 195:21	64:23 74:12	221:14
116:1 126:6	fist	folks	83:18 103:16	foundation
167:25 169:17	93:22	48:5 63:3,4	120:4 143:25	14:19 19:25
171:19 175:21	fit	67:11 70:13	160:8 180:7	21:16 22:25
185:24	95:14 122:17	79:23 80:10	198:17 210:21	foundations
finding	166:23 193:21	86:4 94:16	234:8	22:22
111:4 196:24	fitness	119:12 177:7	forms	fourth
finds	15:12 34:15	229:17	158:11,12,19	155:15
113:5	55:12 71:6	follow	159:4	frankly
fine	89:13 108:4	27:16 50:15	formula	202:22 207:19
17:2 20:16 44:4,	126:25 149:24	64:17 83:13	136:13	Freddie
6 71:16 115:19	172:7 190:2	95:14,15 103:10	forthright	179:9,10,15
140:22,24	208:4 221:2	111:9 118:17	206:21	free-for-all
169:17 171:8	flattering	119:24 180:2	fortunate	153:24
finish	188:8	198:12 210:15	23:4,14 54:25	freedom
111:24	flavor	234:2	202:6,18	164:23
finished	142:10	follow-up	fortunately	frequency
167:13,14	flaws	18:9	88:15 99:20	140:12
finishing	135:23	football	111:14	frequently
38:5	flexibility	57:20	forward	204:4 210:7
finite	130:16,19	forceful	14:18 19:13,19	218:15
68:2	flipping	71:13	21:16 22:22	friend
fire	171:24	foreclosed	49:25 50:6	40:4 42:1 67:17
97:11,13,18	floor	207:24	88:17 89:3	91:3,4 173:25
fired	145:23	foreclosure	117:2 139:6,22	friendly
101:12	Florida	207:15,18,20	142:16,24 143:1	99:16
firefighter	21:7,8,10,17,19	forefront	185:8,25 186:5	friends
100:22 101:3	Flynns	158:14	193:20 194:5	41:14 164:22
	227:24	forensic	foster	
firefighters 101:24	focus	186:9 205:19	125:4 219:7	frog 196:14
	58:1 60:13	Forest	found	
fireman	112:16	46:20	15:17 34:20	front 9:10 22:20
97:12 101:19	focused	forfeiture	37:3,13 55:20	28:20 41:8 44:1
firm	11:17 18:14	32:1	71:9,20 89:12,	
17:19 38:2	29:20 52:21	forget	20 92:8,17	45:8,16 51:17 54:17 65:21
58:12,13 75:17	66:25 80:20	222:23	108:10 126:21,	84:4,16 93:20
78:9,10 80:19	00.23 00.20			04.4,10 73.20
	l	l		l

104:13 106:18,	game	gentlemen	170:20 182:3	77:24,25 82:5,
20 116:8 117:21	40:7 57:20	8:16 28:6 68:25	184:10 188:2	18 87:24 94:1
134:8 161:13	102:19	103:25 234:25	195:15 229:6	96:17 97:18
175:5 181:11	gap	Gentry	giving	105:25 106:2,
195:13 199:19	20:7 59:21	53:19,21,23	12:1 67:9	18,20,24 109:25
207:8 212:14	gate	56:20 145:24	130:15 131:10	110:7,8 114:4
223:25 226:12,	73:10	146:3,5,10	139:1 162:22	116:8,11,13,14,
18 227:3	gather	151:11	188:20	22 121:6 127:3
Frost	91:21	genuine	glad	128:20,21
232:23	Gator	25:12,17	53:12 67:20	129:10 130:7,9,
frustration	21:18	Georgia	86:9 110:1	24 132:22
117:4,5	Gators	106:17	163:5 175:19	149:15 151:20,
fulfill	28:4	get all	179:6 212:2	21 152:9 155:21
24:24	Gault	84:7 161:3	233:18	156:17,21
fulfilling	179:9,15	Gia	gladiator	163:15 166:2
21:2	· ·	163:2 177:6	92:20	168:16 173:23,
full	gave 47:2	gift	global	24,25 174:18
13:16 53:16		74:21	195:18	177:2,3,19
92:1	gears 39:23	gifts	globally	178:1 179:4
full-time		184:10	195:17	180:20,21
47:14 100:25	gender		glove	187:13 191:21
101:3	152:18	gigs 74:16	93:22	197:10 199:2
fully	general		glowingly	211:22,25 216:1
92:11	16:4,8 31:20	Gina	73:15 94:17	224:19 227:14
fun	35:8,12 54:12	199:4,15	goal	230:25 231:24
38:5 171:22	56:8,12 60:21	200:10,13,16	70:10 186:1	232:6 233:18
193:14	64:11 69:3 72:7,	206:15,20		234:25
	11 76:5 90:7,11	girl	God	good-hearted
fund 206:4	108:22 109:1	79:10	188:4 211:15	220:19
	127:16,25	girlfriend	Godspeed	good-
fundraising	150:19 151:3	154:20,21	229:17	heartedness
165:12	172:20 173:4	give	golf	228:25
funds	177:9,24 190:17	10:21 22:21	37:14 38:8 46:5	gosh
148:24 149:7	191:1 208:24	24:13 29:9 44:4	47:2 49:1 186:7	170:10
206:5	209:8 216:17	52:9 66:11	good	gotcha
funny	217:6 221:22	75:18 79:7	8:2 17:1,3 18:7,	211:5
171:15 196:12	222:6	81:20 85:5 86:3	8 19:24 22:25	government
	generally	92:10 101:14	23:20 31:14	17:22 18:17,20
\mathbf{G}	111:13 153:17	119:6 130:21,25	45:15 46:15	grade
	gentle	131:21 132:9	55:15 57:10,20	205:21
gals	75:1	145:25 153:7	58:10 60:13	graduated
57:15	gentleman	162:3 164:15	64:13 65:8,12,	21:19 38:13
	109:18	166:1 168:8	13 74:4,21	

101:1	86:13 88:2,7	217:11		18,20 202:14
grandfather	92:21 94:25	guess	Н	204:4 216:24
34:7	95:2,3 127:5	18:14 33:11,13		219:2,11,23,24
grandparent	130:7 149:18	38:4 60:10 77:4	Haas	220:6,10
202:12	150:3,4 164:12	79:25 82:22,24	46:18	handling
grant	171:2 184:14	92:14 93:14	half	31:4 111:20
118:13	192:5 206:14,15	98:18 100:16,21	33:4 81:22	124:17 148:8
granted	208:13	184:14 223:19	155:11 170:7	153:18 168:25
113:10,12	greatly	224:25 227:18	Hall	185:11 204:11
Grantland	88:13	232:16	12:17,18,20	218:21 225:14
58:13,18	Green	Guesses	16:16	hands
grave	138:25	227:24	hallmark	99:9 113:6
124:24	Greenville	guest	10:5	hang
Graves	33:3 167:11,15	38:25 49:7	hand	202:7
8:22,24 9:2,6,	178:9 220:9	guests	9:4 25:23 28:14	hanging
12,16,19,24	224:15	39:1 46:10	51:11 65:15	93:2
10:16,20,25	grew	guidelines	84:10 104:7	happen
11:4,7 12:6,7,8,	171:23,24	16:13 35:17	112:8 121:1,11	32:24 153:16
9,11,12,16,24	177:25 188:11,	56:17 72:17	144:17 145:1	166:18,19
13:1,18 14:20	12,13 196:11	90:16 109:6	161:7 175:6	167:23
15:7,9,15,20	grimacing	128:6 151:8	176:7 199:13	happened
16:23 17:1,20	93:19	173:9 191:6	212:8	20:4 37:17 93:5
21:9 27:11,13	ground	209:13 222:11	handed	102:25 115:22
28:2	60:22 61:17	guiding	32:16,17	196:23
gravity	171:3 226:8	21:1	handle	happy
125:22	group	guilty	24:20 32:7	9:23 12:14 30:5
Gray	73:21 232:8	40:13 113:5	107:6 124:2	42:8,9 53:5
144:11,19,25	groups	guy	135:24 147:24	67:13,24 105:21
145:4,7 146:2,6,	32:18	22:15 38:17	168:5,21 169:5,	115:22 144:8
13,17 149:12,20	grow	48:20,23 49:3,6	24 170:16	162:25 163:6
150:5 151:20	156:15	74:22 114:23	184:21 203:25	183:4,9 201:5
155:3 156:21	growing	178:15	204:8 217:13 218:13 219:2	214:7
160:5,14,19	205:17	guys	223:9	hard
great	grown	37:5 46:19		36:16 70:6,14
10:14 14:2,4,9	106:21 164:25	53:13 57:14	handled 14:15 19:21	79:7,25 81:22
39:22 44:15	167:10	63:2 114:9	31:24 32:2,4	107:10 117:2
47:18 55:6,17	growth	178:21	33:10 110:12	152:8 169:17
58:16 60:4,9	159:22	Guyton	111:25 112:1	170:2,8 171:4
62:4 64:3 67:19	guardian	215:4 227:11	134:5 148:17,23	174:8 188:5
68:6 71:15	132:1,4 139:10,		153:11 166:9,11	201:10 202:4
74:16 77:16	11,15,24 140:1,		184:24 185:1,2,	214:13 226:25
78:15 83:2	3 185:6 203:5,7		10.12.1100.11,2,	

, ,	52.5.60.10			
harder	53:5 60:18	heat	Himpie	honestly
80:5 169:24	67:24 105:21	98:18	73:14	155:15 157:19
hardworking	130:8 132:17,18	heaven	hinted	202:5 227:21
186:24	133:12 153:14	134:7 188:2	230:14	honesty
Harris	163:6 175:11	heavy	hire	107:9
67:15 69:15	183:4 187:12	27:19 50:19	58:15 166:15	honing
harsh	193:6 196:15,16	64:21 83:16	hired	57:12
94:13	201:5 214:7	103:14 120:2,3	58:15 87:9	honor
hat	225:19 230:18	180:5 198:15	231:23	20:17 51:9
139:13,14,15	heard	210:18 234:5	historic	53:10 183:8
hatchet	42:7 48:5 73:21	heck	58:22	214:17
187:17	97:18 129:4	62:23	history	Honorable
hate	130:22 131:1	heir	110:10 136:10	216:19
115:19 178:14	132:24 177:7	230:7	140:4,5,11	honored
230:16 231:20	185:5,6 192:2	held	168:15	20:21
hates	196:17 197:15,	8:14 120:19	hit	hope
99:5	25	202:23 234:23	60:22 61:6,17	44:8 46:25
hats	hearing	helped	171:3 230:15	74:11 93:5
138:19	59:13 70:24	32:12 102:16	HIV	116:7 197:1
he'll	75:9 98:16	156:14 217:21	79:10	198:2 203:16
45:8	129:7 130:10	helpful	Hocker	hoping
he/she	131:8 134:1,24	193:21	23:8	46:15
61:21	135:12 136:1,6	helping	hog	horrific
head	137:4 141:6	128:23	205:18	204:22
77:1 99:8	142:16 148:13,	helps	hold	Horry
165:20 228:15	15 154:3 158:15	157:25 193:19	63:4 129:11	13:13 18:24
headed	175:7 176:10,14	217:15	143:11 203:20	21:14 22:15
32:17 44:19	177:15 187:22	hey	holding	60:1,19 97:9
	hearings	43:24	156:12	hospital
headquarters	31:18 63:21	hiatus	Holiday	71:2 220:1
76:23	111:12 129:21	17:6	73:24	hospitals
health	130:1,5 133:5,6,	high		226:24
15:11 17:7	9 153:11,18,25	63:11 129:11	home	hotel
34:13 55:10	155:24 174:24	156:7 171:5	21:14 79:13,14,	73:24
71:10 89:11	185:5 193:1,4	210:4 232:11	19 207:6,7,13,	
91:11,14 97:5	194:7 204:20 226:9 235:8		24 225:15	hour
108:2 126:23		highest 88:6 189:1	homeowner	230:21
149:22 172:5	hearken		207:1	hours
189:25 208:8	188:9	highly	Hometown	168:13 225:20
220:24	heart	36:12 55:16	232:9	house
hear	93:4 164:5	125:22 150:3	honest	75:5 94:9 165:1
9:23 11:11	165:16 177:22	Hilton	13:23 206:20	188:15 207:8,9
12:14 22:5 30:5		58:4,9		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1

