

NO. 38

JOURNAL
of the
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
of the
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA



REGULAR SESSION BEGINNING TUESDAY, JANUARY 13, 2015

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016
(STATEWIDE SESSION)

~~Indicates Matter Stricken~~
Indicates New Matter

The House assembled at 9:00 a.m.

Deliberations were opened with prayer by Rev. Charles E. Seastrunk, Jr., as follows:

Our thought for today is from Isaiah 43:16: "Thus says the Lord, who makes a way in the sea, a path in the mighty waters, ..."

Let us pray. Gracious God, may we all recognize the works of Your hand. We give thanks to You for providing these Representatives the will to accomplish the good things which come from Your hand to our hand. Give these Representatives and staff strength and courage as they go through the budget process. Bless them and those who lead us in the Nation and State. Give the Speaker the necessary strength and stamina to lead us through the day's business. Protect our defenders of freedom. Heal the wounds, those seen and those hidden, of those who suffer and sacrifice for our freedom. Lord, in Your mercy, hear our prayers. Amen.

Pursuant to Rule 6.3, the House of Representatives was led in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America by the SPEAKER.

After corrections to the Journal of the proceedings of yesterday, the SPEAKER ordered it confirmed.

ACTING SPEAKER DUCKWORTH IN CHAIR

ROLL CALL

The roll call of the House of Representatives was taken resulting as follows:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bingham
Bowers	Bradley	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Corley
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Horne
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McEachern	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Newton	Norman	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Yow	

STATEMENT OF ATTENDANCE

I came in after the roll call and was present for the Session on Tuesday, March 22.

Terry Alexander	Douglas "Doug" Brannon
Grady Brown	Heather Crawford
Laurie Funderburk	Dan Hamilton
Chris Hart	Leon Howard
Ralph Kennedy	H. B. "Chip" Limehouse
Peter McCoy, Jr.	Cezar McKnight
Mia S. McLeod	Harold Mitchell
Chris Murphy	Wendy Nanney
Joseph Neal	Mandy Powers Norrell
Richard "Rick" Quinn	Leola Robinson-Simpson
Todd Rutherford	G. Murrell Smith

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

McLain R. "Mac" Toole
Mark Willis

David Weeks
Beth Bernstein

Total Present--121

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. THAYER a leave of absence for the day due to medical reasons.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. BERNSTEIN a temporary leave of absence due to a prior commitment.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. GAMBRELL a leave of absence for the day.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. ALLISON a leave of absence for the day due to medical reasons.

DOCTOR OF THE DAY

Announcement was made that Dr. M. David Mitchell of Spartanburg was the Doctor of the Day for the General Assembly.

CO-SPONSORS ADDED

In accordance with House Rule 5.2 below:

"5.2 Every bill before presentation shall have its title endorsed; every report, its title at length; every petition, memorial, or other paper, its prayer or substance; and, in every instance, the name of the member presenting any paper shall be endorsed and the papers shall be presented by the member to the Speaker at the desk. A member may add his name to a bill or resolution or a co-sponsor of a bill or resolution may remove his name at any time prior to the bill or resolution receiving passage on second reading. The member or co-sponsor shall notify the Clerk of the House in writing of his desire to have his name added or removed from the bill or resolution. The Clerk of the House shall print the member's or co-sponsor's written notification in the House Journal. The removal or addition of a name does not apply to a bill or resolution sponsored by a committee."

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number: H. 3249
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 W. J. MCLEOD

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number: H. 3928
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 KING

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number: H. 4093
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 DUCKWORTH

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number: H. 4302
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 ERICKSON

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number: H. 4881
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 STRINGER

CO-SPONSORS ADDED

Bill Number: H. 5109
Date: ADD:
03/21/16 DUCKWORTH and COBB-HUNTER

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

RECORD FOR VOTING

I inadvertently was added as a sponsor to H. 4537. I wish the record to reflect that I did not intend to add my name to this Bill.

Rep. Harold Mitchell, Jr.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

RECORD FOR VOTING

After recusing myself from voting on Section 33 of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section yesterday. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.
Rep. Dennis Moss

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. HAMILTON a temporary leave of absence due to family medical reasons.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. WILLIS a temporary leave of absence.

H. 5001--AMENDED AND INTERRUPTED DEBATE

Debate was resumed on the following Bill, the pending question being the consideration of Part IA:

H. 5001 -- Ways and Means Committee: A BILL TO MAKE APPROPRIATIONS AND TO PROVIDE REVENUES TO MEET THE ORDINARY EXPENSES OF STATE GOVERNMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2016, TO REGULATE THE EXPENDITURE OF SUCH FUNDS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE FOR THE OPERATION OF STATE GOVERNMENT DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

PART IA

SECTION 11--ADOPTED

Rep. TAYLOR proposed the following Amendment No. 19 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\che core mission functions.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
NEW-Accounting Manager I		
	94,184	94,184
	(2.00)	(2.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
NEW-Program Manager I		
	300,000	300,000
	(5.00)	(5.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
NEW-Program Manager II		
	313,334	313,334
	(4.00)	(4.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
NEW-Program Manager III		
	101,000	101,000
	(1.00)	(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
NEW-Program Coordinator I		
	38,000	38,000
	(1.00)	(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 28, line 13, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
109,000	109,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 29, line 24, opposite /Employer Contributions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
289,700	289,700

Renumber sections to conform.
Amend totals and titles to conform.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. TAYLOR explained the amendment.
Rep. TAYLOR spoke in favor of the amendment.
Rep. LOFTIS spoke in favor of the amendment.
Rep. MERRILL spoke against the amendment.
Rep. MERRILL spoke against the amendment.
Rep. LOFTIS spoke in favor of the amendment.
Rep. W. J. MCLEOD spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. MERRILL moved to table the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS demanded the yeas and nays which were taken,
resulting as follows:

Yeas 78; Nays 21

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	G. A. Brown
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Delleney
Dillard	Erickson	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Goldfinch	Govan	Hardee
Hayes	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Long
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Ott	Pitts	Pope
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Tinkler	Toole	White
Whitmire	Williams	Yow

Total--78

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bradley	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Daning	Douglas
Felder	Funderburk	George
Hixon	Loftis	Lowe
McKnight	W. J. McLeod	Norman
Norrell	Southard	Stringer
Taylor	Wells	Willis

Total--21

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 96; Nays 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
W. J. McLeod	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Nanney
Neal	Newton	Norman
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--96

Those who voted in the negative are:

Chumley	Corley	Hill
G. R. Smith		

Total--4

Section 11 was adopted.

SECTION 17

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 97; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Cobb-Hunter	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Horne	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Nanney
Neal	Newton	Norman
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Toole	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--97

Those who voted in the negative are:
Hill

Total--1

Section 17 was adopted.

SECTION 19

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:
Yeas 89; Nays 8

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

R. L. Brown	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	Crosby	Daning
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Horne	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Newton	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Tinkler	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--89

Those who voted in the negative are:

Burns	Chumley	Hill
Nanney	Norman	Putnam
G. R. Smith	Toole	

Total--8

Section 19 was adopted.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

SECTION 20A

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 93; Nays 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bradley	R. L. Brown	Burns
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Nanney
Newton	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Toole	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--93

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bedingfield Chumley Hill
Norman

Total--4

Section 20A was adopted.

SECTION 20F

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 94; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bradley
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Horne	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--94

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bedingfield	Hill
-------------	------

Total--2

Section 20F was adopted.

SECTION 21

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 96; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hardee	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Newton	Norman

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Norrell	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Toole	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--96

Those who voted in the negative are:
Hill

Total--1

Section 21 was adopted.

SECTION 44--ADOPTED

Rep. NORMAN proposed the following Amendment No. 38 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\norman ag marketing.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 44, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, page 120, line 3, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
500,000	500,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 44, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, page 120, line 21, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
300,000	300,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. NORMAN explained the amendment.
Rep. NORMAN spoke in favor of the amendment.
Rep. SIMRILL spoke against the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL spoke against the amendment and moved to table the amendment.

Rep. NORMAN demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 92; Nays 18

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Bamberg
Bannister	Bowers	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
Kennedy	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Wells

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Whipper	White	Whitmire
Willis	Yow	

Total--92

Those who voted in the negative are:

Ballentine	Bedingfield	Bradley
Corley	Daning	Felder
Fry	Hardee	Hill
Huggins	Long	Nanney
Newton	Norman	Putnam
Ryhal	Southard	Williams

Total--18

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	Kennedy	King

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Corley	Hardee	Norman
--------	--------	--------

Total--3

Section 44 was adopted.

SECTION 49

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 98; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bedingfield	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hayes
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Kennedy
Kirby	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Nanney	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Toole	Weeks	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--98

Those who voted in the negative are:
G. R. Smith

Total--1

Section 49 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on Section 49, Part IA of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of adopting the Section.

Rep. Patsy G. Knight

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

SECTION 50

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hardee
Hayes	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	Kennedy	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Nanney	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Weeks	Wells	White

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Whitmire
Yow

Williams

Willis

Total--103

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 50 was adopted.

SECTION 54--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE and SIMRILL proposed the following Amendment No. 69 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\water and sewer 1a.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 54, RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY, page 145, immediately after line 13, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
Statewide Water and Sewer Fund		
	4,250,000	4,250,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 104; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Corley

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Horne	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
Kennedy	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lucas	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--104

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 54, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 57--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. PITTS, POPE, COBB-HUNTER, CLEMMONS, HOSEY and ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 24 (Doc Name

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\circuit court judges x1.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 57, JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, page 147, immediately after line 22 by inserting new lines to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
New Positions:		
Circuit Court Judge		
	410,715	410,715
	(3.00)	(3.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 57, JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, page 147, immediately after line 25, by inserting new lines to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
New Positions:		
Administrative Assistant		
	89,652	89,652
	(3.00)	(3.00)
Court Reporter	135,915	135,915
	(3.00)	(3.00)
Law Clerk	138,420	138,420
	(3.00)	(3.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 57, JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, page 147, line 27, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
69,000	69,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 57, JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT, page 149, line 19, opposite /Employer Contributions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
401,244	401,244

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS spoke in favor of the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 107; Nays 0

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
Corley	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Horne
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	Kennedy
Kirby	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--107

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

After recusing myself from voting on Section 57 of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on Amendment No. 24, which concerns Section 57. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.

Rep. Gary E. Clary

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on Amendment No. 24, Section 57, Part IA of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of adopting Amendment No. 24.

