

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

SCREENING HEARINGS

November 09, 2023

2023 Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Patricia Bachand

1	STATE OF SOUTH	CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF RICHI	LAND)
3		
4		* * * *
5	JUI	DICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7		* * * *
8	BEFORE: REPRE	ESENTATIVE "MICAH" CASKEY, IV, CHAIRMAN
9	SENAT	FOR LUKE A. RANKIN, VICE CHAIRMAN
10	SENAT	TOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	SENAT	TOR SCOTT TALLEY
12	REPRE	ESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13	REPRE	ESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN, JR.
14	HOPE	BLACKLEY
15	LUCY	GREY MCIVER
16	ANDRE	EW N. SAFRAN
17	PETE	STROM
18	ERIN	B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19		* * * *
20	DATE:	November 9, 2023
21	TIME:	9:00 a.m.
22	LOCATION:	Gressette Building, Room 105
23		1101 Pendleton Street
24		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25	REPORTED BY:	PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER
	1	

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	JASON A. DAIGLE 8
4	- Examination by Mr. John11
5	- Examination by Representative Rutherford 17
6	- Examination by Chairman Caskey
7	- Examination by Mr. Safran
8	THE HONORABLE ITTRESS J. JENKINS
9	- Examination by Mr. John
10	THOMAS J. RODE 42
11	- Examination by Ms. Foster45
12	- Examination by Mr. Safran49
13	- Examination by Senator Rankin55
14	ELIZABETH MORRISON65
15	- Examination by Ms. Symmes
16	- Examination by Senator Rankin
17	THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR
18	- Examination by Ms. Baker81
19	- Examination by Senator Talley 90
20	THE HONORABLE DALE E. VAN SLAMBROOK
21	- Examination by Ms. Crater
22	- Examination by Senator Rankin
23	- Examination by Mr. Safran
24	JOHN O. WILLIAMS, II
25	- Examination by Ms. Hall

1	RHONDA MEISNER (COMPLAINANT)
2	THE HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL
3	- Examination by Ms. Webb
4	Certificate of Reporter
5	Word Index
6	* * * *
7	EXHIBIT INDEX
8	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
9	EXHIBIT NO. 1 9
10	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
11	QUESTIONNAIRE OF JASON A. DAIGLE
12	EXHIBIT NO. 2 9
13	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
14	OF JASON A. DAIGLE
15	EXHIBIT NO. 6 32
16	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
17	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE ITTRESS J. JENKINS
18	EXHIBIT NO. 7
19	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
20	OF THE HONORABLE ITTRESS J. JENKINS
21	EXHIBIT NO. 8
22	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
23	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THOMAS J. RODE
24	
25	

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED)
2	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
3	EXHIBIT NO. 9
4	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL SWORN
5	STATEMENT OF THOMAS J. RODE
6	EXHIBIT NO. 10
7	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
8	QUESTIONNAIRE OF ELIZABETH MORRISON
9	EXHIBIT NO. 11 66
10	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDMENT PERSONAL
11	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ELIZABETH MORRISON
12	EXHIBIT NO. 12 66
13	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL SWORN
14	STATEMENT OF ELIZABETH MORRISON
15	EXHIBIT NO. 13
16	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
17	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR.
18	EXHIBIT NO. 14 80
19	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION AMENDMENT PERSONAL
20	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN,
21	JR.
22	EXHIBIT NO. 15
23	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
24	OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR.
25	

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED)
2	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
3	EXHIBIT NO. 16
4	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL
5	DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DALE E. VAN
6	SLAMBROOK
7	EXHIBIT NO. 17
8	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
9	OF THE HONORABLE DALE E. VAN SLAMBROOK
10	EXHIBIT NO. 18 115
11	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
12	QUESTIONNAIRE OF JOHN O. WILLIAMS, II
13	EXHIBIT NO. 19 116
14	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
15	OF JOHN O. WILLIAMS, II
16	EXHIBIT NO. 20
17	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
18	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL
19	EXHIBIT NO. 21
20	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
21	OF THE HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL
22	EXHIBIT NO. 22 127
23	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION WITNESS AFFIDAVIT
24	OF RHONDA MEISNER
25	

1	EXHIBIT INDEX (CONTINUED)
2	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
3	EXHIBIT NO. 23 137
4	- WRITTEN AFFIDAVIT OF THE HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL
5	
6	
7	* * * *
8	
9	
10	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX
11	(No Information Requested.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good morning, ladies and
2	gentlemen. We are going to go ahead and being our session,
3	today, of continuing to screen candidates for judicial
4	office. Before we bring in any candidates, though, we do
5	need to go into executive session to receive a legal
6	briefing from counsel. And so on motion of Senator Talley,
7	seconded by Representative Rutherford, the pending question
8	is going into executive session.
9	All in favor signify by saying "aye".
10	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All opposed "nay."
12	(Hearing none.)
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The "ayes" have it. And
14	we will go into executive session. I would kindly ask that
15	anybody who doesn't need to be here for that, just step out
16	of the room so we can do it. Thank you.
17	(Executive session from 9:37 a.m. to 9:42 a.m.)
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ladies and gentlemen, we
19	will go back on the record. For the record, while we were
20	in executive session we received no legal briefing. No
21	decisions were made and no votes were taken. So just as
22	soon as the candidate can take the podium, we will resume
23	the screening process.
24	Good morning, sir. Thank you for being here
25	this morning. My understanding is you are here in a

1	application for Seat 4 of the circuit court of the 9th
2	Judicial Circuit. Is that right?
3	MR. DAIGLE: That's correct.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you would, sir, raise
5	your right hand.
6	WHEREUPON,
7	JASON A. DAIGLE, being duly sworn and
8	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
9	but the truth.
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. There should
11	be some documents in front of you. If you would please
12	review those.
13	MR. DAIGLE: It looks like I've got my sworn
14	statement and then my PDQ.
15	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And those are the personal
16	data questionnaire and the sworn statement that you've
17	submitted to the Commission?
18	MR. DAIGLE: Correct.
19	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates for
20	amendments that need to be made to those?
21	MR. DAIGLE: My kids have had birthdays
22	since then. But that's about it.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you object to our
24	making these documents are part of the record of your
25	testimony sworn testimony here today?

1	MR. DAIGLE: I do not.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We'll have staff do that.
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
4	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF JASON
5	A. DAIGLE)
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF JASON A. DAIGLE)
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
9	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
10	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
11	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
12	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
13	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
14	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
15	study of previous screenings, and a check for economic
16	conflicts of interest.
17	We have received no affidavits filed in
18	opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present
19	to testify.
20	I'll give you an opportunity in a moment to
21	make a brief opening statement, if you would like. But I
22	see you've brought some folks with you here. If you'd like
23	to introduce them, we'd be happy to
24	MR. DAIGLE: Sure. I've got my wife, Kathy,
25	and my parents, Alisha and Pat.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you all for being 2 here today. 3 As I said, Mr. Daigle, you are welcome to 4 make a brief opening statement, if you'd like. Otherwise, 5 we can have staff just begin with the questions. 6 Sure, just very briefly. Thank MR. DAIGLE: 7 you all for screening me. I appreciate the opportunity. I'm standing here, today, asking you to qualify me and to 8 9 select me for a 9th Circuit position. And that is a -- is 10 a big deal and is a huge undertaking and a huge 11 responsibility for both you, and for me. 12 And that being said, I don't deserve the 13 None of the other candidates running for this 14 deserve the seat. Not one of us is owed that seat. 15 lawyers who practice in the 9th Circuit, they're the ones 16 who deserve it. The litigants in the 9th Circuit, they're 17 the ones who deserve it. They're the ones to whom that 18 seat is owed. 19 And I promise you, I would not be sitting 20 here today -- or standing here, today, if I didn't think I 21 was the best candidate for that position. I hope the 22 questions that I answer will make your job as easy as I 23 possibly can. Thank you all. 24 Thank you, sir. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 25 time, I'd recognize Mr. John for some questions.

MR. JOHN: Good morning, Mr. Daigle. 1 2 name is Breeden John. I'll be filling in for Andy Fiffick 3 this morning. I've reviewed your application documents and 4 files, and I just have a few questions for you. 5 MR. DAIGLE: Okay. 6 EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHN: 7 0. So, Mr. Daigle, how do you feel your legal and 8 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 9 will assist you to be an effect circuit court judge? And 10 if you could give us a quick overview of your experience in 11 civil and criminal. 12 Α. Okay. So I've been a civil litigator for almost 13 nineteen years now. I've tried cases all over the state. 14 I've tried jury trials, bench trial, magistrate trials, 15 arbitration, FINRA arbitrations. I've done just about 16 everything there is to do in civil litigation. That's all 17 I've ever done. I've been in court hundreds of times, arqued 18 19 hundreds of motions. And that type of experience is pretty 20 rare these days, trying cases to verdict, trying bench 21 trials to verdict, trying arbitrations to awards. 22 You know, we -- as lawyers, we're told when we go 23 to law school, you can do anything if you're a lawyer. don't know who said that, but it's not true. I'm qualified 24

to do two things: one is being a litigator, and one is to

be a judge.

And I think that the experience I have on the civil side, there is zero substitute for that. Almost nineteen years of civil litigation experience is not something that you can come by any other way.

There's only one way to get it, and judges that don't have civil litigation experience, they don't get it on the bench. That's not something you learn on the bench; they're always behind in that sense. And I've seen it, time and time again. And it's important to have a judge with my experience on the civil side on the bench.

As far as the criminal law goes, the short answer is "zero." I've got no criminal law -- no criminal law experience. Now, I have been involved in criminal cases. I've represented folks who are defendants, or potential defendants, in criminal cases on the civil side. So there was either an ongoing investigation or an ongoing criminal actions while I was representing them on the civil side.

I, you know, have been in general sessions and I have been in court with them; although, I've not been actively representing them in that capacity.

And as far as any other criminal experience goes, I've started reaching out to members of the criminal Bar in Charleston, to get me up to speed on what is expected of judges, what judges do in criminal trials and pleas and

hearings and motions and status conferences and all of
that.

I've started taking CLEs which is -- it's the first time I've ever taken a CLE, where I'm looking at the -- at the -- at the program from a judge's perspective instead of from a lawyer's perspective. And it's actually really interesting to do, to see what -- you know, to see the difference in what is expected of judges and what's expected of lawyers.

- Q. Thank you. At this stage in your career, why do you now wish to become a judge?
- A. It's something I've always thought about. It's something that I've always wanted to do. And, frankly, I thought it was unattainable. I didn't -- you know, I didn't know how judges were appointed.

You know, I knew how federal judges were appointed and -- you know, I'm not -- I'm not a politician. I'm not politically connected. I'm not from here, and I don't know anybody that's particularly important. But it was always something that interested me. It was always something that whenever I went to court, I saw what the judges did, I saw how they did it. And that's something that I wanted to do.

And, frankly, it's something that I thought I'd be really, really, good at. And like anybody else, I like

doing things I'm really good at. And that is, you know, by and large, why I wanted to do it.

And my firm has a summer clerk program, where we bring in second -- second-year law students to clerk for us for the summer, and that's where we hire most of our associates. And one of the things that they do is they -- during their summer with us, is they have to come interview all the partners.

And for the last four or five years, every time those clerks have come to interview me, one of them always says, "Well, you should be a judge or ever thought about becoming a judge?"

And I'm like, "Well, yeah, of course I have," you know, in my head. But I never really gave it much thought. And then this past summer, it happened twice. Two of the clerks said that. And I was like, well, you know, now is a good time.

I'm in a place in my career, and my wife's in a place in her career, that I can switch gears to a public service position as opposed to private practice. I talked to her about it, she was supportive. I talked to my managing partner about it, and he was supportive. The partnership at my firm was supportive. And it's just the timing of it worked out very well for now to be the time.

Q. Thank you. Mr. Daigle, the Commission received

1 124 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 12 additional 2 comments. Positive comments included that you would be an 3 excellent judge, "Seems to be in it for the right reasons. 4 Well qualified candidate with excellent judicial 5 temperament, and seasoned civil litigator on both sides. 6 Ready for the bench." 7 In your personal data questionnaire, you did 8 disclose one lawsuit that was from -- filed against you in 9 2006 by Discover Bank. I appreciate you providing the 10 details on that. For the record, could you just explain 11 the nature and the disposition of that? 12 Α. So I found out in 2016, that I got sued in 2006. 13 I was doing -- I had a case and I was looking at -- looking 14 at one of my clients on the judicial website -- or on the 15 clerk of court's website in Charleston. And I thought to 16 myself, I've never typed in my name. So I typed in my name 17 and I was like, "Oh, my God. I got sued ten years ago." 18 I didn't know I got sued. I was never served 19 with a lawsuit. And as far as -- and I -- the disposition of it was that it was dismissed without ever being served, 20 21 without me ever having knowledge of it. And I paid -- I 22 already paid the debt, I assume, at that point. 23 Q. Thank you. 24 MR. JOHN: I would note that the Lowcountry

Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Daigle is qualified in

- 1 | the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications,
- 2 | physical health and mental stability, and well qualified in
- 3 | the remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,
- 4 | professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
- 5 experience, and judicial temperament.
- 6 BY MR. JOHN:
- Q. Wrapping up for me, Mr. Daigle. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina
- 10 Appellate Court Rules?
- 11 A. I am.

16

17

18

- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 15 A. I have not.
 - Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 20 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
 the limitations on contacting members of the General
 Assembly regarding your screening?
- 24 A. I am.
- 25 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact

1 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 2 aware of anyone doing so? 3 Α. I'm not aware. 4 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 5 Commission's guidelines on pledging in code section 2-19-6 70(E)? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MR. JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I would note for 9 the record that any concerns raised during the 10 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 11 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 12 I have no further questions. 13 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Do members of 14 the Commission have any questions or comments of Mr. 15 Daigle? Mr. Rutherford. 16 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 17 Q. Good morning. 18 How are you doing? Α. 19 You know, I found your comments interesting for Q. 20 And the first of which is that, as you two reasons. 21 stated, you obviously live in South Carolina, and you don't 22 have to know anybody important -- or given anybody 23 political donations to decide that as a good lawyer you 24 want to become a judge. 25 And I think that speaks volumes of our system,

the fact that you're able to stand here --

A. I agree.

Q. -- and on the precipice of, hopefully, getting elected and becoming a judge, without having to do all the things that some people have to do in other states, you didn't have to run for office and go out and solicit donations.

And I think -- I hope that, that at least imparted on you, your ability to simply be a good lawyer and one day decide that you would like to become a good judge.

I am concerned with the lack of criminal experience.

- A. Okay.
 - Q. And I have advocated for years, and two important people that have ignored me, that we need a civil bench and a criminal bench. Because it is somewhat unfair on both sides, that you may one day be in front of a judge with no criminal -- with no civil experience, and here you've got nineteen years of litigating and done all of the things that you've done to perfection, and you're standing in front of a judge that doesn't know anything about anything, and you're having to education them as you practice, and how unfair that is.

But also on the other side, how unfair it could

be to a criminal defendant, who's life is in front of him, standing in front of a judge that doesn't know anything, who tends to -- if history repeats itself, judges that tend to lack criminal experience might -- in my practice, I find that they err on the side of the solicitor for fear that, that's a lot easier than erring on the side of the defendant and getting beat up in the press.

Tell me what you would do, between now and when the election is held in January, or whenever we do it, if we're able to do it, to remedy the lack of criminal experience that you've had.

A. I'd continue doing what I'm doing. I would continue to engage members of the criminal Bar, in Charleston, specifically, to get me up to speed on the procedures, on the rules, on, you know, the in's and outs of the criminal practice from the bench.

I'd continue to take CLEs that I think are very important. You know, I've taken several of them, and, you know, have learned quite a bit in that -- in that time.

And, frankly, it's amazing the things that I knew, that I didn't know I knew, on the criminal side.

I think, you know, by the time I would get on the bench -- and I think it's maybe July of next year -- that's ample time for me to grasp the in's and outs, the workings, the mechanics of criminal practice from the bench.

Q. And if I could suggest along with that, civil lawyers tend to grab a hold of their cases on both sides, and not want anyone without touch them. Criminal lawyers are usually a lot more giving, especially public defenders, and even some private lawyers with magistrate-level cases and some general sessions cases, if somebody with your experience offered to come in and help with a trial that they know is a date certain.

You'd be amazed how many criminal lawyers would

You'd be amazed how many criminal lawyers would say, "Come on and sit with me."

A. And I've thought about that, too, to ask a criminal attorney to associate me on a -- on one or some of their cases. That's something that I have not run by in my management committee. I don't know if our malpractice insurance covers that or not.

But that's something that I have thought about.

I just haven't taken the steps to do it yet. But that is something that I would certainly intend to do prior to July of 2024.

- Q. Most criminal defendants don't sue their lawyers.

 They do end up with a bunch of gripes and PCRs --
 - A. Right.

Q. -- and all those things that don't amount to money judgments, but do amount to being a pain. But that also, I believe, helps you understand what criminal lawyers

1 are going through, standing next to somebody --2 Α. Oh, no question. -- even on a very simple case. So I would just 3 0. 4 encourage you to try and do more than just CLEs. Because 5 in criminal law, it's just so much easier to get that kind 6 of experience. 7 Α. Sure. 8 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Representative 9 Rutherford. 10 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 11 Mr. Daigle, I had similar concerns. And it's not 0. 12 unique to you. Sometimes, we have folks who are applicants 13 -- candidates that only have criminal experience, and so 14 the question is presented, "Well, how do you see yourself 15 fitting onto the bench?" 16 And in your case, clearly, ample experience and 17 Though, as I was looking at one of your answers 18 to the questions that were asked with respect to the term 19 "pleading straight up," and you were candid in that you 20 didn't -- you'd never heard that term before. 21 I focus here, again, because the discretion that a judge has to impose a criminal sentence in our state is 22 23 immense. The sentencing ranges are incredible. And unless 24 one has been involved in a crime -- which I take from your 25 records, you have not -- or been around it in some

meaningful way, it can be hard to develop a compass of sorts as to where and what sentences are appropriate.

And I think my first question would really be, when you look at judges that you're familiar with in our state, who do you look to and admire, and in whatever sense you think appropriate, want to emulate?

- A. You want me to name names?
- Q. Yes, please.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

- A. The three -- the three judges that I've had the a ton of respect for, that I've been in front of, are
 Judge James, who's on the Supreme Court now, Judge Curtis,
 and Judge Young.
- Q. Three excellent choices. All right. Well, I'll just say this: In addition to Representative Rutherford's suggestion, then, participating in a trial is -- or trials in a criminal context, I think would be useful.
- A. I agree.
- Q. I would -- I would suggest spending some time in plea court just to -- just to see what happens. Because it is a wild thing to see at times. And I'll never forget when -- obviously, other attorneys have become judges, and great judges, with only civil experience.

I'm thinking of Tonya Gee and others. But that first day, where you're taking pleas and it's like possession of meth second, and you're like, "Oh, man, a one

1	zero five?" It's a it's eye-opening.
2	So anyway, I offer that for whatever utility it
3	may be.
4	A. I appreciate that.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Talley.
6	SENATOR TALLEY: Good morning
7	MR. DAIGLE: How are you doing?
8	SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Daigle. Nice to
9	meet you.
10	MR. DAIGLE: You too.
11	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you for offering.
12	And I just I wanted to chime in. You and I have been in
13	practice about the same amount of time. And what we're
14	experiencing more and more, as people come through this
15	commission, as to the chairman's point, there's a lot of
16	criminal experience and there's not that much civil
17	experience.
18	Because as you well know, through mediation,
19	you mentioned arbitration and construction cases, there's
20	just not a lot that gets to trial. And we have, as
21	Representative Rutherford raised, spoken with members of
22	the legislature, maybe at the Supreme Court, about those
23	concerns.
24	But then we've had justices that are on the
25	Supreme Court, now remind us that they were similar to you,

1 that when they first take the trial bench, they had never 2 been in criminal court, never worked in the solicitor's 3 office. 4 And so I just -- I say that to you, don't 5 read anything into what is being said or not said in that 6 regard. It's just a uniqueness, in many ways, of our 7 judicial system. But it is -- we've had a couple of 8 candidates, the last couple years, that were like you, that 9 spent their entire career on the civil side. 10 And one particularly comes to mind, serving 11 up in my area, who has done a phenomenal job, and who gets 12 rave reviews from solicitors and defense lawyers on the 13 general sessions docket. So good advice has been given. 14 But I appreciate you offering. Your background is simply 15 one that we don't see as frequently. 16 MR. DAIGLE: Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Safran. 18 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 19 Q. Good morning, Mr. Daigle. 20 Α. How are you doing? 21 Just a couple of quick questions. 0. 22 Α. Sure. 23 0. I understand, in looking at your CV materials, 24 that you were with a number of firms for a period of time 25 until settling in, in '14.

1 A. Right.

- Q. Was it an area of law that you were particularly looking to focus on, that maybe the other ones didn't offer? Or, you know, what was kind of the reason that you -- I know that -- look, nobody stays at the first place most of the time --
- A. Correct.
- Q. -- or maybe even the second. But I'm just curious as to kind of what lead you to where you got to.
- A. That's a good question. And each one was different. Some places, I didn't like the firm. Some places, I didn't like the work I was doing. Some places, I didn't like the people I was working with.

And I think I settled in, a little bit before
'14, that's where I'm with my current job. And I think in,
you know, '06 or so is when I got more of a footing in what
I wanted to do, and got experience -- a ton of experience
on the -- on the -- on the plaintiff's side when I was with
what used to be Peter Hearns. I can't remember what
they're called now.

- Q. Yeah, they kind of do both sides of it.
- A. They do. And I -- and I -- and I got -- and that was first time I got, you know, a ton of plaintiff's experience. And then I went and worked with Roy and Amanda Maybank for five years, and that was something where I -- I

1 | liked the work I was doing.

I liked -- you know, I had a ton of responsibility and a ton of autonomy. But I realized after there for five years, that a big firm suited me more than a small firm, for various reasons. And that was really the reason that I've, you know, been at Clement River -- what used to be Young Clement, now Clement Rivers for almost ten years.

- Q. Right. And I mean, I know people at Clement
 Rivers. And I know there was some shakeup for a while, but
 obviously they've been going strong since.
- A. Yeah.
 - Q. I'm assuming it's a place you like being.
 - A. I do. I do. And it's something -- and I'm -- you know, I'm very fortunate to have found it, and, you know, very lucky to have been named a partner in it several years ago. And, you know, I've got -- I've got no complaints.
 - Q. Well, let me ask this, 'cause I certainly can very much respect the questions that have been made or offered to you, because of the criminal -- or the lack of.
 - A. Sure.
 - Q. And I guess the other question I've got is this and it's nothing you have control over. But, you know,
 give me an idea of -- in the last three years, how many

jury trials have you actually had to do?

- A. In three -- in the last -- since the outbreak?
 Zero jury trials.
- Q. Well, and it's -- I think we've heard even seven years ago, from one of the justices, that the number of jury trials that are occurring in the state now are very, very modest.
 - A. They are.

Q. And so, effectively, what's come on is that -you know, whether you're plaintiff or defense, the bulk of
your time is discovery. Occasionally, you're having to go
fight out some discovery issues and motions.

But as far as actually doing the trials anymore, they seem to be pretty scare at this point. Has that --

- A. That's accurate.
- Q. -- been your experience?
- A. Yeah. And I mean, the last case I tried was actually an arbitration, so there's no jury. It wasn't even a bench trial. It was a -- it was a week-long arbitration. That was, I guess, right at a year ago is the last one I tried.
- But, you know, that's despite the fact that we weren't in a courtroom, that's still a trial. We still, you know, have the rules of evidence apply --
- Q. Sure. Sure. I mean, I guess what I'm

understanding is, is that while you still, obviously, have 1 2 to put your case together, you still have to do all that 3 has to go to get it ready. Most of them now are going to 4 mediation, generally getting worked out that way. 5 And so in terms of the time you spend in court --6 as a circuit court judge now, I'm assuming the bulk of it 7 probably is -- probably is dealing with criminal stuff now. 8 Yeah, I would -- that and then on the civil side 9 there's still a -- it's not just discovery motions. 10 There's a ton of other motions that --11 I'm sure you've got summary judgment and -- I 0. 12 mean, there are other things that come up.

A. Right.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But I mean, it's not like when some of us started, where they were doing these trials literally --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- every week.
 - A. A couple a week. Right.
- Q. And I guess the question is also that Mr.

 Rutherford asked -- and I know we've posed it to other

 people, so don't feel like you're being picked on -- do you

 legitimately feel like that in order to kind of maybe get a

 bit of an experience, criminally, that going and maybe

 getting some criminal cases from somebody and doing them as

 kind of -- just more or less a pathfinding process would be

a good idea?

A. I do think it's a good idea. I do. And, you know, sitting in plea court -- that's not the first time that's been suggested to me, you know, getting -- getting associated on criminal case, I've heard that before too.

And it's something I certainly intend to do between now and -- you know, to the -- if I'm fortunate enough to be selected, then, yeah, that's absolutely something I'm going to do.

- Q. Well, and I know -- I don't know how the firms work. I mean, I'm -- I've always been friendly with Robert Gruber in your firm.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. And I know Robert and I have had plenty cases against each other in the comp area. But Robert started to go back and get into domestic again.
 - A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. And so, effectively, I'm assuming they don't necessarily frown on you, at least maybe diverting some of your time -- and granted, criminal is not necessarily going to be a money-maker --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- for you, but I think there's more to it for you than that.
- 25 A. I agree.

1	Q. And so I think when that's suggested, it's
2	suggested earnestly.
3	A. And I take it as such.
4	Q. Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other comments or
6	questions for Mr. Daigle?
7	(Hearing none.)
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Seeing none. Then this
9	will conclude this portion of our screening process. I do
10	need to take this moment to remind you that pursuant to the
11	Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects
12	candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of
13	the ethics laws, and we will view violations or the
14	appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially
15	deserving of heavy weight in the screening deliberations.
16	On that note, and as you may know, the
17	record will remain open until the formal release of the
18	report of qualifications, and you may be called back at
19	such time if that need were to arise.
20	I want to thank you for offering for service
21	to the State of South Carolina. I want to thank you for
22	being here, today, and bringing your family. And I wish
23	you and Kathy the best as you all travel back home.
24	MR. DAIGLE: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.

(Candidate excused.)
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will stand at ease
while the next candidate is brought in.
(A recess was held from 10:07 a.m. to 10:09 a.m.)
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good morning, Mr. Jenkins.
JUDGE JENKINS: Good morning.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Judge Jenkins, I
apologize. I understand you are here in application for
Seat 4 for the circuit court of the 9th Judicial Circuit?
JUDGE JENKINS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. If you would
please raise your right hand.
WHEREUPON,
THE HONORABLE ITTRESS J. JENKINS, being duly
sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
nothing but the truth.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. There
should be some documents there in front of you. If you'll
take just a moment to review those.
Judge, are those the personal data
questionnaire and the sworn statement that you have
submitted to the Commission?
JUDGE JENKINS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any updates or
changes that need to be made?

1	JUDGE JENKINS: No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
3	to us entering those into the record as a part of your
4	sworn testimony?
5	JUDGE JENKINS: No, sir. I don't.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. If you'll pass
7	those to Lindi, we will do that.
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
9	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
10	HONORABLE ITTRESS J. JENKINS)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
12	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
13	ITTRESS J. JENKINS)
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
15	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
16	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
17	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
18	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
19	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
20	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
21	study of previous screenings, and a check for economic
22	conflicts of interest.
23	We have received no affidavits filed in
24	opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present
25	to testify.

1	If you would like to make any brief opening
2	statement, we'd be happy to hear those. Otherwise, we can
3	proceed to questions from counsel.
4	JUDGE JENKINS: Well, I can just do a brief
5	introduction, if that's okay.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir.
7	JUDGE JENKINS: My name is Ittress Jenkins.
8	I was born and raise not born but loved and raised in
9	Charleston, South Carolina. My parents went to New York
10	real fast, and had me, then came back on to Charleston. So
11	that's why I can't technically say "born."
12	But I spent most of my life in Charleston.
13	That's where I was reared. And I went to three different
14	schools. I got a bachelors and masters in law degree, then
15	came right back to Charleston. 'Cause I always said even
16	though I liked the places I was at, I always wanted to live
17	in Charleston.
18	Since then I've been practicing law for
19	sixteen years. I started off on my own and I'm still a
20	solo practitioner. And I've also been serving as a
21	magistrate's judge for the past eight years.
22	Oh, the most I'm thing, I'm married to this
23	great lady who hadn't decided to leave me yet. Her name is
24	Loquita Bryant Jenkins. And we've been blessed to have
25	great girls, 14 and 12, ones the older one's Nazia and

1	the other one is Nyla.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Judge, do you want to put
3	on the record any chores you're going to do around the
4	house this weekend?
5	JUDGE JENKINS: Yeah, would you show it to
6	her? I was planning on watching football, so I'm not sure
7	
	that's going to work.
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, let me recognize Mr.
9	John for some questions, please.
10	MR. JOHN: Good morning, Judge Jenkins.
11	JUDGE JENKINS: Good morning.
12	MR. JOHN: It's good to see you. Thank you,
13	Mr. Chairman.
14	I note for the record that based on the
15	testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been
16	included in the record with the candidate's consent,
17	Judge Ittress Jenkins meets the constitutional and the
18	statutory requirements for this position regarding age,
19	residence, and years of practice.
20	EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHN:
21	Q. Mr. Jenkins, how do you feel your legal and
22	professional experience renders you qualified and will
23	assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?
24	A. Well, of course being a practitioner, I
25	understand what the attorneys are going through. I

1 understand what they -- what they do. I understand the 2 I understand the dealing with clients. preparation. 3 As I said, I've been blessed and somewhat cursed 4 that I had to start on my own sixteen years ago, so I've 5 had an opportunity to be in most courts in South Carolina, 6 as far as levels. So I've had some in magistrate's, I've 7 had some in circuit, I also had some in federal. 8 Also, as a magistrate, I've had an opportunity to 9 see how it really is when you're sitting on the bench and 10 making decisions that affects people. I understand the 11 weight of that decision. I understand the need to be 12 approachable and the need to be a person. 13 I've kind of lived my life by a scripture, Micah 14 6:8, that says, "Seek justice, show mercy, and walk 15 humbly." 16 And I try to make sure that every time I approach 17 myself, that I've built that philosophy, the time that I've 18 been on the bench and as I've practiced. 19 Q. At this stage in your career, why now for the 20 bench? 21 Honestly, when I started off as a magistrate's Α. 22 judge, it was just something that I wanted to serve. Because I was approached by a state senator about the need, 23

I realized the magnitude and the effect that you can have

by being on the bench, and by actually having somebody who

24

understands, somebody who has empathy, somebody who can approach a situation from a common perspective. And also somebody who can actually understand the legal ramifications and what goes on.

Everything from setting bonds to sentencing, it needs a certain type of person who understands the whole process, and understands the ramifications of society on your impact. So I think I have a lot to offer on the circuit level, 'cause I've seen an inkling of it from the magistrate's level.

Q. Great. Thank you. The Commission received 98 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 17 additional comments. The surveys, for example, contained the following positive comments:

"I have found Judge Jenkins to be a wonderful magistrate judge to appear before from the standpoint of respect to the entire courtroom, demeanor, and thoroughness. He's an excellent lawyer and great member of our community."

There were some concerns expressed about your professionalism. How would you respond to those comments?

A. I don't know what particularly they're talking about. I will say this: On the magistrate's level we receive -- this week -- just yesterday, I heard fifty cases. And in my perspective, this is fifty people who'd

1 never really been in a court before. 2 So I will be a bit comfortable with those people, 3 and trying to make them feel like the court is not a place 4 where they're not going to be heard. 5 And I think some people see this job as you 6 should be stoic. And I don't live by that philosophy. Ι 7 do believe that when you come on the bench, the judge 8 should be the most amicable person in the courtroom. The 9 judge should be the most respectful person in the 10 courtroom. 11 The judge -- a lot of times, a lot of people just 12 want to be heard. And they want to feel like the judge 13 heard them and the judge paid attention to their arguments 14 even if -- especially when you're dealing with pro se 15 litigants. 16 A lot of them aren't -- don't know the rules of

A lot of them aren't -- don't know the rules of evidence, they don't know that half of what they're saying is hearsay. But one thing I didn't want anybody to ever say was they left the courtroom and they didn't feel like I heard them and I listened to them.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So some people on the other side might see that as be being a bit too cordial and relaxed. But I just don't feel like the court is a place for me to come and try to establish myself as an authoritarian.

But I know, just like I hope they know when they

1 leave the courtroom, that what I say is an order and it is 2 a rule. 3 Thank you for that response. 0. 4 MR. JOHN: I would note that the Lowcountry 5 Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Jenkins is qualified 6 in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 7 qualifications, physical health and mental stability, well 8 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 9 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, 10 experience, and judicial temperament. 11 The committee went on to note that Mr. 12 Jenkins is energetic, magnetic, smart, caring, insightful, 13 experienced, personable, and serves as a judge now. 14 BY MR. JOHN: 15 Mr. Jenkins, are you aware that as a judicial Q. candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as 16 17 found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court 18 Rules? 19 Yes, sir. Α. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 20 Q. 21 contacted any members of the General Assembly? 22 No, I haven't. Α. 23 Have you sought or received the pledge of any 0. 24 legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome 25 of your screening since submitting your letter of intent?

