



# State business tax reforms

Robert Cline

Presentation to South Carolina Taxation  
Realignment Commission

December 2, 2009

 **ERNST & YOUNG**  
*Quality In Everything We Do*

# What are states trying to accomplish with business tax reform?

---

- ▶ Improve state and local business tax *system* competitiveness
  - Reduce taxes on mobile capital
  - Shift taxes to “out-of-state” taxpayers: destination-based taxes, combined reporting, expense addbacks, market sourcing
- ▶ Find a more stable source of business tax revenue
  - For U.S., state corporate income taxes fell 24% in last recession, increased 115% in 5 years; down more than 20% in this recession
  - SC corporate income taxes fell 17% from FY07 to FY09
- ▶ Tax all forms of doing business, not just C corporations: broaden the base, lower tax rates, reduce distortions
- ▶ Find an effective way to tax services and cross-border sales – issues of taxing business inputs and protection of *Quill*; OH CAT began as a discussion of an excise tax on services
- ▶ Changing business tax rationale from ability-to-pay to benefits-received

# Composition of SC state and local business taxes (millions of dollars)

---

<b>Business taxes</b>	<b>FY 2008</b>	<b>% of total</b>	<b>% of US total</b>
Property taxes on business property	\$2,866	47.6%	35.0%
General sales & use tax on inputs	1,033	17.2%	22.0%
Business license tax	637	10.6%	6.1%
Excise taxes	413	6.9%	4.8%
Corporate income tax	320	5.3%	9.9%
Unemployment insurance	288	4.8%	5.4%
Individual income tax	216	3.6%	4.5%
Insurance premiums tax	126	2.1%	2.7%
Public utility tax	105	1.8%	4.7%
Other business taxes	15	0.3%	5.0%
<b>Total business taxes</b>	<b>\$6,020</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

# South Carolina business property taxes

---

- ▶ Minnesota Taxpayer Association 50-state study: property tax effective tax rates in largest cities
  - Large industrial property: 5<sup>th</sup> highest, 74% above U.S. average
  - Large commercial property: 20<sup>th</sup> highest, 9% above U.S. average
  - Higher-valued homestead property: 39<sup>th</sup> highest, 34% below U.S. average
- ▶ E&Y 50-state tax study: property tax share of business taxes in South Carolina is 36% higher than the average share in the U.S.

# Taxonomy of new and old state business taxes

Tax Base	Examples	Description of Tax Base
<b>General gross receipts tax (GRT)</b>	OH CAT, WA B&O	Gross receipts (GR) with few, if any, deductions
	TX tax base option	70% of GR
<b>Modified GRT</b>	1 of 2 new MI bases	GR minus purchases of <i>goods</i> from other firms
<b>Value added tax (VAT)</b>	MI BAT (15 yrs.), CA proposal (BNRT); MI SBT (33 yrs.)	Sum of payments to labor and capital <i>or</i> GR minus purchases from other firms
	NH BET (since 1993)	Alternative tax based on modified VA
<b>Gross margin tax</b>	TX tax base option; NJ AMA	Gross receipts minus cost of goods sold (COGS)
<b>Labor-adjust. GRT</b>	TX tax base option	Gross receipts minus labor costs
<b>Business income tax</b>	1 of 2 new MI bases; NH bus. profits tax	GR minus labor costs, depreciation, interest paid, all purchases from other firms
<b>Corporate inc. tax</b>	current CA tax	Same as bus. inc., but limited to corporations

# Business tax rate comparisons

---

*U.S.-wide tax rates needed to replace state and local corporate income taxes (\$50 billion a year nationally)*

Corporate income tax	5.8%
Business income tax	3.3%
Valued added tax	1.1%
Gross receipts tax	0.3%

Value added tax base is over 5 times larger than corporate income tax base; gross receipts tax base is almost 20 times larger than corporate income tax base

# Characteristics of new business tax reforms in Ohio, Texas and Michigan

---

- ▶ Adopted broader tax bases than corporate income tax
- ▶ Imposed entity taxes on most forms of doing business
- ▶ Extended state taxing reach to more out-of-state firms than traditional corporate income tax – states assert that P.L. 86-272 does not apply
- ▶ Structured like single sales factor apportioned corporate income taxes or sales tax: 100% destination sales
- ▶ Reform packages included substantial property tax cuts (\$0.6 to \$3.4b) and changes in tax credit programs
- ▶ Included changes in multiple business taxes: corporate income, property and net worth taxes
- ▶ Included some form of combined reporting

# Recent state business tax reforms

---

## ► Ohio Reform (2005)

- New commercial activity tax (CAT): 0.26% times gross receipts in excess of \$1m (\$150 minimum tax); minimum filing threshold of \$150,000
- Applies to all forms of doing business and most industries; economic nexus adopted
- Eliminated local business tangible property tax (\$1.3b cut) and corporate income tax & net worth tax; cut overall business taxes by \$1.4b (-9%)
- Destination sales concept; P.L. 86-272 not applicable; combined filing or elective consolidation with deduct. for intercompany sales
- Phased in over five years
- Revenue in first few years came in 10% above original est.