Rep. Terry Alexander

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 99; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	Corley	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Hodges	Horne	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Jordan
Kennedy	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McEachern	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Tinkler	Toole	Weeks
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--99

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 57, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 60--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. WILLIAMS proposed the following Amendment No. 61 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\williams, fathers and families.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 60, PROSECUTION COORDINATION COMMISSION, page 153, lines 10 - 11, opposite /Center for Father and Families/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
400,000	400,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. WILLIAMS explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Reps. PITTS, POPE, COBB-HUNTER, CLEMMONS, HOSEY AND ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 93 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\007\CDV, PCC, X2.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 60, PROSECUTION COORDINATION COMMISSION, page 153, immediately after line 12, by inserting a new line to read:

	Column 3	Column 4
Summary Court Domestic Violence Prosecution		
	2,980,117	2,980,117

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	Kennedy

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Toole	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--108

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Corley
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Jordan
Kennedy	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Nanney	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Weeks	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 60, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 67--ADOPTED

Rep. FINLAY proposed the following Amendment No. 41 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\djj, finlay.docx), which was

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

rejected:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 67, DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE, page 169, line 20, opposite /other operating expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
50,000	50,000

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. FINLAY explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 25; Nays 59

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	Burns	Chumley
Clemmons	Daning	Duckworth
Finlay	Fry	Funderburk
George	Goldfinch	Hardee
Hill	Loftis	W. J. McLeod
Nanney	Norman	Ott
Ryhal	G. R. Smith	Stringer
Toole		

Total--25

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Clary	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Crosby
Dillard	Douglas	Forrester
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hayes	Henegan	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Lowe	Mack
McCoy	M. S. McLeod	Merrill

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Neal	Norrell	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Robinson-Simpson	Simrill	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Tinkler
Wells	Whipper	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--59

So, the amendment was rejected.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 86; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clyburn	Cole	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Dillard	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Nanney
Neal	Norman	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Toole	Wells
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--86

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 67 was adopted.

SECTION 71

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 94; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hardee
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Jordan	Kennedy	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--94

Those who voted in the negative are:

Corley	Hill
--------	------

Total--2

Section 71 was adopted.

SECTION 72

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 83; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Dillard	Douglas	Felder
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hardee	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Loftis	Long	Lowe
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Nanney	Neal	Norrell
Ott	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Weeks	Wells
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--83

Those who voted in the negative are:

Corley	Norman
--------	--------

Total--2

Section 72 was adopted.

SECTION 82--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE, LUCAS, SIMRILL and LIMEHOUSE proposed the following Amendment No. 35 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\dmv online.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 2, opposite /Executive Director/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	122,969
	(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 4, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	4,377,002
	(118.00)

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 6, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	203,478
	(2.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 8, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	85,174

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 9, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	63,058

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 16, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	20,953,097
	(792.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 18, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by increasing the amount in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	1,776,149

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 19, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	12,603,340

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 24, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	4,186,374
	(157.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 26, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Column 3 Column 4
98,378
(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 28, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
331,037

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 29, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
2,698,724

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 191, line 30, opposite /Plate Replacement/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
3,800,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 4, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
3,588,556
(119.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 6, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
98,111
(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 8, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
50,606

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 9, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4
3,115,333

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82,

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 14, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	1,683,334
	(50.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 16, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	91,863
	(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 18, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	28,500

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 19, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	292,557

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 20, opposite /Facial Recognition Program/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	245,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 25, opposite /Classified Positions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	2,989,664
	(50.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 192, line 27, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
	6,606,034

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 82, DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES, page 193, line 1, opposite /Employee Benefits/ by increasing the amount(s) in Column 4 by:

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Column 3 Column 4
14,911,662

Renumber sections to conform.
Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:
Yeas 92; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clyburn	Cole	Corley
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Dillard	Douglas	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lowe
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Nanney
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Toole	Weeks

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Wells	White	Whitmire
Willis	Yow	

Total--92

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 85; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Nanney	Neal	Norman
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Wells	White	Willis
Yow		

Total--85

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 82, as amended, was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I missed the vote on Amend. No. 1 to Part IB, Section 82, concerning road funding, on H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill. I would like the record to reflect that had I been present, I would have voted 'yea' on Amend. No. 1.

Rep. McLain R. "Mac" Toole

SECTION 83

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 81; Nays 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
R. L. Brown	Burns	Clary
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Dillard
Douglas	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Mack	McCoy

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Nanney	Neal	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--81

Those who voted in the negative are:

Corley	Hill	Norman
--------	------	--------

Total--3

Section 83 was adopted.

SECTION 84--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE, LUCAS, SIMRILL and LIMEHOUSE proposed the following Amendment No. 33 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\shf auth incr .docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 84, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, page 199, line 3, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by increasing the amount in Column 3 by:

Column 3	Column 4
100,000,000	

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 94; Nays 0

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	McCoy
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Nanney	Neal
Newton	Norman	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Toole	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--94

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Reps. WHITE, LUCAS, SIMRILL and LIMEHOUSE proposed the following Amendment No. 31 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\transfer to shf.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 84, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, page 200, immediately after line 9, by inserting a new line to read: Column 3

Column 4

VII. Non-recurring
State Highway Fund

15,320,000 15,320,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 96; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Toole
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--96

Those who voted in the negative are:

G. A. Brown Southard

Total--2

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 88; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Huggins	Jefferson	King
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Mack
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Nanney	Neal
Norman	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Toole	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--88

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 84, as amended, was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I failed to cast my vote during the roll call vote on Section 84, Part IA of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill. I would have voted in favor of adopting the Section.

Rep. Brian White

Rep. PARKS moved that the House recede until 2:15 p.m., which was agreed to.

Further proceedings were interrupted by the House receding, the pending question being consideration of Part IA, Section 86.

THE HOUSE RESUMES

At 2:15 p.m. the House resumed, the SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE in the Chair.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

ACTING SPEAKER LONG IN CHAIR

POINT OF QUORUM

The question of a quorum was raised.
A quorum was later present.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. KENNEDY a leave of absence for the remainder of the day.

H. 5001--AMENDED AND INTERRUPTED DEBATE

Debate was resumed on the following Bill, the pending question being the consideration of Part IA, Section 86.

H. 5001--THE GENERAL APPROPRIATION BILL

H. 5001 -- Ways and Means Committee: A BILL TO MAKE APPROPRIATIONS AND TO PROVIDE REVENUES TO MEET THE ORDINARY EXPENSES OF STATE GOVERNMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2016, TO REGULATE THE EXPENDITURE OF SUCH FUNDS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE FOR THE OPERATION OF STATE GOVERNMENT DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

SECTION 86

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:
Yeas 93; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anthony	Atwater	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cole
Collins	Corley	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Douglas
Duckworth	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Goldfinch	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Knight	Loftis
Lucas	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Nanney
Neal	Newton	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--93

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 86 was adopted.

SECTION 105--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. HERBKERSMAN proposed the following Amendment No. 10 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\state auditor fte adjustment.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 105, SFAA-STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE, page 237, lines 11-12, opposite /Classified Positions/ by Increasing the FTE(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
(1.00)	(1.00)

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 105, SFAA-

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE, page 237, lines 15-16, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
(1.00)	(1.00)

Renumber sections to conform.
Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN explained the amendment.
The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:
Yeas 82; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Ballentine	Bedingfield	Bradley
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Nanney	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Ryhal	Sandifer	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--82

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 105, as amended, was adopted.

SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE IN CHAIR

SECTION 106--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE, HERBKERSMAN, and COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 34 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\EMPLOYEE PAY, HEALTH AND RETIREMENT INCREASE - 2.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 106, STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, page 238, line 3, opposite /Base Pay Increase/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
17,197,534	17,197,534

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 106, STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, page 238, lines 7-8, opposite /Health Insurance-Employer Contributions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
330,161	330,161

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 106, STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, page 238, line 9, opposite /SCRS Employer Contributions/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
182,311	182,311

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. NEAL spoke in favor of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bingham	Bradley	Brannon
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Nanney	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:
Hill

Total--1

The amendment was then adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber when the roll call was taken on Amendment No. 34 to Section 106, Part IA of H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill. I missed the two minute roll call vote, however, if I had been present, I would have joined the unanimous chorus of votes and would have voted in favor of Amendment No. 34, to provide a 2% pay raise for State Employees.

Rep. James E. Smith

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE granted Rep. NANNEY a temporary leave of absence.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 49 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\pay increase 3%.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 106, STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, page 238, line 3, opposite /base pay increase/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
32,400,000	32,400,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 49 was out of order because the Amendment appropriated more than five million dollars and did not include the corresponding appropriation decrease in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation decrease would be made.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke against the Point.

Rep. J.E. SMITH spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE referred to Section 5.3B, stating that an amendment which has the effect of appropriating funds in excess of five millions dollars during the fiscal year stated within the Appropriation Bill shall include within the amendment the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease within the same section that shall fully fund the amendments proposed appropriations or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease would occur. The SPEAKER PRO-TEMPORE sustained the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 49 to be out of order.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 50 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\PAY INCREASE 2.5%.DOCX), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 106, STATEWIDE EMPLOYEE BENEFITS, page 238, line 3, opposite /base pay increase/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
24,300,000	24,300,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 50 was out of order because the amendment appropriated more than five million dollars and did not include the corresponding appropriation decrease in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation decrease would be made.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke against the Point.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. J. E. SMITH spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE referred to Section 5.3B, stating that an amendment which has the effect of appropriating funds in excess of five millions dollars during the fiscal year stated within the Appropriation Bill shall include within the amendment the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease within the same section that shall fully fund the amendments proposed appropriations or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease would occur. The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE sustained the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 50 out of order.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 99; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bedingfield	Bowers
Bradley	G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Corley	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinnakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--99

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 106, as amended, was adopted.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 113--ADOPTED

Reps. OTT, GEORGE, KING, COBB-HUNTER and KNIGHT proposed the following Amendment No. 52 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\5% increase to lgf - ott.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /AID - Local Government Fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
10,630,971	10,630,971

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. OTT explained the amendment.

Rep. OTT spoke in favor of the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 52 was out of order because the Amendment

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

appropriated more than five million dollars and did not include the corresponding appropriation decrease in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation decrease would be made.

Rep. OTT spoke against the Point.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke against the Point.

Rep. KING spoke against the Point.

Rep. ALEXANDER spoke against the Point.

