

Accountability Report Transmittal Form

Agency Name:	University of South Carolina Columbia
Date of Submission:	September 15, 2010
Agency Director:	Dr. Harris Pastides
Agency Contact Person:	Dr. Phil Moore
Agency Contact's Telephone Number:	(803) 777-2814



South Carolina
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA



2010-2011 Accountability Report

Table of Contents

Section I – Executive Summary	1
Section II – Organizational Profile	4
Section III – Elements of Malcolm Baldrige Criteria	12
Category 1 – Senior Leadership, Governance, and Social Responsibility.....	12
Category 2 – Strategic Planning.....	14
Category 3 – Student, Stakeholder, and Market Focus.....	16
Category 4 – Measurement, Analysis, and Knowledge Management.....	20
Category 5 – Workforce Focus.....	22
Category 6 – Process Management.....	27
Category 7 – Organizational Performance Results.....	28

Executive Summary

1. Purpose, Mission, Vision, and Values

The University of South Carolina is a proud reflection of over 200 years of history and tradition, rising from a single building in 1805 on what would become the heart of the campus, the Horseshoe. The University of South Carolina is the largest university in the state and committed to its [mission](http://president.sc.edu/PresidentMission.php) [http://president.sc.edu/PresidentMission.php] of providing education to “the state’s diverse citizens through teaching, research and creative activity, and service,” by serving more than 44,000 students from its flagship Columbia campus, three senior campuses (Aiken, Beaufort, and Upstate), and four regional campuses (Lancaster, Salkehatchie, Sumter, and Union). From its location in the state capital, the University of South Carolina Columbia “recognizes its historic responsibility to achieve overall excellence” and is dedicated to its [mission](http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/mission/columbia_ms.htm) [http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/mission/columbia_ms.htm]. Members of this Carolina community share a common set of values and standards, best expressed in the [Carolinian Creed](http://www.sa.sc.edu/creed/) [http://www.sa.sc.edu/creed/].

2. Major Achievements for 2010-2011

Carolina was recognized for excellence on several dimensions last year, a few highlights of which are:

- The fall 2010 freshman class is the largest (4,423) and among the most accomplished on record (1185 average SAT score). SAT averages for incoming freshmen have risen as much as 86 points in 8 years,
- The Moore School of Business’ undergraduate program in international business is ranked No. 1 in the country for the 15th consecutive year, and the business school was ranked 41st among business programs according to U.S. News & World Report,
- The University’s First-Year Experience, Learning Communities, and Undergraduate Research and Creative Projects were recognized as outstanding programs by U.S. News & World Report,
- The university was one of 68 public and 51 private institutions selected for the Carnegie Foundation’s 2008 “Curricular Engagement and Outreach & Partnerships” classification,
- 2011 Kiplinger Personal Finance and the Princeton Review voted the University of South Carolina as a “Best Value” among public universities,
- South Carolina Honors College was recognized by The Princeton Review for offering “select undergraduates a small, liberal arts college experience” on USC’s campus with personalized attention from professors,
- The National Research Council recognized the University as home to some of the South’s best doctoral programs. The University had nine programs that ranked among the Top 10 in the South in their respective disciplines; 12 programs were ranked among the nation’s top 50. Top academic programs included electrical, chemical, and mechanical engineering; geography; biological sciences; chemistry; English; comparative literature; and philosophy,
- University of South Carolina students have won nearly 510 prestigious national scholarship and fellowship awards in the past 17 years, earning more than \$14 million for advanced study.

3. Key Strategic Goals for Present and Future Years (this supports the organization’s budget request)

Dr. Harris Pastides succeeded Dr. Andrew Sorenson as the 28th President of the University of South Carolina August 1, 2008. The day he assumed his presidency, President Pastides announced [Focus Carolina](http://www.sc.edu/focuscarolina) [http://www.sc.edu/focuscarolina], the most comprehensive, system-wide strategic planning initiative in the history of the University. Focus Carolina is the reinvigoration of a culture of planning, budgeting, and assessment, designed to provide strategic direction for the University in the years ahead.

Full text of the proposed initiatives can be found

at: http://www.sc.edu/provost/forms/FC_InitiativesMasterDocument_Final.pdf. In 2009-2010, President Pastides moved the planning process forward to the next phase, [Advance Carolina](#), which we are still addressing.

The goals and initiatives outlined by the Focus Carolina committees, and shown in table E-1, provide the strategic direction for the University for the present and future years. The following goals are defined over an approximate five-year horizon. Initiatives are shorter-term actions taken in support of the goals.

Table E-1. University of South Carolina Columbia Goals and Initiatives.

GOALS	INITIATIVES
Teaching and Learning: To improve the quality of academic programs at all levels, develop and nurture a faculty devoted to teaching excellence, and enhance the richness of the student experience in and beyond the classroom so the teaching and learning environment of the University of South Carolina equals or surpasses that of comprehensive state university systems deemed peer aspirants.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Recruit and retain a high quality and diverse student body. ● Enhance student academic and social support services beyond the classroom via centralized advising, small group mentoring, supplemental instruction programs, and support of distance education. ● Elevate the importance of quality teaching and mentoring within the University by improving classroom conditions, valuing teaching in hiring and compensation decisions, and providing faculty with support to improve their teaching skills and to develop and improve courses. ● Create and implement assessment systems for all programs that inform program improvement. ● Foster innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration in teaching (e.g. use of IT, enhancement of learning beyond the classroom, and co-taught and cross-listed courses) by providing necessary resources and support. ● Create a culture among faculty oriented toward student-centered learning.
Research, Scholarship and Creative Achievement: The University of South Carolina, through its dedication to excellence in research, scholarship and creative achievement, will be recognized as one of the nation's premiere public university systems. Our advances in these areas will enhance the quality of life and improve the economic well-being of the citizens of South Carolina.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Develop and maintain a standing Presidential Advisory Council comprised of USC's most qualified, active and externally visible faculty from across the University. ● Create and promote programs to attract, develop and retain strong faculty. ● Enhance the quality of graduate education through creation or integration of interdisciplinary degree programs and improve the quality of life for graduate students. ● Identify and attain resources to develop the reputation, status, and visibility of professional schools. ● Improve the University's administrative, technological and physical research infrastructure so that it is comparable to that at other comprehensive research universities. ● Assess the University's current allocation process to determine how to direct more resources to research, scholarship and creative achievement.
Service Excellence: The University of South Carolina is committed to improving quality-of-life beyond the university by engaging the educational and research expertise of faculty, staff, and students, and by promoting community service. Although service at all levels – local, national, and global – is embraced, service to local and state communities is emphasized in particular. Provision of community service is accomplished and recognized through formal curricular service learning and through extracurricular activity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Establish a center or institute to facilitate service learning and community service opportunities, and enhance collaboration within the USC system to increase efficiency and effectiveness. ● Establish the value of service learning activities as part of the core curriculum and evaluate service excellence as part of the tenure and promotion process through integration with research, publication and other scholarly activities. ● Identify service needs in the local communities and state which will benefit from faculty expertise and student involvement. ● Develop interdisciplinary service learning opportunities utilizing academic expertise. ● Seek funding to establish a lifelong learning institute on the Columbia campus.
Quality of Life in the University Community: The multi-campus system will be a destination of choice for high-achieving faculty, students and staff who	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify strategies to assure that compensation levels for faculty, staff, and graduate assistants are comparable to peer institutions. ● Develop a plan to systematically address deferred maintenance needs in order to improve the safety and physical environment of faculty, staff and students.

value, benefit from, and contribute to a rich and rewarding learning and working environment where civility, ethics, and collaboration create an inclusive culture of fairness and respect, facilitating for all Carolinians the highest levels of intellectual and personal achievement.

- Develop a plan over the next five years to address additional benefits of tuition waivers for family members of USC employees, expanded family leave policy for all permanent employees and graduate assistants, and expanded health insurance coverage for graduate assistants.
- Develop a plan to improve communications throughout the University system which provides information and encourages feedback from faculty, staff, and students that will result in improved processes.
- Develop a plan that increases diversity in all aspects of the University community in recruiting of faculty, staff, and students that will enhance the educational experience for undergraduate and graduate students.
- Develop a survey instrument administered on an annual basis that will identify areas of excellence in diversity and areas in need of improvement.

Recognition and Visibility:

Accomplishments of students, faculty, staff and alumni will be showcased and publicized such that the campuses are recognized for excellence and leadership in education, research, scholarship, creative endeavors, athletics, and public service, consistent with their respective missions.

- Develop a plan to increase the recognition and visibility of scholarly activities of the faculty and students on a national level.
 - Improve recognition of student achievements, scholarship and creative endeavors, and bring teaching excellence to statewide, regional, national and international audiences.
 - Enhance the University's webpage and improved communications with the establishment of an interactive calendar to communicate academic and student achievements to local, regional and national audiences.
-

4. Key Strategic Challenges (i.e. educational, operational, human resource, financial, and community-related strategic challenges)

USC Columbia seeks to maintain its reach, relevance, and impact in the state of South Carolina despite several significant challenges. USC Columbia has experienced challenges in terms of operating budget constraints, capital constraints, information technology limitations, graduate education challenges, and diversity of faculty, staff and student body.

Financial challenges are abundant as the University endured a steep decline in state funding for fiscal years 2010 and 2011. The University is responding to these budget reductions by accelerating its new strategic planning process, Focus Carolina, which will enable the University to thoughtfully manage resources and prevent erosion of the University's progress. Careful planning will help the University avoid making hasty decisions without considering their strategic implications. It also will enable the University to emerge from this crisis leaner but stronger, with resources to remain true to its mission of education, research and service to the state.

Community-related strategic challenges exist, but these largely have been transformed into opportunities for constructive and collaborative partnerships. The University enjoys excellent relationships with Columbia and the surrounding region as a catalyst for economic growth. This partnership has produced unprecedented cooperation that promises mutually beneficial growth for the University and the region.

5. Use of the Accountability Report to Improve Organizational Performance

The accountability report is a useful document that gathers a great deal of information about how well the University of South Carolina Columbia is performing. This will help the University to evaluate its performance over the year and to determine adjustments that are indicated in goals and initiatives. We have relied heavily on the report to help frame the University's strategic goals reported above. This year's report will be reviewed by senior administrators, the Board of Trustees, and appropriate committees.

Organizational Profile

1. Educational programs, offerings, and services and the primary methods by which these are delivered

The University of South Carolina is a comprehensive research university with degree programs at the bachelors, masters, and doctoral levels, in all traditional areas. These include degree programs in the areas of liberal arts and sciences, education, engineering and computing, hospitality retail and sport management, mass communications and information studies, public health, and professional programs such as law, medicine, nursing, pharmacy, and business. The primary delivery method is physical classroom and laboratory instruction. An important secondary method of delivery is distance education, and this is provided for programs in which pedagogical considerations allow this method to be effective. Distance education courses are delivered by the internet, teleconference, streaming video and DVD. The University also presents courses and degree programs beyond the campuses. Programs are established in Mexico, Taiwan and Korea, and a number of initiatives are underway to develop a presence in China. Moreover, through internships and exchange agreements, students from USC take courses in many countries.

2. Key student segments, stakeholder groups, and market segments, as appropriate, and their key requirements/expectations


Nearly 70% of the undergraduate students attending USC Columbia are from South Carolina. Graduate students are drawn from the world over, with nearly 40% from outside of South Carolina. The students are the prominent group of stakeholders in that they derive direct benefits from the education provided by USC Columbia. The University is fortunate to have positive, cooperative relationships with its key stakeholders. The members of the Carolina community and USC Columbia's key stakeholders work together to understand and satisfy needs and expectations. Figure O-1 identifies these important stakeholders and their requirements and expectations.

Figure O-1. Key Stakeholders and Their Requirements and Expectations.

Stakeholder	Requirements/Expectations
Students	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High quality academic experience • Comprehensive academic and social support services • High quality beyond-the-classroom experiences • Dynamic living and learning environments • Arriving prepared to pursue college-level training • Devotion to learning
Parents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe, enriching environment • Resources needed to encourage and support student growth and success
Faculty and staff	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safe, enriching environment • Resources needed to promote student learning • Professional development opportunities
Alumni and community	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities to stay connected with the University family • Support for life-long learning • Advocate and supporter
Business and Industry partners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well-educated graduates prepared for jobs • Source of internships and jobs for students and graduates • Identifying changing needs/trends
State	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliance with standards • Sound fiscal management • Economic development in the community and state through well-educated graduates
Accrediting bodies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compliance with all requirements and comprehensive standards

3. Operating locations

The University's main campus is in Columbia and enrolls approximately 21,030 degree-seeking undergraduate students and over 8,100 degree-seeking graduate and first professional students. Including its four regional campuses at Sumter, Salkehatchie, Lancaster and Union, and three senior campuses, USC Beaufort, USC Aiken and USC Upstate, the University enrolls over 36,000 undergraduate students and over 8,300 degree-seeking graduate and professional students, conferring nearly 40% of all bachelors and graduate degrees awarded at public institutions in South Carolina.



4. The number of employees, segmented by faculty and staff or other appropriate categories

The University employs a great number of people in a variety of roles to help achieve its mission. During the fall of 2010, the University reported the following information to Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS):

Table O-2. Employees by Assigned Position- IPEDS 2010.

Primary Function	Full Time	Part Time	Total
Primarily instruction	1,355	359	1,714
Instruction/research/public service	0	0	0
Primarily research	108	820	928
Primarily public service	2	0	2
Executive/administrative/managerial	98	0	98
Other professionals (support/service)	1,987	673	2,660
Technical and paraprofessionals	810	286	1,096
Clerical and secretarial	220	235	455
Skilled crafts	210	60	270
Service/Maintenance	218	182	400
Total	5,008	2,615	7,623

Note. Includes USC Medical School personnel.

5. Regulatory environment under which the organization operates

USC operates under the regulatory authority of a number of agencies. The Commission on Higher Education (CHE) is charged with immediate supervisory and regulatory authority, and the University is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS). Thus USC complies with all SACS policies and that agency approves degrees and programs. As USC is a state agency, it adheres to all policies, regulations and procedures required by the Office of Human Resources, the Budget & Control Board, and all other state regulatory authorities. Numerous academic programs are also accredited by various national institutional and specialized accrediting bodies.

