# Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee Meeting

Tuesday, December 10, 2019

## Contents

| Agenda   | 2  |
|--|----|
| Minutes  Minutes from Previous Meeting  Amended Minutes from September 16, 2019  Amended Minutes from July 8, 2019 | 5  |
| DAODAS Study Timeline  | 12 |
| DAODAS Snapshot  | 13 |
| Follow-Up Follow-Up Letter from Subcommittee DAODAS Response to Follow-Up  |    |
| Prevention & Intervention Deliverables   | 23 |
| Prevention & Intervention Performance Measures   | 46 |

# **AGENDA**

## South Carolina House of Representatives



## Legislative Oversight Committee

#### HEALTHCARE AND REGULATORY SUBCOMMITTEE

Chairman John Taliaferro (Jay) West, IV
The Honorable Robert L. Ridgeway, III
The Honorable Bill Taylor
The Honorable Chris Wooten

Tuesday, December 10, 2019 10:00 a.m. Blatt Building Room 410

Pursuant to Committee Rule 6.8, S.C. ETV shall be allowed access for internet streaming whenever technologically feasible.

#### **AGENDA**

- I. Approval of Minutes
- II. Discussion of the Study of the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services
- III. Adjournment

## MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Chair Wm. Weston J. Newton

First Vice-Chair: Laurie Slade Funderburk

Micajah P. (Micah) Caskey, IV Neal A. Collins Patricia Moore (Pat) Henegan William M. (Bill) Hixon Jeffrey E. (Jeff) Johnson Marvin R. Pendarvis Tommy M. Stringer Bill Taylor Robert O. Williams

Jennifer L. Dobson Research Director

Cathy A. Greer Administration Coordinator

## Legislative Oversight Committee



South Carolina House of Representatives

Post Office Box 11867 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Telephone: (803) 212-6810 • Fax: (803) 212-6811

Room 228 Blatt Building

Gary E. Clary
Chandra E. Dillard
Lee Hewitt
Joseph H. Jefferson, Jr.
Mandy Powers Norrell
Robert L. Ridgeway, III
Edward R. Tallon, Sr.
John Taliaferro (Jay) West, IV
Chris Wooten

Charles L. Appleby, IV Legal Counsel

Carmen J. McCutcheon Simon Research Analyst/Auditor

Kendra H. Wilkerson Fiscal/Research Analyst

Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee Meeting Monday, December 9, 2019, at 12:45 p.m. Blatt Building Room 427

#### Archived Video Available

I. Pursuant to House Legislative Oversight Committee Rule 6.8, South Carolina ETV is allowed access for streaming the meeting. You may access an archived video of this meeting by visiting the South Carolina General Assembly's website (http://www.scstatehouse.gov) and clicking on *Committee Postings and Reports*, then under *House Standing Committees* click on *Legislative Oversight*. Then, click on *Video Archives* for a listing of archived videos for the Committee.

#### Attendance

I. Chair Jay West calls the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee to order on Monday, December 9, 2019, in Room 427 of the Blatt Building. All members of the Subcommittee except Representative Wooten are present for all or a portion of the meeting.

#### Minutes

I. House Rule 4.5 requires standing committees to prepare and make available to the public the minutes of committee meetings, but the minutes do not have to be verbatim accounts of meetings. It is the practice of the Legislative Oversight Committee to provide minutes for its subcommittee meetings.

II. Representative Taylor moves to approve the meeting minutes from the November 12, 2019, meeting. The motion passes.

| Representative Taylor's motion to approve the meeting minutes from the November 12, 2019, meeting. | Yea | Nay | Not Voting<br>(Absent) | Not Voting<br>(Present) |
|--|-----|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Rep. Robert Ridgeway   | ✓   |     |                        |                         |
| Rep. Bill Taylor   | ✓   |     |                        |                         |
| Rep. Chris Wooten  |     |     | <b>√</b>               |                         |
| Rep. Jay West  | ✓   |     |                        |                         |

#### Discussion of the Department of Mental Health (DMH)

I. Chair West explains the purpose of this meeting is to consider the following proposed amendments to the recommendations the Subcommittee made during the course of the DMH study:

#### Proposed Amendments to DMH Study Recommendations

The Department of Mental Health should randomly test employee knowledge of DMH policies and procedures. Random testing should include both written tests and hands-on strategies by trained staff with appropriate expertise to determine whether employees are aware of and employing appropriate care techniques.

The Vulnerable Adult Fatalities Review Committee should submit an annual report as required by S.C. Code of Laws Ann. § 43-570(6) an in accordance with the electronic transmission process described in S.C. Code of Laws Ann. § 2-1-230. In addition to statutorily required sections (i.e., findings and recommendations for changes), the report should include a summary of non-confidential portions of minutes, member attendance records, statistical information on cases reported and reviewed, committee member credentials, identified systemic deficiencies in care, and trending issues facing vulnerable adults.

The Department of Mental Health should continue to review and update its performance measures for the Fiscal Year 2019-20 Accountability Report. In doing so, the agency may wish to avail itself of resources available from the Department of Administration's Executive Budget Office (EBO), including but not limited to consulting with EBO's performance and accountability manager. The agency should also determine whether the current set of performance measures would assist agency management leaders in determining evaluating whether if the agency is accomplishing its mission.