1 Α. No, sir. I haven't. 2 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 3 the limitations on contacting members of the General 4 Assembly regarding your screening? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 7 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 8 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 9 your behalf? 10 Α. No, sir. 11 Finally, Have you reviewed and do you understand 0. 12 the Commission's guidelines on pledging in code section 2-13 19-70(E)? 14 Α. I understand that code. 15 Mr. Chairman, I would note for MR. JOHN: 16 the record that any concerns raised during the 17 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 18 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 19 I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 20 Thank you, sir. 21 members of the Commission have any questions or comments 22 for Judge Jenkins? 23 (Hearing none.) 24 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Seeing none, that will 25 conclude this portion of the screening process. Judge,

1	don't
2	MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Strom.
4	MR. STROM: Thank you. Judge, don't take
5	that wrong at all. I love your charisma. I love your I
6	love your energy. I love the way you came in here. And I
7	think you would be a credit to the bench. So thank you. I
8	believe when we have hard questions to ask people, we do
9	that.
10	JUDGE JENKINS: Okay.
11	MR. STROM: But in this case, I think
12	everybody else is comfortable with you.
13	JUDGE JENKINS: Okay. Okay. I'll take it.
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, Judge, Mr. Strom
15	took the comments that I was going to offer. And I
16	encourage you not to reflect on the lack of questions as a
17	negative. In fact, I would I would suggest that you
18	perceive it as a positive thing.
19	I certainly appreciate your service to the
20	state and for offering for future service as a judge on the
21	circuit court.
22	I do need to take this opportunity to remind
23	you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria,
24	the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as
25	well as the letter of the ethics laws, and that we will

1	view violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious
2	and potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening
3	deliberations.
4	On that note, and as you know, the record
5	will remain open until the formal release of the report of
6	qualifications, and you may be called back at such time if
7	that need were to arise.
8	Again, thank you for your service. And
9	thank you for being here today.
10	JUDGE JENKINS: Okay. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Drive safely.
12	JUDGE JENKINS: That's it? All right.
13	Well, I appreciate it. Thank you.
14	(Candidate excused.)
15	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will stand at ease as
16	we await the next candidate. We have a slight scheduling
17	change. The next candidate, Ms. Morrison, is still on the
18	highway.
19	Mr. Rode, who was initially scheduled for
20	1:30, is here. And we will bring him in and begin in just
21	a few minutes.
22	(A recess was held from 10:35 a.m. to 10:39 a.m.)
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go back on the
24	record. Mr. Rode, we certainly appreciate you being here
25	and indulging in our scheduling changes here. I know it's

1	not easy to be as flexible, coming from the Lowcountry. So
2	we are grateful for your helping us with the unusual
3	position of being ahead of schedule.
4	MR. RODE: No problem, Mr. Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. If you would
6	please well, let me back up. You are applying for Seat
7	4 for the circuit court bench of the 9th Judicial Circuit,
8	correct?
9	MR. RODE: That's correct.
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Mr. Rode, if
11	you would please raise your right hand.
12	WHEREUPON,
13	THOMAS J. RODE, being duly sworn and
14	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
15	but the truth.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There's some documents in
17	front of you. Would you take a moment to look at those?
18	MR. RODE: I flipped through these as we
19	were getting started.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal
21	data questionnaire and the sworn statement that you have
22	submitted to the Commission?
23	MR. RODE: Yes, they appear to be.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are there any changes or
25	updates that need to be made at this time?

1	MR. RODE: Not that I'm aware of. No, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
3	to us entering those into the record as a part of your
4	sworn testimony?
5	MR. RODE: No objection.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir
7	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
8	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THOMAS
9	J. RODE)
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
11	COMMISSION PERSONAL SWORN STATEMENT OF THOMAS J.
12	RODE)
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
14	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
15	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
16	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
17	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
18	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
19	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
20	study of previous screenings, and a check for economic
21	conflicts of interest.
22	We have received no affidavits filed in
23	opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present
24	to testify.
25	Before I give you the opportunity to make

1	any brief opening statement you might want to, I see that
2	you brought someone with you. If you want to introduce her
3	to the Commission, we'd be delighted to meet her.
4	MR. RODE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is my
5	wife, Julie Moore. She's a partner with Duffy and Young in
6	Charleston, and also an attorney.
7	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Moore, thank you for
8	being here.
9	MR. RODE: And as far as I know is not here
10	to offer testimony against me.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Moore, do you have any
12	questions you want to ask
13	MR. RODE: I object.
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Mr. Rode, if
15	you'd like, we can you can certainly make an opening
16	statement, or we can proceed with questions from staff
17	counsel.
18	MR. RODE: In the interest of keeping with
19	your schedule, I don't want to make any opening statement
20	other than to say, you know, I really do appreciate all of
21	the work that has gone into this from your end, from the
22	Lowcountry and from the Bar's end. I've really been
23	overwhelmed by the sheer manpower and effort that has gone
24	into selecting great judges. And so I am thankful for
25	that.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. I'll go 2 ahead and recognize Ms. Foster at this time. 3 MS. FOSTER: I note for the record that 4 based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDO, 5 which has been included in the record with the candidate's 6 consent, Mr. Thomas J. Rode meets the constitutional and 7 statutory requirements for this position regarding age, 8 residence, and years of practice. 9 EXAMINATION BY MS. FOSTER: 10 Mr. Rode, how do you feel your legal and 0. 11 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 12 will assist you to be an effect circuit court judge? 13

A. Yeah, so my legal experience has -- began as a law clerk to Judge Thomas on the South Carolina Court of Appeals. That gave me a fantastic opportunity to really cultivate a passion for the law and a passion for learning all about different areas of the law and how they all fit together.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That then progressed into my first adventure into private practice with the firm of Savage and Savage in Charleston, where I practiced predominantly criminal -- criminal law, and because of my experience at the Court of Appeals, was able to sort of jump into that as kind of a high level and learn from, I think, one of the great criminal practitioner in South Carolina, from Andy Savage.

And then that firm sort of dissolved and then I transitioned into a more civil practice with Thurmond, Kirshner, and Timbes in Charleston. With some great partners there, I have been able to cultivate kind of a really broad civil practice.

And I think that, that's significant for two reasons. Number one, the breath of my practice has afforded me the ability to be able to know how to jump from one legal topic to the next legal topic to the next legal topic, which is something that I think is imperative for a circuit court judge.

The second thing that it has done is it's given me sort of a breadth of exposure to the different clientele and the people who are involved in the judicial process.

I have represented individuals, corporations -- big ones, small ones, mom-and-pops. I've represented municipalities, counties, all of them. And what that has done has demonstrated to me, the factors and influences that effect those people that are involved in the system.

And I think that, that brings a particularly unique perspective to the circuit court bench.

Particularly when I think about it in the context of the -- of the 9th Circuit, where we're fortunate to have great judges.

Two of whom have sort of matriculated from a

criminal background through the solicitor's office, one brings experience from the family court bench, and another brings experience from time as a Master-in-Equity.

And I think that this knowledge of -- and all of them bring -- all of those, you know, forces combined create great -- great experiences and bring great strengths to the bench.

And I think that my experience and knowledge of kind of the modern practice of law really informs and educates how all of those decisions and actions from the perspective of a circuit court judge matriculate and effect people outside of the courthouse, and in the system, in an ever modernizing, you know, practice.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Rode. Actually, you've answered my second question --
 - A. Oh, okay.

Q. -- in that as well. So Mr. Rode, the Commission received 176 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 36 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

Quote, "Thomas is well spoken, intellectual, and one of the most well-rounded attorneys I have had the chance to work with. Thomas will an incredible judge because he understands the law and can quickly and efficiently apply the facts to the case -- the law to the

1 facts of each case." Another stated, "Thomas would be an excellent 2 3 addition to the bench. He would fully dedicate himself to 4 the position. He has a great temperament and a great mind." 5 MS. FOSTER: 6 I would note that the 7 Lowcountry Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Rode is 8 well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 9 fitness, professional and academic ability, character, 10 reputation, and judicial temperament, and qualified in the 11 evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, 12 physical health and mental stability, and experience. 13 BY MS. FOSTER: 14 A few housekeeping issues, Mr. Rode. Are you Q. 15 aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the 16 code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 17 Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 18 Α. Yes, ma'am. 19 0. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 20 contacted any members of the Commission about your 21 candidacy? 22 Α. I have not. 23 0. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 24 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either 25 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

1 screening? 2 Α. No, ma'am. 3 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 4 the limitations on contacting members of the General 5 Assembly regarding your screening? 6 Α. Yes, ma'am. 7 0. Have you asked any third parties to contact 8 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 9 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 10 your behalf? 11 Α. No, ma'am. 12 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 13 Commission's guidelines on pledging in code section 2-19-14 70(E)? 15 I have. Α. 16 MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I would note for 17 the record that any concerns raised during the 18 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 19 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 20 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 21 Thank you, ma'am. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do any 22 members of the Commission have questions or comments for 23 Mr. Rode? Mr. Safran. 24 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 25 Good morning. Let me ask a couple of questions. 0.

It looked like you started out, as you said, doing criminal law, then basically went to another firm that was kind of mixing that in with some personal injury, and then went on your own at a relatively early time. What did you learn from that experience?

A. Yeah, so that -- and I think what you're referring to is sort of there was a period of about a year where -- I think it was about probably 2012, somewhere in there. The Savage Law Firm, as it existed at the time that I worked there, dissolved. It was two partners and they dissolved. And so in that sort of time period, that really is what precipitated kind of my transition into civil practice.

Because a lot of the civil -- the partner that left, left a lot of civil files, and those sort of came to me. And then there became a time when I essentially split off from the Savage Law Firm, and kind of just operated on my own, doing really cases that were sent to me by Mr. Savage.

There was a moment where it looked like I was going to kind of join forces with another lawyer who worked right across the street, her name was Ellen Babb --

- Q. That's where it says Babb and --
- A. Yeah. And so there -- there was -- there was a brief moment where we were planning to sort of join forces.

1 She had some family things arise, and that didn't 2 transpire. So that period was really maybe six weeks. Ι 3 mean, it was a very, very short period of time. 4 But to get back to your guestion. It taught me a 5 It really set the stage for me understanding the cost 6 of being a lawyer. And I think that, that is very 7 significant. 8 And it's one of the reasons why I'm running for 9 circuit court judge, understanding how rulings from the 10 bench or the absence of rulings from the bench, or time and 11 delay and effect not only the lawyers, but also all of the 12 people involved in the system. 13 It's a difficult profession. And I respect it. 14 And I respect all of those who do it. 15 Well, I guess when you mention the cost of being Q. 16 a lawyer, I think it probably goes far beyond what you may 17 be kind of looking at as the bottom financial line, but 18 it's a -- it's a time cost. It's a personal cost. 19 I think what we look at a lot of times when we're 20 trying to screen judges, particularly at the circuit court 21 level, is the recognition that while you may want to be an 22 outstanding lawyer and you -- obviously, to do that is 23 you've got to devote the time to it -- there's still other 24 things in life that have priorities too.

And there have been over the course of years,

25

maybe people who were sitting in those seats that you're looking to try to occupy, who aren't quite as cognizant of that.

Have you picked up a little bit in terms of the need, particularly from judges, that -- you know, within reason to recognize that there are some times people are going to have to ask, "I can't be here today. I need this time off."

And not for frivolous things, but things that, frankly, a lot of us down the road say, twenty years later, "Boy, I wish I'd have done that when I had the chance."

A. Right. Absolutely. I think two things I would say to your comments. One, I absolutely have come to appreciate those costs, right? Because in my practice, like I said, I've represented a lot of people, a lot of self-funded people.

I've represented insurance companies, and a lot of them pay the bills and don't ask. But then you have mom-and-pop businesses who, when you send a bill for having sat at a motion's hearing for four and a half hours, right, and to have a brief motion heard and you've got to explain that to the client, right, they actually feel it. So I think that time and practice has really informed things like that.

The second thing is of course there are going to

be those times. I think one of the many tenets of what I could call a judicial philosophy would be kindness. And this is a hard profession. From sitting as a judge, I think that it -- a judge shouldn't make it harder, right?

If you have the ability to accommodate lawyers, I think you can, right? And you should try. Now, sometimes that can't happen, right? I mean, and that's just the nature of what we do. Sometimes you have to miss the ball game or the dance recital, right? We hope to avoid that.

But that also comes with -- we're all in this together, right? From a practitioner's perspective, if a judge continues my case, it falls on the next guy, right? And so it's a balancing act every time, and I it that, that's -- that's something that a judge should keep in mind. And I think for the most part --

Q. Well, I get the sense that the firm you're in now, obviously, is a pretty well-oiled machine. You've got some very strong people in it, and I'm sure it's got a -- to some extent, a big firm environment to it.

Are you able to carry over what you learned as far as the particular rigors of being in a sole practice? Particularly where you're doing things that are taking you in all different directions to where -- you know, again there needs to be some semblance of accommodation and sanity, you know, when you're getting pulled in all those

1 directions. I mean, can you empathize with that at this 2 point? 3 I think I can absolutely empathize with that. 4 don't think that -- I think we have a small firm. 5 -- I would classify it as a small firm. It is a very 6 family-oriented firm. 7 And I certainly can empathize with all of those 8 things, because on -- on a daily basis, right, we are -- we 9 are trying to juggle all of those things from staff to --10 we just had a paralegal who left, and now we're -- we've 11 got to find this -- somebody to fill in. I've got to play 12 the paralegal role, right? 13 I think that happens all the time. And that is -14 - that is absolutely part of the modern practice of law. Ι 15 think that, you know, it has changed even in my sixteen 16 It is exceptionally fast -- fast-paced. And you -vears. 17 more is demanded of you, not necessarily from the court but 18 from the client, from the bank, from the phone ringing, 19 from the bills coming in. 20 All of that administrative stuff as well, all of 21 that has expedited in speed. And it just -- it does, it makes the practice of law difficult. And I think that all 22 23 of that informs, I think, a really -- a good basis that I

Thank you.

think I would bring to the bench.

Okay.

24

25

0.

1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin. 3 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 4 Good morning, Mr. Rode. Luke Rankin from Horry 5 County. I can't help but note one of the letters of 6 references from folks from my way -- in fact, two --7 Michael Timbes, a Conway product, and then Tommy Brittain 8 got Conway-Horry blood as well. Both write great things 9 about you. 10 In this role of interviewing folks, as this is a 11 formal interview -- one of, I guess, multiple that you will 12 have embarked on -- we're looking kind of for the 13 Goldilocks good mix of experience. 14 Your -- in your PDQ, you describe your civil -your current civil practice, business-based -- basically, 15 16 that includes multiple little roads within that, pardon the 17 pun. Do you do construction litigation, which your firm is well known for? Is that --18 19 Α. A little bit --20 0. -- kind of mostly, or not really mostly? 21 So my firm, I'm sure, is known for construction Α. 22 We have a very, what I would say, successful 23 construction practice. That is not primarily what I do. Ι 24 do operate in what I would call the construction sphere. 25 Some of that includes defect. A lot more of it includes

1 sort of the business side of construction.

- Q. And in that, I've seen mechanic's liens. I see a variety of things. Are you representing the contractor, the subcontractor on an hourly basis, a retainer basis? Or are you pursuing litigation against someone who's not paid their bill?
- A. Both. I represent both subcontract -- I representing both sides of that transaction. For instance, in the mechanic's lien context, both the builder side as well as the homeowner side.

Most often, I would say it's on an hourly basis. But there are -- there are certainly a portion of my book of business, as I'll call it, that is contingent-based.

- Q. Your lack of criminal experience -- in fact, you write "zero." Other than the 2017 appeal that you, I guess, successfully argued or prosecuted before the Supreme Court, do you see -- not that you are a slow learner by any means, but do you see that as concern that some might have, that you're not time-and-trial ready with no legal experience in terms he criminal context?
- A. Yeah, so to that -- what I would say is I do -- I think I have significant legal experience in the criminal context. I've tried several cases in general sessions.
 - Q. But you --
- 25 | A. But not --

1 Q. Well, help me there. Because I didn't see that. 2 Α. And my --3 Well, let me interrupt. How many have you 0. 4 actually tried to verdict? In general sessions? I believe three. 5 Α. 6 Forgive me for missing that. All right. Let me 0. 7 quickly flip to the civil context. Not motion -- not 8 arguing it before the Court of Appeals or the Supreme 9 Court, equally steeped with trial-like atmosphere, but to 10 verdict in the civil trials, how many? 11 Also three, I believe. Α. 12 0. Six --13 One in business court. Α. Two -- two in common 14 pleas. 15 And I interrupted you. Continue on with your Q. answer on the civil -- the criminal side. 16 17 Α. Yeah, so on the -- to reference, I think, the 18 zero percent that you were referring to. My understanding 19 of that question was sort of the amount of experience I 20 have of that in the last five years. I think it was framed 21 in that context. And so that's why I put zero in there. 22 My daily practice does not include criminal defense. 23 my firm --24 And your having coffee with Andy Savage would Q.

warrant probably five or six criminal trials to verdict.

25

So I don't -- I'm not missing that.

A. Yeah. And also Paul Thurmond in my office does a great amount of criminal work -- almost exclusively criminal work. So I do have exposure to it.

I think that, that's a great thing about kind of my small firm is, even though I have my areas of the law, I'm constantly talking with other people who have their motions, their trials. So I have a broader exposure than just the things that I do.

But that would be just my response to the criminal side of it. And I think even going back to my time at the Court of Appeals, you know, that was again inundated with a steady stream of criminal issues.

And so it's not a world or a process that's foreign to me, or a substance matter that's foreign to me, but it -- but as you have pointed out, it's not something I do daily in my practice.

- Q. The earlier question from Mr. Safran, I'll couch that as one of does the candidate have grace? Are they more Sadducaical? Pharisaical? A rule-follower? The rule is the rule, and by God, there's no exception? Did you understand that question that perhaps was better asked -- you understand my question now?
- A. I under -- I understand your question now. And I will answer that by saying when I started at the Court of

1 Appeals on my very first day, Judge Thomas has a plaque up 2 in her office with a quote from -- I believe it's the Book 3 of Micah, and she told me that is her judicial philosophy. 4 And it says, "Do justice, love kindness, walk humbly." 5 We have talked a lot about that while I worked 6 for her. Since then, and even during this race, I've 7 talked with her. I think that informs a lot of the way I 8 practice law, as well as what I would say would be my 9 judicial philosophy. 10 And in that is "do kindness," right? And it goes 11 back to, I think, what Mr. Safran was asking me, when you 12 can -- there is no -- I don't think there is a need to make 13 the practice of law harder. It's already hard. 14 There are times where kindness has to follow the 15 law, right? Like, it can't trump the law. But there's no reason that those two things can't exist together. 16 17 And so add that to humility, which I think is one 18 of the most, I think, important characteristics of a judge, 19 and one that I think is embodied by all of the judges I 20 would emulate. And I think that would be the answer to 21 your question, is I think where I can do kindness. 22 Your predecessor in this race, Judge Jenkins, 0. 23 invoked that. It's Micah 6:8, "Do justly, walk humbly with 24 thy God and" -- let's see.

25

Α.

"Love kindness."

1 0. "Do justice, love mercy, and walk humbly with thy 2 God." And so Micah 6:8 -- in prior transcripts, I could 3 whip that out. 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: It's a personal favorite. 5 Q. It is actually -- and juries appreciate it too. 6 I've used it with many juries. But my point to you is, 7 that is a great philosophy. Judge Thomas has it right. 8 Not that you, again, ignore the rule or ignore 9 But in the vein of the -- not the appellate but 10 in the give-and-take of someone who literally, 11 legitimately, has an excuse and can't do something. 12 Ultimately, it's going to be your successful 13 continuance or -- perhaps it's a discovery motion -- again, 14 any number of touches that you will have to either do -express kindness and humility. But not be abused by the 15 16 same token. 17 There's a perpetual not ready, drag blank-person 18 who you've got to threaten them to get them to come. 19 That's not one who more than a couple of times gets your 20 grace. 21 So that's not probably dispositive here, but that temperament is kind of what we're drilling down on. And if 22 23 you were a hard-and-fast, the rule is a rule is a rule, we 24 got another case, we got to move, that does not show any

humanity, then that's not the making of a good judge.

25

1 You've been before those, perhaps. 2 Α. I think we all have, sir. Yeah. My final question -- again, you've been 3 0. 4 around with a lot of great lawyers, you've got great 5 letters of reference. And you've worked for certainly one 6 of the -- our favorites on the Court of Appeals. 7 Who from any walk -- particular court, Supreme 8 Court, Court of Appeals, magistrate's -- it could be 9 circuit or family court, wherever, whomever -- who would 10 you like to most be compared to, if you're successful in 11 your career hereafter? 12 Α. That's a difficult question. And I answer that -- and it's difficult because there's so many judges that 13 14 bring so many things to the table, each of which sort of 15 has a -- has a thing that I would emulate, right? 16 Like top of mind, obviously, would be Judge 17 Newman, just for his demeanor, right? In our circuit, 18 Judge McCoy for her work ethic. Justice Kittredge, Justice 19 Few for their scholarship, their love of studying the law. Judge Thomas, obviously, for all of those reasons and more. 20 21 And personally -- I think from a personal 22 perspective, that list wouldn't be complete without a 23 friend of mine -- excuse me -- Tonya Gee, just for her --24 like passion and like sheer positivity. 25 Yeah, I could probably go on. But those would be

1 -- those would be judges that if I were compared to, I 2 would -- it would make me feel good. 3 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. McIver. 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. MCIVER: 5 Rode, I just wanted to comment on some of the letters of 6 reference that you have. You've spoken to your experience 7 with Andy Savage on the criminal side, and your work for 8 the Court of Appeals, as well as your current work with 9 Timbes and Thurmond. 10 And several of the people you mentioned, 11 including Tonya Gee, were classmates of mine and of Scott Talley's. And so we appreciate that when we read these 12 13 letters, I'm not going to say more carefully than others, 14 but with lots of interest for what our former classmates 15 have to say. 16 And you're obviously well respected in your 17 community, not only by the plaintiff's bench but by the 18 defense bench. And also by well respected mediator, John 19 Mastantuno, and what he had to say about your work ethic 20 and your character, your temperament, and your preparation, 21 and just glowing comments. So I want to commend you for 22 that. 23 One of my classmates Ainsley Tillman --Ainsley Fisher, she was in law school, married to Matt 24 25 Tillman, who's also a classmate of ours -- she spoke to

1 your humanity. One of the things that Senator Rankin was 2 3 talking about was the humanity that's demanded from this 4 position. And one thing that she pointed out was that she 5 -- she had done some work and you had appealed, I think it what it was -- oh, no, you moved to dismiss her whole 6 7 appeal. 8 She said she felt intense pressure, and your 9 deftly-written motion to dismiss hit hard. And she said 10 but before she heard from the Court of Appeals, she got a 11 message from you that said, "Good work." 12 And she said, "Those two words were so 13 simple, "Yet, I could only name a few times when I've ever 14 heard them said by opposing counsel." 15 So I think that's one instance of your 16 interview that I just wanted to point out. And also 17 Ainsley tends to be a bit humorous at times, and one of the 18 things that I thought might be worth mentioning just for a 19 bit of levity is, she says that, "Thomas is smart. He's 20 reasonable. He's a good planner. He's good-natured. 21 is responsive. He is diligent. He wants justice. He 22 wears his mustache well." 23 And so --24 MR. RODE: And I agree with her on all 25 counts.

1	MS. MCIVER: I thought you might. And now
2	it is preserved for the record, and everyone can have the
3	benefit of her comments. Thank you for your willingness to
4	serve.
5	MR. RODE: Thank you.
6	MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other members of the
8	Commission?
9	(Hearing none.)
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, on that last
11	comment, I will at the risk of self-aggrandizement,
12	refer you to the statehouse manual, in hard copy only, to
13	locate my affinity for a well-worn mustache.
14	Mr. Rode, this will conclude this portion of
15	the screening process. I certainly appreciate you and
16	Julie both being here today.
17	One final note, I need to remind you that
18	pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the
19	Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well
20	as the letter of the ethics laws, and we will view
21	violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and
22	potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening
23	deliberations.
24	On that note, and as you may know, the
25	record will remain open until the formal release of the

1	report of qualifications, and you may be called back at
2	such time if the arises.
3	Again, thank you for offering for service to
4	the State of South Carolina. I certainly wish you all the
5	best. And travel safely on the way back.
6	MR. RODE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank
7	you, members of the Commission.
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.
9	(Candidate excused.)
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We'll stand at ease, as
11	the next candidate is in. And then after that, I
12	anticipate taking a lunch break.
13	(A recess was held from 11:10 a.m. to 11:12 a.m.)
14	We will go back on the record with our next
15	candidate. Ms. Elizabeth Morrison, who is a candidate for
16	Seat 4 of the circuit court bench for the 9th Judicial
17	Circuit. If you would please, ma'am, raise your right
18	hand.
19	WHEREUPON,
20	ELIZABETH MORRISON, being duly sworn and
21	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
22	but the truth.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: There should be some
24	documents in front of you. If you would just please review
25	those.

1	MS. MORRISON: I have Exhibit 10, 11, and
2	12, which appear to be my amendments to my PDQ, my circuit
3	court and candidate statement, and my original PDQ.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
5	to our making those documents a part of the record of your
6	sworn testimony?
7	MR. MORRISON: No objection.
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you'll please hand
9	those to Ms. Putnam.
10	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
11	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
12	ELIZABETH MORRISON)
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION AMENDMENT PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE
15	OF ELIZABETH MORRISON)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION PERSONAL SWORN STATEMENT OF ELIZABETH
18	MORRISON)
19	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
20	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
21	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
22	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
23	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
24	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
25	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a

1 study of previous screenings, and a check for -- a check 2 for economic conflicts of interest. We have received no affidavits filed in 3 4 opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present 5 to testify. 6 If you have a brief opening statement, we'd 7 be happy to hear from you. Otherwise, we'll proceed with 8 questions from staff counsel. 9 Well, just briefly. MS. MORRISON: I'd like 10 to say thank you for this opportunity. This has been a 11 really wonderful process. You hear a lot of scary things 12 when you tell people that you're going to go through this 13 process, especially for the first time. 14 But every step of the way, every person I've 15 met with has been just wonderful, very engaged, and taken their position very seriously. And I really appreciate 16 17 y'all's time today. 18 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. We certainly 19 appreciate the kind remarks. We know that our staff works 20 industriously, and it's much appreciated. And so at this 21 time, I'll recognize Ms. Symmes. 22 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. SYMMES: 23 note for the record that based on the testimony contained 24 in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the 25 record with the candidate's consent, Elizabeth Fulton

1 Morrison meets the constitutional and statutory 2 requirements for this position regarding age, residence, 3 and years of practice. 4 EXAMINATION BY MS. SYMMES: Ms. Morrison, how do you feel your legal and 5 Q. 6 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 7 will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge? 8 I believe I am in my eleventh year of practice, 9 and about 95 percent of that has been within the courtroom. 10 Not just in the 9th Circuit, but across the entire state. 11 I've had the ability to represent both plaintiffs 12 and defendants in civil litigation. I've had experience, 13 tangentially, with some criminal proceedings as well. 14 My practice has evolved, since day one as a young 15 attorney in a two-person firm, being thrown out to the --16 Which I don't know that it happens as much to the wolves. 17 as it used to, but, hopefully, will continue. 18 And I have just -- every opportunity I've had to 19 sit in a courtroom, I learn, I observe, I try to make the 20 next appearance better. And as I have grown in my 21 practice, I have taken more opportunities to see 22 proceedings from the bench versus from the tables. 23 0. Thank you. Ms. Morrison, the Commission received 24 106 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 9 additional

The ballot box survey, for example, contained

25

comments.

the following positive comments:

"Ms. Morrison is a bright and very meticulous attorney who would be a phenomenal judge. In private practice, I have observed Ms. Morrison being professional and cordial to all counsel and litigants. She is always prepared and knowledgeable. Ms. Morrison is by far and away the strongest and most qualified applicant seeking to fill this seat. She is smart, experienced, fair, balanced, and treats everyone with respect."

Three of the written comments expressed concerns.

Ms. Morrison, comments indicated that you do not have the relevant criminal experience. What response would you offer to the Commission regarding this concern?

A. I would recognize that, that has been the number one thing I've heard throughout this process, and something that I've been taking very seriously.

Unfortunately, some health concerns have pushed some things out a little bit for me. But I am planning on doing more within the bond court, to experience that within Charleston County, to have more of an opportunity to observe criminal trials.

I recently changed jobs, and am right across the street from the Charleston County courthouse, so I intend to take more advantage of that. Also, exploring CLEs on criminal practice.

1 However, I also reiterate that a lot of my civil 2 practice has related criminal aspects in terms of civil 3 sexual assault claims, dram shop liability, assault and 4 battery. 5 So I've had a chance to familiarize myself with 6 those processes, and will just continue to work toward 7 that. 8 Thank you. Q. 9 I would note that the MS. SYMMES: 10 Lowcountry Citizens Committee found Ms. Morrison qualified 11 in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 12 qualifications, physical health and mental stability and 13 experience, and well qualified in the evaluative criteria 14 of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, and judicial temperament. 15 16 BY MS. SYMMES: 17 Ms. Morrison, are you aware that as a judicial Q. 18 candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as 19 found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court 20 Rules? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Ms. Morrison, since submitting your letter of 23 intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission 24 about your candidacy? 25 Α. No.

1	Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
2	sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
3	prior to this date or pending the outcome of your
4	screening?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
7	the limitations on contacting members of the General
8	Assembly regarding your screening?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
11	members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
12	aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
13	your behalf?
14	A. No. No.
15	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
16	Commission's guidelines on pledging in code section 2-19-
17	70(E)?
18	A. Yes.
19	MS. SYMMES: Mr. Chairman, I would note for
20	the record that any concerns raised during the
21	investigation by staff regarding the candidate were
22	incorporated into the questioning of Ms. Morrison today.
23	And, Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do
25	

1 this time? Chairman Rankin. 2 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 3 Ms. Morrison, welcome. 0. 4 Α. Thank you. 5 Q. I've tried to read through this before, and now 6 skimming it again. You've got someone who describes, what 7 I am sensing in this brief little time here, your 8 enthusiasm for life, your embracing with levity and a 9 smile, what this writer, Elizabeth Wieters, "The most 10 positive person I've ever worked alongside," and how you 11 handle the most onerous, stressful or tiresome situations 12 with, an exclamation, how fun or how interesting. 13 So that is certainly a great mind set to life. 14 And then particularly in judging others -- other peoples' 15 lives and their conflicts. 16 I am curious why, why, why would you ever want to 17 do this? And would that not diminish that spirit of 18 optimism, enthusiasm, and zest? 19 Α. I don't think so. A long time ago, I was told, 20 you know, "Why do you do the things you do?" And a lot of 21 it comes down to is I'm addicted to public service. And I 22 think there is no better calling to public service than 23 being a judge. 24 I understand that when you're on the bench, you 25 have to have much more levity, more of a straight face.

But the challenges that come to ruling on matters, on
hearing matters, on, you know, the day-to-day processes of
handling a docket, I think if you can't be happy in the
morning, and approach everything optimistically, then
what's the point?

And every day I wake up and just love the law. I know that's so cheesy to say. And I am 38 years old and a millennial, but practicing law for eleven years, I have had some really horrible cases, but it has never diminished my commitment to the law.

And I want to bring maybe some more optimism to the bench and -- and be that type of voice, especially within the 9th Circuit, which is one of the more active circuits in the state.

- Q. I look at your -- your work history. Edward Pritchard is one of your guys, my God, how did you work so long with him and still maintain the smile today?
- A. I don't know if you realize, but I -- we actually had to have a little bit of a legal battle when I left.

 But we are still great friends. He sent me a gift for when my son was born. We talk pretty regularly. I learned a lot from him, but I enjoyed being his colleague to this day.
- Q. The chairman is now going to bring Mr. Pritchard here, via screen.

1 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I don't think that I have 2 launched any nuclear missiles. 3 He's going to make a few remarks about your 4 candidacy. And I'm certain that for the record, we all know Edward Pritchard. He wakes up in the morning with a 5 6 warm feel of battle, so --7 Α. A hundred percent. 8 You got -- I know him too well. And that is a --0.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am curious, though, in your supplemental there was a little bit of a job as to -- as to who you are presently employed with. Because it looks like you've got two firms. And help me ferret that out.

that is a compliment to him. He will be highly praised.

A. My apologies. I never do anything rational in terms of timing. I resigned from my firm, Hall Booth Smith, which is a 450-person law firm, headquartered in Atlanta.

The day after I resigned, I found out that my breast cancer had returned, so I jumped right into a new position with the law firm of Whelan Mellen and Norris, all attorneys previously with Nelson Mullins in Charleston.

And the reason for the change was, I realized that working for a big firm was not for me. Prior to Hall Booth Smith, I worked for Edward and Thomas, and loved the small firm feel, loved the ability to be more localized.

1 And so I now have more control over my clients, 2 more control over the type of work I can do, and there's 3 just something about being on Broad Street that you just 4 can't -- can't describe versus being just kind of in an 5 office building somewhere. So and with this position, it's going to allow me 6 7 to explore more criminal -- criminal law and opportunities 8 So there was an error. I forgot to change. 9 departed Hall Booth Smith in September. I still have great 10 respect for all of my colleagues there.

I get the impression they feel the same for me, 'cause we still talk and get lunch. And I joined Whelan Mellen and Norris, pretty much the very next day.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Married to a lawyer, a son 13 months old as of this writing, who has the name of a lawyer. You're a lawyer and you've got a lot of energy. And I really appreciate it. It just pours through.

Those that won't pay attention, perhaps, any more than the written record here, you've got it going on.