6. Governance system (the reporting relationships between governance board/policy making body and senior leaders, as appropriate)

The University of South Carolina is overseen by a [Board of Trustees](http://trustees.sc.edu/) [http://trustees.sc.edu/] comprised of political appointees. The Board of Trustees, acting through its chair and various committees, supervises the President of the University. The Board of Trustees exerts control over all University policies including regulations and the Faculty Manual, whereas daily operation of the University is left to the President and his cabinet (the Administrative Council).

7. Key suppliers and partners

Key suppliers include secondary schools and community colleges, especially those within South Carolina. Key partners include agencies that sponsor research, including the National Institutes of Health

(NIH) and the National Science Foundation (NSF). The University enjoys key partnerships with various private corporations and individuals who provide support in the form of scholarships, fellowships, and endowed professorships. Other key partners include universities and colleges the world over with exchange agreements involving our students. In addition, the state of South Carolina in general and the areas served by all campuses are key strategic partners in growth and economic development.

8. *Key competitors (other educational systems that directly compete for the same type of studies, research grants, etc)*

USC Columbia competes with essentially all colleges and universities in the world for many of its students, but the most direct competition is with other senior institutions in the southeastern United States.

9. *Principle factors that determine competitive success. The key changes that are taking place that significantly impact the organization's competitive situation*

The key factor for success of USC Columbia is a strong, robust, engaged faculty supported by expert and dedicated staff. The University endeavors to hire targeted faculty and staff recognized for extraordinary potential and achievement. This is being accomplished with financial support from the state in the form of legislative appropriations and the South Carolina Lottery.

10. *Performance improvement systems*

The prominent components of the University's performance enhancement system are (1) a decentralized budgeting model, and (2) quality enhancement plans developed at all levels of the University. The budgeting model allows academic units (colleges within the University) to capture tuition revenue and state budget allocations. For example, tuition revenue for a course in history (with HIST prefix) flows directly to the home unit, the College of Arts & Sciences. In this way, revenues and costs are aligned within the academic units. Moreover, strategic decisions regarding course and program offerings, faculty hiring, etc., are decentralized, and the decisions are made at the same level where information quality is highest.

Quality enhancement plans, called [Blueprints for Academic Excellence](http://www.sc.edu/provost/divisionstrategic.shtml) [<http://www.sc.edu/provost/divisionstrategic.shtml>], [Blueprints for Service Excellence](http://busfinance.admin.sc.edu/strategic.shtml) [<http://busfinance.admin.sc.edu/strategic.shtml>], and [Blueprints for Service Excellence for Student Affairs and Academic Support](http://www.sa.sc.edu/assessment/blueprints.htm) [<http://www.sa.sc.edu/assessment/blueprints.htm>], are prepared annually and updated continuously. These strategic plans encompass all aspects of the direction of the University, including faculty and staff hiring, degree programs, physical plant, and financial resources. Each college, for instance, prepares its Blueprint in consultation with the Provost (or Vice President for Research & Graduate Education (VPRGE) for units in the health sciences which include Medicine, Nursing, Social Work, Pharmacy and Public Health). The Blueprint is presented and modified in response to commentary from the Provost (or VPRGE). The final version is adopted as the unit's strategic plan. In this way, resources and needs are matched strategically. The same process is in place at the vice-presidential level. Vice presidents prepare their units' Blueprints for Service Excellence and present those each year during budget hearings.


11. *Organizational Structure*

The President is served by the Vice President for Academic Affairs & Provost, Vice President for Research & Graduate Education, Vice President for Finance & Planning and Chief Financial Officer, Vice President for Human Resources, and Vice President for Student Affairs. The Vice President for Academic Affairs & Provost is responsible for all academic programs including the Graduate School except those units in the health sciences. Reporting to the Provost are a Vice Provost for Academic Affairs, Vice Provost for Faculty Development, Vice Provost for System Affairs, Vice Provost for Academic Support, and Vice President for Information Technology.

The Vice President for Research & Graduate Education oversees the five health sciences units listed above, as well as various offices that facilitate scholarly research. The Vice President & Chief Financial

Officer are responsible for all matters of business and finance including the Controller, Bursar, and Budget Office, as well as physical facilities, utilities and campus construction. The organizational chart of the University of South Carolina is shown on the following page.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORGANIZATION CHART – 2010-2011



Columbia Accountability Report Appropriations/Expenditures Chart

Base Budget Expenditures and Appropriations

Major Budget Categories	FY 09-10 Actual Expenditures		FY 10-11 Actual Expenditures		FY 11-12 Appropriations Act	
	Total Funds	General Funds	Total Funds	General Funds	Total Funds	General Funds
Personal Service	\$ 375,754,230	\$ 103,289,538	\$ 396,822,194	\$ 82,135,000	\$ 367,071,347	\$ 77,265,000
Other Operating	\$ 367,951,411	\$ -	\$ 424,162,530	\$ -	\$ 432,035,509	\$ -
Special Items	\$ 3,809,097	\$ 3,190,825	\$ 1,509,891	\$ 1,043,958	\$ 1,478,504	\$ 1,012,571
Permanent Improvements	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fringe Benefits	\$ 87,585,499	\$ 22,040,441	\$ 93,351,544	\$ 17,839,436	\$ 97,522,486	\$ 16,679,719
Non-recurring	\$ 19,216,289	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 12,640,911	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total	\$ 854,316,526	\$ 131,520,804	\$ 928,487,070	\$ 101,018,394	\$ 898,107,846	\$ 94,957,290

Other Expenditures

Sources of Funds	FY 08-09 Actual Expenditures	FY 09-10 Actual Expenditures
Supplemental Bills	\$ -	\$ -
Capital Reserve Funds	\$ -	\$ -
Bonds	\$ -	

Note:

The Non-recurring is the ARRA Federal Stimulus funds. In FY10 \$16,216,289 was spent and \$1,020,128 was transferred for operating expenditures and capital projects of the budget of \$23,945,887.

In FY11 \$12,640,911 was spent and \$20,961,342 was transferred for operating expenditures and capital projects of the budget of \$26,892,783.

The amount spent in FY11 includes the unspent residual funds from FY10.

All ARRA funds have been fully expended as of June 30, 2011.

H27-Columbia Major Program Areas

Program Number	Major Program Area and Purpose (Brief)	FY 09-10 Budget Expenditures	FY 10-11 Budget Expenditures	Key Cross References for Financial Results*
465/466 467/468 469 470 471	Instruction-Undergraduate, graduate & professional degree programs appropriate to the authorized degree level of the institution and in compliance with its mission.	State: 108,658,250 Federal: 734,753 Other: 111,267,466 Total: 220,660,469 % of Total Budget: 25.93%	State: 86,657,382 Federal: 414,878 Other: 141,485,185 Total: 228,557,445 % of Total Budget: 24.64%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-4 Figure 7.6-4
477 478 479 480 481	Auxiliary-Includes Athletics, Housing, Student Health Services, Bookstore and other self-supporting activities that furnish goods/services to students/ or faculty/staff including food service, vending and concessions, & parking.	State: 0 Federal: 0 Other: 98,687,382 Total: 98,687,382 % of Total Budget: 11.59%	State: 0 Federal: 0 Other: 105,712,190 Total: 105,712,190 % of Total Budget: 11.40%	Figure 7.3-1
457	School of Medicine-Prepare students in the art and science of medicine and provide them with a background for further post-graduate training in a variety of fields of medicine.	State: 19,671,729 Federal: 24,969,797 Other: 26,089,039 Total: 70,730,565 % of Total Budget: 8.31%	State: 13,317,054 Federal: 22,711,497 Other: 26,954,951 Total: 62,983,502 % of Total Budget: 6.79%	Figure 7.3-1
458	Research-Activities specifically organized to produce research outcomes, commissioned either by external entities or through a separate budget process of an organizational unit within the institution.	State: 0 Federal: 84,251,077 Other: 38,670,739 Total: 122,921,816 % of Total Budget: 14.44%	State: 0 Federal: 91,977,336 Other: 40,708,003 Total: 132,685,339 % of Total Budget: 14.31%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-2 Table 7.3-3
459	Public Service-Activities established to provide non-instructional services beneficial to individuals and groups external to the institution.	State: 0 Federal: 14,977,173 Other: 12,926,935 Total: 27,904,108 % of Total Budget: 3.28%	State: 0 Federal: 18,097,289 Other: 15,056,719 Total: 33,154,008 % of Total Budget: 3.57%	Figure 7.3-1 Table 7.6-6
460	Academic Support-Administrative functions that directly support instruction, research, and public service to include libraries, computing services, career advising, and academic administration.	State: 0 Federal: 5,178,095 Other: 46,682,084 Total: 51,860,179 % of Total Budget: 6.09%	State: 0 Federal: 4,662,221 Other: 50,800,437 Total: 55,462,658 % of Total Budget: 5.98%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-4 Table 7.6-6

461	Student Services-Student focused activities to Include admissions, registration, academic advising, student organizations, and other student services.	State: 0 Federal: 5,519,088 Other: 25,163,287 Total: 30,682,375 % of Total Budget: 3.60%	State: 0 Federal: 7,081,249 Other: 25,940,198 Total: 33,021,447 % of Total Budget: 3.56%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-4 Figure 7.6-4
462	Operations & Maintenance: Facilities support services to include campus security, capital planning, facilities administration, buildings and grounds maintenance, utilities, and major repairs and renovations.	State: 0 Federal: 681,002 Other: 47,666,073 Total: 48,347,075 % of Total Budget: 5.68%	State: 0 Federal: 2,460,968 Other: 77,652,524 Total: 80,113,492 % of Total Budget: 8.64%	Figure 7.3-1 Table 7.3-5 Table 7.3-6
463	Scholarships-Scholarships and fellowships in the form of outright grants to students selected by the institution and financed in the form of current funds, both restricted and unrestricted.	State: 0 Federal: 20,800,924 Other: 119,525,344 Total: 140,326,268 % of Total Budget: 16.49%	State: 0 Federal: 25,117,999 Other: 125,228,981 Total: 150,346,980 % of Total Budget: 16.21%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-4 Tables 7.5-8-9
476	Institutional Support-Administrative functions to include executive management, personnel services, fiscal operations, administrative computing, and public relations.	State: 0 Federal: 71,436 Other: 38,934,028 Total: 39,005,464 % of Total Budget: 4.58%	State: 0 Federal: 74,349 Other: 45,331,702 Total: 45,406,051 % of Total Budget: 4.90%	Figure 7.3-1 Figure 7.3-4
Grand Total		State: 128,329,979 14.70% Federal: 157,183,345 18.47% Other: 565,612,377 66.45% Total: 851,125,701 99.63%	State: 99,974,436 10.67% Federal: 172,597,786 18.61% Other: 654,870,890 70.61% Total: 927,443,112 99.89%	

Below: List any programs not included above and show the remainder of expenditures by source of funds.

Remainder of Expenditures:	State: 3,190,825 0.37% Federal: 0 Other: 0 Total: 3,190,825 % of Total Budget: 0.37%	State: 1,043,958 0.11% Federal: 0 Other: 0 Total: 1,043,958 % of Total Budget: 0.11%
-----------------------------------	---	---

Section III – Elements of Malcolm Baldrige Criteria

Category 1 – Senior Leadership, Governance, and Social Responsibility

1.1 How do senior leaders develop and deploy their organization's vision and values throughout the leadership system, to the workforce, to key suppliers and partners, and to students and stakeholders, as appropriate? How do their personal actions reflect a commitment to the organizational values?

Focus Carolina is the main vehicle for developing the University's vision and values. Senior leaders are encouraging active and direct involvement in Focus Carolina by the entire University family, other senior leadership team members in state and community affairs, and routinely by appealing to the leadership of the Commission on Higher Education for guidance and direction.

The University's vision is presented to the Board of Trustees in a public meeting, and is thereby communicated to all stakeholders. Many opportunities are available for the University's vision to be shared with stakeholders, including through various meetings and publications. For instance, the President and Provost share their vision throughout the leadership system by speaking with all deans, associate and assistant deans, department chairs, and directors of schools, programs, institutes and centers at the Provost's Meeting, which is held just before the start of each semester. Senior leaders and administrators also take part in new student orientation, which gives all involved an opportunity to share in the excitement surrounding USC Columbia. The strategic plans of all academic units and Vice Presidents include their own vision statements which are, in turn, tied to that of the University.

1.2 How do senior leaders create a sustainable organization with a focus on action to accomplish the organization's strategic objectives, improve performance, and attain your vision?

The senior leadership creates a focus on attainment of the University's goals by closely tying resource allocation decisions to strategic plans. The annual exercise is called Planning, Budgeting and Assessment. Deans report strategic plans and budget requests to the Provost and/or Vice President for Research & Graduate Education, and vice presidents report their plans and budgets to the President. The chief aim of these deliberations is to achieve convergence between expectations, as reflected in strategic plans, and resources available to help achieve those expectations through the budget allocation process. This is an iterative process where gaps between draft plans and likely budget support are eventually reduced as the review and deliberative process proceeds. Each year, assessments are made as to how well various goals are being met. These assessments then serve the important purpose of informing the budget allocation decision. The University's strategic plan has an architecture that necessarily links the vision statement to the mission, then to the respective goals. Thus achievement of various goals necessarily leads to accomplishment of the mission and realization of the vision.

1.3 How do senior leaders personally promote and support an organizational environment that fosters and requires: legal and ethical behavior; and, fiscal, legal, and regulatory accountability? How are these monitored?

The President and the full senior leadership team strictly enforce ethical and responsible behavior in all facets of University life. They lead by example in adhering to the [Carolinian Creed](http://www.sa.sc.edu/creed/) [<http://www.sa.sc.edu/creed/>]. Furthermore, senior leaders have established and implemented policies and procedures to which faculty, staff, and students are to abide by, such as the Faculty Manual and the Student Handbook, among many others that can be found on USC' website for [Policies and Procedures of the University](http://www.sc.edu/policies/index.shtml) [<http://www.sc.edu/policies/index.shtml>]. Numerous programs focusing on ethical and legal behavior and fiscal, legal, and regulatory accountability are also offered; e.g., Diversity in the Workplace, Successful Supervision, and Financial Services I and II. The Vice Presidents and deans are charged by the President with direct responsibility to ensure accountability with respect to all legal, fiscal,

and regulatory matters. Monitoring is continuous and is aided by a well-developed professional Internal Audit office that reports to the Board of Trustees.