	1	1	1	T.
household	identifying	226:13 229:1	including	informed
106:21 125:18	169:12	impressed	14:10 16:3	115:15
housekeeping	IM	132:16 221:5	17:25 20:9	injured
15:14 34:18	176:9	230:1	32:16 35:7 56:7	71:2
71:18 89:7,17	imagine	impresses	72:6 76:4 90:6	injury
108:7 172:10	68:2 93:16,17	221:6	108:21 127:15	166:17
190:7 208:14	205:8	impression	145:14 148:20	Inn
221:11	immediately	48:17 70:14	150:18 172:19	73:24
huge	25:20	71:13 187:19	190:16 208:23	inquiry
39:21,23 91:10	immigrant	impressive	221:21	11:17 29:19
158:17	171:24	49:3	income	52:20 66:24
humility	impact	improprieties	171:11,12,16,	85:16 105:10
221:9	81:19,25 82:5	27:18 50:18	18,23,25 174:6	162:12 182:19
hundred	131:12 147:10,	impropriety	202:22	200:19 213:13
46:11 218:4	13	64:20 83:15	incorporated	inside
hundreds	impacted	103:13 120:1	16:19 35:23	70:17
24:4,10 139:12	135:11	143:20 160:11	56:23 72:23	insight
hunt	impacts	180:4 198:14	90:22 109:12	160:1
153:6	131:12 157:16	210:17 234:4	128:12 151:13	inspiration
hurt	177:20	in-chambers	173:15 191:11	184:4
164:17 166:20	impartial	175:18	209:18 222:16	install
husband	107:14	inappropriate	increase	207:8
67:14 93:14	impartiality	171:11	129:15	installing
141:19 207:4,	36:12 107:12	include	incredibly	207:12
21,23	impasse	122:3 159:20	228:24	instance
	26:23	206:13	incumbent	25:6 112:4
I	imperative	included	20:5 31:4	113:4 140:3
	131:3	11:18 12:23	index	instilled
idea	implications	29:21 30:20	34:4 169:15	69:11 184:8
40:9 59:24	99:24 206:22	44:3 52:22 54:1	individual	institutional
130:8,24 215:6		66:3 67:1 68:12	14:15 47:9	184:23
IDENTIFICATI	importance 69:11	85:18 86:23	111:1 147:10	institutions
ON		105:12 106:7	156:15	18:21
10:24 11:2,6	important	123:8 146:12	individuals	
29:12,15 52:12,	20:13 25:2	162:14 163:21	9:25 20:23	insurance
15 66:14,17,20	54:15 69:20,21 87:21 89:3	181:21 182:20	147:13	54:12 87:17 217:25
85:8,11 105:3,6	131:4,20	183:20 200:21	infected	
121:15,18,21	131:4,20	201:20 213:15	79:10	integrity 71:16 127:3
145:6,9 162:5,8	148:2 152:20	215:15	information	71:16 127:3 153:23 154:10
182:6,9,13	153:7,19,22	includes	33:25 59:19	167:1,17
200:9,12,15	154:9 184:9	96:7 122:1	158:24 159:20	intellect
213:5,8	194:25 203:20		207:17	mtenect
	200.20			
	1	1	ı	ı

	<u> </u>	1		1
119:16	interesting	investigate	79:23 80:3	155:9
intelligence	17:3 59:12	131:11	112:1 125:23	jar
107:10	175:21 232:2	investigated	131:25 175:9,12	196:22
intelligent	interim	11:16 29:18	176:2 186:4	Jeff
13:22 88:8	165:18	52:19 66:23	187:5 189:15	109:22
186:23	internal	85:15 105:9	217:1	Jennie
intend	107:8	162:11 182:17	issues	233:3
26:15 98:15	international	200:18 213:12	15:15 34:18	Jennifer
intended	194:21 195:18,	investigates	44:7 59:13	12:7
177:24	19,21	132:5	71:18 89:8,18	Jerrod
intense	interpret	investigation	108:7 112:6,11,	120:23
19:9 80:9	78:24	16:17 35:21	12 124:6,8,11,	JERRORD
intent	interpreted	56:21 72:22	12 129:4,13,19,	121:3
15:20,24 34:23	79:2	90:21 109:11	24 130:23 132:9	Jerry
35:3 55:23 56:2	interrupt	128:11 145:12	143:2 153:21	77:12
71:23 72:2	139:18	151:12 173:14	154:13,18	Jesus
89:23 90:2	intertwine	191:10 209:17	172:10 186:6,14	187:23
108:13,17	166:17	222:15	190:7 206:6	Jeter
127:11,19	intervene	involve	208:14 221:12	138:25
146:21 150:10,	16:9 35:13	129:13	225:2	
22 172:16,24	56:13 72:12,15	involved	item	Jimbo
190:12,20	90:12 109:2	18:21 33:23,25	8:4 23:12	26:2
208:19 209:2	128:1 151:4	73:20 76:7 98:2,	items	jive
221:17,25	173:6 191:2	13 116:24 117:3	25:6	113:19
interact	209:9 222:7	125:18 129:25	itis	JMSC
70:4	interview	149:10 192:11	93:2 98:10	22:7
interacted	23:16	207:1 219:3		job
166:1 186:13	interviewing	involvement	J	19:17 20:16
interest	214:12	20:1		31:14 38:6
11:24 30:1	intra-family	involves	Jack	40:11,19 60:4,9
44:15 53:2 67:6	219:14	22:16 70:20	227:23	70:3 79:7 94:3
71:3 85:23	introduce	140:4	Jackson	97:14 99:17
105:17 115:12	12:4 53:11	involving	59:13	101:14 102:15
122:8 125:9,11,	67:12 86:4	33:16 131:16	Jake	153:3 164:20
15 131:16 132:2	109:20,21	134:18 148:13	91:10	174:18 202:23
136:12 139:17	162:24 213:25	159:2	Jane	210:1 211:8
145:19 162:19	introducing	iron	65:9,17 66:15,	jobs
169:8 182:25	53:17 150:13	93:22	18,21 70:1	69:7
201:1 208:1		ironically	Jantzen	John
213:20	introvert	57:25	101:10	19:20 58:13,18
interested	155:25	issue	January	194:19 216:19
156:3	invariably	17:7 25:12,17	17:14 23:10	join
	23:25 60:17			
	I		l	1

				l
17:11 91:2	19,23 93:17,21,	32:7 60:4 61:13	47:8 138:21	Kelley
155:8	24 94:5,6,18,21,	83:1 93:21	jump	232:7
joined	24 95:4,7 96:22	94:12 100:4	110:14 209:23	key
12:4 86:4	98:8 99:3,18,19	116:15,19 148:3	jumped	26:19 117:20
joining	100:7,14 102:6,	154:3 175:5,10	196:15	125:25
103:20	19,22 106:13	178:16 195:13	June	keyword
joint	109:23 113:1,6,	215:3 227:4,14,	47:10	184:3
170:18 223:4	7,18,21 116:22	22	junior	Kiawah
joke	117:16,21	judgeships	37:20 102:9	46:6,8 74:15
184:6 196:10,25	118:2,14,18,24,	171:6	jurists	kidding
Jonathan	25 123:14,16	judgment	94:25 106:18,20	179:10
180:22 181:7	124:2 127:6	160:1	116:9,22	kids
182:7,10,14	130:2 133:25	judicial	juror	82:1 164:25
186:18,20,23	134:5,8,10	8:3 11:15 15:14,	118:12	165:18 170:8
192:2	135:1 136:13	15,16 29:17	jury	214:25 232:11
Jones	142:1,10 146:18,20	30:13 34:16,19,	18:14,17 19:6	killed
227:12	140.18,20	20 52:18 55:14,	24:2,4,9 33:8	114:14
Jordan	164:2,4 166:2	19,20 60:19	59:9,12 68:25	killing
8:7 38:19,21	168:2,4,16,20	63:19 66:22	73:20 74:5 75:7	41:22
39:21 48:17	170:17 174:24	71:8,19,20	111:20 113:5,14	Kinard
110:3,4,6,8	175:5 176:13	85:14 88:10	118:17 177:10	41:11
113:22 114:1	183:25 184:2,20	89:15,19,20	justice	kind
118:11 120:12	186:23,25	96:5 105:8	20:14 79:17	38:3,5 39:6 40:6
229:21,23,25	189:14 201:25	108:5,8,9	95:1 123:23	44:25 46:14
232:15	202:2 203:9,15,	122:24 127:1,7,	129:18 188:20	48:21 57:12
journey	22,25 204:8	8 146:9 150:1,6,	216:20	68:23 70:5 75:9,
82:19	206:19 208:13	7 161:3 162:10	juvenile	10,12 76:13,14
judge	214:22,25	172:9,11,12 181:2 182:16	10:13 204:3	82:15 88:6,8,23
13:4,7,12,13,14,	215:3,5,21,23		juveniles	91:14 92:13
15,17 14:4,10	218:12 221:11	183:17 190:4,8, 9 192:22 199:10	10:1 202:24	93:4 94:23 98:7,
19:20 20:3,5,7,	222:25 225:9,13	200:17 208:6,	204:19 224:7	9,25 100:10,11
10 23:8 24:24	226:3 227:4,11,	15,16 212:4		101:22 114:6,9
25:14 26:1 31:1,	12,24 229:1	213:11 215:12	K	118:10 132:23
6,8,21 33:1,3	230:6,17,23	221:3,13,14		136:2 140:10,14
38:1 41:10,11	231:3,4,9	judicially	keen	146:24 152:24
42:13 45:9 54:7,	judge's	111:8	124:11 203:13	154:15 157:8,9
18 55:6,18	14:25 112:23		keeping	165:19 166:17
60:17 61:15	judgement	judiciary 114:19 115:2	115:15	167:2,16 171:5,
68:18 70:2,8	25:9,17,22 32:8	126:19 136:20	Keesley	15 174:25
71:17 73:17,18	70:24 78:12,13	186:20	92:23 94:19,21	175:24 177:14,
77:9,13 83:1	judges		95:7 100:7	25 178:13
87:4,25 92:17,	19:12,23 25:10	July	102:6	193:19 194:9,24
	1	I	I .	1

			<u> </u>	
195:3,20,22	49:23 152:14	15:1,2,6 17:6,19	145:16 160:11	lays
196:9 223:24	189:4	22:20,21 25:1,4	162:16 180:3	44:25
227:16	lacked	32:1 33:1 37:6,	182:22 198:13	lead
Kindhearted	224:4	14,15,18,24	200:23 210:16	76:15 154:2
171:1	lacking	38:2,13 42:25	213:17 234:3	232:2
kinds	24:18	54:14,16 63:5,7	lawsuit	leadership
82:7 186:8	ladies	64:18 69:7,8	32:2 39:13	20:8 106:22
Kingstree	8:16 28:6 57:15	76:12 77:13	70:22 207:1,3,5,	leading
57:13,25	68:25 103:25	81:9,12 82:3,4,	10	186:14
kitchen	234:25	13 87:6,9,10,20	lawsuits	leads
25:7	lady	91:4 92:5 94:11	33:16 34:1	129:20 147:19
Kittredge	12:4 162:24	95:12,13 96:10	lawyer	League
95:1	214:1	101:4,9,11,15	31:24 37:13	39:22
knew	Lancaster	103:11 107:6	43:7 45:11 58:5	learn
24:19 37:20	216:16	119:17,25 123:25 124:8	61:21 62:6,24	58:20 61:4
42:18 43:4	Lander	123:25 124:8	71:14 74:3 88:8,	96:21 97:22
49:11 58:9	69:18	136:22 143:19	19 98:24 101:19	124:13 152:24
88:19 98:20	language	146:21,22,24	106:14 114:15,	learned
152:20 158:18	22:3 47:3	140.21,22,24	23 115:4 149:14	106:19,20
knowing	large	147.1,2,22	165:3 166:14	112:3,6,17
24:25 25:1,2	28:10 51:7	157:12 158:1	167:10 171:4,6	116:10,11,12
70:15 93:16	65:10 84:6 87:6	159:18,19 160:2	175:9 188:1,23	134:10 156:11
137:22	104:3 107:7	164:11,21	189:9 196:19	157:12 165:24
knowledge	206:4	166:6,7,23	214:22 215:24	189:9 207:6
34:3 92:5	largely	167:4,15,18	221:6 224:18	227:23
119:16 126:17	60:23	168:15,19 177:5	226:12 229:8	learning
134:23 149:17	larger	178:22 184:18	231:4 233:1	50:2 152:3,4,13,
168:15 171:8	24:2 218:25	189:16 195:6,9,	lawyerly	14,23 155:17
195:5,6 206:19	lash	21 202:6,9,17	82:17	156:10 158:17
216:5 221:8	99:20	203:23 204:3	lawyers	leave
knowledgeable	lasted	206:15 216:5,6,	31:11 32:12	42:8,10 94:24
170:25	20:4 59:17,18	14 217:15,16	36:10 38:15	102:24 166:16
Kristie	219:3	218:10 221:8	42:7,23 43:2,10,	232:20
86:6	lasts	229:2 231:14	14,19 44:7,24	leaving
kudos	23:13	232:7 233:5	45:16 63:8 70:5	41:15
64:5	late	laws	82:14 113:9	led
	47:8	11:21 27:17	114:6 119:13 166:3 178:12	37:13 125:5
	laughed	29:23 50:16	186:24 187:24,	156:14
	40:6 174:5	52:24 67:3	25 194:20,21	Lee
lack		83:14 85:20	195:3 214:12	62:21
14:6 31:22	law 13:11 14:22	105:14 122:6	215:3 219:5	left
34:16 44:18	13.11 14.22	126:8 134:12	223:11 232:6,20	96:15 159:12
			223.11 232.0,20	
	T .	I	1	Ī.

