

AGENCY NAME:	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT		
AGENCY CODE:	B04	SECTION:	057



Fiscal Year 2013-14 Accountability Report

SUBMISSION FORM

AGENCY MISSION	The mission of the Judicial Department is to provide a fair, independent and accessible forum for the just and timely resolution of legal disputes.
-----------------------	---

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's accountability report.

	<u>Name</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	Rosalyn Frierson	803-734-1800	rfrierson@sccourts.org
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Carolyn Taylor	803-734-1970	ctaylor@sccourts.org

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2013-14 Accountability Report, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN/DATE):	
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):	Chief Justice Jean Hoefer Toal
BOARD/CMSN CHAIR (SIGN/DATE):	
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):	

AGENCY NAME:	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT		
AGENCY CODE:	B04	SECTION:	057

AGENCY'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

PURPOSE, MISSION AND GOALS

By the adoption of Article V of the South Carolina Constitution, the people of South Carolina have established the South Carolina Judicial Department (SCJD) as one of the three co-equal branches of the State Government. The Chief Justice serves as the administrative head of the SCJD. Through administrative orders, court rules, and other directives, the Chief Justice and the Supreme Court of South Carolina provide guidance for the operation of the statewide, unified judicial system, and various boards and commissions that have been established by the Supreme Court. The organizational structure of the SCJD can be categorized into two areas: (1) adjudication and (2) administration. Directly or indirectly, the lives of all South Carolina citizens are affected by the decisions of the trial courts and the appellate courts. These courts make decisions that could involve local zoning, taxation, interpretation of state statutes, or other matters that may significantly affect the public. The SCJD works constantly to provide a court system that not only is fair but is also perceived to be fair, and in which all persons are treated equally and all matters are resolved in an unbiased and just manner, according to the United States Constitution, the South Carolina Constitution, state statutes, and the common law.

The SCJD can accomplish its purpose and mission by setting goals that set a higher standard for the department. The SCJD's goals are as follows:

- Ensure Public Access
- Maintain Public Trust and Confidence
- Provide Quality and Timely Dispute Resolution
- Collaborate with Justice System Partners and other Stakeholders
- Enhance Judicial Administration

This past fiscal year July 1, 2013, thru June 30, 2014, provided the SCJD with many budgetary challenges. The State as a whole faces many financial challenges due to a slow recovering economy. The SCJD was fortunate that the state legislature was able to provide funding to maintain current court operations. The SCJD continued cost saving measures by engaging more innovative thinking to enhance court services and deliver timely resolutions.

Through the combined efforts of judges, administration and staff, the SCJD has made notable achievements this year. Some of the accomplishments significantly improved specific operations within the courts while others have solidified the changing direction, attitude, and approach of individuals working in and with the Judicial Branch. We have summarized our achievements and efforts that will allow us to continue achieving these goals.

Attorney Information System (AIS): With the payment of the 2014 South Carolina Bar license fees, attorneys and foreign legal consultants were required to choose a complex password and select new, industry standard security questions for use with the AIS. This not only provides greater protection of the information maintained in AIS, but will allow the AIS user name and password to be used to access other SCJD hosted programs such as e-filing. Further, the SCJD Website now contains a version of AIS where the public can perform basic searches to obtain contact and other information for an attorney.

Privacy: The inclusion of personal data identifiers in court filings presents significant privacy issues. In recognition of these privacy issues, the Supreme Court has promulgated Rule 41.2 of the South Carolina Rules of

AGENCY NAME:	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT		
AGENCY CODE:	B04	SECTION:	057

Civil Procedure to address this issue at the trial level and has issued a revised administrative order regarding personal data identifiers in filings made with the appellate courts.