1.4 How do senior leaders create an environment for organizational and workforce learning?

The lynchpin of USC's efforts to bring focus on action to accomplish goals and objectives is complete participation by all stakeholders. Faculty, staff, and students are fully involved in the development and execution of strategic plans. Numerous avenues for communication of goals and objectives are used; e.g., faculty through the senate and other channels, students through the Student Government Association, and the staff through the Staff Association and other channels. The decentralized budget model is an invaluable instrument in bringing about focus on the University's goals and vision. It is designed to align incentives of individuals with the broader University. The University promotes workforce learning by offering a variety of training programs and workshops through the [Professional Development Office \[http://hr.sc.edu/profdevp.html\]](http://hr.sc.edu/profdevp.html) in the Division of Human Resources.

1.5 How do senior leaders promote and personally participate in succession planning and the development of future organizational leaders?

Faculty develop and acquire knowledge at USC as at any research university, and research and scholarship represent one of the three core missions of USC. It receives primary emphasis in the evaluation and reward system. Staff members are encouraged to maintain and expand their job knowledge, and they are supported in pursuit of undergraduate and advanced degrees. The University has an extensive training apparatus for all staff.

Succession planning is emphasized at all levels. Employees with critical job knowledge are cross-trained when possible with colleagues to ensure continuity. As employees retire, some are retained on a temporary basis in order to train replacements.

1.6 How do senior leaders communicate with, engage, empower, and motivate the entire workforce throughout the organization? How do senior leaders take an active role in reward and recognition processes to reinforce high performance throughout the organization?

President Pastides is an engaging communicator, and he has worked to ensure that communication channels are fully open. He and the Provost periodically address the general faculty and the faculty senate to update them on various initiatives, and to emphasize and re-emphasize goals and vision. The senior leadership team seizes every opportunity and employs all means available to convey vision and goals to all faculty, staff, and students. This includes internal print media such as *USC Times* and the student newspaper, open houses, various meetings, and an elaborate, professionally designed web site.

Senior leadership of the University takes an active role in rewarding and acknowledging faculty (see Table 5.10-1) and staff. University awards are personally presented by the President and/or Provost. The President and Provost have direct responsibilities in selecting faculty for named and endowed chair positions, all promotion and tenure decisions, and award of sabbaticals and fellowships. Moreover, the President and Provost take an active role in monitoring merit-based salary adjustments.

1.7 How does your organization evaluate the performance of your senior leaders including the head of the organization, and the governance board/policy making body? How do senior leaders use these performance reviews to improve their own leadership effectiveness and that of the board and leadership system, as appropriate?

Senior leaders such as deans are reviewed every year by the provost, and comments and observations from various constituents are included. Every five years deans undergo a complete re-appointment review. The reviews are formalized and include assessments by faculty, staff, students and external constituents. Vice presidents are formally reviewed annually by the President and/or Provost. The President is evaluated annually by the Board of Trustees. The senior leadership periodically conducts retreats and workshops that feature appropriate "soul-searching" and self-analysis.

1.8 What performance measures do senior leaders regularly review to inform them on needed actions?

Senior leaders routinely review Blueprints for Service Excellence as an assessment of the progress made toward our stated goals. Monitoring the degree of progress made on initiatives helps focus needed action for the coming years. In addition, the University participates in data consortiums such as the Consortium for Student Retention Data Exchange (CSRDE), the Southern Universities Group (SUG) Salary Study, and the Delaware Study to compare our performance to other similar institutions to help set goals and initiatives.

1.9 How does your organization address and anticipate any adverse impacts of its programs, offerings, services, and operations? What are the key compliance related processes, goals, and measures?

All programs, offerings and services are thoroughly reviewed before implementation, and they are monitored routinely after initiation. Additions and changes to offerings are reviewed by the various regulatory bodies such as the Commission on Higher Education (CHE) and the Southern Association of Colleges & Schools (SACS), as well as by internal stakeholders. The University is sensitive to the fact that in complex endeavors such as higher education, unintended consequences are not uncommon. Consequently, ideas for new programs and offerings, as well as modifications of existing programs, are “stress tested” by faculty, staff, students and external constituents beforehand. A formal policy was recently put into place to guide in program development and modification, and a new position, Assistant Provost for Program Development, has been appointed to help oversee the process. On a regular basis, USC reports data on Institutional Effectiveness and program assessment to its regulatory bodies.

1.10 How do senior leaders actively support and strengthen the communities in which your organization operates? Include how senior leaders determine areas of emphasis for organizational involvement and support, and how senior leaders, the workforce, and the organization’s students contribute to improving these communities.

Recognized for Outreach Excellence by the Carnegie Foundation, the senior leadership of USC regards support of the community as part of its core mission – outreach, service. Initiatives include economic development (e.g., Center of Economic Excellence endowed chair program), public safety (e.g., Poison Control Center), K-12 education (e.g., First Robotics), and research collaboration (e.g., Next Energy, Health Sciences South Carolina). President Pastides is an untiring proponent of public-private partnership and collaboration with the state and local communities.

The senior leadership looks to representatives of USC’s constituents such as the CHE for much of its direction regarding needs of the state. Moreover, President Pastides has developed close personal relationships with community leaders such as the mayor of Columbia and the city and county councils. These constituents realize that the University is an able and willing partner whose interests are well aligned with those of the state and communities.

Category 2 – Strategic Planning

2.1 What is your Strategic Planning process, including key participants, and how does it address: a. the organizations’ strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats; b. financial, regulatory, and other potential risks; c. shifts in technology, student and community demographics, markets, student and stakeholder preferences, and competition; d. human resource capabilities and needs; e. long-term organizational sustainability and organizational continuity in emergencies; f. your ability to execute the strategic plan.

Blueprints for Excellence are comprehensive strategic plans used to identify academic and service units and programs that are succeeding well and those that are in need of support, enhancement, or redirection. Goals articulated in the plans are aspirations to seize upon opportunities, and units are instructed to ensure that their goals and initiatives support the broader goals of the University.

The chief source of regulatory risk facing the University is related to compliance with SACS and CHE requirements for new programs. In the Blueprints provided by deans, all proposed academic programs are described so that adequate preparation may be made to ensure compliance with all regulations.

The process of strategic planning is largely decentralized such that deans of academic units and vice presidents of service units, in cooperation with faculty and staff, play the key role in their development. The deans and their faculty are attuned to technological shifts and changes in student profiles and market competition. Moreover, the Blueprints are shared with various key officials, such as the Vice President for Information Technology and the Vice President for Student Affairs, whose input is used to inform the planning process in the academic units.

A key component of strategic plans is discussion of personnel needs. This is particularly valuable in light of retirements, and hence, hiring of faculty and staff. Each unit is required to outline its hiring needs over the coming year and to project needs five years into the future. Specific action plans are articulated that describe hiring and retention actions. These are then monitored by deans and vice presidents.

The Blueprints present plans for the next five years, and this may be as far in the future as we can reasonably project. The financial components are three-year projections, and deans build reserves for emergencies as well as opportunities that may arise.

The key to executing our strategic plans is the tying of the budget to our Blueprints for Excellence, by allocating money based on initiatives crucial to the direction of the institution. During the budgeting process, units present initiatives and action plans as well as the estimated cost of each initiative. The University budget is developed based on the cost and priority of each initiative as they relate to the Board of Trustees approved vision and goals of the President.

2.2 How do your strategic objectives address the strategic challenges you identified in your Executive Summary?

The key challenges identified above, maintaining the faculty work force and recruiting top students, are focal points of strategic plans. Deans include faculty recruitment and retention plans in their Blueprints for Academic Excellence, and they articulate goals regarding student recruitment and retention as well. The Vice President for Student Affairs and the Provost include goals dedicated to student recruitment and retention in their respective Blueprints for Service Excellence. Student recruitment and retention goals are monitored constantly at the highest level, the Board of Trustees. Quality indicators have been established, and these are examined periodically at Board meetings.

Infrastructure challenges are significant in view of growth in faculty and student populations. The strategic planning process itself includes space needs, and the University has a committee that constantly assesses infrastructure needs including acquisition of new space, renovation, and upfitting of existing facilities. The University has in place a master plan for facilities and infrastructure, and this is discussed actively and monitored by the Board of Trustees.

2.3 How do you evaluate and improve your strategic planning process?

The strategic planning process itself encompasses execution in that the Blueprints are living, active documents. Each year goals and initiatives articulated by the units are re-evaluated to determine if they are on course, and deans' performance is formally evaluated based on the degree to which their plans are being executed successfully. These data are aggregated and compared to similar data from competitor institutions and other state institutions.

This entire process was reviewed and revised recently and will continue to be monitored to ensure it provides useful and appropriate planning information. As with the actual Blueprints, the process itself is considered active. The University's key strategic objectives and initiatives are addressed in the Executive Summary.

2.4 How do you develop and track action plans that address your key strategic objectives? Include how you allocate resources to ensure the accomplishment of your action plans.

The University of South Carolina may be unique in the state in that the budget model is decentralized. Each academic unit receives all tuition revenue for courses taught by its faculty, and it receives a portion of the state budget allocation. By matching the locus of decision making with the concentration of the best information, resources are expected to be allocated efficiently. For example, the dean of the College of Arts & Sciences knows better than the President or Provost the demand for Spanish courses, and student demand in turn generates tuition revenue that goes directly to the College. Thus, the dean has every incentive to staff courses adequately to reflect student demand.

It is also recognized, however, that the decentralized model is imperfect. In recognition of this reality, the Provost maintains some funding to be applied strategically as needed, and has exercised the authority to re-allocate funds from units that have surpluses. Thus there is a component of the budget model that may be characterized as “private markets,” and there is a component that is “centrally planned,” not unlike the U.S. economic system.

2.5 How do you communicate and deploy your strategic objectives, action plans and related performance measures?

The University’s vision and plans are communicated and shared in a variety of ways. At annual meetings, the Provost and Vice President for Research & Graduate Education invite the deans to share their Blueprints, and they encourage critique and debate of each plan. In this way, university leaders are aware of how their work fits with the work of others towards the larger goals of the institution. The President’s vision is published in an annual brochure that is distributed to alumni and other stakeholders. He also speaks publicly about his vision and plans for the university in a variety of formats, including the Bow Tie tours, the College and University Presidents’ meetings with the members of the CHE, and faculty senate meetings.

2.6 How do you measure progress on your action plans?

Measuring progress of our initiatives is an integral part of the on-going planning process, and progress towards action plans is measured annually. Information is collected continuously and, during the annual planning process, deans and vice presidents supply the results, and the Blueprints are modified as necessary.

2.7 If the organization’s strategic plan is available to the public through the organization’s internet homepage, please provide an address for that plan on the website.

Blueprints for Academic Excellence are available on the Provost’s website: <http://www.sc.edu/provost/divisionstrategic.shtml>, Blueprints for Service Excellence for Student Affairs and Academic Support are available through the following website: <http://www.sa.sc.edu/assessment/blueprints.htm>, and Blueprints for Service Excellence areas available through the following website: <http://busfinance.admin.sc.edu/strategic.shtml>.

Category 3 – Student, Stakeholder, and Market Focus

3.1 How do you identify the student and market segments your educational programs will address? How do you determine which student and market segments to pursue for current and future educational programs, offerings, and services?

As with all institutions of higher education, USC’s market focus is identified, in part, through its mission statement. The University also utilizes enrollment planning information to help ensure that the student population is aligned with our educational programs and services. USC has a well-developed process that includes questionnaires, focus groups, and a number of commercially available tools designed to help build a student population appropriate to our mission.

The University follows a five-year strategic plan that directs our work. This influences Institutional Enrollment plan, authored by the President and approved by the Board of Trustees, and influences the

development of an enrollment plan for each college. The curriculum drives the hiring of faculty as well as the student population we target for enrollment. New program proposals are developed at the college level and, after feasibility discussions, submitted for review by the faculty senate, the CHE, and other internal and external governing agencies.

3.2 How do you keep your listening and learning methods current with changing student and stakeholder needs and expectations (including educational programs, offerings, and service features)? How do you determine the relative importance of the expectations to these groups' decisions related to enrollment?

We collect much information to help inform decision making and planning for changing stakeholder needs and expectations. The campus visit and tele-counseling programs, and other recruitment strategies are assessed. Student participation on advisory boards and in student government is encouraged as one way to ensure student voices are heard. We conduct a variety of assessments in all of our programs, including surveys, focus groups, and interviews, among others. Results are reviewed to ensure that programs and services are modified as necessary to keep current with the needs and demands of students and other stakeholders today.

Staff in the Division of Student Affairs regularly meet with students and also organize meetings each semester for students with the University president. President Pastides is especially interested in listening to and learning from students and stakeholders about their ideas for improving the Carolina experience. President Pastides has established an online suggestion box, [Carolina's Ideas](http://www.sc.edu/speakup/) [<http://www.sc.edu/speakup/>], to serve as a portal to the broader University of South Carolina community, including prospective, current and former students; active and retired faculty and staff; members of the various communities we serve; and friends of our University all around the world. The President encourages the University family to submit thoughts and suggestions for improving effectiveness and efficiency throughout our eight-campus system.

We also participate in a number of national surveys. The Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP) is a national longitudinal study of higher education in America, and USC has participated in this study since its inception in 1966. Reviewing CIRP data allows us to listen and learn from our first-time, full-time students entering USC Columbia each year. We also participate in the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) (see Table 7.1-7) which attempts to measure the different facets of students' engagement in the institution. The Profile of the American College Student (PACS) surveys students in all class levels to create a portrait or detailed description of students in terms of their key characteristics, behaviors, and beliefs. Results from these surveys allow us to monitor trends among our students, and to compare ourselves to peer institutions nationally.

The Admitted Student Questionnaire provides valuable information about student likes and dislikes, and we are able to tailor our admissions presentations and programs to better attract our target population. We also participated in a Noel Levitz study that compared student expectations with their satisfaction. Any areas identified as "high expectation-low satisfaction" were carefully considered for modification and improvement. As the gap between expectations and reality can be a factor in student retention, staff in the Division of Student Affairs developed a new early intervention program. Within the first four weeks of classes, the goal of the First-Year Call Center is to telephone every new student to ask whether the student's expectations are being met.

Our approach to strategic planning (Focus Carolina) is based on listening to faculty, staff, students and alumni as they articulated initiatives they thought the University needed to improve and move forward. The five goal teams each had members from all stakeholder groups, and the teams operated in open forum throughout. Two "town hall" meetings provided an opportunity for all stakeholders from the whole campus to contribute. Our formalized strategic planning process ensures that strategic planning, programmatic initiatives, budgeting, evaluation, and assessment are all linked to a continuous improvement process. Most colleges within USC have advisory committees that include both alumni and recognized community leaders. Many of these committees help to guide the curriculum using information collected from various sources, including current, former, and future students. Information is shared

widely across the campus community to encourage collaboration and help improve programs and curricula.

