```
1
    STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
2
    COUNTY OF RICHLAND
3
4
               JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
5
                  TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
6
7
    BEFORE:
             REPRESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., CHAIRMAN
8
              ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
9
              SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, VICE-CHAIRMAN
10
              REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY
11
              REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
12
              MR. ANDREW N. SAFRAN
              MR. JOSHUA L. HOWARD
13
              SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
14
15
              SENATOR TOM YOUNG, JR.
16
              MR. MICHAEL HITCHCOCK
17
              MR. ROBERT W. HAYES, JR.
                            * * * * *
18
19
    DATE: November 28th, 2017
20
           10:00 A.M.
    TIME:
    LOCATION: Gressette Building, Room 105
2.1
22
                   1101 Pendleton Street
23
                   Columbia, South Carolina 29201
24
25
    REPORTED BY: LISA F. HUFFMAN, COURT REPORTER
```

Page 2

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
4	JOEY RANDELL FLOYD
5	Examination by Mr. Fiffick 7
6	Examination by Representative Murphy 17
7	Examination by Senator Young
8	Examination by Senator Rankin 23
9	Examination by Chairman Smith 29
10	
11	JENNY A. HORNE
12	Examination by Mr. Maldonado 43
13	Examination by Mr. Hayes 51
14	Examination by Senator Young
15	Examination by Senator Rankin 56
16	Examination by Senator Sabb 64
17	Examination by Chairman Smith 65
18	
19	THE HONORABLE JENNIFER BLANCHARD MCCOY
20	Examination by Ms. Benson 71
21	Examination by Senator Young 79
22	Examination by Mr. Safran 84
23	Examination by Senator Rankin 86
24	Examination by Representative Murphy 92
25	Examination by Chairman Smith

1	* * * *
2	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX
3	(No Information Requested.)
4	* * * *
5	EXHIBIT INDEX
6	PAGE
7	EXHIBIT NO. 1
8	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Personal Data
9	Questionnaire for Joey R. Floyd.
10	EXHIBIT NO. 2
11	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Sworn Statement
12	for Joey R. Floyd.
13	EXHIBIT NO. 3
14	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Personal Data
15	Questionnaire for Jenny A. Horne.
16	EXHIBIT NO. 4
17	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Sworn Statement
18	for Jenny A. Horne.
19	EXHIBIT NO. 5
20	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Personal
21	Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Jennifer
22	Blanchard McCoy.
23	EXHIBIT NO. 6
24	- Judicial Merit Selection Commission Sworn Statement
25	for The Honorable Jennifer Blanchard McCoy.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're back on the record
3	and Mr. Safran moves we come out of executive
4	session. All in favor say, "Aye."
5	(The Commission members comply.)
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: The "ayes" have it.
9	We're out of executive session. Let me state
10	for record while we've been in executive
11	session, no decisions were made, no votes were
12	taken. All right. Mr. Floyd, appreciate you
13	being here today.
14	MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're running just a few
16	minutes behind. We're ahead of schedule for
17	what we've normally been doing so you ought to
18	be happy about that. Mr. Floyd, will you
19	raise your right hand, please, sir?
20	(The candidate is sworn in.)
21	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Floyd, before you,
22	you have the personal data questionnaire and
23	the sworn statement. Are these documents that
24	you have submitted to the Commission?
25	MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And are they both correct
2	to the best of your knowledge?
3	MR. FLOYD: To the best of my knowledge.
4	Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Anything need to be
6	changed or updated at this time?
7	MR. FLOYD: Not unless you can tell me
8	there's something I can do to make it better.
9	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. I don't know if we
10	can help you in that regard. Do you have any
11	objection to us making this an exhibit to your
12	sworn testimony?
13	MR. FLOYD: No objection.
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Without objection, we're
15	going to make that an exhibit to your sworn
16	testimony.
17	[EXHIBIT NO. 1, JUDICIAL MERIT
18	SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
19	QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MR. JOEY R. FLOYD,
20	ADMITTED.]
21	[EXHIBIT NO. 2, SWORN STATEMENT FOR JOEY
22	R. FLOYD, ADMITTED.]
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Floyd, the Judicial
24	Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly
25	investigated your qualifications for the

1 bench. Our inquiry has focused on nine 2 evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot 3 box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, verification of your 4 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 5 6 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 7 study of previous screenings and checks for conflict of interest. 8 9 We received no affidavits today filed in 10 opposition to your election and there are no 11 witnesses present to testify. So do you wish 12 to make a brief opening statement to the Commission? 13 14 MR. FLOYD: I'm kind of glad to not see 15 anybody back there. I guess that's a good 16 thing. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Makes it easy, doesn't 17 18 it? 19 MR. FLOYD: I just want to thank you all 2.0 for your service and appreciate what you all are doing. I know it's a big sacrifice for 2.1 22 you all to do this. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. 24 Will you answer any questions that counsel may 25 have for you, please, sir.

EXAMINATION

(By Mr. Fiffick)

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Mr. Floyd meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice. Mr. Floyd, how do you feel your legal and professional experience renders you qualified to be an effective Circuit Court judge?
- A. I have been practicing law now for, this is starting my seventeenth year of practice. I would like to think that I've seen the good, the bad and the ugly when it comes to practicing law from opposing counsel. I think I'm prepared to take the next step. It's something that I've always wanted to do and look forward to hopefully one day being able to serve the public by being a Circuit Court judge.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Floyd, the Commission received 90 ballot box surveys regarding you with 12 additional comments, only two of which were negative. The survey contained positive comments to include, "That Mr. Floyd has an excellent

1 temperament and demeanor. Would make as excellent 2 Circuit judge." Comments described him as, 3 "Patient, but firm with a keen legal mind and is a quick study." One comment points out that, "As a 4 5 judge, Mr. Floyd's modest, small town background 6 and experience with complex litigation combined 7 would be an asset in helping him deal with parties before him while on the bench." Again, only the 8 9 two written comments expressed concerns. Floyd, one concern indicated that you may be 10 11 unprepared at times and you just failed to make 12 good use of your client's resources. How would you 13 respond this allegation? 14

A. I would -- I would beg to differ. I am used to dealing with people that are financially challenged. I think it's our job as lawyers to find the most cost efficient beneficial way -- economical approach to get to the result that the client's looking for. So I'm not real sure where that's coming from.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Floyd, another ballot box response raised concern about your lack of criminal experience while only 2.2 percent of respondents found you unqualified in the overall category of experience, how would you respond to the issue of a

lack of criminal experience?

Α.	Sure. In today's world when we come out of law
	school I think we are somewhat forced to choose
	between civil and criminal. There are very few, I
	would suggest, very few general practitioners who
	practice both civil and criminal law. With that
	said, I have I have taken the South Carolina
	Attorney General's Special Prosecutors class. I've
	begged and pleaded with the Attorney General's
	Office, some of their staff attorneys, to let me go
	along with them to continue this special prosecutor
	role. And I'm still emailing and communicating
	with them in trying to do that. I acknowledge it's
	it's somewhat of a weakness but it's not it's
	not fatal. First of all, I think whenever you
	don't feel that you have have the confidence
	sometimes you can get so comfortable because you
	think you know the subject matter and you can get
	steam rolled quite honestly. But if you have just
	a little bit of fear sometimes fear is better is
	a good motivator. I'll give you a couple of
	examples. Within the past three years I've started
	handling more appeals. Maybe I'm winning more or
	losing more, I don't know, but maybe more things
	are being highly contested. And that's one thing

that I've learned. That you -- you need to run scared whenever you have an appeal because those deadlines are very firm and you need to make sure you meet those. I've also in recent years, within the past three years, picked up a fairly large bankruptcy case representing a United States Trustee. And four years ago, I didn't know really where the bankruptcy court was much less the procedure and forms that you needed to file. But I have since that time picked up quite a bit of knowledge on the bankruptcy side. And another thing that I've also found is you can't be afraid to pick up the phone and ask people questions and more often times than not people are absolutely more willing than not to help you if you have a question about something. So with -- with the help of good mentors, a little bit of fear, I certainly believe that I can -- I can pick up. And of the two, I will say this, I will add this add, of the two between civil and criminal I believe based on my conversations with sitting judges, former judges, the general consensus to me seems to be that if you learn the civil, if you've get a handle on the civil side, the criminal side comes to you because you already have a basis for the procedure

and that sort of stuff so that's how I would -- I would address that.

2.0

- Q. Thank you, sir. At the risk of being redundant, I will ask you in your PDQ from the current screening you indicated that over the past five years your practice has been ninety percent civil and ten percent other matters. What percentage of the other matters that you described, what percentage of that was criminal work?
- A. You know, nothing beyond the special prosecutor training and the occasional city matter or Magistrate Court matter for a friend or something like that. Nothing of any substance that I would -- I would submit to this Commission that would qualify as criminal experience.
- Q. Thank you, sir. This concern of a lack of civil experience was raised by the Commission in your screening back in 2012. Is there anything else you'd like to address and tell the Commission about what you've prepared -- done to prepare yourself for criminal matters, if elected?
- A. Sure. I've taken a number of CLEs over the past couple of years. I've begged and pleaded with my friends who are criminal attorneys to let me tote their bag when they go to trial just so I can

2.0

listen along with them. But it seems that they always either -- they're concerned I must be going to get in the way or either they settle out before they plea out before they go to trial. So I'm -- I'm working on it. I've taken the special prosecutor training trying to get my own experience. I'm sending emails constantly trying to get on a trial. I've tried to reach out to the Forest Acres folks to try to help me -- let me get in there with them, but apparently there's not a lot going on in Forest Acres either.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Floyd, you've indicated in your PDQ that a lawsuit was filed against yourself, several other attorneys and several Circuit Court judges by a disgruntled debtor in U.S. District Court in 2005. Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit.
- A. Sure. I found myself in good company. Every
 Circuit Court judge in Richland County was sued
 along with then Clerk, Barbara Scott. The debtor
 claimed to be a sovereign nation himself. And I
 don't if anybody on the Commission's ever had
 experience with those folks. They can be very
 difficult to deal with. Reason and logic don't
 necessarily work with those folks. But I ended up,

1 my client, he rented a backhoe and I proceeded with 2 a claim and delivery action. I got the backhoe 3 repossessed for my client. Shortly there after he filed suit against me for a lot of different stuff, 4 he sued me, I think, it was in Federal Court, I 5 6 believe. And he also appealed that to the 4th 7 Circuit which was dismissed and I think that he 8 actually got to have a chat with a federal judge 9 before he was able to sue anybody else in Federal Court before they would issue a summons. 10 11 found myself in good company like I said with the 12 Clerk of Court and all the judges. Kind of told me 13 that I was doing my job. 14 Thank you, sir. Mr. Floyd many candidates have Q. 15

Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Floyd many candidates have expressed that the opportunity for trial experience has decreased over the past decade. Given your experience how do you see this trend affecting the civil justice system in South Carolina in the coming years?

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

A. Without a doubt the presence of trials are, even with -- during my sixteen, seventeen, eighteen years that I've been working in a law firm, it seemed that we had a lot more trials going on back in the early 2000's. I think it's a direct function of arbitration. I also think it's a

24

25

direct function of court resources, the time that it takes to get a case from -- from filing to trial. Some folks don't want to wait the sometimes two to three years. Another thing is the cost, without of doubt. The driving factor in a lot of these cases, even the ones that I handle is you do the economic analysis on it and it just doesn't make sense to litigate something for three years when you can make a settlement that eliminates the risk, ends the case and all that sort of stuff, so it's unfortunate. I have had the privilege of trying cases in jury trials and unfortunately I've been punched in the mouth a couple of times, but you know, I think that makes us all better lawyers and it -- it makes you run just a little bit more scared and have a little bit more fear that something bad can happen. But I don't that these, the folks coming out of school now, are going to have the same trial experiences that I even had fifteen years ago.

Q. Thank you, sir. I have some housekeeping issues to take care of. Mr. Floyd, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in the Rule 501 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

1 | A. I am.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Mr. Floyd, since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 5 A. I have not.
 - Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 10 A. I have not.
 - Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or
 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in
 this process on your behalf?
 - A. I have not asked anyone nor am I aware of anyone doing that.
 - Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging in South Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 | Q. Thank you, sir.

MR. FIFFICK: I would note that the

Midlands Citizens Committee found Mr. Floyd to

be well qualified in evaluative criteria

of ethical fitness, character, professional

and academic ability, reputation and judicial temperament. And qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability and experience. Mr. Floyd, and again, at the risk of being redundant, while finding you qualified in the area of experience the Citizens Committee commented that I -- and I quote, "Mr. Floyd possesses superior intellect and has considerable trial experience. We are concerned with his lack of criminal experience and his relative youth." While you've already addressed this issue today would you care to comment further as to your lack of criminal experience.

MR. FLOYD: Oh, I thought you wanted me to address my youth -- my relative youth. No, I think I've adequately addressed that. And I would note that I majored in civil engineering down at The Citadel and that was a pretty rigorous education in and of itself so I am perfectly well equipped to handle any new challenges that may come my way and I'm ready to hit the ground running.

MR. FIFFICK: Thank you, sir. Mr.

Chairman, I would not note for the record that 1 2 any concerns raised during the investigation 3 by staff regarding the candidate were 4 incorporated into the questioning of the 5 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 6 further questions. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. Any questions 7 8 for Mr. Floyd? Representative Murphy. 9 REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: What company were 10 you in? 11 MR. FLOYD: I was in -- I started out in F Company and went to L Company. I was a Supply 12 13 Sergeant in L Company and then I finished up on Third Battalion Staff as XO. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you. That's 16 all I have. 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young. 18 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 Mr. Floyd, thank you for your interest in 2.0 serving on the Circuit Court. I see from your PDQ that you've been a candidate before and I 2.1 22 want to applaud you for your continuing 23 interest in serving the Circuit Court and our 24 state in that capacity. One question I have 25 for you is how would you describe your

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

judicial philosophy with respect to the application of the law to any facts that would be before you or any issues that would be before you on the Circuit Court?

MR. FLOYD: I think a judge is responsible for calling balls and strikes and reading the case law that's out there and interpreting the case law. My job is not to make laws. Fortunately that's up to most of you on this Commission and to -- to not try to make laws from the bench. Sometimes you have things that come to you that maybe just a little bit of a twist but generally speaking case law in South Carolina is pretty developed and you can interpret that and you can find the answer usually if -- if you research long enough a court somewhere has dealt with this issue that you're going to be dealing with and it's your job to interpret the law as our courts in this state have done and call balls and strikes. And -- and one of my -- one of my goals would be to -- to rule one way or the other and not delay. Some things that I have learned over the years in my practice is sometimes, obviously I want the judge to rule in my

1 favor, but more often times than not my client 2 just wants a ruling so we can know which way 3 to go. Balls and strikes, did we win, did we 4 lose, do we appeal? And some people just want closure. So, I mean, I think, as to your 5 6 philosophy my job would be to interpret the 7 law and my actions would be to be prompt and 8 get it done. That would be my answer. 9 SENATOR YOUNG: What is -- how familiar 10 are you -- you've practiced your entire legal 11 career with the same firm; is that right? 12 MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir. I -- I was a law 13 clerk there and they were crazy enough not to 14 fire me so I wouldn't go away. 15 SENATOR YOUNG: Well, it's a really good 16 firm that you're part of by the way. And you 17 all have eight, ten lawyers? 18 MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir. 19 SENATOR YOUNG: Eight lawyers? Ten? 2.0 MR. FLOYD: At last count, I believe, it 2.1 was nine. Yes, sir. SENATOR YOUNG: So a little less than ten 22 23 lawyers. And so that's a smaller firm. You 24 know, you're not a solo practitioner but 25 you're in a smaller firm.

MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir.

SENATOR YOUNG: So do you, in the years that you've been practicing, have you gotten to have an appreciation for the challenges that face lawyers who come from smaller practices in terms of the time constraints that they have in meeting the requirements of handling cases in multiple courts and being pulled in all different directions and having family obligations as well?

MR. FLOYD: Absolutely. A lot of my friends are from -- probably know that I grew up in Turbeville, so I have a number of lawyer friends who I have met over the years in Sumter and Kingstree and Manning and all the small towns here and around some of which are even lawyer legislators. And I have -- I think you start your legal career with this -- with this -- this effort to try and be the cross carrying person that you are, you know, you know you're going to carry it across the line. But then as you get older and you have children and you understand the challenges and the demands, I don't want to say you get more forgiving, but I think you get more relaxed

25

and you understand that you can't hide -- you can't use these foot faults and these issues on people or the hardships that you may put on them by not consenting to a continuance. Or is it something that you really want to waste the court's time on by not trying to work with the other lawyers. And I've even experienced Not only do I practice law, I have within the past five or six years, I also started coaching youth soccer and quite honestly it's probably been great for me because it's a good relief. I get out and I'm able to go run around with nine, ten year old, twelve year old little girls and that's my stress relief. That is my hunting and fishing for lack of a better term. I have two daughters who are in that age group right now and a lot of times I have to leave the office a lot earlier than my -- my colleagues and all that sort of stuff. But I get in early, I mean, I get in and I get the job done. And I think that's opened my eyes a lot, having children, and understanding that people have lives more so than just this case, that case. And somebody told me something recently which it means -- it has

23

24

25

really stuck with me recently. Every piece of paper that you have on your desk at some point will become meaningless and if you've ever left a sheet of paper on your desk for two to three years whenever you come across that sheet again that case has probably been settled or it's been through a trial and -and it brings up memories and you immediately throw it away. Well, I think there's a lot of truth in that. The way we treat people I think is more important than whether you win or lose that case. I mean, you can still win the case but you have to treat people decently because it is a small state and things come back to you quicker, I think, than what people realize in this state.

SENATOR YOUNG: I do have some additional questions. One would be I noticed in your PDQ that you have spent a good bit of your legal career handling -- defending legal malpractice claims. And you've also been involved in a number of actions involving creditors. Have you -- your firm as I understand it, knowing several people in your firm and having had cases involving that firm in my practice over

1 the years, you all handle both plaintiff and 2 defense cases as I recall. 3 MR. FLOYD: We do. Yes, sir. 4 SENATOR YOUNG: Have you been involved in 5 any plaintiff cases? 6 MR. FLOYD: I have. I have. I have, you 7 know, not sharing anything I have, one that's 8 -- that's sort of going right now, I have a 9 death case that I'm trying to work through with some family as well, so. I've been 10 11 involved in a death case, but I haven't had 12 one to deal with family so that -- that has 13 been a challenge to me. I'm still trying to 14 decide whether or not I want to handle it just 15 because the family relationship. I've done it 16 twice before and I promised myself I would 17 never do it again, represent the family. But 18 that's been pretty challenging so far just the 19 emotional aspect of it. 2.0 SENATOR YOUNG: Those are all my questions 2.1 for right now. Thank you. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin. 22 23 SENATOR RANKIN: I appreciate your coming 24 back perhaps third or fourth time. 25 MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir.

1 SENATOR RANKIN: Fourth time will be the 2 charm is the hope, correct? 3 MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir. That's right. SENATOR RANKIN: And I'm trying to find 4 5 some of the -- you're -- the chief or the 6 principal name Bruner, his first name --7 MR. FLOYD: Jim Bruner? SENATOR RANKIN: Jim Bruner. I've had an 8 9 association --10 MR. FLOYD: I apologize 11 SENATOR RANKIN: Well, I can see why you 12 may want to be -- no -- a fine, fine 13 individual and I'm familiar with where your 14 office is as well. Years ago I had an 15 association with your firm on a case and I 16 think there -- and recently one as well involving a church so in any event. Why pick 17 18 this seat? Why pick, unless you -- they all 19 followed you -- the eight would follow. 2.0 are nine of you in this particular seat. MR. FLOYD: I want to keep you -- I want 2.1 22 to keep the Commission, I want to let you as 23 the Commission and the legislators know that I 24 am interested in this -- in being a Circuit 25 Court judge and I didn't want an opportunity

1 to pass me by that I didn't put my hat in so 2 that you all knew that I was interested. 3 have found a way to pick some crowded races, I will tell you that. I don't think there's 4 5 been less than ten or eleven candidates in any 6 of these races. And I don't know that -- some 7 people told me to move to Sumter. I don't 8 know. 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: That would not have been 10 good idea. MR. FLOYD: I don't know since I've from 11 12 Clarendon County and all that sort of stuff. 13 But, no, in all seriousness, I don't know, it 14 seems that all of the seats that have come up 15 recently have all been at-large and there 16 haven't been any residence seats that have

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

opened up. SENATOR RANKIN: In terms of your

experience, and we have encountered countless people this go-around where they either are strong in one area and weak in another and that the Midlands Committee finds you weak in, again my term in terms of the criminal practice, the next guy is going to have the exact reverse or the next gal perhaps will

1 have the exact reverse. In terms of your 2 temperament, you seem to be -- you seem to 3 have a pretty good sense of humor. 4 MR. FLOYD: I try to. Yes, sir. 5 SENATOR RANKIN: A little deadpan, a 6 little dry sense of wit. Some get it, do most 7 get it? 8 MR. FLOYD: I hope they do. Yes, sir. I 9 try to, whenever you get to know me a little better, I think you'll -- you'll find me to be 10 11 hopefully one of the nicest guys in the 12 office. One of my former lawyers is actually 13 sitting back there from our firm. I hope -- I hope that he would defend me if called to --14 SENATOR RANKIN: Should be put him under 15 16 oath? 17 MR. FLOYD: I might worry about that. 18 Just kidding. I'm perfectly find with that. 19 But I do try to -- to try -- my -- my goal in 2.0 life is to try and let people remember me as a nice guy. I mean, that's -- that's the way I 2.1 22 want people to remember their dealings with me 23 in cases. The word I was looking for earlier 24 when I was answering Senator Young, you start 25 practicing law with a lot of vinegar and you

25

just want to fight and you want to fight. don't want to say you get tired of the fight but you want -- you understand that fighting is not always the answer to -- to getting to the objective that you want. And more often times than not, especially when it comes to the creditor practice you certainly catch a lot more flies with honey than you do with the vinegar. And I have had debtors hug me after we've been able to work something out which is kind of unusual whenever your client who is the creditor and the debtors sitting on the other side and your debtor hugs you your creditor client looks at you and kind of says, "What's going on here?" But generally speaking they're thankful because more often times than not the debtors have gone through some traumatic financial event whether it be a bankruptcy or a health issue or something. you can find a way to work with them they will more often times than not try and work with you instead of just trying to jam something down their throat. If you make it manageable for them they will probably thank you at the end for trying to work with them.

1 SENATOR RANKIN: And you were asked about 2 the one perhaps the comment about the youth, 3 again, as a strike and a concern. I don't know who your Midlands Committee is and I 4 5 don't want to step on toes but was there any 6 non-gray haired person in the room? MR. FLOYD: I think there was one or two. 7 8 There was. If I'm youth at almost forty-three 9 years old I'll take it. 10 SENATOR RANKIN: And that is a rap again 11 in terms of a rap on your credentials. You 12 finished in 2001, I believe. You've been out 13 sixteen going on seventeen years now. You've 14 tried how many cases to verdict? Countless? MR. FLOYD: I wouldn't say countless. I'm 15 16 trying to figure out if I would say twenty or 17 thirty but I would say conservatively twenty. 18 That would be my first guess. But in terms of 19 handling cases, going up to the courthouse 2.0 steps, I mean, I don't know. SENATOR RANKIN: Well, and as we heard 2.1 22 more and more of late, big counties, little 23 counties, they're not trying them, they're 24 working out -- working them out in mediation. 25 Perhaps that style you're talking about where

1	you're hugging both the winner and the loser
2	or getting hugged by the winner or the loser.
3	MR. FLOYD: I have found that sometimes
4	you may win the battle but you're not going to
5	win the war. And have you really accomplished
6	anything by doing that.
7	SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Floyd, let me ask you
11	a few questions then. And I see you mentioned
12	earlier you're from Turbeville, grew up over
13	there?
14	MR. FLOYD: I did. Yes, sir. East
15	Clarendon High School.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: East Clarendon High
17	School.
18	MR. FLOYD: Home of the Wolverines.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Home of the Wolverines.
20	My dad had some great football teams over
21	there. I don't know how it still is now.
22	I don't The Item The Sumter Item doesn't
23	carry much Clarendon County news anymore.
24	They don't carry much news at all.
25	MR. FLOYD: Manning Times.

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yeah, Manning Times will help you. Let me talk to you a little bit about your criminal -- when you talk about your criminal work and obviously you've been volunteering, I think you say, as a CDV prosecutor for the AG's office. Throughout this you would probably be familiar with if you're practicing in Richland if you ever go observe General Sessions, we have some alternative courts over here. The Mental Health Court, I think they've got a Veterans Court here, probably one of the first in the state and also a Drug Court. What's your philosophy on those type of courts and what do you, if you were elected to this position, how could you work with them or improve them or dismantle them. What would be your thoughts on that?

MR. FLOYD: Well, first and foremost, I think if the legislator -- legislature creates them obviously there was a great deal of thought that went into that to foster those and I think those courts serve, the Drug Court and that sort, they serve good purposes. And I think they can also be utilized to try and

reduce the general case load if you can funnel them into a different direction. Like, kind of similar the -- the business courts and that sort of stuff. If you can redirect and funnel them to -- to someone who -- to lawyers who know what they're doing and judges who are fair and equipped to deal with those sorts of issues. My thought is those should be a great way to try to reduce the docket. I think there's always a push for the docket, no matter how many cases, there's always going to be a push to clear the dockets, and I understand that. Which is good because, I mean, I don't know what the ideal time is for someone to get justice but I feel that two to three years of making a plaintiff or a defendant twist in this land of uncertainty to me that's a long time. And I understand the larger counties have more challenges but -and the smaller counties, they have their challenges as well because they don't always get the resources that they need to continue to push them through so it's always a constant tug and pull between the bigger and smaller counties. But I think if -- if the court --

if the courts are created and you put the
right people and the right staff in place it
should help produce an overall, hopefully,
streamline things to make people -- help
people get justice quicker.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: You haven't served as a solicitor, you haven't served as a public defender so if you came onto the bench you would be a unknown in the General Sessions world and people try to figure out what's your philosophy on, you know, sentencing and how would you handle, you know, violent, non-violent crimes. I mean, I don't want range --but what's your general philosophy on when somebody needs to go to prison as opposed to when they would need probation or some type of other alternative sentence that could be available to you?

