~~Indicates Matter Stricken~~

Indicates New Matter

The House assembled at 10:00 a.m.

Deliberations were opened with prayer by Rev. Lester P. Branham as follows:

One of my favorite verses in the Bible is Psalm 37:4: "Delight yourself in the Lord and he will fulfill the desires of your heart.”  A looser translation and more meaningful to me is: “Delight yourself in the Lord and he will fix your ‘wanter’.”

Let us pray.  Father, thank You for this good day.  Thank You for the opportunity You give us to serve Your people and we take that seriously.  We ask You to fix our wanters so that we desire the right things. Bless our Nation, our State, leadership, and all of us who strive to make this a better place to live. Thank You for Your forgiveness, Your love, and Your grace. In His name we pray. Amen.

Pursuant to Rule 6.3, the House of Representatives was led in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America by the SPEAKER.

After corrections to the Journal of the proceedings of Wednesday, June 22, the SPEAKER ordered it confirmed.

**MOTION ADOPTED**

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved that when the House adjourns, it adjourn in memory of her mother, Nina C. Walker Cobb of Gifford, Florida, which was agreed to.

**COMMUNICATION**

The following was received:

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR**

June 28, 2011

The Honorable Robert W. Harrell, Jr.

Speaker of the House of Representatives

506 Blatt Building

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Dear Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,

We are line-item vetoing portions of H. 3700, R. 106, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2011-2012 General Appropriation Bill.

We appreciate the cooperation of the General Assembly thus far. Our number one goal should be to learn from the past and avoid repeating mistakes related to spending that put South Carolina government in turmoil over the last four years. Our country and state’s economy is not out of the woods yet. We strongly believe that, if we sustain the vetoes and live within a reasonable cap on spending, we will establish a firm footing and new mindset of government working for the people and not the people working for their government.

As we travel this State, the sentiment we hear from small business owners and individuals about state government spending is clear – “Don’t spend all the money.” What they are saying is don’t repeat the process that took us from a $5 billion general fund budget in 2003 to a $7 billion general fund budget in 2007 and back to a $5.4 billion budget in 2010. What they want is for government spending to be more predictable. For too long, we have budgeted based on how much new money there is and we must change that approach.

This year and going forward, we are asking that a year-over-year spending growth cap of CPI plus population growth be used as a ceiling for spending. Applying this cap to the current year expenditure base of $5,416,802,158 results in an allowable FY 2011-2012 expenditure of $5,678,433,702 through the General Appropriation Bill.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FY 2010-2011 | General Assembly  FY 2011-2012 | Spending Cap | Difference |
| General Fund | $ 5,416,802,158 | $ 5,754,074,608 | $ 5,678,433,702 | $ 75,640,905 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| CPI | 3.40% |  | Haley Administration | Veto Savings |
| Avg.Pop. Growth | 1.43% |  | $ 5,648,416,751 | $ 105,657,857.00 |
| Total Cap | 4.83% |  |  |  |

This year’s cap of 4.83 percent was calculated by adding the average compound population growth rate from 2000 to 2010 of 1.43 percent to the April 2010 to April 2011 Southern Regional CPI of 3.4 percent.

Given the limit stated above, the General Assembly in the FY 2011-2012 General Appropriation Bill spent $5,754,074,608, which is $75,640,905 over the allowable cap. Again, this cap should be used as a ceiling and not exceeded. All additional dollars above the cap should be for taxpayer relief, for managing our state’s obligations, or held in reserve.

What follows is a list of line-item vetoes that reduces the coming year’s appropriation to meet the constraints of this cap. In addition, you will see we have also vetoed the Capital Reserve Fund Bill that spends reserve funds that should be set aside as they were last year, (explained further in veto message to H. 3701). We hope to use next year’s Executive Budget to address structural changes that will more accurately reflect spending priorities and resource requirements of agencies and programs.

We have a great opportunity to show the people of South Carolina that we are committed to being good stewards of their dollars. The actions that you take on these vetoes can set a new tone for our State. We respectfully ask you to join us in making these cuts as we move forward in strengthening our economy, our State, and returning confidence in government to the people of South Carolina.

I. Part IA Funding

VETO 1 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; SAT Improvement; $169,487.

Nothing – including this veto – prevents school districts from developing programs to help students improve their scores on standardized college admissions tests.  Funding for this statewide initiative has already been suspended by Proviso 1.92.  We are vetoing this line to prevent these funds from being used to support more unnecessary government programs.

VETO 2 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

This program – one of many implemented by the Education and Economic Development Act – is intended to assess and improve transition from high school to college.  This effort is duplicated many times over in high school and college-level programs like guidance counseling services which are offered in every high school in the State.

VETO 3 Part IA, Section 1; Page 10; Department of Education; XIII. Aid to School Districts; A. Aid to School Districts; Special Items; ETV - K-12 Teacher Training; $4,829,281.

VETO 4 Part IA, Section 50; Page 175; Law Enforcement Training Council; I. Administration; Special Item; ETV-State & Local Training of Law Enforcement; $574,244.

As indicated in my State of the State address, ETV provides services that could be funded through private support or bid out competitively. While we applaud the General Assembly for directing the revenue earned by state-owned assets managed by ETV back to the agency, we believe that ETV should develop a sustainable model that does not involve General Fund appropriations. We have indicated this to ETV's leadership, and have appointed a board that will assist updating ETV's business model to take them off of dependence on General Fund dollars.

VETO 5 Part IA, Section 2; Page 14; Lottery Expenditure Account; I. Lottery Expenditure Account; Special Items; Unclaimed Prizes; $12,400,000.

In coordination with the Department of Education and the appropriate legislative officials, we are already investigating the privatization of our state-run school bus system. We are vetoing this line because the State should not purchase new vehicles now for a fleet of school buses that we would likely sell to a network of new providers as part of a privatization effort. The bottom line is that the State of South Carolina does not need to be in the business of running a bus system. Our time, dollars, and focus need to be on educating our children.

VETO 6 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Greenville Technical College – University Center; $594,390.

VETO 7 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; University Center of Greenville - Operations; $1,084,899.

VETO 8 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Lowcountry Graduate Center; $785,099.

We are vetoing funds for these higher education centers because these facilities duplicate existing programs and services. Students currently have access to similar programs at these universities’ main campuses and, in many cases, online. If participating institutions see the need for this delivery method, they should fund it using their existing resources.

VETO 9 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; NFTE; $118,297.

Trying to teach enthusiasm for entrepreneurship to South Carolina youth through a non-profit organization is not how we should be spending state education dollars.  Instead of teaching them entrepreneurship, we need to do a better a job of teaching South Carolina children math and science.   We are vetoing this item because it should be funded by private-sector dollars.

VETO 10 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; II. Service Programs; Special Items; EPSCOR; $40,329.

As the state’s primary research universities traditionally spend 10 to 15 percent of their budgets in any given year on research, we believe a program designed to promote competitive research in higher education is redundant.

VETO 11 Part IA, Section 6; Page 27; Commission on Higher Education; V. Total Higher Education Awareness Program; $179,856.

This program allocates resources to make 8th grade students and their families aware of college to promote attendance to an institution of higher education. This is duplicative of services offered by school districts across the State, including basic guidance counseling. Further, each university in the State – public or private – has aggressive recruiting and marketing programs.

VETO 12 Part IA, Section 15A; Page 44; University of South Carolina; I. University of South Carolina; A. USC - Non-Medicine; Special Items; Small Business Development Center; $491,734.

We are vetoing the University of South Carolina's Small Business Development Center, because this program is not central to the university’s mission and duplicates services already offered by the South Carolina Department of Commerce and the U.S. Small Business Administration.

VETO 13 Part IA, Section 17B; Page 65; Area Health Education Consortium; I. Consortium; A. General; Total Funds $5,476,127; General Funds $3,582,260.

This veto does not remove the AHEC funds dedicated to programs that train physicians and introduce them into rural areas. We are vetoing the parts of AHEC that focus on duties that can be performed by individual program coordinators, other areas of state government, or the private sector.

VETO 14 Part IA, Section 22; Page 85; Department of Health and Environmental Control; II. Programs and Services; F. Health Care Standards; 2. Total Facility/Service Development; Total Funds $6,120,203; General Funds $411,317.

We are vetoing this section of the Department of Health and Environmental Control's budget because it is the funding for the department's Certificate of Need (CON) program.  As we all know, the CON process is politics at its worst. Health care decisions should be decided by real community needs, not by Columbia politics.

VETO 15 Part IA, Section 30; Page 120; Arts Commission; Total Funds $3,605,566; General Funds $1,927,795.

We have said since the beginning of this administration that this budget year was going to be difficult.  Our goal in the budget process has always been to alleviate the pain for the State at-large by focusing our dollars on core functions of government.  We value the arts, much like we value the Heritage Golf Classic, and like the Heritage, we also know that we have strong private partners that have the ability and the willingness to continue funding the arts.  It should not, however, be the responsibility of the taxpayers of this State.  Therefore, we veto this line, because it is not a core function of government and largely uses its state funding for administrative and staffing purposes.

VETO 16 Part IA, Section 35; Page 134; Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research; Total Funds $15,350,935; General Funds $8,952,812.

According to Clemson University’s FY 2011-2012 budget planning documents, Clemson intends to spend 17.3 percent – $138 million – on research funded by a variety of sources to include state appropriations, grants, and private sources.  We are vetoing the Agricultural Research line at the Clemson PSA because we believe that more than adequate resources exist within Clemson University’s current budget to conduct this research.

VETO 17 Part IA, Section 38; Page 146; Sea Grant Consortium; Total Funds $6,929,892; General Funds $327,392.

The Sea Grant Consortium is a cooperative of South Carolina universities focused on obtaining grants for research and education on the topics of coastal and marine conservation.  Universities receive enough money to absorb this cost.  There is no need for an additional government program that further burdens taxpayers.

VETO 18 VOID

VETO 19 Part IA, Section 54, Page 188, Human Affairs Commission; I. Administration; Personal Service; Classified Positions; $269,759.

The Human Affairs Commission’s management practices resulted in a deficit in FY 2010-11. The Budget and Control Board responded by offering to move the Commission to state-owned space, and to provide the Commission’s back-office services at no-cost. Unfortunately, these offers were refused. We are therefore vetoing these funds in an attempt to compel the Commission to make the fiscally responsible choice and implement these cost-saving initiatives.

VETO 20 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 253; Budget and Control Board; II. Operations and Executive Training; B. Internal Operations; Special Item; ETV Coverage; $513,269.

I fully support funding to allow Budget and Control Board meetings to be broadcast publicly to further transparency of state government operations.  However, as I stated in my State of the State address, these ETV services could be funded through private support or bid out competitively.  While I applaud the General Assembly for directing the revenue earned by state-owned assets managed by ETV back to the agency, I believe that ETV should develop a sustainable model that does not involve General Fund appropriations.  I have indicated this to ETV's leadership and have appointed a board that will assist in updating ETV's business model to take them off of dependence on General Fund dollars.

VETO 21 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 262; Budget and Control Board; VII. Insurance and Grants Division; C. Office of Local Government; 2. State Revolving Fund; B. Loans; Special Items; Loans; Total Funds $1, 578, 385; General Funds $878,385.

We are vetoing this line-item because there is sufficient cash available in revolving loan accounts to sustain this program for the next fiscal year.  This loan provides a cash-match for local and county governments to support infrastructure development using state and federal dollars.  Once the project is complete, the loan is repaid and the monies are deposited into an account to fund additional loans.  The balance of these accounts is enough to sustain FY 2011-2012 activities, and in these difficult economic times, we cannot afford to leave money on the table that could be used for depleted reserves, debt repayment, or tax relief.

II. Part IB Temporary Provisos

VETO 22 Part IB, Section 6.24; Page 333; Commission on Higher Education: Scholarships Funded from Unclaimed Capital Credits.

VETO 23 Part IB, Section 76.13; Page 414-415; Office of State Treasurer: Economic Development Unclaimed Capital Credits.

These provisos are in direct conflict with existing law, and we are therefore vetoing them. Current law (Act 44 of 2011) exempts certain electric and telephone cooperatives from remitting unclaimed property funds to the State Treasurer. That law requires cooperatives to use those funds for energy efficiency initiatives benefiting customers while these provisos require that those funds be remitted to the State. These vetoes should be sustained to avoid this clear conflict of law and to give cooperatives flexibility to use these funds on the customers who pay for their services.

VETO 24 Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.

As has been stated time and again, we do not support restructuring by proviso.  The South Carolina Health Integrated Data Services is a program established by temporary proviso in 2007 at the Budget and Control Board, Office of Research and Statistics, and is now being transferred to DHEC in the same manner.  If this program is needed on an ongoing basis, the program and its location should be dealt with through permanent law, not a one-year proviso.

VETO 25 Part IB, Section 65.10; Page 397; Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; South Carolina Emergency Response Task Force/State Urban Search and Rescue Program.

On the advice of Director Catherine Templeton, we are vetoing this item because LLR has identified additional funds to carry out the mission of this important program.  We have full faith that the director will ensure this program receives adequate resources and that it does so in a fiscally responsible manner.

VETO 26 Part IB, Section 76.14; Page 415; Office of State Treasurer; Audit Finding Follow-Up.

Simply put, this proviso is duplicative and contrary to existing South Carolina law. Following up on audits in order to make sure that agencies are taking the necessary steps to save taxpayer dollars is surely a necessary task; however, granting such authority to the Treasurer’s Office is unnecessary, because it already exists with the Legislative Audit Council, State Auditor’s Office, and the Budget and Control Board. In addition, we agree with State Attorney General Alan Wilson that this proviso’s directive to grant the Treasurer the authority to file lawsuits on behalf of the State does not line-up with existing South Carolina law. Currently, this authority lies with the Attorney General alone, and altering such law is contrary to our Constitution, statutes, and common law. As such, we are vetoing this proviso.

VETO 27 Part IB, Section 79.6; Page 418; Election Commission; Primary and General Election Carry Forward.

VETO 28 Part IB, Section 79.12; Page 419; Election Commission; Presidential Preference Primary and Ballot Security.