Rep. HILL spoke to the Point.

Rep. KNIGHT spoke against the Point.

Rep. BAMBERG spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER stated the specific language of the Rule states that an appropriation in excess of five million dollars is required to make a specific appropriation reduction in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where a specific appropriation will be made. The SPEAKER stated that a reference stating that the appropriated funds would come from available surplus or balance did not make a specific appropriation reduction. He stated further that the specific appropriation reduction should reference a page and line where the dollars are to be obtained and the rule was required to be narrowly and strictly construed. The SPEAKER sustained the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 52 out of order.

Rep. BAMBERG moved that the House recede until 5:42 p.m.

Rep. BRADLEY moved to table the motion.

Rep. BAMBERG demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 66; Nays 45

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anthony	Atwater	Bales
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bingham
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Cole	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Gagnon	George	Goldfinch

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Long
Lowe	Lucas	McCoy
Merrill	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Pitts	Putnam	Quinn
Riley	Rivers	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Wells	White
Whitmire	Willis	Yow

Total--66

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bowers	R. L. Brown
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Collins
Corley	Dillard	Douglas
Funderburk	Gilliard	Govan
Henegan	Hill	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Mack	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pope
Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
J. E. Smith	Southard	Tinkler
Weeks	Whipper	Williams

Total--45

So, the motion to recede was tabled.

Reps. SOUTHARD, CHUMLEY, HOSEY, RIVERS, CROSBY, DANING, BAMBERG, W. J. McLEOD, KIRBY, ALEXANDER, GEORGE, YOW, KING, KNIGHT, GAGNON, COBB-HUNTER, HENEGAN and MITCHELL proposed the following Amendment

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

No. 53 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\fully fund local government fund- southard.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /Aid - Local Government Fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
100,598,115	100,598,115

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. SOUTHARD explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 53 was out of order because the Amendment appropriated more than five million dollars and did not include the corresponding appropriation decrease in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation decrease would be made.

The SPEAKER referred to Section 5.3B and sustained the Point of Order, ruling Amendment No. 53 to be out of order.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. W. J. MCLEOD a temporary leave of absence.

SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* IN CHAIR

Rep. ATWATER proposed the following Amendment No. 80 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\INCREASE LGF FUNDING BY \$4.5 M - ATWATER.DOCX), which was rejected:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /Aid - Local Government Fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
4,500,000	4,500,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. ATWATER explained the amendment.

Rep. ATWATER spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. ATWATER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 45; Nays 57

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Bales	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bradley	Burns	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Delleney	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Fry	Gagnon	Herbkersman
Hiott	Hixon	Howard
Johnson	Jordan	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
McCoy	Merrill	V. S. Moss
Pitts	Riley	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Taylor	Wells
White	Whitmire	Willis

Total--45

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bingham	Bowers	G. A. Brown
Chumley	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Crosby	Daning	Dillard
Douglas	Forrester	Funderburk
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hicks	Hill	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Mack	McEachern	M. S. McLeod

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Mitchell	D. C. Moss	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Tallon	Tinkler
Weeks	Whipper	Williams

Total--57

So, the House refused to table the amendment.

Rep. WHITE spoke against the amendment.

Rep. BAMBERG spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. ANTHONY spoke upon the amendment.

Rep. NEAL spoke against the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 32; Nays 70

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Atwater
Bamberg	Bowers	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Chumley	Crosby
Daning	Douglas	Funderburk
George	Gilliard	Hardee
Hicks	Hill	Hosey
King	Knight	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Norman	Norrell
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Rivers	J. E. Smith	Southard
Tallon	Whipper	

Total--32

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Anthony	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bradley
Brannon	Burns	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Gagnon	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	Kirby	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
Merrill	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Pope
Riley	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinnakis
Stringer	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--70

The amendment was then rejected.

Rep. ATWATER proposed the following Amendment No. 87 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\INCREASE LGF BY \$1 MILLION - ATWATER.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /Aid - Local Government Fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
1,000,000	1,000,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. ATWATER explained the amendment.

Rep. ATWATER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. OTT proposed the following Amendment No. 98 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DKA\5001C005.DKA.SA16.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /Aid-local government fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
10,630,971	10,630,971

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. OTT explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. COBB-HUNTER raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 98 was out of order because the Amendment appropriated more than five million dollars and did not include the corresponding appropriation decrease in the same section or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation decrease would be made.

The SPEAKER referred to Section 5.3B, stating that an amendment which has the effect of appropriating funds in excess of five millions dollars during the fiscal year stated within the bill shall include within the amendment the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease within the same section that shall fully fund the amendments proposed appropriations or have attached to it a document explaining where the corresponding appropriation revenue decrease would occur. The specific appropriation reduction is made in the State Highway fund. The SPEAKER overruled the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 98 to be in order.

Rep. SANDIFER moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 70; Nays 31

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bingham
Bradley	R. L. Brown	Clary
Clemmons	Cole	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Gagnon	Goldfinch	Hamilton
Hardee	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Howard
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	McCoy
Merrill	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Norman
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Riley	Rivers	Ryhal
Sandifer	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--70

Those who voted in the negative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bamberg
Bowers	Brannon	G. A. Brown
Cobb-Hunter	Collins	Dillard
Douglas	Funderburk	George
Gilliard	Govan	Hayes
Henegan	Hosey	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Mack
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	Mitchell
Ott	Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rutherford
Weeks

J. E. Smith

Southard

Total--31

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. KING proposed the following Amendment No. 100 to (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\INCREASE LGF BY \$4.9M.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 113, AID TO SUBDIVISIONS - STATE TREASURER, page 247, line 3, opposite /Aid - Local Government Fund/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
4,900,000	4,900,000

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. KING explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. WHITE demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 72; Nays 26

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Brannon	Clary	Clemmons
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Gagnon	Goldfinch	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Kirby	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
McCoy	Merrill	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Norman
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Riley	Rivers	Ryhal
Sandifer	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--72

Those who voted in the negative are:

Anderson	Bamberg	Bowers
G. A. Brown	R. L. Brown	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas
George	Gilliard	Henegan
Hosey	Jefferson	King
Knight	Mack	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Neal	Ott
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	J. E. Smith
Weeks	Whipper	

Total--26

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 104; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	G. A. Brown
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--104

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 113 was adopted.

PART IB

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

SECTION 1--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 2 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\school districts flexibility.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 264, paragraph 1.28, line 27, by striking /operations/ and inserting /facilities/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 264, paragraph 1.28, line 29, by striking line 29 in its entirety and inserting /percentage of its per pupil expenditures used for classroom instruction, instructional support, *and only transportation, food service and safety within* non-instruction pupil services /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 5 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\residential treatment facilities.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 269, paragraph 1.42, after line 15, by inserting:

/RTF facilities on the State Qualified Provider List not located within the boundaries of the state shall be reimbursed at a rate that may not exceed \$45 per student per day for education services and school districts shall be eligible to receive a base student cost weighted funding of 2.10 provided that the student remains enrolled in the school district. Facilities providing the educational services shall notify the resident district in writing within forty-five calendar days that a student from the resident district is receiving educational services pursuant to the provisions of the proviso. Reimbursements shall be paid within sixty days of billing, provided the qualified facility has provided a copy of the invoice to both the District Superintendent and the finance office of the resident district being invoiced. Should the facility be unable to reach agreement with the resident school district regarding reasonable costs differences, the provider shall notify the Department of Education's Office of General Counsel. The Department of Education shall facilitate

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

a resolution of the dispute between the facility and the resident school district within forty-five days of the notice of dispute. If the issue of reasonable cost differences should remain unresolved, a facility shall have the right to file a complaint in a Circuit Court. Additionally, qualified RTF providers' general education curriculum must be aligned to the South Carolina academic standards in the core content areas. All students with disabilities who are eligible for special education and related services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as amended, and the State Board of Education (SBE) regulations, as amended, shall receive special education and related services in the least restrictive environment by appropriately certified personnel. Students in a qualified RTF will at all times be eligible to receive the educational credits (e.g., Carnegie Units) earned through their educational efforts. The resident school district and the RTF should develop a memorandum of understanding to outline the responsibilities of the RTF in providing the educational services and responsibilities, if any, of the resident school district while the student is housed in the RFT./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. ANTHONY proposed the following Amendment No. 7 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\reaches coaches.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 276, paragraph 1.66, lines 14-15, by striking /School districts may utilize literacy coaches as interventionists as included in the district reading plan and when supported by assessment data showing the district reading plan is improving literacy/ and inserting /School districts must request a waiver from the State Superintendent of Education in order to utilize literacy coaches as interventionists as included in the district reading plan and when supported by assessment data showing the district reading plan is improving literacy./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. ANTHONY explained the amendment.
The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. G. R. SMITH and LOFTIS proposed the following Amendment No. 90 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\charter school transition funds.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 277, paragraph 1.67, line 17, by striking /for/ and inserting /If funds are available for/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 277, paragraph 1.67, line 18, by striking:/ from the local district or statewide transition funds /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 277, paragraph 1.67, line 19, by inserting after formula.:

/Funds due to charter schools will be determined using Fiscal Year 2016-17 135 Day ADM./

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 277, paragraph 1.67, line 20, by inserting after district.:

/Funds requested may not exceed the difference in Education Finance Act revenue prior to the changes in the funding formula. No transition funds may be allocated to offset a loss in revenue that is the result of a reduction in pupils./

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 277, paragraph 1.67, line 22, by inserting at the end:

/If any excess funds exist, they must be transferred to the Charter School Revolving Loan Program./

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. R. SMITH explained the amendment.
Rep. BINGHAM spoke in favor of the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. KING raised the Point of Order that under Section 11-11-140, Amendment No. 90 was out of order in that it appropriated general fund revenues not recognized by the BEA.

The SPEAKER reviewed Section 11-11-140, which prohibits appropriations of surplus general fund revenues in excess of amounts

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

officially recognized as such by the Board of Economic Advisors. The SPEAKER sustained the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 90 to be out of order.