MR. FLOYD: Well, I think, first of all, your -- your training that you've had in law school teaches you how to think. And more often times, especially in criminal cases, more often times than not you're going to have two good lawyers who are going to tell you, you should -- you should sentence them to

25

this, you shouldn't because of this, and they will both give you all of these things and facts. And each case, civil, I'll just use civil by way of example obviously because I have more experience there, every case is different. It doesn't matter that you say well, this is this or this is -- this is a breach of contract case. Every case is different regardless. You're going to have different facts, you're going to have different people, you're going to have actors, the personalities are different, it may be ever so slight but there's always a difference and there's always reason for you to pause and reflect and -- and understand the intricacies of each individual situation before you make a decision. I also think I have, having been practicing for nearly or right at going on seventeen years I've established some pretty good friendships with -- within the judiciary, I call them friends they may not call friends, but I think they're my friends. And again this is something that I wouldn't hesitate to pick up the phone and call, this is what I'm thinking, you know, tell me am I off base.

25

do it all the time with practicing law. Whenever you get this wild and crazy idea, but I never have wild and crazy, when you get these ideas you pick up the phone and you say, let me run something by you. Let me just tell you this and you -- you tell me how it hits you. And obviously you can spin the facts to fit your own liking but I try to give an unbiased summary of the facts to get feedback. And I think between that, the mentors that I would have and the good lawyers that you will have because every criminal defendant's going to have a lawyer. It may be an appointed lawyer but they're going to have lawyers. they're going to present the information and facts to you and more often time than not the defendants are going to have good lawyers. The ones that are able to hire good lawyers they're going to defend them and they're going to tell you each and every reason why they shouldn't be given x, y or x. So I don't think you can go into this with this preconceived notion of this is my philosophy. I think you have to take on each case, every situation, from the civil or criminal case and understand the facts of each case. Listen and pay attention and read everything that you're given.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: From time to time, you know, I find when you do -- I don't do much criminal work anymore, but during the time I did you go and you negotiate a case with the solicitor and, you know, they say we recommend "x" amount of time or we'll recommend this and the judge is called upon to listen to the case and determine whether they're going to follow the recommendation or reject the recommendation. But would you be -- when -- if you couldn't go along with the recommendation of a solicitor how would you handle that? Would you let the parties know or would you just sentence what you think is appropriate?

MR. FLOYD: If I were given the opportunity to let them know I can't do this in chambers or whatever I think that would probably be more appropriate than putting them out on the record and putting me in a bad spot and putting everybody else in a bad spot if it is something that they can tell me. I would

1 2

3

4 5

6

8

9

7

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

rather deal with that way instead of getting out there and then everybody's put in an uncomfortable position.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you're elected to the position from time to time you're going to be a Chief Administrative Judge of criminal or civil or maybe some circuits you'd be in both where depending upon where you -- where you maintain your offices. When you reach that point you're going to hear from lawyers from time to time that say, you know, I got conflicts with this date and you understand it and going around you got depositions that you've scheduled for a while and then you get called for a motion or you're on the trial roster with not a whole lot of certainty and need some protection or you got your child's baseball game at four o'clock that afternoon or a school program that morning that you'd like to go see. How would you handle those requests as a Circuit Court judge?

MR. FLOYD: My experience has been generally speaking if you can get the parties in the judge's office and everybody can sit down with their calendars, because everybody's

25

got the phone with the calendar now. You can always sit down and the good judge, to be the good judges look at you and say, "Okay, Mr. Floyd, I have this day, this day, this day available. When are you available?" "Okay. Mr. Opposing Lawyer, I have this day, this day, this day, when are you available?" mean, when you do those sorts of things you've got to be understanding and even I've had some situations pop up where I've been having to schedule and juggle and then you schedule something with the judge and then you have to write him back and say, "Judge, I'm sorry, but this arbitration over here has been scheduled on top of me. I've tried to not do it." And, you know, they all are very accommodating and you have to deal with the priority rules and all that sort of stuff. But generally speaking, if you can get everybody in the room -- one of my biggest pet peeves now, I won't go too far on this, but one of my bigger pet peeves is that lawyers are emailing one another so much. I'm probably going to show a little bit of my age, they just want to email instead of picking up the phone and dealing

1 with somebody. I think if you pick up the 2 phone and you deal with somebody you're going 3 to get a lot more traction, you can build a 4 personal relationship as opposed to just 5 getting this random email from this person 6 seeking an extension that you don't know and 7 you -- when they send you this random email 8 you immediately say, well, what have I done 9 wrong, why won't they talk to me, what's going 10 on, is it my personality because I've never 11 met this person. Are they trying to sneak up behind me and hit me in the head with 12 something. You have those, sort of, sneaking 13 14 suspicions instead of somebody picking up the 15 phone, "Hey Joey, do you mind giving me 16 another thirty days?" "No, not a problem, 17 take sixty." You know, that kind of thing. 18 But that's just one of my pet peeves that I have. 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I know that the 2.1 Midlands Commission says that you are --22 you're young, whatever that is, I think you would take that as a compliment. I see you're 23 24 25 MR. FLOYD: I do.

1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: -- a few years behind me, 2 seven years behind me so that makes your 3 forty-two years old. 4 MR. FLOYD: Yes, sir. 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And that's young in the 6 eyes of the Commission, huh? 7 MR. FLOYD: I think that's great. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: I know. That's a 9 compliment. All right. Any further questions 10 for Mr. Floyd? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Floyd, 13 this will conclude this portion of your 14 screening process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the 15 16 Commission's evaluative criteria the 17 Commission expects candidates to follow the 18 spirit as well the letter of the ethics laws, 19 and we will view violations or the appearance 2.0 of impropriety as serious and potentially 2.1 deserving of heavy weight in screening 22 deliberations. On that note, and as you know, 23 the record will remain open until the formal 24 release of the report of qualifications, and 25 you may be called back at such time if the

1	need arises. I thank you for offering for
2	this position, and I thank you for your
3	service to the State of South Carolina.
4	MR. FLOYD: Thank you all for your time
5	this morning.
6	(The candidate is excused.)
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Good morning, Mrs.
8	Horne. We're only ten minutes behind so we're
9	doing well today.
10	MS. HORNE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Horne, will you raise
12	your right hand, please, ma'am.
13	(The candidate is sworn in.)
14	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Horne, before you,
15	you have the personal data questionnaire and
16	your sworn statement. Are these documents
17	that you've submitted to the Commission?
18	MS. HORNE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they correct are
20	both of them correct to the best of your
21	knowledge?
22	MS. HORNE: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Does anything need to be
24	changed or updated at this time?
25	MS. HORNE: Not that I'm aware of, Mr.

1 Chairman. 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection 3 to making these documents part of the record 4 of your sworn testimony? 5 MS. HORNE: Absolutely. 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll hand that to 7 Lindi for me, please, ma'am, and we'll make 8 that as an exhibit to your sworn testimony. [EXHIBIT NO. 3, JUDICIAL MERIT 9 SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA 10 11 QUESTIONNAIRE FOR MS. JENNY A. HORNE, 12 ADMITTED. 1 [EXHIBIT NO. 4, SWORN STATEMENT FOR MS. 13 JENNY A. HORNE, ADMITTED.] 14 15 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mrs. Horne, the Judicial 16 Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly 17 investigated your qualifications for the 18 bench. Our inquiry has focused on nine evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot 19 2.0 box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, verification of your 2.1 22 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 23 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 24 study of previous screenings and checks for 25 economic conflicts of interest.

2

1

3

4 5

6

7

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

We received no affidavits filed in opposition to your election and no witnesses are here to testify today. Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to the Commission?

MS. HORNE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for the work that you do here. very important work. Many of you know me and have worked with me in a capacity other than what we are here for today and for that I am grateful. One of the parts about becoming a judge is that you leave behind the political part of your life and you move into the judicial branch of government. And certainly having been in your seats I understand the separation of powers and how important it is to interpret the laws as you passed them. also can appreciate the work that you do to serve your constituents. It's tough work but it's very rewarding work. And I have decided after a lot of reflection and thought that after twenty years of practicing law I would like to go into another role of public service that's quite different than what I have done before. And for that I'll answer any

questions that you may have from my resume.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Maldonado will ask

you some questions, so you answer those for

me, please, ma'am.

EXAMINATION

(By Mr. Maldonado)

2.0

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, The Honorable Jenny A. Horne meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice. Ms. Horne, why do you want to be a Circuit Court judge?
- A. I love trial work. As a practicing attorney for twenty years, I very much enjoy and appreciate our legal justice system. I also worked for a very fine judge when I was a young lawyer. The Honorable Margaret B. Seymour who sits on the federal bench. And she set a fine example for me as to the kind of judge that I would like to be. Careful, deliberate, courteous, and above all treat every lawyer, litigant who comes before the court with the dignity and respect that the courtroom requires.

Q. Ms. Horne, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you in becoming an effective Circuit Court judge?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Well, I practice in a variety of courts including federal courts in a variety of actions, civil -- mostly civil. I have practiced in Family Court and I've practiced in Circuit Court where I've had a good practice and I've been a solo practitioner for a lot of that so I kind of do everything.
- Ms. Horne, the Commission received 245 ballot box Q. surveys regarding you with 40 additional comments. The ballot box surveys, for example, contained the following positive comments. "Jenny Horne would make an excellent judge. She is intelligent and understanding, courteous and open minded." Also, "I have known Jenny Horne most of my adult life. She is intelligent, diligent and thoughtful. think she would make a good addition to the bench. Well respected among her peers, good and varied background in her law practice. Excellent people skills." A few negative comments indicated that you might have an issue with temperament. example, "Jenny's temperament and stability are also major concerns which would be an issue when it

comes to treating people who may appear in a courtroom impartially, fairly and with respect."

Also, "I firmly believe that part of elevating Ms. Horne's consideration as a serious candidate for judiciary the Commission should review, among other items, the recording of Ms. Horne's speech at the South Carolina State House of Representatives floor during the debate of the removal of the Confederate Flag in 2015 as well as her public remarks and behavior in the weeks that followed. In my humble opinion, Ms. Horne has repeatedly failed to display a proper temperament, demeanor and professionalism necessary to becoming a respective member of our state's court system." How would you respond to these concerns?

A. I would respectfully disagree. I think that I've also discussed the fact that as a rule, as a legislator, we are advocates for our constituents. And certainly I have been an advocate for my constituents but I will no longer be an advocate. And I can assure you that I will not have people complaining to this Committee about my temperament or that have a case of robe-itis. I'm very conscious of -- I don't want anyone coming to you and complaining to you that I did not exercise my

judicial authority in a fair, impartial, and dignified fashion.

2.0

- Q. Ms. Horne, a few comments expressed concerns about impartiality. For example, "When the judicial candidate was in the Legislature she often made decisions and reached opinions based on rumor. I am concerned about the candidate would render judicial decisions based on friendships or political considerations." Also, "Jenny can be a bit passionate and has some difficulties seeing two sides of an issue. I am concerned about her ability to be fair." How would you respond to this concern?
- A. My job as a judge is very different than that of being an advocate. And certainly I understand and appreciate the distinction between the position I held in the past and the position for which I seek. There there the last thing that I'm that I'm going to have is have someone complain to you that I am not fair and impartial. And certainly for those of who who have served with me in the General Assembly, you know that I can and have worked with everyone to do and compromise to do the best job that I can for our our state. And certainly that concern I think that has been

1 voiced, while I respectfully disagree, I do not 2 think it should be a concern of this Committee and 3 it will not be a problem. 4 One comment expressed a concern about your Q. 5 truthfulness. "I have personally dealt with Ms. 6 Horne in the past. I know that she will bend the 7 truth and compromise her integrity as it suits her. 8 She will say one thing and do another." How would 9 you respond to this concern? This is very offensive because I, those of you who 10 Α. 11 name me know that my word is my bond when I was here. And I did not -- I -- I love -- I love my 12 13 law license. And certainly if I misrepresented 14 something in a court I'm sure that would have been 15 inappropriate and certainly I take great offense at 16 the questioning my truthfulness and veracity. MR. MALDONADO: Mr. Chairman I would like 17 18 to request that we now go into executive session. 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Murphy 2.1 moves that we go into executive session. 22 in favor say, "Aye." 23 (The Commission members comply.) 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed? 25 (No response.)

1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: "Ayes" have it. We're in 2 executive session. We'll clear the room, 3 please. And turn off your mics. Thank you. (Off-the-record executive session.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Make sure you put on the 6 record that Mr. Safran moves to lift the veil 7 and come out of executive session. All in favor say, "Aye." 8 9 (The Commission members comply.) CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed? 10 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: "Ayes" have it. We're out of executive session. And for the record 13 14 I'd like to say that while we were in 15 executive session, no decisions were made, no 16 votes were taken. All right. Proceed Mr. 17 Maldonado. 18 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Horne, how do you --19 what do you feel is the appropriate demeanor for a 2.0 judge? 2.1 Α. I think it would be Margaret Seymour, The Honorable 22 Margaret Seymour. She has -- I've always admired 23 her, the way she conducted her court, the way she 24 was conscientious about counsel and being 25 respectful and certainly she is a -- a good friend

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

6

9 10

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

2.0

2.1 22

23

24

25

to this day and certainly I have -- I learned a lot from her and she has been a mentor to me ever since I clerked for her out of law school.

- Are there any areas including subjective areas of Q. the law that you feel that you need additional preparation in order to serve as a Circuit Court judge and how would you handle such preparation?
- Α. I will acknowledge to this Committee that while I have not practiced in criminal defense or prosecution I have as a federal law clerk did get exposure to the process. And I have spent an amount of time in preparation for this hearing reviewing Title 16, Title 17 and making sure that I'm familiarizing myself with all of these old -these old things. And of course some of this statutes that we have I actually reviewed in the past when I was in the General Assembly so I'm very cognizant that I need to study more in that area but certainly the rules of evidence and the rules of procedure are the same whether you're in Civil Court or Criminal Court. And certainly I will be a good student and certainly will be very cautious while I am training to become a judge in General Sessions.
- Thank you. I'll finish up with some housekeeping 0.

Ms. Horne, are you aware that as a 1 issues. 2 judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in the Rule 501 of the 3 4 South Carolina Appellate Court rules? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Ms. Horne, since submitting your letter of intent, Q. 7 have you contacted any members of the Commission 8 about your candidacy? 9 Α. No. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 10 Q. 11 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 12 13 your screening? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 16 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 17 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in 18 this process on your behalf? 19 Α. No. 2.0 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. Commission's guidelines on pledging in South 2.1 Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. MALDONADO: I would note that the 25 Lowcountry Citizens Committee reported Ms.

1 Horne be well qualified as to ethical fitness, 2 professional and academic ability, character, 3 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. And qualified as to the 4 5 constitutional qualifications, physical health 6 and mental stability. Mr. Chairman, I would 7 note for the record that any concerns raised 8 during the investigation by staff regarding 9 the candidate were incorporated in the questioning of the candidate today. I have no 10 11 further questions. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. Any 12 13 questions for Mrs. Horne? Senator Hayes. MR. HAYES: Thank you. I appreciate your 14 15 willingness to serve. I was over in the 16 Senate a good bit of the time you were in the 17 House, I think, and you served, what, eight 18 years in the Legislature? MS. HORNE: Yes, sir, Senator. 19 2.0 MR. HAYES: Why do you think having the 2.1 legislative background will have, if you do 22 think this, I'm not sure, but do you think 23 having a legislative background will be a help 24 to you in being a good judge? 25 MS. HORNE: Absolutely.

MR. HAYES: Why is that?

MS. HORNE: Well, when I was in the -when I was in the legislative branch of government, you know, we always talked about some of the decisions that we didn't like that went beyond what the intent -- we thought the intent of the legislature is. And while I'm not applying for an appellate position, of course, I certainly understand and appreciate the separation of powers and what it is. judge's role is to interpret the law and not to write the law. So I am very cognizant of these separation of powers and certainly hope that, that will improve, make me a better judge, make me a more careful judge and make me a judge that's going to follow what -- the intent of the legislature is.

MR. HAYES: Let me follow up, if I could. Do you think having had to humble yourself in a way to go ask for votes as far as running for office in the legislature and then having to deal with constituents, et cetera, do you think that would help you as far as serving as a judge?

MS. HORNE: Oh, absolutely. Part of what

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.0

2.1

we do is deal with the public as an elected official. And certainly when you're dealing with litigants, you're dealing with defendants, you're dealing with jurors, you want to be courteous and respectful. And certainly over my eight years I had to evolve certainly as a public servant, but I feel that those eight years gave me an advantage and certainly it was an honor to be able to have the public trust and have people elect me to office.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? Senator Young.

SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Horne, I want to thank you for your interest in continuing your public service on the Circuit Court. And thank you for the eight years that you served in the South Carolina General Assembly. You and I were elected at the same time. Started in the South Carolina House in the Fall of 2008, came in then January 2009. One of the questions I have for you, you've already answered it, in the response to Senator Hayes' question. And that was about basically how you would view

the law and having to apply it to the facts that would be before you on the Circuit Court. And you made it clear in your answer, that you would not attempt to -- you would interpret the law, you would not try to be a judicial activist from the bench. The second question I have for you is you are a solo practitioner; is that right?

MS. HORNE: I am.

SENATOR YOUNG: And you have been in a small practice with your own firm for nine years --

MS. HORNE: Yes.

SENATOR YOUNG: -- is that right. And so you are familiar with the challenges that face lawyers who come from small practices in a lot of regards but especially as to being pulled from one court to the next whether it be Family Court or Circuit Court, Magistrate Court, wherever it may be. You can't be in multiple places at one time and so you're familiar with those challenges from your practice. Is that fair to say?

MS. HORNE: That is absolutely fair to say, Senator Young. Thank you for that

1 question though.

2.0

2.1

SENATOR YOUNG: Are you sensitive to lawyers who come from small practices who have very active practices who may at time need to have protection or a continuance?

MS. HORNE: Absolutely.

SENATOR YOUNG: Do you -- tell me how your experience on -- as a Federal Court clerk for Judge Seymour would help you and assist you in your service on the Circuit Court?

MS. HORNE: I believe that when I -- when I was a law clerk I did work on sentencing, work on writing orders and drafting orders, researching evidentiary issues that are of course a lot of times are the same issue that you have in State Court and in Federal Court, I worked on a couple of bank robbery trials as a law clerk and those are the kind of experiences that I had. But I also understand and Judge Seymour instilled this in me. I understand that we're an umpire. We're supposed to -- we're supposed to call balls and strikes. We're not supposed to be an activist. And certainly I learned from her exactly what it is to be a judge because I

think a lot of times young lawyers -- when I was a young lawyer, she taught me what it really meant to be a judge. That's -- we don't have a dog in this fight. We're just going to apply the law, we're going to write cogent orders that we won't get reversed, that we try not to get reversed. So those are the types of experiences that I think will give me some insight on what my role is.

SENATOR YOUNG: Did you write orders for Judge Seymour?

MS. HORNE: Oh, yeah. I'm a real good
Westlaw researcher and writer. I really -- if
you're a solo practitioner you better know
Westlaw and write orders.

SENATOR YOUNG: I may have some additional questions in a moment.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.

SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you. Ms. Horne, likewise, I want to commend you for your willingness to step out further in a different branch. You ran unsuccessfully. I think in time the Pearly Gates, and if not before with your family and your friends, that loss was a win for you. Do you appreciate that now or

no?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

MS. HORNE: I do. I do. I appreciate that, but that was the end of my political career.

SENATOR RANKIN: The desire to become a judge after eight years and it would be awkward to run and serve in Congress. What's the mindset there? Why this now?

MS. HORNE: It's just like every -- I've been committed to practice for twenty years, and I think now is the time that I have had the life experiences and the professional experiences that would make me a -- a, how do I say this, a more competent and compassionate judge who has -- I have a wealth of experience that these experiences that I've had for the last eight years, I mean, some of this stuff you just can't make it up. But all of those things have brought me to this point in my career where I've decided that this is the next step for me and that's how I decided when, to do it now as opposed to after I was out of practice for eight years. I wasn't ready. I'm -- I'm ready now.

SENATOR RANKIN: And I note in your PDQ

1 all Carolina and I would dare say all with 2 honors both in the undergraduate honors 3 program and then if you're on the Order of the 4 Wig and Robe and the Law Review you've got to 5 be a pretty stellar academic, if nothing else, 6 correct? 7 MS. HORNE: Well, I hope so. SENATOR RANKIN: Tell me about trial work. 8 9 And you mentioned earlier about your experience in various courts. Did you do a 10 11 little bit of trial work before now or a lot of trial work before now? 12 MS. HORNE: So for the benefit of the 13 14 Committee, when I started working I went to a labor employment defense firm. So I did a lot 15 16 of work in the areas of the Union issue as 17 well as employment. And so I litigated my --18 my, I guess, my first case that I ever tried I tried in Federal Court. 19 2.0 SENATOR RANKIN: Ellzey and Brooks. Ts 2.1 that South Carolina? 22 MS. HORNE: Now they are Fisher and 23 Phillips. Prior to that they were Ogletree. 24 And I worked there for eighteen months and 25 then had the opportunity to go work for

1 Margaret Seymour because she wanted someone 2 who had Title 7 experience because a lot of 3 the work that new baby judges get to do is all the cases that nobody else wants to deal with. 4 And so I was busy doing ERISA, Social Security 5 6 appeals and Title 7. I enjoyed it because I enjoyed the work. And so since then I've been 7 8 a practitioner -- solo practitioner. Well, 9 for a period I had a partner but for the main -- main portion of the last nine years, I 10 11 practiced in Circuit Court, I recently tried a 12 case in Dorchester County and got a modest 13 verdict, and I think I put that in there, in 14 front of one of our fine judges, Judge Maite 15 Murphy. And it was a pleasure to do that and 16 it's always been -- to get in because so 17 often now with mandatory mediation lawyers 18 don't really a chance to try a case very 19 often. But certainly I enjoy trial work, I 2.0 love people, I enjoy lawyers, I represented 2.1 lawyers in legal malpractice cases for almost 22 five years. SENATOR RANKIN: On the plaintiff or the 23 24 defense? 25 MS. HORNE: Defense side. And I've worked

25

with Susan Wall who has -- who has written a letter of recommendation for me. I worked with her for almost five years. And the first case that we tried was in front of Judge Duffy and it was a malpractice case with a diversity component. You usually don't try malpractice cases in Federal Court, they're usually in Circuit Court so that was -- you know, I've just been -- I've been very fortunate to have had the plaintiff side perspective as well as the defense side perspective because I can tell you that the little guy can get beat up in Federal Court. And I have been beaten up in Federal Court before. And certainly I one of the cases that I listed in my cases was an employment case I had against Pfizer Pharmaceuticals and it was a big law firm and they about killed me. And so I can really appreciate, like Senator Young said, how difficult it is for small practitioners to be able to keep up with the demands of the federal system. But I will say this. I'm a hard worker and federal judges -- circuit judges have to work a whole lot harder because of the way that the rules are set up. And our

-- our docket for Circuit Court judge is one of the busiest dockets per capita, per judge in the United States and certainly I'm looking forward to that challenge and know that I'm going to be working really hard. And I look forward to the challenge.

SENATOR RANKIN: Passion is not a foreign concept with you. Obviously, you're exuding passion right now which is an attractive thing. Some of the comments that passion can be perceived in a negative way. Perhaps as too independent, too -- there was one comment about your survey taking on difficult topics, difficult issues and not shying away from them. To your folks, and perhaps it's the flag, perhaps it's you're a woman, perhaps its who knows what, but to those who are rubbed wrong by your passion and your independence, how do you, again, in an anonymous nonqualified, non-specific vein which again I can't define, but as the question is poised, how do you respond to those who bristle perhaps at your passion?

MS. HORNE: This is a completely different role for me. And being a zealous advocate is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1 part of what we do as lawyers and it spills, 2 for those of us who are zealous advocates for 3 our clients, it does spill over into the 4 legislator process and I know we had some 5 pretty heated discussions, right, 6 Representative Rutherford? And at the end of the day that's the job that I was sent to do. 7 8 The judicial post is completely different. 9 There will be a passion, it will thoughtful, it will be deliberate, it will be well-10 11 reasoned, it will not be something that I'm 12 going to get angry and throw a book at 13 somebody just because I'm angry. That's not 14 the type of person I am. And for those of you 15 that worked with me you know getting into a 16 debate is one thing but when you're not the 17 lawyer anymore and you're the judge, your role 18 is very different. So I can -- I can assure 19 this Committee that will -- my passion will 2.0 not be an issue for -- for the litigants and 2.1 the lawyers and the court security people that 22 come before -- into my court. 23 SENATOR RANKIN: You got a number of 24 ballot box Bar comments. And in fact a number 25 of -- a fairly large number that -- and

there's some negative but a ton of positive in terms of your ability, your intellect and your demeanor and/or temperament. With the good comes the bad or with the bad comes the good. Do you believe that this barometer, this metric, this means of soliciting comments from folks who deal with you who know you, or likewise myself if I'm offering, do you think that this is a valid tool that the Judicial Merit Selection Committee should consider?

MS. HORNE: Oh, absolutely. I think it's very important to get the opinion of the Bar, I mean, the Bar is where, you know, where you work -- it's where you're working. Certainly -- but, you know, not everyone is going to say, and we all know this for those of us who have served in elected office, when you're in an elected office people have really bad things to say about you whether they're justified or not. So I think that overall I think that survey works. And like you said, I'm going to have some negatives, Everybody's going to have some negatives. But I am also humbled by the fact that I had so many positive comments from people who had

litigated against me in Federal Court or State
Court or in Family Court. So I think it is a
useful tool. It can be cruel at times, but
you know, it's just part of the process and
this is an excellent process and I believe
that this process works.

SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you.

MS. HORNE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.

SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And thank you, Ms. Horne, for offering yourself. I just really have more of a comment. And I think some of it sort of goes in keeping with a number of the comments that have been made. And so I just wanted the Committee to know as a democrat and, of course, Ms. Horne and I ideologically differ on a number of issues and certainly we've had our heated debates as well, but I admire the way that she listens to the opposition and is willing to give thought to differing points of view. And so I've served with Jenny Horne for four years and I don't mind stating for the record that I admire the type of individual she is.

MS. HORNE: Thank you, Senator, for those kind words.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? (No response.)

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Keeping consistent, Ms. Horne, let me just ask you a couple of questions. I think you sort of answered them, but, you know, from time to time, if you were successful at this candidacy and became elected as Circuit Court judge you're going to have attorneys who going to raise conflicts with you and say, you know, I can't be in this court, I got depositions, or my child's got school program this morning. How are you going to handle those decision vis-a-vis the demands of moving dockets and having cases disposed of in a timely manner?

MS. HORNE: Certainly, having been a solo practitioner who could practice law full time only six months out of the year and part time the other six months out of the year, I am very understanding that people have lives, they have children that get sick, they have other obligations in other courts. So certainly that will be -- that will be

1

something that I will be able to have a great deal of insight into.

3

5 The three

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And then I want to just tag along with what Senator Sabb was saying. The three of us all served on the House Ethics Committee for a long, long time. I think since it reconstituted you served ably on that committee and we got to work with you firsthand, see your ethics, see how you -- the seriousness that you took your job and that position. And certainly, you know, when you read these ballot box surveys, like you said, there's -- I think all of us make enemies when we practice law, that's the nature of advocacy that we have through the process, but by the same token, you know, when you look at this and you look at your record when you served in the General Assembly, you served with honor, you served to the best of your ability to your constituents, you always took that into effect. And I know as it relates to your ethics those were without question. watched and saw that demonstrated time after time on the committee so, you know, hold your head high. We all get bad remarks all the

2.1

time. If I was in your place mine would probably look a whole lot worse. So I wanted to go ahead and say that. But I appreciate your service, appreciate what you've done in the past and appreciate you offering here today.

MS. HORNE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And thank you to the Committee for the important work you do serving in this state.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any more questions? (No response.)

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Horne, this concludes this portion of your screening process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well the letter of the ethics laws, and we will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening deliberations. On that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications, and you may be called back at such time as the need arises.

1 Thank you for your service and offering for 2 this position and for your service to the State of South Carolina. 3 MS. HORNE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 (The candidate is excused.) 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge McCoy, I see you 7 have somebody that accompanied today? JUDGE MCCOY: I do. I have three ladies 8 9 that accompanied me today. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Let's let them come in 10 11 first. JUDGE MCCOY: Thank you. It might take a 12 13 couple more minutes. Thank you very much. 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll introduce them 15 to the Commission. We've got a couple of them 16 not here, but we'll go ahead and get started. JUDGE MCCOY: Okay. I'd love to. 17 18 you for the opportunity. And this is first of 19 all my mother, Patricia Blanchard, she came 2.0 over here from Augusta, Georgia this morning. 2.1 My grandmother-in-law, Lucy Coleman. And Mary 22 Jones, her caretaker. They came over here 23 from Laurel Crest this morning. So not as far 24 for them. 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're glad for you all to

1 be here. Welcome and good to see you. All 2 right. We'll get started right now. Judge 3 McCoy, if you'll raise your right hand, please 4 ma'am. 5 (The judge was sworn in.) 6 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. 7 Before you, Judge McCoy, is your personal data 8 questionnaire and your sworn statement. Are 9 both of these documents that you have submitted to the Commission? 10 11 JUDGE MCCOY: Correct. They are. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct to 13 the best of your knowledge? 14 JUDGE MCCOY: Yes, they are. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are there any changes or 15 updates that need to be made at this time? 16 17 JUDGE MCCOY: No. 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And do you have any 19 objection to us making this a part of the 2.0 record of your sworn testimony today? 2.1 JUDGE MCCOY: I -- I do not. CHAIRMAN SMITH: If you'll hand those to 22 23 Lindi for me, please, ma'am. And we're going 24 to make those an exhibit of your testimony 25 today.

1 [EXHIBIT NO. 5, JUDICIAL MERIT 2 SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA 3 OUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE HONORABLE JENNIFER BLANCHARD MCCOY, ADMITTED.] 4 [EXHIBIT NO. 6, SWORN STATEMENT FOR THE 5 6 HONORABLE JENNIFER BLANCHARD MCCOY, ADMITTED.] CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge McCoy, the Judicial 7 8 Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly 9 investigated your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is focused on nine 10 11 evaluative criteria, and has included a ballot 12 box survey, a thorough study of your 13 application materials, verification of your 14 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 15 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 16 study of previous screenings and checks for 17 any economic conflicts of interest. 18 We received no affidavits today in 19 opposition to your candidacy and there are no 2.0 witnesses here to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to the 2.1 Commission? 22 23 JUDGE MCCOY: Thank you for having me. 24 understand you're all away from your families 25 and your practices and your businesses today

1 and I certainly appreciate all of your time and your consideration. And I'm happy to 2 3 answer any questions. I obviously would like to thank very much Paula Benson as well. 4 5 She's been very helpful to me throughout this 6 process. I'd like to give her credit for 7 that. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. 9

Will you answer any questions that Ms. Benson may have?

JUDGE MCCOY: Yes.

EXAMINATION

(By Ms. Benson)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Mr. Chairman. I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, that Judge McCoy meets the constitutional and the statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice. Judge McCoy, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified to be an effective Circuit Court judge?
- A. Ms. Benson, I've known that I've wanted to do this since the beginning of my legal career. In fact, that's actually why I've crafted my career the way

1

I was lucky enough in law school to clerk I have. as a student for an entire year for Judge Blatt in Charleston. Of course, no finer example of the jurist to my knowledge to learn from initially. After law school, I was fortunate to clerk for Judge Markley Dennis, another excellent jurist. learned a lot from Judge Dennis and it was at that point being on the bench with Judge Dennis on a day-to-day basis in Circuit Court that I really knew this is what I wanted to do. So knowing that I moved forward. I was lucky enough to go into a great practice with a civil litigation firm in Charleston for many years. We did a lot of professional negligence actions, a lot of construction litigation, insurance defense, mostly is what we did. Of course, didn't get in the courtroom a lot. Most of that settles at mediation. It was a little frustrating when I couldn't get back and have that courtroom experience that I so missed from being a law clerk. I actually went back to Judge Blatt and talked to him about, you know, what next steps maybe I should take. And he said, "Jennifer, you either need to go be a solicitor or a public defender if you want to get in the courtroom and get that trial

24

25

experience." So at that point, I did go and become a solicitor for a few years in Charleston. I had a great experience there as well and, I mean, I got what I asked for. Second week on the job I was in court so I definitely enjoyed that experience. was happy to have the criminal side as well as the civil side at that point. And then again, in trying to prepare myself as best I could for a role as a Circuit judge I said, well, I probably need to know what it's like to wear a robe and make decisions and impact people's lives. So with that in mind I applied for -- or, you know, spoke with my Senator and became eventually a Magistrate judge which is what I'm doing now part time. I also have a small practice on the side. I take a few cases at a time, but not many, and most of those are criminal defense cases. So not only have I prosecuted criminals, I've got some criminal defense experience now as well. So I feel that, you know, up -- while my career has not been as long as some who've applied I think that the quality makes up for the quantity in my case. And so I think I am very prepared.

Q. Thank you. Judge McCoy, the Commission received 134 ballot box surveys including 34 that had

2.0

additional comments, only three of which were negative. The positive comments called you "A great attorney with a wonderful temperament and high ethical character, and extraordinarily smart with perfect judicial temperament." One negative comment indicated that you did not have sufficient grasp of the evidentiary rules. How would you respond to this concern?

- A. Well, you know, I've been in a trial court almost my entire legal profession at this point. I've been involved, as I stated, with criminal and civil matters. I believe my evidentiary grasp is strong however as a judge now I've had to make several rulings regarding the rules of evidence. And some people aren't always pleased with how I make rulings, if it was in their favor or not. So I'm not sure, again, obviously these are anonymous questionnaires, you know, I feel like my grasp of the rules is very strong.
- Q. Thank you. The other two comments concerned your marriage to a state legislator and the political conflict of interest that this may create. How would you respond to those comments?
- A. I would respond that I'm qualified in this situation for this position as anyone else would in

2.0

the state and is qualified who meets constitutional requirements to be a Circuit judge. Obviously, with regards to his law practice, as with anyone who has a spouse who is a legislator or lawyer for that matter I would recuse myself as appropriate.

And follow Judicial Canons that are in place to ensure that all ethical guidelines are followed.

- Q. Thank you. Judge McCoy, you've talked about always wanting to be a judge or at least seeking this from early on in your career. How do you think in particular working as a Magistrate has helped you to appreciate the role of a judge?
- A. It's helped me immensely. I really couldn't put a price on experience and being a magistrate has given me -- it's a front lines judge, it really is.

 And we -- most of the parties in front of me are pro se, very few people are represented. So it's a chance for me to -- it's more challenging as a judge in that role because I have a duty to not only apply the law, but in some roles explain it to the parties who are in front of me before it -- I can apply it. My proudest moment was actually not in court, but an eviction was before me. And unfortunately, I handled a lot of housing evictions. I handle all evictions that affect

1

downtown Charleston which encompasses many housing projects. I had a young lady come before me and it was for an eviction and she ultimately, before we even went on the record they said, "Well, Judge, we've settled this case." And we put the settlement on the record, entered it, and recorded it. And the hearing was over and I dismissed the parties and they left. And in a little while the clerk came to me and said, "Your Honor" -- I'll just say Ms. Smith -- "came and wants to speak with you." And I said, "Well, I, you know, I really can't speak with her, but I'd be happy to, you know, if you want to take a message." And she said, "Okay." And she came back and she said she just wanted to thank you for treating her so That's she's never been treated that well in her life. And, you know, I was thinking back and I really just wrapped my mind around it. didn't treat her any differently than I treat anybody else, but I don't think she had ever been called Ms. Smith and really get any courtesies and I believe that, that's something that we need extend to everybody represented or not. So on that level, just a personal level, and a level of just getting to know the public and appreciate the

- public. It's been a tremendous -- tremendously good experience.
 - Q. Judge, you and I have spoken. You have been able to work with the family and family support and in working with your career you also have a special needs child, I believe.
 - A. Uh-huh.

2.0

- Q. How do you feel that your family life will be affected should you be one of the ones nominated for this Circuit Court position?
- A. Well, of course, I am working now. Not only as a part-time Magistrate, but a law practice on the side. So my family is already used to me working outside the home. We run it like a well-oiled machine. My husband is -- I'm lucky to have a husband who is very hands-on and helpful and active and we are both extremely lucky to have the family support that we have from our parents who step in all the time with the children. So we -- I feel that we are more than adequately covered and I feel very confident in that.
- Q. Thank you. Thank you, Judge. A few housekeeping issues. Judge McCoy, are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of Judicial Conduct as found in the Rule 501 of the

South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And since submitting your letter of intent, have ο. you contacted any members of this Commission about 4 5 your candidacy? 6 No, I have not. Α. 7 Q. And also since submitting your letter of intent, 8 have you sought or received the pledge of any 9 legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 10 11 No, I have not. Α. And have you asked any third parties to contact 12 Q. 13 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 14 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in 15 this process on your behalf? No, I have not. 16 Α. 17 And have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 18 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 19 Carolina Code 2-19-70(E)? Yes, I do. 2.0 Α. 2.1 Q. Thank you. MS. BENSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note 22 23 for the record that the Lowcountry Citizens 24 Committee reported Judge McCoy to be well 25 qualified in the evaluative criteria

1 of ethical fitness, professional and academic 2 ability, character, reputation, experience and 3 judicial temperament. And qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of 4 5 constitutional qualifications, physical 6 health, and mental stability. Mr. Chairman, I 7 would note for the record that any concerns 8 raised during the investigation by staff 9 regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. And I 10 11 have no further questions. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. Any 13 questions for Judge McCoy? Senator Young. 14 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 Judge McCoy. 16 JUDGE MCCOY: Yes, sir. 17 SENATOR YOUNG: Good morning. 18 JUDGE MCCOY: Good morning. 19 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you for being here 2.0 and for your interest in serving our state on the Circuit Court. One question I have is how 2.1 22 would you describe your judicial philosophy as 23 it relates to the application of the law to any facts or to issues that would be before 24 25 you on the Circuit Court?

1 JUDGE MCCOY: I would apply the law that 2 the legislators have drafted as carefully as 3 possible on a case by case basis. My philosophy tends to follow the letter of the 4 5 law, certainly the legislative intent behind 6 the law. I do not believe in judicial 7 activism. I don't believe in legislating from 8 the bench, it's not appropriate at any time. 9 So my philosophy is to carry out the law as 10 it's written as even-handedly as possible in 11 all cases. 12 SENATOR YOUNG: Are you in a solo practice 13 currently? 14 JUDGE MCCOY: I have a solo practice on 15 the side, correct. I'm a twenty-four hour a 16 week Magistrate. So that leaves me a little 17 time on the side, not a lot to practice law, 18 but yes. 19 SENATOR YOUNG: Are you -- so as a solo 2.0 practitioner you -- I noticed in your PDQ that 2.1 you continue to handle cases like criminal 22 cases outside of Charleston County in --23 JUDGE MCCOY: Correct. Right. 24 SENATOR YOUNG: -- Federal Court? 25 JUDGE MCCOY: That's correct.

And

1 SENATOR YOUNG: And do you handle cases 2 outside of General Sessions and Federal Court? 3 JUDGE MCCOY: I have had only -- I've had 4 a Magistrate case in another county. I've had General Sessions cases in other counties. 5 6 just the federal -- it's pending federal 7 action right now out of Columbia. SENATOR YOUNG: But as a small office 8 9 10

attorney, is it fair to say you're familiar with the time challenges that would -- that exist on lawyers who practice either by themselves or in smaller firms?

JUDGE MCCOY: Absolutely. I commend and have a very great appreciation for lawyers who practice in small firms. As I said, I've been on the other side too. I've been in a huge firm based out of Atlanta. And I know that sometimes attorneys in those insurance defense cases like to sit around and bill hours while attorneys like myself and like many others in small practices, if you're not back at your office handling other cases you're not making money. And so I have a great deal of appreciation for -- for the small and solo practicing attorneys.

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

1 SENATOR YOUNG: How much experience do you have handling cases in Common Pleas? 2 JUDGE MCCOY: That's all I did for the 3 4 first three years of my practice. We were 5 almost exclusively in Common Pleas. I've had 6 a few federal civil cases every now and then 7 they would get removed to Federal Court. 8 for the most part I was only in Common Pleas. 9 And of course working for Judge Dennis, obviously, he was the Chief Admin Judge for 10 11 Common Pleas while I was his clerk so I have 12 extensive practice there. 13 SENATOR YOUNG: I noticed that you had --14 one of the cases that you list on Number 20 of your PDQ is a case in which, it was an Aiken 15 16 County case involving insurance coverage that 17 went to the State Supreme Court? Is that --18 JUDGE MCCOY: That's right. Yeah. 19 versus Association Insurance Company. 2.0 SENATOR YOUNG: And you were representing 2.1 the insurance carrier on that coverage 22 dispute? 23 JUDGE MCCOY: I was. That's correct. 24 SENATOR YOUNG: Have you handled any cases 25 in Circuit Court and Commons Pleas where

1 you've represented the plaintiffs? 2 JUDGE MCCOY: I have not been attorney of 3 record on a plaintiff's case in Common Pleas, 4 no. 5 SENATOR YOUNG: So my next question will 6 be in terms of Common Pleas and your practice 7 it sounds like you've handled lots of motions 8 and been in -- yeah, you're pretty familiar 9 with the Civil Court rules. JUDGE MCCOY: Correct. Yes. A lot of 10 11 motions for summary judgment, 12(b)(6), motion 12 to dismiss. A lot of those dispositive 13 motions. 14 SENATOR YOUNG: So are you comfortable 15 telling this Commission that you feel like you 16 got a pretty good grasp of both civil and 17 criminal law as it would be before you on a 18 daily basis in the Circuit Court? 19 JUDGE MCCOY: I am extremely comfortable. 2.0 And, in fact, I'll reiterate I have -- I have 2.1 literally crafted my career to make myself extremely comfortable in both criminal and 22 23 civil settings in Civil Court, Criminal Court 24 and Circuit Court level in South Carolina. 25 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Safran.

25

MR. SAFRAN: Judge McCoy, thank you for being up here. And what strikes me and I really feel like it's worth making comment on is I've been here two years and I see a lot of people who come wanting to be a Circuit judge. And I also hear about the same thing that you've told us. This is something I've wanted to do for quite some time. And each time we're seemingly faced with a circumstance where despite that plan, despite the desire, nobody takes the effort to go and get what we look at as being such a significant component which is experience. It looks like to me you did go to try to get some experience in the civil courts by working in the firm. Realized that you needing the criminal experience was, I guess, a must. So you went and did that. Then you went and decided to at least to try to become more acquainted with being a decision maker. So I guess, I want to say that it's very refreshing to see that having heard the opposite many times already you've kind of made a plan. Do you feel like even though there has been some limited time in

1 each of those positions that you've picked up 2 enough in order to feel comfortable doing what 3 you've watched with Judge Dennis? JUDGE MCCOY: I do. I really do. 4 5

say that with -- with confidence. I gave -- I worked very hard in all of my positions and I haven't gotten here by any chance of fate or luck or anything like that it's just plain old-fashioned hard work like all of us have to do our jobs on a day to day basis. So I do, I feel very prepared. It was not easy to leave the big firm and, you know, the paycheck that comes with that, but it was something I had to do, a sacrifice that I was willing to make. Public service is, like, as you all know, obviously, it's very rewarding. And I, you know, while I could have stayed at the firm and, you know, I'd probably be a partner by now where all of my peers are at this point and living very comfortably. But my public service is what really brings me the most fulfillment in life so I'd like to continue that.

MR. SAFRAN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

24

1	SENATOR RANKIN: I missed the
2	introductions. I apologize I was behind the
3	column over there getting a half of a biscuit
4	Your mother and your grandmother; is that
5	correct?
6	JUDGE MCCOY: Correct. This is my mother,
7	Patricia Blanchard and my grandmother-in-law
8	Lucy Coleman and her caretaker Mary Jones.
9	SENATOR RANKIN: Ms. Coleman is from
10	Winnsboro.
11	JUDGE MCCOY: She is in fact from
12	Winnsboro, yes, sir.
13	SENATOR RANKIN: Where I spent
14	Thanksgiving Day. I'm married to a Bonds,
15	Weldon and Lillian Bonds' daughter. I was
16	hoping that you were a Coleman. I served with
17	Creighton, your cousin-in-law, I guess, ma'am.
18	JUDGE MCCOY: My uncle-in-law.
19	SENATOR RANKIN: Trying to make him as
20	young as I can. Anyway
21	JUDGE MCCOY: Trying.
22	SENATOR RANKIN: Welcome, both of you. I
23	am curious about a couple of things. And I am
24	impressed. You know, we have we're vetting
25	lots and lots of folks and one of the raps is

they don't have enough of this, they don't have enough of that. And I don't want to call you Goldilocks, but perhaps in the experience realm of criminal and civil you may have the perfect for just the right amount of both and it's not too hot, it's not too cold, it might just be just right. So kudos to you.

JUDGE MCCOY: Thank you.

SENATOR RANKIN: Dovetailing what Mr.

Safran said in terms of that ability and your desire to shore up where perhaps you might be weak. So kudos to you there. And Ms.

Coleman, kudos to your family member sitting here before you. So youth is either a blessing or a problem. If we asked your grandmother perhaps or rather your mother if youth would be a negative some of these Citizens Committees will cite that they have had too little experience and not enough time or maturity. You've been out of law school for?

JUDGE MCCOY: Ten years.

SENATOR RANKIN: And I don't know that we've had another one before us that has been out so short of time. You have filled your

time well, but to those who don't buy that,
hey look, look what I've done, tell -- speak
to the naysayer as to your youth and
experience.

JUDGE MCCOY: Well, I said it a little while ago, I'll say it again. I think that the quality of my years makes up for the quantity. I think, you know, thirty years as a -- in one niche might not give you the quality of experience that I've been able to achieve in my ten years since graduating law school. And again, I've worked hard in all of the roles I've had thus far. I've put a hundred and ten percent into everything I've done. I will continue to that as a Circuit judge, if elected. And while I certainly respect the brothers and sisters of the Bar that I have who have been practicing longer than I have I always appreciate the input that they have in court and I listen to them very carefully. Obviously, they've mentored me up to now in my career and they will continue to I believe that the quality of my experience, the variety that I've had, lends itself well to this position.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

SENATOR RANKIN: In Fall of 2002 through the Spring of 2003 you taught at Garrett Academy in North Charleston?

2.0

2.1

JUDGE MCCOY: I did. I was a teacher. I didn't go straight into law school after college. I took a couple of years and my first year I was a teacher in Charleston County Public District.

SENATOR RANKIN: You taught those who might have been considered the unteachable?

JUDGE MCCOY: I did. All of my students were those who previously failed the PACT test is what they called it at that point. I think they're the Palmetto Aptitude test now. They had taken it and failed in Language Arts. And I was an English major at Georgia. So I was not a teaching major, but I came and moved to Charleston and applied with the district and they hired me. They hired me one day and I was in the classroom the next. It was pretty fly by the seat of your pants. And they gave me the students and they were, you know, a lot of them were from very unfortunate, you know, backgrounds and circumstances and a lot of them in North Charleston they grow up and

they've never even been to downtown Charleston or seen the beach and here they lived in Charleston. So they just had very limited exposure throughout their life, but I was happy to teach them and I was very proud of the fact that at the end of the year when they re-took the test that two-thirds of those then passed.

SENATOR RANKIN: That's where I was headed to see what your success rate was. And by describing these as unteachable I would be in that same camp. I guarantee and would have likely have failed that test back then so that was a one year gig for you?

JUDGE MCCOY: I did that for one year and I enjoyed teaching. My mother was a lifelong educator and school administrator and I wanted to try it out. And I did enjoy teaching, it was -- it was very rewarding and to me there's no more important job in this world than that of a teacher. But I knew I wanted to apply to law school and take my career in that direction.

SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. Thank you for offering for this position.

1 JUDGE MCCOY: Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? 3 Representative Murphy. 4 REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Just real quickly, 5 Mr. Chairman. 6 SENATOR RANKIN: I'm sorry, let me go 7 right back. Quick interruption. I'm going to 8 ask you if you don't mind -- will you yield? 9 REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: I'll yield. SENATOR RANKIN: Very quickly. I do this 10 11 with everyone so I apologize. Stream of 12 consciousness. Will be clean with him and I will not interrupt again. This Bench Bar 13 14 survey. JUDGE MCCOY: Yes, sir. 15 16 SENATOR RANKIN: Of which you have 17 received, I dare say, few if any negative 18 comments. I want you to tell us whether or 19 not this is something you recognize as 2.0 valuable or suspect. Is it something that we 2.1 should consider? 22 JUDGE MCCOY: I think the anonymous 23 comments that the Bar gets should have some 24 value. I think the fact that they're given 25 anonymously it can go both ways. People can

22

23

24

25

hide behind that anonymity and make some comments that maybe sometimes aren't true. But I think, by and large, I think our brothers and sisters of the Bar take that as an opportunity to really give honest feedback about people so I do think it's a good tool.

SENATOR RANKIN: Thank you. My apologies, sir.

REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: That was my question -- no. Judge McCoy, as a solo practitioner, part-time Magistrate and having three children, that I know, do you think it's important as a, if you were to become Circuit Court judge, to understand the, I guess, the different ties and pulls that attorneys have as far as life outside of the courtroom and do you feel that's important? And how would you -- if you had an attorney that came into your courtroom and asked you at the last minute for a continuance, to be able to leave early to go home or to a soccer match or to a baseball game, I mean, do you feel that quality of life outside of the practice of law is important and something that you would respect?

1 of life is the most important to anybody 2 regardless of your career, but certainly for 3 attorneys it's a stressful job being an 4 attorney. My husband and I tease should I 5 have gone to law school? There are a lot less 6 stressful ways to make money. But we 7 ultimately know we both made the right choice. 8 But I absolutely feel attorneys are better 9 practitioners if they are leading happier lives. And certainly being there for your 10 11 family is always a top priority and certainly a continuance or a request to leave early on a 12 13 day would not be something that I would balk 14 I think that's very reasonable and as 15 long as there wasn't some serious prejudice 16 that the other party would suffer, which, you know, would be hard to imagine. It would 17 18 certainly be something that I would consider 19 granting. 2.0 REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY: Thank you. Thank 2.1 you for your willingness to serve. 22 JUDGE MCCOY: Yes. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? 24 (No response.) 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge McCoy, just a

24

25

couple of questions. I also thank you for your willingness to serve and offering for this position. When I look through this, I think someone alluded to it earlier, Senator Rankin, that you have hardly any negative comments. I saw that there was one on your temperament being unqualified, you worked in the Solicitor's Office where you prosecuted people and that tends to be the most contentious area of what we see when we have prosecutors or public defenders. negative comments seem to go up and yours don't so I commend you for that. One issue that I see that a couple of people remarked on is that the fact that your husband practices criminal law and he may have to appear in front of you. I presume you would recuse yourself if that were the case?

JUDGE MCCOY: Absolutely. I reiterate clearly I would recuse myself and follow all Judicial Canons to ensure I was within all ethical guidelines.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And as it relates to your time over in the Solicitor's Office, I presume you learned what works over there and what

doesn't work over there. And one thing that 1 2 I'm interested in as we embark in sentence 3 reform we're talking about alternative courts. 4 And I think you all have a Drug Court down in 5 6 JUDGE MCCOY: We do. We have a Drug 7

Court. I think the statistics on Drug Court are very good by in large.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And if you were able and successful with your candidacy here and get elected what would you do to help improve or work with the Drug Court? I understand that obviously the solicitors are the ones in charge of the court, but judges take a prominent role in those. What would you do in that regard?