Prior to 2008, the taxpayers of South Carolina had never funded the First in the South Presidential Primary – instead, the political parties did. As I have made clear throughout the budget process, I believe private dollars are the appropriate way to fund a partisan Presidential Primary. The Attorney General of South Carolina has recognized that the State GOP can contract with the State Election Commission to run the primary. The United States Department of Justice has cleared an election conducted by the Election Commission and funded by a political party. The bottom line is this: South Carolina will host the First in the South Presidential Primary in 2012 and will be as successful as it always has been, but it should not fall on the taxpayers to cover the expense. For these reasons, we are vetoing these provisos.

VETO 29 Part IB, Section 86.10; Page 431; Aid to Subdivisions, State Treasurer; Speed Camera Citations Restriction.

This proviso is unnecessary, because it duplicates a recently enacted law (S.336, Act 65 of 2011).  This act prohibits the use of cameras to issue traffic citations, as does this proviso.

VETO 30 Part IB, Section 89.87; Page 458; General Provisions; Conservation Bank Funding LLR.

VETO 31 Part IB, Section 90.22; Page 476; Statewide Revenue: Excess FY11-12 Revenue – SC Conservation Bank; $2,000,000.

Though well-intentioned, we do not believe that providing additional taxpayer dollars for the Conservation Bank is an appropriate use of our limited state dollars, especially when the agency is receiving funding through an established, statutory funding formula.

VETO 32 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 472; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(1)(a) Department of Education – EFA Base Student Cost; $56,174,107.

There are two issues with this line item that move us to veto it. First, we agree with Superintendent Zais that funding the EFA – a recurring expense – with one-time money is an irresponsible way in which to budget. Second, prior to these dollars being allocated by the Senate, South Carolina’s education spending was on-par with most other states. Our issue in education is not how much money we spend, but how we spend it. Our government needs to break the habit of spending money simply because we have it, and these dollars will be far better used when returned to those they truly belong to – the taxpayers of South Carolina.

VETO 33 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(6) Clemson University – PSA; Agency Operations; $250,000.

We are vetoing this line for Clemson PSA operations, because we believe the agency can absorb this reduction through efficiencies in the agency’s current fully funded budget.

VETO 34 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(9) Department of Health and Environmental Control; Donate Life; $100,000.

Donate Life is a private non-profit that promotes organ donation services. This is not an appropriate recipient of general fund dollars; the State of South Carolina already supports organ donation through the Department of Motor Vehicles, and we should not single out one non-profit organization to receive state dollars for this purpose.

VETO 35 Part IB, Section 90.21; Page 475; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue – Increased Enforcement Collections; Second Item; Department of Education – Education Foundation Supplement; $20,000,000.

We are vetoing this item because it is a “hold harmless” provision for only certain school districts. It is counter-intuitive that the General Assembly spends time and effort to create funding formula reform to avoid funding shortfalls and then creates a secondary funding source for those districts that lose money. We need to move our K-12 funding model to one where the money follows the student.

For these reasons, we are vetoing the aforementioned line-items and provisos in H. 3700, R. 106.

Sincerely,

Nikki R. Haley

Governor

Received as information.

**COMMUNICATION**

The following was received:

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR**

June 28, 2011

Mr. Charles F. Reid

Clerk of the House of Representatives

213 Blatt Building

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Dear Mr. Reid,

Please be advised that the veto letter to H. 3700, R. 106 contains a typographical error. We ask that you void VETO 18 on Page 6 and address VETO 4 on Page 3, because VETO 18 is an exact duplication of VETO 4.

Thank you for helping correct this mistake.

Sincerely,

Nikki R. Haley

Governor

Received as information.

**COMMUNICATION**

The following was received:

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR**

June 28, 2011

The Honorable Robert W. Harrell, Jr.

Speaker of the House of Representatives

506 Blatt Building

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Dear Mr. Speaker and Members of the House,

I am vetoing and returning without my approval H. 3701, R. 107, the Capital Reserve Appropriation Joint Resolution, in its entirety. My veto of H. 3701 is based on the fundamental premise set out in our state’s Constitution, which requires reserves to be set aside and utilized to cover revenue shortfalls. Accordingly, I am asking that last year’s Capital Reserve funds appropriated through this resolution be held in reserve.

In two of the four most recent fiscal years, the Capital Reserve Fund was needed to offset substantial General Fund shortfalls. We need to replenish and further build on that, leaving these funds unspent and moved to the Contingency Reserve Fund to weather another possible economic downturn. Some may say this action is being overly cautious, but that is not the case. Looking back at the last several years, we have had to institute mid-year budget cuts and furloughs to balance our state’s budget. Therefore, it is clear that the two percent set aside in the current year’s Capital Reserve Fund proved not to be enough in two of the last four years.

To be clear, I am not questioning the merit of many of the projects funded in this Bill – simply that these funds should be held in reserve as we continue in the current budgetary crisis. In addition, I would ask that in January the General Assembly provide a supplemental appropriation authorizing funds for our state primary elections scheduled for June 2012.

In the interest of maintaining contingency funds in a manner consistent with prudent planning, I respectfully ask that you sustain this veto.

Sincerely,

Nikki R. Haley

Governor

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., Monday, June 27

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has reconsidered the adoption of the report of the Committee of Conference on S. 172:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O'Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO ENACT THE “SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EFFICIENCY AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES ACT OF 2011”, BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-670 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE; BY ADDING SECTION 1-1-1040 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS, TO DIRECT THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S STATE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE WITH FIVE REPRESENTATIVES SELECTED BY THE RESPECTIVE PRESIDENTS OF THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES TO STUDY, DEVELOP, AND RECOMMEND A SEPARATE, COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM FOR THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 2-47-50, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SO AS TO DEFINE ‘PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT’; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1210, RELATING TO THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S REVIEW OF DOLLAR LIMITS FOR A GOVERNMENTAL BODY’S PROCUREMENT, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER, MAY APPROVE A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF UP TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1550, RELATING TO BID PROCEDURES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE MAY MAKE SMALL PURCHASES NOT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS WITHOUT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE PROCUREMENT CODE APPLYING; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-3310, RELATING TO INDEFINITE DELIVERY CONTRACTS, SO AS TO INCREASE CERTAIN DOLLAR LIMITS FOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-4810, RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING, SO AS TO EXEMPT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF THIRTY DAYS’ NOTICE OF A MULTISTATE SOLICITATION IF A COST SAVINGS IS DEMONSTRATED TO THE OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT; TO AMEND SECTION 1-7-170, RELATING TO ENGAGING AN ATTORNEY ON A FEE BASIS, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING SHALL ENGAGE AND COMPENSATE OUTSIDE COUNSEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD FOR MATTERS OF BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC FINANCE, BORROWING, AND RELATED FINANCIAL MATTERS; BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-55 SO AS TO PROHIBIT STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM BEING USED TO PROVIDE OUT OF STATE SUBSIDIES TO STUDENTS ATTENDING STATE SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING; BY ADDING SECTION 59-112-115 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OR TECHNICAL COLLEGE ADOPTS A CHANGE TO THE TUITION OR FEES IMPOSED ON STUDENTS, THE CHANGE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER A PUBLIC VOTE WITH THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES OR LOCAL AREA COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR AND AGAINST THE CHANGE BEING COUNTED; BY ADDING SECTION 8-11-162 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT TECHNICAL COLLEGE PRESIDENTS ARE COVERED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE AGENCY HEAD SALARY COMMISSION; TO AMEND SECTION 1-11-55, RELATING TO THE LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY FOR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A TECHNICAL COLLEGE, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, AND A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING, MAY ENTER INTO ANY LEASE AGREEMENT OR RENEW ANY LEASE AGREEMENT UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY FOR EACH PROPERTY OR FACILITY WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES FOR AN INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABLE SPACE; BY ADDING SECTION 59-53-168 SO AS TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION TO EMPLOY AND ADMINISTER CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY PROVISIONS, AND TO ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY BOARD; BY ADDING SECTION 59-112-140 SO AS TO ALLOW THE AREA COMMISSION FOR THE FLORENCE DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO WAIVE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR STUDENT PARTICIPANTS IN THE CATERPILLAR DEALER ACADEMY OPERATED BY FLORENCE DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TO AMEND SECTION 2-77-20, RELATING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EXCELLENCE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ALL THE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PROGRAM MUST BE ALLOCATED EQUALLY AMONG THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS; AND TO AMEND SECTION 59-142-40, RELATING TO STUDENTS FIRST FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR SCHOLARSHIPS AND TUITION, SO AS TO UPDATE REFERENCES AND TO PROVIDE THAT FUNDS FOR THE NEED-BASED GRANT MUST BE ALLOCATED IN A GIVEN YEAR TO INSTITUTIONS USING A METHODOLOGY THAT CONSIDERS STATE RESIDENT PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS SO THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LEVEL OF SUPPORT PER STATE RESIDENT PELL RECIPIENT WHEN COMPARED TO TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES, BUT THAT NO INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE A SMALLER PROPORTION OF FUNDING THAN WOULD BE PROVIDED UNDER THE STUDENT ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY USED IN YEARS PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 27, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 98, S. 913 by a vote of 1 to 0.

(R98) S. 913 -- Senator Sheheen: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY; TO PROVIDE THAT POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE AUTHORITY ARE VESTED IN THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY COMMISSION AND TO ESTABLISH TERMS FOR THE COMMISSIONERS; TO PROVIDE FOR THE HOUSING AUTHORITY'S FUNCTIONS, RIGHTS, POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES; AND TO PROVIDE THAT THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SHALL REMAIN THE LOCAL HOUSING AGENCY IN KERSHAW COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM.

Very respectfully,

President

**R. 98, S. 913--ORDERED PRINTED IN THE JOURNAL**

The SPEAKER ordered the following Veto printed in the Journal:

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR**

June 22, 2011

The Honorable Ken Ard

President of the Senate

State House, First Floor, East Wing

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Dear Mr. President and Members of the Senate:

I am hereby vetoing and returning without my approval S. 913, R. 98, a Bill creating the Kershaw County Housing Authority.

This Bill is unconstitutional. Local legislation like S. 913 violates the Home Rule provision of our State Constitution (Article VIII, Section 7) which provides that “…[n]o laws for a specific county shall be enacted …” This bill applies only to Kershaw County and does not relate to public education or other acceptable exceptions recognized by law. Additionally, while Article 7, Chapter 3 of Title 31 authorizes the creation of county housing authorities by legislative delegations, this statute was enacted prior to the 1973 adoption of the Home Rule laws; and therefore is likely to be declared unconstitutional. The appropriate authority to create a county housing authority is the county council.

Every member of the General Assembly is expected to vote on all legislation, including local bills. I believe members who fail to vote are supporting the violation of our Constitution. I ask that you stop the practice of passing unconstitutional local legislation and help preserve and protect our State Constitution by sustaining this veto.

Sincerely,

Nikki R. Haley

Governor

Received as information.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4393 -- Reps. White, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO COMMEND THE HONORABLE DANIEL T. COOPER OF ANDERSON COUNTY FOR HIS TWENTY-ONE YEARS OF COMMITTED SERVICE AS A MEMBER OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AND HIS LEADERSHIP AS CHAIRMAN OF THE HOUSE WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE SINCE 2005, AND TO WISH HIM ALL THE BEST IN THE YEARS TO COME.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4394 -- Reps. Young, Clyburn, Spires, Hixon, J. R. Smith and Taylor: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND COMMEND THE HONORABLE ROBERT A. SMOAK, JR., MASTER-IN-EQUITY FOR AIKEN COUNTY, UPON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT FROM THE BENCH, AND TO

WISH HIM CONTINUED SUCCESS AND HAPPINESS IN ALL HIS FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4395 -- Reps. Young, Clyburn, Hixon, J. R. Smith, Spires and Taylor: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR THE MINISTRY OF AREA CHURCHES TOGETHER SERVING (ACTS) IN AIKEN COUNTY, AND TO CONGRATULATE THE SIXTY-SIX MEMBER CHURCHES FOR A QUARTER CENTURY OF SERVICE TO THEIR COMMUNITY.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4396 -- Reps. Jefferson, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Cooper, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR DEACON SAMUEL E. MILFORD OF BERKELEY COUNTY FOR HIS MANY YEARS OF OUTSTANDING COMMUNITY SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, AND TO DESIGNATE NOVEMBER 6, 2011, AS “DEACON SAMUEL E. MILFORD DAY” IN THE PALMETTO STATE.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4397 -- Rep. G. A. Brown: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR DR. ZONA JEFFERSON, SUPERINTENDENT OF SUMTER SCHOOL DISTRICT 17, UPON HER RETIREMENT, TO THANK HER FOR HER THIRTY-NINE YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE AS AN EDUCATOR, AND TO WISH HER MUCH FULFILLMENT AND SUCCESS IN ALL HER FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4400 -- Rep. Limehouse: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR WTMA RADIO PERSONALITY ROCKY "ROCKY D" DISABATO FOR TEN OUTSTANDING YEARS ON THE ROCKY D SHOW, AND TO PROCLAIM AUGUST 31, 2011, AS “ROCKY D DAY” IN SOUTH CAROLINA.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4401 -- Rep. Quinn: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR REAGAN HENDRIX OF LEXINGTON COUNTY UPON HER SELECTION AS A DELEGATE TO PALMETTO GIRLS STATE, AND TO CONGRATULATE HER FOR BEING ELECTED GOVERNOR OF THE 2011 PALMETTO GIRLS STATE.

The Resolution was adopted.

**CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4398 -- Reps. Toole, Atwater, Ballentine, Bingham, Frye, Huggins, McLeod, Ott, Quinn and Spires: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO CONGRATULATE CREIGHTON SUMMERS CULVERN OF LEXINGTON COUNTY FOR ACHIEVING THE ELITE RANK OF EAGLE SCOUT AND TO WISH HIM EVERY SUCCESS IN ALL HIS FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

The Concurrent Resolution was agreed to and ordered sent to the Senate.

**CONCURRENT RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4399 -- Rep. Limehouse: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR WTMA RADIO PERSONALITY ROCKY "ROCKY D" DISABATO FOR TEN OUTSTANDING YEARS ON THE ROCKY D SHOW, AND TO PROCLAIM AUGUST 31, 2011, AS “ROCKY D DAY” IN SOUTH CAROLINA.

The Concurrent Resolution was agreed to and ordered sent to the Senate.