- A. I thank you. And my husband -- or my husband's a corporate lawyer, so he knows nothing about the courtroom. Which I think makes for a good marriage, 'cause we don't know what the other person's ever talking about. And my son is actually now 17 months as of yesterday, so --
 - Q. On his way to his graduate degree in some great

1 school. 2 Most likely, if my husband has anything to say Α. 3 about it. 4 0. Thank you. 5 Α. Thank you. 6 Mr. Strom. CHAIRMAN CASKEY: MR. STROM: 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. 8 Morrison, I just want to echo what Senator Rankin. 9 know, you're a breath of fresh air. We've got --10 unfortunately, it looks like we've got about nine people in 11 this race, and a lot of people with a lot of experience. 12 And I will tell you, I don't know how this 13 will work out this time, but we'd love to see you back if 14 it doesn't work out this time. 15 MR. MORRISON: Thank you. That's exactly 16 how I feel. You know, I -- as I said, I'm 38 years old. 17 You know, when you talk about your plans, I said, okay, 18 when I turn 40, that's when I'm going to get serious. And 19 then this brand new seat opened up, an open position. And 20 I said let's see where this goes, let's see the process, 21 let's see if I am what they're looking for and what my 22 colleagues think about me. So again, I will just 23 reiterate, I have really enjoyed this process. 24 Thank you. Thank you for MR. STROM: 25 offering. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Blackley.
2	MS. BLACKLEY: Thank you for coming. I just
3	have to say to you, you are a breath of fresh air.
4	MR. MORRISON: Thank you.
5	MS. BLACKLEY: Your excitement, your
6	personality, your character and I don't know you at all,
7	this is my first time meeting you, but I mean I am feeling
8	nothing but positive vibes. And I also want to state that
9	I'm wishing you well
10	MR. MORRISON: Thank you.
11	MS. BLACKLEY: and will be sending up
12	prayers for you, for a complete, full healing.
13	MR. MORRISON: I appreciate that. Thank
14	you.
15	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other comments or
16	questions?
17	(Hearing none.)
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Morrison, I certainly
19	join in the comments of my colleagues in our admiration and
20	appreciation of you. The comments in the ballot box, which
21	can't be disclosed for reasons of confidentiality, all
22	speak to the reputation that you've earned among your
23	colleagues and peers. And I think you should be very proud
24	of what you're doing, and I would encourage you to keep it
25	up, because it is a credit to the profession. And so I

1	really want to commend you for that.
2	This will end this portion of our screening
3	process. I do need to remind you that pursuant to the
4	Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects
5	candidates to follow the spirit as well as the letter of
6	the ethics laws, and that we will view violations or the
7	appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially
8	deserving of heavy weight in the screening deliberations.
9	On that note, and as you may know, the
10	record will remain open until the formal release of the
11	report of qualifications, and you may be called back at
12	such time if that need were to arise.
13	Again, I want to thank you for service to
14	the state. And I wish you all the best as you travel back
15	home safely.
16	MR. MORRISON: Thank you so much. Thank you
17	for this opportunity. I appreciate it.
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am.
19	(Candidate excused.)
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go ahead and
21	proceed with the next candidate, if staff would help Judge
22	Van Slambrook come on in. We'll stand at ease until he's
23	here.
24	(A recess was held from 11:28 a.m. to 11:32 a.m.)
25	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go back on the

1	record. Here before us is another candidate for Seat 4 of
2	the circuit court of the 9th Judicial Circuit. Judge
3	Martin, good afternoon, sir.
4	JUDGE MARTIN: Good afternoon.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you would please raise
6	your right hand.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR., being
9	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
10	truth and nothing but the truth.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. There
12	should be some documents in front of you. If you would
13	take a moment to look at those.
14	JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir. I'm familiar with
15	all of these documents.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are those the personal
17	data questionnaire and sworn statement that you have
18	submitted to the Commission?
19	JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, they are.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are they correct? Or do
21	they need any updates?
22	JUDGE MARTIN: They are correct. They need
23	no updates at all.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
25	to our making these documents a part of the record of your

1	sworn testimony?
2	JUDGE MARTIN: No, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. I'll ask staff
4	to do that now.
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 13 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
6	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
7	HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR.)
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 14 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
9	COMMISSION AMENDMENT PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE
10	OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR.)
11	(EXHIBIT NO. 15 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
12	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
13	DANIEL E. MARTIN, JR.)
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
15	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
16	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
17	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
18	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
19	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
20	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
21	study of previous screenings, and a check for economic
22	conflicts of interest.
23	We have received no affidavits filed in
24	opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present
25	to testify.

1 If you would like to make a brief opening 2 statement, we'd be happy to hear from you. Otherwise, we 3 would have staff counsel proceed with the questions. 4 JUDGE MARTIN: I'm ready to proceed. 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: At this point, I would 6 recognize Ms. Baker. 7 MS. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note 8 for the record that based on the testimony contained in the 9 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with 10 the candidate's consent, Judge Daniel E. Martin, Jr., 11 meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of 12 13 practice. 14 EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER: 15 Judge Martin, how do you feel your legal and Q. professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 16 17 will assist you to be an effect circuit court judge? 18 Well, prior to getting on the family court bench, Α. 19 I had a general practice of law which included criminal, 20 civil, probate, real estate. Quite a varied practice that 21 allowed me to travel throughout the state, represent many, 22 many clients. And having been on the bench for the last 23 twelve years, I've gotten to see that side of the legal 24 practice -- or profession, rather. 25 And I think that having all the experience

private practice for more than twenty-plus years, and then
being on the bench for twelve years, has given me insight,

allowed me to see what a good judiciary looks like, what

lawyers expect from judges, and what judges expect from

lawyers. And I just think that I have the skills

necessarily to bring that to another level on the circuit

court.

Q. Thank you, Judge. And, Judge, why do you now want to serve on the circuit court?

A. Well, I'll be honest with you. I've served for the last twelve -- almost thirteen years on the family court. And I really love that job. And if this panel and the members of the General Assembly would allow me to continue to do that job, I would do that until I'm no longer eligible.

Having said that, I think that what I've learned in the last twelve or thirteen years on the bench is that I could probably carry what I know, my skills, my talents, my experience to another level.

South Carolina is growing. And that's obvious because you're adding more seats to the circuit court. I think that I'm able to bring what I know to the bench, and I think that would be a benefit to the state.

Q. Judge Martin, the Commission received 428 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 105 additional comments.

1 The ballot box survey, for example, contained samples of 2 the following positive comments: 3 "Outstanding qualifications. Outstanding person. 4 Excellent temperament. Patient, thoughtful, and well 5 reasoned decisions. Judge Martin is a judge's judge. 6 is fair, warm, and very bright. I enjoy trying cases in 7 his courtroom and appreciate his attention to the rules." 8 Seven of the written comments expressed some 9 concerns. A few expressed concerns that you have poor 10 judicial temperament for the bench. What response would 11 you offer to these concerns? 12 Α. Well, that's a surprise. I think most people 13 would probably tell you I'm just the opposite of that. 14 never bothered by what I -- what I hear. I try not to 15 outwardly express even what I'm thinking. 16 In fact, I've had lawyers come up -- come up to 17 me, afterwards, saying, "Judge, we just can't figure what 18 you're about to do or what you're about to say, because you 19 don't show that while you're on the bench." 20 But I can't think of any particular incident 21 where I did not demonstrate that I have the proper judicial 22 So I really -- unless they can give you a temperament. 23 specific incident, I really don't know how to respond to 24 that.

Thank you. And a second concern indicated that

25

0.

1 you lacked experience or have the qualifications to be a 2 circuit court judge. What response would you offer to 3 those concerns? 4 Α. Well, I've been on the family court for twelve 5 years, and I imagine some lawyers may never have seen me do 6 anything but that. If they're lawyers that just joined our 7 Bar within the last ten years, they would think that all I 8 ever did was family court law. 9 But I've been in practice for well over thirty 10 And most of those years was in circuit court and years. 11 doing other things besides family law. So I think that my 12 experience would probably show that's -- that, that's not a 13 valid concern. 14 Thank you. Judge Martin, in your PDQ, you Q. 15 indicated that there had been lawsuits filed against you, 16 and those were covered in prior screenings. Further, you 17 are SLED report indicated that there was two cases filed in 18 2022, but it's been determined that these matters involved 19 a different Daniel E. Martin, specifically the estate of a Daniel E. Martin. 20 Is that correct? 21 I pulled those up myself. Α. That's correct. 22 that person, whoever he was, is deceased. And it's not me.

MS. BAKER: I would note that the Lowcountry Citizens Committee reported that Judge Martin is qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional

23

24

1 qualifications, physical health and mental stability, and 2 well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 3 fitness, professional and academic ability, character, 4 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 5 The committee further commented, "Fabulous 6 Excellent temperament. Well balanced, person. 7 compassionate, thoughtful. Excellent candidate." 8 BY MS. BAKER: 9 Judge, I have a few housekeeping issues. 0. 10 Martin, are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are 11 bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 12 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 13 Α. I am aware. 14 Judge Martin, since submitting your letter of Q. 15 intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission 16 about your candidacy? 17 Α. I have not. 18 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 19 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 20 21 screening? 22 Α. I have not. 23 0. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70 of the 24 South Carolina Code, including the limitations on

contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your

1 screening? 2 Α. I am. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 4 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 6 your behalf? 7 Α. Absolutely not. 8 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 9 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code 10 Section 2-19-70(E)? 11 Α. I've read them all. 12 MS. BAKER: Mr. Chairman, I would note for 13 the record that any concerns raised during the 14 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 15 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 16 I have no further questions. 17 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I would recognize Mr. 18 Safran. 19 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 20 0. Judge, I appreciate you being here. 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 I don't think I've ever had the pleasure of 0. 23 appearing in front of you. But in reading the ballot box 24 surveys -- and, again, we take them with a grain of salt,

as you'd might expect -- the thing that really impresses me

1 is the repeated use of "fair," "calm demeanor," "patience," 2 "great temperament." 3 You're a little younger than I am, so I think 4 you've been practicing long enough to have been in front of 5 some judges that might not have given you the same 6 impression as what I'm just telling you --7 Α. I won't call any names. But, yes, sir. 8 But you've been there. 0. 9 Yes, sir. Α. And I'm assuming you -- it left a mark on you, 10 Q. 11 where you kind of said, "When I have my day." 12 Α. Absolutely, it did. As a young lawyer there were 13 a couple of times that I was in front of judges who I 14 thought could have handled things a little bit differently. 15 And practicing law is very hard, and your clients 16 look to you for your professionalism, your expertise. And 17 when you're dressed down by a judge, your client looks at 18 you and says, "Well, maybe I've gotten the wrong lawyer. 19 Maybe this is not the person who should be representing 20 me." 21 That's actually not really happened to me. But I 22 saw other lawyers that it did happen to, and it just -- it 23 was unnecessary. I thought that it made that lawyer's life 24 a little bit harder.

And even though at that time, I never aspired to

be on the bench, I always said to myself, if I was in that position, that's not a person I would be. That's nothing I would do.

- Q. Well, apparently, you never have been. Because it seems like you went the other direction completely. I'm assuming there have been, from time to time, circumstances where some folks who were appearing in front of you, lawyers, maybe crossed the line. I mean, I don't think you could have been on the bench for twelve years without that happening.
 - A. That actually happens a lot in family court.
- Q. How do you handle those kind of situations?

 'Cause, obviously, on the one hand, you remember kind of how it felt. But on the other hand, you've got to maintain order and decorum and control. So how do you go about doing that?
- A. Sometimes I will take a little break and ask the lawyers to approach the bench, have a little sidebar, and let them know that I thought what was said was inappropriate and unnecessary, and I don't expect it again in my courtroom. Normally, that resolved the matter.

I've had to hold a lawyer in contempt. I've had to do that a few times with litigants who just showed no respect at all for a -- for not just me, but for the court itself. And on the few occasions where I've done that,

I've kind of sat them down for a little bit, allowed them
to come back and apologize. And if they were sincere, I
would -- I would vacate my ruling on that.

But for lawyers, most times it just takes them knowing, directly from the judge, off the record, not in front of their clients, that, that behavior is inappropriate.

- Q. And what I'm understanding is, is that in family court, even though you're dealing with a different subject matter, you're still making rulings on evidence.
 - A. All the time.

- Q. You still have to basically consider, at least in certain instances, expert-type of evidence, and make determinations on that.
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And so, again, the other thing I'm hearing is -at least based on the questions that -- or the responses
 that I've gotten, but knew it even before, is that we're
 not really having a heck of a lot of jury trials these
 days. Has that been your understanding too?
- A. Yes, sir. Mediation and arbitration has resolved a lot of cases. It makes the system move a little bit quicker. No question about that.
 - But judges are actually put on the spot of having to make a lot more rulings, a lot of non-jury matters. And

1 in family court, that's all we do. Because we don't have a 2 jury, so we have to make decisions. 3 People would be surprised that in family court, 4 we have trials that last weeks at a time. And, you know, 5 you could maybe -- you may have a two- or three-week trial 6 during which there's going to be a myriad of objections and 7 issues concerning evidence. 8 Discovery is always an issue. But the court 9 often has -- oftentimes has to make rulings on all of those 10 issues. 11 And I understand there will be questions, I'm 0. 12 sure about this, but you do juvenile criminal stuff? 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 And in private practice did you do at least some Q. 15 amount of criminal at least during your career? 16 I did. I've had a few jury trials, quilty pleas, Α. 17 and represented quite a few in family court in juvenile 18 matters. 19 Q. Okay. 20 Yeah. Α. 21 Thank you very much. 0. 22 Yes, sir. Α. 23 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Talley. 24 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR TALLEY: 25 Good morning, Judge. 0.

1 Α. Good morning. 2 Good morning. Q. 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 I've never appeared in front you in the family 0. 5 court. But recently you were assigned some general 6 sessions terms and you spent a couple of weeks with us in 7 Spartanburg --8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 -- as I recall. Are you still doing that? 0. 10 The Supreme Court asked me to do that for Α. No. 11 about three months. And that ended in August -- the end of 12 August. 13 How did you enjoy that? 0. 14 It was interesting. I did mainly general Α. 15 sessions. And you have to be real sensitive, because 16 you're dealing with a lot of emotion. Because you may have 17 a bond hearing, and you've got a person who is accused of a 18 crime, and the presumption of innocence is there. You have 19 to weigh whether in setting a bond this person is going to 20 pose a risk to the community or is a flight risk. 21 You have to be sensitive to the families that may 22 be there because they've lost a loved one who's a victim of 23 a crime. So it's a little bit different from family court, 24 but it was very interesting. And I found it to be pretty

25

appealing.

1 I chuckled earlier. I think it -- how it came 0. 2 about, maybe through the these papers, questioning -- your 3 comment about your demeanor being hard to read. And based 4 on my experience in front of you in Spartanburg, I would 5 agree with that comment. 6 Α. Thank you, sir. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Rankin. 8 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 9 Do I understand play poker, do you by chance? 0. 10 No, sir. I don't play poker at all. Α. 11 Looks like you'd be a good poker player. 0. 12 to just comment about the -- I don't believe -- perhaps I'm 13 missing this. But the slate of 80-plus candidates we have 14 here, you enjoy some of the highest marks for the 15 attributes we're looking for in terms of those evaluative 16 criteria. And I just distill it into the Golden Rule and --17 18 I mean, I am looking hard to find somebody that says 19 something along the lines of -- that one abhorrent observer 20 who must have been in the wrong state, and it must have 21 been another Martin they were talking about. But I want to 22 commend you, though, truly, for being a judge's judge. 23 You're a judge's son. 24 Yes, sir. Α.

Correct?

0.

1 Α. Yes, sir. That's correct. 2 And your son is also a judge's son. Q. 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 And we hear great things about him, and what he's doing down in the solicitor's office in Charleston. 5 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 0. And I remember your father well, from way back. 8 And he is with us? Is he --9 Absolutely. He's 91. My mother is 91. Α. They've 10 been married since 1960. I go and see them almost every 11 day. But, yeah, they're both doing well and they're both 12 in good health. 13 Great. Well, that bodes well for you in Great. 14 your longevity --15 Α. I hope so. 16 0. And so the -- looking back again. You've been 17 screened twice before, or maybe three times before --18 Α. Three times. 19 -- I ever got to this position. And most Q. 20 recently, in '21 for the family court spot, correct? 21 Α. That's correct. So this process for you -- not Ms. Baker's fine 22 23 handling and interaction with you -- but overall, do you 24 recommend there would be something different that we should

do for the candidates or for the process?

And this is not a baited question, so don't feel like I'm trying to lure you into troubled waters.

A. Are you talking about the process in general?

- Q. Process in general. Yes, sir. The JMSC process and our touches on vetting candidates.
- A. Well, I was at a Inns of Court meeting last night, and there were three judges who were present -- Judge Scarborough, who's a Master-in-Equity, Judge McCoy, and another judge who's a probate judge.

And they were kind of just talking about the system and how it's set up. And I tend to agree with their thoughts. I know South Carolina and Virginia are the only two states that select judges the way that we do.

I can't think of a better way to do it, because the idea of a public election is just -- I don't know that you get your best talent. People are beholden -- maybe I'm talking too much. I don't know. I think people are -- would be beholden to those who contribute significantly to those campaigns. So I don't think that, that's a better way to go.

The vetting is strenuous, but I think it's necessarily that you do. You don't want people going onto the bench for the wrong reasons. People who have a clouded background, who may not serve well on the bench, there's a -- there's a rare occasion when you see anything about your

judges here being unethical or immoral or doing something improper, especially at the circuit court and family court level.

And I think that bodes well and speaks to the process, the way that it is. I know that everyone's not happy with it. I know it's not a perfect system. But I don't know one that could be better.

It's a lot of paperwork. But I think that's necessary. I find a code of ethics in terms of how we cannot approach legislators to be intact and proper. And that, I wouldn't change.

I guess people who don't get through the process feel like it's unfair. I've had one person say to me that with the Bar -- the way the Bar screens was a little unfair. I didn't find it to be that way, but that person did. But overall, I couldn't -- I can't think of a better way to do what you guys do.

- Q. Well, and by that same token, I can't think of a better person for doing what you're doing. And without -- I don't believe we've met, other than formally here, two years ago.
- A. Yes, sir.

Q. It is a breath of fresh air to be affirmed so widely and broadly by those who you interact with. And you, sir, are a great example for what our judiciary is

1 supposed to look like, and does. Folks that want to take 2 the time to see it working, just need to come to your 3 courtroom. 4 Thank you. Α. 5 Q. And so I appreciate your willingness to offer for 6 the circuit court as well. 7 Α. Thank you very much. I appreciate it. 8 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Senator Sabb. 9 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 Good morning. 11 JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir. Good morning. 12 SENATOR SABB: We might end up the morning 13 with you, and curve over into the afternoon. I don't know. 14 But I'm really glad to hear that your mom and dad are in 15 good health and doing well. 16 As we were kind of talking about it, I 17 reflected on two of my early cases in my career, that I 18 tried in front of your dad. And he only had to call me 19 down one time. And he did it in such a calm way. And in so many ways, your demeanor is reflective of his. 20 And I 21 just thought that you had the perfect demeanor. 22 And both of the cases that I've tried in 23 front of him were hotly contested, hotly litigated cases. 24 And he just did a superb job. And it's my hope that you

would continue to be what they call a "chip off the old

1 block" --2 JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir. 3 -- and just follow in your SENATOR SABB: 4 I am aware of a couple of the comments dad's footsteps. 5 that questioned your experience in matters other than 6 family court. It's clear that those person are not 7 familiar with your career at all. 8 One of the things that, guite frankly, I have an appreciation for, with the work you all did with 9 10 Larry Blanding's case --11 JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir. 12 SENATOR SABB: -- years ago. And, you know, 13 I look at, you know, that era in a number of ways as I 14 evaluate it. But I think your willingness to not only try 15 the case on the trial court level, but to see the injustices in the trial and to appeal it, successfully, I 16 17 think from a historical standpoint, has the kind of 18 significance that it's my hope that something that I do 19 would have that kind of significance before I finish 20 practicing law. Because it's only Blanding's case and B.J. 21 Gordon's case that ultimately shed light on some of what 22 was going on wrong in those trials. 23 And so I just wanted to express my 24 appreciation for your work. I also wanted the record to 25 reflect that as part of the screening process. And good

1	luck to you in this.
2	JUDGE MARTIN: Thank you, Senator.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Representative Rutherford.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Good afternoon,
5	Judge or good morning, still.
6	JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir.
7	SENATOR SABB: We'll go into the afternoon.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Yes, sir. Yes,
9	sir. I was going to ask Senator Sabb what year was that he
10	tried that case in front of your father. But I know it's
11	probably in the '30s, so I wasn't going to talk about it.
12	But I am one of the few people that has been in front of
13	you on the circuit court bench.
14	JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir.
15	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I'm also one of
16	the few people that I think believes that the criminal law,
17	and the practice of criminal law, deserves to be protected,
18	and we don't need to pretend like it's just some subset.
19	But I do believe that your work in family
20	court, at least for on the criminal side, gives you a
21	great deal of experience on how to deal with the criminal
22	cases in general sessions court.
23	I understand that there is there are
24	those on the civil side that would still complain, but at
25	least that part of the job, I think you have certainly

1	mastered, given the comments and given the fact that I was
2	in front of you, and that a number of solicitors and
3	defense attorneys sought to find you and get in front of
4	you because you were doing such a good job.
5	So I just wanted to tell you how much I
6	appreciate it.
7	JUDGE MARTIN: Thank you. Thank you, sir.
8	SENATOR RANKIN: Mr. Chairman.
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir, Senator.
10	SENATOR SABB: And I would also point out
11	for purposes of the record, that the jury trials that he's
12	engaged in, civilly, back in the day and I think when we
13	look into his PDQ, we see some of those verdicts. And so
14	he's been a litigator for all of his career, prior to
15	ascending to the family court bench. So I just wanted to
16	clarify that in addition to Representative Rutherford's
17	comments.
18	JUDGE MARTIN: Thank you, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. Any other
20	comments or questions?
21	(Hearing none.)
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, Judge, I'll say I
23	you know, this is the first time I've had an opportunity to
24	meet you.
25	JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And as I have looked 1 2 through your record, it's clear to me that the most 3 negative articulating of your candidacy is the detriment 4 that it would be to the family court bench. 5 The comments in the ballot box -- and I know 6 you don't get to see it because of our provisions regarding 7 anonymity -- but you have earned a reputation for a keen 8 intellect, a just disposition, and really a tribute to the 9 legal profession and to our bench, as others have stated. 10 So I commend you for that. I thank you for 11 that. As our judiciary and our profession are under attack 12 at times, it's comforting to be able to have clear examples of how it does work well. And so I thank you for that and 13 14 all you're doing. 15 So with that, Judge, this will conclude this 16 portion of our screening process. I do need to take this 17 opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's 18 evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to 19 follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws, 20 and we will view violations or the appearance of 21 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy 22 weight in the screening deliberations. 23 On that note, and as you may know, the 24 record will remain open until the formal release of the 25 report of qualifications, and you may be called back at

1	such time if the need were arises.
2	Thank you for your service to the state, and
3	thank you for offering for this position.
4	JUDGE MARTIN: Thank you all. And have a
5	good day.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, sir.
7	(Candidate excused.)
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go ahead and
9	screen the next candidate, Judge Van Slambroook, before
10	we're in a position to do something else. So we'll just
11	stand at ease.
12	(A recess was held from 11:59 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.)
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: We will go back on the
14	record. Thank you for being here today. We certainly
15	appreciate your flexibility in scheduling. As I noted in
16	an earlier screening, we are in the unusual position of
17	being ahead of schedule. So we are much appreciative for
18	your flexibility.
19	My understanding is you are here screening
20	for Seat 4 of the circuit court bench for the 9th Judicial
21	Circuit. Is that right?
22	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: that's correct.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you would, sir, please
24	raise your right hand.
25	WHEREUPON,

1	THE HONORABLE DALE E. VAN SLAMBROOK, being
2	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
3	truth and nothing but the truth.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. There should
5	be some documents in front of you. If you'll take a moment
6	to review those.
7	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: I recognize these as
8	the statements and the personal data questionnaire.
9	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And those are the personal
10	data questionnaire and the sworn statement that you have
11	submitted to the Commission?
12	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: Yes, it.
13	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Are there any
14	updates needed or changes to be made?
15	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: None that I'm aware
16	of.
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
18	to our to us entering those into the record of your
19	sworn testimony?
20	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: No objection
21	whatsoever.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir.
23	(EXHIBIT NO. 16 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
24	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
25	HONORABLE DALE E. VAN SLAMBROOK)

1 (EXHIBIT NO. 17 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION 2 COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE DALE 3 E. VAN SLAMBROOK) 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit 5 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your 6 qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on 7 the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, 8 9 verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a 10 search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a 11 study of previous screenings, and a check for economic 12 conflicts of interest. 13 We have received no affidavits filed in 14 opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present 15 to testify. 16 Before giving to the opportunity to make any 17 brief opening remarks that you who choose to do so, I'd 18 give you an opportunity to introduce the gentleman who's 19 come in with you. 20 JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: This is -- this is 21 John West, an attorney from Moncks Corner. 22 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you for being here, 23 today, Mr. West. As I mentioned, if you'd like to make any 24 brief opening remarks, you can certainly do that. 25 can proceed the questions from Ms. Crater.

1 JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: I'll waive any opening 2 statement, and ready to proceed. 3 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you, 4 Then at this time, I would recognize Ms. Crater. 5 I note for the record that MS. CRATER: 6 based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, 7 which has been included in the record with the candidate's 8 consent, Judge Van Slambrook meets the constitutional and 9 statutory requirements for this position regarding age, 10 residence, and years of practice. 11 EXAMINATION BY MS. CRATER: 12 0. Judge Van Slambrook, how do you feel your legal 13 and professional experience thus far renders you qualified 14 and will assist you to be an effect circuit court judge? 15 Α. I've practiced in a general practice for thirty-16 one years before I became the Master-in-Equity for Berkeley 17 The last five years, while I was practicing, I County. 18 served as a municipal court judge doing primarily criminal 19 jury trials for the City of Goose Creek. Like I said, it was a general practice that 20 21 included domestic cases, criminal cases, civil cases, 22 social security cases -- a broad range of cases. 23 serve as the judge, that peaked my interest, and that's why 24 I ran for the Master-in-Equity position. 25 While Master-in-Equity, I hear non-jury trials on a variety of matters -- mostly regarding real estate and so forth, and any other matters that are referred to me, which run the gamut.

I also have been appointed as a special circuit court judge. As special circuit court judge, I review a lot of the more mundane matters, orders for publication, default matters and so forth, that come through in that matter. So I'm involved in that as well.

And, occasionally, I have referrals for specific matters, I'll be requested to do just the motions for a complicated case or hear a -- an emergent temporary restraining order or something of that nature. So I've got a pretty broad background.

I've been doing it for the last year or so, the minor settlements and wrongful death settlements. Those are particularly conducive to the -- to the WebEx hearings.

So I'm involved and get to associate and deal with the attorneys on those matters, as well as being involved and understanding what's going on in that nature and that type of practice as well.

Also, I serve as the judge for the Berkeley
County Adult Drug Court. And that's a position where we
weekly have screenings and engage with the folks -- the
participants in that program. I've done that for several
years.

It's a particularly rewarding experience, and I think very necessary for the folks in Berkeley County. Not always successful in that regard, but I think the culmination of all of that makes me extremely well suited to serve in kind of the stepped-up -- the next capacity as a circuit court judge.

Q. Thank you. Judge Van Slambrook, the Commission received 289 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 53 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

"Extremely knowledgeable. Has experience, integrity, and temperament to be an excellent circuit court judge. A credit to the bench. Does not play politics and does not play favorites. Goes by the book. And fair, thoughtful, and thorough."

Neither of the two negative comments refer to any pattern of concern.

Judge Van Slambrook, you have indicated in your PDQ, that a lawsuit was filed against you in 2020, in the case of Nehemiah Bryant v. Dale Edward Van Slambrook, et al, in the Berkeley County Court of Common Pleas, relating to a foreclosure action you presided over. Could you please explain the nature or disposition of the lawsuit?

A. That resulted -- that suit resulted from a defendant in a foreclosure action that for lack of better

1 terminology, it was a sovereign citizen, who usually 2 represent themselves in response to the -- to the 3 foreclosure actions, and have a variety of arguments, and 4 sometimes when not successful will file suit against 5 everyone involved, the judge, the various lawyers. 6 And as far as I know, if not disposed of, it's 7 probably -- it either has been, or will be, disposed of in 8 some summary fashion. 9 Thank you, Judge Van Slambrook. Q. 10 I would note that the MS. CRATER: 11 Lowcountry Citizens Committee reported that Judge Van 12 Slambrook is qualified in the evaluative criteria of 13 constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental 14 The committee found him well qualified in the stability. 15 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 16 academic ability, character, reputation, and judicial 17 temperament.

The committee reported he is extremely well qualified, excellent judicial temperament, steady and ready, compassionate, smart, and a great candidate.

21 BY MS. CRATER:

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. Judge Van Slambrook, I just have a few housekeeping issues to go over with you. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South Carolina

1 Appellate Court Rules? 2 Α. Yes, I am. 3 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 4 contacted any members of the Commission about your 5 candidacy? 6 Α. No, I have not. 7 0. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 8 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 9 10 screening? 11 Α. No, I have not. 12 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 13 the limitations on contacting members of the General 14 Assembly regarding your screening? 15 Α. Yes, I'm aware. 16 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 17 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 18 aware of anyone of attempting to intervene in this process 19 on your behalf? 20 Α. I am not aware. 21 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 22 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina code 23 section 2-19-70(E)? 24 Α. Yes, I am. 25 MS. CRATER: Mr. Chairman, I would note for

1 the record that any concerns raised during the 2 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 3 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 4 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Are 6 there comments or questions for Judge Van Slambrook from 7 members of the Commission? Chairman Rankin. 8 SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you. 9 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 10 Q. Judge, welcome back. 11 Α. Thank you, sir. 12 0. I want to know whether your power is paid up-to-13 date, or is Mr. West here to serve a cutoff notice on you 14 from Santee Cooper? 15 Α. I think I'm up-to-date. 16 0. I am glad to see you with him, and a great guy to 17 have in your company. I am just reminding myself, you and I had met each other -- I think this is -- is this the 18 19 fourth screening? Perhaps, Master-in-Equity, a couple of 20 times for the circuit, and then --21 It's probably the fourth time I've been up here. Α. 22 I know you well. The ballot box surveys and the 0. 23 reference letters all attest to the -- to the person that I 24 have found you to be, here, I should say. I know you well

only from this context. And I'm curious about one thing.

25

1 Are you still biking? 2 I am -- I am still biking. Not guite with as Α. 3 much skill or endurance as I did a few years ago. 4 And I will leave it at that. 0. 5 SENATOR RANKIN: That's all I have, Mr. 6 Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir. Any other 8 comments or questions? Mr. Safran. 9 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 11 You and I go way back. 0. 12 Α. Yes. Way, way back. 13 I mean, I think the Stone Age is probably 0. 14 a good way to put it. It's impressive to see the kind of 15 comments that are made, particularly in a circumstance 16 where people can throw the stones and sling the arrows, and 17 in this situation, they're just not there. 18 And, you know, when they say "consummate," 19 "professional," "fair," "hate to lose him, if it happens." 20 I mean, obviously -- and you've paid your dues. 21 There's no question about that. And I think in your 22 practice, you know, with the Steinberg firm for all those 23 years, I think you did a little bit of everything, didn't 24 you? 25 It's possible --Α. Yes.

1 Q. I mean, something you -- you've got civil, you've got criminal, and you've actually held some court, and 2 3 already as you said, as a special judge. So you've 4 actually been in that arena more than once. Is that fair? 5 Α. Yes, that's a -- that's a good way to put it. 6 I mean, you've been wanting to do this for guite 0. 7 a while. And I think we screened you -- maybe my first 8 time here would have been the -- some years ago, maybe. Αt 9 least six, seven years ago. 10 I think you're -- I think you're right on that. Α. 11 I mean, do you have any concern of qualification 0. 12 about your capacity to be able to do this, and really hit 13 the ground running? 14 No -- no genuine or significant concern. 15 "Significant" is probably a better one. You know, I 16 haven't been exposed, over the last several years, to the 17 depth that I would like as far as the criminal cases. 18 get to observe the pleas, I get to observe the trials. 19 I keep up with, you know, all manner of things 20 that would be related to the criminal cases. But I'm just 21 not as exposed, so if there was any lack of depth, it might 22 be in the -- in the -- in the criminal area. 23 extensively, you know. 24 Because I did have a background and I -- and I'm 25 still involved in the criminal aspect through the drug

1 court, and the familiarity and the dealings with the 2 solicitors and the public defenders in that regard. 3 Well, and just -- you know, for the record, I 4 would like to say that what I have always felt is that, at 5 least when you're looking for a judge, there are a lot of 6 things you particularly see here. 7 What we see on here in terms of how you behave is 8 really paramount. Experience is also right up there, and, 9 candidly, you've got it. 10 And, you know, I think for so many years, people 11 like Mr. Strom and I, Mr. Sabb, we saw people who basically 12 wanted to become judges as kind of the crowning point of a 13 And I get the sense that's what you want to do. 14 And, frankly, I think that it's admirable. 15 something that really, to me, is kind of how it's supposed 16 to work. And so I commend you for coming back. And I wish 17 you every bit of luck in succeeding. 18 Α. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Any other comments or 20 Senator Sabb. questions? 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. SENATOR SABB: 22 I just really want to ditto the comments of Mr. Safran. 23 And I've observed you over the years, and I agree with all 24 of those raving comments. And I, too, am heartened by the 25 fact that you offered.

1 JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: Thank you, Senator. 2 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. I would join 3 in that. I mean, I am also in a position of so many 4 superlative comments in the ballot box, that it's a -- it's 5 a reassuring thing that -- you know, I only wish that we 6 could share all of these with you. 7 Obviously, we can't, for reasons of 8 confidentiality, but you certainly earned a reputation that 9 is a credit to yourself and to the legal profession. 10 thank you for that. 11 And with that, this will conclude this part 12 of the screening process. I do need to take this 13 opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's 14 evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to 15 follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws, 16 and we will view violations or the appearance of 17 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy 18 weight in the screening deliberations. 19 On that note, and as you may know, the 20 record will remain open until the formal release of the 21 report of qualifications, and you may be called back at such time if the arises. 22 23 I want to again thank you for your service 24 to the state, and thank you for offering for continued 25 service to the State of South Carolina, sir.

1	JUDGE VAN SLAMBROOK: Thank you all. And I
2	appreciate you all having me here today. Thank you all.
3	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you very much.
4	(Candidate excused.)
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: At this time, the pending
6	question on motion of Senator Talley, which is seconded by
7	Senator Rankin, is the Commission going into executive
8	session. All in favor signify by saying "aye."
9	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All opposed "no"?
11	(Hearing none.)
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The "ayes" have it. We
13	will step into executive session for a legal briefing, and
14	ask all of the folks who are not supposed to be here for
15	that, to step out. And we will be back shortly.
16	(Executive session from 12:16 p.m. to 1:31 p.m.)
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good afternoon, everyone.
18	We are now out of executive session. For the record, while
19	we were in executive session, no decisions no made and no
20	votes were taken.
21	We are now going to resume with our
22	screenings of candidates for office before us this morning
23	or this afternoon, we have Mr. John Williams, who is a
24	candidate for Seat 4 of the circuit court bench in the 9th
25	Judicial Circuit. Did I get all that right, Mr. Williams?

1	MR. WILLIAMS: Absolutely.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: If you would please raise
3	your right hand.
4	WHEREUPON,
5	JOHN O. WILLIAMS, II, being duly sworn and
6	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
7	but the truth.
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. There's some
9	documents in front of you. If you would just take a moment
10	to review those. Are those the personal data questionnaire
11	and the sworn statement that you submitted to the
12	Commission?
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir. They appear to be.
14	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are they correct? Do you
15	need to update them in any way?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Not that I know of. They are
17	correct as far as I know.
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. That was a
19	poorly-worded question on my part. Do you have any
20	objection to us making those a part of the record of your
21	sworn testimony today?
22	MR. WILLIAMS: That's fine.
23	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, sir.
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
25	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF JOHN O.