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 3 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\teacher salary v2 gf.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part 1B, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 288, paragraph 1.91, line 12, by striking /Teacher Salary Supplement/ and inserting /Education Finance Act/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Part 1B, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 288, paragraph 1.91, line 13 by striking the line in its entirety, and by inserting:

/one percent of the required two percent increase./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 4 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\facility assessment.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part 1B, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 288, after line 20, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(SDE: Facilities Tracking System and Assessment Assistance) Funds appropriated to the Department of Education for facilities assessment shall be used to compile information regarding facilities and maintenance needs of each school district. Charter schools must be included./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 105; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--105

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 1, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 1A--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 8 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\008\ SCHOOL DISTRICT FLEX EIA.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1A, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION-EIA, page 294, paragraph 1A.14, line 34, by striking */operations/* and inserting */facilities/*

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1A, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION-EIA, page 294, paragraph 1A.14, by striking line 36 in its entirety and inserting */percentage of its per pupil expenditures used for classroom instruction, instructional support, and only transportation, food service and safety within non-instruction pupil services /*

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. ANTHONY proposed the following Amendment No. 9 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\008\REACHING COACHES EIA.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1A, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION-EIA, page 310, paragraph 1A.66, lines 28-29, by striking */school districts may utilize literacy coaches as interventionists as included in the district reading plan and when supported by assessment data showing the district reading plan is improving literacy/* and inserting */school districts must request a waiver from the State Superintendent of Education in order to utilize literacy coaches as interventionists as included in the district reading plan and when supported by assessment data showing the district reading plan is improving literacy./*

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. ANTHONY explained the amendment.

Rep. BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 6 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\008\TEACHER SALARY EIA V2.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part 1B, Section 1A, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION - EIA, page 315, paragraph 1A.79, line 21, by striking the line in its entirety, and by inserting :/ one percent of the required two percent increase./

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henegan	Hicks
Hill	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 1A, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 3--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 67 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\need-based grants.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 323, paragraph 3.6, after line 20, by inserting:

/() Commision on Higher Education--Need-Based Grants \$3,000,000

/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 4

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--103

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bedingfield	Chumley	Hill
Putnam		

Total--4

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis		

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 3, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 7--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. BINGHAM, COBB-HUNTER, ERICKSON, LONG, PARKS, HAYES and ANTHONY proposed the following Amendment No. 48 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\008\JDLH SUB 1.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 327, paragraph 7.6, lines 15-30, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting *7.6 (JDLH: Transition) The General Assembly recognizes that multiple reports conducted over prior years have highlighted the cost inefficiencies of John de la Howe and the lack of data regarding the impact of the program on student outcomes.*

The Board of Trustees of the John de la Howe School will be temporarily suspended for the current fiscal year. To wit, Sections 59-49-20, 59-49-40, 59-49-60, 59-49-80, 59-49-110, and 59-49-140 of the 1976 Code are suspended for the fiscal year. In accordance with the purposes of the will of Dr. John de la Howe, by September 30 of the current fiscal year, an advisory group comprised of one person each designated by the Director of the Department of Social Services, the Director of the Department of Juvenile Justice, the State Superintendent of the Department of Education, the Director of the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services, the Chair of the Joint Citizens

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

and Legislative Committee on Children, a Representative appointed by the Speaker of the House, and a Senator appointed by the President pro tempore of the Senate will recommend an educational, vocational, and life skills training program at the John de la Howe School for older youth who are at risk and who are aging out of the foster care or the juvenile justice supervisory programs of the Department of Social Services or the Department of Juvenile Justice. The program will utilize the funds appropriated to John de la Howe School for the costs of the program that will include school drop-out recovery to complete a high school degree, a GED program, vocational and employment training, and an aftercare program for transition of the youth to independent living and employment. Clemson University will collaborate with the advisory group regarding the development of a vocational farming component for the program.

Effective July 1, the funds appropriated to the John de la Howe School will be transferred to a line item contained in the appropriations section for the Department of Juvenile Justice. In consultation with the advisory group and as set forth herein, by November 30, the Department of Juvenile Justice will procure a contract with a non-profit child-service provider to operate the program. The non-profit child-service provider must be a nationally accredited (AdvancED) educational organization experienced in both child protection and juvenile justice programs and must be able to demonstrate a history of success in the operation of educational and vocational residential training programs for youth. The Department of Administration and the Executive Budget Office will assist the Department of Juvenile Justice as needed in the transition.

The Department of Social Services and the Department of Juvenile Justice will provide for the safe transition of the existing residents from John de la Howe School into such placements, programs and services as determined appropriate based on an assessment of their individual needs.

In the development of the program and in the qualifications and selection of the non-profit child-service provider, considerations by the advisory group will include the following:

(1) the overlap of needs of children who crossover for services between the Department of Social Services and the Department of Juvenile Justice;

(2) educational, school drop-out recovery, GED, vocational programs, life skills training programs, career and employment opportunities, and independent living programs for these older youth clients that can be provided using the John de la Howe School facilities.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

resources, and funding to assist these youth who are at risk and aging out of state services to prepare for success as adults;

(3) aftercare programs that will follow these youths into the community and help them to become established in viable employment and living situations that encourage a future free of homelessness, unemployment, poverty, alcohol and other substance abuse, criminal behavior, and dependence on public assistance;

(4) provide the existing child clients at John de la Howe School with a proper, safe transition to family reunification or other appropriate placements and services;

(5) provide consideration of current John de la Howe School employees, where appropriate, for employment pursuant to the new non-profit provider contract for program services; and,

(6) how the existing funds and youth vocational training programs can be applied to provide repairs and maintenance to the John de la Howe School buildings and grounds.

The Department of Juvenile Justice will provide procurement for the contract, fiscal administration of the funds, contract accountability, compliance, and reporting and will submit reports by June 30th of the current fiscal year and by June 30th of the next fiscal year to the House Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Finance Committee, and the Joint Citizens and Legislative Committee on Children to inform the Committees regarding the status and progress of programs, operations, client data, facilities, and budget information. The advisory group may make recommendations to the Governor and General Assembly regarding the future role of the John De La Howe School./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Neal	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Section 7, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 11--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. MERRILL proposed the following Amendment No. 11 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\college transition .docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 330, paragraph 11.12, line 7, by striking /\$179,178/ and inserting /\$350,000/

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MERRILL explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 103; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
Burns	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--103

Those who voted in the negative are:

Hill

Total--1

Section 11, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 19

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 95; Nays 9

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bamberg	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Goldfinch	Govan	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--95

Those who voted in the negative are:

Burns	Chumley	Corley
Felder	Hiott	Norman
Putnam	G. R. Smith	Taylor

Total--9

Section 19 was adopted.

SECTION 27--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. NEAL proposed the following Amendment No. 12 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\delete cl .docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 27, STATE LIBRARY, page 337, lines 25 - 35, and page 338, lines 1 - 4, paragraph

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

27.9, by striking the proviso in its entirety.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. STAVRINAKIS explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Corley
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 27, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 30

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	Corley	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 30 was adopted.

SECTION 33--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. G. M. SMITH, CLYBURN, BALES and COLE proposed the following Amendment No. 22 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\rural health initiative.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, page 345, paragraph 33.27, line 32, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting /33.27. (DHHS: Rural Health Initiative) From the funds appropriated to the Department of Health and Human Services for the Rural Health Initiative, the department shall partner with the University of South Carolina School of Medicine to develop a strategic plan for addressing medically underserved communities throughout the state. The University of South Carolina, School of Medicine will consult with

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

the South Carolina Office of Rural Health in the development and implementation of the strategic plan. The department may leverage any and all available federal funds to implement this initiative.

(A) The Department of Health and Human Services shall develop a plan to address the following provisions:

(1) Rural Healthcare and Education - This initiative will be administered by the USC School of Medicine through a Center of Excellence to support and develop rural medical education and delivery infrastructure in South Carolina through clinical practice, training and research as well as collaboration with other state agencies and institutions. Funding will support Center staffing as well as the programs and collaborations delivering rural health research, the ICARED program, workforce development scholarships and recruitment, rural fellowships, health education development, and rural practice support and education.

(2) Rural Residency Training Enhancement Grants - The department and USC School of Medicine shall determine areas for expanding or enhancing family medicine residency programs, and any other appropriate primary care specialties identified by the Department, into areas not served by Graduate Medical Education programs, in order to expose resident physicians to rural practice and enhance the opportunity to recruit these residents for long term practice in these rural and/or underserved communities. Up to \$500,000 of the funds appropriated to the department for the Rural Health Initiative may be used for this purpose. The department and USC School of Medicine shall collaborate to determine a methodology for evaluating proposals and awarding enhancement grants. New training sites and/or residency positions are subject to approval as specified by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME). Applications to the ACGME must be developed no later than June 30, 2017.

(B) The Department of Health and Human Services, in cooperation with the State Fiscal Accountability Authority, shall develop one or more competitive procurements for a facility capable of providing Emergency Care Services in a geographical area determined by the department to be medically underserved. This facility and any equipment shall be exempt from any Department of Health and Environmental Control Certificate of Need requirements or regulations. The facility must provide emergency care and observation beds twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week. The department shall seek one or more proposals from qualified entities to:

(1) Develop a facility capable of providing emergency

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

care, twenty-four hours a day and seven days a week, and designed to incorporate the utilization of the Statewide Telemedicine Network.

(2) Provide for the delivery of care and the management of daily operations in the facility.

(3) The Center of Excellence, in cooperation with the department, will develop a plan to support and enhance primary care and specialty services in the region surrounding this facility.

(C) The Revenue and Fiscal Affairs Office and the Area Health Education Consortium's Office of Healthcare Workforce Analysis and Planning shall provide the department with any information required by the department in order to implement this proviso in accordance with state law and regulations./

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. COBB-HUNTER and MITCHELL proposed the following Amendment No. 91 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\healthcare cost.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, page 346, after line 21, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (DHHS: Healthcare Funding for the Uninsured) With the funds appropriated and authorized for the Department of Health and Human Services, the Department shall commission a study to determine the amount of state revenue that is being utilized to provide healthcare to the uninsured and make recommendations on how the state could leverage other funds, such as federal, private grant, and provider fees, and existing programs such as the Healthy Outcomes Initiative and the federal Hospital Disproportionate Share program, to reduce the demand for state revenue and provide greater stability to all current state funded healthcare./

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 82; Nays 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bowers
Bradley	R. L. Brown	Burns
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Finlay
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	V. S. Moss
Neal	Norman	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--82

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bedingfield	Hill	Putnam
-------------	------	--------

Total--3

[HJ]

88

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Section 33, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 34--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. MURPHY proposed the following Amendment No. 65 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DKA\5001C006.DKA.SA16.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 34, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL, page 358, after line 27, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ 34. (DHEC: Coastal Zone Boundary) Of the funds appropriated, the Department of Health and Environmental Control shall report to the General Assembly by January 1, 2017, with an initial recommendation to revise the coastal zone boundary, if any, and the study shall begin with Dorchester County. /

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MURPHY explained the amendment.