**INTRODUCTION OF BILLS**

The following Bills were introduced, read the first time, and referred to appropriate committees:

S. 974 -- Senator Pinckney: A BILL TO AUTHORIZE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF HAMPTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2 TO ISSUE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT WITHIN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL DEBT LIMIT NOT TO EXCEED ONE MILLION DOLLARS, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO PRESCRIBE THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE BONDS MAY BE ISSUED AND THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PROCEEDS MAY BE EXPENDED, AND TO MAKE PROVISION FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS.

On motion of Rep. BRANTLEY, with unanimous consent, the Bill was ordered placed on the Calendar without reference.

S. 975 -- Senators Pinckney and Matthews: A BILL TO AUTHORIZE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT OF COLLETON COUNTY TO ISSUE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT WITHIN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL DEBT LIMIT NOT TO EXCEED TWO MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, TO PRESCRIBE THE CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE BONDS MAY BE ISSUED AND THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PROCEEDS MAY BE EXPENDED, AND TO MAKE PROVISION FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS.

On motion of Rep. HODGES, with unanimous consent, the Bill was ordered placed on the Calendar without reference.

S. 978 -- Senator Land: A BILL TO AUTHORIZE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF FLORENCE COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT FOUR TO ISSUE GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS OF THE SCHOOL DISTRICT WITHIN ITS CONSTITUTIONAL DEBT LIMIT, IN ONE OR MORE SERIES, IN A TOTAL AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED TWO MILLION FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS, TO DEFRAY THE LOSS OF EDUCATION FINANCE ACT FUNDS TO THE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TO PRESCRIBE THE CONDITIONS AND REQUIREMENTS UNDER WHICH THE BONDS MAY BE ISSUED AND THE PURPOSES FOR WHICH THE PROCEEDS MAY BE EXPENDED, AND TO MAKE PROVISION FOR THE PAYMENT OF THE BONDS.

Referred to Florence Delegation

**ROLL CALL**

The roll call of the House of Representatives was taken resulting as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| G. A. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Cooper |
| Corbin | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | King |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Norman |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Rutherford | Ryan | Sabb |
| Sandifer | Simrill | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stavrinakis |
| Stringer | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Viers | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Young |  |

**STATEMENT OF ATTENDANCE**

I came in after the roll call and was present for the Session on Wednesday, June 29.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Eric Bikas | Tracy Edge |
| James Harrison | Boyd Brown |
| Richard "Rick" Quinn | Kris Crawford |
| Mark Willis |  |

**Total Present--117**

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. G. R. SMITH a leave of absence for the day.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. POPE a leave of absence for the day.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. AGNEW a leave of absence for the day due to official legislative business.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. BOWERS a leave of absence for the day due to a prior commitment.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. PATRICK a leave of absence for the day due to a prior family commitment.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. G. A. BROWN a temporary leave of absence.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. WILLIS a temporary leave of absence.

**H. 3792--SENATE AMENDMENTS CONCURRED IN**

**AND BILL ENROLLED**

The Senate Amendments to the following Bill were taken up for consideration:

H. 3792 -- Rep. Rutherford: A BILL TO AMEND SECTION 50-21-85, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO THE CONDITIONS UPON WHICH A PERSON MAY OPERATE A VESSEL DISPLAYING, REFLECTING, OR FLASHING A BLUE LIGHT, SO AS TO REVISE THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH A PERSON MAY OPERATE A VESSEL WHILE DISPLAYING A BLUE LIGHT, AND TO REVISE THE PENALTY PROVISION.

Rep. RUTHERFORD explained the Senate Amendments.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Brannon |
| Brantley | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Cooper |
| Corbin | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| King | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Norman |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Viers | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--103**

Those who voted in the negative are:

**Total--0**

The Senate Amendments were agreed to, and the Bill having received three readings in both Houses, it was ordered that the title be changed to that of an Act, and that it be enrolled for ratification.

**R. 98, S. 913--GOVERNOR'S VETO OVERRIDDEN**

The Veto on the following Act was taken up:

(R98) S. 913 -- Senator Sheheen: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY; TO PROVIDE THAT POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE AUTHORITY ARE VESTED IN THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY COMMISSION AND TO ESTABLISH TERMS FOR THE COMMISSIONERS; TO PROVIDE FOR THE HOUSING AUTHORITY'S FUNCTIONS, RIGHTS, POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES; AND TO PROVIDE THAT THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SHALL REMAIN THE LOCAL HOUSING AGENCY IN KERSHAW COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM.

The question was put, shall the Act become a part of the law, the Veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 2; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Butler Garrick | Funderburk |  |

**Total--2**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Lucas |  |  |

**Total--1**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**R. 107, H. 3701--GOVERNOR'S VETO OVERRIDDEN**

The Veto on the following Act was taken up:

(R107) H. 3701 -- Ways and Means Committee: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO APPROPRIATE MONIES FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011.

Rep. COOPER explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Act become a part of the law, the Veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 112; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Cooper |
| Corbin | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | King | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Viers | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--112**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Norman |  |  |

**Total--1**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**S. 172--CONFERENCE REPORT TABLED**

**S. 172--Conference Report**

The General Assembly, Columbia, S.C., June 22, 2011

The COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE, to whom was referred:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO ENACT THE “SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EFFICIENCY AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES ACT OF 2011”, BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-670 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE; BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS, TO DIRECT THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S STATE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE WITH FIVE REPRESENTATIVES SELECTED BY THE RESPECTIVE PRESIDENTS OF THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES TO STUDY, DEVELOP, AND RECOMMEND A SEPARATE, COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM FOR THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; BY AMENDING SECTION 2-47-50, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SO AS TO DEFINE ‘PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT’; TO AMEND SECTION 1-11-65, RELATING TO APPROVAL OF REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WITH APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD, CERTAIN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING MAY ENTER INTO GROUND LEASE AGREEMENTS WITH A PRIVATE ENTITY WHEREBY THE PRIVATE ENTITY WILL PROVIDE ALL SERVICES NECESSARY FOR THE CREATION AND OPERATION OF ON‑CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT UPON THE EXPIRATION OF THE GROUND LEASE AGREEMENT, THE PRIVATE ENTITY SHALL SURRENDER THE PREMISES TO THE INSTITUTION; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1210, RELATING TO THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S REVIEW OF DOLLAR LIMITS FOR A GOVERNMENTAL BODY’S PROCUREMENT, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER, MAY APPROVE A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF UP TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1550, RELATING TO BID PROCEDURES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE MAY MAKE SMALL PURCHASES NOT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS WITHOUT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE PROCUREMENT CODE APPLYING; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑3310, RELATING TO INDEFINITE DELIVERY CONTRACTS, SO AS TO INCREASE CERTAIN DOLLAR LIMITS FOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑4810 RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING, SO AS TO EXEMPT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF THIRTY DAYS’ NOTICE OF A MULTI-STATE SOLICITATION IF A COST SAVINGS IS DEMONSTRATED TO THE OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑7‑170, RELATING TO ENGAGING AN ATTORNEY ON A FEE BASIS, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING SHALL ENGAGE AND COMPENSATE OUTSIDE COUNSEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD FOR MATTERS OF BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC FINANCE, BORROWING, AND RELATED FINANCIAL MATTERS; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑55, SO AS TO PROHIBIT STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM BEING USED TO PROVIDE OUT‑OF‑STATE SUBSIDIES TO STUDENTS ATTENDING STATE‑SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING; TO AMEND SECTION 59‑101‑620, RELATING TO EDUCATIONAL FEE WAIVERS, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ANY FEE WAIVERS ABOVE FOUR PERCENT MUST BE USED FOR IN‑STATE STUDENTS; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑115, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OR TECHNICAL COLLEGE ADOPTS A CHANGE TO THE TUITION OR FEES IMPOSED ON STUDENTS, THE CHANGE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER A PUBLIC VOTE WITH THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES OR LOCAL AREA COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR AND AGAINST THE CHANGE BEING COUNTED; BY ADDING SECTION 8‑11‑162, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT TECHNICAL COLLEGE PRESIDENTS ARE COVERED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE AGENCY HEAD SALARY COMMISSION; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑11‑55 RELATING TO THE LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY FOR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A TECHNICAL COLLEGE, WITH THE APPROVAL BY THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, AND A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING, MAY ENTER INTO ANY LEASE AGREEMENT OR RENEW ANY LEASE AGREEMENT UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY FOR EACH PROPERTY OR FACILITY WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES FOR AN INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABLE SPACE; BY ADDING SECTION 59-53-168 SO AS TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION TO EMPLOY AND ADMINISTER CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY PROVISIONS, AND TO ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY BOARD; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑140 SO AS TO ALLOW THE AREA COMMISSION FOR THE FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO WAIVE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR STUDENT PARTICIPANTS IN THE CATERPILLAR DEALER ACADEMY OPERATED BY FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TO AMEND SECTION 2‑77‑20, RELATING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EXCELLENCE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ALL THE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PROGRAM MUST BE ALLOCATED EQUALLY AMONG THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS; AND TO AMEND SECTION 59‑142‑40, RELATING TO STUDENTS FIRST FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR SCHOLARSHIPS AND TUITION, SO AS TO UPDATE REFERENCES AND TO PROVIDE THAT FUNDS FOR THE NEED BASED GRANT MUST BE ALLOCATED IN A GIVEN YEAR TO INSTITUTIONS USING A METHODOLOGY THAT CONSIDERS STATE RESIDENT PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS SO THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LEVEL OF SUPPORT PER STATE RESIDENT PELL RECIPIENT WHEN COMPARED TO TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES, BUT THAT NO INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE A SMALLER PROPORTION OF FUNDING THAN WOULD BE PROVIDED UNDER THE STUDENT ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY USED IN YEARS PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2008‑09.

Beg leave to report that they have duly and carefully considered the same and recommend:

That the same do pass with the following amendments:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, by striking all after the enacting words and inserting:

/ Part I

Citation

SECTION 1. This act is known and may be cited as the “South Carolina Higher Education Efficiency and Administrative Policies Act of 2011”.

Part II

Transaction Register

SECTION 2. A. Article 2, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑670. (A) Each public institution of higher learning shall maintain a transaction register that includes a complete record of all funds expended, from whatever source for whatever purpose. The register must be prominently posted on the institution’s Internet website and made available for public viewing and downloading.

(1)(a) The register must include for each expenditure:

(i) the transaction amount;

(ii) the name of the payee;

(iii) the identification number of the transaction; and

(iv) a description of the expenditure, including the source of funds, a category title, and an object title for the expenditure.

(b) The register must include all reimbursements for expenses, but must not include an entry for salary, wages, or other compensation paid to individual employees.

(c) The register must not include a social security number.

(d) The register must be accompanied by a complete explanation of any codes or acronyms used to identify a payee or an expenditure.

(e) At the option of the public institution, the register may exclude any information that can be used to identify an individual employee or student.

(f) This section does not require the posting of any information that is not required to be disclosed under Chapter 4, Title 30.

(2) The register must be searchable and updated at least once a month. Each monthly register must be maintained on the Internet website for at least three years.

(B) Each public institution of higher learning shall be responsible for providing on its Internet website a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual institution, that posts on its Internet website the institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all of the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number.

(C) Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.

(D) In the event any public institution of higher learning has a question or issue relating to technical aspects of complying with the requirements of this section or the disclosure of public information under this section, it shall consult with the Comptroller General’s Office, which may provide guidance to the public institution.”

B. Article 15, Chapter 1, Title 1 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 1‑1‑1040. All agencies, departments, and institutions of state government must be responsible for providing on their Internet websites a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual agency, department, or institution, that posts on its Internet website that agency’s, department’s, or institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the state agency, department, or institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number. Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.”

C. This SECTION takes effect upon approval by the Governor, and public institutions of higher learning to which this SECTION applies shall have one year from the effective date of this act to comply with its requirements.

Part III

Human Resources

SECTION 3. The Budget and Control Board’s State Office of Human Resources shall participate with five representatives selected by the respective presidents of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to represent all of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to study, develop, and recommend a separate, comprehensive human resources system for the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendation shall include, but not be limited to, prescription of a methodology to establish a uniform compensation and classification plan among the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendations must provide for necessary accountability to the Budget and Control Board, including a process for reporting human resources data. The recommendation must be submitted to the State Budget and Control Board for its review no later than July 1, 2012, and shall not be implemented until approved by the Budget and Control Board pursuant to Section 8‑11‑230.

Part IV

Facilities and Capital Expenditure Revisions

SECTION 4. Section 2‑47‑50 of the 1976 Code, as last amended by Act 143 of 2005, is further amended to read:

“Section 2‑47‑50. The board shall establish formally each permanent improvement project before actions of any sort which implement the project in any way may be undertaken and no expenditure of any funds for any services or for any other project purpose contracted for, delivered, or otherwise provided prior to the date of the formal action of the board to establish the project shall be approved. State agencies and institutions may advertise and interview for project architectural and engineering services for a pending project so long as the architectural and engineering contract is not awarded until after a state project number is assigned. After the committee has reviewed the form to be used to request the establishment of permanent improvement projects and has reviewed the time schedule for considering such requests as proposed by the board, requests to establish permanent improvement projects shall be made in such form and at such times as the board may require.

Any proposal to finance all or any part of any project using any funds not previously authorized specifically for the project by the General Assembly or using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall be referred to the committee for review prior to approval by the board.

Any proposed revision of the scope or of the budget of an established permanent improvement project deemed by the board to be substantial shall be referred to the committee for its review prior to any final action by the board. In making their determinations regarding changes in project scope, the board and the committee shall utilize the permanent improvement project proposal and justification statements, together with any supporting documentation, considered at the time the project was authorized or established originally. Any proposal to increase the budget of a previously approved project using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall in all cases be deemed to be a substantial revision of a project budget which shall be referred to the committee for review. The committee shall be advised promptly of all actions taken by the board which approve revisions in the scope of or the budget of any previously established permanent improvement project not deemed substantial by the board.