1	WILLIAMS, II)
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
3	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF JOHN O. WILLIAMS,
4	II)
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: The Judicial Merit
6	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
7	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
8	the nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
9	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
10	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
11	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
12	study of any previous screenings, and a check for economic
13	conflicts of interest.
14	We have received no affidavits filed in
15	opposition to your election, and no witnesses are present
16	to testify.
17	If you would like to make a brief opening
18	statement, you are certainly welcome to. Otherwise, we
19	will go straight to questions from staff counsel.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: I can waive an opening
21	statement. I just thank you for your time.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I appreciate that, sir.
23	And in that case, I'll recognize Ms. Hall.
24	MS. HALL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note
25	for the record that based on the testimony contained in the

He is

1 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the record with 2 the candidate's consent, John O. Williams, II, meets the 3 constitutional and statutory requirements for this position 4 regarding age, residence, and years of practice. 5 EXAMINATION BY MS. HALL: 6 Mr. Williams, how do you feel your legal and 0. 7 professional experience thus far renders you qualified and 8 will assist you to be an effect circuit court judge? 9 Thank you. So I would say that my legal 10 experience has been fairly varied. I started out as a law 11 I was a prosecutor for a while and I was public clerk. defenders. I was in private practice for a few years, and 12 13 I've been a county attorney now for most nine years. 14 And I think that provides a unique set of 15 experiences and background that parrot well with what a 16 judge is going to see on a daily basis. They're going to 17 see very different cases. And that's exactly what I've 18 been experiencing in my career, very different cases. 19 And, you know, day-to-day it's very different, 20 and certainly year-to-year is very different. 21 Q. Thank you. Mr. Williams, the Commission received 22 116 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 24 additional 23 written comments. The ballot box survey, for example, 24 contained the following positive comments:

"John Williams was born for this position.

25

intelligent, moral, kind, candid, and adheres to the rule 1 2 of law. He understands public service and private 3 practice. And I honestly cannot think of a more wellrounded and well-liked attorney than John Williams." 4 5 Five of the written comments expressed concerns. 6 The main focus of these concerns was your lack of 7 experience in circuit court. What response would you offer 8 to this concern? 9 Well, if the concern is that I'm 40 years old, Α. 10 there's not much I can do about that. What I can control 11 is what I've done with my time. I certainly don't have forty years of legal experience, but I think that I've had 12 13 a pretty wide breadth of experience in the time that I've 14 had, nearly fifteen years. 15 Like, I don't want to restate what I -- what I've 16 -- my past or my resume, but I have been involved in both 17 general sessions, I've been involved in the magistrate's 18 courts, I've been involved in common pleas and class 19 actions. I mean, it's just the different types of cases 20 21 that I've been exposed to and been a part of is -- I would 22 say is probably above average in breadth of experience. 23 0. Thank you. On to the Citizens Committee report. 24 MS. HALL: I would note that the Lowcountry 25 Citizens Committee report found that Mr. Williams is well

- 1 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 2 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, 3 and judicial temperament, and qualified in the evaluative 4 criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, 5 mental stability, and experience. 6 The committee added, "Very good character. 7 Personable. Varied experience as a county attorney. A 8 good reputation. Not much experience recently in the 9 circuit court." BY MS. HALL: 10 11 Just some housekeeping issues now. Mr. Williams, 0. 12 are you aware that as a judicial candidate, you are bound 13 by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the 14 South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 15 Α. Yes. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 16 0. 17 contacted any members of the Commission about your 18 candidacy? 19 Α. No, I have not. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 20 Q. 21 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
- 24 A. No, I have not.

screening?

22

23

25

Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including

prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

1 the limitations on contacting members of the General 2 Assembly regarding your screening? 3 Yes, I have reviewed that. 4 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 5 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 6 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 7 your behalf? 8 No, I have not. Α. 9 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 10 Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code 11 Section 2-19-70(E)? 12 Α. Yes, I do. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman, I would MS. HALL: 14 note for the record that any concerns raised during the 15 investigation by staff regarding the candidate were 16 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. 17 Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 18 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Do any 19 members of the Commission have questions or comment for Mr. 20 Williams? 21 (Hearing none.) 22 I don't know if this is a CHAIRMAN CASKEY: 23 hold-over from lunch fatigue, or a reflection of what has 24 happened just a few times, which is that questions don't readily present themselves. 25

1	Sometimes questions are I don't know what
2	the right word would be, but generated out of cause for
3	concern. And so the fact that there aren't any questions
4	right now, I don't think you should perceive as a negative.
5	Senator Rankin.
6	SENATOR RANKIN: And not a question but a
7	ditto to that, and more of just a expression of
8	appreciation for your doing it. The question about your
9	age, raised by the anonymous contributor, begets for me
10	and these folks are so tired of my old stuff, Ronald Reagan
11	and Dukakis. And, again, you could have certainly turned
12	that around. You won't hold other folks' old age against
13	them or their age against them.
14	But, anyway, I appreciate you, as a young
15	man offering for this position. And regardless of how it
16	works out, you are on your way. So thank you.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: I appreciate that, Senator.
18	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Anything else?
19	(Hearing none.)
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: So, Mr. Williams, let me
21	also extend my appreciation to you for your flexibility in
22	scheduling. As I noted earlier today, we are in the
23	unusual position of being ahead of schedule, and so your
24	willingness to accommodate that is much appreciated by this
25	commission.

1	And I think we have another 56 candidates to
2	go before we get to the end of our work, so
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, thank you. Because you
4	all you all committing a lot more time to these hearings
5	than I am.
6	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Well, thank you,
7	nonetheless. This does bring us to the end this portion of
8	the screening process. I need to take this moment to
9	remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative
10	criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the
11	spirit as well as the letter of the ethics laws, and that
12	we will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as
13	serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in the
14	screening deliberations.
15	On that note, and as you may know, the
16	record will remain open until the formal release of the
17	report of qualifications, and you may be called back at
18	such time if that need were to arise.
19	Thank you again for being here today. Thank
20	you for offering for service to the State of South
21	Carolina.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
23	members of the committee.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you.
25	(Candidate excused.)

1	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. We will stand
2	at ease for a few minutes. If Ms. Putnam will go and grab
3	our next candidate and the other associated individuals.
4	(A recess was held from 1:40 p.m. to 1:45 p.m.)
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Good afternoon, everyone.
6	We are here to proceed with our screening. Before us, we
7	have retired Chief Justice Jean Toal, who is an applicant
8	for the position of Retired Judge or Justice of the Supreme
9	Court. Madam Chief Justice, if you would please raise your
10	hand.
11	WHEREUPON,
12	THE HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL, being duly
13	sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
14	nothing but the truth.
15	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. There
16	should be some documents in front of you. If you would
17	take a moment to review those, please.
18	JUSTICE TOAL: Yes, sir. I am familiar with
19	both of them.
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Are the personal data
21	questionnaire and the sworn statement before you, the
22	documents that you have submitted to the Commission?
23	JUSTICE TOAL: Yes, they are.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do the need to be updated
25	or changed?

1	JUSTICE TOAL: Not in any way.
2	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Do you have any objection
3	to us entering those into the record with your sworn
4	testimony today?
5	JUSTICE TOAL: I do not.
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 20 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
8	HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL)
9	(EXHIBIT NO. 21 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
10	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE JEAN
11	HOEFER TOAL)
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. As
13	staff does that now, I'll just note that the Judicial Merit
14	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
15	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
16	nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot box
17	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
18	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
19	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a
20	study of previous screenings, and a check for economic
21	conflicts of interest.
22	We have received two affidavits filed in
23	opposition to your election. However, one was dismissed by
24	the Commission pursuant to our rules. One witness is
25	present to testify. And as per our procedure, we will

1	begin with her. And so if you would kindly have a seat
2	there, we will invite her forward.
3	Ms. Meisner, if you would please come to the
4	podium.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: And if you would first
6	raise your right hand.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	RHONDA MEISNER, being duly sworn and
9	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
10	but the truth.
11	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Would you please spell
12	your state your first and last name, and spell your last
13	name for the record.
14	MS. MEISNER: It's Rhonda Meisner. And it's
15	M-e-i-s-n-e-r. That's Mike, echo, India, November, echo,
16	Romeo.
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I think you forgot a
18	Sierra in there.
19	MS. MEISNER: Did I?
20	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: That's all right.
21	MS. MEISNER: Sorry. But good catch.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you. We try. Ms.
23	Meisner, before we being, I just want to take a moment to
24	remind you, that the purpose of our commission is to
25	evaluate the statutory and constitutional qualifications of

1 a candidate along the nine evaluative criteria. 2 We're not here to re-litigate any issue. 3 And we have your complaint, and that is entered to a part -4 - entered into the record already. And so what is most 5 useful for us as a commission who is going to ultimately 6 generate a report to the General Assembly, is information 7 that you can provide that is additive to what we already 8 have; that is, your complaint we've already entered into 9 the record. 10 And so while I understand that some factual 11 foundation is necessarily for us to understand your 12 complaint, it's not necessary to go into everything. And I 13 certainly don't want to be rude or -- in any way, and not 14 show full appreciation for your commitment to helping the 15 state understand your views on the candidate. 16 But if we start to go too far, one way or 17 the other, I may from time to time need to step in and help 18 quide us back to our purpose and mission which is, again, 19 the assessment of the candidate vis a vis those nine 20 evaluative criteria. Is that clear as mud? 21 MS. MEISNER: I believe I've understood what 22 you were saying. 23 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: All right. Thank you, 24 ma'am. We'd be happy to hear from you. I'm sorry, let me 25 recognize Ms. Webb first.

1	MS. WEBB: Good afternoon, Ms. Meisner. Ms.
2	Meisner, the Commission has before it, your affidavit of
3	complaint, which I respectfully have requested be made part
4	of the record at this time. Which Lindi is handing to the
5	court reporter.
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 22 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION WITNESS AFFIDAVIT OF RHONDA MEISNER)
8	MS. WEBB: And just for your information,
9	your home address has been stricken from that, so it will
10	not be included in that report.
11	MS. MEISNER: Okay. Good. Thank you.
12	MS. WEBB: Yes, ma'am. The purpose of
13	today's hearing is for the Commission to review the
14	qualifications of the candidates, to determine if Justice
15	Toal is qualified to serve as an active retired judge.
16	And you have been told, the Commission is
17	not here to re-litigate a case, and they do not have the
18	ability to change the results of your case.
19	Ms. Meisner, you believe submitted your
20	affidavit, which the Commission members have before them,
21	and have reviewed prior to this hearing. Is there anything
22	else that you wish to testify to, specifically regarding
23	Justice Toal's ethics, competency or character, that has
24	not already been covered in the documents before the
25	Commission?

1	MS. MEISNER: Well, I do feel like that I
2	need to give some background. And I think that I I
3	would like to give some additional information, absolutely.
4	MS. WEBB: Okay. You may go ahead and do
5	so.
6	MS. MEISNER: First of all, I'm sure on the
7	face of it, to question the qualifications of the former
8	Chief Justice of the South Carolina Supreme Court, seems
9	ridiculous.
10	And I have testified against another judge
11	before this committee, which I appreciated the fact that
12	y'all were here, still, at 8:00 or 9:00 whatever time it
13	was that you took the testimony.
14	But power corrupts. Absolute power corrupts
15	absolutely. And we have a corruption problem in South
16	Carolina. I know that some of the legislator
17	legislators are trying to get things together. But I'm
18	going to give you a simple illustration that happened to
19	me, yesterday.
20	I was driving down the road, I almost got
21	into the intersection, and the car that was at the
22	intersection kind of gave me a funny look. And then she
23	realized that I was a friend. And she was like, "Oh,
24	sorry."
25	But I had a birthday cake for another friend

1 in the car. Initially, she was very angry about my slight 2 mistake, and wanted to reprimand me. But once she realized 3 that I was someone that she was familiar with, she 4 understood that, that wasn't a problem. 5 The courtroom is not a place where pandering 6 to power or looking at things from the venue of "I know 7 these people. These people are the right people." 8 It is my understanding of the rules -- and I 9 am -- I do want to put this on the record, because I feel 10 like it's important to have the recording. But there is 11 still an outstanding motion from January the 11th, that has 12 not been ruled on. It's a Rule 60(b) motion. 13 That motion was put in the mailbox -- or 14 purportedly put in the mailbox for Justice Toal. And the 15 issue is this: It was -- it was assigned to another judge, only like -- I think in June or July of this year. And I 16 17 said, "Well, you know, you can't hear that. That has to go 18 before Justice Toal." 19 There's a significant backdoor scheduling of 20 hearings, scheduling of motions, scheduling of all kinds of 21 Those things are part of the judicial corruption 22 that I see in South Carolina. I am not saying that Justice 23 Toal is part of that. But I was told --24 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Meisner, if I could 25 just interrupt you. I can appreciate your concerns about

1 systemic-level issues. I don't support or deny those 2 allegations. 3 The purpose of our proceeding today, though, 4 is to evaluate, specifically, Chief Justice Toal. And I 5 would just ask that if you could tailor your remarks to, 6 specifically, the conduct that you allege Chief Justice 7 Toal has undertaken that is violative of the qualifications 8 standards, if you would. 9 I was told that I would MS. MEISNER: Yes. 10 be able to give a background and some information about the 11 basic -- my basic complaints with the system. 12 apologize if I did not do exactly what I was supposed to 13 do. 14 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. Again, if 15 there is a background relative to the specific allegations 16 or complaints of Chief Justice Toal, that is where some 17 latitude is certainly available. But to wax poetic about 18 system-wide practices is for another -- another venue. 19 MS. MEISNER: Okay. In any event, related 20 to this particular interchange, I was -- I was informed 21 that the court -- actually, one of the court members --22 Athena is her name. I don't know her last name. She is 23 the one who picks the cases for Justice Toal to hear. 24 believe that to be unconstitutional because --25 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman,

1	she's again going off topic. And that's also in her
2	complaint. So what she's saying now, it's also in her
3	written matters, so it doesn't need to be gone back over
4	now.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Okay. Ms. Meisner, I'll
6	try again. I want to give you the full opportunity to be
7	heard. And I know this is difficult to do, and I don't
8	envy your position, because it's not easy to come into a
9	room like this and stand at a podium and do all of this,
10	with folks looking on at you.
11	So I'm happy to extend the grace necessary
12	to get there, but I need you to be headed straight to what
13	Chief Justice Toal has done, or not done, that is again
14	violative of our constitutional and statutory requirements
15	for being a judge in this state.
16	MS. MEISNER: And, again, during the
17	explanation in my interchange with Justice Toal, when we
18	entered the room, she called my name.
19	And I said, "Yes, Chief Justice."
20	And she said, "What did you say?"
21	And I said, "I said, 'Yes, Chief Justice.'"
22	And so I immediately proceeded up to the podium. It was my
23	motion and I was going to tell her that the other side had
24	not they had not served their notice of the motion
25	hearing, and that I didn't feel like their motion was

1 properly before it. 2 But she said, "What is this all about?" 3 So I started to proceed to tell her. And 4 she said, "What? What are you talking about? I don't 5 understand what you're saying." And then she immediately, without me being 6 7 able to explain, although the complaint was right there 8 before her, turn to the defendants -- and it's a very 9 powerful law firm here, Moore Bradley Myers -- and said, 10 "Tell me what she's talking about." 11 Now, it is -- it is clear the Chief Justice 12 of the South Carolina Supreme Court can understand what --13 what I am saying. I don't think that I am unclear. 14 don't think that I do not have the ability to speak. 15 But in several -- at least two occasions. 16 she either did not hear me, or pretended not to hear me. Ι 17 don't know which. That's not my call. But in any event, 18 it was in -- in my opinion, it was a clear indication that 19 she didn't want a record of what was going on. And it was summarily dismissed, despite the 20 21 fact that I felt like everything in the complaint was 22 legitimate under South Carolina law, and as a minority --23 South Carolina accepts the minority view that this case 24 could have been brought in circuit court. 25 So I felt like that I was not heard. And I

1 think that under the canons of ethics, I should have been 2 able to present my case and tell the Court what this case 3 was about. 4 They had a soliloguy -- the defendant 5 attorneys had a soliloguy about me, personally, and -- you 6 know, that I was litigious, that I was this. They had 7 several things that they were going over. And I objected. 8 And I said, you know, Justice Toal -- or 9 "Your Honor," I said, "This is very similar and akin to the 10 Alex Murdaugh case." 11 Which, you know, it -- ten months later, or however many months later we are -- almost a year later, 12 13 he's getting a new trial based on irregularities in the 14 jury poling positions. 15 But my -- my analogy was that there is 16 corruption within the system that is -- that is leading 17 these judges to not have the full picture and -- and, 18 basically, falling to their friends for -- for the 19 adjudication of issues. That can't be the way South 20 Carolina works. 21 We can't have a state where -- whether 22 you're an attorney or not, when you come in you should have 23 a fair hearing. So I think that, that was an issue. 24 The real issue is during the hearing, after 25 I made the analogy with Justice Toal -- which by the way,

1 FITSNews has made that -- that very same analogy that I 2 made -- she said, "You shut your mouth" or "you hush your 3 mouth." 4 I can't remember if it was "shut" or "hush." 5 I think that it is okay to make an analogy of something 6 that is germane in your case related to another case that 7 is being adjudicated where an attorney has been found, 8 basically, doing misdeeds. 9 And whether he is guilty or innocent -- at 10 the end of the day, of course, that's not my call. Then 11 the jury's call. An attorney can still be -- just because 12 they're at another does not make them ethical, it does not 13 mean they don't lie. It doesn't mean that they don't try 14 to take advantage of people. It doesn't mean all these 15 things. One only needs to look at the Supreme Court 16 reprimands to know that, that is the case. 17 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Sorry to interrupt you. 18 But I would agree, wholeheartedly, with those last couple 19 of statements about qualification or licensure as a lawyer 20 doesn't make -- doesn't make you any of those thing, 21 certainly. So I'm not challenging you there. 22 I am, though, going to point you back 23 towards the purpose of this commission. And I think your 24 comments about your exchange with the Chief Justice are 25 instructive and useful for us to evaluate.

1 And so if you can focus there, we would be happy to continue to hear from you for a bit. If we're 2 3 going to, you know, talk about broader -- broader 4 observations, the we'll probably have to end the 5 discussion. 6 Okay. So in the same hearing MS. MEISNER: 7 as -- as I said, Justice Toal said she could not hear or 8 understand my position. I believe I'm capable of 9 explaining my position and I -- and I -- and I think that 10 the main issue that it is my understanding that -- that 11 this position -- I don't know if it's a part-time position 12 or a full-time position that is being put forward. 13 But certainly, Justice Toal is -- is -- is 14 not working full-time in that capacity. And we have a huge 15 backlog of cases, so I feel like that this needs to be used 16 for the other thing. 17 In conclusion -- because obviously, you 18 know, when I -- when I leave, I know that people are going 19 to say, "Oh, everything is great." I did not know that 20 there was another complaint. If it was just missed, that's 21 one thing. 22 But I think it is important that -- justice 23 can be blind but it cannot be -- it cannot be unequal in its administration. And I believe that -- that my ability 24 25 to have that hearing was -- was unfair.

1	So and I just I just would encourage
2	anybody that's watching this on the review, to look at my
3	complete complaint.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am. And let me
5	just take a moment to thank you for making the time to
6	participate in this process. I think it's invaluable to
7	have citizens, whether a lay-citizen or a lawyer-citizen,
8	to participate and help this commission to understand the
9	candidates that we're screening.
10	So, you know, the time that you've taken to
11	prepare these documents, and then be here today to share
12	your perspective, is useful. And I appreciate that. So
13	thank you for being with us.
14	MS. MEISNER: Thank you. If I could say one
15	more thing on a broader a broader scale.
16	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: About ten seconds-worth is
17	what we'll do. How about that?
18	MS. MEISNER: Ten seconds. Okay. If it's
19	at all possible, I do believe that y'all should vote to
20	have the judges put back on the ballot for South Carolina,
21	and have the people vote for the judges.
22	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. I
23	appreciate that.
24	MS. MEISNER: Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you for being here.

1	Ms. Webb.
2	MS. WEBB: Justice Toal, if you'll now come
3	forward. Good afternoon, Justice Toal.
4	JUSTICE TOAL: Good afternoon.
5	MS. WEBB: All right. Justice Toal, you
6	have provided the Commission with a written response to the
7	complaint, which the Commission members have before them,
8	and have reviewed prior to this hearing. Is it your intent
9	to have this published in the record?
10	JUSTICE TOAL: Yes, it is.
11	MS. WEBB: Perfect. I believe Lindi is
12	handing a copy to the court reporter.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 23 - WRITTEN AFFIDAVIT OF THE
14	HONORABLE JEAN HOEFER TOAL)
15	MS. WEBB: And in order for us to do that, I
16	believe we need to move to go into
17	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: It's in.
18	MS. WEBB: All right. Perfect. Justice
19	Toal, in addition to what we just submitted into the
20	record, with your written response, is there anything else
21	right now that you would like to add or address for
22	Commission members regarding this complaint?
23	JUSTICE TOAL: Sure. A couple of things.
24	First of all, I know how emotional and fraught family court
25	matters can be. And this is a very clear example of how

1 fraught and emotional this particular domestic litigation 2 has become. 3 At the time I conducted this hearing, 4 December the 2nd of last year, this matter had been in the 5 family court for several years. A three-week trial had 6 been held, and another week was scheduled to be held. 7 I can't conceive of that. I would -- I 8 don't try asbestos cases for three weeks. But that's one 9 of the things that happens frequently, unfortunately, in 10 family court. And it is exacerbated sometimes by the 11 emotion, and often the pro se involvement on one or more 12 parties in the matter. 13 In this particular case, Ms. Meisner brought 14 a suit in the circuit court. And that suit was against her 15 husband, against his lawyers, against a expert he had hired 16 to deal with an allegation about his cognitive situation, 17 the lawyer for the expert, the guardian ad litem, the 18 lawyer for the quardian ad litem, the process server --19 just about anybody you could have involved in the thing. 20 In addition to that, she had filed a complaint against the 21 judge who heard the case, and still has the case, Rosalyn 22 Frierson. 23 So this was a very fraught situation that 24 I explained in the submission I made to then came to me. 25 you, that I don't pick, nor does the clerk's office of

1 Richland County pick, any docket for a judge in senior 2 service, which is what I'm applying to have renewed. 3 Judges in senior service serve only by 4 appointment of the Chief Justice. That's in my 5 constitution. So only the Chief can assign a senior judge 6 to any activity. And when that judge is assigned, it is by 7 a formal order of the Chief assigning the judge to a 8 specific treatment. 9 In the case of this non-jury term, which 10 included on it at least ten different motions dealing with 11 Ms. Meisner's suit, and about seventy other non-jury 12 matters of various sorts -- a big docket -- we were at that 13 time short-handed of our regular judges in Richland County, 14 due to the imminent retirement of Judge Manning, due to the 15 vetting of DeAndrea Benjamin for a seat on the 4th Circuit, 16 to which she was ultimately appointed, and due to a heavy 17 criminal docket by Judge Newman in the Murdaugh case, and 18 Judge Hood in cases in Richland County, and the imminent 19 retirement of Allison Lee. 20 So these big motion calendars were sitting 21 They are generally done by the chief unattended. 22 administrative judge for common pleas. I was asked if I 23 could help out, and I said, "Yes, I will if the -- if the 24 Chief wants to appointment me." 25 The Chief Justice appointed me, and I then

1 was given a docket the day I came to court. I quickly got 2 my computer working. And as I began to go through these 3 motions, I looked on my computer at filings and tried to 4 speed-read and get myself up to snuff about the matters I 5 was hearing. 6 This particular matter took over an hour to 7 hear. And it was just one of a whole huge bunch of cases. 8 I think I gave it the fairness it dictates. 9 There is a recording of the hearing. I have 10 listened to it, after having been supplied a link to it by 11 the court reporter who took the -- this docket. So when I 12 tell you what I think went on -- what I know went on, it is 13 with that in mind, and with a examination of the common 14 pleas file in this matter. 15 The first thing that Ms. Meisner covered in 16 her testimony, today, was a complaint that she has a motion 17 filed January the 11th, 2023, that (a) I should have heard, 18 and (b) has been assigned to another judge, and (c) has 19 some kind of backdoor assignment process at play that 20 involves the clerk's office. 21 Number one, I have nothing to do with 22 assignments of the motions calender. 23 Number two, The clerk's office has nothing 24 to do with the assignment of any judge. That is all done 25 by the Chief Justice.

1 Number three, The motion to alter or amend my records -- and this is from the common pleas records --2 3 show that on December the 2nd, I heard these matters. 4 I signed a Form 4R that was prepared at the -- by the clerk 5 as I dictated to. 6 I rule from the bench on everything, and I 7 file orders immediately. And if there's a need for any 8 supplement, then I do that. But I -- when I leave court 9 when you have a matter in front of me, I don't care what it 10 is, I will have ruled. I just think that's the way you've 11 got to do it, if you're a fill-in judge like I am. 12 So I signed a Form 4. And the Form 4 really 13 helped Ms. Meisner out. She won't see it that way. 14 she filed an absolutely baseless lawsuit involving claims 15 of defamation, abuse of process, fraud, and all kinds of 16 other things against all these parties that I had named, 17 that are participants in her family court litigation. 18 Of course they all had to hire lawyers, they 19 all had to notify their carriers that they'd been sued, so it was quite a serious matter from the standpoint of these 20 21 defendants know this matter. 22 They then filed counterclaims, very serious 23 counterclaims. And so the motions docket I had was motions 24 to dismiss their -- the suit -- her suit and her motions 25 with regard to their counterclaims.

1 I dismissed the entire group after hearing from her, very meticulously, on each of the causes that we 2 3 were talking about. 4 She did give an explanation to begin with. 5 I couldn't make heads nor tails of it. It was kind of a 6 rambling thing about how terrible family court is and how 7 corrupt things are and so forth. 8 I couldn't make much sense of it. So I did 9 turn to the defense lawyers and say -- I asked her for a 10 thumbnail sketch -- I asked you, "Now give me a thumbnail 11 sketch and tell me what this is all about." 12 I got that settled. And then I went through 13 And in each instance, I called on her to discuss the 14 I called on the other side to respond, and then I matter. 15 And at the end, I dismissed as non-meritorious, 16 every one of her claims. 17 There is a statute that would have allowed 18 them to pursue costs just on the basis of bringing a nonmeritorious lawsuit, in addition to the claims they had by 19 20 way of counterclaim. 21 But I looked at the defense lawyers, and I 22 said, "Can I move further and bring this matter to an end?" 23 -- or words to that effect. And one by one they withdrew, 24 or let me put on the record "moot" their counterclaims. 25 That was a big favor to her, because it ended the whole

1 thing. She then filed, according to my records, a 2 3 motion for -- to amend or alter my first writing, which was 4 December the 15th and my December 20th Form 4 orders. 5 on January the 10th, 2023, at 9:57 a.m., an order was filed 6 denying all those motions to alter or amend. She may have filed another motion to alter 7 8 or amend, I don't know. But you can't keep filing them. 9 Once you -- once you rule, that's the end of it. 10 I don't know whether she's worried about her 11 time to appeal has expired, and she hadn't done anything and she's trying to revive again this way. All I can tell 12 13 you is I've gotten nothing else. 14 I rule on everything that comes to me, very 15 promptly, to try to get things and keep them moving. So 16 that is the situation. I will assure you, on the oath that I take 17 18 as seriously as anything in my life, that I've given to you 19 to tell the truth; that there is nothing on that tape that approximates in any way, "shut up" or "hush up," or 20 21 anything of that nature. 22 I don't say that to litigants. I did push 23 her along. I was quite firm in my rulings. I was trying 24 to move this thing along, in light of all the other things

that we had to deal with that day.

25

1 The courtroom was quite crowded. 2 when she initially answered the call of the case, way back 3 in the audience, I told her to come up, unmask, and speak 4 at the microphones. 5 Yes, I do have a hearing deficit. And it's not the result of being 80. In my youth there were a 6 7 limited amount of medications that were given to young 8 people who suffered from sinus, throat, or pulmonary 9 infections. And it was generally penicillin shots. 10 I suffered from those things, terribly, as a 11 young child, and was given heavy doses of penicillin, very 12 frequently, to try to arrest these infections. 13 Unfortunately, that was about what was 14 available at that time in the late 40s and early 50s. Ιt 15 does -- the negative is it will attack a cranial nerve in 16 some people. And by the time I was 30, I had profound 17 hearing loss in certain hearing levels, and began to wear a 18 hearing aide. 19 Thank God, the day of the old bell tone that 20 you stuck in your pocket -- and that wasn't easy for a girl 21 to do, since you don't usually wear that kind of clothing -22 - has now been replaced by wonderfully-digitized bilateral 23 hearing aides. 24 I've worn hearing aides for fifty years. 25 And it has not compromised my ability to be a trial lawyer,

1 an appellate lawyer, a legislator or a judge, I don't 2 think. So I don't think anything I did that day was a 3 result of my hearing her. 4 I'm sorry, deeply sorry, that she is so 5 poisoned by the awful experience that the breakup of her 6 marriage, and all the other things that are happening in 7 that domain has caused her in terms of this other 8 litigation. 9 It did come out in circuit court that she 10 has been found a vexatious litigator by Cameron Currie in 11 the United States District Court for the District of South 12 Carolina. And the sanctions there are more severe than 13 they are if you are found to be that way in my court. 14 In South Carolina, you can bring a vexatious 15 litigator to court. But fortunately, I think the way I 16 presided, and the swift -- swiftness with which I disposed 17 of the matters in front of me, persuaded the lawyers that 18 it was best to move the thing along and drop their 19 counterclaims. 20 And I think that was an outcome that favored 21 Ms. Meisner. I know she doesn't see it that way. And my 22 heart breaks for somebody that has just been so frustrated 23 by the system. 24 I apologize if my brusk tone offended Ms. 25 Meisner. She is entitled to complete and full

1 consideration, as is everyone who appears before me in 2 court. And if she ever appears before me again, I will 3 assure you that this matter will not be any part of what I 4 think about -- if I consider anything that's before me. 5 That said, I think that tells you about what 6 I can tell you about the complaint. Let me just say this, 7 before any further questions: 8 It has been a wonderful pleasure, since my 9 retirement, to serve in senior active status. I mostly do 10 circuit work. I do a lot of gnarly complex litigation that 11 the Chief gives me, to try to straighten out as best I can. 12 I've done everything from the Santee Cooper 13 and Dominion/SCE&G rate cases, some of which I still have a 14 responsibility, the complex asbestos litigation, and many 15 other things from the Freedom of Information Act to 16 criminal trials to -- I've done a little bit of everything. 17 And I've also sat several times with my old 18 court, which has been a great pleasure in my life too. 19 this has been a treat for me. 20 It's not a full-time job. We did not -- you 21 know, you can't pay the senior active judge any more than 22 the difference between what they make in retirement. 23 I'm one that maxed-out at past 32 years. So I already make 24 as much as you can make in retirement. 25 All I can get is the difference between what

1	I make in retirement and what an active judge would make.
2	And that is very narrow in my case.
3	So I don't do it for the money. Nobody that
4	does senior service does it for the money. They do it
5	because they want to be of service.
6	I serve a lot more than the two weeks a
7	month I would need to serve to qualify as a full-time
8	senior active. I probably, every day, deal in some measure
9	through my law clerks, or through my iPad and my laptop,
10	with matters pertaining to the cases that I am
11	administering or trying. And I do it because I love it.
12	I'm a law geek. And a lot of these cases
13	that involve complex insurance questions, and other things
14	that most judges would love to not ever have to touch, are
15	the kind of things I love to look at and that I've got a
16	lot of experience with.
17	So that helps and is something that the
18	Chief the Chief maybe can count is having somebody
19	that's at least got the benefit of a lot of experience.
20	So thanks for the opportunity to tell my
21	story. Macey, or Mr. Chairman, any further questions?
22	MS. WEBB: Thank you, Justice Toal. Mr.
23	Chairman, I have no further questions.
24	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. And
25	thank you, Madam Chief Justice. Do any members of the

1	Commission have any questions for the applicant as it
2	relates to the complaint?
3	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: I've got a
4	comment.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Mr. Rutherford.
6	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Thank you,
7	Chief, for coming in. And I remember, last December in
8	Richland County, and how backed up the county was. And I
9	don't believe that my comments would make any difference to
10	the complainant, but I know that you were simply brought in
11	to hear the excess of matters that were pending; that the
12	clerk's office could not have selected anything because
13	there was too much to select; that you sitting there was
14	simply because had you not, it would have all those
15	would have been pending, probably still to this day.
16	So I know that people think that everything
17	about her system is corrupt. But that that placement of
18	her lawsuit in front of you, certainly had nothing to with
19	your request or the clerk's office figuring that they could
20	somehow decide the outcome of her motion by placing it in
21	front of you.
22	And I simply know that because I practice in
23	Richland County. And unless the complainant believe that
24	this is just for you to show up, for us to pat you on your
25	back and send you on your way, and ignore complaints I

know that you remember, 'cause you reminded me of it three 1 2 times, that the last time you ran for Chief Justice, I 3 voted against you. 4 And so this is not simply a fan club for 5 Chief Justice Toal, or for judges that appear in front of 6 us. 7 As I said, you reminded me that I voted 8 against you. And I did. And so she should not feel, nor 9 any complainant feel, like this just a place for them to 10 show up but we're going to just ignore them. 11 I've read the complaint. I heard what she 12 And without you responding, I can tell anybody that 13 is listening -- the four people or six people that may read 14 this -- that, that's simply not how courts work. And it's 15 simply not how you would work in an active retired status. 16 So again, I want to thank you for being 17 here. 18 I also want to add my two cents, that what's 19 troubling to me, if you were an architect, a doctor, an 20 engineer, there is no way you would be standing, having to 21 answer for something that you did back in December. 22 somehow our professional has made it so that even those who 23 have reached the pinnacle of our profession still have to 24 explain themselves and explain things that they've done, 25 because we believe as a profession, seemingly, that it's

1 okay to make ourselves targets. 2 And you used the term "vexatious litigator." 3 We have all seen it. And it instills a fear in all of us, 4 that we're all of a sudden going to be on the end of a 5 lawsuit. 6 We ought to be better than that. 7 process ought to somehow be able to take judges away from 8 those that simply have a gripe, and get a chance to air 9 their gripes any time they see fit. 10 And, again, you don't have to agree with me. 11 But we get to the point where as lawyers we seem to take great pride in holding ourselves in such low esteem, that 12 13 we allow people to throw rocks at us repeatedly. 14 And I for one am bothered by it. I for one

am bothered by it. I for one am bothered by it. I for one am bothered by the fact that you had to spend thirty minutes explaining yourself to a number of people that understood it from the outset. And so I don't know what else to do about it, except to apologize to someone who doesn't need my apology.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You've certainly been doing this long enough, and all of us can sit here and listen talk for the next two hours, with all that you've learned and all that you could teach us. And none of my colleagues want to hear me talk, but I just think we get to the point we should be beyond people throwing rocks at us and having to feel like

1 we have to explain ourselves in situations where it simply 2 should not be necessary. 3 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Meisner, I want to 5 recognize that you have your hand in the air. It's not 6 that I don't see you, but our rules preclude us from any 7 sort of back and forth. 8 You had an opportunity to submit the 9 complaint. We have that in the record. You had an 10 opportunity to speak. We now have that and have that in 11 the record. 12 The Chief Justice had an opportunity to 13 submit a response to your complaint, and she's done that 14 and it's now in the record. She's had an opportunity to 15 respond, orally, to the complaint. She has done that. 16 And so our rules operate such that now, where there are no other witnesses to hear from, we will 17 18 move on with the balance of our screening process. 19 But I do pause before we get to that, to 20 take a moment to perhaps just repeat some of what 21 Representative Rutherford said; and that is, that we 22 appreciate that you have taken advantage of the 23 opportunities to be heard. 24 And there won't be anything to see, today, 25 with respect to our ultimate decisions. So that -- there

1 is no resolution that will be available for you today. 2 all I can offer is, again, my thanks to you as a citizen 3 for participating in the process. 4 And regardless of the outcome, I hope that 5 you know that, that is true. And if it does not work out 6 the way that you would want, I could understand your 7 frustration with that, but hope that you will understand 8 that reasonable minds can sometimes differ in our 9 conclusions. And if that were not the case, we wouldn't 10 have need for courts or judges at all. 11 So thank you, ma'am. At this point, I'll 12 recognize Ms. Webb, again, for some questions to the Chief 13 Justice. 14 MS. WEBB: Thank you. 15 EXAMINATION BY MS. WEBB: Once again, Justice Toal, you are being screened 16 0. for reappointment as a retired judge on the circuit court 17 18 bench. Why do you want to continue serving as a retired 19 circuit court judge? I think I probably, in the preparatory comments I 20 Α. 21 made to your inquiry, explained myself pretty well. 22 just to sum it up, I enjoy it very much. And I feel like 23 I'm doing some good in helping out. 24 And it also puts me in the position now, as 25 mostly doing circuit work, that I can respond to inquiries

from my fellow circuit court judges. Which I couldn't do
as a member of the appellate court, but which I can now do.