The Department of Mental Health should <u>collect data to evaluate its recruitment efficacy (e.g.,</u> ask each new employee how he or she learned about the position). <del>and use that data to determine which recruitment strategies work the best to recruit eventual employees</del>.

The Department of Mental Health and the Department of Corrections should form a committee constituted of professionals of each agency these and other appropriate entities to devise a plan to provide a seamless transition for inmates who are under the care of a mental health professional upon the release of the inmate. The plan should be implemented by the participating agencies.

#### **Proposed Additional Recommendation**

The Department of Mental Health should post contact information for members of the South Carolina Mental Health Commission on its website.

- II. DMH Director Mark Binkley responds to a question from Chair West about these proposed changes.
- III. Rep. Ridgeway moves that the proposed amendments and addition be adopted. The motion passes.

| Representative Ridgeway's motion to adopt<br>the proposed amendments and addition to<br>the Subcommittee's recommendations in its<br>study of the Department of Mental Health. |   | Nay | Not<br>Voting<br>(Absent) | Not<br>Voting<br>(Present) |
|--|---|-----|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Rep. Robert Ridgeway   | ✓ |     |                           |                            |
| Rep. Bill Taylor   | ✓ |     |                           |                            |
| Rep. Chris Wooten  |   |     | ✓                         |                            |
| Rep. Jay West  | ✓ |     |                           |                            |

- IV. Chair West directs Committee staff to incorporate the adopted changes into the Subcommittee's report and distribute it to the full Committee for review.
- V. There being no further business, the meeting is adjourned.

# AMENDED MINUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 16, 2019

Chair Wm. Weston J. Newton

First Vice-Chair: Laurie Slade Funderburk

Micajah P. (Micah) Caskey, IV Neal A. Collins Patricia Moore (Pat) Henegan William M. (Bill) Hixon Jeffrey E. (Jeff) Johnson Marvin R. Pendarvis Tommy M. Stringer Bill Taylor Robert Q. Williams

Jennifer L. Dobson Research Director

Cathy A. Greer Administration Coordinator

## Legislative Oversight Committee



South Carolina House of Representatives

Post Office Box 11867 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Telephone: (803) 212-6810 • Fax: (803) 212-6811

Room 228 Blatt Building

Gary E. Clary
Chandra E. Dillard
Lee Hewitt
Joseph H. Jefferson, Jr.
Mandy Powers Norrell
Robert L. Ridgeway, III
Edward R. Tallon, Sr.
John Taliaferro (Jay) West, IV
Chris Wooten

Charles L. Appleby, IV Legal Counsel

Carmen J. McCutcheon Simon Research Analyst/Auditor

Kendra H. Wilkerson Fiscal/Research Analyst

Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee Meeting Monday, September 16, 2019, at 10:00 am Blatt Building Room 427

#### Archived Video Available

I. Pursuant to House Legislative Oversight Committee Rule 6.8, South Carolina ETV is allowed access for streaming the meeting. You may access an archived video of this meeting by visiting the South Carolina General Assembly's website (http://www.scstatehouse.gov) and clicking on *Committee Postings and Reports*, then under *House Standing Committees* click on *Legislative Oversight*. Then, click on *Video Archives* for a listing of archived videos for the Committee

#### Attendance

I. Chair Jay West calls the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee to order on Monday, September 16, 2019, in Room 427 of the Blatt Building. All members of the Subcommittee are present for all or a portion of the meeting.

#### Minutes

- I. House Rule 4.5 requires standing committees to prepare and make available to the public the minutes of committee meetings, but the minutes do not have to be verbatim accounts of meetings. It is the practice of the Legislative Oversight Committee to provide minutes for its subcommittee meetings.
- II. Representative Taylor moves to approve the meeting minutes from the August 27, 2019, meeting. The motion passes.

| Representative Taylor's motion to approve the meeting minutes from the August 27, 2019, meeting. | Yea | Nay | Not Voting<br>(Absent) | Not Voting<br>(Present) |
|--|-----|-----|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Rep. Robert Ridgeway   |     |     |                        | <b>✓</b>                |
| Rep. Bill Taylor   | ✓   |     |                        |                         |
| Rep. Chris Wooten  | ✓   |     |                        |                         |
| Rep. Jay West  | ✓   |     |                        |                         |