For purposes of this chapter, with regard to all institutions of higher learning, permanent improvement project is defined as:

(1) acquisition of land, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(2) acquisition, as opposed to the construction, of buildings or other structures, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(3) ~~construction of additional facilities and~~ work on existing facilities for any given project including their renovation, repair, maintenance, alteration, or demolition in those instances in which the total cost of all work involved is ~~five hundred thousand~~ one million dollars or more;

(4) architectural and engineering and other types of planning and design work, regardless of cost, which is intended to result in a permanent improvement project. Master plans and feasibility studies are not permanent improvement projects and are not to be included;

(5) capital lease purchase of a facility acquisition or construction in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; ~~and~~

(6) equipment that either becomes a permanent fixture of a facility or does not become permanent but is included in the construction contract shall be included as a part of a project in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; and

(7) new construction of a facility that exceeds a total cost of five hundred thousand dollars.

Any permanent improvement project that meets the above definition must become a project, regardless of the source of funds. However, an institution of higher learning that has been authorized or appropriated capital improvement bond funds, capital reserve fund or state appropriated funds, or state infrastructure bond funds by the General Assembly for capital improvements shall process a permanent improvement project, regardless of the amount.

For purposes of establishing permanent improvement projects, Clemson University Public Service Activities (Clemson‑PSA) and South Carolina State University Public Service Activities (SC State‑PSA) are subject to the provisions of this chapter.”

SECTION 5. Section 1‑11‑65 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding an appropriately lettered subsection at the end to read:

“( ) With approval of the Budget and Control Board, any four‑year public institution of higher learning, graduate level public institution of higher learning, two‑year branch campus of a graduate level public institution of higher learning in this State, and any technical college, upon initial approval by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, may enter into one or more ground lease agreements with a private entity whereby the private entity will provide all services necessary for the creation and operation of on‑campus infrastructure including, but not limited to, financing which is subject to review and approval of the State Treasurer, designing, constructing, managing, operating, maintaining, and related services. Upon expiration of the ground lease agreement term, the private entity shall surrender to the institution such premises with the existing buildings, other structures, and improvements constructed and located thereon and therein, in the same condition as when the construction of the buildings, other structures, and improvements were completed, only natural and normal wear and tear excepted. The Budget and Control Board shall approve all ground lease agreement terms and conditions including the consideration involved. The full faith and credit of the State toward the lease obligations must not be pledged, and any statement to the contrary is deemed null and void as a matter of public policy. The private entity may be a nonprofit organization. Budget and Control Board approval required is instead of or a substitute for any other approval required by any other provision of law or regulation in connection with the undertaking of the private entity and the subject institution; however, the private entity and the subject institution shall adhere to fire, life, and safety codes as required by the Office of State Engineer. This section and the approval required by this section does not exempt any transaction or entity from complying with Chapter 35, Title 11.”

Part V

Procurement Code Revisions

SECTION 6. Section 11‑35‑1210 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding an appropriately numbered subsection at the end to read:

“( ) Subject to subsection (1), the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, in coordination with the appropriate Chief Procurement Officer, may approve a cumulative total of up to fifty thousand dollars in additional procurement authority for technical colleges, provided that the designated board office makes no material audit findings concerning procurement. As provided by regulation, any authority granted pursuant to this paragraph is effective when certified in writing by the designated board office.”

SECTION 7. Section 11‑35‑1550 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑1550. (1) Authority. The following small purchase procedures may be utilized only in conducting procurements for governmental bodies that are up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value. A governmental body may conduct its own procurement up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value, and a governmental body that has received procurement certification pursuant to Section 11‑35‑1210 to handle the type and estimated value of the procurement may conduct the procurement under its own authority in accordance with this code. Procurement requirements must not be artificially divided by governmental bodies so as to constitute a small purchase pursuant to this section.

(2) Competition and Price Reasonableness.

(a) Purchases not in excess of two thousand five hundred dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, small purchases not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars may be accomplished without securing competitive quotations if the prices are considered reasonable. The purchasing office must annotate the purchase requisition: ‘Price is fair and reasonable’ and sign. The purchases must be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers. When practical, a quotation must be solicited from other than the previous supplier before placing a repeat order. The administrative cost of verifying the reasonableness of the price of purchase ‘not in excess of’ may more than offset potential savings in detecting instances of overpricing. Action to verify the reasonableness of the price need be taken only when the procurement officer of the governmental body suspects that the price may not be reasonable, comparison to previous price paid, or personal knowledge of the item involved.

(b) Purchases over two thousand five hundred dollars to ten thousand dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, solicitation of written quotes from a minimum of three qualified sources of supply must be made and documentation of the quotes attached to the purchase requisition for a small purchase over two thousand five hundred dollars but not in excess of ten thousand dollars. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible sources.

(c) Purchases over ten thousand dollars up to fifty thousand dollars. Written solicitation of written quotes, bids, or proposals must be made for a small purchase over ten thousand dollars but not in excess of fifty thousand dollars. The procurement must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. A copy of the written solicitation and written quotes must be attached to the purchase requisition. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible source or, when a request for proposal process is used, the highest ranking offeror.

(d) For public institutions of higher learning in this State excluding technical colleges, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subitem (a) apply are those purchases not exceeding ten thousand dollars, and for these purchases subitem (b) above does not apply. In addition, purchasing cards of the institution for these purchases also may be used by officials or employees of the institution as the governing board approves.

(3) All competitive procurements above ten thousand dollars must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. Governmental bodies may charge vendors the cost incurred for copying and mailing bid or proposal documents requested in response to a procurement.

(4) The Division of Aeronautics of the Department of Commerce may act as its own purchasing agency for all procurements of maintenance services for aircraft and these procurements may be conducted pursuant to subsection (2)(b).

(5) For a technical college authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subsection (2)(a) apply are those purchases up to an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars. If authority is approved, a technical college may use purchasing cards for these purchases up to the amount approved by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education.”

SECTION 8. Section 11‑35‑3310 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑3310. (1) General Applicability. Indefinite delivery contracts may be awarded on an as‑needed basis for construction services pursuant to the procedures in Section 11‑35‑3015(2)(b) and for architectural‑engineering and land surveying services pursuant to Section 11‑35‑3220.

(a) Construction Services. When construction services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of seven hundred fifty thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be one million dollars for total expenditures and two hundred fifty thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(b) Architectural‑Engineering and Land-Surveying Services. When architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of three hundred thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be five hundred thousand dollars for total expenditures and two hundred thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(2) Small Indefinite Delivery Contracts. Small indefinite delivery contracts for architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services may be procured as provided in Section 11‑35‑3230. A contract established under this section ~~shall~~ must be subject to Section 11‑35‑3230, and any regulations promulgated ~~thereunder~~ except that for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college delivery contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, the individual and total contract limits shall be fifty thousand and one hundred fifty thousand dollars, respectively.”

SECTION 9. Section 11‑35‑4810 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑4810. Any public procurement unit may participate in, sponsor, conduct, or administer a cooperative purchasing agreement for the procurement of any supplies, services, or construction with one or more public procurement units or external procurement activities in accordance with an agreement entered into between the participants. Such cooperative purchasing may include, but is not limited to, joint or multi‑party contracts between public procurement units and open‑ended state public procurement unit contracts which shall be made available to local public procurement units, except as provided in Section 11‑35‑4820 or except as may otherwise be limited by the board through regulations.

However, thirty days’ notice of a proposed multi‑state solicitation ~~shall~~ must be provided through central advertising and such contracts may be only awarded to manufacturers who will be distributing the products to South Carolina governmental bodies through South Carolina vendors; provided, however, that the provisions of this paragraph do not apply to public institutions of higher learning if the institution demonstrates a cost savings to the Office of State Procurement in regard to the multistate solicitation and procurement.”

Part VI

Miscellaneous Provisions

SECTION 10. Section 1‑7‑170 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 353 of 2008, is amended to read:

“Section 1‑7‑170. (A) A department or agency of state government may not engage on a fee basis an attorney at law except upon the written approval of the Attorney General and upon a fee as must be approved by him. This section does not apply to the employment of attorneys in special cases in inferior courts when the fee to be paid does not exceed two hundred fifty dollars or exceptions approved by the State Budget and Control Board. This section does not apply to an attorney hired by the General Assembly or the judicial department.

(B) A public institution of higher learning shall engage and compensate outside counsel in accordance with policies and procedures adopted by the State Budget and Control Board for matters of bonded indebtedness, public finance, borrowing, and related financial matters.”

SECTION 11. Article 1, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑55. State appropriated funds shall not be used to provide out‑of‑state subsidies to students attending state‑supported institutions of higher learning.”

SECTION 12. Section 59‑101‑620 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 143 of 2005, is amended to read:

“Section 59‑101‑620. (A) A public institution of higher learning may offer educational fee waivers to no more than ~~four~~ eight percent of the undergraduate student body. Any fee waivers above four percent must be used for in‑state students. For the purposes of fee waivers, an in‑state student must be defined by Section 59‑112‑20(A).

(B) State‑supported institutions of higher learning to which this subsection applies annually shall report to the Commission on Higher Education the amount of such waivers provided during that fiscal year and such other information as the commission may require in regard to these waivers.”

SECTION 13. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑115. When the governing board of a public institution of higher learning, excluding technical colleges, adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the institution only after a public vote with the number of trustees voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. For technical colleges, when the local area commission of a technical college adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the technical college only after a public vote with the number of local area commissioners voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. A change to tuition or fees adopted by the local area commission must be reported to the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education within five business days.”

SECTION 14. Article 1, Chapter 11, Title 8 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 8‑11‑162. For purposes of Section 8‑11‑160 and the other provisions related to the authority of the Agency Head Salary Commission, Technical College Presidents are covered by the authority of the commission.”

SECTION 15. Section 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(2) The Budget and Control Board is hereby designated as the single central broker for the leasing of real property for governmental bodies. No governmental body shall enter into any lease agreement or renew any existing lease except in accordance with the provisions of this section. However, a technical college, with the approval by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, and a public institution of higher learning, may enter into any lease agreement or renew any lease agreement up to one hundred thousand dollars annually for each property or facility.”

SECTION 16. Article 2, Chapter 53, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑53‑168. (A) The State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education (state board) is granted the authority to employ and administer certain administrative efficiency provisions provided in Sections 11‑35‑1210, 11‑35‑1550(2), 11‑35‑3310, 11‑35‑4810, 1‑7‑170, 59‑101‑620, and 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code. The state board shall establish a tiered system for categorizing technical colleges with respect to their financial strength and ability to manage day‑to‑day operations. Technical colleges, by way of application from their area commissions, may request the state board apply these administrative efficiency provisions to their respective institutions. The state board shall review the technical college’s request and determine the proper category for the technical college.

(B) The state board shall establish an advisory board to provide oversight and review of the provisions of this chapter. The state board shall submit an annual report on oversight to the Governor, the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, and the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee by November fifteenth of each year and shall submit a report every two years to include how changes have benefitted the agency to the Governor and the Chairmen of the House Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Finance Committee, the House Education and Public Works Committee, and the Senate Education Committee.”

SECTION 17. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑140. The area commission for the Florence‑Darlington Technical College may waive the requirements of this chapter for student participants in the Caterpillar Dealer Academy operated by Florence‑Darlington Technical College.”

SECTION 18. Section 2‑77‑20(C) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(C) An institution seeking to qualify as an eligible institution must submit an annual application to the commission. The commission must certify the eligibility of institutions seeking contracts pursuant to this section. ~~Of the~~ The funds appropriated for this program~~, one‑half~~ must be allocated equally among the eligible institutions. ~~The remainder of the appropriated funds shall be awarded to eligible institutions based upon merit, through criteria developed by the Commission on Higher Education.~~”

SECTION 19. Section 59‑142‑40 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“~~The provisions of this chapter apply to eligible students beginning in the 1996‑97 academic year.~~ Funds must be allocated in a given year to institutions using a methodology that considers state resident Pell Grant recipients so that each public institution shall receive an amount sufficient to provide a similar level of support per state resident Pell recipient when compared to tuition and required fees. However, no institution shall receive a smaller proportion of funding than would be provided under the student enrollment methodology used in years prior to fiscal year 2008‑09 ~~based on the percentage of the state full‑time enrollment enrolled at the institutions in the preceding year~~. Funds must be awarded to eligible students according to the financial need of the student.”

Part VII

Severability and Time Effective

SECTION 20. If any section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such holding shall not affect the constitutionality or validity of the remaining portions of this act, the General Assembly hereby declaring that it would have passed this act, and each and every section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, and word thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, items, subitems, paragraphs, subparagraphs, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words hereof may be declared to be unconstitutional, invalid, or otherwise ineffective.

SECTION 21. Unless otherwise provided, this act takes effect upon approval by the Governor. /

Sen. John E. Courson Rep. Phillip D. Owens

Sen. Darrell Jackson Rep. Lester P. Branham

Sen. Michael T. Rose Rep. Rick Quinn

On Part of the Senate. On Part of the House.

Rep. OWENS moved to table the Conference Report, which was agreed to.