And that's been a great joy to be able to have
inquiries made and point them in the right direction,
research-wise, to some of the questions they have about the

6 cases that they hear.

- Q. Thank you. And Justice Toal, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I think it's high. I think the vast -- that you're not going to ever please everybody. And there will be those, particularly in some of the very litigious dockets that I handle, who are not pleased with my rulings.

But, you know, I wouldn't have a job for 27 years if circuit court judges were always right. And we've got an appellate court system, and they look over my shoulder, as they should, so my miscues can be corrected.

But I think from what I know of the attitude of my fellow lawyers and my fellow judges, my reputation is a very favorable one.

Q. Thank you. And, Justice Toal, the Commission received 1,082 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 119 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following numerous, numerous positive comments about you:

"A great personality and excellent judge. We are fortunate to have Justice Toal's continued service to our state. One of South Carolina's finest jurists in every relevant aspect. Justice Toal quickly moves the docket and reads every motion and document that comes before her. And Justice Toal still has the best legal mind and is a -- and is a -- and is a bright example of female leadership, as she continues to encourage female attorneys and judges."

And while the vast majority of all of those comments were all very, very positive and everyone was very appreciative of your continued service to the state, there were 34 written comments that expressed concerns.

One concern indicated that you can be rude toward attorneys and parties when taking the bench. What respond would you offer to this concern?

A. I can be brusk sometimes. And I accept that criticism as one that I need to be mindful of. I have very large dockets and I have -- the reason I can move as many cases that I do is because I have huge pretrial conferences, of which I may hear as much as 70 motions.

My front doorstep is full of reams and reams of paper, boxes upon boxes, which I sit and I read every single one of them. So, yes, I try to move the docket along. If things linger and slow down, I try to push them forward. But I think that's a valid criticism and worth my

1 taking note of.

- Q. Thank you. And other concerns were around how you have handled the asbestos docket, and that you have shown favoritism towards the plaintiffs. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. I don't think I favor any side at all. I try to call them like I see them. But again, the beauty of that is there is a higher court if I'm wrong. So far, not one of my asbestos rulings has been reversed. And I've had many go up.

But that -- that's not to say I'm right all the time. And, you know, when they decide I'm wrong, they're going to tell me. Then I'll follow what they say.

Q. Thank you, Justice Toal.

MS. WEBB: I would note that the committee found Justice Toal qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability. The committee found her well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament.

The committee stated in summary, "No question about her qualifications."

I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding the

1 candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the 2 candidate today. And, Mr. Chairman, I have no further 3 questions. 4 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. То 5 members of the Commission have questions or comment for 6 Chief Justice Toal? Chairman Rankin. SENATOR RANKIN: I will be as brief as I 7 8 And I want to tell you how much I appreciate you, 9 with your age, which I'm shocked to hear, given the energy 10 level that you have brought to this third branch of 11 government for so long and so well. 12 Not always pleasing to me, perhaps. 13 that ain't what you were elected to do, to please me or 14 anyone, but to please the system. And so your age is very 15 close to mine. 16 And the term "legacy" is what these senior positions ought to be, a legacy judge in that -- not that 17 18 you're creating one, but that you have already created it. 19 And you have earned your stripes because of the legacy that 20 you have created. And I am just tickled with the fairness. 21 And the litigant -- the complainant has 22 chosen to leave the building, which is fine. But it is 23 totally unfair that you would get brandished by a complaint 24 covered by a quasi-news source and they could give a rat's 25 ass to hear your explanation.

1 And so that ain't -- we can't change that. 2 That's unfair. But there will be a record here, I suspect, 3 that will overwhelming affirm your handling of that 4 complaint. 5 So I just -- I'm proud of you. You don't 6 need my pride. And you don't need the comments from those 7 who have commented extensively about you in terms of your 8 fairness, your tact, but perhaps your firm fist, with a 9 silk glove, that you've brought to the court for so long. 10 So kudos to you. And that is not a question. That is a 11 statement. 12 I have a question, though. Because you are 13 of great source to us in terms of the history of the very 14 body that you are presenting your candidacy for 15 reappointment to. 16 Chief Justice, how did we get here? How is it that the JMSC, and this process that fields complaints, 17 18 that vets the candidates through multiple stages, how did 19 we get here? And what can you tell us about its merits, or 20 whether we need to get rid of it and go to public 21 elections, as we heard from your complainant here? 22 JUSTICE TOAL: Well, you know the 23 foundation, of course, is election by joint assembly of the 24 General Assembly. And we've had that system since we were, 25 finally by the Crown, allowed to appoint judges during

1 Colonial times by the Colonial House Assembly. 2 That was a reaction to the Royal Crown 3 judges who had been appointed, mostly people who couldn't 4 make it for the English ends of court, and appointed as 5 judges over here. 6 The Colonists were very dissatisfied with 7 that quality of justice, and wanted some of their own who 8 had been trained in England as lawyers, and then come back 9 to practice in the Colonies. 10 And so we began with a system that was 11 founded on selection by the Commons House, and now the General Assembly. Only Virginia now has that system. 12 13 But when judicial reform came in, in the 14 70s, was when I came to the General Assembly, and I was 15 fortunate enough to be able to floor-lead a lot of the 16 implementing legislation. 17 The first thing was to do away with the 18 hodgepodge system of county courts, and unify the whole 19 We did that with the creation of a family court 20 that subsumed those county courts. 21 And we still had the big dilemma that, that 22 means a whole lot of people for the General Assembly to select. And many more, now that the system is so expanded 23 24 with so many more judges. 25 So we came up with the idea that we ought to

1 have some screening process. And we developed a screening 2 commission by statute to start the process of vetting the 3 candidates so that you wouldn't just have this free-for-all 4 with people soliciting your votes and you don't know who 5 they are or what they are, and don't have any real 6 organized way of finding out what their qualifications are. 7 That screening process worked. It had 8 modifications. One of the big modifications came when the 9 screening committee was finally put in the constitution. I 10 remember that well because by that time I was Chief, and I 11 wanted the Court of Appeals put in the constitution so it would be protected as a body instead of just being a 12 13 creation of the legislature. That was done. 14 But I went to Chairman McConnell, and I 15 said, "Senator, we really need protection for this vetting process as well, so that it can't just be thrown out 16 17 because of a simple majority vote." 18 So the screening process itself was then put 19 in the constitution, and certain refinements were made 20 about how many could be reported out -- now it's three --21 and so forth. I'm sure there will be more evolutions, but 22 the basic structure was placed in the constitution in order 23 to protect the system itself from emotional modification 24 based on the particular politics of the moment. 25 And so you've got a wonderful ability, now,

1 to take a long-term look at where you want the judiciary to 2 go and how you want judicial reform to go. But a wiser 3 person than me said, at the beginning of your -- this 4 year's session of screening, my dear friend, John 5 Kittredge, "Don't throw the baby out with the bath water." 6 I think he said that when he testified 7 earlier before you. He'll be a great leader and a great 8 source of advice. But the idea of a system that doesn't 9 just change at the moment, based on the whims of the 10 moment, is a good thing. 11 The idea of a system that is transparent 12 enough for people to trust is the heart and soul of why we 13 have a screening process, like the one you are now involved 14 in. 15 You are the focal point in my view. don't resent one bit the requirement and the responsibility 16 17 of having to come and stand before you and be questioned. 18 That's what all judges should have to have done, and on a 19 regular basis. 20 We put seniors in this -- it used to just be 21 something the Chief could just appoint seniors from off the 22 bench. And we put them in front of the screening process 23 that there'd be kind of a neutral observer of whether they 24 still got what it takes or past the expiration date. 25 So y'all got a little responsibility for my

1 path, too, to be sure that, that's okay. But this is good, 2 decent, extremely important work that goes to the very 3 heart of governmental stability for South Carolina. 4 bless your effort. 5 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the 6 Commission? Mr. Strom. 7 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Chief, 8 I just wanted to ask you, during your experience -- and 9 obviously you were a Chief Justice a long time, you served 10 with other Chief Justices around the country, you heard 11 about their problems with public election and that kind of 12 thing. 13 When I was a U.S. Attorney, you had -- my 14 experience was there's a lot of public corruption all over 15 the country with publicly-elected judges. Did you hear of a better way to do this? Or do you have any suggestions 16 17 for the General Assembly, as the retired Chief Justice, of changes that would be made? Or do you think the system is 18 19 working pretty well? 20 JUSTICE TOAL: Well, I think public -- I 21 think public confidence is so important. And that's why I 22 think taking a fresh look, always, at how our system works 23 and what can be done to improve it needs to be done. And I think that will be done. So I don't 24 25 think that we should just simply sit on our laurels and say

1 we got a system that works great. 2 I will say I chaired the Conference of Chief 3 Justices, and was a member of the conference for about as 4 long as anybody who served, and we talked a lot about 5 methods of judicial selection. And there are a lot of 6 variations on a theme. 7 But popular election is a mighty tough way 8 to select judges, because it is so money-driven in every 9 state that has it, and so subject to the emotional whims of 10 public sentiment. And that's -- that's the negative. 11 I was popularly elected for years as a 12 member of the House of Representatives. I don't see 13 anything wrong with popular election. And I don't think 14 everybody that popularly elected is subject to the 15 political whims. 16 I think we all stand on our own two feet and 17 vote as we think we should when we are popularly elected. 18 But a judge situation is different. And I think our system 19 of selection, as well as vetting, is an extremely good part 20 of it. 21 Should other components be added to it? Should other participants be added to it? That's for the 22 23 General Assembly to decide. 'Cause there are a lot of variations on a theme. 24

But always take a fresh look. But as John

25

1 Kittredge says, "Don't throw the baby out with the bath 2 water." 3 CHAIRMAN CASKEY: I'm sure there are other 4 commissioners with questions. And with respect to my other 5 commissioners, I feel a certain responsibility, having had 6 tried to instruct Ms. Meisner in all the complaints that 7 we've had, to keep our comments and focus on the evaluative 8 criteria of the candidate; that there are opportunities to 9 have a more full discussion about opportunities for reform. 10 And on behalf of Speaker Pro Tempore Pope, I 11 will invite you to the House Ad Hoc -- whatever it's called 12 -- Committee, where there will be boundless time for those 13 discussions. So with that mind, I recognize Senator Sabb. 14 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 And, Chief, good the see you. I just was reflecting, as 16 particularly in your last two exchanges with Chairman 17 Rankin and with Pete, and I just wanted to share something 18 with you. 19 Some years ago, there was a -- an event 20 planned, and I had never been to the destination. 21 every once in a while, my GPS doesn't work like it should. 22 And unfortunately for me, I had somebody in the car with 23 me, one Gilda Cobb-Hunter, who's more directionally-24 challenged than I am. 25 And so we were trying to find this location,

1	and just going from street to street. And then there was						
2	this big sign that we had missed twice, but I I delight						
3	now in reflecting on the sign that said "It's a girl						
4	again."						
5	And so thank you for all you've done over						
6	the years. And that was a great moment for us all.						
7	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you.						
8	SENATOR SABB: You got it right						
9	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you, Senator.						
10	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Ms. Blackley.						
11	MS. BLACKLEY: Good afternoon. You know I						
12	couldn't let you get out of here without saying something.						
13	JUSTICE TOAL: One of the best clerk of						
14	courts ever.						
15	MS. BLACKLEY: Well, I appreciate that. You						
16	helped along that route. I don't have a question. I just						
17	have a statement. I think you have done a wonderful job in						
18	your career.						
19	And we are still blessed for you to honor us						
20	with your continued work. And you've actually helped me						
21	out so much in learning about our court system. I think						
22	it's probably is one of the reasons why I'm possibly even						
23	sitting on this commission. And I wanted to acknowledge						
24	that.						
25	But I appreciate your service to our state.						

1	And I mean, you're just as sharp as ever. It's wonderful.
2	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you.
3	MS. BLACKLEY: Continue being you.
4	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Other members of the
6	Commission with questions or comments?
7	(Hearing none.)
8	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Let me just say, then,
9	Madam Chief Justice, it is a privilege to get to be in this
10	position, to do something that I certainly don't think I'm
11	worthy or have earned the privilege to do and be here.
12	Because one of those benefits is that I get
13	to look at the anonymous ballot box comments that have come
14	in, voluminous comments. And keeping in mind that we have
15	to weigh everything as best we can.
16	And I'm mindful of Ms. Meisner's
17	perspective, but the overwhelming vast majority of comments
18	from members of the Bar, who can neither gain nor lose from
19	their comments about you to us are such that this is what
20	we should want for our state in terms of our jurists. I
21	think that anyone who aspires to the judiciary who look to
22	you, and the performance that you have delivered to our
23	state over the many decades, with great pride.
24	And I think you are a credit to the state.
25	And I appreciate the opportunity to even be able to say

1	that to you.
2	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you so much, Mr.
3	Chairman. It means the world to me.
4	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, ma'am. Well,
5	this concludes this part of our screening process I'm
6	new at this, and there is a much shorter script here for
7	the end of our screening here today.
8	So that will conclude this portion of our
9	screening process. Thank you for offering. And thank you
10	for your service to the State of South Carolina.
11	JUSTICE TOAL: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Yes, ma'am.
13	JUSTICE TOAL: Y'all take care.
14	(Candidate excused.)
15	CHAIRMAN CASKEY: With no further candidates
16	on our agenda for today, we still stand adjourned until
17	Monday morning at 9 a.m.
18	(OFF THE RECORD AT 2:43 P.M.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY
4	PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, DO
5	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSISTING OF
6	166 PAGES IS A TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE RECORD TO THE
7	BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS
13	17TH DAY OF NOVEMBER 2023.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Patricia & Bachand
23	Talpada Walachanol
24	PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER
25	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 8, 2027

	_ 119	19	106:19	
(153:22	116:2	2022	4
	- 11:10	19-70(E)	84:18	
a)	65:13	39:13	2023	4
140:17	11:12	1960	140:17 143:5	8:1 31:9 42:7
b)	65:13	93:10	2024	65:16 79:1
140:18	11:28	1:30	20:19	101:20 114:24
c)	78:24	41:20	20th	141:12 143:4
140:18	11:32	1:31	143:4	40
	78:24	114:16	21	76:18 118:9
0	11:59	1:40	93:20 124:9	40s
	$- \mid \frac{100}{101:12}$	123:4	22	144:14
6	11th	1:45	127:6	428
25:16	129:11 140:17	123:4	23	82:24
	$- \begin{vmatrix} 129.11110.17 \\ 12 \end{vmatrix}$	123.1	137:13	450-person
1	15:1 33:25 66:2,		24	74:16
	- 15.1 55.25 66.2, 16	2	117:22	4R
	124	2	27	141:4
9:3	15:1	9:6	153:14	4th
,082	12:00	2-		139:15
153:22	101:12	39:12	289	
0		2-19-	106:8	5
66:1,10	12:16	17:5 49:13	2:43	
05	114:16	71:16	166:18	501
82:25	13	2-19-70	2nd	16:9 38:17
06	75:14 80:5	16:21 39:2 49:3	138:4 141:3	48:16 70:19
68:24	14	71:6 85:23		85:11 107:25
0:07	24:25 25:15	108:12 119:25	3	119:13
31:4	33:25 80:8			50s
0:09	15	2-19-70(E)	30	144:14
31:4	80:11	86:10 120:11	144:16	53
0:35	15th	20	30s	106:8
41:22	143:4	124:6	98:11	56
0:39	16	2006	32	122:1
41:22	102:23	15:9,12	146:23	
0th	17	2012	34	
143:5	36:12 75:24	50:8	154:12	6
	103:1	2016	36	6
1	176	15:12	47:18	32:8
66:1,13	47:18	2017	38	60(b)
16	18	56:15	73:7 76:16	129:12
117:22	115:24	2020		127.12

6:8	9:42	abused	149:18	Adult
35:14 59:23	7:17	60:15	added	105:22
60:2	9:57	academic	119:6 162:21,22	advantage
	_ 143:5	16:4 38:9 48:9	addicted	69:24 134:14
7	9th	70:14 85:3	72:21	151:22
	8:1 10:9,15,16	107:16 119:2	adding	adventure
7	31:9 42:7 46:23	155:20	82:21	45:19
32:11	65:16 68:10	accept	addition	advice
70	73:13 79:2	154:16	22:14 48:3	24:13 160:8
154:20	101:20 114:24	accepts	99:16 137:19	advocated
70 (E)		132:23	138:20 142:19	18:15
17:6 49:14	A	accommodate	additional	affects
71:17		53:5 121:24	15:1 36:12	35:10
70s	a.m.	accommodation	47:19 68:24	affidavit
158:14	7:17 31:4 41:22	53:24	82:25 106:9	127:2,7,20
	65:13 78:24	accurate	117:22 128:3	137:13
8	101:12 143:5	27:15	153:23	affidavits
	_ 166:17	accused	additive	9:17 32:23
8	abhorrent	91:17	126:7	43:22 67:3
43:7	92:19	acknowledge	address	80:23 103:13
80	ability	164:23	127:9 137:21	116:14 124:22
144:6	16:4 18:9 21:17		adheres	affinity
80-plus	38:9 46:8 48:9	act 53:13 146:15	118:1	64:13
92:13	53:5 68:11		adjourned	affirm
8:00	70:14 74:25	action	166:16	157:3
128:12	85:3 107:16	106:22,25		
	119:2 127:18	actions	adjudicated	affirmed
9	132:14 135:24	12:18 47:10	134:7	95:23
	_ 144:25 155:20	107:3 118:19	adjudication	afforded
9	159:25	active	133:19	46:8
43:10 68:24	absence	73:13 127:15	administering	afternoon
166:17	51:10	146:9,21 147:1,	147:11	79:3,4 96:13
91	Absolute	8 149:15	administration	98:4,7 114:17,
93:9	128:14	actively	135:24	23 123:5 127:1
95	absolutely	12:21	administrative	137:3,4 164:11
68:9	29:8 52:12,13	activity	54:20 139:22	age
98	54:3,14 86:7	139:6	admirable	34:18 45:7 68:2
36:11	87:12 89:15	ad	112:14	81:12 104:9
9:00	93:9 115:1	138:17,18	admiration	110:13 117:4
128:12	128:3,15 141:14	163:11	77:19	121:9,12,13
9:37	abuse	add	admire	156:9,14
7:17	141:15	59:17 137:21	22:5	agenda
1.11				166:16

agree	25:24	130:12 145:24	applicants	architect
18:2 22:17	amazed	150:18	21:12	149:19
29:25 63:24	20:9	apology	application	area
92:5 94:11	amazing	150:19	8:1 9:12 11:3	24:11 25:2
112:23 134:18	19:20	apparently	31:8 32:18	29:15 111:22
150:10	amend	88:4	43:17 66:23	areas
ahead	141:1 143:3,6,8	appeal	80:18 103:8	45:17 58:6
7:2 42:3 45:2	AMENDMENT	56:15 63:7	116:9 124:17	arena
78:20 101:8,17	66:14 80:9	97:16 143:11	apply	111:4
121:23 128:4	amendments	appealed	27:24 47:25	argued
aide	8:20 66:2	63:5	applying	11:18 56:16
144:18		appealing	42:6 139:2	arguing
aides	amicable 37:8	91:25	appoint	57:8
144:23,24			157:25 160:21	
Ainsley	amount	Appeals 45:15,23 57:8	appointed	arguments 37:13 107:3
62:23,24 63:17	20:23,24 23:13	58:12 59:1 61:6,	13:15,17 105:4	arise
air	57:19 58:3 90:15 144:7	8 62:8 63:10	139:16,25	30:19 41:7 51:1
76:9 77:3 95:23		159:11	158:3,4	78:12 122:18
150:8 151:5	ample	appearance	appointment	
akin	19:24 21:16	30:14 41:1	139:4,24	arises
133:9	analogy	64:21 68:20	appreciated	65:2 101:1 113:22
Alex	133:15,25	78:7 100:20	67:20 121:24	
133:10	134:1,5	113:16 122:12	128:11	arrest 144:12
Alisha	Andy	appeared	appreciation	
9:25	11:2 45:25	91:4	77:20 97:9,24	arrows
allegation	57:24 62:7	appearing	121:8,21 126:14	110:16
138:16	angry	86:23 88:7	appreciative	articles
allegations	129:1		101:17 154:11	9:14 32:20
130:2,15	anonymity	appears 9:14 32:20		43:19 66:25
,	100:7	43:19 66:25	approach 35:16 36:2 73:4	80:20 103:10
allege 130:6	anonymous	80:20 103:10	88:18 95:10	116:11 124:19
	121:9 165:13	116:11 124:19	approachable	articulating
Allison 139:19	answers	146:1,2	35:12	100:3
	21:17	appellate		asbestos
allowed	anticipate	16:10 38:17	approached 35:23	138:8 146:14
81:21 82:3 89:1	65:12	48:17 60:9		155:3,9
142:17 157:25	anymore	70:19 85:12	approximates 143:20	ascending
alongside	27:13	108:1 119:14		99:15
72:10	apologies	145:1 153:2,16	arbitration	aspect
alter	74:14	applicant	11:15 23:19	111:25 154:4
141:1 143:3,6,7	apologize	69:7 123:7	27:18,20 89:21	aspects
Amanda	31:8 89:2	148:1	arbitrations	70:2
			11:15,21	
	<u> </u>	I	1	I

agninad		audience	bachelors	halanaina
aspired 87:25	assuming 26:13 28:6	144:3	33:14	balancing 53:13
	29:18 87:10		back	ball
aspires 165:21	88:6	August	7:19 29:16	53:8
		91:11,12	30:18,23 33:10,	
ass	assure 143:17 146:3	authoritarian	15 41:6,23 42:6	ballot
156:25		37:24	51:4 58:11	9:11 15:1 32:17
assault	Athena	autonomy	59:11 65:1,5,14	36:12 43:16
70:3	130:22	26:3	76:13 78:11,14,	47:18,19 66:22
assembly	Atlanta	average	25 89:2 93:7,16	68:24,25 77:20
16:23 17:1	74:17	118:22	99:12 100:25	80:17 82:24
38:21 39:4,7	atmosphere	avoid	101:13 109:10	83:1 86:23
49:5,8 71:8,11	57:9	53:9	110:11,12	100:5 103:7
82:13 85:25	attack	await	110.11,12	106:8,9 109:22
86:4 108:14,17	100:11 144:15	41:16	112:16 113:21	113:4 116:8
120:2,5 126:6	attempting	awards		117:22,23
157:23,24	39:8 49:9 71:12	11:21	126:18 131:3 134:22 136:20	124:16 136:20
158:1,12,14,22	86:5 108:18	aware	134:22 136:20	153:22,23
161:17 162:23	120:6	16:7 17:2,3	144:2 148:23	165:13
assessment	attention	38:15 39:8 43:1	158:8	bank
126:19	37:13 75:18	48:15 49:9		15:9 54:18
assign	83:7	70:17 71:12	backdoor	Bar
139:5	attest	85:10,13 86:5	129:19 140:19	12:23 19:13
assigned	109:23	97:4 102:15	backed	84:7 95:14
91:5 129:15	attitude	107:23 108:15,	148:8	165:18
139:6 140:18	153:18	18,20 119:12	background	Bar's
assigning	attorney	120:6	24:14 47:1	44:22
139:7	20:12 44:6	awful	94:24 105:13	based
assignment	68:15 69:3	145:5	111:24 117:15	34:14 45:4
140:19,24	103:21 117:13	aye	128:2 130:10,15	67:23 81:8
assignments	118:4 119:7	7:9,10 114:8,9	backlog	89:17 92:3
140:22	133:22 134:7,11		135:15	104:6 116:25
assist	161:13	ayes 7:13 114:12	baited	133:13 159:24
11:9 34:23	attorneys	7.13 114.12	94:1	160:9
45:12 68:7	22:21 34:25		Baker	baseless
81:17 104:14	47:22 74:21	B	81:6,7,14 84:23	141:14
117:8	99:3 105:18	B.J.	85:8 86:12	basic
associate	133:5 153:8	97:20	Baker's	130:11 159:22
20:12 105:17	154:8,14	Babb	93:22	basically
	attributes		balance	50:2 55:15
associates	92:15	50:22,23	151:18	89:12 112:11
14:6		baby	balanced	133:18 134:8
assume	audibly	160:5 163:1	69:8 85:6	
15:22	7:10 114:9			

basis	9:10 11:14,20	bilateral	body	Bradley
54:8,23 56:4,11	12:8,11 15:6	144:22	157:14 159:12	132:9
117:16 142:18	18:16,17 19:16,	bill	bond	branch
160:19	23,25 21:15	52:19 56:6	69:19 91:17,19	156:10
bath	24:1 27:19	bills	bonds	brand
160:5 163:1	32:16 35:9,18,	52:18 54:19	36:5	76:19
battery	20,25 37:7 40:7	birthday	book	brandished
70:4	42:7 43:15	128:25	56:12 59:2	156:23
battle	46:21 47:2,7	birthdays	106:14	breadth
73:19 74:6	48:3 51:10	8:21	Booth	46:13 118:13,22
beat	54:24 62:17,18	bit	74:15,24 75:9	break
19:7	65:16 66:21	19:19 25:14	born	65:12 88:17
beauty	68:22 72:24	28:23 37:2,22	33:8,11 73:21	breaks
155:7	73:12 80:16	52:4 55:19	117:25	145:22
began	81:18,22 82:2,	63:17,19 69:18	bothered	breakup
45:13 140:2	17,22 83:10,19	73:19 74:11	83:14 150:14,15	145:5
144:17 158:10	88:1,9,18 94:23,	87:14,24 89:1,	bottom	breast
begets	24 98:13 99:15	22 91:23 110:23	51:17	74:19
121:9	100:4,9 101:20 103:6 106:13	112:17 135:2	bound	breath
begin	114:24 116:7	146:16 160:16	16:8 38:16	46:7 76:9 77:3
10:5 41:20	124:15 141:6	Blackley	48:15 70:18	95:23
125:1 142:4	152:18 154:14	77:1,2,5,11	85:11 107:24	Breeden
beginning	160:22	164:10,11,15	119:12	11:2
160:3	benefit	165:3	boundless	briefing
behalf	64:3 82:23	Blanding's	163:12	7:6,20 114:13
17:1 39:7,9	147:19	97:10,20	box	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
49:8,10 71:11,	benefits	blank-person	9:11 15:1 32:17	briefly 10:6 67:9
13 86:4,6	165:12	60:17	36:12 43:16	
108:17,19		bless	47:18,19 66:22	bright 69:2 83:6 154:7
120:5,7 163:10	Benjamin 139:15	161:4	68:24,25 77:20	
behave		blessed	80:17 82:25	bring
112:7	Berkeley 104:16 105:21	33:24 35:3	83:1 86:23	7:4 14:4 41:20
behavior		164:19	100:5 103:7	47:5,6 54:24 61:14 73:11,24
89:6	106:2,21	blind	106:8,9 109:22	82:6,22 122:7
beholden	big	135:23	113:4 116:8	142:22 145:14
94:16,18	10:10 26:4 46:16 53:19	block	117:22,23	
believes	74:23 139:12,20	97:1	124:16 153:22,	bringing 30:22 142:18
98:16	142:25 158:21	blood	23 165:13	
bell	159:8 164:2	55:8	boxes	brings
144:19		bodes	154:22	46:20 47:2,3
bench	biking 110:1,2	93:13 95:4	Boy	Brittain
Denen	110.1,2		52:11	55:7

broad	call	15 101:7,9	61:11 90:15	147:2 152:9
46:5 75:3	53:2 55:24	107:20,24	96:17 97:7	cases
104:22 105:13	56:13 87:7	109:2,3 114:4,	99:14 112:13	11:13,20 12:14,
broader	96:18,25 132:17	24 119:12	117:18 164:18	16 20:2,5,6,13
58:8 135:3	134:10,11 144:2	120:15,16	carefully	23:19 28:24
136:15	155:7	122:25 123:3	62:13	29:14 36:25
broadly	called	126:1,15,19	caring	50:18 56:23
95:24	25:20 30:18	156:1,2 163:8	38:12	73:9 83:6 84:17
brought	41:6 65:1 78:11	166:14	Carolina	89:22 96:17,22,
9:22 31:3 44:2	100:25 113:21	candidate's	16:9 17:21	23 98:22
132:24 138:13	122:17 131:18	34:15,16 45:4,5	30:21 33:9 35:5	104:21,22
148:10 156:10	142:13,14	67:24,25 81:9,	38:17 45:14,25	111:17,20
157:9	163:11	10 104:6,7	48:17 65:4	117:17,18
brusk	calling	117:1,2	70:19 82:20	118:20 130:23
145:24 154:16	72:22	candidates	85:12,24 86:9	135:15 138:8
Bryant	calm	7:3,4 10:13	94:12 107:25	139:18 140:7
33:24 106:20	87:1 96:19	21:13 24:8	108:22 113:25	146:13 147:10,
builder	Cameron	30:12 40:24	119:14 120:10	12 153:6 154:19
56:9	145:10	64:19 78:5	122:21 128:8,16	CASKEY
building	campaigns	92:13 93:25	129:22 132:12,	7:1,11,13,18
75:5 156:22	94:19	94:5 100:18	22,23 133:20	8:4,10,15,19,23
built	cancer	113:14 114:22	136:20 145:12,	9:2,8 10:1,24
35:17	74:19	122:1,10 127:14	14 161:3 166:10	17:13 21:8,10
bulk	candid	136:9 157:18	Carolina's	23:5 24:17 30:5,
27:10 28:6	21:19 118:1	159:3 166:15	154:3	8,25 31:2,5,7,
	candidacy	candidly	carriers	11,17,24 32:2,6,
bunch	16:14 48:21	112:9	141:19	14 33:6 34:2,8
20:21 140:7	70:24 74:4	canons	carry	39:20,24 40:3,
business	85:16 100:3	133:1	53:20 82:18	14 41:11,15,23
56:1,13 57:13	108:5 119:18	capable	case	42:5,10,16,20,
business-based	157:14	135:8	15:13 21:3,16	24 43:2,6,13
55:15	candidate	capacity	27:17 28:2 29:5	44:7,11,14 45:1
businesses	7:22 10:21 15:4	12:21 106:5	40:11 47:25	49:21 55:2 60:4
52:19	16:8 17:10,11	111:12 135:14	48:1 53:12	62:3 64:7,10
	31:1,3 38:16	car	60:24 97:10,15,	65:8,10,23 66:4,
C	39:17,18 41:14,	128:21 129:1	20,21 98:10	8,19 67:18
	16,17 48:15	163:22	105:11 106:20	71:24 74:1 76:6
cake	49:18,19 58:19	care	116:23 127:17,	77:1,15,18
128:25	65:9,11,15 66:3	141:9 166:13	18 132:23	78:18,20,25
calendars	70:18 71:21	career	133:2,10 134:6,	79:5,11,16,20,
139:20	78:19,21 79:1	13:10 14:18,19	16 138:13,21	24 80:3,14 81:5
calender	85:7,10 86:14,	24:9 35:19	139:9,17 144:2	86:17 90:23 92:7 96:8 98:3
140:22	., ., ., .,			92:7 90:8 98:3