USC Columbia identifies the needs of business and industry partners through relationships with many involved in the South Carolina Center of Economic Excellence (COEE) and the Innovista Research District. Created in 2002, the COEE Program is investing in talent and infrastructure at South Carolina's three research universities (USC, MUSC, and Clemson) to drive economic development. The University of South Carolina has been approved for 14 Centers of Economic Excellence in which it will be the lead research institution and nine in which it will be the secondary institution, and has five endowed chairs. Each center has ongoing relationships with USC Columbia as senior faculty members and a research team of junior faculty, research faculty, and graduate students will support these endowed chairs. The CoEE program has solidified relationships among the universities, hospitals, national laboratories, and industry, and has created a statewide network for innovation. With Innovista, the University of South Carolina has created a destination for innovation, creativity, entertainment, culture, and more. Innovista integrates public and private sector research in high-tech, high-density facilities. Ongoing relationships with these partners enable USC Columbia to be attentive and responsive to emerging needs and expectations.

3.3 How do you use information and feedback from current, former, and future students and stakeholders to keep services and programs relevant, and provide for continuous improvement?

The University collects a great deal of information about student and stakeholder satisfaction and dissatisfaction and uses what it discovers to make appropriate changes. The University conducts numerous surveys of current students and alumni as part of the assessment of initiatives in the Blueprints.

3.4 How do you determine student and stakeholder satisfaction and dissatisfaction and use this information to improve?

Many instruments, as shown in Table 3-4, are used to collect information about student satisfaction. University Housing administers an annual Perception Study to all students living in the residence halls. Results are compiled overall, by building, and even by floor, and this information is shared with staff, including Resident Advisors, so they can better understand the needs of their residents.

Table 3-4.

Instrument	Stakeholders	Frequency
Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP)	Freshmen	During orientation
First-Year Call Center	First-year students	Twice during first year
First-Year Initiative Survey	First-year students	Annually
Housing Perception Study	On-campus students	Annually
Residential Learning Community Survey	On-campus students	Annually
National Collegiate Health Assessment data	Current students	Every other year
Course Evaluations	Current students	End of every course
Division-based surveys and focus groups	Current students	Ongoing
Profile of the American College Student (PACS)	Current students	Annually
National Collegiate Health Assessment data	Current students	Annually
National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE)	Freshmen and seniors	Every other spring
Employer surveys	Internship students	Completion of internship
Alumni survey	Graduates	Every other year, 3 years

Other measures of student satisfaction include the percentage of students and alumni who donate to the University, and the percentage of students who apply who are legacies; that is, identified themselves as relatives of those who attend or have attended the University of South Carolina.

3.5 How do you build positive relationships to attract and retain students and stakeholders, to enhance student performance, and to meet and exceed their expectations for learning? Indicate any key distinctions between different student and stakeholder groups.

The University community provides and supports many programs and services designed to attract and retain students, and to help to ensure their success as learners. The curriculum is continually monitored and adjusted to optimize student learning. Course sequences are carefully considered, and all academic programs assess their effectiveness in reaching their stated student learning goals. The following examples are indicative of the work being done around campus to help the University meet its mission, goals, and initiatives:

- University 101 is a nationally recognized program designed to help first-year students adjust to university life, develop a better understanding of the learning process, and acquire essential academic success skills. The three-credit course is taught by faculty and administrators with a special interest in first-year students, and approximately 80% of incoming freshman enroll in the course.
- University Housing, over the past decade, has developed a number of residential learning communities that provide students with opportunities to reinforce their classroom learning in their living environments. One example is the Green Learning Community, which integrates environmentally conscious technology, design, sustainability and learning opportunities into students' everyday lives.
- All first-year students living in the residence halls participate in the Student Success Initiative. This program involves semi-structured meetings between students and their resident advisors. The meetings are carefully designed to provide students with the support they need at different times throughout the academic year.
- Academic advising is an important tool for student success and retention. Colleges within USC develop academic advising programs that best suit the needs of their students. For example, the Department of Psychology, home to one of the most popular undergraduate majors, hired a professional, full-time advisor to help ensure students were receiving adequate and appropriate support in this area. Moreover, a very helpful website, [My Academic Advising \[http://www.sc.edu/academicsuccess/advise.html\]](http://www.sc.edu/academicsuccess/advise.html), was developed to centralize resources for students and to highlight steps students should take to be successful at USC. The website includes tips for a successful advising appointment, links to requirements for majors offered at the University of South Carolina, and frequently asked questions about advising. Newly developed resources will be added soon, such as a cross campus advising center and contact information for each college, which is helpful when a student is interested in changing majors.
- The University offers a number of academic support services on campus designed to retain students by helping them improve and succeed in all their academic efforts. The Student Success Center, now beginning its fifth year of operation, coordinates an intentionally-designed, comprehensive array of programs, resources, and services that guide students to degree completion at USC through promoting academic goal setting and skill development, personal transition to the university setting, and effective decision making. These programs include Supplemental Instruction, Tutoring, the Creating Academic Responsibility early intervention initiative, the First-Year Call Center, Gamecock Connection, and Initiatives for Special Student Populations, including out-of-state and transfer student mentoring and success programs and scholarship retention programs.

There are, obviously, key distinctions between our different stakeholder groups. The aspirations, goals, and objectives differ for undergraduate and graduate students, for our alumni, and for taxpayers. In general, undergraduates expect to participate in an educational experience that prepares them for either continued education or to be competitive in the job market. Many undergraduates increasingly take advantage of opportunities to participate in academic research projects under the direction of highly accomplished faculty. Graduate students often seek more specialized training and, in many cases, research opportunities with our renowned faculty. Alumni expect the university to continue to grow as a respected and reputable institution. Taxpayers expect the university to play a cooperative role in the community and to prepare students to give back to the state. Despite their differences, these groups all have a stake in the success of the University of South Carolina, and, as such, a shared responsibility to help contribute to that success.

Category 4 – Measurement, Analysis, and Knowledge Management

4.1 How do you select which operations, processes and systems to measure to determine student learning, and for tracking daily operations and overall organizational performance, including progress relative to strategic objectives and action plans?

As an institution of higher education, measuring student learning is an important aspect of our processes. We use typical benchmark data for peer analysis. This includes average SAT scores of our entering students (Figures 7.5-3-4), graduation and retention rates (Figures 7.1-3-5), and faculty credentials (Table 7.5-2). These standard measures allow us to see how well we are performing compared to select peer institutions. Individual programs and service units also select additional assessment measures and key performance indicators that are tailored to their specific goals and initiatives.

4.2 How do you select, collect, align, and integrate data/information for analysis to provide effective support for decision making and innovation throughout your organization?

Information and data analysis are used in a variety of ways to guide decision making. As mentioned previously, progress toward goals and initiatives outlined in the Blueprints for Excellence are evaluated continuously based upon information collected. The cyclical process allows assessment information to inform the planning process to ensure goals and objectives can be reached. At the unit level, course evaluation results and peer review notes are shared with faculty to provide them with feedback about effectiveness in the classroom. Staff are reviewed annually within the Employee Performance Management System (EPMS) which allows supervisors to work with employees to set goals that are congruent with the broader University mission.

As a requirement for accreditation through SACS, the Carolina Core (General Education) committee and faculty within each academic program establish student learning outcomes, assess the extent to which these outcomes are achieved, and use the results for program improvement. Administrative units also engage in this process of identifying, measuring, and analyzing outcomes to improve student support programs.

4.3 How do you keep your measures current with educational service needs and directions?

Information from a carefully selected group of peer institutions and aspirant institutions is collected and analyzed. We select schools that share a substantial number of characteristics with USC, assiduously follow those institutions, and map our own competitive posture against those institutions.

4.4 How do you select and use key comparative data and information from within and outside the academic community to support operational and strategic decision making?

The goals and initiatives of the University, as identified in the Blueprints for Excellence, drive what we seek to measure. Comparisons with our peers allow us to set benchmarks by which to measure progress. USC was chosen as one of 95 institutions by the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of

Teaching in the highest category of research volume and intensity, and this gives us a natural cohort against which to compare ourselves. National surveys, such as the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) (see Table 7.1-7), the Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP), and the HERI faculty survey, provide benchmarking and longitudinal information. A variety of internal surveys (e.g., alumni survey) are administered regularly, thereby providing comparative longitudinal information. The ETS Proficiency Profile (see Figure 7.1-2) is administered annually to first-year and senior students to assess general education skills. Performance on the ETS Proficiency Profile (Figure 7.1-2) can be compared with national comparative data. Comparative data are a useful tool in assessing our effectiveness, and these data are used to inform decision making and planning.

4.5 How do you ensure data integrity, timeliness, accuracy, security and availability for decision making?

Data are most useful for decision making when they are accurate, secure, and timely. In 2001, the SACS reaffirmation committee found “the information provided [in the Office of Institutional Assessment and Compliance’s electronic data clearinghouse] to be readily accessible, clear and easy to use, and of exceptionally high quality.” USC has a variety of policies in place to help ensure data security, including a Network Access policy and a Data Access policy. Recently, a Security Oversight Committee was charged with examining our data to determine its trustworthiness. University staff and faculty use industry standard operational definitions for data, and follow guidelines required by FERPA and HEPA. As such, all faculty members are required to participate in an on-line FERPA tutorial before being allowed to access their class rosters on the web. University Technology Services works with external consultants annually to conduct a vulnerability audit to assess the security elements of our systems, including the mainframes. The multi-year Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) project, known as *OneCarolina*, will further ensure data integrity, timeliness, accuracy and security by rebuilding many of our business and administrative systems into one unified package. Additionally, *OneCarolina* will make access to information much simpler and quicker as, for many requests, the user will be able to generate the needed reports rather than wait for an intermediary to generate it.

4.6 How do you translate organizational performance review findings into priorities for continuous improvement?

Performance review findings, a critical component of the Blueprints for Excellence, are presented by the deans annually as part of the strategic planning process. This is linked to the budgetary process and, as such, helps us to focus on our priorities. University Technology Services uses a project management database to review the progress of all projects. At the program level, faculty use an online system, Assessment Plan Composer, to detail goals and student learning outcomes for each degree-granting program. These are reviewed annually to ensure that programs are meeting student learning goals at an acceptable level of excellence.

4.7 How do you collect, transfer, and maintain organizational and employee knowledge (knowledge assets)? How do you identify and share best practices?

Organizational knowledge is maintained and transferred in a variety of ways. Faculty and staff follow guidelines outlined in formal policies and procedures manuals. Faculty knowledge is documented, at least partially, through the tenure and promotion process. Bulletins for both undergraduate and graduate students serve to communicate university policies and procedures to students. *OneCarolina* will fully document all of our business processes. All new employees participate in a University-wide employee orientation, but many divisions and departments have developed specific, formal training for their area that focus on the specific policies and procedures most relevant for that area.

Best practices are identified and shared in both formal and informal ways at the University. A lunch lecture series for faculty allows people to share their areas of expertise with others. The University and individual departments also sponsor campus visits by high-profile speakers to share their expertise and experiences. Numerous workshops and courses designed to share best practices are available for faculty

and staff to enhance employee's knowledge, skills, and performance. For example, the Center for Teaching Excellence (CTE) provides professional development opportunities for faculty and graduate students. The Office of Institutional Assessment and Compliance (IAC) developed the [Assessment Toolbox](http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/effectiveness/toolbox/) [http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/effectiveness/toolbox/] that equips faculty by making training and assessment tools easily accessible. The Division of Human Resources offers an Employee Learning System which offers a training curriculum designed specifically for an employee's job classification. The University's professional development programs improve individual and organizational performance and help USC achieve overall institutional goals.

Category 5 – Workforce Focus

5.1 How do you organize and manage work to enable your workforce to develop and utilize their full potential, aligned with the organization's objectives, strategies, and action plans and promote cooperation, initiative, empowerment, innovation, and your organizational culture?

Faculty work performance is managed through setting teaching loads and balancing teaching schedules. These are reviewed annually and are modified based upon a faculty member's emerging strengths. For example, teaching loads may be reduced if a faculty member takes on an ambitious and successful research project. This balancing of work also helps the University work toward its mission and goals.

Staff development is organized predominantly through the state Employee Performance Management System. These documents, which generally outline about 70% of an employee's responsibilities, serve as a basis for how the work of each employee serves to further the mission and goals of the University of South Carolina.

The vision for the University is shared with faculty and staff in a number of ways to ensure that they are able to better organize and manage their efforts to support the direction of the institution. The President and the Provost meet with the faculty senate to reinforce the University's goals and objectives each year. This meeting is televised system-wide to ensure all members of the USC community can participate. The Provost also meets with all deans and department chairs to communicate the vision and discuss how various policies and procedures support the direction of the university.

5.2 How do you achieve effective communication and knowledge/skill/best practice sharing across departments, jobs, and locations?

Communication across departments, colleges and campuses in a university system is necessary for effective and efficient performance. The University manages a great deal of its work through a [committee system](http://www.sc.edu/provost/committees.shtml) [http://www.sc.edu/provost/committees.shtml] that brings together faculty, staff and students. Committee members bring different perspectives on issues and make recommendations for changes to policies or procedures, as appropriate.

The Provost's office conducts a series of training sessions for all deans on the budgeting and planning processes. The Budget office offers a similar series to all business managers on campus that shares information about best practices, knowledge and skills.

Information about Human Resource policies and procedures is shared through an e-mail LISTSERV. Additionally, training schedules are sent in e-mail newsletters to all faculty and staff. "News to Use," a weekly feature, highlights useful information available on the Human Resources website for faculty and staff.

5.3 How does your workforce performance management system, including feedback to and from individual members of the workforce, support high performance work and contribute to the achievement of your action plans?

The work of faculty and staff directly contributes to the achievement of University goals and initiatives. Both the tenure and promotion process and the EPMS process encourage high performance

that supports the University's direction. The strategic planning documents, Blueprints for Excellence, include research and scholarship goals for each college, and initiatives and actions plans that detail how these goals will be met.

5.4 How do you accomplish effective succession planning? How do you manage effective career progression for your entire workforce throughout the organization?