**S. 172--CONFERENCE REPORT ADOPTED**

**S. 172--Conference Report**

The General Assembly, Columbia, S.C., June 28, 2011

The COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE, to whom was referred (House Doc. No. H:\LEGWORK\22191DG11.DOCX):

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO ENACT THE “SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EFFICIENCY AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES ACT OF 2011”, BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-670 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE; BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS, TO DIRECT THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S STATE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE WITH FIVE REPRESENTATIVES SELECTED BY THE RESPECTIVE PRESIDENTS OF THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES TO STUDY, DEVELOP, AND RECOMMEND A SEPARATE, COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM FOR THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 2-47-50, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SO AS TO DEFINE ‘PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT’; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1210, RELATING TO THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S REVIEW OF DOLLAR LIMITS FOR A GOVERNMENTAL BODY’S PROCUREMENT, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER, MAY APPROVE A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF UP TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1550, RELATING TO BID PROCEDURES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE MAY MAKE SMALL PURCHASES NOT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS WITHOUT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE PROCUREMENT CODE APPLYING; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑3310, RELATING TO INDEFINITE DELIVERY CONTRACTS, SO AS TO INCREASE CERTAIN DOLLAR LIMITS FOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑4810, RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING, SO AS TO EXEMPT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF THIRTY DAYS’ NOTICE OF A MULTISTATE SOLICITATION IF A COST SAVINGS IS DEMONSTRATED TO THE OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑7‑170, RELATING TO ENGAGING AN ATTORNEY ON A FEE BASIS, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING SHALL ENGAGE AND COMPENSATE OUTSIDE COUNSEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD FOR MATTERS OF BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC FINANCE, BORROWING, AND RELATED FINANCIAL MATTERS; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑55 SO AS TO PROHIBIT STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM BEING USED TO PROVIDE OUT‑OF‑STATE SUBSIDIES TO STUDENTS ATTENDING STATE‑SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑115 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OR TECHNICAL COLLEGE ADOPTS A CHANGE TO THE TUITION OR FEES IMPOSED ON STUDENTS, THE CHANGE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER A PUBLIC VOTE WITH THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES OR LOCAL AREA COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR AND AGAINST THE CHANGE BEING COUNTED; BY ADDING SECTION 8‑11‑162 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT TECHNICAL COLLEGE PRESIDENTS ARE COVERED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE AGENCY HEAD SALARY COMMISSION; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑11‑55, RELATING TO THE LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY FOR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A TECHNICAL COLLEGE, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, AND A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING, MAY ENTER INTO ANY LEASE AGREEMENT OR RENEW ANY LEASE AGREEMENT UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY FOR EACH PROPERTY OR FACILITY WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES FOR AN INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABLE SPACE; BY ADDING SECTION 59-53-168 SO AS TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION TO EMPLOY AND ADMINISTER CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY PROVISIONS, AND TO ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY BOARD; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑140 SO AS TO ALLOW THE AREA COMMISSION FOR THE FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO WAIVE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR STUDENT PARTICIPANTS IN THE CATERPILLAR DEALER ACADEMY OPERATED BY FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TO AMEND SECTION 2‑77‑20, RELATING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EXCELLENCE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ALL THE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PROGRAM MUST BE ALLOCATED EQUALLY AMONG THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS; AND TO AMEND SECTION 59‑142‑40, RELATING TO STUDENTS FIRST FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR SCHOLARSHIPS AND TUITION, SO AS TO UPDATE REFERENCES AND TO PROVIDE THAT FUNDS FOR THE NEED-BASED GRANT MUST BE ALLOCATED IN A GIVEN YEAR TO INSTITUTIONS USING A METHODOLOGY THAT CONSIDERS STATE RESIDENT PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS SO THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LEVEL OF SUPPORT PER STATE RESIDENT PELL RECIPIENT WHEN COMPARED TO TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES, BUT THAT NO INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE A SMALLER PROPORTION OF FUNDING THAN WOULD BE PROVIDED UNDER THE STUDENT ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY USED IN YEARS PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2008‑2009.

Beg leave to report that they have duly and carefully considered the same and recommend:

That the same do pass with the following amendments:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, by striking all after the enacting words and inserting:

/ Part I

Citation

SECTION 1. This act is known and may be cited as the “South Carolina Higher Education Efficiency and Administrative Policies Act of 2011”.

Part II

Transaction Register

SECTION 2. A. Article 2, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑670. (A) Each public institution of higher learning shall maintain a transaction register that includes a complete record of all funds expended, from whatever source for whatever purpose. The register must be prominently posted on the institution’s Internet website and made available for public viewing and downloading.

(1)(a) The register must include for each expenditure:

(i) the transaction amount;

(ii) the name of the payee;

(iii) the identification number of the transaction; and

(iv) a description of the expenditure, including the source of funds, a category title, and an object title for the expenditure.

(b) The register must include all reimbursements for expenses, but must not include an entry for salary, wages, or other compensation paid to individual employees.

(c) The register must not include a social security number.

(d) The register must be accompanied by a complete explanation of any codes or acronyms used to identify a payee or an expenditure.

(e) At the option of the public institution, the register may exclude any information that can be used to identify an individual employee or student.

(f) This section does not require the posting of any information that is not required to be disclosed under Chapter 4, Title 30.

(2) The register must be searchable and updated at least once a month. Each monthly register must be maintained on the Internet website for at least three years.

(B) Each public institution of higher learning shall be responsible for providing on its Internet website a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual institution, that posts on its Internet website the institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all of the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number.

(C) Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.

(D) In the event any public institution of higher learning has a question or issue relating to technical aspects of complying with the requirements of this section or the disclosure of public information under this section, it shall consult with the Comptroller General’s Office, which may provide guidance to the public institution.”

B. Article 15, Chapter 1, Title 1 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 1‑1‑1040. All agencies, departments, and institutions of state government must be responsible for providing on their Internet websites a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual agency, department, or institution, that posts on its Internet website that agency’s, department’s, or institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the state agency, department, or institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number. Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.”

C. This SECTION takes effect upon approval by the Governor, and public institutions of higher learning to which this SECTION applies shall have one year from the effective date of this act to comply with its requirements.

Part III

Human Resources

SECTION 3. The Budget and Control Board’s State Office of Human Resources shall participate with five representatives selected by the respective presidents of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to represent all of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to study, develop, and recommend a separate, comprehensive human resources system for the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendation shall include, but not be limited to, prescription of a methodology to establish a uniform compensation and classification plan among the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendations must provide for necessary accountability to the Budget and Control Board, including a process for reporting human resources data. The recommendation must be submitted to the State Budget and Control Board for its review no later than July 1, 2012, and shall not be implemented until approved by the Budget and Control Board pursuant to Section 8‑11‑230.

Part IV

Facilities and Capital Expenditure Revisions

SECTION 4. Section 2‑47‑50 of the 1976 Code, as last amended by Act 143 of 2005, is further amended to read:

“Section 2‑47‑50. The board shall establish formally each permanent improvement project before actions of any sort which implement the project in any way may be undertaken and no expenditure of any funds for any services or for any other project purpose contracted for, delivered, or otherwise provided prior to the date of the formal action of the board to establish the project shall be approved. State agencies and institutions may advertise and interview for project architectural and engineering services for a pending project so long as the architectural and engineering contract is not awarded until after a state project number is assigned. After the committee has reviewed the form to be used to request the establishment of permanent improvement projects and has reviewed the time schedule for considering such requests as proposed by the board, requests to establish permanent improvement projects shall be made in such form and at such times as the board may require.

Any proposal to finance all or any part of any project using any funds not previously authorized specifically for the project by the General Assembly or using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall be referred to the committee for review prior to approval by the board.

Any proposed revision of the scope or of the budget of an established permanent improvement project deemed by the board to be substantial shall be referred to the committee for its review prior to any final action by the board. In making their determinations regarding changes in project scope, the board and the committee shall utilize the permanent improvement project proposal and justification statements, together with any supporting documentation, considered at the time the project was authorized or established originally. Any proposal to increase the budget of a previously approved project using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall in all cases be deemed to be a substantial revision of a project budget which shall be referred to the committee for review. The committee shall be advised promptly of all actions taken by the board which approve revisions in the scope of or the budget of any previously established permanent improvement project not deemed substantial by the board.

For purposes of this chapter, with regard to all institutions of higher learning, permanent improvement project is defined as:

(1) acquisition of land, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(2) acquisition, as opposed to the construction, of buildings or other structures, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(3) ~~construction of additional facilities and~~ work on existing facilities for any given project including their renovation, repair, maintenance, alteration, or demolition in those instances in which the total cost of all work involved is ~~five hundred thousand~~ one million dollars or more;

(4) architectural and engineering and other types of planning and design work, regardless of cost, which is intended to result in a permanent improvement project. Master plans and feasibility studies are not permanent improvement projects and are not to be included;

(5) capital lease purchase of a facility acquisition or construction in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; ~~and~~

(6) equipment that either becomes a permanent fixture of a facility or does not become permanent but is included in the construction contract shall be included as a part of a project in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; and

(7) new construction of a facility that exceeds a total cost of five hundred thousand dollars.

Any permanent improvement project that meets the above definition must become a project, regardless of the source of funds. However, an institution of higher learning that has been authorized or appropriated capital improvement bond funds, capital reserve fund or state appropriated funds, or state infrastructure bond funds by the General Assembly for capital improvements shall process a permanent improvement project, regardless of the amount.

For purposes of establishing permanent improvement projects, Clemson University Public Service Activities (Clemson‑PSA) and South Carolina State University Public Service Activities (SC State‑PSA) are subject to the provisions of this chapter.”

Part V

Procurement Code Revisions

SECTION 5. Section 11‑35‑1210 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding an appropriately numbered subsection at the end to read:

“( ) Subject to subsection (1), the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, in coordination with the appropriate Chief Procurement Officer, may approve a cumulative total of up to fifty thousand dollars in additional procurement authority for technical colleges, provided that the designated board office makes no material audit findings concerning procurement. As provided by regulation, any authority granted pursuant to this paragraph is effective when certified in writing by the designated board office.”

SECTION 6. Section 11‑35‑1550 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑1550. (1) Authority. The following small purchase procedures may be utilized only in conducting procurements for governmental bodies that are up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value. A governmental body may conduct its own procurement up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value, and a governmental body that has received procurement certification pursuant to Section 11‑35‑1210 to handle the type and estimated value of the procurement may conduct the procurement under its own authority in accordance with this code. Procurement requirements must not be artificially divided by governmental bodies so as to constitute a small purchase pursuant to this section.

(2) Competition and Price Reasonableness.

(a) Purchases not in excess of two thousand five hundred dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, small purchases not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars may be accomplished without securing competitive quotations if the prices are considered reasonable. The purchasing office must annotate the purchase requisition: ‘Price is fair and reasonable’ and sign. The purchases must be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers. When practical, a quotation must be solicited from other than the previous supplier before placing a repeat order. The administrative cost of verifying the reasonableness of the price of purchase ‘not in excess of’ may more than offset potential savings in detecting instances of overpricing. Action to verify the reasonableness of the price need be taken only when the procurement officer of the governmental body suspects that the price may not be reasonable, comparison to previous price paid, or personal knowledge of the item involved.

(b) Purchases over two thousand five hundred dollars to ten thousand dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, solicitation of written quotes from a minimum of three qualified sources of supply must be made and documentation of the quotes attached to the purchase requisition for a small purchase over two thousand five hundred dollars but not in excess of ten thousand dollars. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible sources.

(c) Purchases over ten thousand dollars up to fifty thousand dollars. Written solicitation of written quotes, bids, or proposals must be made for a small purchase over ten thousand dollars but not in excess of fifty thousand dollars. The procurement must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. A copy of the written solicitation and written quotes must be attached to the purchase requisition. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible source or, when a request for proposal process is used, the highest ranking offeror.

(d) For public institutions of higher learning in this State excluding technical colleges, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subitem (a) apply are those purchases not exceeding ten thousand dollars, and for these purchases subitem (b) above does not apply. In addition, purchasing cards of the institution for these purchases also may be used by officials or employees of the institution as the governing board approves.

(3) All competitive procurements above ten thousand dollars must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. Governmental bodies may charge vendors the cost incurred for copying and mailing bid or proposal documents requested in response to a procurement.

(4) The Division of Aeronautics of the Department of Commerce may act as its own purchasing agency for all procurements of maintenance services for aircraft and these procurements may be conducted pursuant to ~~Section 11‑35‑1550~~ subsection (2)(b).

(5) For a technical college authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subsection (2)(a) apply are those purchases up to an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars. If authority is approved, a technical college may use purchasing cards for these purchases up to the amount approved by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education.”

SECTION 7. Section 11‑35‑3310 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑3310. (1) General Applicability. Indefinite delivery contracts may be awarded on an as‑needed basis for construction services pursuant to the procedures in Section 11‑35‑3015(2)(b) and for architectural‑engineering and land surveying services pursuant to Section 11‑35‑3220.

(a) Construction Services. When construction services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of seven hundred fifty thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be one million dollars for total expenditures and two hundred fifty thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(b) Architectural‑Engineering and Land-Surveying Services. When architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of three hundred thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be five hundred thousand dollars for total expenditures and two hundred thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(2) Small Indefinite Delivery Contracts. Small indefinite delivery contracts for architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services may be procured as provided in Section 11‑35‑3230. A contract established under this section ~~shall~~ must be subject to Section 11‑35‑3230, and any regulations promulgated ~~thereunder~~ except that for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college delivery contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, the individual and total contract limits shall be fifty thousand and one hundred fifty thousand dollars, respectively.”

SECTION 8. Section 11‑35‑4810 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑4810. Any public procurement unit may participate in, sponsor, conduct, or administer a cooperative purchasing agreement for the procurement of any supplies, services, or construction with one or more public procurement units or external procurement activities in accordance with an agreement entered into between the participants. Such cooperative purchasing may include, but is not limited to, joint or multi‑party contracts between public procurement units and open‑ended state public procurement unit contracts which shall be made available to local public procurement units, except as provided in Section 11‑35‑4820 or except as may otherwise be limited by the board through regulations.

However, thirty days’ notice of a proposed multi‑state solicitation ~~shall~~ must be provided through central advertising and such contracts may be only awarded to manufacturers who will be distributing the products to South Carolina governmental bodies through South Carolina vendors; provided, however, that the provisions of this paragraph do not apply to public institutions of higher learning if the institution demonstrates a cost savings to the Office of State Procurement in regard to the multistate solicitation and procurement.”

Part VI

Miscellaneous Provisions

SECTION 9. Section 1‑7‑170 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 353 of 2008, is amended to read:

“Section 1‑7‑170. (A) A department or agency of state government may not engage on a fee basis an attorney at law except upon the written approval of the Attorney General and upon a fee as must be approved by him. This section does not apply to the employment of attorneys in special cases in inferior courts when the fee to be paid does not exceed two hundred fifty dollars or exceptions approved by the State Budget and Control Board. This section does not apply to an attorney hired by the General Assembly or the judicial department.

(B) A public institution of higher learning shall engage and compensate outside counsel in accordance with policies and procedures adopted by the State Budget and Control Board for matters of bonded indebtedness, public finance, borrowing, and related financial matters.”

SECTION 10. Article 1, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑55. State appropriated funds shall not be used to provide out‑of‑state subsidies to students attending state‑supported institutions of higher learning.”