Security: Maintaining the security of its records remains a critical priority of the SCJD. After completing an assessment of the vulnerabilities, the Department made the following improvements:

- **Firewall Upgrades.** Bringing primary security devices to a current level of software protection that eliminates existing issues and promotes stability within the network.
- **Security Monitoring.** Instituting the use of a "best of breed" security company to provide 24/7 monitoring and IPS services for all data entering and exiting SCJD's main firewall.
- **Policy Updates.** Continuing to update existing policy; write, review, and publish new policy; and reset the agency's security priorities, all of which provide a comprehensive security framework for SCJD.
- **Security Awareness.** SCJD's Security Architect augmented the existing security training program by adding regular email phishing assessments. Training employees is just as important, if not more important, than technical controls in safeguarding SCJD's data.
- **Two Factor Authentication.** After much research, SCJD is in the process of implementing a method of two factor authentication to protect the court's most sensitive data when privileged users connect remotely via full VPN technology.

Reduction of Pending Appellate Cases: The core appellate caseload of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals is composed of appeals, post-conviction relief certiorari petitions, and petitions for writs of certiorari to review decisions of the Court of Appeals. At the start of FY 2013-2014, there were 3,179 of these appellate cases pending. By the end of the FY, this number had been reduced to 2,986 cases, despite the fact that 2,049 additional cases were filed during the year.

Case Management Systems (CMS): During FY 2013-2014, a public version of the Appellate CMS, C-Track, was added to the SCJD Website. Using this version of C-Track, the public can access information about cases pending before either the Supreme Court or the Court of Appeals. This includes event information and access to the documents filed in the case. While not all types of cases are available for the public to see, the number of case types that were available significantly increased during the year.

In addition, the specifications for an enhancement to C-Track were finalized with the vendor regarding electronic order circulation. When implemented, this enhancement will allow proposed orders to be circulated electronically with the vote of each member being recorded. This will help eliminate delays in the processing and filing of orders by the appellate courts.

The deployment of the Trial Court CMS into the final three master-in-equity offices was completed. Further, the process of modifying the Trial Court CMS to function with the e-filing system is under way.

E-Filing: At the beginning of FY 2013-2014, the SCJD selected a vendor for e-filing. Ultimately, this system will allow documents to be electronically filed with all levels of court. Work is already under way with the vendor to create an interface between the Trial Court CMS and the vendor's e-filing solution, e-Flex. Additionally, an automated interface has been developed that will allow the AIS user name and password to be used to access e-Flex. Finally, in anticipation of e-filing, various changes have been made to the SCJD networks to allow for greater file sharing capacity. Changes were also made to the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure to make electronic signatures have the same effect as a traditional signatures and to allow notice of judgments and orders to be given electronically.

AGENCY NAME:	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT		
AGENCY CODE:	B04	SECTION:	057

Business Courts: The Supreme Court established Business Courts to provide an option to litigate complex business, corporate, and commercial matters in the circuit courts. The specialized business courts address complex business matters by utilizing specialized case management procedures. The goal is to increase the efficiency of the civil court system. Access to Business Courts has been expanded from three piloted counties to statewide access within three Regions. The number of Business Court judges was increased from the original three judges to eight judges, with two judges assigned to each region and two judges assigned on an at-large basis. The Business Court judges have exclusive jurisdiction over any business court case filed within his or her region. The Chief Justice approves the assignment of cases to Business Court based upon the recommendation of the Business Court judge. During July 31, 2012, to August 7, 2014, 51 Business Court Assignments were granted and 14 Business Court Assignments were denied. Of the cases denied, 10 cases were consolidated and designated as complex cases.

Criminal Docket Reform: In furtherance of the Supreme Court's 2012 opinion in *State v. Langford*, the Court created the General Sessions Docket Committee, which is charged with making recommendations to the Court concerning the adoption of an order for the orderly and efficient administration of the docket in the Court of General Sessions. Since the *Langford* decision, the Chief Justice has approved differentiated case management orders established in several counties.

Additionally, the Supreme Court issued an Administrative Order requiring Solicitors to reconcile their current pending cases with Clerks of Court and Public Defenders. Based on the reconciliations, Clerks of Court will provide the Chief Judge for Administrative Purposes a list of all cases pending over 545 days from the date of filing. Cases pending for more than 545 days shall be promptly set for disposition by the Chief Judge for Administrative Purposes, who will consult with the Solicitor regarding the best method to achieve prompt resolution, such as requesting special terms of court. Clerks will then conduct monthly self-audits to ensure the records provided to SCJD are accurate. Solicitors and Clerks will also reconcile ongoing pending cases on a quarterly basis, using the 545 day benchmark.