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
184:7 233:6	64:18 71:23	likeable	literally	94:10 110:13
legal	72:2 74:7 83:13	55:16	48:22 102:1	116:21 165:21
8:13 13:2,6	89:23 90:2	limit	228:14	167:20 168:23
30:24 54:5	103:11 108:13,	112:11 154:7	litigant	178:23 185:8,23
62:23 68:16	17 114:12,15,23	limitations	138:1 188:23	227:25
87:2 106:11,23	119:25 127:11,	16:4 35:8 56:8	229:3	longer
107:9 123:14	19 143:18	72:7 90:7	litigants	196:9 230:17
146:18 148:4	150:10,22	108:22 127:16	94:2 117:6	longest
164:2 171:12	172:16,23 179:9	150:18 172:20	187:8,9 203:18	189:11
174:4 183:25	180:2 190:12,20	190:17 208:24	229:14	looked
186:19 201:25	198:12 208:19	221:22	litigated	23:6 36:13 45:3
215:21 235:3	209:2 210:15	limited	138:15 202:10	81:14 138:2
legally	221:17,25 234:2	18:11 71:3	205:17	154:13
39:20	letters	Lindi	litigation	Lord
legislator	36:5,14 42:20,	86:13 121:12	87:18 102:18	188:4
15:25 35:4 56:3	21 62:20 73:14	145:2	156:1 207:5	lost
72:3 90:3	107:20 109:19	linger	litigator	98:25
108:18 127:20	115:14 168:8	139:18	92:19 102:21	lot
150:23 172:25	174:12 194:18	lip	live	23:5 31:12
190:21 209:3	letting	211:11	49:16 166:22	32:22,23 33:5,7
222:1	31:8	list	188:3,6 225:15	39:22 43:9
legislature	level	82:24,25 216:24	lived	44:14 45:17
15:6 25:1	19:13 32:16,20	218:20	21:10 34:1	49:12,14 54:13
lemonade	44:19 132:6	listed	223:3	59:19 60:10
196:17	171:5 223:22	33:17 207:14	lives	61:9,10 64:12
lemons	Lewinsky	listen	13:25 82:1	68:3 75:7,15
196:16	38:24	93:6 135:16	130:2 147:10	76:12 80:16,23
length	liability	153:1 225:23	153:5 157:16	82:10 86:16
230:10	113:20	listening	226:17 232:24	87:20 91:12
lenient	life	187:22 226:13	233:2	95:11 96:25
42:6 117:11	10:13 37:3 41:6	listens	living	98:17 106:17,
lens	81:20 82:6,17	107:5	41:5 49:10	18,19,21 110:17
58:10	101:17 102:8	lists	82:16 186:10	112:14,17
lessons	164:8,14,15	193:25	223:5	114:5,7 116:20
74:18	169:20 196:15,		loan	117:20 129:21
lets	16 198:3 214:19	litem	218:4	130:17,22 133:1
95:10 155:22	light	132:1 139:11,16	local	136:22 138:22
letter	118:1 123:2	140:1,3	141:22 158:20	152:23 153:4,13
15:20,24 27:17	211:14	litem's	long	154:1 157:12
34:23 35:3 36:7	lightly	203:5	23:12 32:25	169:24 170:19
50:16 55:23	211:13 214:20	litems	57:18 59:15	177:8,15,16,21
56:2 58:14,17	229:19	203:7	77:7 93:16	178:12 188:11,
	1	1	1	I

		1		
14 192:7 193:12	luckily	maintained	making	182:5,8,12
194:6 196:11	87:9	79:11	31:13,25 113:13	200:8,11,14
198:2 214:23	lucky	maintaining	158:18 171:15,	213:4,7
215:1 216:20	96:22 102:23	134:6,12 141:16	18 186:12	marks
217:11,16,18	Luke	major	203:17	150:3
218:7 223:25	45:25	169:5,6	mal	married
227:23 233:6	lunch	majority	111:18	141:10 165:1
lots	47:16 99:3	75:5 132:1	man	222:25
25:22		make	48:7 49:1 88:22	massive
Lounsberry		9:20 12:2 14:4	92:3 99:4	48:23
180:21,23,24		19:7 21:12	148:13 188:20,	matched
181:3,7,13,17,	machines	25:21 30:4 43:3	21	33:18
20,23 182:2,7,	165:9	44:4 53:16	man's	material
11,15 183:7,14,	Mack	55:17 63:6 67:9	41:22	25:12,13,17
21,24 184:18	10:3,8	68:20 70:1	manageable	175:6
186:15,20	made	71:16 75:12	23:3	materials
189:23 190:7	8:19 9:18 20:24	86:2,10 95:14,	managed	11:20 29:22
191:11,16	27:6 29:3 49:21	23 96:8 101:13	23:4	52:23 67:2
194:18 198:23	51:25 66:5	113:21 117:2,22	management	85:19 105:13
Lounsberry's	71:13 84:24	118:21,23	37:23 153:14	122:4 145:15
183:20	86:15 98:17	122:11 126:18	manager	162:15 182:21
love	102:14 104:21	127:5 129:17	167:15	200:22 213:16
62:13 146:25	128:22 155:18	131:11 134:7,8,	manages	Matrimonial
164:11,13 171:6	161:21 165:7	11 137:1 143:7	44:23	194:20 195:2
188:4,5	171:11 181:19	144:15 145:23	managing	matter
loved	200:2 212:21	148:6 150:3	87:11,22	21:7 31:18
170:4 205:13	227:9 230:17	152:16,19 158:1	manual	115:6 129:6,8
lovely	235:4	162:23 165:7	38:8	130:9 133:9
223:6	magistrate	171:22 177:11	Marine	135:7 149:9
lovingly	32:3	178:3 186:10,22	147:4,18	158:8 217:17
229:18	magistrate's	187:19,20	marital	218:4 219:23
low	217:6,8	196:17,19 201:4	125:24 126:1,7	matters
55:8 149:20	magnitude	208:12 213:23,	149:8 185:19,20	18:20 19:5,21
171:11,12,16,	203:14	24 214:9 216:1,	ĺ	31:5 33:12
17,23,25 174:6	main	6 217:13 218:8	mark	107:14 110:13
206:17 208:2	31:11,12 43:18	221:10 231:20	148:25	124:17 148:9,23
Lowcountry	46:19	makes	MARKED	169:1 170:2
126:20	maintain	91:12 107:6	10:23 11:1,5	185:12 204:7,12
LSAT	79:3 99:12	155:13 166:23	29:11,14 52:11,	217:25 218:22
38:10	141:20 148:3,6	223:12	14 66:13,16,19 85:7,10 105:2,5	220:2,6,8
luck	153:19,23	makeup	121:14,17,20	maxed
82:18 232:6	154:10	58:24	145:5,8 162:4,7	169:21
			143.3,0 102.4,/	
	l	1		

	 •	1461416222	12 < 22 1 40 22	
maximum	meaning	146:14 163:23	126:23 149:23	met
41:24	204:19	183:21 201:21	172:5 190:1	21:3 38:17
Maxwell	meaningful	215:17	208:8 220:25	45:25 57:6
28:8,12,16,22	203:1	Melinda	mention	67:16 101:6
29:1,4,8,13,16	means	233:9,12,14	25:15 62:5	230:16
30:7,8,21,23	177:15 194:24	member	119:10,19	Mexican
32:13 33:15,17	meant	15:21 34:24	195:24	48:3,4
34:5,7,9,11,18	93:4 196:2	46:10 49:8	mentioned	Miami
36:3,19 45:13,	med	55:24 71:24	18:12 37:24	37:7
19 48:13 49:4,	111:18	89:24 107:8	69:14 80:22	middle
19 50:10 51:2	mediated	108:14 114:13	131:16 174:19	33:23 232:11
Maynard	220:5	194:19 196:1	184:4 187:15	Midlands
167:11,12	mediation	208:20 221:5	192:11 230:5	34:10 89:8
mayonnaise	26:16,18,20,25	members	mentor	107:24
196:22 197:2	158:16 220:4	8:10 16:4,7,21	69:16 189:18	Mike
198:2	mediations	18:3 26:5 28:3	mentoring	232:7
Mcalhany	26:10 77:7	35:8,11 36:1	67:16 69:14	military
199:4,5,7,11,15,	mediator	45:20 48:14	159:9	206:5
21,25 200:3,7,	26:22 27:4,5	49:11 56:8,11	mentors	million
10,13,16 201:8,	77:4,11 206:15	57:1 62:1 72:7,	184:15	148:25 165:14
15,21,24 206:9,	217:12	10 73:1 75:5	Merit	169:11 225:14
24 208:3,6,9,14	Medicaid	83:7 90:7,10	8:4 11:15 29:17	Millstone
209:23 210:8,11	195:10	91:16 97:23	52:18 66:22	26:16
211:16,20		103:4 108:22,25	85:14 105:8	mind
228:11	medical	110:1 113:23	162:10 182:16	32:17 63:3
Mccutchen	71:1	120:15 122:17	200:17 213:11	92:18 95:1
51:5,9,13,19,23	Medicare	127:12,16,24	merits	102:21 112:23
52:1,8,13,17	195:10	128:16 150:11,	40:14	184:22 185:24
53:8 54:2,4	meet	19 151:2,17	Merrill	186:19
55:5,9,18 57:3,6	46:5 67:13	167:6 172:16,20	65:9,12,17,23	minded
60:24 61:25	124:8,14 125:16	173:4,18 179:21	66:2,6,10,15,18,	40:14 61:20
63:9,11 64:6	162:25 228:11	190:13,17,25	21 67:14,15	147:3
65:4	meeting	191:14 192:4,6	68:1,13,15	
Mccutchen's	39:15 123:23	208:24 209:7,21	69:23 71:5,12,	mindful
63:20	124:5 176:4	210:3 221:18,22	17 73:3,10 81:3	89:5 154:14
Mcgill	177:5	222:5,19 234:20	82:20 83:6,9,25	minds
57:14,17,23,24	meetings	memory	Merrill's	62:23
58:1	156:5,11	157:25	68:11	mine
Megills	meets	mental	mess	172:1 225:18
57:14,17	12:24 30:21	15:11 34:13	196:18	minimal
Mciver	54:2 68:13	55:11 59:21		224:4
194:14,15,17	86:24 106:8	71:11 89:11	messages	minimus
1,77,17,13,17	123:10 136:11	97:5 108:2	176:8 178:13	113:17

		70.11.12.12		
ministries 219:16	money 43:3 69:19	78:11,12,13 111:16,21	murder 59:7,8 80:8	needed 19:6 20:8 24:19
	166:21 177:13	,	204:20,25	61:7 96:18
Ministry	231:15	motivated	204.20,23	133:14 134:4
219:20		37:17		187:20 189:5
minority	Monica	Motley	murdering	
94:18	38:24	39:2,8,14	205:5	needing 175:20
minors	month	Mountain	music	
157:15	23:10,17 47:22	193:17	73:21	negative
minutes	155:10 157:21	mouth	Myers	44:16 91:23
130:10,11,12,17	159:15 202:7	196:22	87:10 91:4	94:17 171:16
133:6,19 134:1	months	move		179:8 206:12
144:12,14	20:4,10,11	14:18 21:16	N	220:20 228:18
154:5,6 176:17	23:11,13 38:12	49:25 58:7		negatives
194:3	125:3 157:22	116:18 117:2,7	name's	230:22
mismanagement	174:25 193:3	123:21 128:24	30:8	neglect
149:5	Moore	129:18 130:20,	named	54:21 203:4,6
misrepresent	87:10	25 131:2,9	27:3 34:7	204:21 219:25
134:9	moral	133:16 137:10	207:25	neglected
missed	228:25	185:7,25 192:25	names	26:10
225:12	morally	193:20 194:5	139:1 147:11	negotiated
missing	220:20	195:22	naming	118:16 136:10
62:11	Morgan	moved	93:23	negotiations
missives	86:7	21:10 114:18	narrowed	19:9 117:20
25:5	morning	116:21 123:22	112:12	neighbor
mix	8:2 17:1 18:7,8	134:7 231:6	nation's	188:5
95:17	53:12 65:8,12,	movie	186:14	nerve-
mobile	13 77:24,25	76:10	national	170:15
225:15	86:5 110:7,8	moving	46:22 47:9,10	Ness
mock	mortality	38:11 60:9	nature	38:2
81:12,21 82:21	91:13	88:17 89:3	148:25 157:16	neutral
model	Moses	116:20 117:20	158:13 207:3	113:2
92:23 100:11	184:6	129:24 186:5	Navy	newspaper
Molly	mother	193:10	147:5	11:21 29:24
93:14 94:14	69:9 141:7,11,	multiple	nay	52:25 67:4
moment	20 184:5 187:17	24:3 69:7 74:13	8:11 120:16	85:21 105:15
29:10 50:13	204:25 205:3	80:17 140:13	234:21	122:6 145:17
52:9 64:15	motion	205:25 219:13	NCAA	162:17 182:23
83:10 85:6	8:5 25:9,18,22	municipal	47:10	200:24 213:18
88:25 103:8	111:12 120:11	13:14,15 32:4	necessarily	Nexsen
135:13 179:24	234:15	217:6	37:19 39:3 79:6	167:12
210:12 233:24	motions	municipalities	133:20 158:14,	nice
210.12 233.24	19:4 32:8 59:13	87:15	16 187:13	46:4,23 47:1
			10 10,.10	10.1,20 17.11
	1	1	1	1

88:22 95:9	106:5 107:24	nurse	103:21 120:8	opening
151:22 178:23	109:10 123:6	226:22	180:13 198:21	12:13 30:5 33:6
226:6,8,10,13	126:20 128:9,10	nurturing	211:18 228:3	53:5 67:10,23
227:7,8	137:19 146:10	142:23	230:1 233:18	86:2,11 105:20
night	149:19 151:11		234:12	115:7 143:5
37:7 49:16	163:19 172:2	0	office	145:24 162:23
168:9	173:13 183:18		24:8 30:10	163:6 183:4
nights	189:22 191:9	O-U-T	36:16 38:1 50:8	201:5 214:7
101:8	201:18 209:16	97:20	59:6 82:23	opinion
Ninth	210:19 215:13	oath	87:12 96:2,15,	14:22 112:23
199:10 201:17	220:21 222:14	24:13	16,17 99:2	147:8 188:8
Noble	noted	objection	111:14 114:8	opinions
21:3	21:6 34:16	10:17 29:5 52:5	115:8 164:12	215:4
nobody's	37:14 55:15	66:7,10 85:1,4	179:11 225:1	opponent
42:8,9 178:19	71:11 189:4	104:23 121:13	official	95:21
nominated	194:1 206:13	161:23 181:25	77:10	opportunities
69:15 75:4	208:9	200:4 212:23	oftentimes	23:18 164:9
	noticed	objections	193:3 226:16	opportunity
nomination	67:11 194:18	121:9 194:1,4	Ohio	10:22 12:2,3
60:21	noting	objective	67:17 81:18	23:16 50:1
non-	74:7 89:15	63:21	Okeechobee	53:18 54:10
33:7	notions	observe	21:9	59:5 67:9 68:22
non-jury	152:7	32:9 185:9	older	86:1 92:10
32:5,6,23 60:6	now's	observed	58:2	101:16 122:10
61:9	23:1	59:7	omitted	129:3 130:22
noon	nowadays		62:5	143:6,10 146:1
176:14	49:14	obvious		162:3,22 164:14
normal	number	211:4	one's	198:9 213:23,25
49:6	24:13 39:25	occasion	50:2 102:9	214:18 216:1
north	70:4 125:17	143:23 144:2	171:15 228:16	opposed
39:9 49:9	133:7 135:10	occasions	open	130:11 234:21
196:10	136:16,17	19:22	23:7 27:21	opposing
note	184:24 187:3	odd	50:20 64:23	63:23 107:5
10:7 12:21 15:8	195:15 210:4	155:25	83:18 103:15	124:10 141:17,
16:16 20:1	218:6	offer	120:4 124:13	23
27:20 30:18	numbers	14:8,23 70:8	131:7 180:7	opposite
34:9 35:20	113:16 125:25	107:15 118:20	198:17 210:20	99:12
49:23 53:24	220:11	143:5 171:13	234:7	
55:7 56:20		183:3 193:4	opened	opposition 11:25 30:2 53:3
68:10 71:4	numerous	213:24	87:11 156:8	
72:20 73:17	19:21,22 80:4 154:22 217:12	offering	196:22 219:16	67:7 85:24 105:18 122:9
86:21 89:8	219:23	27:25 50:25	opener	145:20 162:20
90:19 91:1	219.23	65:2 83:23	158:9	143.20 102:20