JUDGE MCCOY: They do. I would just ensure close follow up. Drug Court is very difficult. It takes the right candidate to be successful in Drug Court. It's got to be someone who's willing to make the meetings, someone who's willing to see something through. And I think as a judge on the frontend when you take the plea to begin with, to refer someone to Drug Court, I think you need

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

to be able to recognize the characteristics they are going to make as a successful candidate in Drug Court rather than just putting everybody in there even if they're not very likely to succeed.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And I think Mr. Murphy, hit on this, but you know from time to time you'll be, if you're successful, you'll be Chief Admin in General Sessions or Common Pleas, and I know that's not something you probably aspire to from what I hear from other judges, but regardless you have to deal with that. And you're going to have to deal with the request for continuances and lawyers getting jammed up on different conflicts from time to time. How do you propose to handle those requests and those conflicts that may arise?

JUDGE MCCOY: Well, I really think you have to take them on a case by case basis.

And I think you have to understand that we're all human beings and we all have lives away from the practice of law that are very demanding. And again, I'd reiterate that I think the best practitioners of law are the

ones who are the most well-rounded, the ones that are there for their family and aren't stressed out too terribly. So I think it's really good for the practice of law to entertain those requests in as generous a way as possible.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
(No response.)

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge McCoy, thank you so much. This concludes this portion of your screening process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit as well the letter of the ethics laws, and we will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening deliberations. On that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications, and you may be called back at such time if the need arises. I thank you for offering for this position, and I thank you for your service to the State of South Carolina.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1	JUDGE MCCOY: Thank you all very much for
2	your service and time.
3	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. You all have
4	a safe trip back.
5	(The judge is excused.)
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're going to go into
7	executive session. Mr. Hitchcock moves we go
8	into executive session. All in favor say,
9	"Aye."
10	(The Commission members comply.)
11	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN SMITH: "Ayes" have it. We're in
14	executive session. Let's turn off the mics
15	and close the doors.
16	(Off the record.)
17	(There being no further questions,
18	the proceedings adjourned at 12:40 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	
2	COUNTY OF RICHLAND)	
3		
4	* * * * *	
5	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION	
6	TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS	
7	* * * *	
8	BEFORE: G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., CHAIRMAN	
9	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN	
10	SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB	
11	SENATOR TOM YOUNG, JR.	
12	MR. ROBERT W. HAYES, JR.	
13	REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD	
14	REPRESENTATIVE CHRIS MURPHY	
15	MICHAEL HITCHCOCK	
16	JOSHUA HOWARD	
17	ANDREW N. SAFRAN	
18	ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL	
19	* * * *	
20	DATE: November 28th, 2017	
21	TIME: 2:17 p.m.	
22	LOCATION: Gressette Building	
23	1101 Pendleton Street	
24	Columbia, South Carolina 29201	
25	REPORTED BY: JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER	

г

Page 2

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	TAGE.
4	GRADY L. PATTERSON, III
5	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela9
6	- Examination by Mr. Hayes14
7	- Examination by Senator Young16
8	- Examination by Mr. Safran19
9	- Examination by Senator Rankin22
10	- Examination by Chairman Smith27
11	- Examination by Mr. Hitchcock28
12	- Examination by Senator Young29
13	ROBERT L. REIBOLD
14	- Examination by Mr. Franklin
15	- Examination by Senator Young
16	- Examination by Representative Murphy43
17	- Examination by Mr. Safran
18	BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON
19	- Examination by Mr. Davidson51
20	- Examination by Senator Young57
21	- Examination by Mr. Howard61
22	- Examination by Mr. Safran
23	- Examination by Mr. Hayes72
24	- Examination by Senator Sabb
25	- Examination by Chairman Smith

Page 3

1	SARA HEATHER SAVITZ WEISS
2	- Examination by Mr. Stimson83
3	- Examination by Senator Young93
4	- Examination by Senator Rankin100
5	- Examination by Mr. Safran110
6	THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES, III
7	- Examination by Ms. Mottel120
8	- Examination by Senator Young
9	- Examination by Senator Rankin134
10	- Examination by Senator Murphy141
11	Certificate of Reporter150
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	* * * *
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 78
5	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
6	QUESTIONNAIRE OF GRADY L. PATTERSON, III
7	EXHIBIT NO. 88
8	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
9	GRADY L. PATTERSON
10	EXHIBIT NO. 99
11	- AMENDMENT TO THE PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF GRADY L.
12	PATTERSON
13	EXHIBIT NO. 1332
14	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
15	QUESTIONNAIRE OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD
16	EXHIBIT NO. 1432
17	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
18	ROBERT L. REIBOLD
19	EXHIBIT NO. 1532
20	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ROBERT L.
21	REIBOLD
22	EXHIBIT NO. 1650
23	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
24	QUESTIONNAIRE OF BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON
25	