Rep. WHIPPER spoke against the amendment.

Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke in favor of the amendment.

MOTION ADOPTED

On motion of Rep. G. M. Smith, with unanimous consent, it was ordered that "if any," be included in the language of Amendment No. 65, which was agreed to.

The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. G. R. SMITH, LOFTIS, STRINGER, CHUMLEY and BURNS proposed the following Amendment No. 68 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\pol sub health.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 34, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL, page 358, after line 27, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (DHEC: Management of Healthcare Political Subdivisions) With the funds appropriated or authorized for the Department of Health and Environmental Control in Fiscal Year 2016-2017, the Department shall

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

immediately revoke the license of any Healthcare operation that is also a Political Subdivision of South Carolina in the event that the governing board of the Political Subdivision attempts, votes, contracts, or takes any action to subcontract, lease, sublease, assign, convey, or in any manner rid itself of its duties enumerated in state law to operate the Political Subdivision Healthcare System./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. R. SMITH explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. BANNISTER raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 68 is not germane to the bill.

The SPEAKER overruled the Point of Order and ruled Amendment No. 68 to be in order.

Rep. BANNISTER spoke against the amendment and moved to table the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 79; Nays 8

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hodges
Huggins	Jefferson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Limehouse
Long	Lowe	McCoy

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

McEachern	M. S. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Parks
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Tinkler	Weeks
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--79

Those who voted in the negative are:

Burns	Chumley	Corley
Hill	Loftis	Pitts
G. R. Smith	Stringer	

Total--8

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 79; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Bedingfield	Bradley	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Cole	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Howard	Huggins

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Jefferson	King	Kirby
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Parks
Pitts	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--79

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 34, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 38--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. G. M. SMITH, CLYBURN, BALES and COLE proposed the following Amendment No. 23 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\hwm\010\dss tuition loan.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 38, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, page 367, after line 24, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(Tuition Reimbursement/Student Loan Repayment) The Department of Social Services is allowed to spend state, federal, and other sources of revenue to provide tuition reimbursement and/or student loan repayment to aid in retaining caseworkers and critical needs department jobs based on objective guidelines established by the State Director of the Department of Social Services.

The department may also provide paid educational leave for any employees in an FTE position to attend class while enrolled in programs

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

that are related to the agency's mission. All such leave is at the agency head's discretion.

The department may enter into an agreement with staff employed in critical need departments to repay them for their outstanding student loans and/or reimburse tuition expenses. The employee must be employed in a critical needs area, which would be identified at the agency head's discretion, be in a covered FTE, and not have any disciplinary actions. Participants in this program must agree to remain at the Department for a period of five years. The department may pay these employees up to \$7,500 each year over a five-year period in accordance with a program developed by the Department. Payments will be made directly to the employee at the end of each year of employment. Payments cannot exceed the balance of the student loan or the cost of tuition./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 67; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Bedingfield	Bradley
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	H. A. Crawford
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Kirby	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Neal
Norman	Pitts	Putnam
Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Southard	Tallon
Tinkler	Weeks	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--67

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 38, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 49--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. HIXON and HERBKERSMAN proposed the following Amendment No. 56 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\prt welcome center complex mowing.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 49, DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 374, after line 13, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (PRT: Welcome Center Complex Mowing), which was adopted: Of the funds appropriated for State Welcome Centers, the department is directed to ensure that at every Welcome Centers complex, the outer edge of the pavement of the adjacent highway, the highway control of access right of way line, and all boundaries surrounding the complex must be mowed in a manner to ensure that the entirety of the grounds are uniform in appearance. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HIXON explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. CLEMMONS proposed the following Amendment No. 57 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\PRT BEACH ACCESS PROVISIO.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 49,

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 374,
after line 13, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(PRT: Beach Access) Of the funds appropriated for state parks, the department shall utilize such funds to open pedestrian, non-motorized vehicular and golf cart ingress and egress to Myrtle Beach State Park at the intersection of US Highway 17 and Center South Road in Myrtle Beach, and / or at other location(s) which legally and safely affords such ingress and egress. Said access shall be subject to the rules and regulations of the department governing uniform closure of park ingress during periods of peak usage./

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. CLEMMONS explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 89; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Lucas
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--89

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 49, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 50--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. BINGHAM and LOFTIS proposed the following Amendment No. 14 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\commercetrucking.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 376, after line 31, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(CMRC: Study of Employment of Entry-Level CDL Drivers by State and Local Agencies) From the funds appropriated to the Department of Commerce, the department shall establish a study committee to identify how best to facilitate and incentivize state and local government fleet operations in the hiring of entry-level Commercial Drivers' License holders. The study committee shall develop recommendations for the General Assembly to consider which include, but are not limited to, coordination and cooperation with the Department of Education and the State Technical College system; minimal and/or targeted agency entry-level employment-level objectives; state-sponsored incentives; limitations on liability; state-sponsored insurance coverage underwriting for some initial period of employment; payroll tax exemptions or incentives; and other state-sponsored support.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The study committee shall be comprised of ten members as follows:

(1) two members appointed by the Governor, one of whom is an employee of the South Carolina Insurance Reserve Fund and one of whom is an employee of the Department of Administration;

(2) two members appointed by the Chairman of the House Education and Public Works Committee, one of whom is a member of the committee and one of whom is an employee of the Department of Education;

(3) two members appointed by the Chairman of the Senate Transportation Committee, one of whom is a member of the committee and one of whom is an employee of the Technical College System;

(4) one member appointed by the Secretary of Transportation;

(5) one member appointed by the South Carolina Municipal Association;

(6) one member appointed by the South Carolina Association of Counties; and

(7) one member appointed by the South Carolina Trucking Association.

Members of the study committee shall possess experience and expertise in human resources, safety, risk, fleet management, or other areas consistent with this objective. Staff support shall be provided by the Department of Commerce, with assistance from the staffs of the Senate Transportation Committee and the House Education and Public Works Committee, upon request. Findings and recommendations shall be submitted to the General Assembly by October 31, 2016./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 87; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
Burns	Clary	Clemmons

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Hamilton
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
McCoy	McEachern	M. S. McLeod
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Taylor	Tinkler
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--87

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 50, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 52

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 87; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Bamberg	Bedingfield	Bradley
Brannon	Clary	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	D. C. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Taylor
Tinkler	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis

Total--87

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 52 was adopted.

SECTION 54--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE and SIMRILL proposed the following Amendment No. 13 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\water and sewer proviso.docx), which was adopted:

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 54, RURAL INFRASTRUCTURE AUTHORITY, page 377, after line 26, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(RIA: Statewide Water and Sewer Fund) The Rural Infrastructure Authority shall use the funds allocated for the Statewide Water and Sewer Fund to assist qualified infrastructure projects not eligible for the Rural Infrastructure Fund. The Authority shall utilize the same procedures and guidelines established for the Rural Infrastructure Fund to select qualified projects for the Statewide Water and Sewer Fund. The authority may carry forward from the prior fiscal year into the current fiscal year, funds appropriated to the Statewide Water and Sewer Fund./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 84; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bowers	Brannon
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Goldfinch
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Ott

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tinkler	Wells	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis

Total--84

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 89; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bedingfield	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Goldfinch	Hamilton
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

McKnight	M. S. McLeod	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Tinkler	Wells
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--89

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 54, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 60--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. PITTS, POPE, COBB-HUNTER, CLEMMONS, HOSEY and ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 27 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\cdv summary court proviso pcc.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 60, PROSECUTION COORDINATION COMMISSION, page 383, after line 14, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(Summary Court Domestic Violence Fund Distribution)The Summary Court Domestic Violence Prosecution funding shall be distributed based upon ten percent of the average incoming caseload for each county as reported by the South Carolina Judicial Department for the prior 3 fiscal years./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 94; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bedingfield	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Goldfinch	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Norman
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--94

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 60, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 64--ADOPTED

Rep. WILLIAMS proposed the following Amendment No. 74 (Doc Name COUNCIL\BBM\5001C016.BBM.DG16.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 64, LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING COUNCIL, page 391, after line 36, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ 64. . (LETC: Transfer for Domestic Violence) From the funds appropriated, the Law Enforcement Training Council shall transfer \$400,000 to the Commission for Minority Affairs for a domestic violence program./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WILLIAMS explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS moved to table the amendment.

Rep. WILLIAMS demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 64; Nays 27

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bingham
Brannon	Clary	Clemmons
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Goldfinch
Hayes	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Huggins	Johnson

[HJ]

104

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Jordan	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Long	Lucas
M. S. McLeod	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Norman	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Riley	Rivers
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Tallon	Taylor
Wells	White	Whitmire
Willis		

Total--64

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bamberg	Bowers	Bradley
R. L. Brown	Clyburn	George
Gilliard	Govan	Hardee
Hart	Hodges	Hosey
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Lowe	Mack	McKnight
Neal	Ryhal	J. E. Smith
Whipper	Williams	Yow

Total--27

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 102; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--102

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 64 was adopted.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. LONG a leave of absence for the remainder of the day.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* IN CHAIR

SECTION 91--ADOPTED

Reps. COBB-HUNTER and MITCHELL proposed the following Amendment No. 105 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\hwm\010\health ad hoc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 91, LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, page 416, after line 9, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (LEG: *Healthcare Funding for the Uninsured*) *From the funds appropriated to the House of Representatives and the Senate, there is created an ad hoc study committee to address the issue of determining the amount of state revenue that is being utilized to provide healthcare to the uninsured. The committee shall be comprised of nine members appointed as follows: one member appointed by the Speaker of the House, one member appointed by the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, one member appointed by the House Majority Leader, one member appointed by the House Minority Leader, one member appointed by the President Pro Tempore of the Senate, one member appointed by the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, one member appointed by the Senate Majority Leader, one member appointed by the Senate Minority Leader and one member appointed by the Director of the Department of Health and Human Services. Appointees should have knowledge and/or experience in the areas of healthcare and/or health insurance. Staff for the ad hoc study committee shall be provided by the Senate Finance Committee and the House Ways and Means Committee.*

The committee shall make recommendations on how the state could leverage federal funds, private grants, provider fees, and existing programs such as the Healthy Outcomes Initiative and the federal Hospital Disproportionate Share program to reduce the demand for state revenue and provide greater stability to all current state funded healthcare. A report on the committee's findings and recommendations shall be submitted to the members of the General Assembly by February 1, 2017.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. TAYLOR moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 67; Nays 43

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Brannon	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Goldfinch
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
McCoy	Merrill	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Newton
Norman	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Wells
White	Whitmire	Willis
Yow		

Total--67

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bamberg	Bowers
R. L. Brown	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Dillard	Douglas	Funderburk
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hart	Hayes	Henegan
Hodges	Hosey	Howard

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Mack	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	Mitchell
Neal	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	J. E. Smith	Stavrakis
Tinkler	Weeks	Whipper
Williams		

Total--43

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	Merrill

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 91 was adopted.