Family Court: The Family Court Docket Management Task Force and Sub-Committee continues to make significant strides in assisting the family courts in disposing of pending docket cases over 365 days old. Judge Aphrodite Konduros leads the effort to ensure accurate and timely reporting of caseload information to Court Administration. A Family Court docketing meeting was held in October 2013 to provide an opportunity for the Clerks of Court and their staff to discuss implementation of the recent Supreme Court orders related to ABC docketing, scheduling of temporary hearings and the 365 day benchmark. The Family Court Docket Management subcommittee met in April 2014 to review progress toward all counties reaching the 365 day benchmark and to discuss proposed language for an amended 365 day order. The subcommittee also addressed temporary hearing affidavit restrictions, permanency planning hearings, truancy issues and financial declarations. A follow-up meeting is scheduled for September 2014.

Bar Admissions: The Bar Admissions Office of the Supreme Court continues to expand the number of applicants who can take the bar examination using computer-based testing. In July 2013, while testing the second highest number of applicants ever to sit for the bar examination (525), 346 applicants, or almost two thirds of the applicants, took the examination using a laptop computer to complete the essay portions of the examination. This is the greatest number of applicants taking the examination via laptop since the program started in February 2008.

In addition to improving the capacities and functionality of the Bar Admission Tracking System to track the status of bar applicants, the members of the Committee on Character and Fitness were issued laptops to facilitate their

AGENCY NAME:	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT		
AGENCY CODE:	B04	SECTION:	057

review of applicants and petitions for reinstatement and aid in the preparation and circulation of Reports and Recommendations. These laptops are encrypted to ensure that the information used by the Committee remains confidential.

Other Collaborations: SCJD will continue its collaboration with the Department of Social Services (DSS), the Children’s Law Center and other agencies through the Federal Court Improvement Grant Program to expedite the processing of child protection cases. The partnership with DSS promotes the ability to monitor and track the timeliness of cases as they move through the courts toward permanent resolutions for children. The Court Liaison Initiative is currently in 20 of 46 counties, with plans to expand to additional counties during the grant cycle. The court liaisons not only identify strategies to increase timeliness and effectiveness of court hearings, but also identify training needs for judges, attorneys, guardians ad litem (GALs), DSS caseworkers and others. Training specific to child protection cases is provided to family court judges, volunteer GALs, program attorneys for the GALs, and attorneys for defendant parents to improve both timeliness and quality of court hearings. The Data Grant will allow DSS to develop a system for identifying and tracking those families with open Family Preservation Service Cases who do not have court intervention, with a goal of quickly bringing those families to the court’s attention. The anticipated outcome is that families will experience a decrease in the length of time of DSS involvement. The Data Grant also provides for enhanced integration with the Child Protective Services System as well as the reporting capabilities of the Legal Case Management System. These efforts are designed to provide access to critical data needed for reporting results.

Electronic Records: After providing the necessary information and certifications, the SCJD obtained approval from the Department of Archives and History to make electronic records the official record of the SCJD. Electronic records are maintained in the Appellate CMS and the Trial Court CMS for the 42 counties that are centrally hosted by the SCJD. This measure will allow the courts using these case management systems to move toward a records storage and retention system that is completely paperless.

KEY STRATEGIC GOALS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 - 2015 AND FUTURE YEARS

It is understood that the upcoming fiscal year, July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015, will continue to have budgetary constraints for the State of South Carolina. As a result, the SCJD will continue to consider and implement new innovations that further improve services to the public while increasing efficiencies of internal operations. The SCJD continues to maintain its standards for effective and efficient administration. Also, the SCJD holds attorneys and judges accountable to higher professional and ethical standards. By optimizing resources and utilizing technology, the SCJD can reach its goals and achieve its mission of providing fair, independent and accessible forums for the just and timely resolution of legal disputes for the citizens of South Carolina.

Our goals, strategies and objectives are outlined in the following templates.