Four years ago, the University of South Carolina launched the Strategic Faculty Revitalization Initiative. This project originally included \$1.5 million dollars in recurring funding to help hire replacement faculty. This money has allowed many departments to anticipate their needs and hire accordingly. Obviously, a number of these anticipated vacancies can be attributed to the TERI program. This group has been tracked since the beginning of that program, and regular reports have been prepared for the staff in the Provost's office to help ensure an adequate plan to address the changes in staffing the program brings.

Given the nature of an institution of higher education, career progression for most faculty and many staff is integrally tied to the university's processes. The career management process for faculty helps to manage and direct a faculty member's career from hiring through post-tenure review, if appropriate, and into retirement. This allows faculty members to grow and develop in ways that are professionally fulfilling, and congruent with the University's mission and goals. Staff members of the university have the opportunity to participate in management training to help expand and develop the skills they may need to be successful and progress in the organization.

5.5 How does your development and learning system for leaders address the following: a. development of personal leadership attributes; b. development of organizational knowledge; c. ethical practices; d. your core competencies, strategic challenges, and accomplishment of action plans?

The division of Human Resources offers a variety of workshops that strengthen leadership skills. These workshops are free to all faculty and staff. In addition, leadership topics are covered during the Dean and Department Head meetings and Faculty Orientation meeting that take place twice a year.

The University has embarked on a multi-year project for technology transformation, OneCarolina, which will shape how our development and learning system for leaders addresses organizational knowledge. As part of this project, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) will synchronize the various enterprise teams, and integrate data and business functions across campuses, colleges, departments and offices. All University procedures and work processes are being documented in the preparation for the new ERP system.

The Carolinian Creed acts as the guide for ethical practices, and is available in handbooks and on the University's web site. The University's core competencies, strategic challenges, and accomplishment of action plans are addressed at annual meetings with all academic and service units required to prepare and discuss Blueprints.

5.6 How do you assess your workforce capability and capacity needs, including skills, competencies, and staffing levels?

As a result of the University's decentralized budget model, Vice Presidents and Deans are best able to assess workforce capability and capacity needs. During the budget process, the workforce capability and capacity needs are outlined by the Vice Presidents and Deans and reviewed by the President. In addition, the University participates in data consortiums with other universities as a means of determining best practices in faculty and staffing levels.

5.7 How do you recruit, hire, and retain new employees?

The University is dedicated to hiring and retaining dedicated and talented employees. Faculty are recruited through advertisements in the publications of national and international organizations. Both faculty and administrative positions are advertised on the Human Resource Division's web site. Interested

individuals are able to search a database of available positions, which are updated daily, and create online applications to apply for career opportunities at the University of South Carolina. The University of South Carolina does not discriminate in educational or employment opportunities or decisions for qualified persons on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, sexual orientation or veteran status.

5.8 How does your workforce education, training, and development address your key organizational needs? How do you encourage on the job use of new knowledge and skills?

All faculty hiring is strategically tied to the curriculum as we hire to meet the needs of the curriculum. As such, faculty training and education closely match our key organizational needs. Staff are also hired because of what they know and what they can bring to our organization. We have a robust list of professional development programs to help faculty and staff continue to learn and grow once they are a part of the Carolina community. The entire program series is reviewed each summer, and, typically, two to five new programs are added, and those that are no longer viable or necessary are deleted. The Tuition Assistance program also supports the continued learning and development of faculty and staff. The tenure and promotion process is designed to encourage faculty members to stay current with new research and developments in their fields and to use new knowledge in the classroom. Staff, in some areas, have the opportunity to earn salary increases for completing certain types of additional training.

5.9 How do you evaluate the effectiveness of your workforce and leader training and development systems?

The effectiveness of faculty and staff's education and training is evaluated in a number of ways. Courses are evaluated by students every semester, and, as such, information about how effectively faculty are using their education and skills is collected and reviewed regularly. Faculty are also routinely peer-reviewed. Both of these evaluations factor into the tenure and promotion process which is designed to assess faculty effectiveness on a broader scale. The evaluation of staff members' education and training is addressed through both the State hiring processes and the EPMS. Through the EPMS, the goals of the unit drive the creation of job duties and success criteria for each employee during the planning stage. The review stage allows the supervisor and the employee to reflect on the employee's performance and determine strengths and areas that need improvement to help the unit meet its goals.

5.10 How do you motivate your workforce to develop and utilize their full potential?

The University employs talented faculty and staff. Many measures ensure that faculty and staff are recognized for exceptional work. A sample of the numerous awards given each year to many of the outstanding faculty and staff on our campus are listed in the following table. The University recognizes faculty excellence in teaching and research with salary increases awarded in the tenure review process. Staff are also rewarded for exemplary work, in the form of Pay for Performance increases and bonuses.

Table 5.10-1. Faculty Awards.

AWARD	ELIGIBILITY
Ada B. Thomas Outstanding Faculty Advisor Award	Faculty advisors
Advancing Knowledge Recognition	For Student Affairs staff who published articles or books, or presented at professional meetings or conferences
Carolina Distinguished Professorships (27)	For excellence in research, teaching, and service
Carolina Trustee Professorship	Humanities, Social Science, and Business areas
Carolina Trustee Professorship	Health, Engineering, Medicine, Science and Math areas
Golden Key Faculty Award	For creative integration of research and undergraduate teaching
Learning & Leadership Recognition	For Student Affairs staff who serve as leaders in professional organizations, earned degrees or certificates, or received awards or special recognitions from other sources
Michael J. Mungo Undergraduate Teaching Awards	For faculty teaching undergraduates
Michael J. Mungo Graduate Teaching Award	For one faculty teaching graduate students

Michael J. Mungo Distinguished Professor of the Year (formerly the AMOCO Award)	All faculty
Named Chairs (approximately 60 available)	For excellence in research, teaching, and service
Outreach and Service Recognition	For Student Affairs staff who regularly volunteer with, or serve as leaders of, community agencies, or those who serve on University committees
Outstanding Undergraduate Research Mentor Award	All faculty
Russell Research Award	Faculty in the Humanities and Social Sciences
Russell Research Award	Faculty in the Sciences, Mathematics, and Engineering
USC Educational Foundation Research Awards	Health Sciences, Humanities & Social Sciences, Professional School, and Science, Mathematics, & Engineering
USC Education Foundation Outstanding Service Award	All faculty

5.11 What formal and/or informal assessment methods and measures do you use to obtain information on workforce well-being, satisfaction, and motivation?

The University has a variety of mechanisms in place to monitor faculty and staff well-being and satisfaction. The Faculty Advisory Committee meets regularly with the Provost to bring to him all matters that have been developed by the Faculty Grievance Committee and the Faculty Welfare Committee. In each faculty member's annual review process, the faculty member is asked about issues or concerns he or she may have with the department, the college, the University, etc. These comments are forwarded to the appropriate office for review and action, if necessary. Information about faculty, staff and student concerns is also relayed and addressed through an Ombudsperson system. The University Ombudsperson's role is to intermediate in disputes and advise faculty and the Provost. The Division of Student Affairs has a similar position that deals directly with student concerns. A staff member in the Division of Human Resources works exclusively on employee relation issues.

5.12 How do you use workforce satisfaction assessment findings to identify and determine priorities for improvement?

Information collected is used to continuously refine our processes to help achieve our goals and initiatives. Information is shared with senior-level administrators and other policy makers through the University's committee and advisory board structures. For example, through the faculty senate, faculty brought a concern about the policy for appointing department chairs to the attention of the Provost. As a direct result of this, staff in the Provost's office will review the policy in question and make changes as needed. The number of formal grievances filed by faculty is usually limited and most often relate to tenure and promotion decisions or concerns about salaries. Several years ago, concerns arose about how consistent faculty salaries at USC were with peer institutions. This became an institutional priority, and the Provost appointed a Faculty Salary Equity Committee charged with developing a systematic approach to explore and address these concerns. The committee examines salary data and makes recommendations as necessary.

5.13 How do you maintain a safe, secure, and healthy work environment? (Include the organization's workplace preparedness for emergencies and disasters.)

The health and safety of our staff, students, faculty, and visitors is of primary concern to the University. The [University's Emergency Plan](http://www.sc.edu/emergency/EmergencyManagement.pdf) [http://www.sc.edu/emergency/EmergencyManagement.pdf] is designed to maximize human safety and survival, preserve property, minimize danger, restore normal activities of the University, and assure responsible communications with University constituents.

The Emergency Management Team (EMT) has worked continuously over the years to prepare and respond to incidents on campus. President Pastides recently approved a reorganization of the EMT which not only encompasses the traditional first responders, but now includes departments that will support the response in the areas of planning, logistics and finance. This revised incident command system provides a clear chain of command and more importantly ensures that the University maintains compliance with the

Federal National Incident Management System (NIMS) requirements. This team meets every month to discuss emergency planning and undergoes training to enable them to better respond if an incident ever affected the Carolina community.

In addition to the Emergency Management Team, the University administration established a number of planning committees (e.g., Strategic Planning, Communications, Mitigation, and Pandemic Flu Committees) that meet to create plans and policies to prepare the campus in the event of an emergency. For example, the Mitigation Committee identifies opportunities where the University can implement new projects that will lessen the impact of a hazard to the campus. Once the projects are identified, the committee actively seeks out grants to fund these endeavors. In 2006 the University received a grant to install five outdoor warning sirens around campus and in 2009 received additional funding from FEMA's Hazard Mitigation Grant Program to hire a consultant to evaluate campus facilities and provide detailed recommendations in a Mitigation Plan. This plan will assist the University of South Carolina identify the steps that need to be taken to become a disaster-resistant university.

The Division is also seeking additional officers through stimulus grant funds (as of now un-awarded). In addition the Division was awarded funding in this year's budget to pay officer overtime earned for duty work, training and court testimony. By paying this overtime instead of providing comp time, officers will be able to remain on the job and available for service. The level of funding received will result in approximately 14,000 additional hours of personnel present on campus.

The use of Campus Safety Officers (CSO), students who perform duties enhancing the safety of campus, has shown great success. The plan currently utilizes students who have received some 80 hours of training by the Division to do patrol, escort and surveillance duties. The current program is designed to grow in performance and expectation to a point where students will be certified SC reserve police officers. This may take several years to develop with the assistance of the SC Criminal Justice Academy and the State Law Enforcement Division. The students are well received by their peers as they perform their duties. Fortunately, the Division was awarded funding this year to make permanent the CSO program and to make the pay competitive with surrounding businesses. This fall the Division will begin advertising for new candidates to enlarge the program. With the program receiving recurring funding we are now able to diversify and expand the level of involvement in Division operations for some of the returning campus safety officers.

The Office of Environmental Health and Safety provides the University community with the services and training needed to ensure safety and environmental responsibility. They offer training related to fire safety, hazardous waste management, radiation safety, and laboratory safety. USC's Division of Law Enforcement and Safety is accredited by the Commission on Accreditation for Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA). When initially accredited in 1996, the department was only the 12th university law enforcement agency to earn such recognition. In the recent reaccreditation process, they were acknowledged as a Flagship Department and were only the second in the country to receive this honor from CALEA.

Human Resource staff meet quarterly with the Worker's Compensation Committee to develop plans to reduce the number of strains and sprains of the back reported by members of the Housing and Facilities departments. These two departments represent the largest users of the Worker's Compensation program, and safety and awareness training in these areas can significantly decrease the number of these types of injuries.

The University of South Carolina has also shown its commitment to supporting and encouraging a healthy environment on campus by implementing an initiative called Healthy Carolina. Designed to increase health awareness and provide resources to the campus community, this office launched the Tobacco Free USC program that supports the new tobacco policy on campus, Fitness Buddies which is an online networking tool created to help individuals reach established fitness goals through encouragement and companionship, among many other programs. Healthy Carolina also participates in the National College Health Assessment (Table 7.4-4) through the University of South Carolina's membership in the American College Health Association (ACHA). This survey assists health service providers, health educators, counselors, and administrators in collecting data about the health of their students.

Category 6 – Process Management

6.1 How do you determine, and what are your organization's core competencies, and how do they relate to your mission, competitive environment, and action plans?

The University has a well-developed program approval process that helps to ensure educational programs and services are well thought-out and needed. Additions to the curriculum must be consistent with our mission, vision, goals and objectives. Program proposals are reviewed by the Provost and by the faculty senate. They are also reviewed by staff at the CHE, Commissioners who serve on the Academic Program Review committee, and voted on by the entire CHE membership. SACS must also formally accept all new programs before students can enroll.

6.2 What are your organization's key work processes?

As previously described in the Organizational Profile and in Section III, Category 2, Blueprints for Excellence represent the University's key work processes of establishing, executing, monitoring, and assessing strategic goals and initiatives.

6.3 How do you incorporate input from students, faculty, staff, stakeholders, suppliers, and partners for determining your key work process requirements?

The planning and development process incorporates input from everyone involved in the campus community. As noted previously, students in every course, every semester, are encouraged to provide feedback about the content, delivery, and other aspects of a course. This information is compiled on a departmental level and shared institutionally as appropriate. Almost every college has an advisory board that includes, among others, both alumni and employers who hire that college's graduates.

6.4 How do you incorporate organizational knowledge, new technology, cost controls, and other efficiency and effectiveness factors, such as cycle time, into process design and delivery?

Although the general goal of educating students has always guided processes, changes and developments in technology have allowed universities to explore new ways of effectively and efficiently reaching this goal. Faculty use white boards, PowerPoint presentations, and internet resources to present information in more varied ways. Enhanced classrooms (SMART) are equipped with a variety of equipment, ranging from overhead projectors, to computers and DVD players. Courseware management systems, such as Blackboard, not only augment traditional classroom delivery, but they also allow students who do not meet in a traditional classroom setting to communicate and share ideas with instructor and classmates. University Instructional Services provides the necessary technology and student support for the University's academic departments and colleges to successfully deliver courses and programs to students at a distance. Using live broadcast, VHS/DVD, web, or video stream allows the University's offerings to reach students who may not be able to attend the physical campus.

6.5 How do you systematically evaluate and improve your work processes?

Our processes are evaluated regularly, both internally and externally. Programs are subjected to an external review process where experts in the field from other colleges and universities visit the campus to meet with faculty, staff, and students and to closely examine and review each program. A formalized report is prepared that highlights a program's strengths and areas for improvement.

The Provost has insisted that all programs that can be accredited by an agency must be accredited (see Table 7.5-7). Earning initial accreditation and being reaffirmed are intensive processes that examine virtually every facet of a program or college. Non-accredited programs are strongly encouraged to undergo scheduled external review.