1	EXHIBIT NO. 1750
2	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
3	BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON
4	EXHIBIT NO. 1881
5	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
6	QUESTIONNAIRE OF SARA HEATHER SAVITZ WEISS
7	EXHIBIT NO. 1982
8	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
9	SARA HEATHER SAVITZ WEISS
10	EXHIBIT NO. 20120
11	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
12	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES, III
13	EXHIBIT NO. 21120
14	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
15	THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES, III
16	EXHIBIT NO. 22120
17	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE
18	MARVIN H. DUKES, III
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
GRADY L. PATTERSON III:
1
 2
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Back on the record.
 3
               Representative Murphy moved that we lift the veil
               and come out of executive session. All in favor
 4
5
               say, aye.
 6
                          (Ayes are heard.)
7
          CHAIRMAN SMITH:
                          All opposed?
8
                       (No response is heard.)
9
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Let me state for the
               record that while we've been in executive
10
11
               sessions, there were no decisions made, no votes
12
               were taken. We're now going to proceed and Mr.
13
               Patterson, sorry about the delay. We always tell
14
               people we're optimistic on our times over here,
15
               so appreciate your patience. Mr. Patterson will
               you raise your right hand, please sir?
16
     GRADY L. PATTERSON, III, having been duly sworn, testifies
17
18
          as follows:
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Patterson, before you
19
               is the personal data questionnaire and the sworn
2.0
21
               statement. Are these documents that you've
               submitted to the Commission?
22
23
          MR. PATTERSON: Yes.
24
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct, to the best of
25
               your knowledge?
```

1	MR. PATTERSON: They are correct. But I would note
2	that the personal data, I filed an amendment
3	yesterday. I don't know if that's been
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: It's in here. Okay.
5	MR. PATTERSON: I filed it yesterday and I brought the
6	original today.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. All right. Well, we're going
8	to make that as an amendment to your personal
9	data questionnaire then.
10	MR. PATTERSON: I would just note for the record that
11	question number 42 on the personal data
12	questionnaire asks if you've received anything
13	from a lobbyist of value. My daughter is a
14	lobbyist. And I had a birthday a couple of weeks
15	ago. She gave me a birthday present. I know
16	that's exempt under the statute, but it just asks
17	if you've gotten anything. I did that.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Out of an abundance of caution, we're
19	going to make an oral amendment to your PDQ to
20	add that, is that okay?
21	MR. PATTERSON: That would be good. Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Anything else you need to
23	update or amend with your personal data
24	questionnaire?
25	MR. PATTERSON: No.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Do you have any objection 1 2 to us making that a part of your sworn testimony 3 -- exhibit to your sworn testimony? MR. PATTERSON: No objection. 4 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection, we're 5 6 going to make those documents and any amendments, 7 plus oral amendment, an exhibit to your sworn 8 testimony. Mr. Patterson, the Judicial Merit 9 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated 10 your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry 11 has focused on nine evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of 12 13 your application materials, verification with 14 your compliance with state ethics laws, a search 15 of newspaper articles in which your name appears. Study of previous screenings, and checks for 16 economic conflicts of interest. We've received 17 18 no affidavits filed in opposition to your 19 election and there are no witnesses here to testify today. But before we get started and I 2.0 21 see, did you bring a guest with you today? 22 (EXHIBIT 7 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 23 PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF GRADY L. PATTERSON, 24 III) (EXHIBIT 8 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN 25

1	STATEMENT OF GRADY L. PATTERSON, III)
2	(EXHIBIT 9 AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF
3	GRADY L. PATTERSON, III)
4	MR. PATTERSON: I did and I appreciate you mentioning
5	that, Mr. Chairman. This is my wife I'd like to
6	introduce, Sally Patterson.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right, Sally, appreciate you
8	being here, welcome. And do you wish to make a
9	brief opening statement, other than introducing
10	your wife?
11	MR. PATTERSON: I think I'll probably just wait for
12	the questions. I'm anxious to get it yes, Mr.
13	Chairman.
L4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you very much. Answer any
15	questions that counsel may have for us, please?
16	MR. PATTERSON: Thank you.
L7	EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA:
18	Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would note for the
L9	record that based on the testimony contained in
20	the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in
21	the record with the candidate's consent. Mr.
22	Grady "Leck" Patterson meets the constitutional
23	and statutory requirements for this position
24	regarding age, residence, and years of practice.
25	Mr. Patterson, how are you?

1 A. Fine, thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Good. How do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to become an effective circuit court judge?
- Α. Well, I've been practicing law for over 35 years. And over that period of time -- and those amongst you who are lawyers and it may be everybody. at any rate, you learn a lot of things about judgement. You learn about the fact that a rule of law, black letter law, may have a number of underlying policies or principals involved. You learn that different cases, different sets of facts, call on different policies or principals. And it may be that one set of facts calls on a rule of law, for example, the sanctity of contract, where another state of facts would call on an exception to that, such as a contract of adhesion. So you learn that different -- that the law is here to guide and give structure to our society. And depending on the facts involved, the different rules of law are in place to help resolve any issues that may arise.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Patterson. Mr. Patterson, the Commission received 124 ballot box surveys

regarding you with 12 having additional comments. Some examples of some positive comments are as follows, "an exceptional lawyer and an exceptional person". And also, "Leck has vast experience as an attorney. He is intellectually gifted and possesses unflappable temperament. He is kind and generous and gracious to everyone." And that is two examples. And, of course, everyone on the Commission has all of the comments.

- MS. VALENZUELA: Mr. Chairman, I would note that no comments indicated any concerns.
- Q. Mr. Patterson, in your PDQ, you stated your practice has largely been in civil matters, but that you've also handled criminal cases in magistrate's court, city court, and summary military courts. What else should the Commission know about your experience as it relates to your ability to preside over criminal matters, should you be elected to the circuit court position?
- A. Well, I think it's two things to take into consideration. Number one, the overlap between the criminal and the civil matters. The rules of evidence are largely going to be applied the same way. Of course, in the criminal setting you've

25

1

got some additional rules of evidence, such as the Crawford, right to be confronted. where you have the co-defendant being tried at the same time. But generally, the experience with the rules of evidence are going to apply. The second thing is, is I've done what I can in the past couple of years to educate myself on the criminal practice. I have been associated with a former assistant solicitor on cases. I have met with a circuit court judge. I've met with a former solicitor, assistant solicitor. Just recently I met with a current serving assistant solicitor from the upstate in order to do what I can to educate myself on the procedures and the law. So, I feel like there's a lot of overlap. The key, of course, in trying any case, is to have an understanding of the law, to be thoughtful, listen to the arguments and to apply your judgement, the facts before you. And I think my experience, while largely on the civil side, gives rise to a qualified candidate to do that on either the civil or the criminal side.

Q. Thank you for that, Mr. Patterson. And now just some housekeeping issues. Are you aware that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the Code of

1		Judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the
2		South Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	And Mr. Patterson, since submitting your letter
5		of intent, have you contacted any members of the
6		Commission about your candidacy?
7	Α.	No.
8	Q.	Since submitting your letter of intent, have you
9		sought or received the pledge of any legislator,
10		either prior to this date or pending the outcome
11		of your screening?
12	А.	No.
13	Q.	Have you asked any third parties to contact
14		members of the General Assembly on your behalf or
15		are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene
16		in this process on your behalf?
17	А.	I have not asked any and I'm not aware of any
18		attempting to intervene on my behalf.
19	Q.	Thank you. And have you reviewed and do you
20		understand the Commission's guidelines on
21		pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-
22		70(e)?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	MS.	VALENZUELA: Mr. Chairman, I would note that the
25		Midland's Citizens Committee reported that Mr.

Patterson is well-qualified in the evaluative 1 2 criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 3 academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. And 4 qualified in the remaining evaluative criterial 5 of constitutional qualifications, physical 6 7 health, and mental stability. The Committee 8 commented that, "Mr. Patterson has considerable 9 experience in both civil and criminal law, as well as considerable trial and life experience. 10 11 We believe he possesses superior temperament, work ethic, and intellect. In summary, Mr. 12 13 Patterson would be a superior circuit court 14 judge." Mr. Chairman, I would note for the 15 record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding this candidate 16 17 were incorporated into the questioning of the 18 candidate today. And I have no further questions. 19 20

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Any questions for Mr. Patterson? Senator Hayes.

EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES:

21

22

23

24

- Q. Yeah. I appreciate your willingness to serve.
- A. Thank you, Senator.
- Q. And we had the pleasure of serving with you, I

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

25

think, in the National Guard for a number of years. And what experiences did you have in the Guard that would help make you a better judge?

Well, there's a couple of aspects of that. One Α. of the main ones is being responsible for a large number of people. In other words, if you're going to be a judge in a county like Richland, then you've got to be able to organize. You've got to have a lot -- there are a lot of cases out there that are pending. You've got to be able to coordinate and in my -- I had several command positions, one with six squadrons, Air Force squadrons, and several hundred people. And you've got to coordinate careers, responsibilities. You have to have people prepared for events that are going to take place in the future, training and deployments. gave me a lot of experience for handling a lot of things as efficiently as you can and doing it right. I think that helped a lot. The other thing is just dealing with people. When you're handling that many people, you learn a lot about how people think, what motivates them, what's important to them. Particularly, I'll say again, what motivates them. All those things, those

experiences. And on top of that, just the flat-1 2 out experience of responsibility. As you know, 3 when we're called up to go somewhere, you can't go half way. You've got to be ready. And all 4 that is attributed to my experience of being 5 prepared, on time. Those types of things are 6 7 important to self-discipline. I think all that 8 contributes to they can be better qualified for a 9 position of responsibility.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young.

EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Patterson, thank you so much for your interest in serving our state on the circuit court. Thank you for your many years of service in the South Carolina National Guard, as well in the -- I should say, the South Carolina Air National Guard. Anyway, one of my questions is -- I have more than one. But one is, how would you characterize or describe your judicial philosophy, with respect to the application of the law to any facts or issues that would be before you on the circuit court?
- A. Well, as I said a little earlier, the facts heavily determine which law gets applied. But as

a matter of fact, when I was before the Bar 1 2 Screening Committee, I was asked a similar 3 question about forfeiture of property in a civil 4 forfeiture. And expressed my thought that I thought sometimes it was an aggressive policy. 5 And one of the questioners asked me, said, well, 6 7 if someone came before you and the solicitor 8 showed that they were entitled to have the 9 property forfeited, but you didn't really think 10 it was the right thing, because maybe it was a 11 relative or a mother or something, wasn't really involved. You know, the answer to that is if the 12 13 legislature has passed a law that says that 14 government is entitled to forfeiture, if they meet these criteria, then as a circuit court 15 judge I would forfeit the property. Because 16 17 that's not my responsibility to decide whether or 18 not I liked the law, whether or not I think it's 19 appropriate, whether it's too strict or too liberal. My job is to apply the laws as best I 2.0 can and that's what I'd do. 21 22 You've practiced -- I've looked at your PDQ. Q. You've practiced the last several years as a solo 23

practitioner?

Yes.

24

25

Α.

1	Q.	Before that you were in a firm, a couple
2		different firms with multiple lawyers?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	But they were fairly smaller firms?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Not a bigger firm, like you see in some parts of
7		Columbia, but a smaller firm with less than 10
8		lawyers?
9	Α.	Yes, sir.
10	Q.	So is it fair to say that you are familiar with
11		the challenges as to time and trying to be in
12		multiple courts on maybe multiple days or
13		depositions in multiple places, et cetera, that
14		lawyers who are in small firms or who are solo
15		practitioner's experience?
16	Α.	Absolutely.
17	Q.	And do you believe that you would be sensitive to
18		those challenges for those types of lawyers if
19		you were elected to the circuit court bench?
20	Α.	I would be sensitive to that, very much so.
21	Q.	How do you think that your my understanding
22		from your practice is that you have had a very
23		distinguished and lengthy civil practice since
24		you got out of law school in 1979; is that fair
25		to say?

1	A.	I appreciate the characterization, yes, Senator.
2	Q.	And you have spent time over the last few years
3		learning more about the criminal law; is that
4		correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Do you feel that you could represent to this
7		Commission that for the areas of the criminal law
8		that you maybe do not have extensive practice,
9		you would be able to learn that in a short period
10		of time, if elected to the circuit court?
11	A.	I can represent that to the Commission, yes.
12	Q.	Those are all my questions.
13	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Thank you. Any further questions?
14		Mr. Safran.
15	EXAMINATI	ON BY MR. SAFRAN:
16	Q.	Mr. Patterson, it's nice to see you. Appreciate
17		your coming back. Let me just follow up on that.
18		Obviously, you've been seeking a position like
19		this for quite some time. And I guess if
20		somebody who was actually I'm not a
21		contemporary, you're a little ahead of me in
22		terms of law school and all. But it seems to me
23		what you're doing is basically what we used to
24		see is that people would cap a career with

wanting to become a judge. Is that basically

been kind of what motivates you to keep coming back to see us?

- A. Well, I think the actual motivation is because it's something I really want to do.
- Q. Sure.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- And I think it's something I've been trained to Α. do and have experience in. I tell you I started practicing in the attorney general's office and really enjoyed the public aspect of the law there. And, you know, eventually, not everybody, but in my case I left to get out in private practice and start that kind of career. But parallel to that I was serving in the Guard. And so that was my public service, which can be testified with eye witness, let me put it that way. It takes a lot of time. But at any rate, and now I'm finished my Guard service. And this is another way to have that public service. And I feel like I'm ready for it. It's something I would really like to do. And I think I've been trained and I'm ready.
- Q. Well, and let me ask this -- and we, obviously, look at experience as being a significant factor.

 And I understand that, you know, you may not be out in General Sessions on a regular basis, but

803-256-4500

you did have time at the AGs office. And you certainly were exposed to the criminal process to some extent there?

- A. Yes, I had a -- we would rotate around with our training session, had a section in the criminal appeals where I wrote a number of briefs, learning issues and that type of thing.
- Q. Well, and I guess it's -- what I'm trying to also make clear is that the fact you've got the number of years of experience in court doing all the aspects that a lawyer would do in case after case. Don't you think that pretty well gives you a leg up, in terms of being able to pick up whatever you may not have had in General Sessions Court, maybe over the last several years, as far as being able to handle that type of aspect of it?
- A. Oh, I think so. And I think as a lot of you will agree, I think, is that the farther you go along, the faster you learn, you know. It's not like you go along and you run into harder and harder things become difficult. It's the faster you learn. You learn the language of the law. You learn the policies of the law. You learn the thinking of the way statutes are written and what

they're trying to do. And I think -- I think at 1 2 this stage, I'm learning faster than I've ever 3 learned. And I continue to learn. It's something I really enjoy. And so I do, I believe 4 that that length of period of time of having the 5 experience of being in court, the interactions, 6 7 dealing with clients, all of that goes to give 8 you, as you say, a leg up or some advantage in learning the next thing, I do. 9 10 Q. And I guess, certainly, you wouldn't be here if 11 you didn't think you were up to the task, as far as being able to handle what you've seen over the 12 13 course of decades in the circuit court. 14 That's true. And that's a very important point. Α. 15 I just -- that's personally important to me. do something -- if you're going to go do 16 17 something, you better be ready to do it. And I 18 firmly believe that. Thank you very much. 19 Q. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin. 20 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 21 22 Mr. Patterson, I well recall conversations with Q. 23 you in the last judicial race. And I leaned in 24 to try to figure out who the contenders were of 25 that race. But you are distinguished being the

son of a great man, who was a contemporary of my 1 2 father. And I'm not sure they would be the same 3 age if they were both living, but I remember growing up hearing about Grady Patterson forever, 4 and so, here's Leck. 5 6 Let me thank you for those comments, Senator. Α. 7 really appreciate that. 8 Q. Well, it's a compliment to your legacy, which is 9 not your father's alone, based on the 10 accomplishments that I did not know about prior 11 to my position here. Brigadier general? 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 Q. Was your -- and is there any higher rank? 14 Yes, sir, brigadier general is a one-star. Α. 15 Q. Within the Air National Guard? Within -- well, in any of the services a 16 Α. brigadier general -- well, the Navy calls it 17 18 something different, but they call it an admiral. 19 But the Marines, Army, and the Air Force would be -- a brigadier general would be a one star 20 21 officer. 22 And forgive my lack of familiarity with this, but Q. 23 in terms of South Carolina, anyone within the Air 24 National Guard? No, the Air National Guard in South Carolina has 25 Α.

1		two one-star positions, a chief of staff and an
2		assistant adjutant general for Air. And that's
3		as high as it gets. And I was the assistant
4		adjutant general for Air.
5	Q.	Point being, you're as high as we've known in
6		this state?
7	Α.	Other than the adjutant general who could be an
8		air officer and get two stars. But we haven't
9		seen that, it's always been an Army officer.
10		There's a lot more Army National Guard than Air.
11		But as far as normal operations a one-star
12		general is as high as you can get in a South
13		Carolina Air National Guard.
14	Q.	So that's a compliment that I'm paying you and
15		not an insult. You with me, follow me?
16	Α.	I do, Senator, and thank you.
17	Q.	Okay. And then an honorable discharge from that
18		in 2012?
19	Α.	2012.
20	Q.	And then you rested on your laurels and have not
21		done anything since?
22	Α.	I tell you, I'm still heavily involved with the
23		Association and the National Guard Foundation,
24		which we've recently had a very successful fund
25		raiser for. It's something I think will be a

benefit to the soldiers and airmen. 1 2 I've asked this mostly of everyone, but in terms Q. 3 of the Bench Bar ballots and anonymous input that we've received in your instance, your case, I 4 think, if I've got my math right, about 124, 5 6 perhaps, plus people have commented on you. 7 part of this Judicial Merit Selection Committee, 8 in terms of our soliciting unvarnished, un-9 attributed comments, good or bad, about a 10 candidate, about you particularly, do you see this is a valuable tool for us to rely upon? 11 I think it's valuable, with the caveat that 12 Α. 13 negative comments, the candidate would probably 14 need to be able to comment on. Because, well, 15 obviously somebody could be confused and makes a comment. And someone could have an ulterior 16 motive for making a bad comment. So I think it's 17 18 a very useful tool and if you see a trend or a majority, that would obviously be very useful. 19 But I just add that caveat that it's not --20 21 An outlier comment, again, favorable or Q. 22 unfavorable, but would -- could well be pegged or 23 considered a trend, good or bad; do you think 24 that's something we should consider?

Should consider, I do, yes.

25

Α.

- Q. Okay. Fortunately for you, unless I've overlooked one, I don't see any negative comment about -- in terms of your qualifications, constitutionally, your ethical fitness, academic and professional ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. Of these, which do you consider to be the most important? If you had to pick one and if you can't pick one, I'll give you three, top three.
- A. I would say, my thinking is judicial temperament and academic qualification would be the two most important.

Q. And why?

A. Well, number one, the judge has got to know the law, got to know how to proceed, got to be able to make a fair decision, but based on the law.

And the judge has got to be willing to study.

The judge is going to get things that the judge never was involved in before. And he's going to get a memo from one side and then a memo from the other. He's got to be able to go through that, look at the case law, make sure it's cited properly, make sure it's cited for the position or stands for, obviously. And so that to me is a given. You've got to be able to do that. And

then number two, the temperament is also, to me, 1 2 the same level. Because the judge has got to be 3 willing to listen to the people who are trying to educate him on it, on those particular issues. 4 He's got to be able to listen so he, for once he 5 6 can pick it up. And number two, so that when it 7 comes time to make a decision, he's got all the 8 facts and he's giving them a fair hearing. 9 Q. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions. 11 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Patterson, let me clear up one thing that I 12 Q. 13 heard you mention and I presume it was in 14 general. When you talk about your time with the National Guard Foundation. Since you've been a 15 candidate, you haven't raised any -- participated 16 17 in raising any funds for them because of your 18 being bound to the Judicial Candidate's Ethics; 19 is that right? Well, as I understand it. I assisted with a 20 Α. 21 reception was what I did. 22 Yeah, but you didn't, you didn't raise --Q. 23 Go out and raise any money? Α. 24 Yes, sir. Q. 25 Α. Oh, no, sir.

Okay. All right. I just wanted to make that 1 Q. 2 record clear. 3 Understood. Α. All right. 4 Q. No, as a matter of fact. I was -- no, sir. I 5 Α. didn't. 6 7 Q. You can go to a reception, you just didn't raise 8 money. Yes, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any other questions for Mr. Patterson? Mr. Hitchcock. 10 11 EXAMINATION BY MR. HITCHCOCK: Mr. Patterson, I just wanted to, you know, I 12 Q. 13 think I said this the first time that we screened 14 you and it was my first screening and I know 15 we've -- I think we've seen each other every time since then. But I just wanted to say how much I 16 17 appreciate your willingness to serve South 18 Carolina in this capacity. And also, you know, 19 reiterate a comment that I made during your first screening of how you don't see a lot of folks who 20 21 go from being a JAG officer, to being a line 22 officer to, obviously, being the assistant 23 adjutant general for the Air Guard, so you're 24 certainly to be commended for that. As a JAG

officer in the Army National Guard, I can tell

you I don't possess the skills or the ability to 1 2 do that. So I just wanted to congratulate you on 3 that and to say thank you for all of your years of service. I appreciate your willingness to 4 continue to come back through -- put yourself 5 6 back through this process in hopes of serving 7 some more, so thank you. 8 Α. Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Young. 10 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 11 I just wanted to explore one more thing for the Q. record. Your civil practice, you've handled --12 13 is it fair to say you've handled a large number of business litigation cases? 14 15 Α. Yes. And many of those involved very complex issues; 16 Q. 17 is that fair to say? 18 Α. I would say so. Quite a lot of research. Do you think that the number of lawyers who have 19 Q. -- and I -- do you think it's fair to say that 20 21 you have as much or more experience with complex 22 business litigation than other lawyers who may be 23 appearing before this commission? 24 I don't -- I have a lot. I just don't know the Α. 25 level of the other lawyers.

- You've been handling them for over 25 years; is 1 Q. 2 that fair to say? 3 Business cases, close to 30 years. Α. 4 Senator. Thank you very much. 5 Q. Yes, sir. 6 Α. 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Any further questions? 8 Mr. Patterson, thank you so much. This concludes 9 this portion of your screening process. I want 10 to take the opportunity to remind you that 11 pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the 12 13 spirit, as well as the letter of the ethics laws. 14 And we will view violations or the appearance of 15 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations. 16 that note, and as you know, the record will 17 18 remain open until the formal release of the 19 report of qualifications and you may be called back at such time, if the need arises. I thank 2.0 21 you for offering for this position and I thank 22 you for your service to the State of South 23 Carolina. 24 MR. PATTERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 - GARBER REPORTING SERVICE 803-256-4500

ROBERT L. REIBOLD:

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Reibold, everyone's
2	around here, so we'll just get started. And go
3	through the first part. Will you raise your
4	right hand, please, sir?
5	ROBERT REIBOLD, having been duly sworn, testifies as
6	follows:
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Mr. Reibold, you have
8	before you the personal data questionnaire and
9	your sworn statement. Are these documents that
10	you've submitted to the Commission?
11	MR. REIBOLD: They are.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And are they both correct, to the
13	best of your knowledge?
14	MR. REIBOLD: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you need to make any changes or
16	updating information on there at this time?
17	MR. REIBOLD: I have no further amendments.
18	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to these
19	documents being made a part of the record of your
20	sworn testimony?
21	MR. REIBOLD: No, I do not.
22	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Will you please hand those to Lindi
23	for me. And we're going to make those an exhibit
24	to the record of your sworn testimony. Mr.
25	Reibold, the Judicial Merit Selection Commission

has thoroughly investigated your qualifications 1 2 for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on nine 3 evaluative criteria and has included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application 4 materials, verification of your compliance with 5 state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles 6 7 in which your name appears. Study of previous 8 screenings, and checks for economic conflicts of interest. We have received no affidavits filed 9 10 in opposition to your election. There are no 11 witnesses present to testify here today. Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to the 12 13 Commission? (EXHIBIT 13 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 14 15 PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD) (EXHIBIT 14 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 16 SWORN STATEMENT OF ROBERT L. REIBOLD) 17 18 (EXHIBIT 15 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE FOR ROBERT L. REIBOLD) 19 MR. REIBOLD: Yes, I do. 20 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Go ahead, please, sir. 22 MR. REIBOLD: Let me preface my statement by saying 23 that I'm suffering from what medical doctors 24 call, respiratory crud. And so if I seem tired 25 today, I am. And if my voice is raspy, I

apologize. But that wasn't going to keep me from 1 2 coming here today. What I want y'all to 3 understand as we get into this hearing is that my desire to be a circuit court judge is a real. 4 This is not a whim, a late career choice. 5 is not a way to cap off a career for me. This is 6 7 not a paycheck. In fact, I would be taking a pay 8 cut if I became a circuit court judge. I'm here 9 because I believe in the job and I want to do the 10 work. That being said, I know y'all have come 11 back after Thanksqiving break. I know that you have real jobs and places to be and you're taking 12 13 your time out here doing what I think is an 14 important civic service. So I have nothing 15 further to add. I just wanted to express my earnestness for being here. 16 17 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Reibold. Will you 18 answer any questions that counsel may have, please, sir? 19 EXAMINATION BY MR. FRANKLIN: 2.0 21 Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the record 22 that based on the testimony contained in the 23 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the 24 record with the candidate's consent, Robert

25

Reibold meets the constitutional and statutory

requirements for this position, regarding age,
residence, and years of practice. Good
afternoon, Mr. Reibold. How do you feel your
legal and professional experience thus far
renders you qualified and will assist you to be

an effective circuit court judge?

7

Α.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

47 years old. If I do quick math, that means I've been practicing for about 22 years. I have tried cases in magistrate's court. I've tried cases in circuit courts. I've tried cases in federal district courts. I've argued appeals before the Court of Appeals before the South Carolina Supreme Court. I've even argued an appeal before the United States Court of Appeals for the federal circuit. In that time I've been lucky, I've had a wide variety of cases. I've done your typical slip and falls. I've done car accident cases. In fact, my very first trial was a car accident case against Beth Bernstein and Former Chief Justice Costa Pleicones was the presiding judge. I've done employment discrimination cases. I've done products

Well, I'm -- even though I might not look it, I'm

2425

liability cases. I've done procurement cases.

I've done fraud, unfair trade practices, trade

secret cases. I even had one case that involved the international theft of trade secrets, and we had french interpreters throughout the whole trial. So I've been very fortunate to have a wide variety of experience. And I've been a courtroom lawyer, that's what I've done. In addition, I am AV rated by Martindale-Hubbell. I've written four articles for the South Carolina Lawyer magazine. And I've co-authored a legal reference test for the Bar -- text for the Bar. I've presented at CLEs. And I think I have the requisite experience and judgment to be a circuit court judge.

2.0

Q. Thank you. Mr. Reibold, the Commission received 97 ballot box surveys regarding you with 13 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. "Rob is intelligent, thoughtful, well-prepared and courteous. He would make a good judge." "Mr. Reibold is an excellent lawyer and an amazing person. He's honest, diligent, and wise. If Mr. Reibold were to be elected to the bench I feel very comfortable that he would be fair, impartial and courteous and respectful to all litigants." And finally, "Rob is the total

Α.

6

7

package. He is academically gifted and has decades of experience. We need more gentlemen like Rob on the bench". However, two of the written comments expressed concerns with your temperament, specifically that your temperament seems to be a challenge for you. What response would you offer to that concern?

22

23

24

25

Well, the very first thing I'd say is that the majority of responders said that I had a very good temperament for the bench. That I was found well qualified by the Bar in all categories, including temperament. I don't raise my voice. I don't yell. Those are not things that come out of Rob Reibold. I've traditionally been a very nice, easy-going guy. What I would say though, more specifically, that is the practice of law, especially trial work, is adversarially. And if I hadn't made someone mad along the way, I'm not representing my clients very well or very effectively. So I think, overall, that I have no concerns about my temperament. I'm sure at some point, in 22 years of law practice, someone didn't like what I said, but I can assure you temper was not an element.

Q. An additional concern indicates that you lack

Α.

experience in the area of criminal law. In fact, when you were screened in 2015, you were asked, "are there any reasons including substantive areas of the law that you need additionally to prepare for in order to serve as a judge?" You responded in part, "I don't have a large focus on criminal litigation, that is the area where I would need to do some extra work." What efforts, if any, have you made over the last couple of years to better prepare yourself in the area of criminal law?

That's a good question and it's fair to say that my practice has been predominantly civil. But I want to stress that I do have some criminal experience. My very first job was as a judicial law clerk for a circuit court judge. And in that role I assisted with the criminal trials. I sat through several weeks of PCRs. I assisted with guilty pleas. I assisted with the sentencing. I interacted with the solicitor's office. So there is some criminal experience there. I've handled two or three criminal cases in my career. I've done some PCRs, so there is a foundational element there for criminal experience. Secondly, things I've been doing. I've been reading

3

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

criminal law. I've been attending criminal law CLEs periodically for the last several years in order to improve my knowledge of that field. I have attended trials to watch criminal law. I've gone to criminal law symposiums on my own time to educate myself about that area. Those are the steps that I have taken to bolster that need.

- Q. As a circuit court judge, which strategies would you utilize to ensure that orders are issued in a timely manner?
- Well, the very first thing you need to do is you Α. have to have a calendar and I've learned that in my own practice. If you don't put it on the calendar, it doesn't get done. So you would do that. You'd work with your law clerk. You'd have to insist that if you were going to use proposed orders that they be submitted by counsel in a timely fashion so that you have the appropriate time to review them, respond to them, reject them, edit them, whatever you might want to do as the circuit court judge. That is a key issue, prompt decisions. Litigants don't like delayed, long decisions. They want faster answers to their problems. And that's what the judge is there to do. You know, if the parties

can't resolve it themselves, the judge is going 1 2 to make a decision. So calendaring, active 3 management are what you'd need to do to make sure you stay on top of that demand. 4 Thank you. Now, for a few housekeeping issues. 5 Q. 6 Mr. Reibold, are you aware that as a judicial 7 candidate, you are bound by the code of judicial 8 conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South 9 Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 10 Α. Yes. Y'all made me very aware of that. 11 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 12 contacted any members of the Commission about 13 your candidacy? 14 I have not. Α. 15 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 16 17 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 18 of your screening? I have not. 19 Α. 20 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 21 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 22 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene 23 in this process on your behalf? 24 I am not. Α. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 25 Q.

Commission's guidelines on pledging in South
Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)?

A. I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FRANKLIN: I would note that the Midland's Citizens Committee reported that Mr. Reibold is well-qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, and judicial temperament. And qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, experience, and mental stability. The Committee stated that, Mr. Reibold, "meets many of the criteria for the position of circuit court judge." The Committee is somewhat concerned about the paucity of his experience in the criminal law arena." In sum, the Committee found that Mr. Reibold qualified with some question on criminal law experience. Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. Any questions for Mr. Reibold? Mr. Young -- or Senator Young.

EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Reibold, thank you so much for your continued interest in serving the state as a circuit court judge. I have some questions. The first of which would be, how would you describe your judicial philosophy, as it relates to the application of the law, to the facts or to the issues that would be before you, if you were elected to the circuit court?