Agency Name: SC Judicial Department

Agency Code: 804 Section: 057



Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report

Program Template

Program/Title	Purpose	FY 2012-13 Expenditures				FY 2013-14 Expenditures				Associated Objective(s)
		General	Other	Federal	TOTAL	General	Other	Federal	TOTAL	
I.A. The Supreme Court	Develops the law by issuing opinions and orders which serve as precedent for other courts. Through administrative orders, court rules and other directives, the Supreme Court and its Chief Justice provide guidance for the administration of the unified judicial system.	\$ 3,534,035	\$ 815,353	\$ -	\$ 4,349,388	\$ 3,527,267	\$ 861,661	\$ -	\$ 4,388,928	3.1.1 through 3.4.3.5
I.B. Board of Law Examiners	Responsible for processing applications of individuals seeking admission to practice law in South Carolina.	\$ -	\$ 801,691	\$ -	\$ 801,691	\$ -	\$ 806,995	\$ -	\$ 806,995	2.4.1, 2.4.2; 4.1.1 through 4.2.3; 4.4.1 through 4.4.5
I.C. Office of Disciplinary Counsel	Investigates and prosecutes complaints involving allegations of misconduct and incapacity on the part of lawyers and judges.	\$ -	\$ 977,679	\$ -	\$ 977,679	\$ -	\$ 982,324	\$ -	\$ 982,324	2.3.1 and 2.3.2
I.D. Commission on Conduct	Regulates lawyer and judicial conduct to preserve the integrity of the judicial and legal profession.	\$ -	\$ 241,026	\$ -	\$ 241,026	\$ -	\$ 391,556	\$ -	\$ 391,556	2.3.1 and 2.3.2
II. Court of Appeals	An intermediate appellate court that reviews decisions of the lower courts for procedural/legal errors.	\$ 4,099,084	\$ 274,075	\$ -	\$ 4,373,158	\$ 4,051,557	\$ 372,030	\$ -	\$ 4,423,588	3.1.1 through 3.4.3.5
III. Circuit Court	Serves as trial courts that are comprised of the General Sessions (Criminal) and Common Pleas (Civil).	\$ 12,886,535	\$ 550,068	\$ -	\$ 13,436,603	\$ 11,843,960	\$ 2,399,586	\$ -	\$ 14,243,546	3.1.1 through 3.4.3.5
IV. Family Court	Provides a forum for the resolution of disputes involving family matters such as divorce, abuse, neglect, and juvenile matters.	\$ 10,146,522	\$ 687,637	\$ -	\$ 10,834,159	\$ 11,822,819	\$ 148,590	\$ -	\$ 11,971,408	3.1.1 through 3.4.3.5
V.A. Court Administration	Serves the Chief Justice in her capacity as the Administrative head of the SC Judicial system.	\$ -	\$ 1,164,820	\$ 450,793	\$ 1,615,613	\$ -	\$ 1,167,194	\$ 564,334	\$ 1,731,528	2.5.1 through 2.5.4; 5.1.1 through 5.1.4
V.B. Finance and Personnel	Responsible for the internal fiscal operations for the department to include Human Resources.	\$ -	\$ 954,944	\$ -	\$ 954,944	\$ -	\$ 957,127	\$ -	\$ 957,127	N/A
V.C. Information Technology	Provides the technology tools to modernize the Judicial Branch to allow the electronic exchange of information with other state and local agencies.	\$ 990,319	\$ 5,362,969	\$ 1,995,103	\$ 8,348,391	\$ 931,657	\$ 5,847,694	\$ -	\$ 6,779,351	1.1.1 through 1.3.8; 2.1.1 through 2.2.3
VI. Judicial Commitments	Provides funds for examiners, guardians, and attorneys for chemically and mentally dependent individuals.	\$ -	\$ 350,060	\$ -	\$ 350,060	\$ -	\$ 353,890	\$ -	\$ 353,890	N/A
VII. Language Interpreters	Provides funds for interpreters appointed in judicial proceedings.	\$ 90,251	\$ 56,196	\$ -	\$ 146,447	\$ 90,076	\$ 81,448	\$ -	\$ 171,523	1.4.1 through 1.4.6
VIII. Employer Benefits	Employer contributions for the department	\$ 12,885,473	\$ 1,868,623	\$ -	\$ 14,754,095	\$ 13,816,444	\$ 2,473,882	\$ -	\$ 16,290,326	N/A
All Other Items	E-filing; Technology Upgrades; SCEIS payment; Barnwell County Roof	\$ -	\$ 168,796	\$ -	\$ 168,796	\$ 100,000	\$ 1,197,594	\$ -	\$ 1,297,594	1.2.1 through 1.2.3.4