SECTION 11. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑115. When the governing board of a public institution of higher learning, excluding technical colleges, adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the institution only after a public vote with the number of trustees voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. For technical colleges, when the local area commission of a technical college adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the technical college only after a public vote with the number of local area commissioners voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. A change to tuition or fees adopted by the local area commission must be reported to the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education within five business days.”

SECTION 12. Article 1, Chapter 11, Title 8 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 8‑11‑162. For purposes of Section 8‑11‑160 and the other provisions related to the authority of the Agency Head Salary Commission, Technical College Presidents are covered by the authority of the commission.”

SECTION 13. Section 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(2) The Budget and Control Board is hereby designated as the single central broker for the leasing of real property for governmental bodies. No governmental body shall enter into any lease agreement or renew any existing lease except in accordance with the provisions of this section. However, a technical college, with the approval by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, and a public institution of higher learning, may enter into any lease agreement or renew any lease agreement up to one hundred thousand dollars annually for each property or facility.”

SECTION 14. Article 2, Chapter 53, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑53‑168. (A) The State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education (state board) is granted the authority to employ and administer certain administrative efficiency provisions provided in Sections 11‑35‑1210, 11‑35‑1550(2), 11‑35‑3310, 11‑35‑4810, 1‑7‑170, 59‑101‑620, and 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code. The state board shall establish a tiered system for categorizing technical colleges with respect to their financial strength and ability to manage day‑to‑day operations. Technical colleges, by way of application from their area commissions, may request the state board apply these administrative efficiency provisions to their respective institutions. The state board shall review the technical college’s request and determine the proper category for the technical college.

(B) The state board shall establish an advisory board to provide oversight and review of the provisions of this chapter. The state board shall submit an annual report on oversight to the Governor, the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, and the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee by November fifteenth of each year and shall submit a report every two years to include how changes have benefitted the agency to the Governor and the Chairmen of the House Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Finance Committee, the House Education and Public Works Committee, and the Senate Education Committee.”

SECTION 15. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑140. The area commission for the Florence‑Darlington Technical College may waive the requirements of this chapter for student participants in the Caterpillar Dealer Academy operated by Florence‑Darlington Technical College.”

SECTION 16. Section 2‑77‑20(C) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(C) An institution seeking to qualify as an eligible institution must submit an annual application to the commission. The commission must certify the eligibility of institutions seeking contracts pursuant to this section. ~~Of the~~ The funds appropriated for this program~~, one‑half~~ must be allocated equally among the eligible institutions. ~~The remainder of the appropriated funds shall be awarded to eligible institutions based upon merit, through criteria developed by the Commission on Higher Education.~~”

SECTION 17. Section 59‑142‑40 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“~~The provisions of this chapter apply to eligible students beginning in the 1996‑97 academic year.~~ Funds must be allocated in a given year to institutions using a methodology that considers state resident Pell Grant recipients so that each public institution shall receive an amount sufficient to provide a similar level of support per state resident Pell recipient when compared to tuition and required fees. However, no institution shall receive a smaller proportion of funding than would be provided under the student enrollment methodology used in years prior to fiscal year 2008‑09 ~~based on the percentage of the state full‑time enrollment enrolled at the institutions in the preceding year~~. Funds must be awarded to eligible students according to the financial need of the student.”

Part VII

Severability and Time Effective

SECTION 18. If any section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such holding shall not affect the constitutionality or validity of the remaining portions of this act, the General Assembly hereby declaring that it would have passed this act, and each and every section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, and word thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, items, subitems, paragraphs, subparagraphs, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words hereof may be declared to be unconstitutional, invalid, or otherwise ineffective.

SECTION 19. Unless otherwise provided, this act takes effect upon approval by the Governor. /

s/s Senator John E. Courson s/s Representative Phillip D. Owens

s/s Senator Darrell Jackson s/s Representative Lester P. Branham

s/s Senator Michael T. Rose  Representative Rick Quinn

On Part of the Senate. On Part of the House.

Rep. OWENS explained the Conference Report.

Rep. MERRILL spoke in favor of the Conference Report.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 111; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Cooper | Corbin |
| Crosby | Daning | Delleney |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| King | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Norman |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Young |

**Total--111**

Those who voted in the negative are:

**Total--0**

The Conference Report was adopted and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**STATEMENT BY REP. WHITE**

Rep. WHITE made a statement relative to Rep. Cooper's service to the House.

**STATEMENT BY REP. COOPER**

Rep. COOPER made a statement relative to his service in the House.

Rep. TAYLOR moved that the House recede until 1:30 p.m., which was agreed to.

**THE HOUSE RESUMES**

At 1:30 p.m. the House resumed, Acting SPEAKER GAMBRELL in the Chair.

**POINT OF QUORUM**

The question of a quorum was raised.

A quorum was later present.

**SPEAKER IN CHAIR**

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. DELLENEY a temporary leave of absence.

**R. 106, H. 3700 -- THE GENERAL APPROPRIATION BILL**

**R. 106, H. 3700-- GOVERNOR'S VETOES**

The Vetoes on the following Act were taken up:

**VETO 32-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 32** Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 472; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(1)(a) Department of Education – EFA Base Student Cost; $56,174,107.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 97; Nays 8

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | Butler Garrick | Clyburn |
| Cole | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Daning | Dillard |
| Erickson | Forrester | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Pinson | Pitts | Quinn |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--97**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bedingfield | Chumley | Edge |
| Frye | Nanney | Norman |
| Thayer | Viers |  |

**Total--8**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the House Chambers working on redistricting business, during the vote on Veto No. 32 to H. 3700, the General Appropriation Bill. If I had been present, I would have voted to override the Governor’s Veto.

Rep. Alan Clemmons

**VETO 35-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 35** Part IB, Section 90.21; Page 475; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue – Increased Enforcement Collections; Second Item; Department of Education – Education Foundation Supplement; $20,000,000.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 89; Nays 18

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Barfield | Battle |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clyburn |
| Cole | Crawford | Crosby |
| Dillard | Erickson | Forrester |
| Frye | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Loftis | Lowe | Mack |
| McEachern | McLeod | Merrill |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Parks |
| Pinson | Pitts | Quinn |
| Ryan | Sabb | Skelton |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Young |  |

**Total--89**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Bedingfield |
| Corbin | Daning | Edge |
| Hamilton | Limehouse | Long |
| Lucas | McCoy | Nanney |
| Norman | Sandifer | Simrill |
| G. M. Smith | Thayer | Viers |

**Total--18**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the House Chambers working on redistricting business during the vote on Veto No. 35 to H. 3700, the General Appropriation Bill. If I had been present, I would have voted to sustain the Governor’s veto.

Rep. Alan Clemmons

**VETO 27-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 27** Part IB, Section 79.6; Page 418; Election Commission; Primary and General Election Carry Forward.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 102; Nays 6

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Corbin |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Edge | Erickson | Forrester |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Ryan | Sabb |
| Sandifer | Simrill | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Young |

**Total--102**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| H. B. Brown | Frye | Norman |
| Ott | Stavrinakis | Viers |

**Total--6**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 28-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 28** Part IB, Section 79.12; Page 419; Election Commission; Presidential Preference Primary and Ballot Security.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 100; Nays 7

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stringer | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--100**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| H. B. Brown | Frye | Gambrell |
| Norman | Ott | Stavrinakis |
| Viers |  |  |

**Total--7**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 3-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 3** Part IA, Section 1; Page 10; Department of Education; XIII. Aid to School Districts; A. Aid to School Districts; Special Items; ETV - K-12 Teacher Training; $4,829,281.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 111; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Edge | Erickson | Forrester |
| Frye | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hamilton |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Young |

**Total--111**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Norman |  |  |

**Total--1**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 4-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 4** Part IA, Section 50; Page 175; Law Enforcement Training Council; I. Administration; Special Item; ETV-State & Local Training of Law Enforcement; $574,244.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 107; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Anderson |
| Anthony | Bales | Ballentine |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Delleney | Dillard |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Lowe |
| Lucas | Mack | McCoy |
| McEachern | McLeod | Merrill |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | Nanney |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Norman | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Young |  |

**Total--107**

Those who voted in the negative are:

**Total--0**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 20-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 20** Part IA, Section 80A; Page 253; Budget and Control Board; II. Operations and Executive Training; B. Internal Operations; Special Item; ETV Coverage; $513,269.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Young |

**Total--108**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Norman |  |  |

**Total--1**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 1-- DEBATE ADJOURNED**

**Veto 1** Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; Section V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. WHITE moved to adjourn debate on the veto, which was agreed to.

**VETO 2-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 2** Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 82; Nays 28

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Crosby | Daning |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hearn | Henderson | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Loftis |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Skelton | J. E. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Tallon |
| Thayer | Tribble | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams |  |  |

**Total--82**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Ballentine | Bedingfield |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Corbin |
| Crawford | Delleney | Frye |
| Hamilton | Herbkersman | Huggins |
| Limehouse | Long | Lowe |
| Lucas | McCoy | Nanney |
| Norman | Ryan | Simrill |
| G. M. Smith | J. R. Smith | Stringer |
| Taylor | Toole | Viers |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--28**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 5-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 5** Part IA, Section 2; Page 14; Lottery Expenditure Account; I. Lottery Expenditure Account; Special Items; Unclaimed Prizes; $12,400,000.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 6

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--103**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Clemmons | Corbin |
| Edge | Norman | Viers |

**Total--6**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 19-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 19** Part IA, Section 54, Page 188, Human Affairs Commission; I. Administration; Personal Service; Classified Positions; $269,759.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 72; Nays 36

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Anderson |
| Anthony | Bales | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Forrester |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Harrell | Hart |
| Hayes | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Hosey |
| Howard | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Loftis | Lowe |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Parks |
| Pitts | Sabb | Skelton |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Tallon | Taylor |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Williams |

**Total--72**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allison | Atwater | Ballentine |
| Bedingfield | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Cole | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Edge | Erickson |
| Frye | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Hearn | Limehouse | Long |
| Lucas | McCoy | Merrill |
| Nanney | Norman | Pinson |
| Quinn | Ryan | Sandifer |
| Simrill | G. M. Smith | Sottile |
| Stringer | Thayer | Toole |
| Viers | Whitmire | Young |

**Total--36**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 6-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 6** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Greenville Technical College – University Center; $594,390.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 78; Nays 31

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Anderson |
| Anthony | Bales | Bannister |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Corbin | Crosby |
| Daning | Dillard | Forrester |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Henderson | Hiott | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parks | Pitts | Rutherford |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Skelton |
| J. E. Smith | Sottile | Stavrinakis |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Williams |

**Total--78**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allison | Atwater | Ballentine |
| Barfield | Clemmons | Crawford |
| Delleney | Edge | Erickson |
| Frye | Hixon | Huggins |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Norman | Parker | Pinson |
| Quinn | Ryan | Simrill |
| G. M. Smith | J. R. Smith | Spires |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Viers | Whitmire |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--31**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 7-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 7** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; University Center of Greenville - Operations; $1,084,899.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 89; Nays 22

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crosby | Daning |
| Dillard | Forrester | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Mack | McCoy |
| McEachern | McLeod | Merrill |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | Nanney |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Rutherford | Sabb | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Williams |  |

**Total--89**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Ballentine | Clemmons |
| Crawford | Delleney | Edge |
| Erickson | Frye | Huggins |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Norman | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sandifer | Simrill | J. R. Smith |
| Toole | Viers | Whitmire |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--22**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 8-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 8** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Lowcountry Graduate Center; $785,099.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 89; Nays 22

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Bannister | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Corbin |
| Crosby | Daning | Dillard |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hamilton |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Parks |
| Pinson | Pitts | Rutherford |
| Sabb | Simrill | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Williams |  |

**Total--89**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Ballentine | Barfield |
| Clemmons | Crawford | Delleney |
| Edge | Erickson | Frye |
| Huggins | Long | Lowe |
| Lucas | Norman | Quinn |
| Sandifer | J. R. Smith | Thayer |
| Toole | Viers | Whitmire |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--22**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 9-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 9** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; NFTE; $118,297.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 52; Nays 59

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allen | Anderson | Anthony |
| Bales | Bannister | Battle |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Dillard | Funderburk | Gilliard |
| Govan | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hart | Hayes | Hodges |
| Hosey | Howard | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Mack |
| McEachern | McLeod | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Parks | Rutherford |
| Sabb | Skelton | J. E. Smith |
| Stavrinakis | Tribble | Vick |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Williams |  |  |

**Total--52**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allison | Atwater | Ballentine |
| Barfield | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Cole | Corbin |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Gambrell |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Horne | Huggins |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | McCoy |
| Merrill | Murphy | Nanney |
| Norman | Owens | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sandifer | Simrill | G. M. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stringer | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Viers |
| Whitmire | Young |  |

**Total--59**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 10-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 10** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; II. Service Programs; Special Items; EPSCOR; $40,329.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 52; Nays 58

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Anderson |
| Bales | Bannister | Battle |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Dillard | Funderburk | Gilliard |
| Govan | Harrison | Hayes |
| Hiott | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Mack |
| McEachern | McLeod | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Parks |
| Rutherford | Sabb | Skelton |
| J. E. Smith | Sottile | Stavrinakis |
| Vick | Weeks | White |
| Williams |  |  |

**Total--52**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allison | Anthony | Atwater |
| Ballentine | Barfield | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Gambrell | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Hearn | Henderson |
| Hixon | Huggins | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Lowe |
| Lucas | McCoy | Merrill |
| Nanney | Norman | Owens |
| Parker | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Ryan | Sandifer |
| Simrill | G. M. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Spires | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Viers | Whitmire |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--58**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 11-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 11** Part IA, Section 6; Page 27; Commission on Higher Education; V. Total Higher Education Awareness Program; $179,856.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 50; Nays 62

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allen | Allison | Anderson |
| Bales | Battle | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Dillard |
| Funderburk | Gilliard | Govan |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Mack | McEachern |
| McLeod | Mitchell | Munnerlyn |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Ott |
| Parker | Parks | Pitts |
| Rutherford | Sabb | Skelton |
| J. E. Smith | Stavrinakis | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Williams |  |

**Total--50**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Anthony | Atwater | Ballentine |
| Bannister | Barfield | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Gambrell | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Hearn | Henderson |
| Hiott | Hixon | Huggins |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | McCoy |
| Merrill | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Murphy | Nanney | Neilson |
| Norman | Owens | Pinson |
| Quinn | Ryan | Sandifer |
| Simrill | G. M. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Viers | Whitmire |
| Willis | Young |  |

**Total--62**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 12-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 12** Part IA, Section 15A; Page 44; University of South Carolina; I. University of South Carolina; A. USC - Non-Medicine; Special Items; Small Business Development Center; $491,734.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. J. E. SMITH spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 96; Nays 16

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allen | Allison | Anderson |
| Anthony | Bales | Ballentine |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Crosby |
| Daning | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Mack |
| McEachern | McLeod | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Sabb |
| Sandifer | Simrill | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stavrinakis |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Young |

**Total--96**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Bedingfield | Chumley |
| Corbin | Crawford | Delleney |
| Lowe | Lucas | McCoy |
| Merrill | Nanney | Norman |
| Ryan | Stringer | Viers |
| Willis |  |  |

**Total--16**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 13-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 13** Part IA, Section 17B; Page 65; Area Health Education Consortium; I. Consortium; A. General; Total Funds $5,476,127; General Funds $3,582,260.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. CRAWFORD spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 6

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Daning | Delleney |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Viers | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--108**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Bedingfield | Hamilton |
| Nanney | Norman | Stringer |

**Total--6**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 14-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 14** Part IA, Section 22; Page 85; Department of Health and Environmental Control; II. Programs and Services; F. Health Care Standards; 2. Total Facility/Service Development; Total Funds $6,120,203; General Funds $411,317.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke against the Veto.