183:1 201:2	overlay	pains	participants	patient
213:21	54:19 195:20	188:6	26:21	44:25
option	overly	pair	participation	pattern
137:9	14:21	88:21	20:12	55:7 117:24
options	overnight	Palmer	parties	126:19 149:19
21:13	71:2	46:16	16:7 35:11	220:21
Orangeburg	overturned	paper	56:11 72:10	pause
58:15 59:3,8,23	219:8	134:25 135:3	77:7,10 90:10	78:21 224:9
60:9 61:6 62:7	overwhelming	paperwork	108:25 126:2	pay
63:2 122:24	187:3	76:21	127:24 128:3	98:11 164:18
order	overwhelmingly	paralegal/	130:13,21	205:21
8:3 24:23,24	94:16	project	136:8,17,20	pay-to-play
26:23 124:1	owned	167:14	142:10 151:2	195:3
135:6 138:2	205:24,25	parental	154:1 173:3	paycheck
147:23 168:20	203.24,23	124:21 125:16	190:25 209:7	76:23
184:19 193:23		148:17 202:13	222:5	paying
203:24 218:11	P	parents	partner	25:14
ordered	P-R-O-C-E-E-	124:22 141:4	87:11,22 91:4	PDQ
131:1	D-I-N-G-S	149:3 167:2	215:1 225:12	10:25 11:3
organizations	8:1	219:7	230:6,12,16	12:22 29:13
195:4,5,24	P.A.		231:9	30:19 33:17
organized	17:20	parole 10:13	party	52:13 53:25
150:4 152:9			33:20 132:6	66:15,18 68:11
out'n	p.m. 235:9	part	party/leaving	76:2 85:9 86:22
97:11,18		10:19 29:6	41:15	105:4 106:6
· ·	packet 175:6 176:13	37:11 38:15 52:6 62:5 66:8	passed	121:7,16,19
outcome 16:1 35:5 56:4		81:13 82:6 85:2	95:15	123:8 144:22
72:4 90:4	packets	91:10 103:6	passenger	145:7 146:12
108:19 127:21	175:10,11,12,19	104:24 115:1	41:15	162:6 163:21
150:24 152:25	pages	121:9 137:10,17	passes	182:7,10 183:20
167:22 173:1	10:24 11:2,6	138:23 153:13	91:15	200:10,13
190:22 209:4	29:12,15 52:12,	161:24 165:17	passing	201:20 206:25
222:2	15 66:14,17,20	167:17 169:10,	91:3	213:6 215:15
outfitter	85:8,11 105:3,6	25 170:3,16	passionate	Peace
25:7	121:15,18,21 145:6,9 162:5,8	174:20 182:1	70:6	77:12
outset	176:8 182:6,9,	185:1 187:12		Pee
116:8 143:17	13 200:9,12,15	189:13 212:24	past 20:11 22:25	15:8
174:1	213:5,8	233:23	59:1 60:25 75:8	peer
	ĺ ,	part-time	227:22	174:3 195:4
outstanding	paid	100:25		peers
86:17 88:6 186:20 215:7	44:5 74:2 97:16	participant	path 38:4 86:16	150:5 177:18
100.20 213:7	pain	21:1	30.4 00:10	130.3 177.10
	223:12	21.1		

14	225 4 15 226 1	121 6 140 5		1. * . 4*66
penalty	225:4,15 226:1,	131:6 140:5	phone	plaintiff
14:11,13 110:24	11,23,25 233:5	142:20 159:23	11:11 20:18	70:19,25 154:5
111:4 114:6,9,	people's	174:5 188:21,24	22:5 45:8 168:7,	plaintiff's
23	154:14 185:23	225:22 226:1	11	58:13 87:16
pending	perceive	229:7	phrase	plaintiffs
8:7 16:1 35:5	92:6 155:23	person's	68:24 69:1	69:1 207:4
56:4 70:19 72:4	perceived	40:15 186:9	physical	plaintiffs'
90:4 108:19	88:14,15 98:19	personable	15:10 34:13	61:21 62:6 63:7
120:12 127:21	percent	220:19	55:10 71:10	plan
149:4 150:24	58:23 60:25	personableness	89:11 108:2	61:5 142:12
172:25 190:22	97:1 135:4	228:25	126:23 141:11	230:24
205:10 209:4	169:19	personal	149:22 172:5	planned
222:2 234:17	percent-ish	9:13 28:23 37:2	189:25 208:8	214:21
people	95:17	51:20 65:24	220:24	plans
21:4 22:23 39:6,	percentages	84:19 98:23	pick	206:5
16 40:3,5 42:14	75:19 186:7	99:6 104:16	117:12 120:21	plate
49:12,14,15	perception	161:16 166:16	168:11 216:2	230:9
70:16 75:11	88:25 89:3	181:14 199:22	picked	play
82:10 92:22	99:15 114:19,20	212:16 223:10,	59:19 75:4	125:25
93:7 94:6 97:4	perfect	20	100:12	
98:15 99:6,11,	171:1 186:19	personality	picking	played 49:11,15
21 100:10	229:14	216:3	231:22	'
101:16 102:17,	performed	personally	piece	playing
24 115:22	73:25 81:9	94:5 113:13	227:22	37:4 46:19
116:23 118:7		persons	pieces	plea
119:18 129:18	period 17:8 42:25 82:2	20:15 201:3	126:4	32:5 95:22
130:2 140:13	17:8 42:23 82:2	perspective	Piedmont	pleas
147:19 152:5		31:20 101:23	71:4 220:22	14:15 31:17
155:22 156:2	permanency	147:20	piers	32:1,3,4 33:7,11
157:7 165:25	204:23	persuaded	207:8,13	54:23 61:11
166:8,19,22	permanent	15:5	pin	69:2 76:1,6
170:3 171:22,	136:8	pertinent	196:6,8,21	177:10 217:8
23,25 174:12	permutation	124:5	190.0,8,21	pleasant
177:8,11	232:1			39:1,16 49:5,12
178:10,22	persistence	Pete	pipeline	61:19 89:17
185:18 187:12,	22:9 74:22	38:17 160:23	27:6	pleasantness
15 188:8	person	161:9 162:6	place	62:11
193:16,18 194:9	13:23 20:8 39:1	173:23	32:24 41:2	pleased
195:12 196:16	49:6 61:20	petitions	43:23 47:17	21:13 22:24
197:14 205:19,	71:15 86:15	31:25	48:9 64:9	pleasurable
24 216:4 217:22	92:3,13,15	petty	112:15 178:3	197:21
219:19,22	94:19 95:2	78:21 80:1	227:2	pleasure
224:12,25	97:17 114:14			_

122 10 10		1	215 10 221 14	1
123:18,19	poor	possibly 43:22	215:19 231:14	predator
pledge	98:10		practiced	79:9
15:25 35:4 56:3	pops	post	31:23 42:24	predictability
72:3 90:3	25:20	110:18	54:11 87:6,19	116:15
108:18 127:20	popular	posture	166:12 185:15,	preface
150:23 172:24	219:11	76:6	16 195:23 202:3	138:11
190:21 209:3	portion	potentially	204:2 205:16	preference
222:1	27:12 50:12	27:19 50:18	216:22 224:22	142:9
pledging	64:14 83:21	64:21 83:16	practices	prejudice
16:13 35:17	119:21 126:1	103:13 120:2	167:11 233:13	136:7
56:17 72:17	160:6 179:23	143:21 180:5	practicing	prelaw
90:16 109:6	198:8 210:11	198:15 210:18	17:6,13,14 54:9,	165:20
128:6 151:8	position	234:5	13 63:7 100:3	prenuptial
173:9 191:6	12:25 30:22	power	164:21 167:3,18	202:14
209:13 222:11	39:25 54:3 55:1	96:12	203:16 216:14	preparation
plenty	63:18,22 68:14	practical	232:20 233:4	64:1,10 124:1,3
14:14 21:12	86:25 96:5	63:22 133:8	practitioner	147:23,25 148:1
23:18 99:21	106:9 118:25	135:7 185:24	186:21 202:11	168:20,21,22
pm	123:11 136:8	186:4 206:22	206:18	184:19,21
120:19	146:15 163:24	practice	practitioners	203:24 204:1
podium	179:7 183:22	13:1 17:22 19:4	166:4 221:9	218:11,13
9:1	184:17 189:5	21:11 30:23	praise	· ·
point	195:1 201:22	31:24 38:2 45:4,	63:11	prepare 24:15 75:2
10:2 19:19 24:2	202:25 203:2,4	16 54:4,11,13,	praises	153:8
31:12 60:15	215:18	18 58:21 59:11	58:18	
91:5 93:13,20	positions	68:15 69:10,12		prepared
94:8 100:23	99:12	75:20 82:9,13,	praying 214:24	25:21 63:20
119:8 129:24	positive	14 87:1 98:13		75:9 113:21
164:25 175:23	13:21 22:11	106:10 114:8	pre-	121:7 156:16
187:14 204:24	32:15 55:4 70:1	123:12 138:23	111:20	218:17
216:9 225:7	80:17,18 107:4	146:16 147:1,17	pre-trial	preparing
230:3	117:10,16	148:22 151:24	59:12	94:23 187:21
pointed	126:15 149:14	152:2 155:5	preacher	prepped
192:6	170:24 186:18	163:25 164:11	58:6,17	158:19
	187:3 206:11,13	166:16 167:3	preachers	presence
points	210:7 220:16	177:18 178:2,22	219:17	48:24 160:18
98:2	230:22	183:23 185:16	precedes	present
policy	possession	194:23 195:21	179:6	9:2 12:1 15:2
119:1	205:18	201:23 202:5,8,	precluding	19:15,16,25
polite		16,21,24 203:10	92:12	23:18 30:3 53:4
13:22	possibility	204:3,5,16	preconceived	67:8 85:25
polygraph	210:23	211:6 212:1	152:7	105:19 122:10
59:14		211.0 212.1		125:7 129:15
	1	1	1	1

				1
132:7 140:2	prided	202:8 204:5	113:11 115:17	17:1,13 22:19
145:22 162:21	43:1	privately	119:21 121:24	69:18
183:2 201:4	primarily	79:18	122:11 128:1	program
213:22	17:21 26:20	privilege	145:2 151:4	21:4 67:16
presented	75:20 99:15	183:8	155:14,15	69:14 139:11
33:22 124:9	primary	privy	156:4,6,13	165:18
129:4,19 131:23	20:6 70:25	91:25	173:6 179:24	progression
136:14,15	printed	pro	187:12 191:2	23:24
142:13 158:21	34:4	82:23 138:1	192:9 195:4,8	projected
211:13	prior	187:9 188:22	198:8 201:11	20:24
presenting	15:25 17:12,18,	probable	209:9 222:7	promise
22:20	19 22:12 35:4	133:25	226:7 229:10	168:1
Presently	40:23 41:1 56:4	probate	233:23	properly
139:7,10	72:3 78:6 90:3	87:19 217:10	processes	76:21 136:15,20
presents	108:18 127:21	probation	107:8	142:13
132:5	150:24 172:25	42:2,3 118:4	processional	properties
preserve	190:22 192:7	problem	108:4	205:25
134:15	209:4 222:2	79:16 80:23	proclaim	property
preserving	priorities	93:8 94:5 137:5,	44:19	87:18 125:25
123:22	43:19	11 157:17	productive	126:7,8 177:14
president	prioritize	174:23 175:17	41:6	217:23 223:5
20:19 48:19	131:8 133:22	217:3	profession	proponent
165:6	prioritized	problems	62:23 98:25	92:20 95:3,12,
pressing	134:5	91:11 178:11	188:24	21
134:3	prioritizing	205:7	professional	pros
pretty	134:13,15	proceed	13:2 15:12	215:2
11:13 18:11	priority	8:20 30:6 163:7	30:24 34:12	prosecute
40:6 54:14	132:23 135:10	201:7	54:5 55:12	139:7
59:11 87:24	prison	Proceeding	61:19 68:16	prosecuted
115:10 134:23	40:5,8,12	28:7	71:7 81:17 87:2	24:3 42:25 79:9
168:2 170:19	private	proceedings	89:13 95:2	95:18 96:24
211:25 225:7	17:22 31:10,11,	11:12 98:3	99:13 106:11	138:17,20 140:6
227:7	24 32:12 38:2		123:15 126:25	204:22 216:17
previous	44:2 54:11	proceeds 179:14 197:18	146:19 149:24	prosecuting
11:22 29:25	69:12 114:8		164:3 172:7	24:5,8 39:24
33:18 53:1 67:5	138:23 139:8	process	174:17 184:1	96:9 133:24
85:22 105:16	141:9 147:17	16:9 22:16 27:3, 12 31:22 35:13	190:3 202:1	139:9 140:7
145:18 162:18	148:19,21,22	50:1,12 56:13	208:4 215:22	203:4 216:18
182:24 200:25	151:24 152:2,15	64:14 72:12	221:2	prosecution
213:19 228:10	153:4,10 155:5	83:22 90:12	professionalism	42:10 50:5
previously	157:10 159:6	103:7 109:2	62:10 73:16	115:5 204:21
151:23	177:17 185:1,2	112:7,9,24	professor	224:6
	,	112.1,7,24		22 1.0
	1	<u> </u>	1	I