Agency Name: SC Judicial Department

Agency Code: B04 Section: 057



Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report

Strategic Planning Template

Type	Goal	Item # Strat	Object	Description
G	1			Ensure Public Access to Judicial Department Resources
S		1.1		Enhance the Appellate Case Management System (C-Track) by expanding the number of case types available for public access
O			1.1.1	Add Court of Appeals certiorari proceedings cases (excluding Family Court) to C-Track Public Access
O			1.1.2	Add Post-Conviction Relief cases to C-Track Public Access
O			1.1.3	Add Certified Questions cases to C-Track Public Access
O			1.1.4	Add Original Jurisdiction cases to C-Track Public Access
S		1.2		Implement E-Filing
O			1.2.1	Complete the E-Filing and Case Management System Interface
O			1.2.2	Implement the E-Filing Pilot
O			1.2.3	Establish functional standards for E-Filing in the Appellate Courts
S		1.3		Broadcast 56 SC Supreme Court Oral Arguments
O			1.3.1	Select the vendors to work with SCJD to create the Project Plan
O			1.3.2	Determine Design, Development, Programming and Procurement Needs
O			1.3.3	Design, Develop and Maintain Video Portal
S		1.4		Improve the uniform and efficient delivery of interpreter services to non-English speaking and hearing impaired court participants
O			1.4.1	Schedule orientation sessions, oral examinations and skill-building workshops for interpreters interested in court interpreting
O			1.4.2	Increase use of telephonic interpreting for short court hearings, particularly in Summary Courts
O			1.4.3	Continue development of Frequently Asked Questions for the public, attorneys and court staff concerning use of foreign and sign language interpreters
O			1.4.4	Continue work with ad hoc committee of summary court judges and staff to improve the uniform and efficient delivery of interpreter services for court participants
G	2			Maintain Public Trust and Confidence
S		2.1		Create a Plan Encompassing Both Overall Business Continuity and Disaster Recovery
O			2.1.1	Complete a study to create a Business Continuity-Disaster Recovery Plan for SCJD
O			2.1.2	Create and publish BC-DR policy that encompasses the Plan objectives, defining who has the authority to execute the plan, where the plan is stored, and how to access the plan
O			2.1.3	Define Phases for implementation
S		2.2		Provide Complete Network and Data Security for the Statewide Case Management System, E-Filing, and Other State/County Court Systems
O			2.2.1	Provide continuous 24/7 security monitoring and IPS services for all data entering and exiting our main firewall
O			2.2.2	Implement best-practice security measures, prioritized by risk assessment level, to protect sensitive data using a multi-layered security approach
O			2.2.3	Maintain and improve fault tolerant network equipment to provide reliable delivery of services