Rep. CRAWFORD spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | Whipper | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Willis |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--109**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Norman |  |  |

**Total--1**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 15-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 15** Part IA, Section 30; Page 120; Arts Commission; Total Funds $3,605,566; General Funds $1,927,795.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. STAVRINAKIS spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 105; Nays 8

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--105**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Corbin | Edge | Norman |
| Thayer | Viers |  |

**Total--8**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 16-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 16** Part IA, Section 35; Page 134; Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research; Total Funds $15,350,935; General Funds $8,952,812.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. SKELTON spoke against the Veto.

Rep. TOOLE spoke against the Veto.

Rep. J. H. NEAL spoke against the Veto.

Rep. GOVAN spoke against the Veto.

Rep. GAMBRELL spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allen | Allison | Anderson |
| Anthony | Atwater | Bales |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Brady | Branham | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Edge | Erickson | Forrester |
| Frye | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hamilton |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Sabb | Simrill | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Viers | Weeks | White |
| Whitmire | Williams | Willis |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--106**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Norman | Ryan |

**Total--3**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**RECORD FOR VOTING**

I was out of the Chamber in a meeting during the vote on Veto No. 16 on H. 3700, the General Appropriation Bill. If I had been present, I would have definitely voted to override the Governor’s veto on this very important budget item. I believe that it is critically important for us to continue to fund Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research.

Rep. William E. Sandifer III

**VETO 17-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 17** Part IA, Section 38; Page 146; Sea Grant Consortium; Total Funds $6,929,892; General Funds $327,392.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

Rep. EDGE spoke against the Veto.

Rep. CRAWFORD spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 10

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Edge | Erickson | Forrester |
| Frye | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hamilton |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Lowe |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--103**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Bedingfield | Corbin |
| Lucas | Nanney | Norman |
| Owens | Thayer | Viers |
| Willis |  |  |

**Total--10**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 21-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 21** Part IA, Section 80A; Page 262; Budget and Control Board; VII. Insurance and Grants Division; C. Office of Local Government; 2. State Revolving Fund; B. Loans; Special Items; Loans; Total Funds $1, 578, 385; General Funds $878,385.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 85; Nays 27

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Bannister | Battle | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Brannon |
| Brantley | H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown |
| Butler Garrick | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Cole | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Lucas |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Sabb |
| Skelton | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stavrinakis |
| Stringer | Tallon | Thayer |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams |  |  |

**Total--85**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Ballentine | Barfield |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Corbin | Crawford |
| Hamilton | Hixon | Huggins |
| Limehouse | Long | Lowe |
| McCoy | Nanney | Norman |
| Ryan | Sandifer | Simrill |
| G. M. Smith | Taylor | Toole |
| Viers | Willis | Young |

**Total--27**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 22-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 22** Part IB, Section 6.24; Page 333; Commission on Higher Education: Scholarships Funded from Unclaimed Capital Credits.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 1; Nays 107

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bales |  |  |

**Total--1**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Ballentine | Barfield | Battle |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Brannon |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Daning | Delleney |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Govan |
| Hamilton | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Huggins | Jefferson |
| Johnson | Knight | Limehouse |
| Loftis | Long | Lowe |
| Lucas | Mack | McCoy |
| McEachern | McLeod | Merrill |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | Nanney |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Norman | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Viers | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Willis | Young |  |

**Total--107**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 23-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 23** Part IB, Section 76.13; Page 414-415; Office of State Treasurer: Economic Development Unclaimed Capital Credits.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 0; Nays 99

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

**Total--0**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Anderson |
| Anthony | Atwater | Ballentine |
| Barfield | Battle | Bedingfield |
| Bikas | Bingham | Bowen |
| Brady | Brannon | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter |
| Corbin | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Edge | Erickson | Forrester |
| Frye | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Hiott |
| Hixon | Horne | Hosey |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Norman | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Parks |
| Pinson | Pitts | Quinn |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--99**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 24-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 24** Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 93; Nays 17

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Crawford | Crosby |
| Daning | Delleney | Dillard |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Hart | Hayes | Hearn |
| Henderson | Herbkersman | Hiott |
| Hixon | Hodges | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Sabb |
| Sandifer | Skelton | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |

**Total--93**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bedingfield | Clemmons | Corbin |
| Edge | Hamilton | Lowe |
| Lucas | McCoy | Nanney |
| Norman | Ryan | Simrill |
| G. M. Smith | Stringer | Viers |
| Willis | Young |  |

**Total--17**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 25-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 25** Part IB, Section 65.10; Page 397; Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; South Carolina Emergency Response Task Force/State Urban Search and Rescue Program.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 2; Nays 105

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Battle | Rutherford |  |

**Total--2**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Barfield |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Brannon |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Corbin |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Horne |
| Hosey | Howard | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | Mack |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Norman | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Parks |
| Pinson | Pitts | Quinn |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stavrinakis | Stringer | Tallon |
| Taylor | Thayer | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Viers |
| Weeks | Whipper | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--105**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 26-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 26** Part IB, Section 76.14; Page 415; Office of State Treasurer; Audit Finding Follow-Up.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the Veto.

Rep. MERRILL spoke in favor of the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 18; Nays 93

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bales | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Crosby | Delleney |
| Dillard | Gilliard | Hodges |
| Jefferson | Knight | J. H. Neal |
| Ott | Rutherford | Stavrinakis |

**Total--18**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Ballentine | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Cole | Corbin |
| Crawford | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Frye | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Govan | Hamilton |
| Hardwick | Harrell | Harrison |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Johnson | Limehouse |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| Mack | McCoy | McEachern |
| McLeod | Merrill | Mitchell |
| D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn |
| Murphy | Nanney | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Norman | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sabb | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Skelton | G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Sottile | Spires |
| Stringer | Tallon | Taylor |
| Thayer | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Viers | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--93**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 29-- SUSTAINED**

**Veto 29** Part IB, Section 86.10; Page 431; Aid to Subdivisions, State Treasurer; Speed Camera Citations Restriction.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 0; Nays 110

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

**Total--0**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Corbin |
| Crawford | Crosby | Daning |
| Delleney | Dillard | Edge |
| Erickson | Forrester | Frye |
| Funderburk | Gambrell | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Norman | Ott |
| Owens | Parker | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Ryan | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Tribble | Vick |
| Viers | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Willis | Young |  |

**Total--110**

So, the Veto of the Governor was sustained and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 30-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 30** Part IB, Section 89.87; Page 458; General Provisions; Conservation Bank Funding LLR.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 94; Nays 16

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Crawford |
| Crosby | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Loftis |
| Long | Lowe | Lucas |
| McCoy | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Parker | Parks |
| Pinson | Pitts | Quinn |
| Rutherford | Ryan | Sabb |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Tallon |
| Taylor | Toole | Tribble |
| Vick | Weeks | Whipper |
| White | Whitmire | Williams |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--94**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Corbin | Delleney |
| Edge | Frye | Hamilton |
| Nanney | Norman | Owens |
| Sandifer | Stringer | Viers |
| Willis |  |  |

**Total--16**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 31-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 31** Part IB, Section 90.22; Page 476; Statewide Revenue: Excess FY11-12 Revenue – SC Conservation Bank; $2,000,000.

Rep. PITTS explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 90; Nays 20

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Ballentine | Bannister |
| Barfield | Battle | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Crosby |
| Daning | Dillard | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Govan | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Huggins |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Lowe | Mack | McCoy |
| McEachern | McLeod | Merrill |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | V. S. Moss |
| Munnerlyn | Murphy | J. H. Neal |
| J. M. Neal | Neilson | Ott |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Quinn | Rutherford |
| Ryan | Sabb | Skelton |
| G. M. Smith | J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith |
| Sottile | Spires | Stavrinakis |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis | Young |

**Total--90**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Chumley |
| Clemmons | Corbin | Crawford |
| Delleney | Edge | Frye |
| Hamilton | Loftis | Long |
| Lucas | Nanney | Norman |
| Owens | Sandifer | Simrill |
| Stringer | Viers |  |

**Total--20**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I would like to be recorded in favor of overriding Veto No. 31, to H. 3700, the General Appropriation Bill, dealing with the Conservation Bank.

Rep. Chip Limehouse

**VETO 33-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 33** Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(6) Clemson University – PSA; Agency Operations; $250,000.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 92; Nays 19

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Atwater |
| Bales | Bannister | Barfield |
| Battle | Bedingfield | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Chumley | Clemmons | Clyburn |
| Cobb-Hunter | Cole | Delleney |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gilliard |
| Govan | Hardwick | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Hearn | Henderson | Herbkersman |
| Hiott | Hixon | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Huggins | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Lucas |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Mitchell | D. C. Moss | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pinson | Pitts |
| Quinn | Rutherford | Sabb |
| Sandifer | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis |  |

**Total--92**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Daning | Frye |
| Hamilton | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | McCoy | Merrill |
| Nanney | Norman | Ryan |
| Simrill | Thayer | Viers |
| Young |  |  |

**Total--19**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

The SPEAKER granted Rep. GOVAN a leave of absence for the remainder of the day.

**VETO 34-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 34** Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(9) Department of Health and Environmental Control; Donate Life; $100,000.

Rep. CRAWFORD explained the Veto.

Rep. EDGE spoke against the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 95; Nays 16

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Allen | Allison | Anderson |
| Anthony | Atwater | Bales |
| Bannister | Barfield | Battle |
| Bedingfield | Bikas | Bingham |
| Bowen | Brady | Branham |
| Brannon | Brantley | H. B. Brown |
| R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick | Chumley |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Cole |
| Crawford | Crosby | Delleney |
| Dillard | Edge | Erickson |
| Forrester | Funderburk | Gambrell |
| Gilliard | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Harrell | Harrison | Hart |
| Hayes | Hearn | Henderson |
| Herbkersman | Hiott | Hixon |
| Hodges | Horne | Hosey |
| Howard | Jefferson | Johnson |
| Knight | Limehouse | Long |
| Mack | McEachern | McLeod |
| Merrill | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| Nanney | J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal |
| Neilson | Ott | Owens |
| Parker | Parks | Pinson |
| Pitts | Rutherford | Sabb |
| Simrill | Skelton | G. M. Smith |
| J. E. Smith | J. R. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Stringer |
| Tallon | Taylor | Toole |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Young |  |

**Total--95**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Ballentine | Clemmons | Corbin |
| Frye | Huggins | Loftis |
| Lowe | Lucas | McCoy |
| Norman | Quinn | Ryan |
| Sandifer | Thayer | Viers |
| Willis |  |  |

**Total--16**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**VETO 1-- OVERRIDDEN**

**Veto 1** Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; Section V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

Rep. WHITE explained the Veto.

The question was put, shall the Item become a part of the law, the veto of her Excellency, the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding, the yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 74; Nays 36

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Alexander | Allen | Allison |
| Anderson | Anthony | Bales |
| Bannister | Battle | Bikas |
| Bingham | Bowen | Brady |
| Branham | Brannon | Brantley |
| H. B. Brown | R. L. Brown | Butler Garrick |
| Clyburn | Cobb-Hunter | Dillard |
| Edge | Forrester | Funderburk |
| Gambrell | Gilliard | Harrell |
| Harrison | Hart | Hayes |
| Henderson | Hiott | Hodges |
| Horne | Hosey | Howard |
| Jefferson | Johnson | Knight |
| Limehouse | Mack | McEachern |
| McLeod | Mitchell | D. C. Moss |
| V. S. Moss | Munnerlyn | Murphy |
| J. H. Neal | J. M. Neal | Neilson |
| Ott | Owens | Parker |
| Parks | Pitts | Quinn |
| Rutherford | Sabb | Sandifer |
| Skelton | J. E. Smith | Sottile |
| Spires | Stavrinakis | Tallon |
| Tribble | Vick | Weeks |
| Whipper | White | Whitmire |
| Williams | Willis |  |

**Total--74**

Those who voted in the negative are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Atwater | Ballentine | Barfield |
| Bedingfield | Chumley | Clemmons |
| Cole | Corbin | Crawford |
| Crosby | Delleney | Erickson |
| Frye | Hamilton | Hardwick |
| Hearn | Herbkersman | Hixon |
| Huggins | Loftis | Long |
| Lowe | Lucas | McCoy |
| Merrill | Norman | Pinson |
| Ryan | Simrill | G. M. Smith |
| J. R. Smith | Taylor | Thayer |
| Toole | Viers | Young |

**Total--36**

So, the Veto of the Governor was overridden and a message was ordered sent to the Senate accordingly.