SECTION 117--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. WHITE, COBB-HUNTER and HERBKERSMAN proposed the following Amendment No. 36 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\employee pay raise proviso 117.118.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 485, paragraph 117.118, lines 5,8,11,12,14,17,19, 21 and 23, by striking */one/* and inserting */two/*

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHITE explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 54 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\117.118 PAY INCREASE 3%.DOCX), which was tabled:

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 485, paragraph 117.118, lines 5, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21 and 23, by striking /one/ and inserting /three/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 55 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\117.118 PAY INCREASE 2.5%.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 485, paragraph 117.118, lines 5, 8, 11, 12, 14, 17, 19, 21 and 23, by striking /one/ and inserting /two and one-half/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. DOUGLAS proposed the following Amendment No. 103 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\pay plan less than 75k.docx), which was rejected:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 485, paragraph 117.118, lines 1 - 32, by striking the proviso in its entirety and by inserting:

/ 117.118. (GP: Employee Compensation) The amounts appropriated to the Department of Administration F300-Statewide Employee Benefits for Employee Pay Increases must be allocated by the department Department of Administration, Executive Budget Office to the various state agencies to provide for employee pay increases for state employees whose annual equivalent salary on July 1, 2016, is less than \$75,000 in accordance with the following plan:

(1) With respect to classified and non-judge judicial classified employees, effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

of the current fiscal year, the compensation of all classified employees shall be increased by zero two percent.

(2) With respect to unclassified and non-judge judicial unclassified employees or unclassified executive compensation system employees not elsewhere covered in this act, effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year the compensation of all unclassified employees shall be increased by zero two percent. Any employee subject to the provisions of this paragraph shall not be eligible for compensation increases provided in paragraphs 1, 3, 4, 5, or 6.

(3) Effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year, agency heads not covered by the Agency Head Salary Commission, shall receive an annualized base pay increase of zero two percent.

(4) With respect to local health care providers compensation increases shall be zero two percent effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year. With respect to Area Agencies on Aging funded by the Lieutenant Governor's Office on Aging, compensation shall be increased by zero two percent effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year. With respect to local councils on aging or local providers of services funded by the Lieutenant Governor's Office on Aging through Area Agencies on Aging, no pay increases will be allowed. School Bus Driver salary and fringe funding to school districts shall be increased by zero two percent.

(5) Effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year, the Chief Justice and other judicial officers shall receive an annualized base pay increase of zero two percent.

(6) Effective on the first pay date that occurs on or after July first of the current fiscal year, county auditors and county treasurers shall receive an annualized base pay increase of zero two percent.

(7) For Fiscal Year 2016-17, the Executive Budget Office is directed to review Executive Branch agencies to determine whether their budgets warrant an other fund authorization increase due to the two percent compensation increase for all full-time employees. If so warranted, the Executive Budget Office shall work with the Office of the Comptroller General to increase such authorization for the affected agencies.

Notwithstanding the provisions of items (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6), state employees whose annual equivalent salary is \$75,000 or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

greater on July, 1, 2016, shall not be eligible to receive the two percent base pay increase.

The Department of Administration shall allocate associated compensation increases for retirement employer contributions based on the retirement rate of the retirement system in which individual employees participate.

The Executive Director of the State Fiscal Accountability Authority is authorized to use excess appropriations for the current fiscal year designated for statewide employer contributions for other statewide purposes. At the discretion of the Executive Director of the State Fiscal Accountability Authority, such action may be considered a permanent transfer into the receiving agency's base budget.

Funds appropriated in Part IA, F300, Section 106, Statewide Employee Benefits may be carried forward from the prior fiscal year into the current fiscal year.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. DOUGLAS explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment, which was not agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 46; Nays 56

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bamberg
Bowers	R. L. Brown	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas
Felder	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Govan	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henegan
Hill	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	King	Kirby
Knight	Mack	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	Neal	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Parks

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Putnam	Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson
J. E. Smith	Southard	Tinkler
Weeks	Whipper	Williams
Yow		

Total--46

Those who voted in the negative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Brannon	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Delleney	Duckworth	Erickson
Forrester	Fry	Goldfinch
Hamilton	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
Limehouse	Lowe	McCoy
Merrill	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Newton	Pitts	Pope
Quinn	Riley	Rivers
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Wells	White
Whitmire	Willis	

Total--56

So, the amendment was rejected.

Reps. BINGHAM and ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 59 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\revolving loan fund.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 490, paragraph 117.129, lines 15 - 18, by striking the proviso in its entirety. */(GP: Revolving Loan Fund) By July 15, 2016, the State Treasurer shall issue a request for proposal for a South Carolina non-profit corporation to manage the application and review process of the Charter School Facility Revolving Loan Program*

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

established in Section 59-40-175, of the 1976 Code. Funds shall be made available to approved applicants no later than October 1 each year so that facility plans may proceed for the following school year./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. ERICKSON explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. KIRBY, PITTS, WHITE and LOWE proposed the following Amendment No. 28 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\007\KIRBY, LYNCHES RIVER BOAT LANDING.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 491, paragraph 117.132, line 7, after maintenance; by striking: / and /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 491, paragraph 117.132, line 8, after replacement by inserting: /; and \$1,000,000 shall be transferred to the Department of Natural Resources for a boat landing at Lynchess River /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 66; Nays 39

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bedingfield	Brannon
Burns	Clemmons	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
George	Goldfinch	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Hicks	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King

[HJ]

115

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
McEachern	McKnight	Merrill
Mitchell	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Ott	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Tallon
Taylor	Wells	White
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--66

Those who voted in the negative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bingham	Bowers
Bradley	R. L. Brown	Clary
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Dillard
Funderburk	Gilliard	Hamilton
Hart	Hill	Hosey
Huggins	Mack	McCoy
M. S. McLeod	D. C. Moss	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Parks	Quinn	Robinson-Simpson
Simrill	G. M. Smith	J. E. Smith
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tinkler	Weeks	Whipper

Total--39

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. CLEMMONS proposed the following Amendment No. 25 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\clemmons, step deletion.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 492, paragraph 117.134, lines 5 - 8, by striking the proviso in its entirety.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. CLEMMONS explained the amendment.
The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 20 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\sbdc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 492, after line 22, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: Small Business Development Centers) Clemson University, the University of South Carolina, Winthrop University, South Carolina State University, and the South Carolina Department of Commerce are prohibited from utilizing state funds for the operation of their respective Small Business Development Centers./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.
Rep. FORRESTER spoke against the amendment.

Rep. BRANNON moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. RUTHERFORD proposed the following Amendment No. 96 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\state employee leave donation.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 492, after line 22, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: State Employee Leave Donation), which was adopted: A state employee may make a written request to the employing agency that a specified number of hours of his accrued annual and/or sick leave be transferred from his annual and/or sick leave account to a specific leave recipient rather than to a leave pool account. An employee with less than fifteen days in his sick leave account may not transfer any sick leave to the recipient, and an employee with more than fifteen days in his sick leave account may transfer sick leave to the recipient if he retains a minimum of fifteen days in his own sick leave account. Once leave of an employee has been transferred to the recipient, it may not be restored or returned to the leave donor./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. RUTHERFORD explained the amendment.
The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. KING proposed the following Amendment No. 102 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\legislative delegation office space.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 492, after line 22, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: Legislative Delegation Office Space), which was tabled: In the current fiscal year, a member of a legislative delegation is permitted to expend funds for office space and personnel utilizing the legislative delegation's funds, without the consent of the entire legislative delegation./

Renumber sections to conform.
Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. KING explained the amendment.

Rep. NORMAN moved to table the amendment.

The amendment was then tabled by a division vote of 47 to 45.

Rep. NORRELL proposed the following Amendment No. 106 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\gr retirement system.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 492, after line 22, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: General Assembly Retirement System) for Fiscal Year 2016-17 any member of the General Assembly who is not a member of the General Assembly Retirement System may choose to opt into the system./

Renumber sections to conform.
Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. NORRELL explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. WHITE raised the Point of Order that under Rule 5.3B, Amendment No. 106 to H. 5001, the General Appropriation Bill, was out of order as it was not germane to the Bill.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. NORRELL spoke against the Point.

Rep. OTT spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE sustained the point of order and ruled Amendment No. 106 out of order.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 107; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--107

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 117, as amended, was adopted.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 118--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. SIMRILL, COLLINS, NORMAN, BALLENTINE, HUGGINS, ATWATER, BEDINGFIELD, HAMILTON, G. R. SMITH and QUINN proposed the following Amendment No. 101 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\MVST TO SHF.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 502, lines 35 - 36, and page 503, lines 1 - 6, paragraph 118.14, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting the following: */(SR: Motor Vehicle Sales Tax Revenue) For the current fiscal year, the State Treasurer shall transfer \$65,680,000 from Motor Vehicle Sales Tax revenues credited to the general fund to the State Highway Fund./*

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. SIMRILL explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 1

[HJ]

120

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bingham	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--108

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:
McKnight

Total--1

The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. HAYES, WHITE, BINGHAM, ANTHONY, and GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 15 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\008\ABBEVILLE TRR.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 503, paragraph 118.16, line 23, by striking ~~/\$138,518,632/~~ and inserting /\$139,260,007/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 503, paragraph 118.16, line 24, by striking: ~~/and/~~

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 503, paragraph 118.16, line 25, by striking: ~~/~~ and inserting /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 503, paragraph 118.16, after line 25, by inserting:

/ (6) \$5,494,506 from Fiscal Year 2015-16 F30 Carry Forward and Bonus Lapse./

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 504, paragraph 118.16, after line 9, by inserting an appropriately numbered subitem to read: / () Districts with a Poverty Index of Eighty Percent or Higher \$9,058,672 /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 504, paragraph 118.16, line 10, opposite (a) Technology Technical Assistance by striking: ~~/\$16,800,000/~~ and by inserting: /\$13,977,209

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 504, paragraph 118.16, after line 12, by inserting an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (.1) The Department of Education shall allocate the \$9,058,672 appropriated above for districts with a poverty index of eighty percent or higher to provide for Teacher Recruitment and Retention. The funds shall be allocated on a pro rata basis to each district based on the districts 45 day student enrollment count. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Whitmire
Yow

Williams

Willis

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. SIMRILL, WHITE, LUCAS and LIMEHOUSE proposed the following Amendment No. 107 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\NR SHF LANG.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 504, paragraph 118.16 Item (2), lines 1 and 2, by striking the item in its entirety and inserting:

/(2) U120 - Department of Transportation

State Highway Fund \$135,000,000

(2.1) Funds appropriated above in item 2 shall be credited to the State Highway Fund for paving, rehabilitation, resurfacing, and/or reconstruction of the Primary Road System. Unexpended funds appropriated pursuant to this subsection may be carried forward and expended for the same purposes.