Agency Name: SC Judicial Department

Agency Code: B04 Section: 057



Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report

Strategic Planning Template

Type	Goal	Item # Strat	Object	Description
S		2.3		Maintain Appropriate Ethical Standards for Lawyers, Judges and Judicial Staff
O		2.3.1		Review of the South Carolina Code of Judicial Conduct to determine if any amendments are appropriate in light of the current ABA Model Code of Judicial Conduct
O		2.3.2		Review the Code of Conduct for Judicial Staff Attorneys and Law Clerks to determine if any amendments are appropriate to make its provisions more consistent with those in the Code of Judicial Conduct
S		2.4		Maintain High Standards for Admission to Practice Law
O		2.4.1		Revise the bar application form to ensure that applicants are required to provide sufficient information to determine if they have the requisite character and fitness to practice law in South Carolina
O		2.4.2		Provide for the electronic submission of bar applications
S		2.5		Provide Mentoring and Development Programs
O		2.5.1		Provide mentoring program for newly appointed Summary Court judges
O		2.5.2		Pair all newly appointed Summary Court judges with mentors from the Circuit and Family Court benches
O		2.5.3		Collaborate with the South Carolina Commission on Specialization and Continuing Legal Education to develop an evaluation process for the evolution of the program
O		2.5.4		Begin transitioning from Circuit and Family Court mentors to Summary Court judges mentoring Summary Court judges
G	3			Provide Quality and Timely Dispute Resolution
S		3.1		Enhance the Appellate Case Management System (C-Track)
O		3.1.1		Finalize and approve the functional design specifications for electronic circulation of court orders
O		3.1.2		Implement configuration manager to allow further customization of the Appellate Case Management System
S		3.2		Reduce Delay in Appellate Proceedings
O		3.2.1		Reduce delay in appellate proceedings by placing reasonable restrictions on extension requests
O		3.2.2		Review and modify internal processing procedures used by the appellate courts to minimize delay
S		3.3		Streamline Appellate Case Processing by Moving Toward a Completely Electronic Record
O		3.3.1		Implement the approval given by the Department of Archives and History to make the electronic record the official record of the Supreme Court and Court of Appeals
O		3.3.2		Modify record retention schedules to reflect change to electronic records
O		3.3.3		Eliminate paper files and records where appropriate to conserve staff resources

Agency Name:	SC Judicial Department		
Agency Code:	B04	Section:	057



Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report

Strategic Planning Template

Type	Goal	Item # Strat	Object	Description
S		3.4		Resolve General Sessions (Criminal), Common Pleas (Civil), and Family Court cases in accordance with benchmark goal established for trial courts - 80% of cases disposed of within 365 days or less
O		3.4.1		Expand access to Business Courts and increase judges assigned to preside over those courts
O		3.4.2		Expand Court-Annexed Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) statewide by designating by court order, the remaining 13 counties as mandatory ADR
O		3.4.3		Establish General Sessions Docket Committee to reform criminal docketing and provide recommendations to the Supreme Court for orderly administration of the General Sessions docket
O		3.4.4		Confirm the inventory of outstanding General Sessions cases
O		3.4.5		Reconcile discrepancies between records maintained by the Solicitors and the Clerks of Court
O		3.4.6		Cases pending over 545 days since the date of filing shall be promptly set for disposition by the Chief Judge of Administrative Purposes
G	4			Collaborate with Justice System Partners and Other Stakeholders
S		4.1		Interact with Law Schools
O		4.1.1		Provide the law students at the Charleston Law School, University of South Carolina Law School and the Charlotte Law School with on campus briefings about the bar examination process in South Carolina
O		4.1.2		Continue to collaborate with the law schools to ensure that ethics and professionalism are a vibrant part of the development of each law student
S		4.2		Interact with Educational Institutions
O		4.2.1		Host the "Class Action Program" which allows high school and middle school students the opportunity to observe oral arguments before the Supreme Court
O		4.2.2		Host the "Case of the Month" program to give students who cannot attend the "Class Action Program" a similar experience using video of the arguments
O		4.2.3		On a yearly basis, host the Supreme Court Institute to provide secondary social studies teachers with detailed information and training about the judicial system in South Carolina
S		4.3		Interact with the Public
O		4.3.1		If appropriate, provide general public with notice and an opportunity to submit written comments regarding rule changes and other matters
O		4.3.2		Make self-help resources, court rules, forms, and other information available to the public on the Judicial Department website
S		4.4		Interact with the South Carolina Bar and Other Law Related Organizations
O		4.4.1		Meet with the leadership of the South Carolina Bar to determine its needs and issues
O		4.4.2		Consider petitions filed by the South Carolina Bar to amend rules or take other action
O		4.4.3		Through the Chief Justice's Commission on the Profession, continue to work with the South Carolina Bar and the American Board of Trial Advocates to improve the trial experiences requirement for new lawyers
O		4.4.4		Host foreign judges and officials as part of exchange programs to foster the rule of law in other countries
O		4.4.5		Continue to actively support the iCivics non-profit organization as it provides students with educational tools to help them become active and knowledgeable citizens in our democratic system of government
G	5			Enhance Judicial Administration
S		5.1		Court Reporter Reform - Ensure verbatim records are available for all terms of court utilizing digital technology to supplement existing resources
O		5.1.1		Determine the best way to incorporate the use of Digital Recorders in Courtrooms to supplement existing Court Reporters
O		5.1.2		Equip 30 Courtrooms with Digital Recorder devices to be used during Family or Circuit Court proceedings as assigned by the Chief Justice