prosecutor	202:18	putting	qualifies	199:23 212:17
13:10 44:19	published	40:5,8 177:16	146:19	223:3
79:9 96:1,5,6,8	69:8	194:3	qualify	questions
124:22 139:14	pulling		76:2 123:15	10:7 12:15
148:12 216:21	193:9	Q	164:3 184:1	16:20,22 21:23
prosecutors	purchased		202:1 215:22	25:25 26:6,23
96:12 107:19,21	207:6	qualifications	qualifying	27:10 30:6
233:3,17	purpose	11:16 15:10	112:5	35:25 36:2,22
prosperous	44:9	27:23 29:19	quality	49:22 53:7,13,
231:17	PURPOSES	34:12 50:22	207:2	20 56:25 57:2
protect	10:24 11:2,6	52:20 55:10	quasi	61:24 62:2
127:4 143:1	29:12,15 52:12,	63:19 64:24	96:5 110:18	63:10 67:25
protection	15 66:14,17,20	66:24 71:10	question	72:25 73:2 78:2
142:24	85:8,11 105:3,6	83:19 85:16	8:7 9:24 13:5	83:8 90:24
protocols	121:15,18,21	89:10 92:12	21:18 36:5 60:1	91:17 103:5
119:5	145:6,9 162:5,8	103:17 105:10	64:8 74:23	105:22 109:14
proud	182:6,9,13	108:2 120:5	75:17 78:15	110:2 112:18
82:14 83:4	200:9,12,15	126:22 144:1	97:8 116:7	113:24 119:3,20
119:9 210:4	213:5,8	147:15 149:22	118:17 120:13	122:16 128:14,
	pursuant	155:19 162:12	129:20 132:8	16,23 137:14
prove 125:2	27:14 50:13	172:5 180:8	141:1 155:3	143:4 145:25
	64:16 83:11	182:18 189:25	159:8 176:18	151:15,16
proves	103:8 119:22	198:18 200:19	188:1 192:21	154:23,25
100:19	143:15 179:25	208:8 210:21	196:5 211:4	160:4,6,13
provide	198:10 210:13	213:13 220:24	224:1 232:15	163:7 173:17,19
206:25	233:25	230:2 234:8	234:17	179:12,22
provided	push	qualified	questioned	183:6,9 187:24
25:1	19:18 44:5 45:2	13:3 15:9,11	124:25 223:21	191:13,15 198:6
provisions		19:18 20:15	questioning	201:7 209:20,22
63:15 158:22	pushing	30:25 34:11,14,	16:19 18:10	210:9 214:15
Pruet	133:15 175:23	17 54:6 55:5,9,	35:23 56:23	222:18,20 226:7
167:12	put	11 68:17 71:5,9	72:23 90:22	233:21 235:8
psychological	43:20 76:2	81:2 87:3 89:10,	92:5 107:13	quibbling
186:14	97:12 114:2	12,16 106:12	109:12 128:12	138:9
public	121:12 129:6 143:14 144:23	108:1,3,7	151:14 173:16	quick
31:10 36:8 44:2		126:21,24	191:12 209:19	88:11 116:7
59:16 68:20	177:8 201:11	149:21,23 150:3	222:17	130:15 137:17
69:11,21 81:15	207:17 218:8 230:9 231:11	155:13 172:4,6	questionnaire	141:1 223:19
87:7 94:3 95:3		189:24 190:1	9:14 28:24	quickly
96:13,15 99:1	Putnam	206:16,24	51:21 65:25	91:15 115:10
101:2 115:7	68:5	208:3,7,10	84:20 104:17	175:16
119:1 123:19,24	puts	211:8 217:13	161:17 181:15	quickness
164:6,7 178:2	92:14 228:14	220:16,23 221:1	101.17 101.13	32:17

quiet	45:21,22,24,25	reaching	202:15	176:11 178:16
95:8	48:11 57:3,5	31:8 115:15	reasonable	219:11 226:9
	60:2 62:3 73:4,	reaction	63:25 113:16	230:11
quote 96:1,4 208:10,	5,6,8 77:18 78:1	228:19	125:6 136:18	recognize
11,13	93:9,10,12			51:19 53:7,19
11,13	116:4,6 119:2	read	reasons	59:4 67:24
	120:21 121:1,6,	22:12 26:18	23:9 50:3 69:22	105:22 111:6
R	11,23 122:15	44:14 111:8,15,	118:1 219:13	133:17 137:4,9
race	123:2,4 128:15	16 134:25	rebuilding	183:5 201:6
23:6 194:10	132:12 137:14,	142:6,11,14 168:3 175:12	23:1	210:25
228:16	16 143:4,11,14		rebuttal	
	144:6,8,11,22	reading	154:6	recognized 111:3 195:5
racking 170:16	145:1,11 146:3	62:20 92:24	recall	
	151:16 154:25	142:2 225:24	37:19 38:19	recognizing
rails	155:2 156:18	ready	41:11 57:12	46:2 53:15 86:1
97:7	157:1 160:4,15,	22:18 43:6 60:5,	76:25 133:24	135:8
raise	20 210:25	6 75:3 121:8	receive	recollection
9:4 28:13 51:10	211:1,3 228:5,7	143:3 144:23	40:14 76:23	140:18
65:14 84:10	234:16	155:14 158:19	received	recommendatio
104:7 121:1	Rankin's	193:13 194:11	11:24 13:19	n
144:16 161:7	64:7	215:6	15:25 20:18	42:20 125:14
164:18 199:13		real	30:1 32:13 35:4	174:12
212:7	rare 113:9 184:14	62:8 217:18,20	53:2 55:2 56:3	recommendatio
raised		realistic	67:6 69:23 72:3	ns
16:17 35:21	rat	167:20,21	85:23 88:3 90:3	117:19
56:21 72:21	194:10	reality	105:17 107:1	recommended
79:24 90:20	rate	88:16 169:10	108:18 126:12	118:16
109:10 128:10	40:10	realization	127:20 149:11	recommending
147:3 151:12	rates	203:13	150:23 162:19	36:9
165:14 173:14	169:8	realize	170:22 172:24	reconcile
187:18 191:10	rational	54:18 62:15	183:1 186:15	135:13
209:17 220:20	185:25	64:8	190:21 197:24	record
222:15	re-	realized	201:2 206:9	8:15,18,20
ran	20:5	62:17 88:23	209:3 220:13	10:18 12:21,23
110:16 114:3	RE-	110:22	222:1	16:16 22:3
Randall	EXAMINATIO	realizing	receiving	25:25 27:4,21
219:12	N	129:25 159:23	20:19 171:12	28:5,7 29:6
range	26:8	realm	235:3	30:18,20 34:9
54:24 166:11	reach	59:11 61:1	recent	35:20 50:20
195:15	63:25 132:1	realty	10:3 25:6	51:3,4 52:6
Rankin	reached	148:24	205:24	53:24 54:1
11:8 21:24,25	20:14 82:24		recently	56:20 58:25
22:2 25:24 37:8		reason 21:15 133:5	32:10,11 75:20	62:14 64:23
		21.13 133.3		
	I	1	<u> </u>	1

	1	i	1	1
65:6,8 68:10,12	Reese	39:20 190:5	remarks	renders
72:21 83:18	86:7	208:9	12:2 22:6 30:5	13:3 30:25 54:6
84:2,3 85:2	refer	relates	67:23 86:11	68:17 87:3
86:21,23 90:20	62:19 180:10	32:18 40:1	105:20 119:15	106:12
91:2 99:13	reference	relational	143:6,7 162:23	repeat
103:15,24	9:25 36:6 62:20	55:16	163:6 214:7	231:21
104:1,24 106:5,	75:22 76:3	relations	remarried	replaced
7 109:10 114:3	109:19 179:9	131:3	223:6	165:3
120:4,20 121:8,	194:19	relationship	remedy	report
12 122:22	referenced	152:10	125:4	15:8,9 27:22
123:6,9 128:10	78:6 204:25	relationships	remember	33:15,21 50:21
143:15,25	referencing	107:18	21:20 22:12	64:24 83:19
144:10,24	155:5	relative	38:21 39:1 40:4	103:16 120:5
145:11 146:6,	refers	49:23 114:14	48:17 49:7,13,	134:8 144:1
10,13 151:11	57:18	relaxing	15 80:10 92:24	160:8 180:8
157:2 160:8,17,	refitters	38:5	95:25 99:1	198:18 210:21
21,23 161:24	25:8	release	114:11 207:19	234:8
163:16,19,22	reflecting	27:22 50:21	remind	reported
173:13 180:7,19	20:22	64:23 83:18	27:14 50:13	15:9 34:10 55:8
181:25 183:14,	reflective	103:16 120:5	64:15 83:10	71:5 89:9
18,21 191:9,21	63:16	144:1 180:7	103:8 119:22	107:25 208:2
192:1,17 194:3	refresh	198:17 210:21	179:24 198:9	reporter
197:4,22	157:23 204:10	234:8	210:12 233:25	42:17
198:16,24		released	reminded	reports
199:1,3 200:5	regale	160:9	25:10	98:9
201:15,18,21	74:9		reminder	represent
205:8 207:17	regard	relevant	196:8	96:7 141:20
209:16 210:20	10:7 18:9 40:1	138:5	reminiscing	152:22 167:18
211:21,23	211:5 228:13,17	relief	187:23	188:25 231:25
212:24 215:10, 13,16 222:14	Registration	110:19 136:6,7	remiss	representation
234:7,24 235:1,	18:25	rely	64:4 91:5 119:7	130:7
234.7,24 233.1,	regular	157:24	remittitur	Representative
	32:6,9 49:8	remain	113:10 118:13	8:6 16:25 18:1
record's	regularly	27:21 50:20	remote	23:2 37:10
174:15	195:11 217:2	64:23 83:18	210:22 234:9	44:11,12,23
recoup	rehab	103:15 120:4	removal	45:14 48:15,16
76:20	40:18	180:7 198:16	19:2 125:5	49:17 58:8
recovered	reintroduce	210:20 234:7		110:3,4,6
17:9	30:7	remaining	removed	113:22,25
recovery	relate	14:20 89:12	192:13 205:2	118:10 120:12
21:2	229:17	205:4	rendered	229:21,23
redistricting	related	remarkable	74:4	234:16
18:22		63:6 209:25		

represented	researching	respondents	215:1	rightfully
18:24 76:19	124:8	17:5 119:11	return	133:18
87:14,15 101:23	reserves	response	168:7	rights
114:13 141:6,21	221:9	14:7,23 70:8	returning	124:21 125:10,
204:17,18	reside	107:14 118:10	219:25	16 148:17
207:20,21	122:23 146:7,8	128:22 171:13	reveal	202:13
representing	163:16 183:15	187:9,11 188:1	220:21	Riley
39:14 69:1,2	201:16 215:11	189:6	revealed	28:8,16 29:13,
171:17 174:18	residence	responses	206:24	16 30:8 33:16
202:24 203:7	13:1 30:23 54:4	17:10 45:4 55:3	reverence	34:5,7 44:17,23
reputation	68:15 87:1	187:1,25 210:5	188:16,18	45:11
15:13 34:15	106:10 123:11	responsibility	review	Riley's
55:13 71:8	146:15 163:24	113:8	69:8 81:12	45:5
89:14 92:3	183:23 201:23	responsible	186:9 204:10	ring
107:9 108:5	215:18	41:22 142:19	reviewed	92:20
115:1 119:9	resident	rest	16:12 33:19	road
127:1 149:25	219:9	82:18	35:16 56:16	61:6
172:8 179:6,14	resolution	restaurant	72:16 90:15	robe
190:4 197:18	26:19 42:11	48:3,4	109:5 128:5	64:9 92:14 93:3,
208:5 210:2	resolve	result	151:7 173:8	21 211:13
221:3	193:19 205:11	37:7 133:11	191:5 209:12	229:16,18
requested	resonated	135:3	222:10	robe-
74:3	64:4	resulted	reviewing	93:1 98:9
requests	resource	75:23 76:4	75:9	robe-itis
154:22	61:15 68:2	retain	revisit	92:7
require	respect	180:9 210:23	122:12	Robert
15:6	70:16 119:16	retained	rewarding	227:24
required	174:3 188:22	219:4	82:9 216:10	role
19:12 23:23	192:5	retire	231:19	14:25 15:1
221:8	respected	230:7,12,13	Richardson	17:12,18 18:19
requirements	88:8 107:8	231:10	26:2	22:18 24:25
12:25 30:22	159:14 174:13	retired	Richland	31:7,21 95:19
54:3 68:14	188:24	147:4	60:18 111:15	112:24 142:17
86:25 106:9	respectful	retirees	Ridge	152:2 187:1
123:10 132:3	70:10	49:9	87:25 92:17	roll
146:14 163:23	respectfully	retirement	riding	88:18
183:22 201:22	229:18	148:24 206:4,5,	46:7	Ron
215:17 219:15	respond	6	righteous	39:2
requisite	32:21 88:12	retirements	220:20	room
189:5	92:10 97:12	126:5		67:12 130:17
research	115:3 168:8		righteousness 229:1	178:17
217:2 218:16	171:19	retiring	227.1	