- Α. If I understand the question correctly, my take is that y'all here write the law, not the circuit court judge. The circuit court judge's job is to apply the law as given. Now if there's a situation where there isn't any guidance from the legislature or there isn't any guidance from the superior courts then, in those situations, you'd have to exercise your discretion. But you're the referee. You're there to make sure that the trial is fair. That's really one of the biggest reasons that I keep at this is the courtroom ought to be the one place that's as fair as we can make it. Life isn't fair in general, but we ought to do everything we can to make sure it's fair. And that includes following the rules. There's some predict- -- there's a benefit to

predictability of results. I think everybody would agree that knowing what's probably going to happen helps people resolve disputes and make informed decisions. So you apply the law as given to you and that's where I stand on that issue.

- Q. What do you think -- do you think there's any particular aspect of your practice, since you've been out of law school, that would make you particularly attractive and effective as a circuit court judge? Attractive as a candidate and then effective as a circuit court judge?
- A. Well, I've been a trial court lawyer. That's what I do. I'm a litigator. So I've been in and out of a courtroom. I've argued hundreds of motions. I've tried cases. I've dealt with attorneys on both sides. I've mediated cases. I've arbitrated cases. So I think that that type of experience -- I'm not a book lawyer. I'm not a transactional lawyer who's trying to fit a round hole into a square peg. My experience fits with the position I'm seeking. I think that I have -- my writing would show that I have the intellectual capacity to do the job. I think that the amount of work that I do annually shows

1		that I'm not afraid of hard work, which I think
2		is important in the circuit court position. It's
3		not a position that, you know, hey, the courts
4		close at 3:00 o'clock, let's go home. That's not
5		how I view the position. So, I think those
6		factors would make me particularly attractive.
7	Q.	I don't have anymore questions right now. I may
8		in a few minutes.
9	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Any further questions?
10		Representative Murphy.
11	EXAMINATI	ON BY REPRESENTATIVE MURPHY:
12	Q.	Mr. Reibold, real quick, looking at your PDQ, you
13		graduated from Penn State University?
14	Α.	I did.
15	Q.	Question seven asks list of colleges that you
16		attended and there was a comment in one of the
17		Bar surveys that did you attend a college
18		before Penn State?
19	Α.	I did not.
20	Q.	Okay.
21	Α.	I went directly to Penn State, graduated in four
22		years. Came to USC here in Columbia for law
23		school immediately thereafter.
24	Q.	Thank you. That's all I have.
25	MR.	SAFRAN: Mr. Safran.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Mr. Reibold, we're happy you're here today.

 Appreciate the fact that you are going through
 the process and I can certainly understand that
 it is not always the easiest or the most
 comfortable.
- A. It's not easy for you all either.
- Q. I understand. Let me ask you just a couple of things. Having been in the courtroom as much as you have over the course of the last 20 years, you've certainly had an opportunity to get a flavor for different judges. You've worked for a good one too. You know, in terms of how you feel like you would come across or how you would want to run a courtroom, who would be -- or if there's more than one, kind of the models that you might point to and say, these are kind of things I'd pick up that would be beneficial, in terms of how I want to do it?
- A. Well, the first one that's immediately come -other than Judge Kinard, who's passed, and he had
 some unique personal flair. But Judge -- G.
 Thomas Cooper, Jr. would be the one that I have
 been the most impressed with. Both in demeanor,
 work ethic, and knowledge of the law.

25

Q.

So, I guess -- let me ask you also, obviously, we all have our own standards, our own habits and idiosyncrasies, in terms of how we work, you And I understand that ours -- mine might know. be different from yours, as everybody else's in here. You may have situations where you may encounter lawyers that may not necessarily be, in the back of your mind, measuring up in the terms of the amount of time they put in, or necessarily their level of preparedness. But given that fact, how do you basically go about kind of dealing with them in a courtroom context, i.e., if you think something's lacking. You say something to them out in open court or, you know, how would you address it? The other thing we've talked about a lot in these hearings is, you're in a small practice, so you understand the demands. I mean, how do you feel like it would translate into a situation where as a judge you've got people coming to you with the idea that, you know, as much as I need to be here as a lawyer there are other things in my life that are going on that may require me to do something that might change the course of how a schedule might How do you address those type of things?

Well, the very first question, I can easily 1 Α. 2 answer that. I believe that no one should be 3 afraid of walking into the courtroom, whether it's a lawyer or a litigant or a juror, for that 4 matter. And coupled with that goes the assurance 5 that I'm not going to be, as a lawyer, humiliated 6 or called out in public by the judge. 7 I don't 8 believe that's appropriate. I can't say never, 9 but I would say very rarely is something like 10 that appropriate. Something very flagrant would 11 have to happen in the courtroom for that to be an appropriate response, in my opinion. So, yes, 12 13 the way to do is to talk to them in chambers or 14 talk to them privately about the issue and not to 15 make that a public issue that's front of their clients, or particularly in front of the jurors. 16 17 What -- again, what about, as far as recognizing, Q. 18 I guess, that lawyers, like anybody else, have 19 other things going on in their lives. It might be having to attend something for a child, or, 20 you know, having -- being called to multiple 21 22 different requirements or different obligations. 23 I mean, how flexible do you feel like you need to 24 be in a situation like that?

Well, I could tell you as a practicing litigator,

25

Α.

I sometimes feel at the mercy of the courts. 1 2 that my personal life and other priorities have been pushed aside. And that's not a feeling that 3 I appreciate. And I have done this long enough 4 that I understand that viewpoint. 5 I think I would be sensitive to it were I on the bench. 6 7 Now, it's a balancing act. It's hard to say what 8 I would do in any given circumstance without the 9 facts. You know, if trial had been continued 10 three times and we had a date certain and people 11 came to me at the end and said, no, I can't do I might be less lenient. If someone came to 12 it. 13 me and said, I woke up this morning and my child 14 had 104 fever and I can't be there. I might understand that. So, it's sort of a sliding 15 scale, I think, on how flexible you can be. You 16 have to make some effort to make sure that the 17 18 court continues to run in an orderly fashion. 19 But we're human beings, things happen to us. You know, if I had my choice right now, I would be 2.0 21 home in bed trying to recover from my cold. 22 didn't have a choice. So I do understand that 23 things happen and I don't expect that I would be 24 unreasonable in those situations.

Q. Thank you for your response.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? All right. 1 2 Mr. Reibold, thank you so much. This concludes 3 this portion of our screening process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant 4 to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the 5 Commission expects candidates to follow the 6 7 spirit, as well as the letter of the ethics laws. 8 And we will view violations or the appearance of 9 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving 10 of heavy weight and screening deliberations. 11 that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the 12 13 report of qualifications and you may be called 14 back at such time, if the need arises. I thank 15 you for offering and I thank you for your service to South Carolina. And I hope you get over your 16 17 cold quickly. 18 MR. REIBOLD: Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman. Thank 19 y'all. BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON: 2.0 All right. Back on the record. 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: 22 Simpson, will you raise your right hand, please, 23 sir? 24 BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: 25

1	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Simpson, before you is your
2	personal data questionnaire and a sworn
3	statement. Are these documents you submitted to
4	the Commission?
5	MR. SIMPSON: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Are they both correct, to
7	the best of your knowledge?
8	MR. SIMPSON: To the best of my knowledge with one
9	last minute amendment.
10	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Yes, sir. And let's don't talk about
11	the amendment, because that's confidential
12	information in there. But we've received the
13	amendment and we'll make sure everybody's aware
14	of it, okay?
15	MR. SIMPSON: Okay.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Other than the update that we just
17	talked about, any other updates or changes that
18	need to be made to your documents?
19	MR. SIMPSON: No.
20	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to making
21	these documents a part of your sworn testimony?
22	MR. SIMPSON: None whatsoever.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection, if
24	you'll hand those to Lindi for me, please, sir.
25	And we're going to make those an exhibit to your

testimony. Mr. Simpson, the Judicial Merit 1 2 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated 3 your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on nine evaluative criteria and have 4 included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of 5 your application materials, verification of your 6 7 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 8 newspaper articles in which your name appears, 9 study of previous screenings, and checks for any economic conflicts of interest. We've received 10 11 no affidavits today that has been filed in opposition to your election and there are no 12 13 witnesses present to testify. Do you wish to 14 make a brief opening statement to the Commission? (EXHIBIT 16 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 15 PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON) 16 (EXHIBIT 17 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 17 18 SWORN STATEMENT OF BENJAMIN CHAD SIMPSON) MR. SIMPSON: Yes, and I'll be brief. I know you've 19 all had a long day. I just wanted to extend my 20 21 thanks to the Commission for your work and all 22 the attention you've put into it. And I'll let 23 you know that I'm open to any questions that you 24 might have about the materials you've reviewed. 25 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Thank you so much, Mr.

Simpson. Answer any questions that counsel may have. Mr. Davidson.

EXAMINATION BY MR. DAVIDSON:

2.0

- Q. Good afternoon. I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in Mr. Simpson's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, he meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarded age, residence, and years of practice.

 Mr. Simpson, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?
- A. Thank you. I think since law school, the materials that are before all of you, events of dedication to public service. I was lucky enough to be hired by the Court of Appeals just after law school and was really inspired in those three years. To that academic type approach to the law, your typical stay in that position is sort of one year. I stayed for three, because I loved it. The exercise of really digging into a legal issue and coming up with the, you know, correct legal answer is really what's just always appealed to me. And so when I decided to leave

the Court of Appeals, prosecution was sort of a 1 2 natural fit for me. I enjoy that approach to law 3 and I think I've thrived in it. I've had the honor to be trusted with some pretty important 4 case work, cases important to the community and 5 important, certainly, to the people involved and 6 7 feel that I've handled myself well. 8 hopefully made my state proud thus far in my 9 career and I just think this would be a natural 10 next step to, hopefully, continue to do that. 11 Thank you for that response. The Commission Q. received 108 ballot box surveys regarding your 12 13 candidacy with 37 additional comments. 14 ballot box survey, for example, contained the 15 following positive comment, "Calm and deliberate demeanor. One of the most intelligent people in 16 our legal community." "Even-handed and fair in 17 18 his approach." Two of the written comments 19 expressed concerns. One of the concerns raised was whether you have the requisite civil 20 21 experience necessary for the job. How would you 22 respond to that? 23 Sure. I think because of my dedication on the Α.

24

25

respondent, was unaware of a lot of civil experience I do have. It sort of went on more in the background. And I'm referring specifically to my time in the teaching realm. I taught at Trident Technical College at nights for seven years, while during the day being a prosecutor. And a lot of that course work focused in teaching of business law, civil torts, constitutional law, a judicial process, family law. It was on an undergraduate level, but I was sort of during that time blown away by the academic rigor shown by people. A lot of people who are in challenging circumstances in their own personal lives are there at night, nose to the grindstone. But to address the concern that kept me abreast of, certainly, your general topics in civil law. So that is a type of experience. I realize it's not civil trial work, but it is civil experience of a type that I don't think that respondent was likely really aware of. I would also further repeat my time at the Court of Appeals. I spent three years there. Certainly, the majority of cases, not all, but I would guess 70 to 80 percent of the case work you're working on at the Court of Appeals is civil in nature. And it

tends to be your most difficult contentious and 1 2 vexing cases in the state make their way through 3 the appellate system. So I would -- certainly, my career has focused, as you see before you in 4 the criminal atmosphere. But I do have civil 5 6 experience and I think I would be ready to 7 proceed. But respectfully to that respondent, 8 I'm pretty certain they're unaware of that civil 9 experience.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Thank you. Along the same lines, the other concern question, your ability to be impartial, setting a potential bias toward the prosecution, given your career as a prosecutor. How would you respond to that concern?
- A. Well, sure. I would -- to a person that raised that concern, I would love to sit down with them and talk about -- I know, you know, you're asked in the questionnaires about cases you've worked on and certainly I think anyone is prone to put in, you know, as a prosecutor the big guilty verdict you've obtained and sort of pump up your chest to that. But I can be honest with the Commission that I am as equally proud of cases I've dismissed, and times I'd intervened in the case work. I've been fortunate enough to reach a

stage within our own organization that I've had a 1 2 supervisory role for many years. I'm just as 3 proud as the time I've stepped into other people's work and said, look, let's rethink this. 4 Let's re-analyze this evidence. And oftentimes 5 that leads to dismissals or alternative dispute 6 7 resolutions, such as drug court, mental health 8 court. And I can think of several cases where 9 I'm equally proud of that type of resolution. 10 Because the prosecution, when done right, isn't 11 about convictions at any cost. It's about being fair and just. And a lot of the same 12 13 considerations that one should bring to the 14 bench. 15 Q. Thank you. I have a few housekeeping questions, we'll run through quickly. Are you aware that as 16 a judicial candidate you are bound by the code of 17 18 judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the 19 South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? T am. 20 Α. 21 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 22 contacted any members of the Commission, this 23 Commission, about your candidacy? 24 Α. No. 25 Q. Have you sought or received the pledge of any

legislator, either prior to this date or pending 1 2 the outcome of your screening? 3 No, sir. Α. Have you asked any third parties to contact 4 Q. members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 5 6 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene 7 in this process on your behalf? 8 Α. No, sir. 9 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 10 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 11 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. DAVIDSON: Thank you. The Low Country's Citizens 14 Committee found Mr. Simpson to be qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 15 qualifications, physical health, and mental 16 stability. And well qualified in the remaining 17 18 evaluative criterial of ethical fitness, 19 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 2.0 21 Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that any 22 concerns raised during the investigation 23 regarding the candidate were incorporated into my 24 questioning today. I have no further questions. 25 Thank you, Mr. Simpson.

1 MR. SIMPSON: Thank you.

2.0

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you, Mr. Davidson. Any questions for Mr. Simpson? Senator Young.

EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Simpson.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I thank you so much for your interest in serving our state on the circuit court. I have a couple of questions. And the first of which would be, how would you describe your judicial philosophy as it relates -- or as it would relate to the application of the law to any facts or issues that would be before you on the circuit court bench.
- A. Well, sure. Judicially, the challenge is to set all sorts of personal belief, opinion, and bias to the greatest degree humanly possible aside and follow the law as it stands. And that comes from many sources, but primarily in a circuit court setting, laws passed by the legislature and statutes and obviously the interpretation of those statutes by binding the Court of Appeals and state Supreme Court precedent, as well as constitutional precedent as set by the Supreme Court. I think philosophically that the goal is

to follow the text in the letter of the law. 1 And 2 to do so I think in some recent confirmation 3 hearings at a much higher level than a state circuit court, you know, it was said by a 4 candidate -- and I thought this was pretty 5 profound that, you know, if you're a sitting 6 7 judge and have never had to make a ruling that 8 you didn't like, then you're doing something wrong. And so that would be the judicial 9 10 philosophies, that you have to follow the law and 11 be able to put, you know, your personal beliefs and biases to the side. 12 13

- Q. How do you -- and you may have addressed this a few minutes ago. But I just want to be real clear for the record. How would you go about preparing yourself for the cases that you would hear, in terms of the issues that exist in civil non-jury cases that are -- you know, there are a lot of issues that come up involving the civil rules of procedure --
- A. Sure.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- the case law. I see from your experience that you've got a lot of experience on the General Sessions side, but tell me how you're going to address that, if you're elected to the circuit

court?

Α.

25

1

Thank you, Senator. Even though you set alone on that bench as a judge, just like as a prosecutor, you're often standing there alone. All of us know, that practice law, that's it's a collaborative undertaking. And I've never been embarrassed or ashamed to reach out to peers. And I'm lucky in the Low Country to have established really good working relationships with both current sitting circuit court judges and former judges. And that would certainly be where I would go first as a source. Now, obviously, you know, as I addressed in your last question, that the beauty of the law in any area is that the answers are there when you know how to find them. And when you're willing to take a moment, be a little deliberative in your actions, you don't have to come out, especially as a newer judge and feel like you have to, you know, rule things on the fly. You have that authority to take a break, do your research which, you know, is again an aspect of the law that I really enjoy. And if you're still confounded as to certain, you know, practices, every court has sort of unwritten practices. You reach out to

your colleagues and that's how I would solve any
sorts of issues that came up with that. But I've
never been a person who was -- you know, felt
like I needed to exert some sort of control over
a situation that I really didn't understand.
I've always been willing to reach out to other
people and that's how I -
Have you handled any cases in South Carolina

Q. Have you handled any cases in South Carolina
Court of Common Pleas?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Well, actually, yes. I am often -- our -- have developed kind of a reputation within the Ninth Circuit Solicitor's office as a guy that can handle sort of different things. So whenever -and it comes up from time-to-time, that a solicitor's office has to be in civil court. I'm sort of our guy. I'm our subpoena and FOIA attorney. And obviously FOIA is a big civil statute that, you know, is often -- has a lot of questions and a lot of litigation arises from that. I'm our guy with that. I handle our appeals from magistrate's court, which are civil proceedings in civil court. And again, I do have some experience teaching in civil law. Obviously, because I've enjoyed my role so much as a solicitor, that prohibits me from, you know,

civil litigation. That is something that I have 1 2 not had a lot of experience with recently. 3 is not to say that I have not appeared in civil court representing the interest of the 4 solicitor's office. 5 6 Q. Have you ever taken a deposition? 7 Α. I have been ordered by a court in General 8 Sessions -- it's unconventional, but I have been 9 ordered a couple of times due to some issues 10 raised by the defense to take a deposition in a 11 criminal case. But I have not been a participant in a civil deposition, no. 12 13 Q. All right. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Mr. Howard. 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. HOWARD: Good afternoon, Mr. Simpson. 16 Q. 17 Α. Good afternoon. 18 Q. Just following up on Senator Young's questions. 19 One comment you made was that you would go to others, go to your peers and you've built some 20 21 relationships, you indicated. Who? Who would 22 you go to? Which judge is out there that you 23 maybe go to, would pattern yourself after? 24 Sure. The first one that pops into my mind, if Α. 25 it was a complicated legal issue, would be Judge

Danny Peeper. He's not currently a judge, but he 1 was a judge on many levels. I know him and would 2 3 reach out to him and I always really respected his intelligence and academic approach. Judge 4 Dennis, Jefferson, Harrington. Houston is 5 6 retired, but these are all judges in my circuit 7 that I've been in there -- and they all bring 8 different styles and different approaches and I'm 9 aware of them. But I feel like I've built 10 relationships in all of those areas to reach out to colleagues in that regard. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. Another question, as a -- I do civil
litigation and I'll say that some of the
reservations that I often have are with somebody
who hasn't experienced at any point a private
practice. And that's a -- it's not a
disqualifier in my mind, but it is a reservation.
And something I have to -- one of the things that
as a judge sitting in common pleas, it's true,
there are less jury trials. But there's still a
lot of non-jury matters that are going on out
there. And there are still a lot of non-jury
trials that are going to come in front of you.
And there's a lot of situations where you're
going to sit in equity. And when you're sitting

in equity, you're going to be -- did you handle 1 2 any appeals in equity? 3 I have never handled appeals as a litigant. Α. handled several appeals involving all the areas 4 of law and equity as a -- I shouldn't say 5 handled, I assisted and worked on several appeals 6 7 in the three years I was working at the Court of 8 Appeals. Sure. And my question was bad. I really meant 9 Q. 10 during your time with the Court of Appeals, did 11 you help the court, help the judges you worked 12 for, in reviewing matters in equity? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And so, you know, in that role, obviously, Q. 15 you're being called upon to use your experience as a judge, is that right, to, you know, in your 16 discretion, to render some decisions? 17 18 Α. Sure. And my question really is, what would you tell 19 Q. this group and how would you sort of allay any 20 21 concerns about that from the standpoint of, what 22 would you draw upon in your life and your career? 23 Well, I really appreciate that question because I Α. 24 think equity -- when you sit in equity is one of those situations where the law allows -- it's 25

25

sort of different than what I was speaking on earlier, is a situation where the law allows you to bring a little more of your personal wisdom and knowledge to the table in the way you rule. In that regard, I'm proud of some of the materials that are before you. I was a waiter for a long time, a bartender for a long time. You know, you get a lot of lawyers that take this very sort of closeted path of privilege. And that always has not been my life. I've made mistakes, some embarrassing mistakes. But they're in front of you there. But I've had those experiences in my life. And I think I really bring, you know, some varied experience to the bench. And when -- I've always built a reputation and I think the Bar survey sort of are evidence of this. It's just a person with a good general idea of what it means to be fair. As a prosecutor -- and I know that's not what you're asking me about. But as a prosecutor you wield a lot of authority and I'm proud of my reputation as being fair doing that. And I think that will carry over into equity, rendering through with personal judgement on what is a moral and fair result is something that I'm proud to have done

in the past and would certainly do going forward. 1 The other side of having not been in 2 Q. 3 private practice is just the aspect of are you sensitive, are you understanding of the pressures 4 on lawyers in private practice that are coming 5 6 before you? Do you have any friends that are in 7 private practice? 8 Α. I have many friends in private practice on both 9 the plaintiff's and the defense side. And 10 myself, I've been in the job that carries with it 11 a lot of stressors. And I think my demeanor on 12 the bench and my respect to lawyers on the 13 criminal and civil side would be quite 14 understanding to the pressures of having a large 15 case load. I've dealt with that in my own career. I realize it's not the same as in civil 16 17 litigation, but I can't imagine that it's much 18 different in that you have to juggle. You've got a lot of balls in the air at one time and that 19 needs to be considered by the bench. 20 21 Q. 22

23

24

25

needs to be considered by the bench.

Q. At the same time, some of those lawyers that are going to come before you -- and this committee's been very active in asking questions about this. And it's one of those concerns that this committee has on any judicial candidates is the

notions of a lot of the lawyers coming in front of you are going to have a varied practice in a number of different courts. Perhaps they might - - you know, in your area they might be practicing in Charleston. They might be in Dorchester. They might be in family court. They might be in magistrate's court. They might have to go to the Court of Appeals. It could be that you're in circuit court in two separate circuits at the same time.

- A. Sure.
- Q. It could be that they have a -- as Chairman Smith has asked before, it could be that they have a child that has a baseball game at 4:00 o'clock or a -- so how do you approach, would you approach that from a standpoint of a request for a continuances or some understanding of the pressures and the life pressures on lawyers?
- A. It isn't the same as being a judge, obviously,
 but -- not currently, but for most of my career
 as a prosecutor, we have had docketing control.

 I know that's a matter of some controversy, but I
 do have some experience in having to, you know,
 get calls about a case saying, hey, this is just
 not a good week for me. And I think one of the

25

things that you can get from these Bar surveys, or at least as I understand them as I've reviewed them, is I've developed a pretty good reputation as being considerate and listening to others. There are rules which dictate a priority of courts when they're in direct conflict. But I'm really not the type of guy that sees a need to sort of exert authority if someone's got a legitimate problem going on. If that baseball game comes up at 4:00, there's always -- in civil and criminal court, there's always something that can be done if you're willing to reach out. If a party needs to leave at 3:00 o'clock to go to a baseball game, you can find something to do in those two hours and still use your time effectively and efficiently. So I really don't think -- I think that's a matter of personality and I'm just not the type of person that, you know, feels a need to exert authority and will as long things -- you know, to a degree. As long as things are moving forward and I don't feel like someone's being evasive or trying to skirt around their responsibilities as an attorney. I'm an understanding person and I think I've developed a reputation accordingly.

1	Q.	And as a role as a prosecutor, do defense
2		attorneys often come to you to either request a
3		continuance or request your assistance or
4		agreement to a continuance?
5	Α.	Frequently, yes, that's less now. I don't know
6		how familiar you are with docket management down
7		in the Lowcountry, but less now. But yes,
8		throughout most of my career, yes. It's been a
9		frequent request made. And that frequent request
10		granted, you know, within the boundaries of
11		reasonableness.
12	Q.	Okay. Thank you, Mr. Simpson.
13	Α.	Thank you.
14	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Mr. Safran.
15	EXAMINATI	ON BY MR. SAFRAN:
16	Q.	Thank you. We appreciate your being here and
L7		certainly, as I've mentioned to the others
18		before, this is not the easiest process. Feel
19		like you're going through the sausage maker.
20	Α.	I'm use to being on the other side of it.
21	Q.	Exactly. Let me ask this, first, I've read the
22		comments and, as you say, I think you have, in
23		many, many respects been characterized as a fair
24		person, intelligent. So I don't see any issues

there. And so, please don't take this as

anything negative, because I think you've got a good background. I think obviously working for Judge Williams, being a staff attorney, gives you a pretty good insight into some aspects of the practice of law. Given that, even though there are less jury trials in the civil side, basically, all that pretrial practice continues. And the judge has a pretty substantial role in that. I mean, you would agree with that, wouldn't you?

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Well, and here's my question, there are many times that those decisions that are going to be made prior to any trial can have just unbelievable impact on the course of litigation.
- A. Certainly.
- Q. Motion here, ruling there. And I think it's even more profound once you've been around a while to be able to gauge just how significant a particular issue might be to the ultimate resolution of the case. And some of that comes with experience and having been there over the course of time. Where do you see yourself in terms of being able to kind of make up for that lack of experience in those settings where you're

23

24

25

necessarily going to have an impact in many situations they're going to be tremendous, but never been there on that civil and in a private practice handle those kind of cases?

- Sure. Well, you know, again, my experience is what it is. And the lack of civil litigation, I can't talk my way around that. I can tell you that I'm an intuitive person. I pick up on a lot of those things. And it's less different, I think, than some people think to the criminal practice where, while the pretrial procedures aren't as well defined as they are on the civil side, there is still a lot finesse involved there that has some impact pretrial on what can happen to the ultimate resolution. And I think that isn't as much of a concern with me as it might But I understand the question and I respect The lack of actual in-the-courtroom civil litigation experience is part of my record. Quite frankly, I just enjoy my job so much that I haven't branched out on that.
- Q. Well, and let me ask --
- A. I do understand your concern.
- Q. And again, it's not meant as in any way a criticism. It's just a matter of a question.

But from what I remember hearing earlier, this is 1 2 not in terms of choosing to want to do this a 3 snap judgement. It's something that he's thought about for a period of time? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. 6 Something that you wanted to do, kind of like, Q. 7 ultimately, a goal, correct? Yes, sir. 8 Α. All right. And I guess with that in mind, 9 Q. 10 knowing really that being on the circuit court is 11 going to have to put you in two capacities. 12 you've obviously really shined in one. At what 13 point did you think maybe about trying to get 14 that other experience to more or less round 15 yourself out to where you might be, not having to answer this question, as far as, hey, I'm not --16 17 you got me. I mean, is that not something you 18 think maybe that would be a good course for you 19 today? 20 Α. It's been suggested through this process, and 21 something I might take away from it to consider. 22 I have always been a quick learner, especially 23 when I enjoy something. And I like to stay abreast of civil law and civil issues and things 24 25 of that nature. I really don't think it is as

big of a liability. And I'm certainly not 1 2 discounting your question, as some may think. 3 think I would be quite capable of really getting in there and rolling my sleeves up and making up 4 for some of these deficiencies. All that being 5 6 said, it's been suggested many times that some 7 civil -- more civil litigation experience might 8 help me in this endeavor. And I respect that 9 position, I do. I take it to heart. 10 Q. Well, thank you for your response. 11 Thank you. Α. 12 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Hayes. 13 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES: 14 Well, let me begin by thanking you for 0. 15 16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, let me begin by thanking you for participating in the process. And I appreciate your service, long-term service, in the solicitor's office. And I guess in the solicitor's office as far as who are your clients, I guess the victims in the state would be your clients, wouldn't you say?
- A. I think -- and this may be sort of ethereal, but
 I think justice is our ultimate client. Victims,
 obviously, and their concerns and their interests
 are a huge part of what we do. But they're not
 clients in the strictly legal sense, of course,

in that I've had many cases where what I have believed to be in the interest of justice ran contrary to what the victim would want. And always the correct answer to a prosecutor is to do what's fair and just. Again, my client, of course, is the State of South Carolina, but in a sense the State interests align with the interest of justice.

- Q. You think not having had any individual clients would be a hindrance for you being a judge and being able to protect litigants or certainly take care of the interest of litigants?
- A. Well, much like the previous question that has been a concern that's been raised to me and I respect that as a concern. I don't think so.

 Prosecution, when done right, is such a -- you build those interpersonal relationships. And like I said, the interest of victims and their families, while not strictly legal clients, is so intertwined often -- not always, but often, in what we're doing. I don't think that is a real concern for me. Again, I think those that know me know that, you know, that I'm a person who does have a big heart and compassion is never really a shortcoming for me. And I really know

what it's like to -- even though I've never represented a client, I know what it's like to stand in a courtroom and have 30 people behind you whose life and well-being depends on what you're doing in that courtroom and that's a heavy, heavy burden. And I -
Q. Another question and I'll be done. But I, you

- Q. Another question and I'll be done. But I, you know, and I look on people who certainly work in the solicitor's office or the public defender's office as a form of public service. And I appreciate that. What else in the community have you done over the years, as far as service to -- giving back, service to the community?
- A. Well, I mean, while it was a barely paid position, being an adjunct professor was a very rewarding endeavor for me for several years. The people I worked -- I get calls to this day from former students, some of their stories are really inspiring. People working nights with, you know, large families and limited resources. I really, really enjoyed that. Being a full-time prosecutor and at times an adjunct professor and a dad of two young girls, that's pretty time-consuming.
- Q. Thank you.

2.0

1 A. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Senator Sabb.

EXAMINATION BY SENATOR SABB:

- Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I really wanted to make Q. more of a comment than a question. I can tell a people's prosecutor when I see one. And I really think that you are the epitome of the kind of prosecutor that our state needs. When I read through the comments and everything that was telling. But in listening to you talk about it, it's equally as telling. I prosecuted for 20 years. And I would hope that those lawyers and the people who I interacted with over the course of that 20-year period would be as kind as they were to you. And I could tell from the comments that when my colleagues here questioned you about how you worked with other lawyers and all of those kind of things. I can discern from the comments themselves exactly what you said. So I just want to commend you on what I consider to be an honorable career --
- A. Thank you, sir.
- Q. As a prosecutor. And I think you've addressed the issue of, you know, the lack of courtroom experience as it relates to the civil side, but

1 2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

we all know that a lot of our best judges have come from one side of the aisle or the other.

And we also understand each of our practice and how difficult it is to play in both arenas so to speak. So anyway, that's in the for-what-it's-worth column, but thank you for what you do.

A. Thank you so much, that's very flattering. Thank you, Senator.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions?

EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH:

Mr. Simpson, let me just follow up with a few Q. questions if you would, please. I think some people have asked my questions, so it made a little bit easier for me. But one of the issues that you've obviously practiced exclusively in General Sessions, so you have the opportunity of learning about each circuit and what different types of courts and alternative courts they have. And you know, as we go through sentencing reform, we've been through that a few years ago. And reengaging and one of the issues that comes up in sentencing reform is alternative courts and the more use of alternative courts, drug courts, mental health courts, veterans' courts. We've heard about homeless courts today. What's your

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

experience in using those alternative courts and if you were successful for this position, what would you do? I know you don't create them and you don't order them, but you, obviously, would be a part of it. What would you do to help improve the courts and the alternative courts?