Agency Name:	SC Judicial Department		
Agency Code:	B04	Section:	057



**Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report**

Performance Measurement Template

Item	Performance Measure	Last Value	Current Value	Target Value	Time Applicable	Data Source and Availability	Reporting Freq.	Calculation Method	Associated Objective(s)
1	% Functional Design Specification for C-Track completion			100%	FY 2014-15	Thomson Reuters consultants	YEARLY	N/A	3.1.1 and 3.1.2
2	% New case types available on C-Track Public Access			100%	FY 2014-15	SC Courts website's C-Track public access	YEARLY	N/A	1.1.1 through 1.1.4
3	% of Supreme Court Sessions live streamed			100%	FY 2014-15	2014-2015 SC Supreme Court roster of cases	YEARLY	N/A	1.3.1 through 1.3.3
4	% Complete of BC-DR Plan from study			100%	FY 2014-15	SCJD BC-DR Plan	YEARLY	N/A	2.1.1 through 2.1.3
5	% Complete of BC-DR Phases			30%	FY 2014-15	SCJD BC-DR Plan	YEARLY	N/A	2.1.2 through 2.1.3
6	% of successful completion of security projections initiated			100%	FY 2014-15	SCJD Security Department	YEARLY	N/A	2.2.1 through 2.2.3
7	% of successful responses to defend against known security incidents, eliminating any loss or breach of data			100%	FY 2014-15	SCJD Security Department	YEARLY	N/A	2.2.1 through 2.2.3
8	Clarendon and Greenville counties will be operational in the E-Filing pilot			100%	FY2014-15	Commitment from Clarendon and Greenville counties to serve as pilot counties	YEARLY	N/A	1.2.1 through 1.2.2
9	Number of Counties where Business Court is available	3	3	46	FY2014-15	Supreme Court	YEARLY	N/A	3.4.1
10	Number of Judges presiding over Business Courts	3	3	8	FY2014-15	Supreme Court	YEARLY	N/A	3.4.1
11	General Session (Criminal) Courts - Circuits meeting benchmark of 80% cases disposed of within 365 days	1	3	16	FY2014-15	IT Department - County Stats Portal	YEARLY	N/A	3.4.2 through 3.4.6
12	Common Pleas (Civil) Courts - Circuits meeting benchmark of 80% cases disposed of within 365 days	0	0	16	FY2014-15	IT Department - County Stats Portal	YEARLY	N/A	3.4.2 through 3.4.6
13	Family Court - Circuits meeting benchmark of 80% cases disposed of within 365 days	6	14	16	FY2014-15	IT Department - County Stats Portal	YEARLY	N/A	3.4.2 through 3.4.6
14	Conduct semi-annual on-campus briefings for law students at USC, The Charleston Law School and the Charlotte School of Law regarding the Bar Examination			6	FY2014-15	Available Semi-Annually	YEARLY	N/A	4.1.1

Agency Name:	SC Judicial Department		
Agency Code:	B04	Section:	057



Fiscal Year 2013-14
Accountability Report

Performance Measurement Template

Item	Performance Measure	Last Value	Current Value	Target Value	Time Applicable	Data Source and Availability	Reporting Freq.	Calculation Method	Associated Objective(s)
15	Provide high school and middle school students with the opportunity to participate in either the "Class Action" or Case of the Month Programs each month from September through June			10	FY2014-15	Available monthly - September through June	YEARLY	N/A	4.2.1 through 4.2.2