Rotary	run	203:20	49:16	scintilla
165:5,6	23:14 24:16	sacrifice	Saudi	25:8,13,15
rough	31:15 74:23,25	164:22	39:4	screaming
155:17	108:7 114:1	saddens	saving	178:19
rounded	129:17 130:19	70:12	206:5	screened
68:19 166:23	171:6 206:16	sadness	sayings	57:9 75:4
routine	214:22 227:10,	91:3	196:12	screening
218:18	20 228:13	safe	SC	8:21 16:1,5
row	runner	118:2	173:10 222:11	27:12,20 28:8
46:13	38:1	safety	scale	35:5,9 50:12,19
rubber	running	101:2 134:19	225:3	56:5,9 64:14,22
118:14,19,24	30:13 48:12	Safran	scandal	70:18,21 72:4,8
rule	60:23 61:17	97:23,25 103:2	38:24	75:2,15 83:17,
15:17 25:9	67:21 83:1	132:12,13,15		22 90:4,8 103:7,
34:20 55:20	112:7,9 116:15	137:12 156:18,	scenario 41:20 43:2	14 108:19,23
71:21 89:20	171:4 178:6	20 223:15,16,18		119:21 120:3
95:11,12 108:10	179:13 194:25	, ,	schedule	127:17,22
127:8 131:12	198:1 214:15	salad	45:9 101:25	150:20,25
136:15 142:15	runs	196:18,19	130:18,21	172:21 173:1
150:7 172:12	31:13	Saluda	144:14 153:19	179:23 180:6
175:19 176:16	runway	87:12,14	154:10 164:23	190:18,23
190:9 208:16	23:12	Sam	168:5	198:8,16 199:3
221:14	rural	22:4	scheduled	208:25 209:5
ruled	31:2 97:9	Sammy	70:24 129:7	210:11,19
131:2 142:1,6	119:12	211:24 212:10	130:12 134:1	221:23 222:3
rules	Rutherford	213:6,9 214:14	193:1	228:10 233:23
15:6,18 25:2,3	16:23,25 18:1	sample	scheduling	234:6
34:21 55:21	23:2 37:10	137:23	44:9 193:23	screenings
71:21 77:8	44:11,12,23	samples	scholar	11:23 29:25
89:21 108:11	45:14 48:15,16	137:19	81:8	53:1 67:5 85:22
127:9 136:21	49:17 58:8 74:9	sandwiches	scholarly	105:16 162:18
150:8 172:13	120:11 234:17	196:20	69:8	182:24 200:25
190:10 204:7		Sanford	school	213:19
208:17 220:10	S	8:22 9:6 10:25	37:6,14,16,18,	screens
221:15		11:3,7 12:24	25 38:5,13	145:18
ruling	Sabb	13:22 14:2	57:16 69:7	screenshots
95:10 136:5	18:4,6 26:6,7,9	17:20	81:10 82:3,4	176:9
170:20 175:9,12	27:9 36:23,24	sat	101:5,11,15	search
176:3	37:1 44:10,22	159:16 189:20	146:22 156:7	11:21 29:23
rulings	62:2,3 81:4,5	satisfied	177:5 184:5,7	52:24 67:3
95:12 203:17	83:5	20:12 37:12	202:6,17 232:11	85:20 105:14
73.12 203.17	sacred	Saturday	schools	122:6 145:16
			101:9	

	 			1
162:16 182:23	selected	16 143:4,11,14	seriousness	203:3
200:24 213:17	20:14,16 59:12	144:6,8,22	203:14	serving
searching	selection	145:1,11 146:3	sermon	69:20 114:16
122:2	8:4 11:15 29:17	151:16,17	187:22	123:19 180:15
seat	52:18 59:9	154:25 155:2	servant	203:18
23:7,13 28:9,10	66:22 85:14	156:18,25	71:15 164:16	session
30:13 50:7 51:6,	105:9 111:20	160:4,15,20	165:16	8:5,8,12,14,17,
7 65:9,11 84:5	162:10 182:16	173:20 177:18	servant's	18 33:19
104:3,4 161:2	200:17 213:11	197:4 210:25	164:5	120:13,17,19
180:25 199:9	selects	228:5,7 232:12,	serve	216:17 234:18,
212:3 214:16	60:22	14 234:16	13:17,25 33:1	22,23 235:2,3
230:12 231:6	self-awareness	send	68:20,22 123:13	sessions
232:17	15:3	178:16,17	124:1 146:17	31:20 64:11
second-chair	selfishness	sense	147:14,23	69:3 76:5 177:9
166:13	142:21	118:23 165:25	155:14 164:1,9,	217:6
seconded	sell	166:6 168:16	10 165:24	set
8:6 120:12	207:13 217:23	229:9	166:23 168:20	92:18 102:21
234:16	selling	sensed	183:24 184:3,9,	133:6 166:5,22
section	207:7	24:17	20 196:4 201:24	168:15 171:3
16:3 35:7,18	semester	sentence	203:24 215:20	193:11,12 194:8
56:7,18 72:6,18	17:17	41:24 117:24	218:12	setting
90:6 108:21	Senate	118:5	served	74:12 166:18
109:7 127:15	75:5	sentenced	13:12 69:8	193:11
128:7 150:18	Senator	10:13	87:25 165:2,4,	settled
151:9 172:19	8:6 11:8 18:4,6	sentencer	11,12,16 189:8,	207:11
190:16 191:7	19:19 21:24,25	117:22	10,11 203:2,5	settlement
208:23 209:14	22:2 25:24 26:6,	sentences	216:20 217:11	63:25 136:18
221:21	7,9 27:9 36:23,	42:15 117:11	224:9	settling
sections	24 37:8 44:10,	sentencing	service	62:7
9:24	12,22 45:21,22,	9:25 59:10	28:1 50:25	Seventh
Security	24 46:4 48:11	95:22 113:6	63:19 65:2	161:3 163:17
77:15 195:9	57:5,17 60:2	separate	69:12,17,21	175:1 181:1
seek	62:2,3 64:7	41:19 126:7	74:18 75:3	183:17 192:22
147:14 185:9	73:4,8 77:18	separated	81:15 83:23	sexual
186:12	78:1 81:4,5 83:5	223:1	103:21 106:22,	79:9 148:14
seeking	93:9,10,12	separation	23 120:8 164:6,	shadow
79:17 203:21	116:6 119:2	149:3 157:14	8 165:2 168:10	165:19
seemingly	120:21 121:1,6,	separations	178:2 180:13	shake
60:18	11,23 122:15	147:12	198:21 211:18	99:9
seizure	123:2,4 128:15,	September	234:12	shame
205:14	17 132:10,12	138:21	services	79:15
	134:22 137:14,		147:7 171:13	
	1	I	1	1

	1			1
share	show	silence	21,25 129:23	215:12
64:5 82:21 92:1	43:9 189:2	21:21	131:15 132:10,	Sixth
229:12	193:15	silk	11,19 133:3	30:11 216:15
shared	showed	93:22	134:21 137:10,	size
170:18 232:3,4	219:19	similar	13,18,21 138:10	31:16 87:23
shares	showing	41:13 75:11	139:20,23	140:11
228:22	41:8 117:4	117:23	140:21,23	skeletons
Sharon	shows	similarly	141:2,5,15,21,	179:16
202:3	81:16	58:12 228:12	25 142:8,15	skill
sharp	shut	simply	143:13,24	166:5,22 168:15
186:18	96:2	12:12 53:6	160:24 161:6	171:3 186:21
shattering	shy	60:10 209:25	162:2 163:6	skilled
126:3	155:11 156:2	Sinclair	179:22 180:12	71:14
shed	sickness	189:15 227:4	181:12,13 182:3	SLED
79:13	227:1	sing	191:19,24	33:15,20 206:24
sheets	side	74:6	199:5,21,25 200:7 212:22	slightly
25:4 111:8	55:16 62:11	sir	213:1 225:10	93:19 156:2
shift	73:16 80:10	8:23 9:2,9,12,	233:20 234:15	slip
39:23	96:13 107:23	16,19,23 10:20		211:11
shifted	110:11 113:3,7	12:16 17:2,14	sit 32:10 43:10	slippery
146:25	116:24 117:6,	18:8 19:12 20:3,		140:14
shingle	10,13 118:15	21 21:4 22:8	111:15,17 142:11 155:21	slot
202:7	153:10 169:16	24:6,12,23 26:5,	166:1 175:19	133:12
shocked	215:25 216:2	11 27:1 28:1,2,	176:11 187:16	slow
94:6	230:20 231:23,	11,23 30:14	sitting	61:1
Shocking	24	36:19 46:1	32:7 53:15	slowed
44:22	sides	47:13 51:8 57:1	61:13 92:9,18	129:5
shoes	36:11 42:22	64:13 84:8,18	98:8 142:2	small
88:20,21,22,24	107:22 114:21	85:5 88:3 89:7,	148:3 154:4	31:2 45:5 87:12,
	125:8	22 94:3,15	156:11 168:11	13 139:9 158:10
shooting 62:9	sign	97:21 98:5	185:4	164:21 178:8
	79:6 174:2	100:1,20,24	situation	217:20 224:13,
short 17:8 82:2	signal	101:1 102:3,6,	15:4 27:7	23
17:8 82:2	130:12	20 103:21	125:21 131:22	smallest
	signed	104:4,5,22	133:14,16	158:10
shortly 189:18	43:18	105:1 108:12,	217:14	smalltown
l	significant	16,20,24 109:4,	situations	224:18
should've	11:13 138:15	8,9,16,24	18:23 125:12	smart
220:1	204:6	112:21,25	134:18 135:9	70:2 208:12
shoulders	signify	118:18 120:7 121:10 123:5	136:16 206:23	
228:15	8:8 107:22		Sixteenth	smarts 165:25
	120:14 234:18	126:11 128:15,	212:4 214:16	103.23
			212.121.10	
	•	,		

		1		1
smile	son	55:20 56:17	144:20 152:5	215:1 216:14
177:22	39:10 42:5	65:2 71:21	161:10 181:8	spice
snapped	94:10 167:13	72:17 83:24	199:16 212:11	228:19
88:23	196:13 205:5	89:20 90:16	speaks	spirit
social	232:7	103:22 106:16,	36:11 81:19	27:16 50:16
69:6 77:15	son's	23 107:17,18	110:10 211:8	64:18 83:13
147:7 195:9	41:22,25	108:10 109:6	Spearman	103:11 119:24
203:3	song	120:9 122:25	93:14 94:8	143:19 180:2
society	74:6	127:8 128:6	special	198:12 210:15
96:7	sooner	136:21 146:8	73:18 205:1,4,5,	234:3
soft	193:1	147:9 150:7,17	13	split
78:24	sophomore	151:8 156:6	specific	169:17,23
sole	37:25	157:17 172:13	31:7 46:23	spoke
170:17	sort	180:14 183:16	74:24 80:5	93:1 102:6
solicitor	23:1,19 88:19	190:10 191:6	125:21	spoken
13:8 14:12 26:2	110:18,25	192:9 198:22	specifically	130:13 228:23
30:9,10,12 31:2,	112:10,15	201:17 208:17	38:14 75:17	sports
4 36:8 60:9 69:3	118:23 119:1	209:13 211:19	77:2 80:1,6	37:22
87:8 95:19 96:6,	131:16 170:18	215:12 219:9	114:11 118:12	spouse
14 147:6,16	177:25 202:22	221:14 232:10	124:18 148:10	53:17 147:4,18
216:15	214:19,23	234:13	169:1 185:13	171:17
solicitor's	217:17 223:8	Southern	204:13 218:23	spouses
24:8 30:10	230:14 231:10	47:19	specifics	149:6
36:16 50:5 59:6	sought	Space	80:5	Spradley
96:16,17,24	15:25 35:4 56:3	193:17	spectrum	84:4,7,8,12,18,
99:2 114:7	72:3 90:3	Spanish	158:5	22,25 85:4,9,13
solicitors	108:18 127:20	47:4	speed	86:6,10,12,24
60:8	150:23 172:24	Spartanburg	60:3 175:24	87:1 89:9,12,16,
soloist	190:21 209:3	163:17 168:3	Speedy	18 90:25 91:7,
74:8,15	222:1	178:8,17 183:16	47:23 48:7	18 98:1 103:3,6,
Solomon	soul	188:13 189:14	spell	23
177:14	227:12	192:5,7,23	48:1	spreading
solution	sound	230:11 231:6	spend	126:3
26:21 137:10	157:2	232:18,24	89:4 101:7	spring
185:25	sounds	Spartanburg's	111:22 194:2	23:15,21 87:25
solutions	102:4 178:4	174:20	225:20	92:17
116:2	225:5	speak	spent	square
solve	South	9:7 28:17 51:14	14:14 106:15,	113:4
186:3	15:17 16:13	61:2 65:18	16,17,19,22	squarely
someone's	19:17 21:6,14	79:20 84:13	114:3 147:6	113:6
166:20	28:1 34:20	92:2 104:10	157:4 166:21	stability
100.20	35:17 39:9 51:1	121:4 132:20	188:14 214:23	15:11 34:13
				13.11 37.13
	l	1	1	1