# Recent state business tax reforms (cont.)

---

## ► Texas (2006)

- Taxable margin tax: 1.0% general rate (0.5% for whole. & retail) times selected base (70% of GR, GR – COGS, or GR – compensation); taxes most forms of doing business
- \$3.4b increase in business entity taxes offset by \$3.4b cut in business personal property tax (-18%)
- Destination sales apportionment; P.L. 86-272 not applicable; mandatory combined reporting
- No phase in of new tax
- First-yr. collections came in 20% short of estimate
- 2009 legislature increased the minimum filing threshold from \$300,000 to \$600,000 permanently and to \$1m for two years

# Recent business tax reforms (cont.)

---

## ► Michigan (2007)

- SBT (\$1.8b) replaced with new system (MBT): business income tax (4.95%) plus 0.8% times modified GRT (temporary 21.99% surcharge)
- GRT provides 2/3 of taxes; minimum filing threshold of \$350,000
- Applies to most bus.; destination taxes; economic nexus
- GRT pyramiding reduced by subtract. purchases of tangible property
- New entity taxes of \$3.5b with \$1.6b of new, targeted credits
- Significant property tax reductions (-\$0.6b)
- Overall package shifts taxes from manufacturing to services

# Recent business tax reforms (cont.)

---

## ▶ New Hampshire (1993)

- Adopted business enterprise tax (BET) – modified VAT that excludes rent paid and retained earnings from base
- Applies to all forms of business (as does profits tax)
- Firms pay both the BET (at 0.75%) and the business profits tax (8.5%), but the business profits tax (BPT) allows a credit for BET taxes – BET functions as a minimum tax
- Minimum filing threshold for the BET is \$150,000 of everywhere gross receipts or \$75,000 of NH “value added”
- Reasons for adoption: stability, “neutral” consumption tax without economic distortions of profits or sales taxes, broadened base by extending tax to all forms of business and industries (0.5% of CIT firms paid 70% of tax), added new multistate taxpayers (not protected by P.L. 86-272)

# CA tax commission reform package recommendations (Sept. 2009)

---

- ▶ The recommended reform package calls for:
  - Major personal income tax changes
    - ▶ reduce brackets from 6 to 2 (rates of 2.75 and 6.5%)
    - ▶ eliminate itemized tax deductions with a few exceptions and most credits; adopt large standard deduction (\$45,000 joint returns)
    - ▶ 29% average tax reduction
  - Repeal the corporate income/franchise and minimum taxes
  - Phase-out the state general purpose sales tax (5% rate)
    - ▶ state gas & dedicated sales taxes and local sales taxes remain
    - ▶ balancing item in the package over 5-yr. phase-in
  - Adopt new business net receipts tax (BNRT) to pay for tax cuts; rate not to exceed 4%
  - 5-yr. phase-in of tax changes beginning in 2012
- ▶ Focus on stability and business tax competitiveness

# Tax revenue changes in the CA tax commission proposal

Proposal	Revenue estimate, if fully phased in at FY 2012 levels
BNRT	\$48 billion
Corporate net income	-\$10 billion
Sales use tax	-\$26 billion
Personal income tax	-\$12 billion

# What is the business net receipts tax (BNRT)

---

- BNRT is a business level tax imposed on most business entities doing business in CA – C corps and pass-through entities
- Tax base is equal to a taxpayer's apportioned CA value added
- The BNRT base would be calculated as a subtraction, consumption-type VAT:
  - ▶ Subtracting purchases from other firms from gross receipts to determine net receipts
  - ▶ Immediately expensing of capital assets when placed in service
- Net receipts would be allocated and apportioned – 100% sales factor (market based)
- Economic nexus standard and water's edge combined reporting
- \$500,000 filing threshold and small business credit; R&D is the only other credit included in proposal
- Continues combined reporting requirement

# Key questions raised in the state tax reform debates

---

- ▶ How to think about an entity-level, value-added or GR tax. Are they consumption taxes, business taxes or both?
- ▶ How to treat firms that are not earning profits
- ▶ How to tax financial institutions and insurance companies
- ▶ How to treat capital purchases
  - Retain depreciation (income VAT) or allow immediate expensing of capital assets (consumption VAT)
  - Encourage instate investments with ITC
- ▶ Confidence in revenue estimates of new taxes; performance over the business cycle and in the long run
- ▶ Winners and losers in terms of business tax liabilities
- ▶ Economic incidence of new business taxes
- ▶ Impact on state's business tax competitiveness

# Lessons learned from other states

---

- ▶ Focus on the system of state-local business taxes
- ▶ Important to identify winners and losers due to significant shifts in tax liabilities among firms & households
- ▶ Important to involve the business community in the policy debate and the design of alternative business taxes
- ▶ Pay attention to the transition issues: phase-ins, impacts on balance sheet tax items
- ▶ Focus on the long-run economic benefits of a more competitive state-local business tax system; expect targeted tax credits to be continued in new systems
- ▶ Invest adequate resources in the administration of new business taxes