99:9,19,22	17:8,13 21:8,10	25 131:5 134:17	45:21 46:3	circuit
100:1 101:6,8,	23:5 24:17 30:5,	136:4,16,22,25	69:20,23 74:21	8:1,2 10:9,15,16
13,23 102:4,9,	8,25 31:2,5,7,	137:17 147:21,	93:5	11:9 28:6 31:9
13,17,22 103:4,	11,17,24 32:2,6,	23,24 148:5	check	34:23 35:7 36:9
22 104:3 109:5	14 33:6 34:2,8,	151:3,4 156:2,4,	9:15 32:21	40:21 42:7
110:7 112:19	13 39:15,20,24	6 159:14 161:5,	43:20 67:1	45:12 46:11,21,
113:2 114:3,5,	40:2,3,14 41:11,	7 163:3,14,16	80:21 103:11	23 47:11 51:9,
10,12,17 115:2,	15,23 42:4,5,10,	164:10 165:5,8	116:12 124:20	20 61:9,17
8,14,18,23	16,20,24 43:2,6,	166:3,4,12,15	cheesy	65:16,17 66:2
116:5,22	13 44:4,7,11,14	chairman's	73:7	68:7,10 73:13
120:18,22	45:1 49:16,20,	23:15	chief	79:2 81:17 82:6,
121:18,20	21 55:2 60:4	challenged	123:7,9 128:8	9,21 84:2,10
122:6,24 123:1,	62:3,4 64:6,7,10	163:24	130:4,6,16	95:2 96:6 98:13
5,15,20,24	65:6,8,10,23	challenges	131:13,19,21	101:20,21
124:2,12 125:5,	66:4,8,19 67:18,	73:1	132:11 134:24	104:14 105:4,5
11,17,20,22	22 71:19,23,24	challenging	139:4,5,7,21,24,	106:6,12 109:20
126:23 129:24	72:1 73:24 74:1	134:21	25 140:25	114:24,25 117:8
130:14 131:5	76:6,7,25 77:1,	chance	146:11 147:18,	118:7 119:9
134:17 136:4,	15,18 78:18,20,	47:23 52:11	25 148:7 149:2,	132:24 138:14
16,22,25 137:17	25 79:5,11,16,	70:5 92:9 150:8	5 151:12 152:12	139:15 145:9
147:24 148:5	20,24 80:3,14	change	156:6 157:16	146:10 152:17,
151:4 156:4	81:5,7 86:12,17	41:17 74:22	159:10 160:21	19,25 153:1,15
161:5 163:3	90:23 92:7 96:8,	75:8 95:11	161:7,9,10,17	circuits
164:10 165:5,8	9 98:3 99:8,9,	127:18 157:1	162:2 163:15	73:14
166:4,12,15	19,22 100:1	160:9	165:9	circumstance
catch	101:6,8,13,23	changed	child	110:15
125:21	102:4,9,13,17,	54:15 69:22	144:11	circumstances
caused	22 103:4,22	123:25	chime	88:6
145:7	104:3 108:25	character	23:12	citizen
cautioned	109:4,5,7 110:6,	16:4 38:9 48:9	chip	107:1 152:2
8:8 31:15 42:14	7,9 112:19,21	62:20 70:15	96:25	citizens
65:21 79:9	113:2 114:3,5, 10,12,17 115:2,	77:6 85:3	choices	15:25 38:5 48:7
102:2 115:6	8,14,18,23	107:16 119:2,6	22:13	70:10 84:24
123:13 125:9	116:5,22,24	127:23 155:20	choose	107:11 118:23,
cents	120:13,17,18,22	characteristics	103:17	25 136:7
149:18	120:13,17,18,22	59:18	chores	City
chaired	121.18,20	charisma	34:3	104:19
162:2	122.0,22,24	40:5	chosen	civil
chairman	24 124:2,12	Charleston	156:22	11:11,12,16
7:1,11,13,18	125:5,11,17,20,	12:24 15:15	chuckled	12:3,4,7,11,16,
8:4,10,15,19,23	22 126:23	19:14 33:9,10,	92:1	18 15:5 18:16,
9:2,8 10:1,24	129:24 130:14,	12,15,17 44:6	72.1	19 20:1 22:22
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12,13,17 17.0		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

23:16 24:9 28:8	client	Colonists	17:14 23:15	commitment
46:2,5 50:12,14,	52:22 54:18	158:6	30:11 31:22	73:10 126:14
15 55:14,15	87:17	combined	32:9,12,15	committee
57:7,10,16	clientele	47:5	36:11 39:21	15:25 20:14
68:12 70:1,2	46:13	comfortable	40:24 42:22	38:5,11 48:7
81:20 98:24	clients	37:2 40:12	43:8,11,14 44:3	70:10 84:24
104:21 111:1	15:14 35:2 75:1	comforting	47:17 48:20	85:5 107:11,14,
civilly	81:22 87:15	100:12	49:22 64:8,19	18 118:23,25
99:12	89:6	commend	65:7 66:11,14,	119:6 122:23
claims	close	62:21 78:1	17,20 68:23	128:11 155:15,
70:3 141:14	156:15	92:22 100:10	69:13 70:23	18,22 159:9
142:16,19	clothing	112:16	71:25 78:4	163:12
clarify	144:21	comment	79:18 80:6,9,12,	committing
99:16	clouded	62:5 64:11 92:3,	15 82:24 85:15	122:4
class	94:23	5,12 120:19	100:18 102:11,	common
118:18	club	148:4 156:5	24 103:2,5	36:2 57:13
classify	149:4	commented	106:7 108:4 109:7 113:14	106:21 118:18
54:5	Cobb-hunter	85:5 157:7	114:7 115:12,25	139:22 140:13
classmate	163:23	comments	114:7 113:12,23	141:2
62:25	code	15:2 17:14,19	110.3,0 117.21	Commons
classmates	16:8 17:5 38:16	30:5 36:13,14,	121:25 122:10	158:11
62:11,14,23	39:12,14 48:16	21 39:21 40:15	123:22 124:7,	community
CLE	49:13 70:18	47:19,20 49:22	10,14,24 125:24	36:19 62:17
13:4	71:16 85:11,24	52:13 62:21	126:5 127:2,7,	91:20
clear	86:9 95:9	64:3 68:25 69:1,	13,16,20,25	comp
97:6 100:2,12	107:24 108:22	10,11 71:25	134:23 136:8	29:15
126:20 132:11,	119:13 120:10	77:15,19,20	137:6,7,22	companies
18 137:25	coffee	82:25 83:2,8	148:1 153:21	52:17
Clement	57:24	97:4 99:1,17,20	156:5 159:2	company
26:6,7,9	cognitive	100:5 106:9,10,	161:6 164:23	109:17
clerk	138:16	16 109:6 110:8,	165:6	compared
14:3,4 15:15	cognizant	15 112:19,22,24	Commission's	61:10 62:1
45:14 117:11	52:2	113:4 117:23,24	17:5 30:11	compass
141:4 164:13	colleague	118:5 134:24	39:12 40:23	22:1
clerk's	73:22	148:9 152:20	49:13 64:18	compassionate
138:25 140:20,	colleagues	153:23,25	71:16 78:4 86:9	85:7 107:20
23 148:12,19	75:10 76:22	154:10,12 157:6 163:7 165:6,13,	100:17 108:22	competency
clerks	77:19,23 150:23	163:7 165:6,13,	113:13 120:10	127:23
14:10,16 147:9	Colonial	commission	122:9	complain
CLES	158:1	8:17 9:4,7,9	commissioners	98:24
13:3 19:17 21:4	Colonies	14:25 16:13	163:4,5	complainant
69:24	158:9	17.23 10.13		148:10,23 149:9
L				

			1	1
156:21 157:21	83:25 84:13	confidentiality	119:17	contributor
complaint	106:17 111:11,	77:21 113:8	contacting	121:9
126:3,8,12	14 118:8,9	conflicts	16:22 39:3 49:4	control
127:3 131:2	121:3 154:13,15	9:16 32:22	71:7 85:25	26:24 75:1,2
132:7,21 135:20	155:5	43:21 67:2	108:13 120:1	88:15 118:10
136:3 137:7,22	concerned	72:15 80:22	contained	Conway
138:20 140:16	18:12	103:12 116:13	34:15 36:13	55:7
146:6 148:2	concerns	124:21	45:4 47:20	Conway-horry
149:11 151:9,	17:9 21:11	connected	67:23 68:25	55:8
13,15 156:23	23:23 36:20	13:18	81:8 83:1 104:6	Cooper
157:4	39:16 49:17	consent	106:10 116:25	109:14 146:12
complaints	69:10,17 71:20	34:16 45:6	117:24 153:24	copy
26:18 130:11,16	83:9,11 84:3	67:25 81:10	contempt	64:12 137:12
148:25 157:17	86:13 109:1	104:8 117:2	88:22	cordial
163:6	118:5,6 120:14	consideration	contested	37:22 69:5
complete	129:25 154:12	146:1	96:23	Corner
61:22 77:12	155:2,25	constantly	context	103:21
136:3 145:25	conclude	58:7	22:16 46:22	corporate
completely	30:9 39:25	constitution	56:9,20,23 57:7,	75:21
88:5	64:14 100:15	139:5 159:9,11,	21 109:25	corporations
complex	113:11 166:8	19,22	contingent-	46:15
146:10,14	concludes	constitutional	based	correct
147:13	166:5	16:1 34:17 38:6	56:13	8:3,18 25:7
compliance	conclusion	45:6 48:11 68:1	continuance	42:8,9 79:20,22
9:13 32:19	135:17	70:11 81:11	60:13	84:20,21 92:25
43:18 66:24	conclusions	84:25 104:8	continue	93:1,20,21
80:19 103:9	152:9	107:13 117:3	19:12,13,17	101:22 115:14,
116:10 124:18	conducive	119:4 125:25	57:15 68:17	17
complicated	105:16	131:14 155:17	70:6 82:14	corrected
105:11	conduct	construction	96:25 135:2	153:17
compliment	16:9 38:16	23:19 55:17,21,	152:18 165:3	corrupt
74:9	48:16 70:18	23,24 56:1	continued	142:7 148:17
components	85:11 107:25	consummate	113:24 154:2,11	corruption
162:21	119:13 130:6	110:18	164:20	128:15 129:21
compromised	conducted	contact	continues	133:16 161:14
144:25	138:3	16:25 39:6 49:7	53:12 154:8	corrupts
computer	conference	71:10 86:3	continuing	128:14
140:2,3	162:2,3	108:16 120:4	7:3	cost
conceive	conferences	contacted	contractor	51:5,15,18
138:7	13:1 154:20	16:13 38:21	56:3	
concern	confidence	48:20 70:23	contribute	costs 52:14 142:18
56:18 69:13	161:21	85:15 108:4	94:18	32.14 142.18
	1	1	1	1

				•
couch	47:2,11 48:17	37:8,10,19 38:1	20,25 21:5,13,	crowning
58:18	51:9,20 54:17	68:9,19 75:21	22 22:16 23:16	112:12
counsel	56:17 57:8,9,13	83:7 88:21 96:3	24:2 26:21 28:7,	culmination
7:6 33:3 44:17	58:12,25 61:6,7,	129:5 144:1	24 29:5,20	106:4
63:14 67:8 69:5	8,9 62:8 63:10	courts	45:21,22,25	cultivate
81:3 116:19	65:16 66:3 68:7	35:5 118:18	47:1 50:1 56:14,	45:16 46:4
count	69:19 70:19	149:14 152:10	20,22 57:16,22,	curious
147:18	79:2 81:17,18	158:18,20	25 58:3,4,11,13	25:9 72:16
counterclaim	82:7,9,12,21	164:14	62:7 68:13	74:10 109:25
142:20	84:2,4,8,10	covered	69:12,21,25	current
counterclaims	85:12 88:11,24	84:16 127:24	70:2 75:7 81:19	25:15 55:15
141:22,23,25	89:9 90:1,3,8,17	140:15 156:24	90:12,15 98:16,	62:8
142:24 145:19	91:5,10,23	covers	17,20,21	Currie
counties	93:20 94:6 95:2	20:15	104:18,21	145:10
46:17	96:6 97:6,15	cranial	111:2,17,20,22,	cursed
country	98:13,20,22	144:15	25 139:17	35:3
161:10,15	99:15 100:4	Crater	146:16	Curtis
counts	101:20 104:14,	103:25 104:4,5,	criminally	22:11
63:25	18 105:5,22	11 107:10,21	28:23	curve
county	106:6,12,21	108:25	criteria	96:13
55:5 69:20,23	108:1 111:2	create	9:11 16:1,3	cutoff
104:17 105:22	112:1 114:24	47:6	30:11 32:17	109:13
104:17 103:22	117:8 118:7	created	38:6,8 40:23	
119:7 139:1,13,	119:9,14 123:9	156:18,20	43:16 48:8,11	CV 24:23
18 148:8,23	127:5 128:8 130:21 132:12,	creating	64:18 66:22	24:23
158:18,20	24 133:2 134:15	156:18	70:11,13 78:4	
couple	137:12,24	creation	80:17 84:25	D
24:7,8,21 28:18	137.12,24	158:19 159:13	85:2 92:16	dad
49:25 60:19	140:1,11 141:8,	credit	100:18 103:7	96:14,18
87:13 91:6 97:4	17 142:6 145:9,	40:7 77:25	107:12,15	90.14,18 dad's
109:19 134:18	11,13,15 146:2,	106:13 113:9	113:14 116:8	97:4
137:23	18 152:17,19	165:24	119:1,4 122:10	
court	153:1,2,15,16	Creek	124:16 126:1,20	Daigle
8:1 11:9,18	155:8 157:9	104:19	155:16,19 163:8	8:3,7,13,18,21
12:20 13:21	158:4,19 159:11		criticism	9:1,5,7,24 10:3,
16:10 22:11,19	164:21	crime 21:24 91:18,23	154:17,25	6 11:1,5,7 14:25
23:22,25 24:2	court's	,	crossed	15:25 16:7 17:15 21:11
28:5,6 29:3 31:9	15:15	criminal	88:8	23:7,8,10 24:16,
34:23 37:1,3,23	courthouse	11:11 12:12,13,	crowded	19 30:6,24
38:17 40:21	47:12 69:23	14,16,17,22,23,	144:1	'
42:7 45:12,14,		25 18:12,17,19	Crown	daily
22 46:11,21	courtroom 27:23 36:17	19:1,4,10,13,16, 21,25 20:3,9,12,	157:25 158:2	54:8 57:22 58:17 117:16
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	21.23 30.17	21,23 20.3,9,12,		30.1/11/.10
			1	l

Dale	89:9 91:16	141:15	36:17 61:17	22:1
102:1,25 103:2	139:10	default	87:1 92:3 96:20,	developed
106:20	dealings	105:7	21	159:1
dance	112:1	defect	demonstrate	devote
53:9	Deandrea	55:25	83:21	51:23
Daniel	139:15	defendant	demonstrated	dictated
79:8 80:7,10,13	dear	19:1,7 106:25	46:18	141:5
81:10 84:19,20	160:4	133:4	deny	dictates
data	death	defendants	130:1	140:8
8:16 9:4 15:7	105:15	12:15,16 20:20	denying	differ
31:20 32:9	debt	68:12 132:8	143:6	152:8
42:21 43:8	15:22	141:21	departed	difference
66:11,14 79:17	decades	defenders	75:9	13:8 146:22,25
80:6,9 102:8,10,	165:23	20:4 112:2	depth	148:9
24 115:10,25	deceased	117:12	111:17,21	differently
123:20 124:7	84:22	defense	describe	87:14
date	December	24:12 27:10	55:14 75:4	difficult
16:18 20:8	138:4 141:3	57:22 62:18	describes	51:13 54:22
38:24 48:25	143:4 148:7	99:3 142:9,21	72:6	61:12,13 131:7
71:3 85:20	149:21	deficit	deserve	dilemma
108:9 109:13	decent	144:5	10:12,14,16,17	158:21
119:22 160:24	161:2	deftly-written	deserves	diligent
day	decide	63:9	98:17	63:21
18:10,18 22:24	17:23 18:10	degree	deserving	diminish
59:1 68:14 73:6,	148:20 155:12	33:14 75:25	30:15 41:2	72:17
23 74:18 75:13	162:23	delay	64:22 78:8	diminished
87:11 93:11	decided	51:11	100:21 113:17	73:9
99:12 101:5	33:23	deliberations	122:13	direction
134:10 140:1 143:25 144:19	decision	30:15 41:3	destination	88:5 153:4
145:23 144:19	35:11	64:23 78:8	163:20	directionally-
143.2 147.8	decisions	100:22 113:18	details	163:23
day-to-day	7:21 35:10	122:14	15:10	directions
73:2 117:19	47:10 83:5 90:2	delight	determinations	53:23 54:1
	114:19 151:25	164:2	89:14	directly
days 11:20 89:20	decorum	delighted	determine	89:5
deal	88:15	44:3	127:14	disclose
10:10 98:21	dedicate	delivered	determined	15:8
105:17 138:16	48:3	165:22	84:18	disclosed
143:25 147:8	deeply	demanded	detriment	77:21
dealing	145:4	54:17 63:3	100:3	Discover
28:7 35:2 37:14	defamation	demeanor	develop	15:9
20.7 33.2 37.17				13.7

		economic	eligible
doctor	due	9:15 32:21	82:15
149:19	139:14,16	43:20 67:2	Elizabeth
document	dues	80:21 103:11	65:15,20 66:12,
154:5	110:20	116:12 124:20	15,17 67:25
documents		educates	72:9
	44:5	47:10	Ellen
31:18 42:16	Dukakis	education	50:22
65:24 66:5	121:11	18:23	embarked
79:12,15,25	duly	Edward	55:12
102:5 115:9		73:15 74:5,24	embodied
123:16,22		106:20	59:19
127:24 136:11	102:2 115:5	effect	embracing
domain	123:12 125:8	11:9 35:24	72:8
145:7		45:12 46:19	emergent
domestic	E	47:11 51:11	105:11
29:16 104:21		81:17 104:14	emotion
138:1	earlier	117:8 142:23	91:16 138:11
Dominion/sce&g	58:18 92:1	effective	emotional
146:13	101:16 121:22	34:23 68:7	137:24 138:1
donations	160:7	effectively	159:23 162:9
17:23 18:7	early	27:9 29:18	empathize
doorstep	50:4 96:17	efficiently	54:1,3,7
154:21	144:14	47:25	empathy
doses	earned	effort	36:1
144:11	77:22 100:7	44:23 161:4	employed
drag		elected	74:12
60:17	165:11	18:4 156:13	emulate
dram	earnestly	162:11,14,17	22:6 59:20
70:3	30:2	election	61:15
dressed	ease	9:18 19:9 32:24	encourage
87:17	31:2 41:15	43:23 67:4	21:4 40:16
	65:10 78:22	80:24 94:15	77:24 136:1
60:22	101:11 123:2	103:14 116:15	154:8
	easier	124:23 157:23	end
	19:6 21:5	161:11 162:7,13	20:21 44:21,22
	easy	elections	78:2 91:11
0	10:22 42:1	157:21	96:12 122:2,7
	131:8 144:20	eleven	134:10 135:4
-	echo	73:8	142:15,22 143:9
	76:8 125:15	eleventh	150:4 166:7
urug		68:8	
	149:19 document 154:5 documents 8:11,24 11:3 31:18 42:16 65:24 66:5 79:12,15,25 102:5 115:9 123:16,22 127:24 136:11 domain 145:7 domestic 29:16 104:21 138:1 Dominion/sce&g 146:13 donations 17:23 18:7 doorstep 154:21 doses 144:11 drag 60:17 dram 70:3 dressed 87:17 drilling	149:19	149:19

		1		
ended	envy	evaluative	excellent	existed
91:11 142:25	131:8	9:11 16:1,3	15:3,4 22:13	50:9
ends	equally	30:11 32:17	36:18 48:2 83:4	expanded
158:4	57:9	38:6,8 40:23	85:6,7 106:12	158:23
endurance	era	43:16 48:8,11	107:19 154:1	expect
110:3	97:13	64:18 66:22	exception	82:4 86:25
energetic	err	70:11,13 78:4	58:21	88:20
38:12	19:5	80:17 84:25	exceptionally	expected
energy	erring	85:2 92:15	54:16	12:24 13:8,9
40:6 75:16	19:6	100:18 103:7	excess	expects
156:9	error	107:12,15	148:11	30:11 40:24
engage	75:8	113:14 116:8	exchange	64:19 78:4
19:13 105:23	essentially	119:1,3 122:9	134:24	100:18 113:14
engaged	50:16	124:16 126:1,20	exchanges	122:10
67:15 99:12	establish	155:16,19 163:7	163:16	expedited
engineer	37:24	event	excitement	54:21
149:20		130:19 132:17	77:5	experience
	estate	163:19	exclamation	11:8,10,19 12:2,
England	81:20 84:19	everyone's	72:12	4,7,11,14,22
158:8	105:1	95:5		16:5 18:13,19
English	esteem	evidence	exclusively	19:4,11 20:7
158:4	150:12	27:24 37:17	58:3	21:6,13,16
enjoy	ethic	89:10,13 90:7	excuse	22:22 23:16,17
83:6 91:13	61:18 62:19	evolutions	60:11 61:23	25:17,24 27:16
92:14 152:22	ethical	159:21	excused	28:23 34:22
enjoyed	16:3 38:8 48:8	evolved	31:1 41:14 65:9	38:10 45:11,13,
73:22 76:23	70:14 85:2	68:14	78:19 101:7	22 47:2,3,8
entered	107:15 119:1	exacerbated	114:4 122:25	48:12 50:5
126:3,4,8	134:12 155:19	138:10	166:14	55:13 56:14,20,
131:18	ethics	examination	executive	22 57:19 62:6
entering	9:13 30:13	11:6 17:16	7:5,8,14,17,20	68:6,12 69:12,
32:3 43:3	32:19 40:25	21:10 24:18	114:7,13,16,18,	19 70:13 76:11
102:18 124:3	43:18 64:20	34:20 45:9	19	81:16,25 82:19
enthusiasm	66:24 78:6	49:24 55:3 68:4	exhibit	84:1,12 85:4
72:8,18	80:19 95:9	72:2 81:14	9:3,6 32:8,11	92:4 97:5 98:21
entire	100:19 103:9	86:19 90:24	43:7,10 66:1,10,	104:13 106:1,11
24:9 36:17	113:15 116:10		13,16 80:5,8,11	112:8 117:7,10
68:10 142:1	122:11 124:18	92:8 104:11 109:9 110:10	102:23 103:1	112.8 117.7,10
entitled	127:23 133:1		115:24 116:2	118:7,12,13,22
145:25	evaluate	117:5 140:13	124:6,9 127:6	147:16,19
	97:14 125:25	152:15	137:13	155:20 161:8,14
environment	130:4 134:25	examples	exist	1
53:19		100:12	59:16	experienced
	1	1	1	1

38:13 69:8	154:12	157:8	fatigue	female
experiences	expression	falling	120:23	154:7,8
47:6 117:15	121:7	133:18	favor	ferret
experiencing	extend	falls	7:9 114:8	74:13
23:14 117:18	121:21 131:11	53:12	142:25 155:6	fields
expert	extensively	familiar	favorable	157:17
138:15,17	111:23 157:7	16:21 22:4 39:2	153:20	Fiffick
expert-type	extent	49:3 71:6 79:14	favored	11:2
89:13	53:19	85:23 97:7	145:20	fifteen
expertise	extremely	108:12 119:25	favorite	118:14
87:16	106:4,11 107:18	123:18 129:3	60:4	fifty
expiration	161:2 162:19	familiarity	favorites	36:24,25 144:24
160:24	eye-opening	112:1	61:6 106:14	fight
expired	23:1	familiarize	favoritism	27:12
143:11		70:5	155:4	figure
explain	F	families	fear	83:17
15:10 52:21		91:21	19:5 150:3	figuring
106:23 132:7	Fabulous	family	federal	148:19
149:24 151:1	85:5	30:22 47:2 51:1	13:16 35:7	file
explained	face	61:9 81:18	feel	107:4 140:14
138:24 152:21	72:25 128:7	82:11 84:4,8,11	11:7 28:21,22	141:7
explaining	fact	88:11 89:8 90:1,	34:21 37:3,12,	filed
135:9 150:16	18:1 27:22	3,17 91:4,23	19,23 45:10	9:17 15:8 32:23
explanation	40:17 55:6	93:20 95:2 97:6	52:22 62:2 68:5	43:22 67:3
131:17 142:4	56:14 83:16	98:19 99:15	74:6,25 75:11	80:23 84:15,17
156:25	99:1 112:25	100:4 137:24	76:16 81:15	103:13 106:19
explore	121:3 128:11	138:5,10 141:17	94:1 95:13	116:14 124:22
75:7	132:21 150:15	142:6 158:19	104:12 117:6	138:20 140:17
exploring	factors	family-oriented	128:1 129:9	141:14,22
69:24	46:18	54:6	131:25 135:15	143:2,5,7
exposed	facts	fan	149:8,9 150:25	files
111:16,21	47:25 48:1	149:4	152:22 163:5	11:4 50:15
118:21	factual	fantastic	feeling	filing
exposure	126:10	45:15	77:7	143:8
46:13 58:4,8	fair	fashion	feet	filings
express	69:8 83:6 87:1	107:8	162:16	140:3
60:15 83:15	106:14 110:19	fast	fellow	fill
97:23	111:4 133:23	33:10 54:16	153:1,19	54:11 69:8
expressed	fairly	fast-paced	felt	fill-in
36:20 69:10	117:10	54:16	63:8 88:14	141:11
83:8,9 118:5	fairness	father	112:4 132:21,25	filling
	140:8 156:20	93:7 98:10		

	1	1		
11:2	fit	folks'	forty	fresh
final	45:17 150:9	121:12	118:12	76:9 77:3 95:23
61:3 64:17	fitness	follow	forward	161:22 162:25
finally	16:3 38:8 48:9	30:12 40:24	125:2 135:12	friend
39:11 157:25	70:14 85:3	59:14 64:19	137:3 154:25	61:23 128:23,25
159:9	107:15 119:1	78:5 97:3	Foster	160:4
financial	155:19	100:19 113:15	45:2,3,9 48:6,13	friendly
51:17	FITSNEWS	122:10 155:13	49:16	29:11
find	134:1	football	found	friends
19:4 54:11	fitting	34:6	15:12 16:9	73:20 133:18
92:18 95:9,15	21:15	footing	17:19 26:15	Frierson
99:3 163:25	flexibility	25:16	36:15 38:17	138:22
finding	101:15,18	footsteps	48:16 70:10,19	frivolous
159:6	121:21	97:4	74:18 85:11	52:9
fine	flexible	forces	91:24 107:14,25	front
93:22 115:22	42:1	47:5 50:21,25	109:24 118:25	8:11 18:18,22
156:22	flight	foreclosure	119:13 134:7	19:1,2 22:10
finest	91:20	106:22,25 107:3	145:10,13	31:18 42:17
154:3	flip	foreign	155:16,18	65:24 79:12
finish	57:7	58:15	foundation	86:23 87:4,13
97:19	flipped	forget	126:11 157:23	88:7 89:6 91:4
FINRA	42:18	22:20	founded	92:4 96:18,23
11:15	floor-lead	Forgive	158:11	98:10,12 99:2,3
firm	158:15	57:6	fourth	102:5 115:9
14:3,23 25:11	focal	forgot	109:19,21	123:16 141:9
26:4,5 29:12	160:15	75:8 125:17	framed	145:17 148:18,
45:20 46:1 50:2,	focus	Form	57:20	21 149:5 154:21
9,17 53:16,19	21:21 25:3	141:4,12 143:4	frankly	160:22
54:4,5,6 55:17,	118:6 135:1	formal	13:13,24 19:20	frown
21 57:23 58:6	163:7	30:17 41:5	52:10 97:8	29:19
68:15 74:15,16,	focused	55:11 64:25	112:14	frustrated
20,23,25 110:22	9:10 32:16	78:10 100:24	fraud	145:22
132:9 143:23	43:15 66:21	113:20 122:16	141:15	frustration
157:8	80:16 103:6	139:7	fraught	152:7
firms	116:7 124:15	formally	137:24 138:1,23	full
24:24 29:10	folks	95:20	free-for-all	77:12 126:14
74:13	9:22 12:15	fortunate	159:3	131:6 133:17
Fisher	21:12 55:6,10	26:15 29:7	Freedom	145:25 154:21
62:24	88:7 96:1	46:23 154:2	146:15	163:9
fist	105:23 106:2	158:15	frequently	full-time
157:8	114:14 121:10	fortunately	24:15 138:9	135:12,14
	131:10	145:15	144:12	146:20 147:7
		143.13		
	I	1	1	I .

				1
fully	158:12,14,22	157:9	Gordon's	gripes
48:3	161:17 162:23	glowing	97:21	20:21 150:9
Fulton	generally	62:21	government	ground
67:25	28:4 139:21	gnarly	156:11	111:13
fun	144:9	146:10	governmental	group
72:12	generate	God	161:3	142:1
funny	126:6	15:17 58:21	GPS	growing
128:22	generated	59:24 60:2	163:21	82:20
future	121:2	73:16 144:19	grab	grown
40:20	gentleman	161:3	20:2 123:2	68:20
	103:18	Golden	grace	Gruber
	gentlemen	92:17	58:19 60:20	29:12
	7:2,18	Goldilocks	131:11	guardian
gain	genuine	55:13	graduate	138:17,18
165:18	111:14	good	75:25	guess
game	germane	7:1,24 11:1	grain	26:23 27:20,25
53:9	134:6	13:25 14:1,17	86:24	28:19 51:15
gamut	get all	17:17,23 18:9,	granted	55:11 56:16
105:3	114:25	10 23:6 24:13,	29:20	95:12
gave	gift	19 25:10 29:1,2	grasp	guide
14:14 45:15	73:20	31:5,6 34:10,11,	19:24	126:18
128:22 140:8	Gilda	12 49:25 54:23	grateful	guidelines
gears	163:23	55:4,13 60:25	42:2	17:5 39:12
14:19	girl	62:2 63:11,20	great	49:13 71:16
Gee	144:20 164:3	75:22 79:3,4	22:22 33:23,25	86:9 108:22
22:23 61:23	girls	82:3 90:25 91:1,	36:11,18 44:24	120:10
62:11	33:25	2 92:11 93:12	45:24 46:3,23	guilty
geek	give	96:10,11,15	47:6 48:4 55:8	90:16 134:9
147:12	9:20 11:10	97:25 98:4,5	58:3,5 60:7 61:4	guy
general	26:25 43:25	99:4 101:5	72:13 73:20	53:12 109:16
12:19 16:22	83:22 103:18	110:14 111:5	75:9,25 87:2	guys
17:1 20:6 24:13	128:2,3,18	114:17 119:6,8	93:4,13 95:25	73:16 95:17
38:21 39:3,7	130:10 131:6	123:5 125:21	98:21 107:20	
49:4,8 56:23	142:4,10 156:24	127:1,11 137:3, 4 152:23 160:10	109:16 135:19	Н
57:5 71:7,11	give-and-take	161:1 162:19	146:18 150:12	
81:19 82:13	60:10	163:15 164:11	153:3 154:1	half
85:25 86:4 91:5,	giving		157:13 160:7	37:17 52:20
14 94:3,4 98:22	20:4 103:16	good-natured 63:20	162:1 164:6	Hall
104:15,20	glad		165:23	74:15,23 75:9
108:13,17	96:14 109:16	Goose	gripe	116:23,24 117:5
118:17 120:1,5	glove	104:19	150:8	118:24 119:10
126:6 157:24	Sinke			120:13

hand	head	114:11 120:21	high	82:10
8:5 31:12 42:11	14:14	121:19 127:13,	45:24 153:10	honestly
65:18 66:8 79:6	headed	21 131:25	higher	35:21 118:3
88:13,14 101:24	131:12	133:23,24	155:8	honor
115:3 123:10	headquartered	135:6,25 137:8	highest	133:9 164:19
125:6 151:5	74:16	138:3 140:5,9	92:14	HONORABLE
handing	heads	142:1 144:5,17,	highly	31:14 32:10,12
127:4 137:12	142:5	18,23,24 145:3	74:9	79:8 80:7,10,12
handle	healing	165:7	highway	102:1,25 103:2
72:11 88:12	77:12	hearings	41:18	123:12 124:8,10
153:13	health	13:1 105:16	hire	137:14
handled	16:2 38:7 48:12	122:4 129:20	14:5 141:18	Hood
87:14 155:3	69:17 70:12	Hearns	hired	139:18
handling	85:1 93:12	25:19	138:15	hope
73:3 93:23	96:15 107:13	hearsay	historical	10:21 18:8
157:3	119:4 155:17	37:18	97:17	37:25 53:9
happen	hear	heart	history	93:15 96:24
53:7 87:22	33:2 67:7,11	145:22 160:12	19:3 73:15	97:18 152:4,7
happened	81:2 83:14 93:4	161:3	157:13	horrible
14:15 87:21	96:14 104:25	heartened	hit	73:9
120:24 128:18	105:11 126:24	112:24	63:9 111:12	Horry
happening	129:17 130:23	heavy	Hoc	55:4
88:10 145:6	132:16 135:2,7	30:15 41:2	163:11	hotly
happy	140:7 148:11	64:22 78:8		96:23
9:23 33:2 67:7	150:23 151:17	100:21 113:17	hodgepodge	hour
73:3 81:2 95:6	153:6 154:20	122:13 139:16	158:18	140:6
126:24 131:11	156:9,25 161:15	144:11	HOEFER	hourly
135:2	heard	heck	123:12 124:8,11	56:4,11
hard	21:20 27:4 29:5	89:19	137:14	hours
22:1 40:8 53:3	36:24 37:4,12,	held	hold	52:20 150:22
59:13 63:9	13,20 52:21	19:9 31:4 41:22	20:2 88:22	
64:12 87:15	63:10,14 69:15	65:13 78:24	121:12	house 34:4 158:1,11
92:3,18	131:7 132:25	101:12 111:2	hold-over	162:12 163:11
hard-and-fast	138:21 140:17	123:4 138:6	120:23	
60:23	141:3 149:11	helped	holding	housekeeping
harder	151:23 157:21	141:13 164:16,	150:12	48:14 85:9
53:4 59:13	161:10	20	home	107:23 119:11
87:24	hearing	helping	30:23 78:15	huge
hate	7:12 30:7 39:23	42:2 126:14	127:9	10:10 135:14
110:19	52:20 64:9 73:2	152:23	homeowner	140:7 154:19
He'll	77:17 89:16	helps	56:10	humanity
160:7	91:17 99:21	20:25 147:17	honest	60:25 63:1,3
100.7				
	l	1	<u> </u>	1

humbly	immoral	included	informed	134:25
35:15 59:4,23	95:1	9:11 15:2 32:17	52:23 130:20	insurance
60:1	impact	34:16 43:16	informs	20:15 52:17
humility	36:8	45:5 66:22	47:9 54:23 59:7	147:13
59:17 60:15	imparted	67:24 80:17	initially	intact
humorous	18:9	81:9,19 103:7	41:19 129:1	95:10
63:17	imperative	104:7,21 116:8	144:2	integrity
hundred	46:10	117:1 124:16	injury	106:12
74:7	implementing	127:10 139:10	50:3	intellect
hundreds	158:16	includes	injustices	100:8
11:18,19	important	55:16,25	97:16	intellectual
husband	12:10 13:19	including	inkling	47:21
75:20 76:2	17:22 18:15	16:21 39:2 49:3	36:9	intelligent
138:15	19:18 59:18	62:11 71:6	innocence	118:1
husband's	129:10 135:22	85:24 108:12	91:18	intend
75:20	161:2,21	119:25	innocent	20:18 29:6
hush	impose	incorporated	134:9	69:23
134:2,4 143:20	21:22	17:11 39:18	Inns	intense
,	impresses	49:19 71:22	94:6	63:8
I	86:25	86:15 109:3	inquiries	intent
1	impression	120:16 156:1	152:25 153:4	16:12,16 38:20,
idea	75:11 87:6	incredible	inquiry	25 48:19,23
26:25 29:1,2	impressive	21:23 47:23	9:10 32:16	70:23 71:1
94:15 158:25	110:14	India	43:15 66:21	85:15,18 108:3,
160:8,11	improper	125:15	80:16 103:6	7 119:16,20
ignore	95:2	indication	116:7 124:15	137:8
60:8 148:25	impropriety	132:18	152:21	interact
149:10	30:14 41:1	individuals	insight	95:24
II	64:21 78:7	46:15 123:3	82:2	interaction
115:5 116:1,4	100:21 113:17	indulging	insightful	93:23
117:2	122:12	41:25	38:12	interchange
illustration	improve	industriously	instance	130:20 131:17
128:18	161:23	67:20	56:8 63:15	interest
imagine	in's	infections	142:13	9:16 32:22
84:5	19:15,24	144:9,12	instances	43:21 44:18
immediately	inappropriate	influences	89:13	62:14 67:2
131:22 132:6	88:20 89:7	46:18	instills	80:22 103:12
141:7	incident	information	150:3	104:23 116:13
immense	83:20,23	126:6 127:8	instruct	124:21
21:23	include	128:3 130:10	163:6	interested
imminent	57:22	146:15	instructive	13:20
139:14,18	31.22		mon active	
*	1	1	1	1