55:11 71:11	standing	189:17 192:1	statutory	37:2 41:11
89:11 108:2	48:20 49:1	198:22 200:23	12:25 30:21	49:13 196:9
126:23 149:23	179:7 207:9	201:15 211:3,18	54:3 68:13	229:15
167:17 172:5	219:8,10 228:16	213:17 215:10	86:24 106:8	straight
190:1 208:8	stands	234:13	123:10 146:14	163:7 216:11
220:25	64:1 119:15	state's	163:23 166:6	strange
stable	Stanley	198:13	183:22 201:22	86:15
167:1	91:4,9	stated	215:17	street
stack	staring	32:22 108:6	staunch	48:18,22 49:2
169:15	48:21 196:7	127:2 150:2	21:5	84:6 165:25
staff	Starnes	221:4	stay	188:14
10:21 12:15	68:5,6,7,9 72:20	statement	21:15 59:6	stress
16:18 30:6	start	9:14,21 11:7	staying	159:9,15
35:22 52:9 53:7	43:16 98:9	12:13,16 28:24	41:5	stressed
56:22 66:11	111:23 122:11	29:16 51:21	Steady	43:15
67:25 72:22	164:5	52:16 53:5	171:4	strict
85:5 86:13	started	65:25 66:21	step	154:4
90:21 105:22	17:14 38:13	84:20 85:12	8:12 45:8	strikes
109:11 122:16	39:7 47:15 87:6	93:24 104:17	203:22 214:25	113:1
137:25 162:3	93:1 99:1,10	105:7 121:7,22	232:10	
163:7,9,10	102:14 115:4,13	122:11 144:23	stepped	stripes 77:5
167:6 182:3	139:25 167:4	145:10,24	89:1	
183:5 201:6	227:25	161:17 162:9	stern	strive 148:6
213:2 214:8	state	181:15 182:14	226:5,14	
stages	10:3 11:21 15:5	183:4 199:23	stick	Strom
121:25	19:16 24:1,25	200:16 201:5	136:12 188:10	36:3,4,20 38:17
stamp	28:1 29:23 47:8	212:17 213:9	sticking	42:19 113:24,25
118:24	50:25 52:24	214:9	61:5	116:3 176:23,24
stamper	61:7 65:2 67:3	statements	sticklers	177:1,3 178:24
118:14,19	69:2 83:24	98:16 145:21	143:18	strong
Stan	85:20 94:25	states		13:24 68:19
57:20,22,24	96:12 103:22	20:20 47:4	stint 139:9 140:1	69:5
stance	105:14 113:10	158:3 195:16		struck
124:11	120:8 122:5,22	stating	stipulations	48:23 62:17
stand	126:9 134:12	187:6	158:22	102:11 112:10
22:20 164:14	145:16 146:6	status	stole	students
184:15 211:7	153:2 156:6	70:22	62:3	22:20 82:22
226:8 233:7	162:16 163:15	statute	stood	205:7
235:6	165:4 166:12	125:1	63:12,15 192:18	studied
standard	180:13 182:22	statutes	stop	25:2,3
129:11 186:10	183:14 185:15	124:8 158:1	141:12 181:4	study
	187:1 188:15		story	11:19,22 29:25
				53:1 67:1,5
	<u> </u>			

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1
85:18,22	127:11,19	summer	200:21 206:11	system
105:13,16 122:4	150:10,22	169:22	209:25 213:15	59:16 157:8
145:14,18	158:23 172:15,	Summerville	220:15	
162:14,18	23 190:12,20	146:8 201:17	surveys	T
182:21,24	208:19 209:2	205:16	13:19 32:14	
200:22,25	221:17,25	sung	44:15 45:14	table
213:15,19	Subsection	58:18	69:24 88:4	166:5 229:8
stuff	151:9	Super	107:2 119:7	tack
25:23 32:23	substantial	208:10	126:13 149:11	93:13
37:23 43:17	137:8	superlative	170:22 186:16	takes
81:12 113:17	substantively	64:2	206:10 220:14	40:21 50:8 68:3
175:25 176:1,16	159:5	supplement	survives	81:24 101:24
188:3	succeeding	138:8	41:16	136:19,20 169:9
stunned	20:6	support	survivorship	219:14
197:12	success	67:17 107:20	218:1	taking
style	232:4	214:3 219:20	susceptible	18:20 21:22
47:19	successful		92:7	32:24 76:14
subject	60:20 142:12,17	supporter 21:5	switching	126:3 195:8
21:21	155:14 192:24		23:5	talented
subjects	219:7 232:21	supporting 36:14	swore	71:14
195:9	successfully		170:12	talk
submission	97:12	supposed	sworn	17:4 37:11 42:7
141:3	sudden	19:8 43:8 96:6	9:6,14,21 11:7	49:5 142:18
submit	154:19	144:12 164:16, 24 175:21	20:7,10 28:16,	152:20 159:17
124:10 138:7,8			24 29:7,16	169:25 170:1,5
175:18,25	suggest 22:3	Supreme	51:13,21 52:7,	176:3 195:25
176:13		25:10 165:2	16 65:17,25	204:15 230:3,10
submits	suggestion 49:25 75:1	219:1,9	66:8,21 84:12,	231:11
176:1		surgery	20 85:3,12	talked
submitted	suing	17:8	92:25 104:9,17,	23:2 81:14
9:15 28:25	39:2,3 73:24	surprised	25 105:7 121:3,	91:22 112:5
51:22 66:1	suitable	178:5	7,22 144:19,22	131:14 175:2
84:21 104:18	125:21	survey	145:10,21	231:12
137:19 141:24	suited	11:19 13:21	161:9,17,25	talking
161:18 181:16	13:23 68:21	29:21 52:22	162:9 181:7,15	39:7 42:18
199:24 212:18	69:22	55:2 63:13 67:1	182:1,14	82:23 98:12
submitting	suits	69:25 85:18	199:15,23	114:4 133:4
15:20,24 34:23	31:25 33:14	88:5 91:25	200:6,16	192:13 214:24
35:3 55:23 56:2	summary	105:12 107:3	212:10,17,25	215:2 225:20
71:23 72:2	25:9,17,21 32:8	122:3 126:14	213:9	232:18
89:23 90:2	78:12,13 108:6	145:14 149:13	symbol	talks
108:13,17	127:2 150:2	162:14 170:23 182:20 186:17	197:1	164:6
, ,	190:5 221:4	102.20 100.17		
			1	I

		<u> </u>		1
Talley	79:23 88:11	terminate	29:7 30:18 52:7	things
8:6 128:17,19	89:15 93:25	125:10,16	53:24 66:8	14:14 15:3 17:3
132:10 134:22	95:4,8 108:6	terminated	68:11 85:3	18:25 19:3,9
151:17,19	117:1 126:18	76:20 124:25	86:21 104:25	22:24 25:18,19
156:25 173:20,	127:2 130:9	termination	106:5 123:7	26:3,14 27:13
22 176:22	149:18 150:1	124:21 148:17	146:11 161:25	38:3 42:13 43:1
177:18 191:16,	170:25 172:9	202:13	163:20 182:1	59:15 60:5
18 194:13 197:4	186:19 187:4,6	terms	183:19 200:6	68:23 75:10
232:12,14	190:4 197:14,19	23:23 24:7,16	201:19 212:25	76:24 77:16
Tams	206:14 208:6	31:7 32:5,6 33:8	215:14	78:13 82:8 91:8,
73:21 74:6	220:17 221:3	42:24 43:13	text	12 94:6,12
Tams'	temporary	59:24 60:6,16,	176:8 178:13	97:22 99:6,22
74:3	129:20,25	20 61:8,9 95:20,	thankful	101:23 112:4
Tara	130:1,4,10	21 99:23 132:23	101:17 183:7	114:2 132:24
53:11,14	133:6,9 134:24	140:17 157:10	thanking	133:2,18 136:9
task	135:6,12 136:5,	159:5 227:19	86:12	143:14 148:5,24
23:5	6,7 138:2 141:4	228:15,24	Thanksgiving	151:22 152:9
taught	153:11,18,25	terrible	57:9 170:13	153:5 157:15,25
17:15 189:19	154:3 158:15	79:15	232:3	158:13,21,23
teach	174:24 175:6,25	Terrier	theater	159:18 164:17
13:10,11	185:7 193:1	165:10,14	76:10	166:4,18 174:25
teacher	194:7	test	theme	175:22 177:19
184:6	ten	58:8 125:7	188:11	178:20 186:8
teaching	187:1 206:17	195:8	thereof	194:11 195:10
37:5	230:19	testament	143:20	196:13 197:20
	ten-day	58:16		203:11,19
team	189:13 192:10,	testifies	Thigpen 176:12,13	204:22 205:20
46:21	15,16	9:8 28:18 51:15	, and the second	217:16 227:10,
tears	tenancy	65:19 84:14	thing	20
79:13	218:2	104:11 121:5	20:24 32:9	think's
technical	tendency	144:21 161:11	37:15 41:10	167:22 216:2
112:6	40:22 99:14	181:9 199:17	43:15 59:17	thinking
tedious	tenderly	212:12	60:13 75:14	46:24 101:11
214:14	229:18		96:19 98:24 99:7 140:3	177:25
telling	tennis	testify 12:1 30:3 53:4	99:7 140:3 158:25 173:25	third-party
41:11 115:15,16	88:21	67:8 85:25	176:6 178:7	189:15
152:16,18	tens	105:19 122:10	179:8 184:9	thought
tells	24:3,11	145:23 162:22	189:1 197:17	21:11 38:17
114:24 175:9	term	183:3 201:4	204:5 222:22,24	62:4 83:1 94:13
temperament	20:4 23:10,17	213:22	223:13 225:21	128:23 232:17
15:14 34:16	33:11 64:10		232:18	thoughts
55:6,14 70:7	73:9 99:4	testimony	232.10	91:22 215:4
71:8 78:17,18	13.7 77.4	10:19 12:21		

		<u> </u>	1 _	1 _
thousand	97:3 100:2,17	194:6 204:23	token	toughest
223:1	101:3 102:1,8,	217:5,12	225:2	91:8
thread	11 103:18	timetables	told	tournament
93:3	106:17,18,19	129:14	20:25 24:19	46:20,23,24
three-day	110:14,16,19	timing	39:10 70:18	47:1
219:6	114:3,4 116:15	232:17	154:21 165:22	tournaments
thrift	122:14 125:6	tip	189:19 196:20	46:10
206:4	129:7,21 130:8,	46:8,16	224:3 225:12,13	town
throughly	11,15,25 131:10	tired	230:21 231:2	87:12,13,25
11:16 52:19	132:7 133:7,12,	231:22	toll	92:16,19 188:12
throw	14,17 136:1	tirelessly	223:10	217:20 224:13,
40:12 41:23	140:9 142:10 143:8,10 144:15	70:3	Tom	23 233:2
228:18 232:19	148:2,19 154:2,	title	225:12,18	towns
thunder	7,8,12,14,18,23	217:25	230:16 231:8,9	220:12
62:3	155:15 156:7	today	Tommy	TPR
Ticketing	164:15,20	12:5,10 16:20	58:1,4	131:14 148:21
232:9	165:15 166:15	27:25 30:4	tomorrow	TPRS
ties	168:2,4,6,9,23	35:24 41:3	61:18 235:7	225:10
154:15	170:12,13	50:24 56:24	ton	track
tight	176:15 178:23	62:16,17 65:1	112:19 227:3	58:25 153:5
193:23	184:6 189:21	66:9 67:9,18	tons	Trade
till	192:12 201:10	69:15 72:24	217:5 220:2,6	39:10
92:15 166:15	202:19 214:23	74:14,22 83:10,	top	tradition
time	215:2 219:21	23 86:1,8 90:23	36:10 46:17,18	67:22
9:22 14:14 17:8	225:4 226:1,16,	101:18 103:19	76:25 102:12	traditional
21:9 22:7 23:1	20,23 227:1	109:13 120:7	topic	74:18
27:24 30:12	230:3,10,15	128:13 151:14	157:13	traffic
31:12 32:25	233:11	160:18 161:25	topics	11:9,14
33:4 39:2 40:4	time's	162:25 172:1	148:7 157:20	train
41:12 42:25	44:3	173:16 176:20	tort	114:7
43:4,5,8,23 44:4	timeframe	180:12 182:1	54:23	training
46:7,20 47:6,21	40:25 41:4,19	184:15 187:22	tossing	97:17
48:18 49:10	78:7 139:9	191:12 198:21	169:15	transcript
50:7,23 57:18	timeliness	200:6 209:19	touch	92:25
58:24 61:14	23:20 138:14	212:25 222:17	59:6 83:3 97:1	transcripts
62:16 64:25	times	234:12 235:6	195:9	22:12 50:3
66:6 68:2 73:10	48:6 49:14 52:2	today's	touched	traveled
74:16 75:12	98:6 113:18	8:3	87:19 140:10	156:4
81:21,23,24	114:1 130:1	Todd	184:12	treat
82:2 83:20	134:2 140:15	44:13 74:9	tough	44:13 45:10
86:14 89:5	141:23 153:4,13	176:12	80:7 178:14	119:18 187:8,20
94:10 95:11	188:14 193:12		227:11	119.10 107.0,20
	•	1	1	