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, after line 32, by inserting:

/ (C) Of the funds appropriated or authorized to the Department of Transportation, the department shall develop and implement a needs-based weighting methodology to allocate funding within the state funded resurfacing program, which shall include consideration on a county-by-county basis, to ensure that each county in the state is guaranteed funding./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. SIMRILL explained the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. D. C. MOSS spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. OTT spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. W. J. MCLEOD spoke upon the amendment.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. NEAL spoke against the amendment.

Rep. BAMBERG spoke against the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 84; Nays 23

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bedingfield	Bingham
Brannon	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	George
Goldfinch	Govan	Hamilton
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hiott
Hixon	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	McCoy
McEachern	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Newton	Norman	Ott
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Tinkler	Weeks
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--84

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the negative are:

Bamberg	Bowers	R. L. Brown
Crosby	Funderburk	Gilliard
Hardee	Hart	Hill
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Mack	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
Neal	Norrell	Parks
Robinson-Simpson	Ryhal	J. E. Smith
Taylor	Wells	

Total--23

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. R. L. BROWN proposed the following Amendment No. 16 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\RB BOOK MOBILE 2.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, after line 32, by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/() H870 - State Library

Colleton County Bookmobile Replacement \$200,000/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. R. L. BROWN explained the amendment.

Rep. R. L. BROWN moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Reps. R. L. BROWN and BAMBERG proposed the following Amendment No. 17 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\RB BOOKMOBILE 2.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, after line 32, by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/() H870 - State Library

Colleton County Bookmobile Replacement \$150,000/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Rep. R. L. BROWN explained the amendment.

Rep. R. L. BROWN moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. Daning proposed the following Amendment No. 39 to (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\USS CLAMAGORE.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, after line 32, by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/(/) P360 - Patriots Point

USS Clamagore Restoration (Requires 2:1 Match) \$1,000,000/

Re-number sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. DANING explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. DANING demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 59; Nays 43

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bedingfield
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Burns
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Dillard	Duckworth
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Hicks	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Neal	Norman	Pitts
Putnam	Quinn	Riley
Ryhal	Sandifer	G. R. Smith

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Southard	Spires	Tallon
Taylor	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--59

Those who voted in the negative are:

Atwater	Bamberg	Bowers
Bradley	Chumley	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Douglas
Felder	Finlay	Funderburk
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Hayes	Henegan	Hill
King	Kirby	Limehouse
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Murphy	Newton
Norrell	Ott	Pope
Ridgeway	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Simrill	G. M. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Stavrinakis	Tinkler
Weeks		

Total--43

So, the amendment was tabled.

Reps. J. E. SMITH, OTT, COBB-HUNTER, W. J. MCLEOD, BAMBERG, RUTHERFORD and GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 58 (Doc Name COUNCIL\BBM\5001C014.BBM.DG16.DOCX), which was rejected:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, after line 32, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ 118. . . (SR: Fuel Surcharge) (A) In the current fiscal year, there is imposed a surcharge of ten cents a gallon on all gasoline, gasohol, blended fuels containing gasoline that are used or consumed for any purpose in this State, all diesel fuel, substitute fuels, or alternative fuels, or blended fuels containing diesel fuel that are used or consumed in this State in producing or generating power for propelling

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

motor vehicles. The surcharge imposed pursuant to this subsection must be imposed, collected, administered, and credited in the same manner as the motor fuel user fee imposed pursuant to Section 12-28-310.

(B) In the current fiscal year, there is imposed a surcharge upon every motor carrier for the privilege of using the streets and highways in this State. The surcharge is equivalent to ten cents a gallon, calculated on the amount of gasoline or other motor fuel used by the motor carrier in its operations within this State. The surcharge imposed pursuant to this subsection must be imposed, collected, administered, and credited in the same manner as the road tax imposed pursuant to Section 56-11-410. Every motor carrier subject to the surcharge imposed pursuant to this subsection is entitled to a credit on the surcharge equivalent to ten cents per gallon on all gasoline or other motor fuel purchased by the carrier within this State for use in operations either within or without this State and upon which gasoline or other motor fuel tax or surcharge imposed by the laws of this State has been paid by the carrier. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. J. E. SMITH explained the amendment.

Rep. OTT spoke in favor of the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 43; Nays 65

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bamberg	Bernstein
Bowers	R. L. Brown	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas
Funderburk	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hart	Hayes
Henegan	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	Jefferson	King
Limehouse	Mack	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Mitchell
Neal	Ott	Parks
Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
J. E. Smith	Stavrinakis	Tinkler

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Weeks
Williams

Wells

Whipper

Total--43

Those who voted in the negative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Cole	Collins
H. A. Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Goldfinch
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	McCoy
McEachern	Merrill	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Newton
Norman	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Tallon
Taylor	White	Whitmire
Willis	Yow	

Total--65

So, the amendment was rejected.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 70 (Doc Name COUNCIL\BBM\5001C015.BBM.DG16.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, after line 32, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ 118. . (SR: Earned Income Tax Credit) For the income tax year that ends in the current fiscal year, there is allowed as a credit against

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

the tax imposed pursuant to Section 12-6-510 on a full-year resident individual taxpayer an amount equal to three and one-half percent of the earned income tax credit (EITC) allowed the taxpayer pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 32. If the amount of the credit allowed by this section exceeds the tax imposed on the taxpayer pursuant to Section 12-6-510, the excess must be refunded to the taxpayer. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. J. E. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 104 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\§3 M FOR FLOOD RELIEF - J SMITH.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, item 32 E240 - Office of Adjutant General after line 24, by inserting an appropriately numbered subitem and provision to read:

/() EMD - Flood Disaster Relief \$3,000,000/

() Of the \$3,000,000 appropriated above for EMD - Flood Disaster Relief, funding shall be distributed to low-income families who are in need of residential home repairs due to the October 2015 Floods. Prior to distribution of funds the office of the Emergency Management Division shall verify that a family has been affected by the October 2015 flooding. Receipt of this funding must not disqualify a person from receiving funding from FEMA.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. J. E. SMITH explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. J. E. SMITH demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 67; Nays 41

[HJ]

131

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bannister
Bedingfield	Bingham	Bradley
Brannon	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Goldfinch	Hamilton	Hardee
Henderson	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
McCoy	Merrill	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Newton
Norman	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Riley
Rivers	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Wells
White	Whitmire	Willis
Yow		

Total--67

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bamberg	Bernstein
Bowers	R. L. Brown	Cobb-Hunter
Dillard	Douglas	Funderburk
George	Gilliard	Govan
Hart	Hayes	Herbkersman
Hodges	Hosey	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Mack	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Mitchell
Neal	Ott	Parks
Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

J. E. Smith	Tinkler	Weeks
Whipper	Williams	

Total--41

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. J. E. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 108 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\columbia museum of art 250k.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, item 34 P280 - Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism after line 32, by inserting an appropriately numbered subitem to read:

/() *Columbia Museum of Art* \$250,000/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. J. E. SMITH explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. J. E. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 109 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\005\COLUMBIA MUSEUM OF ART 100K.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 506, paragraph 118.16, item 34 P280 - Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism after line 32, by inserting an appropriately numbered subitem to read:

/() *Columbia Museum of Art* \$100,000/

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. J. E. SMITH explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. J. E. SMITH demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 58; Nays 48

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Atwater	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bradley	Brannon	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Goldfinch	Hamilton	Hardee
Henderson	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Johnson	Jordan	Limehouse
Lowe	Lucas	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Newton
Norman	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Riley	Rivers
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Tallon	Taylor
Wells	White	Whitmire
Willis		

Total--58

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bernstein	Bingham	Bowers
R. L. Brown	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Dillard	Douglas	Finlay
Funderburk	George	Gilliard
Govan	Hart	Hayes
Henegan	Hodges	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Mack
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Mitchell	Neal	Ott
Parks	Quinn	Ridgeway
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	G. M. Smith

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

J. E. Smith	Tinkler	Weeks
Whipper	Williams	Yow

Total--48

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bernstein	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Gilliard	Goldfinch	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hicks	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--108

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 118, as amended, was adopted.

PART IA, SECTION 99--RECONSIDERED, AMENDED, AND ADOPTED

Rep. WHITE moved to reconsider the vote whereby Part IA, Section 99 was rejected, which was agreed to.

Rep. BRADLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 92 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\005\defund rsic commissioners.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 99, RETIREMENT SYSTEM INVESTMENT COMMISSION, page 228, line 5, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Column 3 by:

Column 3	Column 4
100,000	

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BRADLEY explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 67; Nays 43

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anthony	Atwater	Bales
Ballentine	Bedingfield	Bingham
Bowers	Bradley	Brannon
R. L. Brown	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Crosby	Daning
Delleney	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Finlay	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	George
Goldfinch	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Howard	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	King
Limehouse	Loftis	Lucas
McKnight	W. J. McLeod	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Newton	Norman
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Weeks
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--67

Those who voted in the negative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Bamberg
Bannister	Bernstein	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	Dillard	Felder
Fry	Gilliard	Govan
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hodges
Hosey	Jefferson	Kirby
Knight	Lowe	Mack
McEachern	M. S. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	Neal
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Quinn	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Stavrinakis
White

Tinkler

Wells

Total--43

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bernstein	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Merrill

Total--1

Section 99, as amended, was adopted.

MOTION ADOPTED

Rep. WHITE moved to table all pending motions to reconsider, which was agreed to.