6.6 What are your key support processes, and how do you evaluate, improve and update these processes to achieve better performance?

As an institution of higher education, our support processes are vital to helping achieve our educational mission. Admissions, Human Resources, the Budget and Finance office, Facilities, Student Affairs, and University Technology Services all contribute to the overall success of the institution. These offices, as with those more directly related to educational processes, are evaluated regularly. All administrative support units participate in the Blueprint for Service Excellence strategic planning process. As such, each area is expected to demonstrate how its mission ties to the academic goals of the University.

6.7 How does your organization ensure that adequate budgetary and financial resources are available to support your operations? How do you determine the resources needed to meet current budgetary and financial obligations, as well as new initiatives?

Ensuring that financial resources are available to enable us to meet our goals is an on-going challenge to which we must continue to find answers. Tuition increases are carefully balanced with financial resources provided by the General Assembly. Additionally, budgets are carefully considered, and deans and vice presidents are instructed to make the decisions necessary when planning to ensure that they stay within their allocated budget amounts.

The financial resources needed to meet budgetary obligations come from State appropriations, tuition and fees, research grants, contract services and philanthropy. The University Budget Office maintains a long-range financial plan and regularly updates projections of key parameters. The decentralized budget model, in use for the last four years, emphasizes to deans their important role in identifying funding sources for various initiatives; e.g., new academic programs, new modes of delivery of instruction, and research programs. Deans work closely with University Advancement to identify needs and sources of private support.

Category 7 – Organizational Performance Results

7.1 What are your performance levels and trends for your key measures on student learning, and improvements in student learning? How do your results compare to those of your competitors and comparable organizations?

Information about student learning is collected using a variety of measures, including student performance on professional exams (Table 7.1-1), the ETS Proficiency Profile (Figure 7.1-2), retention and graduation rates (Figure 7.1-3-5), scholarship retention rates (Figure 7.1-6), and the National Survey of Student Engagement (Table 7.1-7). USC Columbia also provides an ever-enriching environment to foster student learning. To this end, information pertaining to academic support services (Figure 7.1-8), technological classroom enhancement (Figure 7.1-9), community service involvement (Table 7.1-10), service learning (Table 7.1-11), living and learning communities (Table 7.1-12), and study abroad experiences (Figure 7.1-13) is also collected and used to make decisions to improve student learning. Our performance results provide evidence that we are achieving excellence in our goal to ensure and improve the quality of academic programs and to enhance the richness of the student experience in and beyond the classroom.

Table 7.1-1. Professional Exam Pass Rates.

Student performance on professional exams provides a direct measure of how much students have learned. The University of South Carolina reports the following information annually in the Institutional Effectiveness report mandated by Act 629. Professional exam pass rates are available for all public schools in the State in *A Closer Look*, a report prepared each year by the CHE for the State legislators.

Name of Exam	2009-10 Results			2010-11 Results		
	# of 1 st Time Examinees	# of 1 st Time Examinees who Passed	% 1 st Time Examinees Passing	# of 1 st Time Examinees	# of 1 st Time Examinees who Passed	% 1 st Time Examinees Passing
MULTI-STATE PHARMACY JURISPRUDENCE EXAM (MPJE)	169	161	95%	199	193	97%
NATIONAL COUNCIL LICENSURE EXAM. - REGISTERED NURSE	123	108	81%	205	181	88%
NATIONAL PHYSICAL THERAPIST LICENSING EXAM. (PT)	13	12	92%	17	17	100%
NORTH AMERICAN PHARMACIST LICENSURE EXAM. (NAPLEX)	120	110	92%	103	95	92%
PRAXIS SERIES II: PRINCIPLES OF LEARNING & TEACHING (K-6)	288	279	97%	351	328	93%
PRAXIS SERIES II: PRINCIPLES OF LEARNING & TEACHING (5-9)	49	38	78%	59	47	80%
PRAXIS SERIES II: PRINCIPLES OF LEARNING & TEACHING (7-12)	105	101	96%	145	136	94%
PRAXIS SERIES II: SPECIALTY AREA TESTS	570	552	97%	792	759	96%
SOUTH CAROLINA BD. OF LAW EXAMINATION	198	169	85%	173	149	86%
US MEDICAL LICENSING EXAM. - STEP I	84	78	93%	77	61	79%
US MEDICAL LICENSING EXAM. - STEP II	78	76	97%	80	79	99%

Figure 7.1-2. Results from the ETS Proficiency Profile.

The ETS Proficiency Profile is administered to our freshmen and senior students as one way to assess proficiency in critical thinking, reading, writing and mathematics in the context of humanities, social sciences and natural sciences. As Figure 7.1-2 shows, native USC Columbia freshmen and seniors outperform the average scores of Doctoral/Research Universities I and II freshmen and seniors on all accounts.




Figure 7.1-3. Retention Rates for USC and SEC Peer Institutions.

Retention rates commonly serve as a key measure of student learning because clearly, an institution is most able to affect student learning when students are present and engaged in the educational process. In line with the University's goals and initiatives, Figure 7.1-3 illustrates that the retention of students at USC Columbia between the first and second years has increased slightly over the past five years, and remains slightly higher than the average retention rates for other public institutions in the Southeastern Conference (SEC).




Figure 7.1-4. Six-Year Graduation Rates for USC and SEC Peer Institutions.

Graduation rates are an indirect, but commonly used indicator of student learning. Figure 7.1-4 provides the graduation rates for USC and SEC public institutions, and Figure 7.1-5 provides graduation rates for public institutions within the state of South Carolina. The percentage of students who earn a degree within six years of starting at USC shows a slight increase.





Figure 7.1-5. Six-Year Graduation Rates for USC Columbia and South Carolina Public Institutions.



Source: The National Center for Higher Education Management Systems Information Center Website. [Assessed September 9, 2010]

Figure 7.1-6. Fall 2009 Palmetto Fellows Recipients Retaining Palmetto Fellows Scholarships in Fall 2010 and Fall 2009 LIFE Recipients Retaining LIFE Scholarships in Fall 2010.

The retention of scholarships, awarded by the state with grade-point average requirements, also serves as a measure of student learning, and as an indirect measure of the effectiveness of USC's academic support programs. As Figure 7.1-6 shows, among public, four-year institutions in the state, USC Columbia has the highest retention rates for both Palmetto Fellows Scholarship and LIFE Scholarship recipients.




Table 7.1-7. NSSE 2011 Results by First-Year and Senior-Level Students.

National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) created five benchmarks based on 42 key questions that help describe the academic environment on the University's campus. The Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) benchmark was created from questions concerning how much time students spend on homework each week, the types of thinking assignments require, how much reading and writing is required in courses, and the degree that studying and spending time on academic work is emphasized, among other items. Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) refers to how often students discuss readings or ideas from coursework outside of class, the frequency of in-class and out-of-class projects,

and community-based projects, etc. Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) is based on the accessibility and supportiveness of faculty members, opportunities for students to work on research projects with faculty, and the timeliness of feedback on student work, among other items. Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE) describe the types of honors courses, learning communities, and other distinctive programs offered, how often students participate in community service, study abroad opportunities, and the like. Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) relates to students satisfaction with their overall experience, how much time they devote to co-curricular activities, and how well students get along with administrators and staff. Taken together, these NSSE benchmarks help USC Columbia assess the many important factors that promote successful student learning experiences.

		USC	Carnegie Class			NSSE 2010 Top 50%			NSSE 2010 Top 10%		
		Mean	Mean	Sig	Effect size	Mean	Sig	Effect size	Mean	Sig	Effect size
First-Year	LAC	54.0	53.2		.06	56.7	***	-.21	60.6	***	-.53
	ACL	43.4	40.9	*	.15	48.0	***	-.28	52.1	***	-.49
	SFI	35.3	31.7	**	.20	39.3	***	-.21	43.7	***	-.40
	EEE	32.6	29.5	**	.24	30.5	*	.16	33.8		-.08
	SCE	60.0	61.3		-.08	67.4	***	-.40	71.2	***	-.62
Senior	LAC	55.9	56.0		-.01	60.5	***	-.34	64.1	***	-.63
	ACL	49.0	48.5		.03	56.2	***	-.42	60.1	***	-.63
	SFI	39.9	39.6		.02	49.3	***	-.43	56.0	***	-.72
	EEE	42.1	42.1		.00	46.7	***	-.26	55.3	***	-.80
	SCE	61.0	56.8	***	.22	64.9	***	-.21	68.7	***	-.42

Note. Sig = significance, * = $p < .05$, ** = $p < .01$, and *** = $p < .001$.

Figure 7.1-8. Average Fall 2010 GPA by Frequency of Supplemental Instruction Visits.

The Supplemental Instruction (SI) program is a learning enhancement program which consists of a series of weekly review sessions for students enrolled in historically difficult courses, e.g., Financial Accounting, Biological Principles, General Chemistry, Principles of Microeconomics, among many others. The sessions are led by SI leaders, who are students who have earned As in these courses and have been identified by faculty as having a mastery of the subject matter. As presented in Figure 7.1-8, the results indicate that students who participate in multiple SI sessions generally earn higher grades than those participate in fewer sessions. Grade differences generally increase by number of SI visits. Moreover, the percentage of students who earned A, B, or C's is substantially higher for SI participants (69.1%) than non-SI participants (58.1%).




Figure 7.1-9. Classroom Enhancement.

The University wants to provide educational settings that will enhance and facilitate learning. USC Columbia invests in technological advances within the classroom to assist in meeting the educational and research missions of the institution and to be responsive and adaptive to the needs of faculty and students.

As Figure 7.1-9 shows, the total number of fully-enhanced classrooms, 165 for Fall 2011, represents a 68% increase over the past five years. In addition, five older Level I classrooms were upgraded with all new AV equipment.




Table 7.1-10. Community Service Involvement.

Service contributions made by the USC community come in a wide variety of forms. Volunteers are involved in hands-on community service, philanthropic fundraising, community-based research, service-learning, and advocacy initiatives. During 2010-11, a reported 23,895 students, faculty and staff participated in volunteer service, donating 331,836 service hours to the community. These groups include student affairs departments, student organizations, academic departments, service-learning courses, and campus partners. The economic impact of this service to the community is estimated at \$5,485,249*. The Carolina community made philanthropic donations to the community reported at \$1,099,613, for a total economic impact of \$6,584,862**. Moreover, an estimated 77,483 pounds of food, 30,000 pounds of household goods, and 229,574 pounds of recyclables were collected by various service initiatives across campus. These were considerable contributions for which monetary values were difficult to determine (and not included in the total economic impact), but their impact on the community and environment was significant.

	2009-10		2010-11	
	Volunteers	Hours	Volunteers	Hours
Community Service	23,407	345,660	23,895	331,836

* Estimate based on 2009 value of volunteer time in South Carolina at \$16.53 per hour as determined by the Independent Sector http://www.independentsector.org/volunteer_time

** Report statistics based on voluntary submissions from USC partners as of August 15, 2011.

Table 7.1-11. Service learning.

Service learning is a form of active learning that connects meaningful community service with academic coursework and purposeful reflection. While the topics and projects employed in service-learning courses vary, Campus Compact (1999) provides a widely-accepted definition of this pedagogy: “Service learning is a teaching method which combines community service with academic instruction . . . Service-learning programs involve students in organized community service that addresses local needs, while developing their academic skills, sense of civic responsibility, and commitment to the community.”

The following table illustrates the University's involvement in service learning.

Service Learning Involvement 2010-2011

- 41 sections of 37 different courses
- 17 different academic programs representing 7 of the University's 15 colleges and schools
- 1,239 students enrolled in service-learning classes

Table 7.1-12. Living and Learning Communities.

Recognized by U.S. News and World Report as an outstanding program, our learning communities continue to be an integral part of the university experience, providing students with the opportunity to live in an environment that promotes diversity, embraces excellence, encourages insightful faculty-student interaction and works to develop a strong sense of community. These academically themed communities also will emphasize active service-learning experiences, study-abroad opportunities and undergraduate research. The following table lists our living and learning communities. More information is available on the following website: <http://housing.sc.edu/rsl/rescom.html>.

Living and Learning Communities

[The Bridge Community](#)

[Capstone Scholars](#)

[Carolina's Global Community](#)

[Engineering and Computing Community](#)

[Green Learning Community](#)

[First-Year Pre-Law Community](#)

[First-Year Pre-Medical Community](#)

[French House at 820 Henderson](#)

[Healthy Carolina Wellness Community](#)

[Journalism Community](#)

[New Freshman Honors College Housing](#)

[Music Community](#)

[Preston Residential College](#)

[Spanish Language House](#)


[Upperclass Honors College Housing](#)

[Upperclass Pre-Medical Community](#)

[Special Interest Housing](#)

Figure 7.1-13. Undergraduate Education Abroad Enrollments.

Opportunities to study abroad have increased for students, and students are taking advantage of these opportunities in larger numbers. As displayed in Figure 7.1-13, the number of undergraduate study abroad participants has increased significantly over the years.



7.2 What are your performance levels and trends for your key measures on student and stakeholder satisfaction and dissatisfaction? How do your results compare with competitors and comparable organizations?

The University relies on results from countless authentic and national surveys, institutional data, and college rankings to gauge student and stakeholder satisfaction. Data are analyzed, shared with decision makers, and used to continually refine and improve our services.

Survey results from the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) (Figures 7.2-1-2) and the Alumni Survey (Figure 7.2-3) demonstrate student and stakeholder satisfaction. Another indirect measure of student and alumni satisfaction is the number of students who apply and enroll at USC who are legacies of the institution (Figure 7.2-4). Furthermore, U.S. News and World Report publishes rankings of college and university programs each year (Table 7.5-5). These are based upon a variety of criteria that some consider important aspects of quality programs. These rankings can be used to assess student satisfaction as higher quality programs should lead to higher student satisfaction.

Figures 7.2-1-2. Results from National Survey of Student Engagement 2011.




Figure 7.2-1. Percentage of students who evaluated their entire educational experiences as good or excellent.




Figure 7.2-2. Percentage of students who indicated that they would go to the same institution they are currently attending if they could start over again.

Figure 7.2-3. Alumni Satisfaction.

USC Columbia administers an alumni survey every two years to a 50% sample of USC alumni who were graduated two to three years before the survey is administered. Results from the most current alumni survey and for previous years are available on the [Assessment Warehouse](http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/dataware/aw/) website [<http://kudzu.ipr.sc.edu/dataware/aw/>], and indicate that alumni are satisfied with their USC experience.