**RECURRENCE TO THE MORNING HOUR**

Rep. WILLIAMS moved that the House recur to the Morning Hour, which was agreed to.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4402 -- Reps. J. H. Neal, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR HIS EXCELLENCY CHIEF (DR.) GODSWILL AKPABIO, GOVERNOR OF AKWA IBOM STATE IN NIGERIA, FOR HIS VISIONARY WORK IN THAT COUNTRY AND TO WELCOME HIM TO SOUTH CAROLINA.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4403 -- Reps. Clemmons, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR SIXTH-GRADERS MAKAYLA ARTEAGA, CHRISTIAN HANNA, BETHANY SLAYTON; THEIR COACH, MICHELLE RUTHENBERG; AND THEIR SPONSOR, RIPLEY'S AQUARIUM IN MYRTLE BEACH AND TO CONGRATULATE THEM FOR WINNING THE $25,000 COLUMBUS FOUNDATION COMMUNITY GRANT IN NATIONAL SCIENCE/COMMUNITY SERVICE COMPETITION.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4404 -- Reps. Hardwick, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR ST. JAMES HIGH SCHOOL OF HORRY COUNTY, AND TO CONGRATULATE THE STUDENTS, TEACHERS, PARENTS, AND ADMINISTRATORS FOR BEING NAMED A RECIPIENT OF THE NATIONAL OUTSTANDING HIGH SCHOOLS THAT WORK AWARD.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4405 -- Reps. Harrell, Erickson, Brady, Ballentine, Herbkersman, Bingham, Merrill, Huggins, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bowen, Bowers, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA BASEBALL TEAM, UPON WINNING THE 2011 COLLEGE WORLD SERIES, AND TO COMMEND THE TEAM MEMBERS, COACHES, AND SCHOOL OFFICIALS FOR ANOTHER MOMENTOUS CHAMPIONSHIP SEASON.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4406 -- Reps. Hart, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO CONGRATULATE MRS. MAMIE CALDONIA DYSON DAVIS ON THE OCCASION OF HER NINETY-FIFTH BIRTHDAY AND TO WISH HER CONTINUED HEALTH AND HAPPINESS.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4407 -- Reps. Spires, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND COMMEND THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. SHOCKLEY, DISTRICT 4 MAGISTRATE IN LEXINGTON COUNTY, UPON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT FROM THE BENCH, AND TO WISH HIM CONTINUED SUCCESS AND HAPPINESS IN ALL HIS FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4408 -- Rep. Alexander: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO EXTEND WARMEST GREETINGS TO AUDREY T. KIRVEN OF FLORENCE COUNTY ON THE OCCASION OF HER SEVENTIETH BIRTHDAY AND TO WISH HER MANY YEARS OF HEALTH AND HAPPINESS TO COME.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4409 -- Reps. Brannon and Cole: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND COMMEND THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE BLUE RIDGE LOG CABINS EXECUTIVE TEAM AND EMPLOYEES, LED BY PRESIDENT AND CEO MILTON A. "CHIP" SMITH, JR., TO THE STATE'S ECONOMY, AND TO THANK THE COMPANY FOR THE OUTSTANDING WORK THAT HAS HAD SUCH A POSITIVE IMPACT ON SOUTH CAROLINA'S REPUTATION AND VISIBILITY AS AN INNOVATIVE, PRO-BUSINESS STATE WITH A HIGHLY SKILLED WORKFORCE.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4410 -- Reps. G. M. Smith, Weeks, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO HONOR KATHERINE H. RICHARDSON OF SUMTER COUNTY FOR HER EIGHTEEN YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SUMTER COUNTY MUSEUM AND TO WISH HER MUCH SUCCESS AS SHE TAKES ON HER NEW DUTIES WITH THE CAMDEN ARCHIVES AND MUSEUM.

The Resolution was adopted.

**HOUSE RESOLUTION**

The following was introduced:

H. 4411 -- Reps. Anderson, Agnew, Alexander, Allen, Allison, Anthony, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bannister, Barfield, Battle, Bedingfield, Bikas, Bingham, Bowen, Bowers, Brady, Branham, Brannon, Brantley, G. A. Brown, H. B. Brown, R. L. Brown, Butler Garrick, Chumley, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cole, Corbin, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Delleney, Dillard, Edge, Erickson, Forrester, Frye, Funderburk, Gambrell, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardwick, Harrell, Harrison, Hart, Hayes, Hearn, Henderson, Herbkersman, Hiott, Hixon, Hodges, Horne, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, King, Knight, Limehouse, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mack, McCoy, McEachern, McLeod, Merrill, Mitchell, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Munnerlyn, Murphy, Nanney, J. H. Neal, J. M. Neal, Neilson, Norman, Ott, Owens, Parker, Parks, Patrick, Pinson, Pitts, Pope, Quinn, Rutherford, Ryan, Sabb, Sandifer, Sellers, Simrill, Skelton, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, J. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Toole, Tribble, Vick, Viers, Weeks, Whipper, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis and Young: A HOUSE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND COMMEND PRESIDING ELDER CHARLES J. GRAVES FOR HIS DISTINGUISHED CAREER AS A MINISTER AND PRESIDING ELDER OF THE AFRICAN METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH UPON HIS RETIREMENT.

The Resolution was adopted.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has adopted the new report of the Committee of Conference on S. 172:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O'Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO ENACT THE “SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EFFICIENCY AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES ACT OF 2011”, BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-670 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE; BY ADDING SECTION 1-1-1040 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS, TO DIRECT THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S STATE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE WITH FIVE REPRESENTATIVES SELECTED BY THE RESPECTIVE PRESIDENTS OF THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES TO STUDY, DEVELOP, AND RECOMMEND A SEPARATE, COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM FOR THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 2-47-50, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SO AS TO DEFINE ‘PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT’; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1210, RELATING TO THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S REVIEW OF DOLLAR LIMITS FOR A GOVERNMENTAL BODY’S PROCUREMENT, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER, MAY APPROVE A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF UP TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1550, RELATING TO BID PROCEDURES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE MAY MAKE SMALL PURCHASES NOT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS WITHOUT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE PROCUREMENT CODE APPLYING; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-3310, RELATING TO INDEFINITE DELIVERY CONTRACTS, SO AS TO INCREASE CERTAIN DOLLAR LIMITS FOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-4810, RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING, SO AS TO EXEMPT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF THIRTY DAYS’ NOTICE OF A MULTISTATE SOLICITATION IF A COST SAVINGS IS DEMONSTRATED TO THE OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT; TO AMEND SECTION 1-7-170, RELATING TO ENGAGING AN ATTORNEY ON A FEE BASIS, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING SHALL ENGAGE AND COMPENSATE OUTSIDE COUNSEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD FOR MATTERS OF BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC FINANCE, BORROWING, AND RELATED FINANCIAL MATTERS; BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-55 SO AS TO PROHIBIT STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM BEING USED TO PROVIDE OUT OF STATE SUBSIDIES TO STUDENTS ATTENDING STATE SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING; BY ADDING SECTION 59-112-115 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OR TECHNICAL COLLEGE ADOPTS A CHANGE TO THE TUITION OR FEES IMPOSED ON STUDENTS, THE CHANGE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER A PUBLIC VOTE WITH THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES OR LOCAL AREA COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR AND AGAINST THE CHANGE BEING COUNTED; BY ADDING SECTION 8-11-162 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT TECHNICAL COLLEGE PRESIDENTS ARE COVERED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE AGENCY HEAD SALARY COMMISSION; TO AMEND SECTION 1-11-55, RELATING TO THE LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY FOR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A TECHNICAL COLLEGE, WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, AND A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING, MAY ENTER INTO ANY LEASE AGREEMENT OR RENEW ANY LEASE AGREEMENT UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY FOR EACH PROPERTY OR FACILITY WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES FOR AN INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABLE SPACE; BY ADDING SECTION 59-53-168 SO AS TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION TO EMPLOY AND ADMINISTER CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY PROVISIONS, AND TO ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY BOARD; BY ADDING SECTION 59-112-140 SO AS TO ALLOW THE AREA COMMISSION FOR THE FLORENCE DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO WAIVE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR STUDENT PARTICIPANTS IN THE CATERPILLAR DEALER ACADEMY OPERATED BY FLORENCE DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TO AMEND SECTION 2-77-20, RELATING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EXCELLENCE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ALL THE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PROGRAM MUST BE ALLOCATED EQUALLY AMONG THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS; AND TO AMEND SECTION 59-142-40, RELATING TO STUDENTS FIRST FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR SCHOLARSHIPS AND TUITION, SO AS TO UPDATE REFERENCES AND TO PROVIDE THAT FUNDS FOR THE NEED-BASED GRANT MUST BE ALLOCATED IN A GIVEN YEAR TO INSTITUTIONS USING A METHODOLOGY THAT CONSIDERS STATE RESIDENT PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS SO THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LEVEL OF SUPPORT PER STATE RESIDENT PELL RECIPIENT WHEN COMPARED TO TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES, BUT THAT NO INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE A SMALLER PROPORTION OF FUNDING THAN WOULD BE PROVIDED UNDER THE STUDENT ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY USED IN YEARS PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2008-2009.

Very Respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**S. 172--ORDERED ENROLLED FOR RATIFICATION**

The Report of the Committee of Conference having been adopted by both Houses, and this Bill having been read three times in each House, it was ordered that the title thereof be changed to that of an Act and that it be enrolled for ratification.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 107, H. 3701, by a vote of 32 to 8.

(R107) H. 3701 -- Ways and Means Committee: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO APPROPRIATE MONIES FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 5, by a vote of 31 to 8:

**Veto 5** Part IA, Section 2; Page 14; Lottery Expenditure Account; I. Lottery Expenditure Account; Special Items; Unclaimed Prizes; $12,400,000.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 4, by a vote of 37 to 2:

**Veto 4** Part IA, Section 50; Page 175; Law Enforcement Training Council; I. Administration; Special Item; ETV-State & Local Training of Law Enforcement; $574,244.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 3, by a vote of 36 to 3:

**Veto 3** Part IA, Section 1; Page 10; Department of Education; XIII. Aid to School Districts; A. Aid to School Districts; Special Items; ETV - K-12 Teacher Training; $4,829,281.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 8, by a vote of 30 to 9:

**Veto 8** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Lowcountry Graduate Center; $785,099.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 7, by a vote of 30 to 8:

**Veto 7** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; University Center of Greenville - Operations; $1,084,899.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 6, by a vote of 29 to 8:

**Veto 6** Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Greenville Technical College – University Center; $594,390.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 2, by a vote of 30 to 10:

**Veto 2** Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 1, by a vote of 24 to 14:

**Veto 1** Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; Section V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 12, by a vote of 26 to 11:

**Veto 12** Part IA, Section 15A; Page 44; University of South Carolina; I. University of South Carolina; A. USC - Non-Medicine; Special Items; Small Business Development Center; $491,734.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 13, by a vote of 27 to 11:

**Veto 13** Part IA, Section 17B; Page 65; Area Health Education Consortium; I. Consortium; A. General; Total Funds $5,476,127; General Funds $3,582,260.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 19, by a vote of 25 to 12:

**Veto 19** Part IA, Section 54, Page 188, Human Affairs Commission; I. Administration; Personal Service; Classified Positions; $269,759.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 20 by a vote of 36 to 2:

**Veto 20** Part IA, Section 80A; Page 253; Budget and Control Board; II. Operations and Executive Training; B. Internal Operations; Special Item; ETV Coverage; $513,269.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 24, by a vote of 24 to 14:

**Veto 24** Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 21, by a vote of 26 to 11:

**Veto 21** Part IA, Section 80A; Page 262; Budget and Control Board; VII. Insurance and Grants Division; C. Office of Local Government; 2. State Revolving Fund; B. Loans; Special Items; Loans; Total Funds $1, 578, 385; General Funds $878,385.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 15, by a vote of 32 to 6:

**Veto 15** Part IA, Section 30; Page 120; Arts Commission; Total Funds $3,605,566; General Funds $1,927,795.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 17, by a vote of 29 to 9:

**Veto 17** Part IA, Section 38; Page 146; Sea Grant Consortium; Total Funds $6,929,892; General Funds $327,392.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 16, by a vote of 32 to 6:

**Veto 16** Part IA, Section 35; Page 134; Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research; Total Funds $15,350,935; General Funds $8,952,812.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 14, by a vote of 27 to 10:

**Veto 14** Part IA, Section 22; Page 85; Department of Health and Environmental Control; II. Programs and Services; F. Health Care Standards; 2. Total Facility/Service Development; Total Funds $6,120,203; General Funds $411,317.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 31, by a vote of 33 to 5:

**Veto 31** Part IB, Section 90.22; Page 476; Statewide Revenue: Excess FY11-12 Revenue – SC Conservation Bank; $2,000,000.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 30, by a vote of 35 to 4:

**Veto 30** Part IB, Section 89.87; Page 458; General Provisions; Conservation Bank Funding LLR.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 32, by a vote of 32 to 7:

**Veto 32** Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 472; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(1)(a) Department of Education – EFA Base Student Cost; $56,174,107.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

**MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE**

The following was received:

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. Speaker and Members of the House:

The Senate respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the Veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700, Veto 33, by a vote of 31 to 8:

**Veto 33** Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(6) Clemson University – PSA; Agency Operations; $250,000.

Very respectfully,

President

Received as information.

Rep. WHITE moved that the House do now adjourn, which was agreed to.

**RETURNED WITH CONCURRENCE**

The Senate returned to the House with concurrence the following:

H. 4398 -- Reps. Toole, Atwater, Ballentine, Bingham, Frye, Huggins, McLeod, Ott, Quinn and Spires: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO CONGRATULATE CREIGHTON SUMMERS CULVERN OF LEXINGTON COUNTY FOR ACHIEVING THE ELITE RANK OF EAGLE SCOUT AND TO WISH HIM EVERY SUCCESS IN ALL HIS FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

H. 4399 -- Rep. Limehouse: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR WTMA RADIO PERSONALITY ROCKY "ROCKY D" DISABATO FOR TEN OUTSTANDING YEARS ON THE ROCKY D SHOW, AND TO PROCLAIM AUGUST 31, 2011, AS “ROCKY D DAY” IN SOUTH CAROLINA.

**ADJOURNMENT**

At 5:00 p.m. the House, in accordance with the motion of Rep. COBB-HUNTER, adjourned in memory of her mother, Nina C. Walker Cobb of Gifford, Florida, to meet next in accordance with the Sine Die Resolution, H. 4195.

\*\*\*