199.0 22 190.2	T-:-:4	130:8 147:2	142.24 144.2 5	1:-4-1-1-
188:9,23 189:2	Trinity 188:15	202:10 216:25	143:24 144:3,5 145:11 151:7	unpredictable 116:23
treated		202:10 216:25	160:12,14	
114:22 187:21	trio		169:7,8,9 173:9	unreasonable
188:10,22,23	74:20	types	179:17 186:11	113:16
189:3	trip	42:15 147:19	191:5 209:12	unroll
treating	156:9 169:22		217:18 218:7	149:7
188:8	trouble	U	222:10 223:10	unusual
treatment	41:5 88:13	U.S.	232:5	63:24
97:4	196:24 205:7	46:17	understandable	unwilling
tredge	truck		50:4	14:22
80:19	69:6	Uh-huh		Upcountry
tremendous	true	135:17 138:16	understanding	185:18
110:11 127:4	42:9 98:18	139:2 140:19,25	11:13 23:23	updated
trial	100:1,9 170:7	155:4 156:24	31:14,20 102:24	52:2
14:7,13 19:7	trust	157:6 158:7	133:5 149:15	updates
24:3 26:1 32:10,	142:24 149:5,7	159:1 227:6	187:18	9:18 29:2 51:24
11 43:5,12 59:7,	159:25	ultimately	undervaluing	66:4 84:23
8 60:5,7 62:24	trusted	59:18 75:4	225:22	104:20 161:20
68:24 73:20	159:25	135:5,11 205:11	unfair	181:18 200:1
75:22 76:3,7,10,	truth	206:2 207:10	60:15 62:12	212:20
15 79:10 81:12,	9:7,8 28:17,18	umpire	Union	upfit
21 82:21 98:13	51:14,15 65:18,	113:2	215:12 216:21	165:8
110:24,25	19 84:13,14	unable	219:16 220:7	uphold
111:1,4,19,21	104:10,11	15:5	224:11,13	115:1
114:21 149:14	121:4,5 144:20,	unattached	227:22 232:20,	uplifting
156:1 189:14	21 152:16,18,21	113:2	23	219:21
192:15,16	161:10,11	unaware	unique	upset
193:14,25	181:8,9 199:16,	128:3 230:13	74:13,14 87:5	80:11,14 95:7
194:11 219:2,5,	17 212:11,12	uncle	147:20	upsetting
6	turn	57:19 71:1	United	98:14
trials	12:14 122:15	109:21	20:20 47:4	
14:11 18:15,17	153:24 196:18	uncommon	195:16	upside 169:20
24:4 31:17	214:8	140:12	universal	
32:23 75:7 77:2	turned	undergrad	80:3	Upstate
111:2,12,13	20:9 39:17	81:9 101:2	universally	172:3 185:17
193:11,20	88:23	understand	88:7 210:6	189:23
194:5,8 217:7,8,	Twenty-three	16:12 35:16	University	uptake
9,10	106:15	44:3 56:16 60:2	13:11 37:7	61:1
trick	twilight	72:16 90:15	232:10	Urban
59:25	178:1	99:24 102:18	unopposed	39:22
trier	type	109:5 115:18	138:1	USC
131:21	33:14 76:6	128:5 136:24		82:22
	23.11,70.0			
	I	l	I	I

101:4	Washington 49:2 waste 19:1 watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
V 23:24 25:22 46:18 59:25 234:4 violations 27:18 63:5 wait 140:8 193 wait 140:8 193 waiting 23:8 vacating 23:8 veteran's veteran's 169:21 veterans veterans waive 27:18 63:5 waiting 43:11 102 waiting 43:11 102 waive 43:11 102 waive 40:23 217:17 waive 23:217:17 waive 23:217:17 waive 24:3 59:19 wetted 41:1 wirtually 146:1,2 waived 149:12 waived 36:12 122 waived 41:1 wirtually 216:25 217:13 victim 216:25 217:13 waived 22:9,11 wistation 204:20 wake 32:9,11 wistation 204:20 wake 32:12 woice 38:7 46:2 waived 38:7 46:2 waived 38:7 46:2 waived 38:7 46:2 waived 48:24 88: 129:6,15 waived 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 waived 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 waived 129:2 waived 129:2 waived 129:2 victories 40:8 walked 48:24 88: 10:11 119:14 129:2 waived 129:2 waived 129:2 voice waived 129:2 waive	Washington 49:2 waste 19:1 watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
vacating vet 27:18 63:5 140:8 193 vacating 71:3 122:2 64:19 83:14 waiting vacation's 97:6 violence 154:12 valuable 82:7,12 violent 86:12 122 44:3 59:19 vetted 41:1 46:1,2 valuations victim 216:25 217:13 vaived valuations victim 216:25 217:13 vaived valued victim's 22:9,11 48:16 values victimized 202:9,11 48:12,15 values victimized 202:12 wake values victimized 202:12 wake values victimized 202:12 walk variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 valued 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174	waste 19:1 watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
vacating vet 27:18 63:5 140:8 193 23:8 veteran's 198:13 210:16 43:11 102 vacation's 97:6 violence 154:12 169:21 veterans 40:23 217:17 waive valuable 82:7,12 violent 86:12 122 44:3 59:19 vetted 41:1 victin,2 154:12 195:11 virtually waived valuations victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 valk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 valued	2:7 watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
23:8 veteran's 198:13 210:16 43:11 102 vacation's 97:6 violence 154:12 169:21 veterans 40:23 217:17 waive valuable 82:7,12 violent 86:12 122 44:3 59:19 vetted 41:1 146:1,2 valuations 154:12 virtually vaived valued victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victims 74:10 yike 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 variables victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view 81:19 114:25 21:12 37: </td <td>watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25</td>	watch 19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
vacation's 97:6 violence 154:12 169:21 veterans 40:23 217:17 waive valuable 82:7,12 violent 86:12 122 44:3 59:19 vetted 41:1 146:1,2 154:12 195:11 virtually waived valuations victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victimis 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victims 74:10 walk 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 172 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 10:6 11:1 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 21:12 37:	19:22 98:22 111:17,19,23,25
169:21 veterans 40:23 217:17 waive 44:3 59:19 vetted 41:1 146:1,2 154:12 195:11 virtually waived valuations 216:25 217:13 148:16 169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 172 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 10:6 11:1 21:12 37: 44:12 16	111:17,19,23,25
valuable 82:7,12 violent 86:12 122 154:12 vetted 41:1 146:1,2 valuations 169:7 205:21 victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victimized 202:12 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 10:6 11:1 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	
44:3 59:19 154:12 vetted 41:1 146:1,2 valuations victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victimized 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victims 74:10 yoiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted venture view 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	2:12,14 112.1
154:12 veited 195:11 virtually waived 169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	′ 112.1
154:12 195:11 virtually waived valuations victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victimized 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victories 22:14 walked varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	watched
valuations victim 216:25 217:13 148:16 valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 victimized 202:12 walk variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victories voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 81:19 114:25 21:12 37: venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	110:21 111:2
169:7 205:21 79:16 virtue waiver valued victim's 22:9,11 148:12,15 186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	watching
186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	25:11,15 110:20
186:7,8 114:13,15,24 visitation 204:20 values 205:22 218:6 victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 victories 22:14 walked varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	5 112:3
values victimized 202:12 wake 205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	ways
205:22 218:6 142:25 voice 38:7 46:2 variables 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	49:24
variables victims 74:10 walk 129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	0 weakest
129:6,15 115:14 voiced 99:8 varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	226:23
varied victories 22:14 walked 13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	weakness
13:6 17:4,5 40:8 volume 48:24 88: 184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	19:11 79:7
184:11 vie 110:11 119:14 93:18 174 variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 21:12 37: 44:12 16	Wealth
variety 179:7 129:2 wanted 126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 21:12 37:44:12 16	^{1:7} 149:16 158:24
126:16 view volumes 10:6 11:1 venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 44:12 16	wear
venture 22:10,15 27:17 81:19 114:25 21:12 37:	190.23 197.3
1 160 10 ///!// 16	1 211.13 229.10.
1 102.12 11.95(1.17 211.0	10
verdict 64:20 83:14,15 volunteer 62:5,25 7	' Wearing
24:8 59:9 74:3 95:19 103:12 26:17 96:23 81:11 86:	1 88.74 139.13
75:23 76:4,8 119:25 198:13 97:10,11,16 111:25 14	1 14.15
113:8 118:17 210:16 234:3 101:18,19 146:23 15	∣ Wehh
Verdin viewed 139:11 203:7 165:7 179	1 163.10 12 14 19
95:4 100:14	1 173.13
verification viewing 18:25 197:21 23	Wednesday
11:20 29:22 125:22 votes 17	111:8
52:23 67:2 viewpoints 8:19 60:21 wanting	weeds
85:19 105:13 14:23 107:5 235:4 21:8 102.	141:14
122:5 145:15 vindictive vulnerable 14:16	141:14
162:15 182:21 78:20 80:1 131:5	week
violation warring	
Vernon 50:17 103:12 141.4	week
38:19,21 39:21	week 31:9 41:18
	week 31:9 41:18 47:21 61:10

111016121				
144:8 164:24	whoever's	Winnsboro	work	70:6 101:2
weekends	23:13	46:7 47:12,16	17:22,23 18:13,	116:1 136:12
168:9	whomever	48:6,10	18 19:14 20:13	152:9,11 171:25
weekly	50:7 61:21	wisdom	33:5 38:8 39:22	178:11 192:8
47:17	wide	178:3	43:13 47:12	works
weeks	127:4	wished	54:12 62:14	171:2 232:7,8,
57:21 59:1,6	widespread	58:14	63:1 68:19,23	23
88:18 165:20	192:4	wishes	69:5,10 70:3	world
174:21 175:17,	wife	132:4	75:16,19 79:21	39:10 142:20
18 214:12 219:4	12:6 46:6 48:5	witnesses	82:6,11,15	189:2 228:21,22
weigh	53:11 86:6	11:25 30:3 53:4	87:16,17 88:17	worlds
42:6 125:7,19	93:14 101:7	67:8 85:25	97:10 101:5	58:11
136:13 142:14	163:2 167:7	105:19 122:9	107:10,13,22 110:10 111:16	worn
weighed	207:21,22	145:21 162:21	124:19 138:22	138:19
131:1	214:2,24 223:6	183:2 213:22	124:19 138:22	worried
weighs	226:22 228:20	wives		158:14,15,16
136:21	wife's	49:11	23 166:13,20 169:3 179:10	worries
weight	21:18	Wofford	185:14 197:19	95:11
27:19 50:19	wiggle	165:10,11,17	201:10 204:14	worry
64:22 83:17	130:17	167:13,14 169:5	207:2 218:24	80:21 92:22
103:14 120:3	Wilborn	Wok	220:4 223:23	177:8
125:14 131:21,	227:24	47:23 48:8	227:16 230:25	worst
24 132:9 136:2	Wilkinson	woman	worked	226:16
165:9 180:5	183:11,13,18	76:9	13:7 14:10	worth
198:15 210:18	189:22 191:9	won	17:19 19:7,20	50:6 156:9
234:6	201:12,14,18	47:9 69:16	39:21 42:24	169:11,13
Well-regarded	209:16	wondered	58:11 69:6 78:9	would've
208:11	Williams	233:7	87:7,8 97:15	139:5,6
well-rounded	233:3	wonderful	107:19 116:19	Wow
149:17	Williamson	26:1,3 55:6	136:17 147:5	135:15
western	57:22	149:16 170:25	152:8 166:8	
196:10	Wilmaker's	208:11	174:4,8,17	wrap 112:18
wheel	33:1	word	192:8 197:15	wreck
42:5 58:23	win	48:1 97:19	216:19	17:25 32:11
White	114:9 115:10	100:13 152:14	worker	41:14 111:18,23
194:19 214:25	wings	231:11	69:6 171:4	'
225:12 227:12	wings 196:14	words	workers'	write
230:6,16,17	Winner's	22:14 116:9	54:22 76:12	58:22
231:9	177:5	131:17,24	166:20 217:9	writes
who've		, in the second		73:15 77:12
197:14 225:4	winning	wore 93:21,22 140:7	working	135:4
171.17 44J.4	37:8,9 62:7	75.41,44 140.7	30:10 31:9,10 44:8 61:21 62:6	writing
	115:9		44.0 01:21 02:0	
				1

58:14 137:19,23 written 9:19 14:5 26:3	12:6 13:1,6,8 30:9,11,23	15,19,22 105:1,	
9:19 14:5 26:3	30:9.11.23	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	, , -	4,7,23,25 106:1,	
22.10.00.0	31:19 33:2	8,10 107:1,5,24,	
32:19 88:9	39:24 40:9 41:3,	25 108:8	
95:23 107:11	4 43:7 49:4	109:14,15,16,	
171:8	54:4,9,13 59:1	21,22,25 110:7	
wrong	60:25 67:15	115:3,4 119:4	
133:1 224:12	68:15 69:16	120:10 138:25	
wrongful	70:5 75:17,18	148:13 162:24	
32:11	76:18 78:5	178:12 213:25	
wrote	81:22 87:1,7,8	younger	
69:8 114:15	88:1 94:4 96:20	47:5 57:16	
07.0 117.13	98:4 101:6,22	youngest	
	102:7 106:10,	58:5	
Y	14,15 110:16	youth	
y'all	114:16 123:11,	10:5	
39:7 48:13 51:2	18 133:1 137:24	youthfulness	
84:1 95:16	138:22 140:6	21:12	
96:25 97:11	141:7 146:15	Youtube	
103:23 109:25	151:25 155:11	74:10,11	
118:15 141:24	157:4,11 159:3	74.10,11	
155:16 168:14	163:24 164:10,		
175:12,18	22 165:2,5,6,10,	${f Z}$	
178:18 180:15	23 166:9 167:3,	zone	
184:15 214:10,	7,8,18,24	159:2,13	
11 233:7,10	170:10 171:5	Zoom	
yachts	174:4 177:4	20011 176:4	
225:1	183:23 184:7	1/0:4	
	191:25 201:23		
Yancey 57:19 58:4,9	202:4,7,10		
<i>,</i>	206:17 215:18,		
year	24 216:4,14,21,		
19:20 33:24	23,24 217:19		
37:20,25 38:5,	219:3 221:5		
14 41:3 57:7,8,	223:23 224:6,8		
9,11 58:22	227:4 230:4,5,		
69:16 74:16	19 231:18,22		
75:8 77:1	233:4		
152:22 165:13,	YMCA		
20 185:4 193:9	165:8		
218:5 225:11	young		
232:3	12:4 79:10		
years	91:14 104:2,5,9,		