Figure 7.2-4. Legacy Applicants.

Another indirect measure of student and alumni satisfaction is the number of students who apply and enroll at USC who are legacies of the institution. For the following data displayed in Figure 7.2-4, legacy is defined as an applicant whose mother and/or father is a graduate of USC. The rationale for this measure of satisfaction is that parents who had a positive experience at USC are more likely to encourage their children to apply here.




Table 7.2-5.

2011 US News and World Report Rankings	
•	International Business Program -- Undergraduate program ranked No. 1 for the 15th year in a row.
•	First-Year Experience and Learning Communities – Both listed and recognized as outstanding programs among the nation's best.
•	The Darla Moore School of Business -- No. 41 overall for business education, 26th among public institutions.

7.3 What are your performance levels for your key measures on budgetary and financial performance, including measures of cost containment, as appropriate?

The funding environment presents persistent challenges to USC, but steps are being undertaken to deal effectively with those challenges. To fulfill its mission and goals, USC Columbia tracks State funding (Figure 7.3-1), sponsored research (Figure 7.3-2), research expenditures per faculty member (Table 7.3-3), private donations (Figure 7.3-4), total expenditures in E & G deferred maintenance (Table 7.3-5), and renovations and improvements (Table 7.3-6), among many other measures. The full University of South Carolina [Budget Document](http://busfinance.admin.sc.edu/budget/doc_11/2011BOTDocumentv4.pdf) can be found on the following website:
http://busfinance.admin.sc.edu/budget/doc_11/2011BOTDocumentv4.pdf

Figure 7.3-1. State Funds (“A” Funds).

As a state-assisted institution, the University relies on funding from the State to help fulfill its mission and goals. Figure 7.3-1 provides the dollar amounts of state funds allocated to the university for the past three fiscal years.




Figure 7.3-2. Dollars Awarded in Sponsored Research.

The University of South Carolina is working to increase the revenue amounts generated from external research funding and private support. These funding sources can add to the University's prestige and helps to generate more revenue for the local community and continue to attract prestigious faculty and national-caliber students. The University of South Carolina has continued to achieve a record high in extramural funding to support its research, public service, and training projects since FY 2009. Figure 7.3-2 highlights the total funding for FY 2011, \$226.9 million, which represents 81.6% five-year increase.




Table 7.3-3. Research Expenditures per Faculty Member.

The research money faculty members bring to USC directly affects the reputation of the State as well as the University, increases revenues spent in South Carolina, and enhances the educational experience of our students. As can be discerned from Table 7.3-3, USC expends slightly less in research expenditures per faculty member than the research universities nationally who participated in the Delaware Study from which the data are drawn. Nonetheless, USC remains the only South Carolina institution designated as a Very High Research Activity institution by the Carnegie foundation, a designation given based on aggregate and per-capita research and development expenditures.

	2008	2009	2010*
Average of the Research I schools who participated	\$83,292	\$83,424	\$112,847
University of South Carolina	\$67,222	\$75,276	\$78,270
Percent of Participating Schools' Average	81%	90%	69%

*Most current data available.

Figure 7.3-4. Private Donations.

The University of South Carolina has launched efforts to increase philanthropy in order to expand the University's private endowment. The University is the gracious recipient of a record \$122.2 million in private support in FY 2011, given by nearly 50,000 donors and representing a 3.9% increase over last year. Private support has increased over the years.




Table 7.3-5 Total Expenditures in E & G Deferred Maintenance.

One of the University's established goals is to improve and expand physical facilities to support growth and provide adequate, cost- and energy-efficient space in which to work and study. Table 7.3-5 reveals annual reductions in deferred maintenance from FY 2005 to FY 2009. The University completed deferred maintenance projects in the last five years resulting in a \$74.7 million reduction to the deferred maintenance backlog for Education and General facilities.

	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
Expenditures	\$14,973,891	\$42,345,048	\$8,144,812	\$3,751,872	\$5,449,726

Note: The FY 2006 amount includes \$33,426,033 in deferred maintenance avoidance as a result of the demolition of Carolina Plaza.

Table 7.3-6. Renovations and Improvements.

Given the extreme age and historical significance of many of the buildings on the Columbia campus, maintenance is an ongoing challenge. The challenges of maintenance requirements are amplified by the persistent challenge of space availability and space adequacy, including classrooms, offices and laboratories. While student and faculty populations have increased, the University has also made a significant financial investment in offices, classrooms, and laboratory space to ensure that facilities support the learning environment.

	FY 2008	FY 2009	FY 2010
Expenditures	\$5,507,168	\$5,286,951	\$4,138,559

7.3 What are your performance levels and trends for your key measures of workforce engagement, workforce satisfaction, the development of your workforce, including leaders, workforce retention, workforce climate including workplace health, safety, and security?

The University of South Carolina collects a variety of information about faculty and staff learning and development, as well as their satisfaction. Surveys, focus groups, feedback forms, and external measures of quality and compliance all provide information about how well faculty and staff are performing, and their satisfaction.

This section highlights a few of the many measures used to assess workforce conditions, including data on professional development (Table 7.4-1), climate and sustainability efforts (Tables 7.4-2-3), and results from the National College Health Assessment (Table 7.4-4).

Table 7.4-1. Human Resources Professional Development Year-End Report Totals.

Faculty and staff at the University of South Carolina are committed to continued training and development. As discussed in Category 5, the University offers a wide range of professional development classes for faculty and staff. Table 7.4-1 provides information concerning the number and types of courses offered through the Professional Development series, and includes the number of faculty and staff participating.

	7/01/09 to 6/30/10		7/01/10 to 6/30/10	
Category	Workshops	Attended	Workshops	Attended
Regular Classes	81	1,269	101	1,524
Conferences	1	85	1	88
EEO Programs	5	85	6	162
GRANT classes	29	467	23	419
Online Training		2		19
Total	116	1,928	131	2,212
Visitors		36		45
USC + Visitor Total		1964		2257

Tables 7.4-2-3. Climate and Sustainability Efforts.

The University of South Carolina earned a B on the 2011 annual College Sustainability Report Card, a rating that puts the University among the greenest universities in the Southeast, as shown in Table 7.4-2. Moreover, the University improved scores in two of nine rankings from 2009 to 2010, as shown in Table 7.4-3. The rating of U.S. universities and colleges, issued annually by the Sustainable Endowments Institute, reflects successful climate and energy efforts, a firm institutional commitment to sustainability and greater student involvement.

Table 7.4-2. College Sustainability Report Card 2011.

Institution	Overall Grade
University of South Carolina	B
Clemson	B+
University of Florida	B+
University of Tennessee	B
University of Alabama	B+
University of Kentucky	C+
Auburn University	B-
University of Arkansas	C+
University of Mississippi	B-
Mississippi State University	B
University of Georgia	A-
Louisiana State University	C+

Table 7.4-3. USC Sustainability Report Card.

Indicators	2010	2011
Administration	A	A
Climate Change and Energy	A	A
Food and Recycling	B	B
Green Building	B	A
Student Involvement	A	A
Transportation	C	B
Endowment Transparency	A	B
Investment Priorities	B	C
Shareholder Engagement	D	F
Overall Grade	B	B

Table 7.4-4. National College Health Assessment, 2010.

The University of South Carolina participates in the National College Health Assessment II through the University's membership in the American College Health Association. This survey was developed to assist health service providers, health educators, counselors, and administrators in collecting data about the health of their students. The goal of this research project is to collect data about risk and protective behaviors, perceived norms, and incidence and prevalence of a variety of health problems/conditions which may affect academic performance and retention. Having these data help the University plan programs, prioritize campus needs, allocate resources, design strategies for intervention, identify protective and risk factors associated with academic performance, and measure progress on the National Health Objectives from Healthy Campus 2010. Table 7.4-4 provides data for some of these survey items.

National College Health Assessment II	
Survey Item	Percent
Self-described health as good, very good, or excellent	92.5
Vaccination against influenza in last 12 months	37.5
Use a designated driver: most of the time or always	80.7
Cigarette use: Any use within the last 30 days	15.8
Used at least 1 prescription drug that was not prescribed to them within the last 12 months	18.3
No primary source of health insurance	7.0
Never seriously considering suicide	85.7
Finances were traumatic or very difficult to handle during last 12 months	29.2

Creating a workforce climate that includes workplace health, safety, and security is of prime importance to the university. As mentioned in Category 5 and in Category 7.3, a number of measures are being taken to ensure that the University has a comprehensive emergency plan, a disaster discovery plan,

and safety and awareness training sessions. Moreover, USC offers many health and wellness programs and services that are available to faculty and staff, such as weight management seminars, smoking cessation courses, alcohol and drug programs, counseling sessions, among numerous others.

7.5 What are your performance levels and trends for your key measures of organizational effectiveness/operational efficiency and work system performance (these could include measures related to the following: student performance and development; the education climate; responsiveness to student and stakeholder needs; supplier and partner performance; and cycle time)?

There are a variety of measures that can be used to illustrate organizational effectiveness. The following examples represent some of the important measures that the institution continues to track as the University works toward its strategic goals, including degrees awarded (Figure 7.5-1), percent of faculty with terminal degrees (Table 7.5-2), freshman class SAT (Figure 7.5-3; Figure 7.5-4), worker's compensation (Figure 7.5-5), accredited programs (Table 7.5-6), and financial aid and scholarships (Table 7.5-7; Figure 7.5-8; Table 7.5-9).

Figure 7.5-1. Degrees Awarded.

USC Columbia awards degrees at the baccalaureate, masters, and doctoral level. As Figure 7.5-1 shows the number of degrees awarded has increased significantly over the last three years.




Table 7.5-2. Percent of Faculty with Terminal Degrees.

Public institutions in the State have, through the Performance Funding process mandated in 1996 by Act 359, reported annually on the credentials of their faculty. The measure is expected to serve as an indication of faculty members' ability to teach the curricula offered by the institution and influence the educational climate. Table 7.5-2 shows the percentage of all full-time faculty, excluding the rank of instructor, with terminal degrees as defined by our accrediting agency in their primary teaching areas. The drop in 2009-10 and in 2010-11, reflects the difficulty the University has had replacing retiring faculty with qualified new members of our community.

	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
USC Columbia	79.5%	70.4%	83.9%	79.9%	69.9%

Figure 7.5-3. Freshman Class SAT Average.

The academic quality of undergraduate students is also a measure of effectiveness, as well as of the educational climate. One of our initiatives is to improve the quality of the undergraduate student body. USC Columbia measures achievement of this initiative by tracking SAT scores of incoming freshmen (Figure 7.5-3) and relying on comparative data (Figure 7.5-4). This upward trend of SAT scores, already making an impact in the classroom, will continue as we enroll even more highly qualified students.

Figure 7.5-3. Freshman Class SAT Average.





Figure 7.5-4. Fall 2009 SAT Scores by SC Public Institution.



Source: The College Board: SAT ® 2009 College Bound Seniors [Assessed by the South Carolina Commission on Higher Education for the South Carolina Higher Education Statistical Abstract – 32nd Edition.] Note: This is the most recent data provided by the Commission on Higher Education.

Figure 7.5-5. Comparison of Worker's Compensation Claims.

Another measure of improvement in our work systems is the number of worker's compensation claims. This project aimed at increasing awareness with staff in the two areas responsible for the highest number of claims should, over time, help to decrease these numbers. This would indicate, not only a more efficient administrative process, but possibly lead to an increase in staff satisfaction and financial savings

for the University. The number of claims in Housing and in Facilities decreased slightly in fiscal year 2011, accompanying an overall decrease in the total number of claims.




Table 7.5-6. Accrediting Agencies.

Many University programs earn accreditations from external agencies. Accreditation status can serve as a measure of organizational effectiveness, efficiency, and learning and support process performance as the processes programs go through are rigorous and thorough. It is a University policy for all programs eligible for external accreditation to be fully accredited. Table 7.5-6 lists accredited programs. These are also reported each year in the Institutional Effectiveness report mandated by Act 629 and available through the University's [Fact Book](http://www.ipr.sc.edu/factbook/2011/columbia/accred.htm) [http://www.ipr.sc.edu/factbook/2011/columbia/accred.htm].


ACCREDITING AGENCIES AND AREAS	
American Assembly of Collegiate Schools of Business - International Association for Management Education	
Business (BUS) -Baccalaureate, Masters', and Doctoral degree programs in business administration and management	
Business (BUSA) -Baccalaureate, Masters', and Doctoral degree programs in accounting	
ACCREDITING BOARD FOR ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, INC.	
Engineering (ENG) -Baccalaureate and master's level programs in engineering	
Engineering-related (ENGR) – Engineering related programs at the baccalaureate level	
ACCREDITING COUNCIL ON EDUCATION IN JOURNALISM AND MASS COMMUNICATIONS	
Journalism and Mass Communication	
(JOUR) - Units within institutions offering professional undergraduate and graduate(master's) degree programs	
AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF NURSE ANESTHETISTS	
Nurse Anesthetists (ANEST) - Generic nurse anesthesia education programs/schools	
AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION	
Law (LAW) - Professional schools	
AMERICAN COUNCIL ON PHARMACEUTICAL EDUCATION	
Pharmacy (PHAR) - Professional degree programs	
Librarianship (LIB) - master's program leading to the first professional degree	
Medicine (MED) - Programs leading to the M.D.M.D. degree	
AMERICAN PSYCHOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION	
Clinical Psychology (CLPSY) - Doctoral programs	
School Psychology (SCPSY)B - Doctoral programs	
AMERICAN SPEECH-LANGUAGE-HEARING ASSOCIATION	
Speech-Language Pathology (SP) - Graduate degree programs	
COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION OF ALLIED HEALTH EDUCATION PROGRAMS	
Joint Review Committee - Athletic Training (JRC-AT)	
COMMISSION ON COLLEGIATE NURSING EDUCATION (CCNE)	
Nursing - Baccalaureate-degree nursing education programs	
Nursing - Graduate-degree nursing education programs	
COMPUTING SCIENCE ACCREDITATION BOARD, INC.	
Computer Science (COMP) - Baccalaureate programs in computer science	

COUNCIL FOR ACCREDITATION OF COUNSELING AND RELATED EDUCATION PROGRAMS (CACREP)
Masters degree programs to prepare individuals for community counseling, mental health counseling, marriage and family counseling, school counseling, student affairs practice in higher education, and Doctoral-level programs in counselor education and supervision.
COUNCIL ON EDUCATION FOR PUBLIC HEALTH
Public Health (PH) - Graduate schools of public health
COUNCIL ON REHABILITATION EDUCATION (CORE)
Rehabilitation Counseling
COUNCIL ON SOCIAL WORK EDUCATION
Social Work (SW) - Baccalaureate and master's degree programs
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS OF ART AND DESIGN
Art & Design (ART) - Degree-granting schools and departments and nondegree-granting schools
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS OF MUSIC
Music (MUS) - Baccalaureate and graduate degree programs
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND ADMINISTRATION
Masters of Public Administration (MPA)
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS OF THEATER
Theater (THEA) - Institutions and units within institutions offering degree-granting and/or nondegree-granting programs
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR ACCREDITATION OF TEACHER EDUCATION
Teacher Education (TED) - Baccalaureate and graduate programs for the preparation of teachers and other professional personnel for elementary and secondary schools

Table 7.5-7. Number and Average Amount of State/Local and Institutional Grant Aid.