STATEMENT BY REP. WHITE

Rep. WHITE gave notice of offering amendments on third reading if necessary, pursuant to Rule 9.2.

The question then recurred to the passage of the Bill.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 111; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bernstein	Bingham	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown
Burns	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cole
Collins	H. A. Crawford	Crosby

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Daning	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hiott	Hixon	Hodges
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Loftis	Lowe
Lucas	Mack	McCoy
McEachern	McKnight	M. S. McLeod
W. J. McLeod	Merrill	Mitchell
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
Neal	Newton	Norman
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Quinn	Ridgeway	Riley
Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Ryhal	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Tinkler	Weeks	Wells
Whipper	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Yow

Total--111

Those who voted in the negative are:
Hill

Total--1

So, the Bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered to third reading.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

ABSTENTIONS FROM VOTING

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33 and 76

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Todd Atwater

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Justin Bamberg

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Bruce W. Bannister

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Beth Bernstein

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, All Sections

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Kenneth A. Bingham

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 112

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Jeffrey A. Bradley

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. N. Douglas Brannon

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 57 and 66

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Gary E. Clary

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Alan Clemmons

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 34, 35, 37, 38, 45, 63 and 67

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Gilda Cobb-Hunter

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 83, 105, 106, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. J. Derham Cole, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Neal A. Collins

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 74, 75, 76, 81 and 84

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Christopher A. Corley

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 16

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).

Rep. Heather A. Crawford

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Joe Daning

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. F. Greg Delleney

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Part IA, Part IB, Part II and Part III, Sections 1, 11, 16, 25, 34, 47, 82 and 87

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Gregory D. Duckworth

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 38

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Shannon S. Erickson

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 78

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).
Rep. Raye Felder

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 44 and 118

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Kirkman Finlay

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Mike Forrester

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Russell Fry

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 20A and 58

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Laurie Slade Funderburk

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 44 and 113

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Michael W. Gambrell

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 15, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Stephen Goldfinch, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, and Part II, Sections 33, 34, 61, 63, 66, 74, 75, 82 and 84

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. Christopher R. Hart

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Jenny A. Horne

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Jeffrey E. Johnson

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Jay Jordan

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.
Rep. Ralph S. Kennedy, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 82 and 84, Amd. No. 1

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. Roger K. Kirby

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, and Part II, Sections 32, 33, 36, 74, and 75

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself,

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. Phillip D. Lowe

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 83, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.
Rep. James H. Lucas

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 23, 33 and 34

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.
Rep. David J. Mack III

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 67, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Peter M. McCoy, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 65, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Cezar E. McKnight

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 34, 58, 66, 74, 75 and 76

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.
Rep. Walton J. McLeod

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 33

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Dennis C. Moss

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. Christopher J. Murphy

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Weston J. Newton

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 61, 66, 65, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Mandy Powers Norrell

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 118, Amendment No. 29

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Russell Ott

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).
Rep. J. Anne Parks

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 57, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Thomas E. Pope

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. J. Todd Rutherford

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 11, 20A, 20B, 20C, 20D, 20E, 20F, 20G, 20H, 13, 23, 33, 34, 35, 38, 47, 49, 50, 51, 52, 59, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 96, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. James E. Smith, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 17, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. G. Murrell Smith, Jr.

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 67, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Leonidas E. Stavrinakis

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 81

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).
Rep. Mary Tinkler

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Sections 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. J. David Weeks

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA, Part IB, Section 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).
Rep. W. Brian White

H. 5002--AMENDED AND ORDERED TO THIRD READING

The following Joint Resolution was taken up:

H. 5002 -- Ways and Means Committee: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO APPROPRIATE MONIES FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016, AND TO ALLOW UNEXPENDED FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO BE CARRIED FORWARD TO SUCCEEDING FISCAL YEARS AND EXPENDED FOR THE SAME PURPOSES.

Reps. HAYES, WHITE and BINGHAM proposed the following Amendment No. 1 Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\crf-crf abbeville trial and plaintiff districts supplement.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the joint resolution, as and if amended, Section 1, page 1, line 27, opposite School Bus Lease or Purchase, by striking /\$10,000,000/ and inserting /\$7,177,209/

Amend the Joint Resolution further, as and if amended, Section 1, page 6, after line 8, by inserting an appropriately numbered item to read: /
() H630 -Department of Education Technology Technical Assistance \$2,822,791/

Re-number items and sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BINGHAM explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 113; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bernstein	Bingham	Bowers
Bradley	Brannon	R. L. Brown

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	George	Gilliard
Goldfinch	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hicks	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hodges	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Limehouse
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McEachern
McKnight	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Newton	Norman	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Quinn
Ridgeway	Riley	Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Ryhal
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Southard	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Tinkler
Weeks	Wells	Whipper
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Yow	

Total--113

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

The amendment was then adopted.

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Reps. LIMEHOUSE and J. E. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 3 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\crf-uss laffey.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the joint resolution, as and if amended, Section 1, page 6, after line 8, by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/P360 - Patriot's Point Development Authority
USS Laffey \$50,000

Renumber items and sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. LIMEHOUSE explained the amendment.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 83; Nays 25

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Bales	Bamberg	Bannister
Bernstein	Bowers	Bradley
Brannon	R. L. Brown	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Duckworth	Erickson
Finlay	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hart	Hayes
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Limehouse	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod
Merrill	Mitchell	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	Neal
Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pope	Quinn
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	J. E. Smith
Sottile	Southard	Spires

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Stavrinakis	Tallon	Tinkler
Wells	White	Whitmire
Williams	Yow	

Total--83

Those who voted in the negative are:

Atwater	Ballentine	Bedingfield
H. A. Crawford	Douglas	Felder
Forrester	Fry	Hamilton
Hardee	Hixon	Johnson
Jordan	Lowe	McEachern
McKnight	Norman	Pitts
Putnam	Ridgeway	G. R. Smith
Taylor	Weeks	Whipper
Willis		

Total--25

The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the passage of the Joint Resolution.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows:

Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bedingfield
Bowers	Bradley	R. L. Brown
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	H. A. Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
George	Gilliard	Goldfinch
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hart	Hayes	Henderson

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Henegan	Herbkersman	Hicks
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hodges	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Limehouse	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McEachern	McKnight
M. S. McLeod	W. J. McLeod	Merrill
Mitchell	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Neal	Newton
Norman	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Quinn	Ridgeway
Riley	Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Ryhal	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
J. E. Smith	Sottile	Southard
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Tinkler	Weeks
Wells	Whipper	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

So, the Joint Resolution, as amended, was read the second time and ordered to third reading.

STATEMENT FOR THE JOURNAL

As I have in years past, I will recuse myself on sections H390, H510 and P160 of the Capital Reserve Bill. While I do not believe I have a legal conflict on voting on any of these agencies' budgets, I am recusing myself to avoid the appearance of a conflict. I am in business with individuals who, in their other businesses unrelated to me, may have connections to these agencies.

Rep. Rick Quinn

[HJ]

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

**ABSTENTIONS FROM VOTING
H. 5002**

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Bill Number H. 5002-Capital Reserve Fund

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code §8-13-745(B) and (C)** because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.
Rep. Kenneth Bingham

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Bill Number H. 5002-Capital Reserve Fund

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code §8-13-700(B)**.
Rep. Mike Forrester

In accordance with **§8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code**, I abstained from voting on the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Bill Number H. 5002-Capital Reserve Fund

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of
S.C. Code §8-13-700(B).
Rep. Brian White

HOUSE TO MEET AT 11:00 A.M. TOMORROW

Rep. WHITE moved that when the House adjourns it adjourn to meet at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow, which was agreed to.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER moved that the House do now adjourn, which was agreed to.

MOTION ADOPTED

Rep. HAYES moved that when the House adjourns, it adjourn in memory of Christopher Ray, which was agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT

At 11:56 p.m. the House, in accordance with the motion of Rep. HAYES, adjourned in memory of Christopher Ray, to meet at 11:00 a.m. tomorrow.

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

Amendment No. 1.....	164	Amendment No. 49.....	51
Amendment No. 2.....	66	Amendment No. 50.....	52
Amendment No. 3.....	69	Amendment No. 52.....	54
Amendment No. 3.....	166	Amendment No. 53.....	57
Amendment No. 4.....	69	Amendment No. 54.....	110
Amendment No. 5.....	66	Amendment No. 55.....	111
Amendment No. 6.....	72	Amendment No. 56.....	94
Amendment No. 7.....	67	Amendment No. 57.....	94
Amendment No. 8.....	71	Amendment No. 58.....	128
Amendment No. 9.....	71	Amendment No. 59.....	114
Amendment No. 10.....	47	Amendment No. 61.....	26
Amendment No. 11.....	80	Amendment No. 65.....	89
Amendment No. 12.....	82	Amendment No. 67.....	73
Amendment No. 13.....	99	Amendment No. 68.....	89
Amendment No. 14.....	96	Amendment No. 69.....	21
Amendment No. 15.....	122	Amendment No. 70.....	130
Amendment No. 16.....	126	Amendment No. 74.....	104
Amendment No. 17.....	126	Amendment No. 80.....	57
Amendment No. 19.....	5	Amendment No. 87.....	60
Amendment No. 20.....	117	Amendment No. 90.....	68
Amendment No. 22.....	85	Amendment No. 91.....	87
Amendment No. 23.....	92	Amendment No. 92.....	136
Amendment No. 24.....	22	Amendment No. 93.....	27
Amendment No. 25.....	116	Amendment No. 96.....	117
Amendment No. 27.....	102	Amendment No. 98.....	61
Amendment No. 28.....	115	Amendment No. 100.....	63
Amendment No. 31.....	43	Amendment No. 101.....	120
Amendment No. 33.....	41	Amendment No. 102.....	118
Amendment No. 34.....	49	Amendment No. 103.....	111
Amendment No. 35.....	34	Amendment No. 104.....	131
Amendment No. 36.....	110	Amendment No. 105.....	107
Amendment No. 38.....	15	Amendment No. 106.....	118
Amendment No. 39.....	127	Amendment No. 107.....	124
Amendment No. 41.....	29	Amendment No. 108.....	133
Amendment No. 48.....	76	Amendment No. 109.....	133

TUESDAY, MARCH 22, 2016

H. 3249	4	H. 4881	4
H. 3928	4	H. 5001	5, 19, 25, 40
H. 4093	4	H. 5001	45, 46, 51, 118
H. 4302	4	H. 5002	164, 169
H. 4537	4	H. 5109	4