		I A GON	1.	107.50.11.22
interesting	invoked	JASON	joy	107:5,9,11,22
13:7 17:19	59:23	8:7 9:4,7	153:3	109:6,10 111:3
72:12 91:14,24	involve	Jean	Jr	112:5 113:1
interrupt	147:13	123:7,12 124:8,	79:8 80:7,10,13	114:1 117:8,16
57:3 129:25	involved	10 137:14	81:10	123:8 127:15
134:17	12:14 21:24	Jenkins	judge	128:10 129:15
interrupted	46:14,19 51:12	31:5,6,7,10,14,	11:9 12:1,10	131:15 138:21
57:15	84:18 105:8,17,	23 32:1,5,10,13	13:11 14:11,12	139:1,5,6,7,14,
intersection	19 107:5 111:25	33:4,7,24 34:5,	15:3 17:24 18:4,	17,18,22
128:21,22	118:16,17,18	10,11,17,21	11,18,22 19:2	140:18,24 141:11 145:1
intervene	138:19 160:13	36:15 38:5,12,	21:22 22:11,12	146:21 147:1
39:8 49:9 71:12	involvement	15 39:22 40:10,	28:6 31:6,7,10,	
86:5 108:18	138:11	13 41:10,12	20,23 32:1,5	152:17,19 154:1
120:6	involves	59:22	33:4,7,21 34:2,	156:17 162:18
interview	140:20	JMSC	5,10,11,17,23	judge's
14:7,10 55:11	involving	94:4 157:17	35:22 36:15,16	13:5 83:5 92:22,
63:16	141:14	job	37:7,9,11,12,13	23 93:2
interviewing	ipad	10:22 24:11	38:13 39:22,25	judges
55:10	147:9	25:15 37:5	40:4,10,13,14,	12:6,25 13:8,15,
introduce	irregularities	74:11 82:12,14	20 41:10,12	16,22 19:3 22:4,
9:23 44:2	133:13	96:24 98:25	45:12,14 46:11	9,21,22 44:24
103:18	issue	99:4 146:20	47:11,23 51:9	46:24 51:20
introduction	90:8 126:2	153:14 164:17	53:3,4,12,14	52:5 59:19
33:5	129:15 133:23,	jobs	59:1,18,22 60:7,	61:13 62:1 82:4
inundated	24 135:10	69:22	25 61:16,18,20	87:5,13 89:24
58:13	issues	John	68:7 69:3 72:23	94:7,13 95:1
invaluable	27:12 48:14	10:25 11:1,2,6	78:21 79:2,4,14,	112:12 133:17
136:6	58:13 85:9 90:7,	15:24 16:6 17:8	19,22 80:2 81:4,	136:20,21
investigated	10 107:23	34:9,10,12,20	10,15,17 82:8,	139:3,13 147:14
9:9 32:15 43:14	119:11 130:1	38:4,14 39:15	24 83:5,17 84:2,	149:5 150:7
66:20 80:15	133:19	62:18 103:21	14,24 85:9,14	152:10 153:1,
103:5 116:6	Ittress	114:23 115:5,25	86:20 87:17	15,19 154:8
124:14	31:14 32:10,13	116:3 117:2,25	89:5 90:25 92:22 94:8,9	157:25 158:3,5, 24 160:18
investigation	33:7 34:17	118:4 160:4	96:11 97:2,11	161:15 162:8
12:17 17:10		162:25	98:2,5,6,14	
39:17 49:18	T	join	99:7,18,22,25	judging
71:21 86:14	J	50:21,25 77:19	100:15 101:4,9,	72:14
109:2 120:15	James	113:2	22 102:7,12,15,	judgment
155:25	22:11	joined	20 103:20	28:11
invite	January	75:12 84:6	104:1,8,12,14,	judgments
125:2 163:11	19:9 129:11	joint	18,23 105:5,21	20:24
123.2 105.11	140:17 143:5	157:23	106:6,7,13,18	judicial
			100.0,7,13,10	

7:3 8:2 9:3,6,8	jury		89:18	24,25 50:2,9,17
15:4,14 16:5,8,9	11:14 27:1,3,6,	K	knowing	54:14,22 58:6
24:7 31:9 32:8,	18 89:19 90:2,		89:5	59:8,13,15 60:9
11,14 38:10,15,	16 99:11 104:19	Kathy	knowledge	61:19 62:24
16 42:7 43:7,10,	133:14	9:24 30:23	15:21 47:4,8	73:6,8,10 74:16,
13 46:14 48:10,	jury's	keen	knowledgeable	20 75:7 81:19
15,16 53:2 59:3,	134:11	100:7	69:6 106:11	84:8,11 87:15
9 65:16 66:10,	justice	keeping	kudos	97:20 98:16,17
13,16,19 70:15,	35:14 59:4 60:1	44:18 165:14	157:10	117:10 118:2
17,18 79:2 80:5,	61:18 63:21	kids	137.10	132:9,22 147:9,
8,11,14 83:10,	123:7,8,9,18,23	8:21	т	12
21 85:4,10,11	124:1,5 127:14,	kind	L	laws
101:20 102:23	23 128:8	21:5 25:4,9,21	lack	9:13 30:13
103:1,4 107:16,	129:14,18,22	28:22,25 35:13	18:12 19:4,10	32:19 40:25
19,24,25 114:25	130:4,6,16,23	45:23 46:4 47:9	26:21 40:16	43:18 64:20
115:24 116:2,5	131:13,17,19	50:2,12,17,21	56:14 106:25	66:24 78:6
119:3,12,13	132:11 133:8,25	51:17 55:12,20	111:21 118:6	80:19 100:19
124:6,9,13	134:24 135:7,	58:5 60:22	lacked	103:9 113:15
127:6 129:21	13,22 137:2,3,4,	67:19 75:4	84:1	116:10 122:11
155:21 158:13	5,10,18,23	87:11 88:12,13	ladies	124:18
160:2 162:5	139:4,25 140:25	89:1 94:10	7:1,18	lawsuit
judiciary	147:22,25	96:16 97:17,19	· ·	15:8,19 106:19,
82:3 95:25	149:2,5 151:12	106:5 110:14	lady	23 141:14
100:11 160:1	152:13,16	112:12,15 118:1	33:23	142:19 148:18
165:21	153:7,21 154:2,	128:22 140:19	laptop	150:5
juggle	4,6 155:14,16	142:5 144:21	147:9	lawsuits
54:9	156:6 157:16,22	147:15 160:23	large	84:15
Julie	158:7 161:9,17,	161:11	14:2 154:18	lawyer
44:5 64:16	20 164:7,9,13	kindly	Larry	11:23 17:23
July	165:2,4,9 166:2,	7:14 125:1	97:10	18:9 36:18
19:23 20:18	11,13	kindness	late	50:21 51:6,16,
129:16	Justice.'	53:2 59:4,10,14,	144:14	22 75:14,15,16,
jump	131:21	21,25 60:15	latitude	21 87:12,18
45:23 46:8	justices	kinds	130:17	88:22 134:19
jumped	23:24 27:5	129:20 141:15	launched	138:17,18
74:19	161:10 162:3	Kirshner	74:2	144:25 145:1
June	justly	46:3	laurels	lawyer's
129:16	59:23	Kittredge	161:25	13:6 87:23
juries	juvenile	61:18 160:5	law	lawyer-citizen
60:5,6	90:12,17	163:1	11:23 12:12,13	136:7
1	,- ·	knew	14:4 21:5 25:2	lawyers
jurists 154:3 165:20			33:14,18 45:14,	10:15 11:22
134.3 103.20		13:16 19:20,21	16,17,22 47:9,	10.12 11.22

				100
13:9 20:2,3,5,9,	legacy	45:24 51:21	listen	locate
20,25 24:12	156:16,17,19	82:6,19 95:3	150:21	64:13
51:11 53:5 61:4	legal	97:15 156:10	listened	location
82:4,5 83:16	7:5,20 11:7	levels	37:20 140:10	163:25
84:5,6 87:22	34:21 36:3	35:6 144:17	listening	long
88:8,18 89:4	45:10,13 46:9	levity	149:13	72:19 73:17
107:5 138:15	56:19,22 68:5	63:19 72:8,25	litem	87:4 150:20
141:18 142:9,21	73:19 81:15,23	liability	138:17,18	156:11 157:9
145:17 150:11	100:9 104:12	70:3	literally	161:9 162:4
153:19 158:8	113:9 114:13	licensure	28:15 60:10	long-term
lay-citizen	117:6,9 118:12	134:19	litigant	160:1
136:7	154:6	lie	156:21	longer
lead	legislation	134:13		82:15
25:9	158:16	lien	litigants 10:16 37:15	longevity
leader	legislator	56:9	69:5 88:23	93:14
160:7	16:17 38:24	liens	143:22	looked
leadership	48:24 71:2			50:1,20 100:1
154:7	85:19 108:8	56:2	litigated 96:23	140:3 142:21
leading	119:21 128:16	life		
133:16	145:1	19:1 33:12	litigating	Loquita 33:24
learn	legislators	35:13 51:24	18:20	
12:8 45:24 50:4	95:10 128:17	72:8,13 87:23	litigation	lose
68:19	legislature	143:18 146:18	11:16 12:4,7	110:19 165:18
learned	23:22 159:13	light 07:21 142:24	55:17,22 56:5	loss
19:19 53:20	legitimate	97:21 143:24	68:12 138:1	144:17
73:21 82:16	132:22	limitations	141:17 145:8	lost
150:22	legitimately	16:22 39:3 49:4	146:10,14	91:22
learner	28:22 60:11	71:7 85:24	litigator	lot
56:17	letter	108:13 120:1	11:12,25 15:5	19:6 20:4 23:15,
learning	16:12,16 30:12	limited	99:14 145:10,15	20 36:8 37:11,
45:16 164:21	38:20,25 40:25	144:7	150:2	16 50:14,15
leave	48:19,23 64:20	Lindi	litigious	51:5,19 52:10,
33:23 38:1	70:22 71:1 78:5	32:7 127:4	133:6 153:12	15,17 55:25
110:4 135:18	85:14,18 100:19	137:11	live	59:5,7 61:4 67:11 70:1
141:8 156:22	108:3,7 113:15	lines	17:21 33:16	72:20 73:22
	119:16,20	92:19	37:6	75:16 76:11
Lee 139:19	122:11	linger	lived	88:11 89:19,22,
	letters	154:24	35:13	25 91:16 95:8
left 27:10 50:15	55:5 61:5 62:5,	link	lives	105:6 112:5
37:19 50:15 54:10 73:19	13 109:23	140:10	72:15	122:4 146:10
87:10	level	list	localized	147:6,12,16,19
07.10	36:9,10,23	61:22	74:25	158:15,22
				150.15,22

161:14 162:4,5,	made	146:22,23,24	85:10,14 92:21	maxed-out
23	7:21 8:20 26:20	147:1 148:9	96:11 97:2,11	146:23
lots	31:25 42:25	150:1 158:4	98:2,6,14 99:7,	Maybank
62:14	87:23 102:14	makes	18,25 101:4	25:25
love	110:15 114:19	54:22 75:22	Mastantuno	Mcconnell
40:5,6 59:4,25	127:3 133:25	89:22 106:4	62:19	159:14
60:1 61:19 73:6	134:1,2 138:24	making	Master-in-	Mccoy
76:13 82:12	149:22 152:21	8:24 35:10	equity	61:18 94:8
147:11,14,15	153:4 159:19	60:25 66:5	47:3 94:8	Mciver
loved	161:18	79:25 89:10	104:16,24,25	62:3,4 64:1,6
33:8 74:24,25	magistrate	115:20 136:5	109:19	meaningful
91:22	11:14 35:8	malpractice	mastered	22:1
low	36:16	20:14	99:1	means
150:12	magistrate's	man	masters	56:18 158:22
Lowcountry	33:21 35:6,21	22:25 121:15	33:14	166:3
15:24 38:4 42:1	36:10,23 61:8	management	materials	measure
44:22 48:7	118:17	20:14	9:12 24:23	147:8
70:10 84:23	magistrate-level	managing	32:18 43:17	mechanic's
107:11 118:24	20:5	14:22	66:23 80:18	56:2,9
luck	magnetic	manner	103:8 116:9	mechanics
98:1 112:17	38:12	111:19	124:17	19:25
lucky	magnitude	Manning	matriculate	
26:16	35:24	139:14	47:11	mediation 23:18 28:4
Luke	mailbox	manpower	matriculated	89:21
55:4	129:13,14	44:23	46:25	mediator
lunch	main	manual	Matt	62:18
65:12 75:12	118:6 135:10	64:12	62:24	
120:23	maintain	mark	matter	medications 144:7
lure	73:17 88:14	87:10	58:15 88:21	
94:2	majority	marks	89:10 105:8	meet
) 1. <u>2</u>	154:9 159:17	92:14	138:4,12 140:6,	23:9 44:3 99:24
	165:17		14 141:9,20,21	meeting
M	make	marriage	142:14,22 146:3	77:7 94:6
M-E-I-S-N-E-R	9:21 10:4,22	75:22 145:6	matters	meets
125:15	33:1 35:16 37:3	married	73:1,2 84:18	34:17 45:6 68:1
Macey	43:25 44:15,19	33:22 62:24	89:25 90:18	81:11 104:8
147:21	53:4 59:12 62:2	75:14 93:10	97:5 105:1,2,6,	117:2
machine	68:19 74:3 81:1	Martin	7,10,18 131:3	Meisner
53:17	89:13,25 90:2,9	79:3,4,8,14,19,	137:25 139:12	125:3,8,14,19,
Madam	103:16,23	22 80:2,7,10,13	140:4 141:3	21,23 126:21
123:9 147:25	116:17 134:5,	81:4,10,15	145:17 147:10	127:1,2,7,11,19
165:9	12,20 142:5,8	82:24 83:5 84:14,19,20,24	148:11	128:1,6 129:24
l	The state of the s	. 04 14 17 /11 /4	1	1
		01.11,19,20,21		

120 0 10 121 7		1		1 -
130:9,19 131:5,	mercy	mind	47:13	moral
16 135:6	35:14 60:1	24:10 48:5	modest	118:1
136:14,18,24	Merit	53:15 61:16	27:7	morning
138:13 140:15	9:3,6,8 32:8,11,	72:13 140:13	modification	7:1,24,25 11:1,3
141:13 145:21,	14 43:7,10,13	154:6 163:13	159:23	17:17 23:6
25 151:4 163:6	66:10,13,16,19	165:14	modifications	24:19 31:5,6
Meisner's	80:5,8,11,14	mindful	159:8	34:10,11 49:25
139:11 165:16	102:23 103:1,4	154:17 165:16	mom	55:4 73:4 74:5
Mellen	115:24 116:2,5	minds	96:14	90:25 91:1,2
74:20 75:13	124:6,9,13	152:8	mom-and-pop	96:10,11,12
member	127:6	mine	52:19	98:5 114:22
36:18 153:2	meritorious	61:23 62:11	mom-and-pops	166:17
162:3,12	142:19	156:15	46:16	Morrison
members	merits	minor	moment	41:17 65:15,20
7:10 12:23	157:19	105:15	9:20 30:10	66:1,7,12,15,18
16:13,22 17:1,	message	minority	31:19 42:17	67:9 68:1,5,23
13 19:13 23:21	63:11	132:22,23	50:20,25 79:13	69:2,4,6,11
38:21 39:3,7,21	met	minutes	102:5 115:9	70:10,17,22
48:20 49:4,8,22	67:15 95:20	41:21 123:2	122:8 123:17	71:22 72:3 76:8,
64:7 65:7 70:23	109:18	150:16	125:23 136:5	15 77:4,10,13,
71:7,11,25	meth	miscues	151:20 159:24	18 78:16
82:13 85:15,25	22:25	153:17	160:9,10 164:6	mother
86:4 108:4,13,	methods	misdeeds	Moncks	93:9
17 109:7 114:9	162:5	134:8	103:21	motion
119:17 120:1,5,	meticulous	missed	Monday	7:6 52:21 57:7
19 122:23	69:2	135:20 164:2	166:17	60:13 63:9
127:20 130:21	meticulously	missiles	money	114:6 129:11,
137:7,22 147:25	142:2	74:2	20:24 147:3,4	12,13 131:23,
156:5 161:5	Micah	missing	money-driven	24,25 139:20
165:5,18	35:13 59:3,23	57:6 58:1 92:13	162:8	140:16 141:1
mental	60:2	mission	money-maker	143:3,7 148:20 154:5
16:2 38:7 48:12	Michael	126:18	29:21	
70:12 85:1	55:7	mistake	month	motion's
107:13 119:5	microphones	129:2	147:7	52:20
155:17	144:4	mix	months	motions
mention	mighty	55:13	75:14,24 91:11	11:19 13:1
51:15	162:7	mixing	133:11,12	27:12 28:9,10
mentioned	Mike	50:3	Moore	58:8 105:10
23:19 62:10	125:15	modern	44:5,7,11 132:9	129:20 139:10
103:23	millennial	47:9 54:14	moot	140:3,22 141:23,24 143:6
mentioning	73:8		142:24	154:20
63:18	/ 5.0	modernizing	174.47	134.20
	1	1	1	1

mouth		l mom	150:16	27:6
134:2,3	narrow 147:2	non- 142:18		
<u> </u>			numerous	offended
move	nature	non-jury	153:24	145:24
60:24 89:22	15:11 53:8	89:25 104:25	Nyla	offer
137:16 142:22	105:12,19	139:9,11	34:1	23:2 25:4 36:8
143:24 145:18	106:23 143:21	non-meritorious		40:15 44:10
151:18 154:18,	nay	142:15	O	69:13 83:11
23	7:11	nonetheless		84:2 96:5 118:7
moved	Nazia	122:7	oath	152:2 154:15
63:6	33:25	Norris	143:17	155:5
moves	necessarily	74:20 75:13	object	offered
154:4	29:19,20 54:17	note	8:23 44:13	20:7 26:21
moving	82:6 94:22	15:24 17:8	objected	112:25
143:15	126:11	30:16 34:14	133:7	offering
mud	needed	38:4,11 39:15	objection	23:11 24:14
126:20	102:14	41:4 45:3 48:6	32:2 43:2,5	30:20 40:20
Mullins	negative	49:16 55:5	66:4,7 79:24	65:3 76:25
74:21	40:17 100:3	64:17,24 67:23	102:17,20	101:3 113:24
multiple	106:16 121:4	70:9 71:19 78:9	115:20 124:2	121:15 122:20
55:11,16 157:18	144:15 162:10	81:7 84:23	objections	166:9
mundane	Nehemiah	86:12 100:23	90:6	office
105:6	106:20	104:5 107:10	observations	7:4 18:6 24:3
municipal	Nelson	108:25 113:19	135:4	47:1 58:2 59:2
104:18	74:21	116:24 118:24	observe	75:5 93:5
municipalities	nerve	120:14 122:15	68:19 69:21	114:22 138:25
46:17	144:15	124:13 155:1,	111:18	140:20,23
Murdaugh	neutral	15,24	observed	148:12,19
133:10 139:17	160:23	noted	69:4 112:23	oftentimes
mustache	Newman	101:15 121:22	observer	90:9
63:22 64:13	61:17 139:17	notice	92:19 160:23	older
	newspaper	109:13 131:24	obvious	33:25
Myers 132:9	9:14 32:20	notify	82:20	one's
	43:19 66:25	141:19	occasion	33:25
myriad	80:20 103:10	November	94:25	onerous
90:6	116:11 124:19	125:15		72:11
	Nice	nuclear	occasionally 27:11 105:9	ongoing
N		74:2		12:17
	23:8	number	occasions	open
named	night	24:24 27:5 46:7	88:25 132:15	30:17 41:5
26:16 141:16	94:7	60:14 69:14	occupy	64:25 76:19
names	nineteen	97:13 99:2	52:2	78:10 100:24
22:7 87:7	11:13 12:4	140:21,23 141:1	occurring	113:20 122:16
	18:20	1.0.21,23 111.1		110.20 122.10
			1	1

opened	orally		part-time	106:17
76:19	151:15		135:11	Paul
opening	order	P	participants	58:2
9:21 10:4 33:1	28:22 38:1	p.m.	105:24 141:17	pause
44:1,15,19 67:6	88:15 105:12	101:12 114:16	162:22	151:19
81:1 103:17,24	137:15 139:7	123:4 166:18	participate	
104:1 116:17,20	143:5 159:22	paid	136:6,8	pay 52:18 75:18
operate	orders	15:21,22 37:13	participating	146:21
55:24 151:16	105:6 141:7	56:5 109:12	22:15 152:3	PCRS
operated	143:4	110:20	parties	20:21
50:17	organized	pain	16:25 39:6 49:7	PDQ
opinion	159:6	20:24	71:10 86:3	8:14 34:15 45:4
132:18	original	pandering	108:16 120:4	55:14 66:2,3
opportunities	66:3	129:5	138:12 141:16	67:24 81:9
68:21 75:7	outbreak	panel	154:14	84:14 99:13
151:23 163:8,9	27:2	82:12	partner	104:6 106:19
opportunity	outcome	paper	14:22 26:16	117:1
9:20 10:7 35:5,8	16:18 38:24	154:22	44:5 50:14	peaked
40:22 43:25	48:25 71:3	papers	partners	104:23
45:15 67:10	85:20 108:9	92:2	14:8 46:4 50:10	peers
68:18 69:20	119:22 145:20	paperwork	partnership	77:23
78:17 99:23	148:20 152:4	95:8	14:23	pending
100:17 103:16,	outs	paralegal	pass	7:7 16:18 38:24
18 113:13 131:6	19:15,24	54:10,12	32:6	48:25 71:3
147:20 151:8,	outset	paramount	passion	85:20 108:9
10,12,14 165:25	150:17	112:8	45:16 61:24	114:5 119:22
opposed	outstanding	pardon	past	148:11,15
7:11 14:20	51:22 83:3	55:16	14:15 33:21	penicillin
114:10	129:11	parents	118:16 146:23	144:9,11
opposing	outwardly	9:25 33:9	160:24	people
63:14	83:15	parrot	pat	18:5,16 23:14
opposite	overview	117:15	9:25 148:24	25:13 26:9
83:13	11:10	part	path	28:21 35:10
opposition	overwhelmed	8:24 32:3 43:3	161:1	36:25 37:2,5,11,
9:18 32:24	44:23	53:15 54:14	pathfinding	21 40:8 46:14,
43:23 67:4	overwhelming	66:5 79:25	28:25	19 47:12 51:12
80:24 103:14	157:3 165:17	97:25 98:25	patience	52:1,6,15,16
116:15 124:23	owed	113:11 115:19,	87:1	53:18 58:7
optimism	10:14,18	20 118:21 126:3	Patient	62:10 67:12
72:18 73:11	,	127:3 129:21,23	83:4	76:10,11 83:12
optimistically		146:3 162:19	pattern	90:3 94:16,17,
73:4		166:5	Parter	22,23 95:12

	1	1	1	1
98:12,16 110:16	50:3 51:18 60:4	picks	pleading	pointed
112:10,11 129:7	61:21 66:11,14,	130:23	21:19	58:16 63:4
134:14 135:18	17 79:16 80:6,9	picture	pleas	poisoned
136:21 144:8,16	102:8,9,24	133:17	12:25 22:24	145:5
148:16 149:13	115:10,25	pinnacle	57:14 90:16	poker
150:13,16,25	123:20 124:7	149:23	106:21 111:18	92:9,10,11
158:3,22 159:4	personality	place	118:18 139:22	poling
160:12	77:6 154:1	14:18,19 25:5	140:14 141:2	133:14
peoples'	personally	26:13 37:3,23	pleased	political
72:14	61:21 133:5	129:5 149:9	153:13	17:23 162:15
perceive	perspective	placement	pleasing	politically
40:18 121:4	13:5,6 36:2,25	148:17	156:12	13:18
percent	46:21 47:11	places	pleasure	politician
57:18 68:9 74:7	53:11 61:22	25:11,12 33:16	86:22 146:8,18	13:17
perfect	136:12 165:17	placing	pledge	politics
95:6 96:21	persuaded	148:20	16:17 38:23	106:13 159:24
137:11,18	145:17	plaintiff	48:24 71:2	poor
perfection	pertaining	27:10	85:19 108:8	83:9
18:21	147:10	plaintiff's	119:21	
performance	Pete	25:18,23 62:17	pledging	poorly-worded 115:19
165:22	163:17	plaintiffs	17:5 39:12	
period	Peter	68:11 155:4	49:13 71:16	Pope 163:10
24:24 50:7,11	25:19	planned	86:9 108:22	
51:2,3	Pharisaical	163:20	120:10	popular
perpetual	58:20		plenty	162:7,13
60:17	phenomenal	planner 63:20	29:14	popularly
person	24:11 69:3		pocket	162:11,14,17
35:12 36:6 37:8,	philosophy	planning	144:20	portion
9 67:14 72:10	35:17 37:6 53:2	34:6 50:25	podium	30:9 39:25
83:3 84:22 85:6	59:3,9 60:7	69:18	7:22 125:4	56:12 64:14
87:19 88:2	phone	plans	131:9,22	78:2 100:16
91:17,19 95:13,	54:18	76:17	poetic	122:7 166:8
15,19 97:6	physical	plaque	130:17	pose
109:23 160:3	16:2 38:7 48:12	59:1	point	91:20
person's	70:12 85:1	play	15:22 23:15	posed
75:23	107:13 119:4	54:11 92:9,10	27:14 54:2 60:6	28:20
personable	155:17	106:13,14	63:16 73:5 81:5	position
38:13 119:7	pick	140:19	99:10 112:12	10:9,21 14:20
personal	138:25 139:1	player	134:22 150:11,	34:18 42:3 45:7
8:15 9:4 15:7	picked	92:11	24 152:11 153:4	48:4 63:4 67:16
31:20 32:9	28:21 52:4	plea	160:15	68:2 74:20 75:6
42:20 43:8,11	20.21 <i>J</i> 2. 4	22:19 29:3		76:19 81:12
,				
	l	1	I	I

	l	I	1	1
88:2 93:19	47:9,13 50:13	preparation	105:13 118:13	problems
101:3,10,16	52:14,23 53:21	35:2 62:20	152:21 161:19	161:11
104:9,24 105:22	54:14,22 55:15,	preparatory	previous	procedure
113:3 117:3,25	23 57:22 58:17	152:20	9:15 32:21	124:25
121:15,23 123:8	59:8,13 68:3,8,	prepare	43:20 67:1	procedures
131:8 135:8,9,	14,21 69:4,25	136:11	80:21 103:11	19:15
11,12 152:24	70:2 81:13,19,	prepared	116:12 124:20	proceed
165:10	20,24 82:1 84:9	69:6 141:4	previously	33:3 44:16 67:7
positions	90:14 98:17	present	74:21	78:21 81:3,4
133:14 156:17	104:10,15,20	9:18 32:24	pride	103:25 104:2
positive	105:20 110:22	43:23 67:4	150:12 157:6	123:6 132:3
15:2 36:14	117:4,12 118:3	80:24 94:7	165:23	proceeded
40:18 47:20	148:22 153:8	103:14 116:15	primarily	131:22
69:1 72:10 77:8	158:9	120:25 124:25	55:23 104:18	proceeding
83:2 106:10	practiced	133:2	prior	130:3
117:24 153:24	35:18 45:21	presented	16:18 20:18	proceedings
154:10	104:15	21:14	38:24 48:25	68:13,22
positivity	practices	presenting	60:2 71:3 74:23	process
61:24	130:18	157:14	81:18 84:16	7:23 28:25 30:9
possession	practicing	presently	85:20 99:14	36:7 39:8,25
22:25	33:18 73:8 87:4,	74:12	108:9 119:22	46:14 49:9
possibly	15 97:20 104:17	preserved	127:21 137:8	58:14 64:15
10:23 164:22	practitioner	64:2	priorities	67:11,13 69:15
potential	33:20 34:24	presided	51:24	71:12 76:20,23
12:15	45:25	106:22 145:16	Pritchard	78:3 86:5 93:22,
potentially	practitioner's	press	73:16,24 74:5	25 94:3,4 95:5,
30:14 41:2	53:11	19:7	private	12 97:25 100:16
64:22 78:7	praised	pressure	14:20 20:5	108:18 113:12
100:21 113:17	74:9	63:8	45:20 69:3 82:1	120:6 122:8
122:13	prayers	presumption	90:14 117:12	136:6 138:18
pours	77:12	91:18	118:2	140:19 141:15
75:17	precipice	pretend	privilege	150:7 151:18
power	18:3	98:18	165:9,11	152:3 157:17
109:12 128:14	precipitated		pro	159:1,2,7,16,18
129:6	50:12	pretended	37:14 138:11	160:13,22
powerful	preclude	132:16	163:10	166:5,9
132:9	151:6	pretrial	probate	processes
practice	predecessor	154:19	81:20 94:9	70:6 73:2
10:15 14:20	59:22	pretty	problem	product
18:23 19:4,16,	predominantly	11:19 27:14	42:4 128:15	55:7
25 23:13 34:19	45:21	53:17 73:21	129:4	profession
45:8,20 46:2,5,7		75:13 91:24		51:13 53:3
	I	I	I	1

55.05.01.5		.		
77:25 81:24	provide	pursuing	68:6 69:7 70:10,	questions
100:9,11 113:9	126:7	56:5	13 81:16 84:24	10:5,22,25 11:4
149:23,25	provided	push	85:2 104:13	17:12,14 21:18
professional	137:6	143:22 154:24	107:12,14,19	24:21 26:20
11:8 16:4 34:22	providing	pushed	117:7 119:1,3	30:6 33:3 34:9
38:9 45:11 48:9	15:9	69:17	127:15 155:16,	39:19,21 40:8,
68:6 69:4 70:14	provisions	put	18	16 44:12,16
81:16 85:3	100:6	28:2 34:2 57:21	qualify	49:20,22,25
104:13 107:15	public	89:24 110:14	10:8 147:7	67:8 71:23,25
110:19 117:7	14:19 20:4	111:5 129:9,13,	quality	77:16 81:3
119:2 149:22	72:21,22 94:15	14 135:12	158:7	86:16 89:17
155:19	112:2 117:11	136:20 142:24	quasi-news	90:11 99:20
professionalism	118:2 157:20	159:9,11,18	156:24	103:25 109:4,6
36:21 87:16	161:11,14,20,21	160:20,22	question	110:8 112:20
profound	162:10	Putnam	7:7 21:2,14 22:3	116:19 120:17,
144:16	publication	66:9 123:2	25:10 26:23	19,24 121:1,3
program	105:6	puts	28:19 47:15	146:7 147:13,
13:5 14:3	publicly-elected	152:24	51:4 57:19	21,23 148:1
105:24	161:15		58:18,22,23,24	152:12 153:5
progressed	published	Q	59:21 61:3,12	156:3,5 163:4
45:19	137:9		89:23 94:1	165:6
promise	pulled	qualification	110:21 114:6	quick
10:19	53:25 84:21	111:11 134:19	115:19 121:6,8	11:10 24:21
promptly	pulmonary	qualifications	128:7 155:23	quicker
143:15	144:8	9:10 16:1 30:18	157:10,12	89:23
proper		32:16 38:7 41:6	164:16	quickly
83:21 95:10	pun 55:17	43:15 48:11	questioned	47:24 57:7
properly		65:1 66:21	97:5 160:17	140:1 154:4
132:1	purportedly	70:12 78:11	questioning	quote
prosecuted	129:14	80:16 83:3 84:1	17:11 39:18	47:21 59:2
56:16	purpose	85:1 100:25	49:19 71:22	
	125:24 126:18	103:6 107:13	86:15 92:2	R
prosecutor 117:11	127:12 130:3	113:21 116:7	109:3 120:16	
	134:23	119:4 122:17	156:1	race
protect	purposes	124:15 125:25	questionnaire	59:6,22 76:11
159:23	99:11	127:14 128:7	8:16 9:4 15:7	raise
protected	pursuant	130:7 155:17,23	31:21 32:9	8:4 31:12 33:8
98:17 159:12	30:10 40:23	159:6	42:21 43:8	42:11 65:17
protection	64:18 78:3	qualified	66:11,14 79:17	79:5 101:24
159:15	100:17 113:13	11:8,24 15:4,25	80:6,9 102:8,10,	115:2 123:9
proud	122:9 124:24	16:2 34:22 38:5,	24 115:10,25	125:6
77:23 157:5	pursue	8 45:11 48:8,10	123:21 124:7	raised
	142:18			