Α. Whatever was asked of me. I cannot convey to those of you who are part of creating a lot of these things how wonderful it is from a prosecution perspective to -- you know, imprisonment is appropriate at times. But having only that blunt tool at our disposal can be difficult. I've been a big fan of drug court. I've seen it work with people that, you know, a lot of people said could not be turned around. What I would do as a judge, you know, it's more of a prosecution role to decide who goes where. The prosecution and the defense working together, obviously. But I would be an advocate, I would be happy to volunteer my time. Most of those courtrooms do require a judge who's willing to come spend his or her time on a weekly basis, drug court, specifically, is very hands-on from the bench. And I would just be willing to advocate and be a part of any of those

alternative resolutions to criminal trials.

They're invaluable.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SMITH: And so, as far as I see, there are one or two comments and I think you heard about them, about your exclusive practice in General Sessions in the solicitor's office. For those I'm just going to make an observation here as I -- we've had that with public defenders who have come before us and solicitor so far, these past two weeks. And when you look at them, usually you look at the temperament and some of the rankings from the ballot boxes. And you, obviously, you understand you make enemies as you go through the practice of law. And one thing that stands out to me is when I look at your ballot box surveys, you have three people who say you're unqualified from judicial temperament. And so that is unusually low for somebody who does what you do in prosecuting, because it tends to be adversarial and antagonistic at times. And we all argue our positions in court. But, you know, I think that's a testament to the way you conduct yourself as a solicitor. I think that you, obviously, when you see someone when you have people with just a handful of rankings as

2.0

unqualified who holds the power of a solicitor who decides when to call a case and decides what to recommend and whether to reduce the sentences, you know, sometimes people don't agree with it. And they tend to take it out on these ballot box surveys. But here I do not see that pattern with you. And so I think that's very commendable and I appreciate the job you're doing in that regard. Because that shows, not only do you have the respect of your peers who you are working within the solicitor's office, but you also have the respect of the peers on the defense side, and you ought to be proud of that.

A. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Is there any further questions for Mr. Simpson? All right, Mr. Simpson. This concludes this portion of the screening process. I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit, as well as the letter of the ethics laws. And we will view violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations. On that note, and as

you know, the record will remain open until the 1 2 formal release of the report of qualifications 3 and you may be called back at such time, if the need arises. I thank you for offering for this 4 position and I thank you for your service to the 5 State of South Carolina. 6 7 MR. SIMPSON: Thank you. Thank you all for your time. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Safe travels back home. SARA HEATHER SAVITZ WEISS: 9 10 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're back on the record. Ms. Weiss, how are you doing today? 11 MS. WEISS: Good, how are you, sir? 12 13 CHAIRMAN SMITH: And you've got a crowd of people here 14 today. Would you like to introduce them to the 15 Commission? MS. WEISS: I would, thank you. Seated with me is my 16 17 father, Steve Savitz. My mother, Jennifer Savitz 18 and my husband Todd Weiss. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Welcome. Glad to have y'all here 19 today. Ms. Weiss, will you please raise your 20 right hand? 21 22 SARA SAVITZ WEISS, having been duly sworn, testifies as 23 follows: 24 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Weiss, before you is your 25 personal data questionnaire and your sworn

1	statement. Are those documents that you've
2	submitted to the Commission?
3	MS. WEISS: Yes, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they correct, both of them
5	correct, to the best of your knowledge?
6	MS. WEISS: They are. There is one comment about a
7	workers' comp hearing that was scheduled that
8	actually settled and never happened. So I know
9	that's I know that's in here though. That
10	would be the only thing that's anything
11	different.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: We're going to make an oral amendment
13	to that, to correct that, which you referenced in
14	there, if that's okay with you?
15	MS. WEISS: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any other changes or updates that you
17	need to make at this time?
18	MS. WEISS: No, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to these
20	being made as exhibits to your sworn testimony
21	today?
22	MS. WEISS: No, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. If you'll hand those to
24	Lindi for me, please ma'am. And we're going to
25	make those as exhibits to your sworn testimony.

(EXHIBIT 18 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 1 2 PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF SARA HEATHER SAVITZ 3 WEISS) (EXHIBIT 19 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 4 SWORN STATEMENT OF SARA HEATHER SAVITZ WEISS) 5 6 MS. WEISS: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ms. Weiss, the Judicial Merit 8 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated 9 your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on nine evaluative criteria and has 10 11 included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, verification of your 12 13 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 14 newspaper articles in which your name appears. 15 Study of previous screenings, and checks for any economic conflicts of interest. We have received 16 17 one affidavit by a Dr. Marie Asssa'ad-Faltas 18 filed in opposition to your election. However, 19 the Commission has dismissed this complaint. There are no witnesses present here to testify 2.0 21 today. Do you have a brief opening statement 22 that you would like to make at this time? 23 MS. WEISS: Mr. Chairman, thank you. Just very 24 briefly, I know it's late in the afternoon and 25 you have more to do after me. But thank you very

much for allowing me to appear and for the 1 2 service you provide for the interviewing of the 3 judges. I just want to thank and commend your staff. They have been absolutely wonderful in 4 helping get through what could be a very 5 6 confusing and difficult process. I just want to 7 say thank you for all you do and all they do. 8 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right and thank you. And I do want to say I understand Dr. Faltas is here. And 9 10 so I do want to recognize that she is here today. 11 Will you answer any questions that counsel may have? 12 13 MS. WEISS: Yes, thank you. 14 EXAMINATION BY MR. STIMSON: 15 0. I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been 16 included in the record with the candidate's 17 18 consent, Ms. Weiss meets the constitutional and 19 statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice. 2.0 21 Ms. Weiss, how do you feel your legal and 22 professional experience thus far renders you 23 qualified and will assist you to be an effective

A. Thank you. I have been in the courtroom since

circuit court judge?

24

the day I started practicing law as an assistant 1 2 solicitor. For 18 years I've been able to appear 3 before some amazing judges and in courtrooms in Richland County, Kershaw County, and most 4 recently all over the state of South Carolina. 5 6 My academic background, law school, just like 7 everyone else, then the opportunity to learn in 8 the solicitor's office and then as I moved to the 9 Attorney General's office to expand that 10 knowledge. I realized at that point in my career 11 that there was a whole area of law -- there are all areas of law, even criminal law that I was 12 13 not familiar with. And so I had the opportunity 14 to study and to learn each of those areas of law 15 so that I would be competent to practice those. I feel like that experience, my experience and 16 time in the courtroom, prepares me to be able to 17 18 handle the circuit court bench. 19 understanding how to run a courtroom is, I believe, the most important asset that a judge 20 21 can bring to the bench. And from then, it's the 22 studying of the law, which I thoroughly have 23 enjoyed doing and have done continuously up to 24 this point in my career. Thank you. Ms. Weiss, the Commission received 25 Q.

additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments, "she would be an exceptional judge. This candidate would be an asset to the judiciary. And the bench would be well served by the addition of Heather Weiss." "She is a great lawyer and a great person." Twelve of the comments expressed concerns. Several comments indicated that your lack of private practice, as well as civil experience would be a concern.

What response would you offer to this concern?

A. I certainly understand the concern. Actually, I didn't appreciate just how much you don't understand of the private practice and the demands that are on the private Bar that practice throughout the state of South Carolina, while I worked at the solicitor's office. However, since 2011 when I came to the Attorney General's office I have since then been practicing throughout the state. I've learned at the Attorney General's office, we don't control the docket. We aren't the home team. We aren't the ones who are always in the courtroom, our witnesses aren't always there. And I've had to learn to manage my time

25

and to work with the court system in order to be prepared for court, in order to make sure that my family at home was taken care of, and in order to make sure that each case I prepared for court, whether it be a trial, a motion, or a plea was thoroughly prepared. I understand that's not something that can be done in three-quarters of a day like it often can in a solicitor's office. So I understand the concern, but I do believe the Attorney General's office has given me a unique opportunity to travel the state and face some of those same demands. In addition to that, the civil court concerns I understand; however, I have -- the rules of evidence are the same. circuit bench is the circuit court bench and I understand -- I've been able to watch good judges. I've been able to see how controlling the courtroom sets the tenor for what the court case is going to be like for how everybody is treated in the courtroom. I believe that knowing how to be a judge is that much more important than understanding the civil rules coming into Now, that does not mean I have taken -started to take CLEs. I've been studying the Rules of Civil Procedure. I've been talking to

judges and civil practitioners to find out where the differences are and what they think is important for me to focus on in preparing to serve on the bench. And I intend to continue doing that. And with the benefit of the civil court, having summonses, complaints, answers, briefs, you have experts in those areas that are going to prepare and submit written materials. I intend to study those and any area of the law that I'm unfamiliar with or rules I'm unfamiliar with. I intend to study and be fully prepared before I ever take the bench on a civil court case.

- Q. Thank you. The second concern came from several comments that indicated you might have a poor judicial temperament if elected to the bench.

 What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. I've been in a courtroom for a long time. There probably have been times -- I know there have been times when I've gotten more upset than I should have about something that's happening in the courtroom. What I can also say is over the 18 years my concerns have tempered with age, with experience. Things that I thought were very personal and were geared towards me or the

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

victims or whatever I've learned are part of the court system. So anyone who's been with me throughout the entire journey of 18 years, I am certain has seen me at times that I wouldn't be most proud and where my frustration has gotten the better of me. However, I do feel that as I've been in the courtroom and as I've expanded my practice and management, I've learned to balance and understand where we fit in the court system. I don't let things get to me. So I feel like my temperament, with age, has gotten better so that this would be the time that I would be more prepared to be on the bench in the

- Thank you. Ms. Weiss, the third and final concern came from a comment that suggested you may dismiss ethics in an effort to win your case. What response would you offer to this concern?
- Because they're anonymous comments, I don't know exactly which incident this stems from. However, since I've been at the Attorney General's office, we get some very difficult cases and some very touchy cases. Often ones that the solicitor's office and the local jurisdiction don't want to handle because they relate to attorneys in the

25

community. Various different types of cases. The involvement of the attorney's often not as an attorney. And I've been part of some of those cases, some of those are very difficult. And I can see where someone who was on the opposite side in a case not necessarily representing a client may feel that way. But what I can tell you is that I take my job and my ethical duties very seriously, just as I would as circuit court judge. And I believe it's important to evaluate a case from the time you get it, all the way until you get into the courtroom. And I can assure that I do not take a case into the courtroom until I know that I can prove that case or believe that I can prove that case beyond a reasonable doubt. There's a lot that goes on in the front end that oftentimes those attorneys only see me in the courtroom at the end of all of that preparation they don't see, where cases have been dismissed, offers have been made, different decisions have been made, because it was the right thing to do. And where I can imagine the cases that comment comes from, but I can tell you that is, I believe, a very unique situation. believe it's related to one or two cases.

- Q. Thank you, Ms. Weiss. What do you envision as being the biggest challenge of acting as a circuit court judge?
 - I believe the biggest is to insure the respect Α. that the court system and the judicial system is upheld. I think that reaches out into many tentacles, many branches. But it's important that everyone in the courtroom be treated with respect that the litigants be treated with respect. The jurors, the staff, anybody in the courtroom. Your judiciary is the face of our court system, which I believe is the best in the world. And I believe the only way that they can truly feel confident is to come into a courtroom and feel the respect starting from the bench. believe that extends to the backlog of cases from litigants being able to have their cases heard and gets very important; however, I believe the legislature has done what you've needed to do, as far as increasing the number of judges. think is a huge concern, now, that I've just started really running into is court reporters. We've got to increase the number of court reporters so that the court terms that are assigned by court administration can actually be

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

We've had court terms that have been cut 1 held. 2 in half or eliminated altogether, because there 3 wasn't a court reporter to actually go with it. So I think in order for the court system to work 4 and for everybody to be able to get their cases 5 6 to court in a timely manner, the biggest concern 7 now that we're really facing, is the court 8 reporter shortage. 9 Q. Thank you, Ms. Weiss. We have just have a few 10 more housekeeping issues for you. Are you aware 11 that as a judicial candidate you are bound by the code of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of 12 13 the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules? 14 Yes. Α. 15 Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you contacted any members of the Commission about 16 17 your candidacy? 18 Α. No. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 19 Q. sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 20 21 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 22 of your screening? 23 No. Α. 24 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 25

are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?

A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)?
- A. Yes.

MR. STIMSON: Thank you, Ms. Weiss. I would note that the Midland's Citizens Committee found Ms. Weiss to be well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, character, professional and academic ability, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. The Midlands Citizens Committee noted in comments, "Ms. Weiss made a very good impression on our committee. She is bright, outgoing and personable. Her experience in the criminal law arena is prodigious. does lack extensive civil law experience, but her time spent in a courtroom observing how judges perform is a plus." In summary, they stated Ms. Weiss is a strong candidate for circuit court judge with some hesitation because of her civil

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

law experience. Mr. Chairman, I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding Ms. Weiss were incorporated into the questioning of her today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Any questions for Ms. Weiss? Senator Young.

EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Weiss, I want to Q. thank you for your service in the South Carolina Attorney General's office and in the different prosecutorial positions that you've held over your career. And I know that what you do in the Attorney General's office and what you have done there has been difficult work at times. I noticed in your PDQ that you tried the first -or prosecuted the first State Grand Jury Human Trafficking case. And I know that several people on this Commission have been involved in the passage of that legislation. And we want to thank you for your work in that regard. One of the questions I have or the first one I have is, how would you describe your judicial philosophy and that would be, how would you apply the law to the facts or to the issues that would be before

you if you're elected to the circuit court?

1

2 Yes, sir. My philosophy is that it is my job to Α. 3 preside over the case, to follow the law as it is To apply that to the case at hand. 4 written. is not my job to interpret that or to add any of 5 6 my own personal opinions or beliefs into that. 7 That's something that I was sworn to do as an 8 assistant solicitor and I believe it carries 9 through. The law is what you make it. And not 10 what I believe it to be. However, as far as 11 being a judge in the courtroom, I believe it's important that the judicial role is to be a 12 13 facilitator. I believe that communication is the 14 most important asset that a judge can bring to 15 any case. And there needs to be communication between the judges as well as between counsel. 16 17 And that it's the judges responsibility to make 18 sure the conversations are happening. I don't 19 believe a judge should force any results in any I believe it's -- the jury can make a 2.0 case. 21 decision on the case. The attorneys can settle a 22 case or can come to an agreement. But until a 23 case is before a circuit court judge for 24 sentencing, I don't believe anything should be 25 forced. However, what I found in my practice is

that oftentimes because of the schedules of the 1 2 people that are involved in the cases, 3 communication doesn't really happen until everybody's in the courtroom and all the parties 4 are present to talk. And I believe it's the 5 6 judge's role and the judge can add to the court 7 by facilitating that conversation by ensuring 8 that both sides are heard. And if something can 9 be settled, if something can be shortened, then 10 you certainly encourage that. But ultimately, 11 it's to preside over the case and apply the laws 12 as they are written. Thank you for that answer. Another question I 13 Q. 14 have is with respect to your civil experience. 15 want to know, have you handled any cases in the circuit court in common pleas at all? 16 17 Α. I have not. 18 Q. Okay. And so how would you best prepare yourself 19 for handling the variety and multitude of nonjury matters that you may -- or that you will 20 21 hear if you serve on the circuit court in common 22 pleas? 23 I believe learning to be a common pleas judge Α. 24 would be very similar to what I've done to study 25 to be a circuit court judge in general. I would

25

go and watch. I've been in common pleas and I've sat and watched common pleas and watched how the judge handles the docket. And I know there are different ways of handling status conferences and docketing. You know, e-discovery, which is a whole different -- e-filing, which is a whole different system from what the criminal court is used to. What I promise you is that I will go and observe. I will continue to take CLEs and continue to study and talk to the practitioners. I've talked to judges and lawyers in preparing for this, just to find out what they feel like is different, especially circuit court judges. Where do they see the differences in what they've done in common pleas versus circuit court. And what I've found is that most of them felt like when they actually were working on a civil trial that they were very prepared and very knowledgeable about the entire case prior to going to court, which gives me some comfort in knowing that I'm going to have to really study. But the materials are there. And the opportunity to talk to counsel and have status conferences and know what's going on. The rules of evidence are the same. So I feel confident that I would

2.0

know how to rule on the rules of evidence. And I feel even more at ease that I would be able to prepare and study the pleadings that are before me before I even go in there. So I would know the questions to ask to prepare. And if I have a question, I have no hesitancy to admit that I have a question, take a break and figure out the answer, talk to someone if I need to. I don't think it should happen at a point that would slow down the court process. But I'm certainly someone that recognizes when I don't know the answer.

- Q. I noticed that you have prosecuted cases involving insurance fraud?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And other types of white collar crimes. Those are all in the criminal arena?
- A. Yes, sir, they are but it's interesting. So I honestly had no idea that insurance fraud was a whole separate section of the code until I came to the Attorney General's office and they told me I was going to be the director of insurance fraud. And I was introduced to the insurance fraud statute. And what I learned is that even though I had been practicing for 11 years at that

25

point in criminal law, there's even more there. The insurance fraud statute provides for civil remedies that we are given the option of offering in lieu of a criminal disposition, which is the only statute that does that. So you have to be prepared that if someone takes that civil remedy, we have to go to court. In my first years at the Attorney General's office I went up to our chief deputy at the time, John McIntosh and said, you know, well what do you want me to do with this if this person, you know, signs off and doesn't pay. He said, I believe you graduated from law school and you can go into the Court of Common Pleas and litigate it. And I said, yes, sir, I believe I can. And I became aware, starkly aware at that point, that that's what I had to do. I had to figure it out. Securities fraud is very complex. I don't pretend to understand the securities laws, but what I have learned is how to make my way through it. How to wind my way through complex laws, which can lead to complex litigation. Another -- so the white collar, in general, but another one that I've found is the unauthorized practice of law. Something that a lot of us don't like to think about, but what we

24

25

have when you have people who are unlicensed to practice in this state they come in, they're usually not dealing in criminal law. They're usually dealing in real estate law or they're dealing in foreclosures -- well, foreclosures is real estate. But they're dealing in civil areas that I have to then dive in. And I've had to go out and talk to people who are experts in those areas of the law and say, can you please explain to me how this should work. And what -- so that I can then compare what the person was doing. What part of that was practicing law, what part of that is not practicing law. So I've had to understand the civil law. And I know a lot of the civil law is not practiced in the courtroom and so, just as you are mentioning, a lot of the white collar crime, but also the unauthorized practice. A lot of those, I've had to learn enough. I don't claim to be able to practice it. But enough to be able to understand it and work my way through it that I feel confident that I could understand when I was studying to be a common pleas judge to handle whatever was before me.

Q. Thank you so much.

1 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Any other questions? 2 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR RANKIN: 3 I want to commend you for offering your candidacy Q. as well. You've joined a list, a host of, I 4 won't call young candidates. Young in the sense 5 6 of less than 15 to 20 years of practice. You 7 graduated from law school in what year? 8 Α. 1999. '99. And you have and that's still young, 9 Q. 10 although it seems perhaps long in service. 11 have, again, interviewed a lot of folks and you get the wrap of being too hot if you're on one 12 13 side of the civil versus criminal practice, or if 14 you're on the other too cold. You've answered 15 how you think you can be just right on rules of the evidence. And your mastery of those, I 16 17 trust. Are you with the Attorney General's 18 office?

A. Yes, sir.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And you ever participated in an actual civil seating depositions, discovery, any of that stuff?
- A. I am -- yes, sir. I have participated from various different points of view, not as the counsel handling the case. I have been prepared

1		I've been prepped for a deposition on a civil
2		case that was related to a criminal case that I
3		worked on.
4	Q.	Being deposed?
5	Α.	Being deposed.
6	Q.	Okay.
7	Α.	I was working, obviously, with the workers' comp
8		case that I mentioned earlier. And I learned a
9		little I learned a lot from that, actually.
LO	Q.	Forgive me for not listening to that closely, but
11		were you a litigant in that or witness in that?
12	Α.	I was a litigant in that.
13	Q.	You put in there a hearing in September. Has
14		that already
15	Α.	It did. It made it all the way up until two days
16		before and then it settled.
L7	Q.	Okay. Originally, in your PDQ, you put September
18		hearing, but that was moved?
19	Α.	It settled.
20	Q.	Got you.
21	Α.	So it's done now.
22	Q.	Congratulations.
23	A.	But thank you. But the interesting part about
24		that for me was in being the litigant and being
25		the witness and realizing how nervous I was in

25

preparing for that hearing. It gave me an appreciation for what all of the witnesses must feel as they're coming in the courtroom. I've been in courtrooms my whole career, but I felt a couple of days out from trial, I wanted to call the attorney and say, are you going to prep me and what do I need to bring and what time do I have to be there. And what are you going to ask me and what are they going to ask me? And all of a sudden, it became a little more clear what my victims and witnesses and -- are thinking as we're getting ready for trial. And I think that's -- it was a unique perspective to realize, especially in this position, applying to be a part of the bench to realize that it doesn't matter how many times you've been in a courtroom that when you're coming out at it from a different angle, from a different perspective, or even when you're coming at it in the same role that you've done every time that everybody has to learn and become comfortable. And I think you do that, it starts from the bench and from the judge. And I know I didn't have to go before the court in that case.

Q. You gave a deposition, though, in that case?

- case. I was a different -- that was a case-- a different case where I was a witness on a case that was related to one of my criminal cases.

 So, but I just -- so I've done, I've done those parts and I've gone and sat in court to see what was going on cases that were related to cases that I was working with in the criminal arena.

 So I've sat through and watched, so I have not been the counsel on the case, but I have served in different areas.
- Q. And you have worked, in looking at your job -resume here, in terms of the solicitor's office, you have been steeped in prosecution?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Which some then consider too hot likely versus too cold, in terms of your ability to, forgive the term, shake the perceived bias that you might have as a prosecutor -- you just touched on your ability to relate now to all litigants, witnesses, et cetera. Is it -- can you totally disassociate yourself from someone or your experience, in terms of perhaps issuing some sentence when you would be called upon to hand down a judgement?

24

25

Α.

Absolutely. What I have found and especially in my years -- my last few years at the Attorney General's office it has really opened my eyes. We get some very complex cases. Often times we get cases because the decisions that ultimately have to be made in those cases are not easy to make. And we're going to have face victims and explain to a victim's family that although their loved one may have been killed, that the person who did it was justified in doing so or that there is not evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. And there are times that I may believe that the person is guilty of a crime, but that I had to dismiss a case and I've had to look at that victim's family and explain that that case can't be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. And often times -- and that is something I do, I don't want to say a regular basis, that doesn't sound right. But on a more regular basis now, because of the types of cases that come to my office and because I'm in charge of assigning cases. Oftentimes I keep some of those cases that are the more difficult, so that the attorneys that I'm assigning cases to can get out and get into the courtroom. And I work with those victims and

25

I work with the defense attorneys. And then there are cases that, you know, a defense attorney will call me for discovery and I'll say, give me about three days, I'm working on -- I'm looking through this. And, I mean, I've had a case where, you know, I had to dismiss the case before discovery was even turned over, because once I reviewed the case, it was stand-yourground case and it was clear that the person should not be prosecuted. And having to make those decisions and having to face the victims families and deliver difficult information, I believe translates well to what I would have to do on the bench. And handing out a sentence, there is an appropriate resolution to every case. That resolution is not always at one end or the other. And I as an assistant solicitor have certainly negotiated cases and worked on cases where, you know, people have gotten a lower sentence or a probation sentence, because that's what was needed in that case. And even if the crime was very severe, and I've had to answer for that in different cases and in different courtrooms. I believe being a prosecutor actually would make me better for the bench,

25

especially with the cases I've been dealing with throughout the state of South Carolina. traveled the state. I'm in courtrooms throughout the state. I deal with law enforcement throughout the state. I know that most cases are not perfect and that there's a difference set of circumstances and a different situation. Anyone who's worked with me as defense counsel knows I want to know the story behind the case. I want to know what's going on with the person. And I look at every person individually. There are people that get diversion sentence -- or get diversion options that may not be your typical candidate for diversion, but because of what's going on in their lives and what counsel has explained, that's the appropriate sentence. not somebody who follows very hard one way or the other based on the -- just the crime. I look at the entire case, the entire person that's before And when I make an offer, when I go to court, I look at that already. And I feel like sitting on the bench and working with sentences, one, you have to consider the recommendations and negotiations that counsel has worked out, because they know so much more about the case. But when

the sentence is left completely up to me, I'll be 1 2 looking them in the eye and saying, what's going 3 What led to this? How did we get here? most people are going to return to society and 4 they're going to return to the same streets that 5 6 we all live on. And I'm going to want to know 7 what I can do to make that person a productive 8 citizen of society when they return if they're 9 going to go to prison or if they're going to get 10 out, how can we monitor them so they get back on the right path? 11 Quick question, perhaps quick answer, not --12 Q. 13 Α. Yes, sir. The last one wasn't quick enough. 14 In terms of Q. 15 what you think is the most important character trait or measurement -- and you understand that 16 17 we have these Bench Bar surveys are the good and 18 the bad? Yes, sir. 19 Α. Which you got both, perhaps more good than bad. 20 Q. 21 First, do you think that this is relevant for us 22 to consider the Bench Bar comments in balance? 23 Absolutely. You've got to consider what the Α. 24 attorneys who have been out there practicing with

me have seen over my career, so absolutely from

the Citizen's Committee to the Bar Committee to the Bench Bar.

- Q. Of the standards that we ask and that you, perhaps -- have you participated ever in one of these Bench Bar surveys?
- A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. So constitutional qualifications, ethical fitness, professional academic ability, character, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament, what do you consider the number one barometer of whether someone should be a judge or not? If you can't pick one, I'll give you three.
- A. Honestly, I think it's the relevant experience that the attorney has had that they can bring to the bench. And you started out by asking what I thought the most important characteristic was and I believe that's humility. It's understanding what you know and being willing to ask when you don't. And being willing to learn from everyone around you and everyone before you. And the relevant experience, I think, is what's important. It's not, you know, strong, hot, or cold, but it's the relevant experience to be able to come in and run a courtroom and know how to listen and know how to watch and know how to

2.0

learn so that ultimately, you can be the best jurist that you can possibly be. And as far as the Bench Bar, I have filled them out. And I find that the ones that I'm able to really look at well qualified are usually the ones that I've been able to watch on the bench. Because I feel like we all, we all come to this with different experience. So we're all going to have strengths and weaknesses. But the most important I believe is humility. To be able to understand that you have these strengths and weaknesses and learn from them.

- Q. If one of the wraps on you is that your judicial temperament, that they find you unqualified, how would you respond to that, in terms of the Bench Bar surveys of the 148 folk that have replied in?
- A. That there was probably a situation that explains that. And if I knew that situation -- I'm not perfect. And there are certainly days where I've gotten frustrated with what's going on in the courtroom or something related to it. And I'm sure that there was a situation that that person could explain. But I do believe that of the 143, the people who have really spent time with me and know me and have gotten to know me over my career

1		would believe that I do have the temperament that
2		would appropriate for the bench, especially at
3		this point in my career.
4	Q.	Now, legal family, folks in the law?
5	Α.	Yes, sir. My father is a labor lawyer with
6		Gignilliat, Savitz & Bettis. So he's a civil
7		attorney.
8	Q.	He can counter balance your criminal?
9	Α.	Absolutely. Actually
10	Q.	Just right.
11	А.	Perfect. I actually grew up at the dinner table
12		learning all about civil law.
13	Q.	Reed Gignilliat, of the son of your yeah, my
14		fraternity brother.
15	Α.	Okay.
16	Q.	That's all. Thank you.
17	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you. Mr. Safran.
18	EXAMINATI	ON BY MR. SAFRAN:
19	Q.	Thank you for being here. And I know sometimes
20		this whole process kind of feels like you're
21		going through the meat grinder and it's not an
22		easy thing. One thing that is important to
23		several of us. I mean, members of this
24		Commission are either small or solo
25		practitioners.

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- Q. And over a period of time, it's becoming very clear that there are demands in that context that can be pretty daunting themselves.
- A. Yes, sir.
- And you go to different courts, you have Q. different types of schedules. You may not necessarily be on the pecking order of things, in terms of rule, have a priority. But you may have a mediation that's been set for six months, or you may have something that is really essential that you attend. On the other hand, we have families. We have other lives. And there maybe times that come up where you need to attend this ballet. You may need to go and show up for this graduation. How do you -- coming from a context where you've kind of been working in rather large environments, how do you empathize with that type of situation and how would you respond as a judge?
- A. Although, the area that I practice in there are a lot of people there. Our case loads are our own.

 And I know I have not been a solo or small practitioner. But my cases I have ownership of and I'm the one that who really has to go and

25

deal with those, whether it's in Horry County or Spartanburg or Charleston. And I have to deal with the judges that are there as well. And I can tell you a personal story. You know, when I was in Richland County I could go across the hall and I could leave and I could - I was right here in Columbia. However, I found myself in Chesterfield County in the middle of a two-week trial and my daughter was doing her first solo competition performance. And we were getting into Friday and I approached the judge and I said, I know you don't have to do this but my daughter has a solo dance competition and I would really give anything. They're going to try to hold it until I get there. But I would give anything just to make sure I don't miss it. And if I could be there as she's preparing, she's young and this is her first performance. And the judge looked at me and he said, absolutely, I wouldn't want you to miss that. And he said, you know, we're going to wrap up here around 3:00. will never forget that. He knew we were going into a second week. He knew I had a family and as it turned out, defense counsel said, oh, that's great, because my son has a game tonight

25

And it turned out it was really good. But I learned that lesson. I was terrified the whole week I was going to miss my daughter's first performance. And as important as the law is and as important as these cases are, we have to have a work/life balance. You know, we've added in the mental health part of our CLEs now, just to take care of our own mental health as attorneys. And a big part of that is because we don't take care of our balance. There are going to be times where work is going press and home's going press. But I take the courtesy that was given to me in that courtroom and I intend to offer that anytime I can. I think communication is the bottom line. We've got to communicate, be able to figure out what the conflicts are. If you've got three murder trials scheduled during the fall when you're out of session, you know, you've got to have time to properly prep each of those because you're all attorneys too and you have a short period of time during which you can actually be in the courtroom, practice -- and try a week-long And the judges have to be conscious of case. And for anybody who has -- I know defense that. attorneys sometimes coming in November will come

25