The University and the State also support student performance by supplementing students' financial resources. Table 7.5-7 highlights both the number of students receiving aid and the average amount of aid from the State or local sources, and from the University. Grant aid offered by the University and the state of South Carolina can be compared to the average grant aid offered by SEC public institutions and states.

	AY 05-06		AY 06-07		AY 07-08		AY 08-09		AY 09-10	
	USC	SEC Peers	USC	SEC Peers	USC	SEC Peers	USC	SEC Peers	USC	SEC Peers
Number receiving state/local grant aid	2,395	2,306	2,203	2,533	2,070	2,272	2,141	2,306	2,163	2,217
Avg. amount of state/local grant aid	\$5,130	\$3,196	\$5,256	\$3,197	\$5,341	\$3,118	\$5,184	\$3,374	\$5,171	\$3,528
Number receiving institutional grant aid	1,736	1,413	1,780	1,501	2,007	1,619	2,494	1,692	2,306	1,797
Avg. amount of institutional grant aid	\$2,980	\$4,753	\$3,225	\$4,638	\$3,545	\$4,839	\$4,460	\$4,791	\$3,930	\$5,359

Figure 7.5-8. Students Receiving Financial Aid.**Table 7.5-9. Lottery Scholarships.**

	2005/2006		2006/2007		2007/2008		2008/2009		2009/2010*	
	No. of Awards	Amount	No. of Awards	Amount	No. of Awards	Amount	No. of Awards	Amount	No. of Awards	Amount
Palmetto Fellows	1,067	\$6,827,571	1,175	\$7,582,005	1,234	\$9,497,789	1,323	\$10,244,245	1,341	\$10,440,707
LIFE Need Based Grant	6,236	\$30,162,982	6,086	\$29,321,588	6,976	\$32,846,731	6,162	\$32,803,148	6,245	\$33,019,596
HOPE	2,468	\$2,758,083	2,241	\$3,018,382	2,185	\$2,805,299	1,999	\$2,723,904	2,255	\$2,803,685
HOPE	282	\$635,023	245	\$560,475	195	\$449,825	265	\$664,850	222	\$560,772
Total	10,053	\$40,433,659	9,747	\$40,482,450	9,690	\$44,599,644	9,749	\$46,436,147	10,063	\$46,824,760

*Most current data available from CHE website.

7.6 What are your performance levels for your key measures related to leadership and social responsibility: a. accomplishment of your organizational strategy and action plans; b. stakeholder trust in your senior leaders and the governance of your organization; c. fiscal accountability; and, regulatory, safety, accreditation, and legal compliance; d. organizational citizenship in support of your key communities?

Focus Carolina has refined and redefined the University's strategic planning processes, as faculty, staff, students, alumni and others have contributed in the identification of goals and development of initiatives (Table E-1). Advance Carolina, which began fall 2009, serves as the next phase wherein the University aims to carry out the many initiatives developed and proposed to date. When Advance Carolina is completed, our strategic plan will help set our priorities, align our resources and aspirations, and document our accomplishments. Table 7.6-1 identifies the Focus Carolina Goals, Initiatives, and most recent results.

Evidence of stakeholder trust in the institution and its leaders is seen in a variety of ways. Freshman student admissions (Figure 7.6-2), the average alumni giving rate (Figure 7.6-3), and donations to the Family Fund (Figure 7.6-4) are a few of the many measures of stakeholder trust in senior leaders and the university. Significant financial gifts to an institution are another indication of stakeholder trust in senior leaders and the direction of the University. As discussed in Category 7.3, record giving totaled \$122.2 million during the 2011 fiscal year. Private giving and the number of donors making gifts and pledges both increased in 2010-11. Along with the many safety measures taken (described in Section 5.10), USC Columbia tracks the number of criminal offenses on campus (Table 7.6-5) and uses this data to make changes to ensure our students, faculty, and staff work and live in a safe environment. USC Columbia

also tracks public service expenditures per faculty member (Table 7.6-6) as a measure of how USC Columbia is responsible to the State and the community.

Table 7.6-1. Focus Carolina Goals and Initiatives.

GOALS	INITIATIVES	RESULTS
Teaching and Learning: To improve the quality of academic programs at all levels, develop and nurture a faculty devoted to teaching excellence, and enhance the richness of the student experience in and beyond the classroom so the teaching and learning environment of the University of South Carolina equals or surpasses that of comprehensive state university systems deemed peer aspirants.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Recruit and retain a high quality and diverse student body. ● Enhance student academic and social support services beyond the classroom via centralized advising, small group mentoring, supplemental instruction programs, and support of distance education. ● Elevate the importance of quality teaching and mentoring within the University by improving classroom conditions, valuing teaching in hiring and compensation decisions, and providing faculty with support to improve their teaching skills and to develop and improve courses. ● Create and implement assessment systems for all programs that inform program improvement. ● Foster innovation and interdisciplinary collaboration in teaching (e.g. use of IT, enhancement of learning beyond the classroom, and co-taught and cross-listed courses) by providing necessary resources and support. ● Create a culture among faculty oriented toward student-centered learning. 	Table 7.1-1 Figure 7.1-2 Figures 7.1-3-5 Figure 7.1-6 Figure 7.5-1 Table 7.1-7 Figure 7.1-8 Figure 7.1-9 Table 7.1-10 Table 7.1-11 Table 7.1-12 Figure 7.1-13 Figures 7.5-3-4 Figure 7.6-1 Table 7.4-1 Table 7.5-6
Research, Scholarship and Creative Achievement: The University of South Carolina, through its dedication to excellence in research, scholarship and creative achievement, will be recognized as one of the nation's premiere public university systems. Our advances in these areas will enhance the quality of life and improve the economic well-being of the citizens of South Carolina.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Develop and maintain a standing Presidential Advisory Council comprised of USC's most qualified, active and externally visible faculty from across the University. ● Create and promote programs to attract, develop and retain strong faculty. ● Enhance the quality of graduate education through creation or integration of interdisciplinary degree programs and improve the quality of life for graduate students. ● Identify and attain resources to develop the reputation, status, and visibility of professional schools. ● Improve the University's administrative, technological and physical research infrastructure so that it is comparable to that at other comprehensive research universities. ● Assess the University's current allocation process to determine how to direct more resources to research, scholarship and creative achievement. 	Table 7.5-2 Figure 7.3-2 Table 7.3-3 Table 7.4-1
Service Excellence: The University of South Carolina is committed to improving quality-of-life beyond the university by engaging the educational and research expertise of faculty, staff, and students, and by promoting community service. Although service at all levels – local, national, and global – is embraced, service to local and state communities is emphasized in particular. Provision of community service is accomplished and recognized through formal curricular service learning and through extracurricular activity.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Establish a center or institute to facilitate service learning and community service opportunities, and enhance collaboration within the USC system to increase efficiency and effectiveness. ● Establish the value of service learning activities as part of the core curriculum and evaluate service excellence as part of the tenure and promotion process through integration with research, publication and other scholarly activities. ● Identify service needs in the local communities and state which will benefit from faculty expertise and student involvement. ● Develop interdisciplinary service learning opportunities utilizing academic expertise. ● Seek funding to establish a lifelong learning institute on the Columbia campus. 	Table 7.1-10 Table 7.1-11
Quality of Life in the University Community: The multi-campus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Identify strategies to assure that compensation levels for faculty, staff, and graduate assistants are comparable to peer institutions. 	Table 7.4-2 Table 7.4-3

system will be a destination of choice for high-achieving faculty, students and staff who value, benefit from, and contribute to a rich and rewarding learning and working environment where civility, ethics, and collaboration create an inclusive culture of fairness and respect, facilitating for all Carolinians the highest levels of intellectual and personal achievement.

- Develop a plan to systematically address deferred maintenance needs in order to improve the safety and physical environment of faculty, staff and students.
- Develop a plan over the next five years to address additional benefits of tuition waivers for family members of USC employees, expanded family leave policy for all permanent employees and graduate assistants, and expanded health insurance coverage for graduate assistants.
- Develop a plan to improve communications throughout the University system which provides information and encourages feedback from faculty, staff, and students that will result in improved processes.
- Develop a plan that increases diversity in all aspects of the University community in recruiting of faculty, staff, and students that will enhance the educational experience for undergraduate and graduate students.
- Develop a survey instrument administered on an annual basis that will identify areas of excellence in diversity and areas in need of improvement.

Table 7.4-4

Recognition and Visibility:

Accomplishments of students, faculty, staff and alumni will be showcased and publicized such that the campuses are recognized for excellence and leadership in education, research, scholarship, creative endeavors, athletics, and public service, consistent with their respective missions.

- Develop a plan to increase the recognition and visibility of scholarly activities of the faculty and students on a national level.
- Improve recognition of student achievements, scholarship and creative endeavors, and bring teaching excellence to statewide, regional, national and international audiences.
- Enhance the University's webpage and improved communications with the establishment of an interactive calendar to communicate academic and student achievements to local, regional and national audiences.

7.2

Figures 7.6-2. Freshman Student Admissions.




Figure 7.6-3. Average Alumni Giving Rate.

The percentage of alumni who donate to an institution is also an indication of stakeholder satisfaction and trust as well. As Figure 7.6-3 shows, the percent of USC alumni who donate is slightly lower than the average of all other SEC public institutions in 2010.





Figure 7.6-4. Annual Donations to the Family Fund.

The Family Fund is a USC-specific annual giving campaign for faculty, staff and retirees. By making a gift to the Family Fund, members of the University community exhibit their trust in the direction of USC Columbia, and have a direct impact on the University, our students and the state. These gifts, shown in Figure 7.6-4, strengthen the future by funding scholarships, making capital improvements possible, and supporting the three-fold mission of the University: teaching, research, and service.



The University of South Carolina is dedicated to maintaining compliant status with the variety of legal, regulatory, and accrediting agencies that contribute to the standards of excellence maintained by the University. Staff in the Office of Institutional Assessment and Compliance (IAC) work with Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) and the Commission of Higher Education (CHE) to ensure the University maintains specified levels of compliance. Additionally, IAC reports official data to the federal IPEDS organization and the State Commission on Higher Education Management Information System (CHEMIS). The quality, timeliness, and accuracy of these data are critical to help ensuring compliance with a variety of federal and state policies and initiatives.

The University sends an institutional profile to SACS each year for their review. USC submitted the five-year follow-up report which was approved and accepted by SACS without revision. University staff members regularly attend the monthly CHE meetings and annual SACS meetings to keep abreast of changes and expectations. As mentioned previously, all 27 programs at the University that are eligible for external accreditation are fully-accredited by the respective regulatory bodies.

As required by the U.S. Department of Education, the University of South Carolina annually has an independent auditor perform an audit of the school's compliance with the laws and regulations that are applicable to the Federal Student Aid programs in which the school participates, as well as an audit of the school's financial statements.

Table 7.6-5. Criminal Offenses – On Campus.

The University of South Carolina also complies with regulations to share information about crime on campus. Table 7.6-5 provides some of the information available on the University Police department's website. Criminal offenses have decreased over the past two years, with the exception of burglaries. Although there has been some actual increase in the number of burglaries, much of this increase is due to the way crimes are classified by federal definition. Nevertheless, as indicated in section 5.13, the Division of Law Enforcement and Safety received funding to pay overtime to our officers so that officers can be on the street more and more visible. The Division is also seeking to increase the Campus Safety Officer program staffing, and hopeful that the outdoor video will be greater eyes in our community.

Criminal Offense	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Murder/Non-negligent manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0
Negligent manslaughter	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offenses – Forcible	4	7	3	2	1
Sex Offenses – Non-forcible (only incest & statutory)	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	6	6	3	4	3
Aggravated assault	14	9	5	6	2
Burglary	32	47	91	99	68
Motor vehicle theft	18	12	7	17	15
Arson	3	0	0	0	0

Note. The sharp increase in burglaries reported is due to a change in the way certain offenses are classified. For example, some offenses previously classified as "theft from building" are now appropriately being classified as "burglary".

Table 7.6-6. Public Service Expenditures per Faculty Member.

The amount of money expended on public service per faculty member is another measure of how the University is responsible to the State and the community. Most public money comes from external sources that use the vast resources of the University to carry out projects and services aimed at enriching the lives of all citizens of South Carolina. As can be discerned from Table 7.6-6, USC expends more in public service per faculty member than the research universities nationally who participated in the Delaware Study from which the data are drawn.

	2008	2009	2010
Average of the Research I schools who participated	\$10,719	\$9,130	\$17,337
University of South Carolina	\$17,191	\$18,793	\$48,408
Percent of Participating Schools' Average	160%	205%	279%

The University of South Carolina and the city of Columbia work as a team to advance our economy and enrich our community. President Pastides regularly meets with local and state officials to determine how the city and the University could partner together and strengthen our community. The University has collaborated with the city to establish Innovista, an innovative environment designed to support research in several emerging technologies, such as nanotechnology, life sciences, environmental sciences and other specialties.

Numerous programs at the University of South Carolina aim to improve the well-being and quality of life for South Carolinians. By collaborating with individuals, organizations, and communities and

institutions across the state, the University is able to offer services through centers and programs such as the Center for Public Health Preparedness, the Center for Gerontology, the Cancer Prevention and Control Program, and the Poison Control Center, among many others. The University also enriches the lives of the citizens of South Carolina by offering a host of cultural awareness events, lectures and seminars, sporting events, concerts, and a variety of performing art events.