17:9 23:21 33:8	158:2	reasons	152:12 163:13	referrals
39:16 49:17	read	15:3 17:20 26:5	recommend	105:9
71:20 86:13	24:5 62:12 72:5	46:7 51:8 61:20	93:24	referred
109:1 120:14	86:11 92:3	77:21 94:23	record	105:2
121:9 155:25	149:11,13	113:7 164:22	7:19 8:24 15:10	referring
rambling	154:22	reassuring	17:9 30:17 32:3	50:7 57:18
142:6	readily	113:5	34:3,14,16	refinements
ramifications	120:25	recall	39:16 41:4,24	159:19
36:4,7	reading	91:9	43:3 45:3,5	reflect
ran	86:23	receive	49:17 64:2,25	40:16 97:25
104:24 149:2	reads	7:5 36:24	65:14 66:5	reflected
range	154:5	received	67:23,25 71:20	96:17
104:22	ready	7:20 9:17 14:25	74:4 75:19	reflecting
ranges	15:6 28:3 56:19	16:17 32:23	78:10 79:1,25	163:15 164:3
21:23	60:17 81:4	36:11 38:23	81:8,9 86:13	reflection
Rankin	104:2 107:20	43:22 47:18	89:5 97:24	120:23
55:2,3,4 63:2	Reagan	48:24 67:3	99:11 100:2,24	
72:1,2 76:8	121:10	68:23 71:2	101:14 102:18	reflective
92:7,8 99:8	real	80:23 82:24	104:5,7 109:1	96:20
109:7,8,9 110:5	33:10 81:20	85:19 103:13	112:3 113:20	reform
114:7 121:5,6	91:15 105:1	106:8 108:8	114:18 115:20	158:13 160:2
156:6,7 163:17	133:24 159:5	116:14 117:21	116:25 117:1	163:9
rare	realize	119:21 124:22	120:14 122:16	regard
11:20 94:25	73:18	153:22	124:3 125:13	24:6 106:3
rat's		recently	126:4,9 127:4	112:2 141:25
156:24	realized 26:3 35:24	69:22 91:5	129:9 132:19	regular
	74:22 128:23	93:20 119:8	137:9,20 142:24	139:13 160:19
rate 146:13	129:2	recess	151:9,11,14	regularly
		31:4 41:22	155:24 157:2	73:21
rational	reams	65:13 78:24	166:18	reiterate
74:14	154:21	101:12 123:4	recording	70:1 76:23
rave	reappointment	recital	129:10 140:9	related
24:12	152:17 157:15	53:9	records	70:2 111:20
raving	reared	recognition	21:25 141:2	130:19 134:6
112:24	33:13	51:21	143:2	relates
re-litigate	reason	recognize	refer	148:2
126:2 127:17	25:4 26:6 52:6	10:25 34:8 45:2	64:12 106:16	relating
reached	59:16 74:22	52:6 67:21	reference	106:21
149:23	154:18	69:14 81:6	57:17 61:5 62:6	relative
reaching	reasonable	86:17 102:7	109:23	130:15
12:23	63:20 152:8	104:4 116:23	references	relaxed
reaction	reasoned	126:25 151:5	55:6	37:22
	83:5	120.20 101.0		
	•	•		•

release	repeat	reprimand	respected	retired
30:17 41:5	151:20	129:2	62:16,18	123:7,8 127:15
64:25 78:10	repeated	reprimands	respectful	149:15 152:17,
100:24 113:20	87:1	134:16	37:9	18 161:17
122:16	repeatedly	reputation	respectfully	retirement
relevant	150:13	16:4 38:9 48:10	127:3	139:14,19
69:12 154:4		70:15 77:22		146:9,22,24
remain	repeats 19:3	85:4 100:7	respond 36:21 83:23	147:1
30:17 41:5		107:16 113:8	142:14 151:15	returned
64:25 78:10	replaced	119:2,8 153:8,	152:25 154:14	74:19
100:24 113:20	144:22	19 155:20		reversed
122:16	report		responding	155:9
	30:18 41:5 65:1	request 148:19	149:12	
remaining 16:3	78:11 84:17		response	review
	100:25 113:21	requested	38:3 58:10	8:12 31:19
remarks	118:23,25	105:10 127:3	69:12 83:10	65:24 102:6
67:19 74:3	122:17 126:6	requirement	84:2 107:2	105:5 115:10
103:17,24 130:5	127:10	160:16	118:7 137:6,20	123:17 127:13
remedy	reported	requirements	151:13 155:4	136:2
19:10	15:25 38:5 48:7	34:18 45:7 68:2	responses	reviewed
remember	84:24 107:11,18	81:11 104:9	89:17	11:3 17:4 39:11
25:19 88:13	159:20	117:3 131:14	responsibility	49:12 71:15
93:7 134:4	reporter	research-wise	10:11 26:3	86:8 108:21
148:7 149:1	127:5 137:12	153:5	146:14 160:16,	120:3,9 127:21
159:10	140:11	resent	25 163:5	137:8
remind	represent	160:16	responsive	reviews
23:25 30:10	56:7 68:11	residence	63:21	24:12
40:22 64:17	81:21 107:2	34:19 45:8 68:2	restate	revive
78:3 100:17	Representative	81:12 104:10	118:15	143:12
113:13 122:9	7:7 17:16 21:8	117:4	restraining	rewarding
125:24	22:14 23:21	resigned	105:12	106:1
reminded	98:3,4,8,15	74:15,18	result	Rhonda
149:1,7	99:16 130:25	resolution	144:6 145:3	125:8,14 127:7
reminding	148:3,6 151:21	152:1	resulted	Richland
109:17	Representatives	resolved	106:24	139:1,13,18
renders	162:12	88:21 89:21	results	148:8,23
11:8 34:22	represented	respect	127:18	rid
45:11 68:6	12:15 46:15,16	21:18 22:10	resume	157:20
81:16 104:13	52:15,17 90:17	26:20 36:17	7:22 114:21	ridiculous
117:7	representing	51:13,14 69:9	118:16	128:9
renewed	12:18,21 56:3,8	75:10 88:24	retainer	rigors
139:2	87:19	151:25 163:4	56:4	53:21
			30.1	

		1 17 100 07	~	1011000100
ringing	25:24	15 130:25	Scarborough	18 119:23 120:2
54:18	Royal	148:3,5,6	94:8	122:8,14 123:6
risk	158:2	151:21	scare	136:9 151:18
64:11 91:20	rude	Rutherford's	27:14	159:1,7,9,18
River	126:13 154:13	22:14 99:16	scary	160:4,13,22
26:6	rule		67:11	166:5,7,9
Rivers	16:9 38:2,17	S	schedule	screenings
26:7,10	48:16 58:20,21		42:3 44:19	9:15 32:21
road	60:8,23 70:19	Sabb	101:17 121:23	43:20 67:1
52:10 128:20	85:11 92:17	96:8,9,12 97:3,	scheduled	80:21 84:16
roads	107:25 118:1	12 98:7,9 99:10	41:19 138:6	103:11 105:23
55:16	119:13 129:12	112:11,20,21	scheduling	114:22 116:12
Robert	141:6 143:9,14	163:13,14 164:8	41:16,25 101:15	124:20
29:11,14,15	rule-follower	Sadducaical	121:22 129:19,	screens
rocks	58:20	58:20	20	95:14
150:13,25	ruled	safely	scholarship	script
Rode	129:12 141:10	41:11 65:5	61:19	166:6
41:19,24 42:4,9,	142:15	78:15	school	scripture
10,13,18,23	rules	Safran	11:23 62:24	35:13
43:1,5,9,12	16:10 19:15	24:17,18 49:23,	76:1	search
44:4,9,13,14,18	27:24 37:16	24 58:18 59:11	schools	9:14 32:20
45:6,10 47:14,	38:18 48:17	86:18,19 110:8,	33:14	43:19 66:25
17 48:7,14	70:20 83:7	9,10 112:22	Scott	80:20 103:10
49:23 55:4 62:5	85:12 108:1	salt	62:11	116:11 124:19
63:24 64:5,14	119:14 124:24	86:24		seasoned
65:6	129:8 151:6,16	samples	screen 7:3 51:20 73:25	15:5
role	ruling	83:1	101:9	seat
54:12 55:10	73:1 89:3	sanctions		8:1 10:13,14,18
	rulings	145:12	screened	31:9 42:6 65:16
Romeo 125:16	51:9,10 89:10,	sanity	93:17 111:7	69:8 76:19 79:1
Ronald	25 90:9 143:23	53:25	152:16	101:20 114:24
121:10	153:13 155:9	Santee	screening	125:1 139:15
	run	109:14 146:12	7:23 10:7 16:19,	seats
room	18:6 20:13	sat	23 30:9,15	52:1 82:21
7:16 131:9,18	105:3	52:20 89:1	38:25 39:4,25	second-year
Rosalyn	running	146:17	41:2 49:1,5	14:4
138:21	10:13 51:8	Savage	64:15,22 71:4,8 78:2,8 85:21	seconded
rounded	111:13	45:20,25 50:9,	86:1 97:25	7:7 114:6
118:4	Rutherford	17,19 57:24	100:16,22	seconds
route	7:7 17:15,16	62:7	100:16,22	136:18
164:16	21:9 23:21	scale	101:16,19	seconds-worth
Roy	28:20 98:3,4,8,	136:15	108:10,14	136:16
	20.20 70.3,7,0,	130.13	107.17 113.12,	130.10

section	63:2 72:2 76:8	109:13 127:15	settling	showed
16:21 17:5 39:2,	90:23,24 92:7,8	139:3 146:9	24:25	88:23
12 49:3,13 71:6,	96:8,9,12 97:3,	147:6,7	seventy	shown
16 85:23 86:10	12 98:2,7,9	served	139:11	155:4
108:12,23	99:8,9,10 109:8,	15:18,20 82:10	severe	shut
119:25 120:11	9 110:5 112:20,	104:18 131:24	145:12	134:2,4 143:20
security	21 113:1 114:6,	161:9 162:4	sexual	side
104:22	7 121:5,6,17	server	70:3	12:3,11,16,18
Seek	156:7 159:15	138:18	shakeup	18:25 19:5,6,21
35:14	163:13,14	serves	26:10	24:9 25:18 28:8
seeking	164:8,9	38:13	share	37:21 56:1,9,10
69:7	send	service	113:6 136:11	57:16 58:11
seemingly	52:19 148:25	14:20 30:20	163:17	62:7 81:23
149:25	sending	40:19,20 41:8	sharp	98:20,24 131:23
select	77:11	65:3 72:21,22	165:1	142:14 155:6
10:9 94:13	senior	78:13 101:2	shed	sidebar
148:13 158:23	139:1,3,5 146:9,	113:23,25 118:2	97:21	88:18
162:8	21 147:4,8	122:20 139:2,3	sheer	sides
selected	156:16	147:4,5 154:2,	44:23 61:24	15:5 18:18 20:2
29:8 148:12	seniors	11 164:25		25:21 56:8
selecting	160:20,21	166:10	shocked 156:9	Sierra
44:24	sense	serving		125:18
selection	12:9 22:5 53:16	24:10 33:20	shop	sign
9:3,6,9 32:8,11,	112:13 142:8	152:18	70:3	164:2,3
15 43:7,10,14	sensing	session	short	signed
66:10,13,16,20	72:7	7:2,5,8,14,17,20	12:12 51:3	141:4,12
80:5,8,11,15	sensitive	114:8,13,16,18,	short-handed	significance
102:23 103:1,5	91:15,21	19 160:4	139:13	97:18,19
115:24 116:2,6	sentence	sessions	shorter	significant
124:6,9,14	21:22	12:19 20:6	166:6	46:6 51:7 56:22
127:6 158:11	sentences	24:13 56:23	shortly	111:14,15
162:5,19	22:2	57:5 91:6,15	114:15	129:19
self-	sentencing	98:22 118:17	shots	significantly
aggrandizement	21:23 36:5	set	144:9	94:18
64:11	sentiment	51:5 72:13	shoulder	signify
self-funded	162:10	94:11 117:14	153:16	7:9 114:8
52:16	September	setting	show	silk
semblance	75:9	36:5 91:19	34:5 35:14	157:9
53:24	serve	settled	60:24 83:19	similar
senator	35:22 64:4 82:9	25:14 142:12	84:12 126:14	21:11 23:25
7:6 23:5,6,8,11	94:24 104:23	settlements	141:3 148:24	133:9
35:23 55:2,3	105:21 106:5	105:15	149:10	133.7

			1	1
simple	52:1 53:3	small	sorts	speaks
21:3 63:13	139:20 148:13	26:5 46:16 54:4,	22:2 139:12	17:25 95:4
128:18 159:17	164:23	5 58:6 74:25	sought	special
simply	situation	smart	16:17 38:23	105:4,5 111:3
18:9 24:14	36:2 110:17	38:12 63:19	48:24 71:2	specific
148:10,14,22	138:16,23	69:8 107:20	85:19 99:3	83:23 105:9
149:4,14,15	143:16 162:18	smile	108:8 119:21	130:15 139:8
150:8 151:1	situations	72:9 73:17	soul	specifically
161:25	72:11 88:12	Smith	160:12	19:14 84:19
sincere	151:1	74:16,24 75:9	source	127:22 130:4,6
89:2	sixteen	snuff	156:24 157:13	speed
single	33:19 35:4	140:4	160:8	12:24 19:14
154:23	54:15	social	South	54:21
sinus	sketch	104:22	16:9 17:21	speed-read
144:8	142:10,11	society	30:21 33:9 35:5	140:4
sir	skill	36:7	38:17 45:14,25	spell
7:24 8:4 10:24	110:3	sole	48:16 65:4	125:11,12
31:10,17,23	skills	53:21	70:19 82:20	spend
32:1,5 33:6	82:5,18	solicit	85:12,24 86:9	28:5 150:15
38:19 39:1,5,10,	skimming	18:6	94:12 107:25	spending
20 43:1,6 45:1	72:6	soliciting	108:22 113:25	22:18
55:1 61:2 79:3,	Slambrook	159:4	119:14 120:10	spent
11,14 80:2	78:22 101:22	solicitor	122:20 128:8,15	24:9 33:12 91:6
86:21 87:7,9	102:1,7,12,15,	19:5	129:22 132:12,	sphere
89:21 90:13,22	20,25 103:3,20	solicitor's	22,23 133:19	55:24
91:3,8 92:6,10,	104:1,8,12	24:2 47:1 93:5	136:20 145:11,	spirit
24 93:1,3,6 94:4	106:7,18,20	solicitors	14 154:3 161:3	30:12 40:24
95:22,25 96:11	107:9,12,22	24:12 99:2	166:10	64:19 72:17
97:2,11 98:6,8,	109:6 113:1	112:2	sovereign	78:5 100:19
9,14 99:7,9,18,	114:1	soliloquy	107:1	113:15 122:11
25 101:6,23	Slambroook	133:4,5	Spartanburg	split
102:22 104:4	101:9	solo	91:7 92:4	50:16
109:11 110:7	slate	33:20	speak	spoke
113:25 115:13,	92:13		8:8 31:15 42:14	62:25
23 116:22	SLED	son 73:21 75:14,24	65:21 77:22	spoken
123:18	84:17	92:23 93:2	79:9 102:2	23:21 47:21
sit	slight		115:6 123:13	62:6
20:10 68:19	41:16 129:1	sort 45:23 46:1 13	125:9 132:14	spot
150:21 154:22 161:25	sling	45:23 46:1,13, 25 50:7,11,15,	144:3 151:10	89:24 93:20
	110:16	25 56:1 57:19	Speaker	stability
sitting 10:19 29:3 35:9	slow	61:14 151:7	163:10	16:2 38:7 48:12
10.19 29:3 33:9	56:17 154:24	01.17 131./		10.2 30.7 40.12
		•	•	•

13 11:13 :22 22:5 27:6 :21 32:19 :23 40:20 :18 65:4 :24 68:10 :14 77:8 :14 80:19 :21 82:23 :20 101:2 :3:9 113:24,25 :6:10 122:20 :4:18 125:12	status 13:1 146:9 149:15 statute 142:17 159:2 statutory 34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	street 50:22 69:23 75:3 164:1 strengths 47:6 strenuous 94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken 127:9	subcontractor 56:4 subject 89:9 162:9,14 submission 138:24 submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22 42:22 79:18
:22 22:5 27:6 :21 32:19 :23 40:20 :18 65:4 :24 68:10 :14 77:8 :14 80:19 :21 82:23 :20 101:2 :3:9 113:24,25 :6:10 122:20 :4:18 125:12	149:15 statute 142:17 159:2 statutory 34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	75:3 164:1 strengths 47:6 strenuous 94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken	subject 89:9 162:9,14 submission 138:24 submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
23 40:20 218 65:4 224 68:10 214 77:8 214 80:19 221 82:23 220 101:2 23:9 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 4:18 125:12	142:17 159:2 statutory 34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	47:6 strenuous 94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken	89:9 162:9,14 submission 138:24 submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
1:18 65:4 1:24 68:10 1:14 77:8 1:14 80:19 1:21 82:23 1:20 101:2 13:9 113:24,25 14:18 125:12	142:17 159:2 statutory 34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	47:6 strenuous 94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken	submission 138:24 submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
6:24 68:10 6:14 77:8 6:14 80:19 6:21 82:23 6:20 101:2 6:39 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 6:4:18 125:12	statutory 34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken	138:24 submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
2:14 77:8 2:14 80:19 2:21 82:23 2:20 101:2 3:9 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 4:18 125:12	34:18 45:7 68:1 81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	94:21 stressful 72:11 stricken	submit 151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
1:14 80:19 1:21 82:23 1:20 101:2 13:9 113:24,25 16:10 122:20 14:18 125:12	81:11 104:9 117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	stressful 72:11 stricken	151:8,13 submitted 8:17 31:22
:21 82:23 ::20 101:2 :3:9 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 :4:18 125:12	117:3 125:25 131:14 stays 25:5	72:11 stricken	submitted 8:17 31:22
2:20 101:2 3:9 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 4:18 125:12	131:14 stays 25:5	stricken	8:17 31:22
3:9 113:24,25 6:10 122:20 4:18 125:12	stays 25:5		
6:10 122:20 4:18 125:12	25:5	127.7	· д/// /У:ТХ
4:18 125:12		stripes	102:11 115:11
	steady	156:19	123:22 127:19
6:15 131:15	58:13 107:19	Strom	137:19
	steeped	40:2,3,4,11,14	submitting
3:21 154:3,11	57:9	76:6,7,24	16:12,16 38:20,
2:9 164:25	Steinberg	112:11 161:6,7	25 48:19,23
5:20,23,24	110:22	,	70:22 71:1
66:10		strong 26:11 53:18	85:14,18 108:3,
ted	step 7:15 67:14		7 119:16,20
:21 48:2	114:13,15	strongest	subset
0:9 155:22	126:17	69:7	98:18
ehouse		structure	substance
:12	stepped-up 106:5	159:22	58:15
ement		stuck	substitute
14,16 9:7,21	steps	144:20	12:3
):4 31:21	20:17	students	
:12 33:2			subsumed
:21 43:11			158:20
:1,16,19 66:3,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	succeeding
67:6 79:17		Í	112:17
12 81:2			successful
2:10 103:2	110:16		55:22 60:12
4:2 115:11	story		61:10 106:3
6:3,18,21	147:21	, ,	107:4
3:21 124:10	straight		successfully
7:11 164:17	21:19 72:25		56:16 97:16
ements	116:19 131:12	stuff	sudden
2:8 134:19	straighten	28:7 54:20	150:4
tes	146:11	90:12 121:10	sue
5 0 4 1 0	stream	subcontract	20:20
:5 94:13	58:13	56:7	sued
5:5 94:13 5:11			15:12,17,18
	:12 33:2 :21 43:11 :1,16,19 66:3, 67:6 79:17 :12 81:2 2:10 103:2 4:2 115:11 6:3,18,21 3:21 124:10 7:11 164:17 ements 2:8 134:19 es :5 94:13	:4 31:21 :12 33:2 stoic :21 43:11 37:6 :1,16,19 66:3, 67:6 79:17 :12 81:2 stones 2:10 103:2 110:16 4:2 115:11 story 6:3,18,21 147:21 3:21 124:10 straight 7:11 164:17 21:19 72:25 ements 116:19 131:12 2:8 134:19 straighten 146:11 stream	:4 31:21 stoic 37:6 study :21 43:11 37:6 study :1,16,19 66:3, 67:6 79:17 110:13 21 43:17,20 :12 81:2 stones 66:23 67:1 2:10 103:2 110:16 80:18,21 103:8, 4:2 115:11 story 11 116:9,12 6:3,18,21 147:21 124:17,20 3:21 124:10 straight studying 7:11 164:17 21:19 72:25 61:19 ements 116:19 131:12 stuff 2:8 134:19 straighten 28:7 54:20 90:12 121:10 subcontract

141:19	supposed	10,19 103:2	69:16 154:14	15:5 16:5 38:10
suffered	96:1 112:15	115:5,11,21	155:1 161:22	48:4,10 60:22
144:8,10	114:14 130:12	116:3 123:13,21	talent	62:20 70:15
suggest	Supreme	124:3,10 125:8	94:16	83:4,10,22 85:4,
20:1 22:18	22:11 23:22,25	Symmes	talents	6 87:2 106:12
40:17	56:16 57:8 61:7	67:21,22 68:4	82:18	107:17,19 119:3
suggested	91:10 123:8	70:9,16 71:19	talk	155:21
29:4 30:1,2	128:8 132:12	system	73:21 75:12	temporary
suggestion	134:15	17:25 24:7	76:17 98:11	105:11
22:15	surprise	46:19 47:12	135:3 150:21,24	Tempore
suggestions	83:12	51:12 89:22	talked	163:10
161:16	surprised	94:11 95:6	14:20,21 59:5,7	ten
suit	90:3	130:11 133:16	162:4	15:17 26:7 84:7
106:24 107:4	survey	145:23 148:17	talking	133:11 136:16,
138:14 139:11	9:12 32:18	153:16 156:14	36:22 58:7 63:3	18 139:10
138:14 139:11	43:17 47:19	157:24 158:10,	75:23 92:21	tend
	66:23 68:25	12,18,19,23	94:3,10,17	19:3 20:2 94:11
suited	80:18 83:1	159:23 160:8,11	96:16 132:4,10	tenets
26:4 106:4	103:8 106:9	161:18,22	142:3	53:1
sum	116:9 117:23	162:1,18 164:21	Talley	term
152:22	124:17 153:23	system-wide	7:6 23:5,6,8,11	21:18,20 139:9
summarily	surveys	130:18	90:23,24 114:6	150:2 156:16
132:20	15:1 36:12,13	systemic-level	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
summary	47:18 68:24	130:1	Talley's 62:12	terminology 107:1
28:11 107:8	82:25 86:24			
155:22	106:8 109:22		tangentially 68:13	terms
summer	117:22 153:22			28:5 52:4 56:20
14:3,5,7,15	suspect	table	tape	70:2 74:15 91:6
superb	157:2	61:14	143:19	92:15 95:9 112:7 145:7
96:24	swift	tables	targets	157:7,13 165:20
superlative	145:16	68:22	150:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
113:4	swiftness	tact	taught	terrible
supplement	145:16	157:8	51:4	142:6
141:8	switch	tailor	teach	terribly
supplemental	14:19	130:5	150:23	144:10
74:10		tails	technically	testified
supplied	sworn	142:5	33:11	128:10 160:6
140:10	8:7,13,16,25 9:7	takes	telling	testify
support	31:15,21 32:4,	89:4 160:24	87:6	9:19 32:25
130:1	12 42:13,21		tells	43:24 67:5
supportive	43:4,11 65:20	taking	146:5	80:25 103:15
14:21,22,23	66:6,17 79:9,17	13:3 22:24	temperament	116:16 124:25
11.21,22,23	80:1,12 102:2,	53:22 65:12	_	127:22
L				

	1	1	1	1
testimony	137:23 138:9	160:5 163:1	127:4 128:12	127:23 154:2
8:25 32:4 34:15	141:16 142:7	throwing	136:5,10 138:3	today
43:4 44:10 45:4	143:15,24	150:25	139:13 143:11	7:3 8:25 10:2,8,
66:6 67:23 80:1	144:10 145:6	thrown	144:14,16 149:2	20 17:11 30:22
81:8 102:19	146:15 147:13,	68:15 159:16	150:9 155:12	39:18 41:9
104:6 115:21	15 149:24	thumbnail	159:10 161:9	49:19 52:7
116:25 124:4	154:24	142:10	163:12	64:16 67:17
128:13 140:16	thinking	Thurmond	time-and-trial	71:22 73:17
thankful	22:23 83:15	46:2 58:2 62:9	56:19	86:15 101:14
44:24	thirteen	thy	times	103:23 109:3
theme	82:11,17	59:24 60:1	11:18 22:20	114:2 115:21
162:6,24	thirty	tickled	37:11 51:19	120:16 121:22
there'd	84:9 150:15	156:20	52:6 53:1 59:14	122:19 124:4
160:23	thirty-	Tillman	60:19 63:13,17	130:3 136:11
thing	104:15	62:23,25	87:13 88:23	140:16 151:24
22:20 33:22	Thomas		89:4 93:17,18	152:1 156:2
37:18 40:18	42:13 43:8,11	Timbes	100:12 109:20	166:7,16
46:12 52:25	45:6,14 47:21,	46:3 55:7 62:9	120:24 146:17	today's
58:5 61:15 63:4	23 48:2 59:1	time	149:2 158:1	127:13
69:15 86:25	60:7 61:20	7:10 10:25	timing	token
89:16 109:25	63:19 74:24	12:10 13:4 14:9,	14:24 74:15	60:16 95:18
113:5 134:20	thoroughness	17,24 19:19,22,	tired	told
135:16,21	36:18	24 22:18 23:13	121:10	11:22 59:3
136:15 138:19	thought	24:24 25:6,23	tiresome	72:19 127:16
140:15 142:6	13:12,14,24	27:11 28:5 29:3,	72:11	129:23 130:9
143:1,24 145:18	14:11,14 15:15	20 30:19 35:16,	Toal	144:3
158:17 160:10	20:11,16 63:18	17 41:6 42:25	123:7,12,18,23	Tommy
161:12	64:1 87:14,23	45:2 47:3 50:4,	124:1,5,8,11	55:7
things	88:19 96:21	9,11,16 51:3,10,	127:15 129:14,	ton
11:25 14:1,6	thoughtful	18,23 52:8,23	18,23 130:4,7,	22:10 25:17,23
18:5,20 19:20	83:4 85:7	53:13 54:13	16,23 131:13,17	26:2,3 28:10
20:23 28:12	106:15	58:12 65:2	133:8,25 135:7,	tone
51:1,24 52:9,12,		67:13,17,21	13 137:2,3,4,5,	144:19 145:24
23 53:22 54:8,9	thoughts	72:1,7,19 76:13, 14 77:7 78:12	10,14,19,23	Tonya
55:8 56:3 58:9	94:12	87:25 88:6	147:22 149:5	22:23 61:23
59:16 61:14	threaten		152:16 153:7,21	62:11
63:2,18 67:11	60:18	89:11 90:4 96:2, 19 99:23 101:1	154:4,6 155:14,	
69:18 72:20	three-week	19 99:23 101:1	16 156:6 157:22	top 61:16
84:11 87:14	90:5 138:5	111:8 113:22	161:20 164:7,9,	
93:4 97:8	throat	111:8 113:22	13 165:2,4	topic
111:19 112:6	144:8	114:5,9 116:21	166:2,11,13	46:9,10 131:1
128:17 129:6,21	throw	122:4,18 126:17	Toal's	totally
133:7 134:15	110:16 150:13	144.4,10 140.1/		156:23

touch	13 28:15 57:10,	type	57:18 89:8,20	update
20:3 147:14	25 58:8 69:21	11:19 36:6	101:19 105:19	115:15
touches	89:19 90:4,16	73:12 75:2	129:8 135:10	updated
60:14 94:5	97:22 99:11	105:20	understands	123:24
tough	104:19,25	typed	36:1,6,7 47:24	updates
162:7	111:18 146:16	15:16	118:2	8:19 31:24
trained	tribute	types	understood	42:25 79:21,23
158:8	100:8	118:20	126:21 129:4	102:14
transaction	troubled		150:17	utility
56:8	94:2	U	undertaken	23:2
transcripts	troubling		130:7	
60:2	149:19	U.S.	undertaking	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
transition	true	161:13	10:10	
50:12	11:24 152:5	ultimate	unequal	vacate
transitioned	trump	151:25	135:23	89:3
46:2	59:15	ultimately	unethical	valid
transparent	trust	60:12 97:21	95:1	84:13 154:25
160:11	160:12	126:5 139:16	unfair	Van
transpire	truth	unattainable	18:17,24,25	78:22 101:9,22
51:2	8:8,9 31:15,16	13:14	95:13,15 135:25	102:1,7,12,15,
travel	42:14,15 65:21,	unattended	156:23 157:2	20,25 103:3,20
30:23 65:5	22 79:9,10	139:21	unify	104:1,8,12
78:14 81:21	102:2,3 115:6,7	unclear	158:18	106:7,18,20
treat	123:13,14	132:13	unique	107:9,11,22
146:19	125:9,10 143:19	unconstitutional	21:12 46:21	109:6 113:1
treatment	turn	130:24	117:14	114:1
139:8	76:18 132:8	understand	uniqueness	variations
treats	142:9	17:4 20:25	24:6	162:6,24
69:9	turned	24:23 31:8	United	varied
trial	121:11	34:25 35:1,2,10,	145:11	81:20 117:10
11:14 20:7	twelve	11 36:3 39:11,	unmask	119:7
22:15 23:20	81:23 82:2,11,	14 49:12 58:22,	144:3	variety
24:1 27:19,23	17 84:4 88:9	23,24 71:15	unnecessary	56:3 105:1
90:5 97:15,16	twenty	72:24 86:8	87:23 88:20	107:3
133:13 138:5	52:10	90:11 92:9	unusual	vast
144:25	twenty-plus	98:23 108:21	42:2 101:16	153:10 154:9
trial-like	82:1	120:9 126:10,	121:23	165:17
57:9	two-	11,15 132:5,12	up-to-	vein
trials	90:5	135:8 136:8	109:12	60:9
11:14,21 12:25	two-person	152:6,7	up-to-date	venue
22:15 27:1,3,6,	68:15	understanding	109:15	129:6 130:18
, , , , , , , ,		7:25 28:1 51:5,9		1

vandiat	l waina	watshing	41.2 64.22 79.9	
verdict 11:20,21 57:4,	voice 73:12	watching 34:6 136:2	41:2 64:22 78:8 100:22 113:18	wild 22:20
10,25			122:13	
*	volumes	water		Williams
verdicts	17:25	160:5 163:2	well-	114:23,25
99:13	voluminous	waters	118:3	115:1,5,13,16,
verification	165:14	94:2	well-liked	22 116:1,3,20
9:13 32:19	vote	wax	118:4	117:2,6,21,25
43:18 66:24	136:19,21	130:17	well-oiled	118:4,25 119:11
80:19 103:9	159:17 162:17	ways	53:17	120:20 121:17,
116:10 124:18	voted	24:6 96:20	well-rounded	20 122:3,22
versus	149:3,7	97:13	47:22	willingness
68:22 75:4	votes	wear	well-worn	64:3 96:5 97:14
vets	7:21 114:20	144:17,21	64:13	121:24
157:18	159:4	wears	West	wiser
vetting		63:22	103:21,23	160:2
94:5,21 139:15	\mathbf{W}	Webb	109:13	wishing
159:2,15 162:19		126:25 127:1,8,	whatsoever	77:9
vexatious	waive	12 128:4 137:1,	102:21	withdrew
145:10,14 150:2	104:1 116:20	2,5,11,15,18	Whelan	142:23
vibes	wake	147:22 152:12,	74:20 75:12	witnesses
77:8	73:6	14,15 155:15	whims	9:18 32:24
victim	wakes	Webex	160:9 162:9,15	43:23 67:4
91:22	74:5	105:16	whip	80:24 103:14
view	walk	website	60:3	116:15 151:17
30:13 41:1	35:14 59:4,23	15:14,15	who'd	wolves
64:20 78:6	60:1 61:7	week	36:25	68:16
100:20 113:16	wanted	28:17,18 36:24		wonderful
122:12 132:23	13:13,23 14:2	138:6	wholeheartedly	36:15 67:11,15
160:15	23:12 25:17		134:18	146:8 159:25
views	33:16 35:22	week-long 27:19	whomever	164:17 165:1
126:15	62:5 63:16		61:9	wonderfully-
violations	97:23,24 99:5,	weekend	wide	digitized
30:13 41:1	15 112:12 129:2	34:4	118:13	144:22
64:21 78:6	158:7 159:11	weekly	widely	word
100:20 113:16	161:8 163:17	105:23	95:24	121:2
122:12	164:23	weeks	Wieters	words
	wanting	51:2 90:4 91:6	72:9	63:12 142:23
violative	111:6	138:8 147:6	wife	
130:7 131:14	warm	weigh	9:24 44:5	work
Virginia	74:6 83:6	91:19 165:15	wife's	25:12 26:1
94:12 158:12		weight	14:18	29:11 34:7
vis	warrant 57:25	30:15 35:11		44:21 47:23
126:19	31.23			58:3,4 61:18

62:7,8,19 63:5,	writing	23 82:1,2,11,17	
11 70:6 73:15,	75:15 143:3	84:5,7,10 88:9	
16 75:2 76:13,	written	95:21 97:12	
14 97:9,24	69:10 75:19	104:10,16,17	
98:19 100:13	83:8 117:23	105:25 110:3,23	
112:16 122:2	118:5 131:3	111:8,9,16	
146:10 149:14,	137:6,13,20	112:10,23	
15 152:5,25	154:12	117:4,12,13	
161:2 163:21	wrong	118:9,12,14	
164:20	40:5 87:18	138:5 144:24	
worked	92:20 94:23	146:23 153:14	
14:24 24:2	97:22 155:8,12	162:11 163:19	
25:24 28:4	162:13	164:6	
50:10,21 59:5	wrongful	yesterday	
61:5 72:10	105:15	36:24 75:24	
74:24 159:7		128:19	
working	Y	York	
25:13 74:23		33:9	
96:2 135:14	y'all	young	
140:2 161:19	128:12 136:19	22:12 26:7 44:5	
workings	160:25 166:13	68:14 87:12	
19:24	y'all's	121:14 144:7,11	
works	67:17	younger	
67:19 121:16	year	87:3	
133:20 161:22	19:23 27:20	youth	
162:1	50:7 68:8 98:9	144:6	
world	105:14 129:16		
58:14 166:3	133:12 138:4	Z	
worn	year's		
144:24	160:4	zest	
worried	year-to-year	72:18	
143:10	117:20		
worth	years		
63:18 154:25	11:13 12:4 14:9		
worthy	15:17 18:15,20		
165:11	24:8 25:25 26:4,		
Wrapping	8,17,25 27:5		
16:7	33:19,21 34:19		
write	35:4 45:8 51:25		
55:8 56:15	52:10 54:16		
	57:20 68:3 73:7,		
writer			
writer 72:9	8 76:16 81:12,		