in and say, I've done 10 murders this year, they have all gone to trial, can we please push this to January. And as much as we may not want to, because you say, well, I want to get this case The reality is they've got to prep each tried. of those separately and they're not all going to be in their backyard. And that's what I've had to deal with in the Attorney General's office. They're not all in my backyard, so I may have a judge calling me from Charleston or Horry wanting me down there for a status conference that's going to take five minutes, but I may have a victim meeting in Columbia that doesn't take precedent, like you said, over being called to court. But, you know, you ask. And you communicate and say this is what I have going on. And, you know, I've been fortunate that the judges have been understanding, that I've rarely had to flip-flop things around. And I've been fortunate that I was in the solicitor's office for the first part of my career. But I recognize now, more than ever, especially, you know, having children, having family that you've got to have the balance or we're not going to be able to be the professions that we have studied -- the

professionals that we have studied to be.

Q. Just along those lines, we've had different people who've screened this time, including some very good sitting judges. And one thing that I took away from those hearings that really struck me was the comment by one when asked, what's your function? And his comment was, I'm here to serve the Bar. And it was a matter of whatever they need me, whether it be coming in the morning early to meet, have something done and stay late. But that was the attitude. How do you approach, in terms of your role, as it relates to the members of the Bar?

A. I completely agree with that judge. I believe that my job now is to serve the people of South Carolina, serve the attorneys that I supervise and, as a judge, believe it would be to serve the Bar and to serve the people of South Carolina. It's a very similar role, because the jurors have families. They have demands on their schedule. The court staff has demands on their schedule, as well as the attorneys. And when you're the judge, you're in a unique position where you do control the schedule. And you need to take into consideration everybody's demands. Now,

ultimately, we have to get the business done. But, you know, Judge Williams used to come in extra early. He's retired now. But he used to come in extra early and he'd say, I'm available at 8:00 if anyone wants to come and, you know, help figure this out. And I always thought that was so nice, because he didn't expect you to be there. But if that's going to help, he was there. He made himself available. And I think that's the rule of the judiciary, is to make sure that we are there and available and communicating with the Bar, so that we can make court run as efficiently as possible.

- Q. Last question.
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You've obviously been in front of a number of judges and I think we all collectively have, your father has, I'm sure. There was a period of time where going in and getting blessed out by a judge was basically considered to be a right of passage. I don't think it's looked at that way anymore. And I guess the question would be, in terms of temperament, if you were to model yourself after anybody that you've had an experience with, who do you think it would be?

There are two people that come to mind. 1 Α. I know I 2 should probably pick one, but the two people that 3 I would model myself after are Justice James and Judge Letitia Verdin. Those are two judges that 4 I have felt comfortable practicing the law in 5 front of. Because both of them made me feel like 6 7 they were there to hear the case. They treated 8 everybody fairly in the courtroom. And they made 9 it easy to be in the courtroom and get the job 10 done. They didn't -- you didn't feel like you 11 were bothering them. You didn't feel like that they knew so much more or were so much -- and not 12 13 that others do, those are just the two I would 14 model myself after. I think they're the most 15 significant from my experience.

Q. Thank you.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions? All right,
Ms. Weiss, this concludes this portion of your
screening process. I want to take this
opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the
Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission
expects candidates to follow the spirit, as well
as the letter of the ethics laws. And we will
view violations or the appearance of impropriety

as serious and potentially deserving of heavy 1 2 weight and screening deliberations. On that 3 note, now, as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of 4 qualifications and you may be called back at such 5 time, if the need arises. I thank you for 6 7 offering for this position and I thank you for 8 your service to the State of South Carolina. 9 MS. WEISS: Thank you. And I thank you all for your 10 time. 11 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Thank you. Y'all have a good 12 evening. THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES, III: 13 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Judge Dukes, how you doing today? 15 JUDGE DUKES: Fine, thank you. This evening, I quess. 16 CHAIRMAN SMITH: JUDGE DUKES: It's dark outside. 17 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Judge Dukes, raise your 19 right hand, please, sir? JUDGE DUKES, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: 2.0 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Judge Dukes, you got in 22 front of you, the personal -- your personal data 23 questionnaire and sworn statement. Are these 24 both documents that you've submitted to the 25 Commission?

1	JUDGE DUKES: They are, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Are they both correct, to the best of
3	your knowledge?
4	JUDGE DUKES: They are. I believe there's a couple of
5	amendments I've submitted. And with those
6	amendments they are.
7	CHAIRMAN SMITH: And hopefully, those amendments are
8	contained in that package before you. Are there
9	any other changes or updates that you need to
10	make at this time?
11	JUDGE DUKES: There are not.
12	CHAIRMAN SMITH: Do you have any objection to us
13	making these exhibits to your sworn testimony?
14	JUDGE DUKES: No.
15	CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Without objection we're
16	going to make these as exhibits. If you'll hand
17	them to Lindi for me, please, sir. All right.
18	Judge Dukes, the Judicial Merit Selection
19	Commission has thoroughly investigated your
20	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has
21	focused on nine evaluative criteria and has
22	included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of
23	your application materials, verification of your
24	compliance with state ethics laws, a search of
25	newspaper articles in which your name appears,

study of previous screenings, and checks for any 1 2 economic conflicts of interest. We have received 3 no affidavits filed in opposition to your election today and there are no witnesses present 4 to testify. Do you wish to make a brief opening 5 statement to the Commission? 6 (EXHIBIT 20 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 7 8 PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. 9 DUKES, III) (EXHIBIT 21 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION 10 11 SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES, III) (EXHIBIT 22 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE 12 13 OF THE HONORABLE MARVIN H. DUKES) 14 CHAIRMAN SMITH: As the hour is late and I know you 15 have been at this for two weeks I will just thank you all for your service and dispense with an 16 17 opening. 18 CHAIRMAN SMITH: Okay. Thank you very much, sir. All right. Will you answer any questions that 19 counsel may have for you?. 20 21 JUDGE DUKES: I'd be happy to. 22 EXAMINATION BY MS. MOTTEL: 23 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the record Q. 24 that based on the testimony contained in the 25 candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the

1

3

4 5

6

7

9 10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

record with the candidate's consent, Judge Dukes meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years of practice. Judge Dukes, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far renders you qualified and will assist you to be an effective circuit court judge?

I practiced law in Beaufort for 20 years, a Α. typical small town practice. I did a little bit of everything, some civil, some criminal, some family court. And at some point our Master-in-Equity was retiring and a group came to me and said, would you have an interest in running for this seat, because we think you'd be good at it. And that was 10 and a half years ago. And I've been Master-in-Equity for Beaufort for 10 and a half years. During that time, I've heard -we've been through the foreclosure crisis. We've been through -- I've been through thousands of cases. As many of you know, the Master-in-Equity in Beaufort, like some counties that have fulltime Masters, operates pursuant to an order of the Supreme court as a special circuit court judge. So I've actually been a special circuit

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

court judge for 10 and a half years during the time that I've been on the bench as Master. So I've heard not just the typical equity cases, but I've heard pretty much every non-jury civil matter one can imagine. I've done guilty pleas, certain criminal matters, that sort of thing. I've basically the, and I brought an order -- a copy of the order if you don't have it. But it's an order that's reissued every year by the Chief Justice that essentially grants special circuit court status to the Master-in-Equity in Beaufort, well to me, for the last 10 years. And so through that qualification and the 20 years of practice, I've 10 years of being on the bench. And just general life experience that helps with being a judge.

Q. Thank you, Judge Dukes. The Commission received 339 ballot box surveys regarding you with 51 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comment, "Judge Dukes has an excellent demeanor, is knowledgeable of the law, fair to all parties before him, even-handed and would make an excellent circuit judge." "Judge Dukes has an

outstanding record of impartiality, fairness,

knowledge of the law and consideration for all 1 2 parties and their counsel. It is a pleasure to 3 appear before Judge Dukes." "Judge Dukes is one of the best and most fair-minded judges serving 4 in the South Carolina court system." Seven of 5 the written comments of the 51 expressed concern. 6 7 Two comments raise a question over whether you 8 would show favoritism for local attorneys. Could you please respond to any concern about that? 9 10 Α. And you, of course, have given me a heads up, as all candidates do on the negative comments. And, 11 12 you know, I've thought about that. I do my best 13 to show the impartiality that some of the other 14 people had commented on. I do my best to not 15 show favoritism to anyone, either from Beaufort or from anywhere else. So that would be improper 16 17 to show favoritism like that and I do my best not 18 to do it. I can't specifically address the instance, because obviously there's no details. 19 But it would be wrong to show such favoritism and 20 21 I attempt to avoid it at every opportunity. One additional concern indicated that you pushed 22 Q. 23 too heavily towards mediation or settlement. 24 Could you please respond or what response would you offer to this concern? 25

1	Α.	Too heavily is very subjective. I have found,
2		again, in over a decade of doing this that
3		parties will come to me, particularly with a
4		complex case and they will say, we have tried
5		mediation. We tried a day of mediation and
6		everyone walked out, it was a disaster. But as
7		all of you know, sitting there, getting ready to
8		begin a trial has a different feel than it does
9		months before the trial. And I have found
10		through experience that attempting to get the
11		parties, particularly if they're facing a two-day
12		trial, to spend 30 minutes to see if maybe they
13		can settle it is very advantageous and often
L4		results in a settlement. I've also ordered
15		people to second meditations when I feel like the
16		first one was not helpful and that's been
L7		successful. And at some point if I feel like
18		that's not successful, I would probably stop
L9		that. But I've had very good results with it in
20		the past. And so I understand that's a
21		criticism, but I will accept it and it is the way
22		I do business and I'm sorry they feel that I do
23		it too much.
24	Q.	Thank you, Judge Dukes.

Q. Final area of concern indicated that you can be

2.0

slow or reluctant to rule on issues or in closed cases. Can you please respond to this concern?

- A. I think my numbers say otherwise. Beaufort
 County, the Fourteenth circuit has -- I looked
 this morning. We have 10 percent of the
 outstanding civil matters. There are 43,000,
 something like that statewide. I think we have
 roughly 4,000 civil matters. We have two circuit
 court judges in the Fourteenth circuit and we
 have me doing as many non-jury matters, jury
 motions, everything that I can do. And Mastersin-Equity don't have clerks and the fact is, I go
 as fast as I can with accuracy. And I'm sorry
 this person, the speed is not good enough for
 them. I'm doing my best.
- Q. Thank you. Judge Dukes, you've indicated in your PDQ that a lawsuit was filed against you in 2017 in the Federal Court by John and Rebecca Nell.

 Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit?
- A. The Nells sued me under a theory that I was a debt collector. I was the judge in a foreclosure action on a income-producing condominium on Hilton Head. I believe I had ordered a receiver be put in place to collect the rents during the

pendency of the action. And they then filed the 1 2 Federal Court action. It's been dismissed. 3 believe I sent the dismissal. Yes, sir. And Judge Dukes, are there any areas 4 Q. of the law, including subjective areas, that you 5 6 would need to additionally prepare in order to 7 serve as a circuit court judge? And how would 8 you handle that additional preparation? 9 I'd like to think I prepare every day for the Α. 10 case that's going to be in front of me for new 11 matters that are going to come up. In this case, as you know, circuit court judge involves a 12 13 tremendous amount of General Sessions. 14 amount of General Sessions that I've done over the years as judge is minimal. Again, I've done 15 some pleas, some motions, warrants, that sort of 16 17 thing. And so I would prepare, as I prepare for 18 every new aspect of any case before me, a little 19 more heavily for the General Sessions portion of it. 2.0 21 Thank you. Judge Dukes, what do you see as the Q. 22 biggest challenge facing the circuit court 23 system?

24

25

Α.

The importance -- the circuit court system, the

judicial department, all of it works because of

25

the perception of the public, fairness and access to judgement, justice rather. And I think we've got to work on that all the time. I don't know if I see one biggest problem. There are some parts of the state that have a backlog of cases. Again, I've mentioned the numbers that we have in Beaufort County. The access to justice. access, the ability to get a ruling on a case. The ability to know that you could walk into court and have impartial ruling in a reasonable period of time is something that we've always got to watch out for. I don't know if I see one biggest problem. There are always problems that we're looking at, civility in the courtroom. Back to access to justice, we've got the expense, sometimes, it's very - I have pro se litigants come before me a lot, particularly in collection matters, foreclosure matters, where an attorney probably really would have helped them. In fact, they probably wouldn't even be sitting in front of me if they had hired an attorney to begin with. But when you say, did you consult with an attorney or think about seeing an attorney, they'll say, if I had \$10,000 I wouldn't be here. And right now I am on a sub-committee of a

1		committee of the Commission. I'm the lowest
2		person in the totem pole in an effort, access to
3		justice to change, some of the rules to allow
4		what the Bar calls unbundling, allowing a lawyer
5		to represent someone for a part of a case, maybe
6		for a much more affordable amount. And that is
7		something that is in most states now and is
8		working its way to South Carolina. So it's
9		access to justice. It's speedy justice. And
10		it's the perception of the public and the
11		impartiality of the system, I think, that we've
12		got to look at. There are problems in all of
13		that. I don't know if I can pin down one
14		problem, other than the delays inherent in a
15		overburdened judicial system.
16	Q.	Thank you. I have a few housekeeping matters to
17		address.
18	Α.	Sure.
19	Q.	Judge Dukes, are you aware that as a judicial
20		candidate you are bound by the code of judicial
21		conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South
22		Carolina Appellate Court Rules?
23	Α.	I am.
24	Q.	Judge Dukes, Since submitting your letter of
25		intent, have you contacted any members of the

Commission about your candidacy? 1 2 I have not. Α. 3 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 4 either prior to this date or pending the outcome 5 6 of your screening? 7 Α. No. 8 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 9 members of the General Assembly on your behalf or 10 are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene 11 in this process on your behalf? I am not and I'm unaware of any such person. 12 Α. 13 Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 14 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South 15 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(e)? I do. 16 Α. 17 MS. MOTTEL: I would note that The Low Country's 18 Citizens Committee reported Judge Dukes to be well qualified in the evaluative criteria of 19 ethical fitness, professional and academic 20 21 ability, character, reputation, experience, and 22 judicial temperament. And qualified in the 23 remaining criteria of constitutional 24 qualifications, physical health, and mental stability. Mr. Chairman, I would note for the 25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record that any concerns raised during the investigation by staff regarding Judge Dukes were incorporated into the questioning of the candidate today and I have no further questions. CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. Thank you very much. Any

questions for Judge Dukes? Senator Young.

- Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge Dukes, thank you so much for your service to our state to the judiciary and for your interest in continuing your service as a circuit judge. On one question I have is how would you describe your judicial philosophy as it relates to the issues and/or the facts that present themselves to you on the
- Well, I'm going to repeat myself a little bit in some of the questions.
- Q. In the application of the law, those facts and issues. I'm sorry if I wasn't clear.
- Well, my philosophy is as I receive those facts Α. and it begins with the receipt of the facts. want to make sure that all parties are heard, because I think that goes back to the perception of the public on the impartiality and the fairness of the judicial system itself. And so,

my philosophy is to gather the facts as permitted 1 2 under the rules and apply the law as best as I 3 can through research and what I know. Is it your belief that the -- you know, what do 4 Q. you believe your role is as the judge, in terms 5 6 of reading the statute or reading the case law, 7 in particular the statutes and interpreting the 8 statutes. How should you --9 Oh, I think I understand what you're -- at the Α. circuit court level, the job of the judge is to 10 11 follow the law, not create the law, if that's your question. And so, my role as judge is to 12 13 either gather the facts and of course, in a non-14 jury case as you know I'm the finder of fact. 15 And I review the law. And so, in those situations, non-jury cases are mostly what I hear 16 right now. I would listen to the facts and I 17 18 would apply the law, as-written, both in the 19 statues and in precedent. All right. So you mentioned that there were 20 Q. 21 43,000 civil cases currently filed statewide; is 22 that right? 23 It's a rough estimate, but yes, sir. Α. 24 And about 10 percent of those are in the Q. 25 Fourteenth circuit?

1 Α. That's right. 2 You are the Beaufort County Master-in-Equity, do Q. 3 you hear cases that are outside of Beaufort County or as a special circuit judge or just 4 Beaufort County cases? 5 6 Α. Very rarely I will be appointed as special 7 referee for a complex Jasper County case, 8 something like that. But 99., run some 9s out 9 there, percent of what I do is Beaufort County. 10 Q. Now, you have, in your 10 years, I think it's 10 11 years as a Master? That's correct. 12 Α. 13 You've been a special circuit judge at times Q. 14 during that entire 10-year period; is that 15 correct? 16 Α. The entire time, yes. 17 And have you, do you have any estimate as to the Q. 18 number of complex cases that you've heard in your 19 capacity as a special circuit judge? Hundreds in 10 years. I mean, I don't keep track 20 Α. 21 that way. And, of course, the definition of 22 complex can vary. But I've heard numerous cases 23 that involved multiple millions of dollars. I hear them all the time. 24 25 Q. You hear a fair amount of construction litigation

cases?

- A. The trial itself, I don't, because those are almost uniformly jury trial cases. The motions I hear almost all of them, because Judge Mullen conflicts out of most of them, because her husband is involved in that type of litigation.

 And so I've heard hundreds of summary judgement motions, discovery motions. Basically, every motion you can imagine, save and except for the trial itself in construction litigation cases.
- Q. The practice that you were in before you were on the bench, I noticed that you were in practice with several other lawyers in Beaufort. And then it looks like y'all went separate ways and opened your own offices; is that right?
- A. That's right.
- Q. Were you a solo practitioner?
- A. I was for a number of years.
- Q. I would expect you are familiar with the challenges that face lawyers who come from either solo practices or from smaller firms, in terms of their challenges on their time, especially if they litigate, being pulled from court to court, deposition to deposition, hearing to hearing?
- A. I think -- and if you ask in Beaufort, I think

1		I'm known as someone that is particularly
2		sensitive to those concerns. And my office, we
3		do our best to work with attorneys to work out
4		the most efficient scheduling for everyone.
5	Q.	I noticed that you were and this is my first
6		year on this Commission, but I noticed that you
7		were a candidate for an at large circuit position
8		in 2013. Did you stay in the race? I don't
9		really
10	A.	I was found qualified and nominated for that
11		position. But the votes weren't there and as you
12		know, at some point it's time to step aside.
13	Q.	I may have some additional questions in a moment,
14		but I'll yield. Thanks.
15	CHAI	RMAN SMITH: Senator Rankin.
16	EXAMINATI	ON BY SENATOR RANKIN:
17	Q.	Judge, Marvin Dukes. I know you as Marvin. We
18		were in law school together. I'm glad to see you
19		up here.
20	A.	Thank you, Sir.
21	Q.	And obviously I know you. I haven't ever
22		appeared before you. But I am not surprised, but
23		I guess pleased to see the regard that your Bar
24		paints you, high regard your Bar holds you. At
25		least of the 339 folks that took the time to

1 comment about your temperament, experience, 2 reputation, character, ability, ethics, et 3 cetera. All for the most part, very highly rate you as a fair, excellent candidate for circuit 4 court. You have been on the bench full-time for 5 6 the last 10 years? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 Q. And it was part-time appointment before or --9 No, it's been a full-time job for me. Α. 10 matter of fact, Beaufort County Master has been a 11 full-time as long as I can remember. Judge 12 Coltrane was two years before me. Judge 13 Kemmerlin, who many of you may have known from 14 law school, was there about 15 years, I believe, 15 before that in a full-time capacity. And you have been screened by the Judicial Merit 16 Q. Selection Committee, both as a --17 18 Α. Master-in-Equity. -- Master and as a --19 Q. Circuit Court judge. 20 Α. 21 -- Circuit Court. What do you think the Q. 22 challenge would be to shift over to a full-time 23 circuit court position versus what I would call a 24 Special Master with all the motions that you're 25 hearing. What would the difference be in your --

25

Α.

I mean, honestly, it would be the law on the civil side is almost exactly the same. Rather than being the finder of fact in a trial, I would have the jury for the most part to do that job for me. And it would involve some travel, which actually is not a problem for me. I have enjoyed my time as Master, but I live my life within about a 100 feet of where my office is right now in my working life. And my one child, my wife and my one child is away at school. So it is no problem at all for me to get in the car. And I understand this is an at-large seat and I would be called on to put a suitcase in the car and drive whatever county for two-weeks, that is absolutely no problem for me at this point. By the same token I would be based, of course, like all at-large sets in the county in which I live, Beaufort, where I would be able to handle, hopefully, this backlog of cases. Because, again, we have two judges for the entire Fourteenth Judicial Circuit. We have no parttime retired judges working. We only have two judges for the entire circuit, which is five counties. So we frequently have the expense on the state and the judicial department of

traveling judges coming in trying to cut into 1 that backlog. So there's a geographical reason. 2 3 To answer your question, I don't think the shift would be that difficult. 4 To pull a jury in and you're there. 5 Q. 6 Α.

I'd like to think it would be that easy, it's probably a little more complicated, but, yes,

sir.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Past experience in looking at your PDQ, in terms Q. of the pre Master position, you did a lot of family court. It looks like 70 percent domestic. Is that correct, did I read that?
- Α. That's probably correct. Before I ran for the Master position, I was in sole practice. And small town in Beaufort is growing, but it's still a small town. And was very much a small town 10 years ago. As many of you know, sole practitioner, you kind of take what comes in the door. And for the period of time before I took the Master position I was doing a good bit of family court. So that percentage would vary back and forth. I did a good bit of work in front of Judge Kemmerlin, not jury, civil, mechanics lien cases, a variety of things. But that number is probably accurate for over a decade ago.

- Q. And you've tried cases with a verdict?
 - A. Many, many, many.
 - It's a different day now. We finished 30 years Q. ago. We just had our 30th year anniversary, which seems ancient. And I'm just curious, you've nodded your head in agreement with that, it's different. It's a totally different market, totally different game, I would say. It's -some say good, some say not so good. As a judge in a circuit court, not how -- do you see a different role for a circuit court judge now than had you been elected or succeeded as a family court judge and take that narrow field apart? You've been a judge for 10 years, as a circuit court judge, do you think that there's a different role out there for you than 30 years ago, 20 years ago?
 - A. Well, I mean, the practice, certainly every thing has changed in 30 years. The Bar is much larger in South Carolina. Occasionally we have civility problems in the courthouse. And I mean, and a lot of that springs from television. I think people watch TV trials and that kind of thing.

 And think that in order to impress their clients with, I'm doing a really good job as a lawyer,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

they need to get a little loud or that kind of thing. And so, I've civility issues that I do my best to take care of almost immediately that we didn't see in the past. But all in all, I can't think of any tremendous negative, other than just the modernization, the e-filing, that kind of thing. And I think South Carolina, all in all, has a great Bar. I mean, although I'm in Beaufort, I see lawyers from Greenville, Columbia, Horry County, everywhere come to do, particularly the complex litigation motions, the -- you know, that sort of thing. So we've got a good Bar. It's all changed, there are more of There are more cases. But, other than some civility issues, I think that the goals are still the same.

- Q. One negative that you heard was that you push mediation. And I'm not sure how that would be perceived as a negative unless the sense is you're ducking your responsibility.
- A. I can't -- I don't -- you know that I don't know what case that person was referring to or what case it is perhaps they were referring to. So I can't comment, specifically, on what they were talking about. But I've had great success with

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

pushing mediation, which is exactly what the 1 2 complaint was. I understand that it's my duty to 3 try the case at some point if mediation fails and I always will and always have. But I have found 4 that often times, the mediation that is months 5 6 before the trial, the parties are not in a 7 position really, to settle and it's a waste of 8 time. But as on the eve of trial when it's 9 impressed on the parties that even once I rule, 10 it could be appealed, this is not, perhaps, the 11 final day in this conflict that's eating them alive and kept them awake at night and cost them 12 13 tens of thousands of dollars, but it could be. 14 And if they can come up with a settlement that we 15 like to say in my office, makes everyone equally unhappy, the oftentimes we can bring people 16 together and end the case. And I do my best to 17 18 do that. I think that's the intent of the 19 judicial department and the legislature to have arbitration and mediation when possible. 2.0

Q. Mediation.

21

22

23

24

- A. Mediation. But certainly --
- Q. Not arbitration.
- A. Wealth. There's some case law in arbitration is what I'm talking about.

Finally, in terms of this Bench Bar ballot box 1 Q. 2 that I asked you about, do you see that as a useful tool to the Judicial Merit Selection 3 Committee and should it be regarded? 4 I do. I mean, and for instance, the criticism 5 Α. 6 about local counsel being favored. I mean, that 7 is something I'll take to heart. And I will make 8 darn sure that there's not even the appearance of 9 that happening. Because there's at least one 10 person out there that thinks it is. And that's 11 one person too many. So I think it's a very useful tool. 12 13 Q. If the trend is, that would be considered as an 14 outlier, exceptional comment. 15 Α. I was glad to hear that. You could be warned by the fact that the majority 16 Q. 17 in all punts say you are overwhelmingly 18 qualified. I was gratified to hear that. 19 Α. All right. That's all I have. 20 Q. 21 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN SMITH: 22 Judge Dukes, let me ask you a couple of Q. 23 questions. 24 Certainly. Α. 25 I'm looking through this. Obviously, I Q.

understand you've been a Master-in-Equity for a 1 2 while and a ton of civil experience. Do you ever 3 handle any criminal cases as a special circuit court judge? 4 I was doing guilty pleas two weeks ago. 5 Α. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. And the circuit court, special circuit court 8 order includes -- and I don't know if y'all would 9 like to see a copy of it. I brought it, it 10 includes General Sessions work, save and except 11 for the trials themselves. So the Supreme Court 12 has essentially put their blessing on me doing 13 every aspect of it, save and except for the 14 trials for the last 10 years. 15 Q. That would answer one of the concerns that I saw expressed on this ballot boxes, saying you're a 16 17 little heavy-handed on criminal pleas, so how 18 would you respond to that? I don't know which side, I guess they mean I 19 Α. over-sentenced someone. I try to be fair. I try 2.0 21 to listen to ever aspect of every plea, prior 22 record, everything that's important. And I'm up 23 with the fair sentence. And I suppose it's like 24 any decision that I make or sometimes any of you 25 make. Someone is going to be less happy perhaps

than the other side.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- When you, obviously, dealing with pleas a lot you Q. hear this from time to time and a solicitor comes in there and negotiates with a defense attorney. And they say we recommend 10 years or five years, or whatever the recommendation is, and then you have to listen to the facts of the case and see and see whether you accept the recommendation. In cases where you can't accept the recommendation, what do you do, just sentence to what you feel is appropriate either up or down or do you give the attorney some type of indication that you cannot accept the plea?
- Well, if it's a negotiation, I would not accept Α. the plea. If it's a recommendation, I don't feel as though I need to send them away. I think it's still -- this is a time when I need to do my job and just sentence it, even if I don't follow the recommendation.
- So you don't allow the defendants to withdraw Q. their guilty plea if they -- if you can't go along with the recommendation?
- Not if it's not a nego- -- I really haven't run Α. into that specific situation. But I have a feeling that it would depend on how far apart

they were I would think. But if they had a 1 2 recommendation -- if they had a negotiated plea, 3 of course, all bets are off. That's right, because they actually negotiated. 4 Q. But if they had a recommendation, I would 5 Α. 6 probably send them away and give them a moment to 7 think about it. Again, it's difficult without 8 the particular circumstances in front of me and 9 how far apart they might be from what I might 10 want to do. 11 I'm not familiar with the Fourteenth Circuit. Q. I don't go down there a lot, too far from Sumter 12 13 for me to travel there. 14 You should come visit. Α. 15 Q. I wish they'd pay me to do -- to go there. I'd be happy to. But I'm not familiar with what type 16 17 of alternative courts they have down there. 18 you know, we hear a lot these days about drug 19 courts, veterans courts, mental health courts. What's your position in regards to those? Have 2.0 21 you had any interaction with them, find them to 22 be of any use to the criminal justice system? 23 I haven't had much interaction with them. I know Α. 24 they -- I know we have a drug court that we had a 25 while, then we didn't have. And I think it's

21

22

23

24

25

back now. But I'm all in favor of alternative sentencing. I mean, there are plenty of firsttime offenders, you know, drug offenders that probably should go through some kind of alternative sentencing. I remember when I was on county council reading what it cost to house a detention center person every night. And thinking, there's some people in there that could maybe could be somewhere else. And I know that the corrections department probably has a number that far exceeds the Beaufort County per night, per inmate number. And then there's the human toll. I mean, you've got people in there for things that maybe they shouldn't be. They're going to lose their house. They're going to lose their kids and that kind of thing. So I'm -when it is warranted and sometimes it's not. But a lot of times it is. I'm all in favor of alternative sentencing, alternative courts.

Q. And I'm sure you have this as Master, but you probably have it more so if you're successful with this candidacy if -- you would probably be a administrative judge for either General Sessions or Court of Common Pleas. And lawyers have conflicts that they're going to run into from

Α.

time to time practicing law, having depositions already set and this motion is going to interfere with the depositions or, you know, even the personal natures of children having some type of ball game that they would like to go see or school program. How are you going handle those type of requests to -- and as it relates to moving a docket and making sure there's just disposition of cases?

- And again, this is where there's not much of a learning curve here, because I've doing exactly that for 10 and a half years. And if you ask the Beaufort County Bar, I think you'll find that I'm known as someone that will do everything he can to work around those things. I mean, they're only, you know, one of the case backups has to do with continued, continuances. So we have to be wary of that, we have to be mindful of that. But I understand that there is life outside of the courtroom. I was a sole practitioner. I was in a firm. I've done all of those things. I have a family and so I'm cognizant of that and go the extra mile to try to make sure it is efficiently scheduled for everyone.
- Q. Okay. Judge, just a comment here and I want to

22

23

24

25 CHAIRMAN SI

CHAIRMAN SMITH: Any further questions of Judge Dukes.

say this. You mentioned you've been a judge for quite some time and to me when I look at these ballot box surveys, they are helpful as I look through this and I -- you know, I think sometimes we obviously are pointing out where people have some issues then. But I want to point out to you, as Senator Rankin did, that you've been on the bench for a long time. You've dealt with the Beaufort County Bar. And when I look at these and these are, you know, the unqualified where you have are at a minimum. And well qualified are exceedingly higher than everyone else. So I want to tell you whatever you're doing down there in Beaufort County, you are doing it well because you're right, you're the finder of fact, as well as a judge of the law and sometimes you can't make everybody happy. But you must be doing fairly well with that. So I want to commend you in that regard on the job you're doing in your current position and so I appreciate the service you're providing.

A. Thank you. I would like to take that to the other 45 courthouses at some point, but I appreciate that.

All right, Judge. Thank you so much. 1 2 concludes this portion of your screening process. 3 I want to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative 4 criteria, the Commission expects candidate's to 5 follow the spirit, as well as the letter of the 6 ethics laws. And we will view violations or the 7 8 appearance of impropriety as serious and 9 potentially deserving of heavy weight and screening deliberations. On that note, and as 10 11 you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications 12 13 and you may be called back at such time, if the 14 need should arise. I thank you for your interest 15 in offering for this position and I thank you for your service to the State of South Carolina. 16 JUDGE DUKES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and thank you 17 18 members of the Commission. CHAIRMAN SMITH: Have a safe trip back. 19 (Executive Session) 2.0 21 CHAIRMAN SMITH: All right. We're coming out of 22 executive session. Mr. Safran moves that we lift 23 the veil and come out of executive session. All 24 in favor say, aye. 25 (Ayes are heard.)

```
CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
 1
 2
                       (No response is heard.)
 3
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. Just for the record,
               there have been decisions made during executive
 4
               session and no votes taken. Mr. Hitchcock moves
 5
 6
               that we adjourn, Mr. Safran seconds. All in
 7
               favor say, aye.
 8
                           (Ayes are heard.)
 9
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: All opposed?
                       (No response is heard.)
10
11
          CHAIRMAN SMITH: Ayes have it. We stand adjourned
12
               until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning.
13
     (There being nothing further, the proceeding concluded at
14
     8:00 p.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC
3	IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
4	CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON TUESDAY, THE
5	28TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2017, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 149
6	PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
7	STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
8	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
9	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
10	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
11	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL
13	THIS 10TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2017.
14	
15	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
16	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	