#### Meeting

- I. Chair West explains this is the Subcommittee's thirteenth meeting with the Department of Mental Health and that the purpose is to learn about the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee and ask additional questions of the Department of Mental Health.
- II. After being sworn in, Greg Shore, chairperson of the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee, provides testimony about the committee's work and responds to Subcommittee member questions.
- III. Mark Binkley, DMH Interim Director, provides testimony about the agency's interaction with the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee.
- IV. Representative Wooten moves to include the following findings in the subcommittee study report:
  - The charge of the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee remains important, particularly its functions related to aggregating and disseminating data, detecting trends, identifying opportunities for cross training, and communicating deficiencies in our statutory infrastructure to the General Assembly and Governor.
  - There is not a record of the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee communicating recommendations or a lack of a need for any changes to the General Assembly.
  - State law only requires each of the nine ex-officio member to provide "sufficient staff and administrative support to carry out the responsibilities of the article." It does not direct any one agency to be responsible. While it is not required, SLED's vulnerable adult investigations unit, the unit "provides administrative support for the Committee by tracking, compiling, and sending vulnerable adult case files to committee members for consideration and review prior to each meeting. SLED also provides support staff who record minutes during the meetings, send committee emails to coordinate Committee matters, maintain a record of committee member information, and who prepare the agendas for each meeting." Additional administrative support might be required for the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities Review Committee to fulfill its statutory requirements.
  - The Committee's membership is not currently up-to-date on the Secretary of State's website.
  - The Committee should communicate any findings or recommendations about a state agency to the agency's leadership via a letter.

The motion passes.

| Del        | oresentative Wooten's motion to include the          |          |     |            |               |
|------------|--|----------|-----|------------|---------------|
|            | owing findings in the subcommittee study             |          |     |            |               |
|            | <del>-</del>   |          |     |            |               |
| <u>rep</u> | ort:   |          |     |            |               |
| •          | The charge of the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities       |          |     |            |               |
|            | Review Committee remains important, particularly     |          |     |            |               |
|            | its functions related to aggregating and             |          |     |            |               |
|            | disseminating data, detecting trends, identifying    |          |     |            |               |
|            | opportunities for cross training, and                |          |     |            |               |
|            | communicating deficiencies in our statutory          |          |     |            |               |
|            | infrastructure to the General Assembly and           |          |     |            |               |
|            | Governor.  |          |     |            |               |
| •          | There is not a record of the Vulnerable Adults       |          |     |            |               |
|            | Fatalities Review Committee communicating            |          |     |            |               |
|            | recommendations or a lack of a need for any          |          |     |            |               |
|            | changes to the General Assembly.                     |          |     |            |               |
| •          | State law only requires each of the nine ex-officio  |          |     |            |               |
|            | member to provide "sufficient staff and              |          |     |            |               |
|            | administrative support to carry out the              |          |     |            |               |
|            | responsibilities of the article." It does not direct |          |     |            |               |
|            | any one agency to be responsible. While it is not    |          |     |            |               |
|            | required, SLED's vulnerable adult investigations     |          |     |            |               |
|            | unit, the unit "provides administrative support for  |          |     |            |               |
|            | the Committee by tracking, compiling, and sending    |          |     |            |               |
|            | vulnerable adult case files to committee members     |          |     |            |               |
|            | for consideration and review prior to each meeting.  |          |     |            |               |
|            | SLED also provides support staff who record          |          |     |            |               |
|            | minutes during the meetings, send committee          |          |     |            |               |
|            | emails to coordinate Committee matters, maintain     |          |     |            |               |
|            | a record of committee member information, and        |          |     |            |               |
|            | who prepare the agendas for each meeting."           |          |     |            |               |
|            | Additional administrative support might be           |          |     |            |               |
|            | required for the Vulnerable Adults Fatalities        |          |     |            |               |
|            | Review Committee to fulfill its statutory            |          |     |            |               |
|            | requirements.  |          |     |            |               |
| •          | The Committee's membership is not currently up-      |          |     |            |               |
|            | to-date on the Secretary of State's website.         |          |     |            |               |
|            | The Committee should communicate any findings        |          |     |            |               |
|            | or recommendations about a state agency to the       |          |     |            |               |
|            | agency's leadership via a letter.                    |          |     | <u>Not</u> | <u>Not</u>    |
|            | agency 3 leadership via a letter.                    |          |     | Voting     | <u>Voting</u> |
|            |  | Yea      | Nay | (Absent)   | (Present)     |
| Rep        | o. Robert Ridgeway                                   | ✓        |     |            |               |
| _          | o. Bill Taylor                                       | <u>−</u> |     |            |               |
| -          | o. Chris Wooten                                      | <u>−</u> |     |            |               |
|            | o. Jay West  | <u>−</u> |     |            |               |
| 1          | <u> </u>   | l —      | l   | Ī          |               |

V. The subcommittee recedes for lunch.

#### Joint Meeting with the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee

- I. Ad hoc Subcommittee Chairman Tallon explains that the ad hoc subcommittee has been joined by the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee for the purpose of discussing how the Department of Corrections (SCDC) and Department of Mental Health provide mental health services to people involved in the criminal justice system (e.g., criminal defendants, inmates, and parolees).
- II. Mr. Mark Binkley, DMH Interim State Director, and Mr. Bryan Stirling, SCDC Executive Director, present information on ways in which the two agencies interact and collaborate, which includes, but is not limited to, the following topics:
  - a. Comparison of agency mandates
  - b. Comparison of agency missions
  - c. Agencies' goals
  - d. Overview of agencies' mental health services
  - e. DMH treatments, locations, and statistics
  - f. DMH interaction with the criminal justice system
  - g. A video about a telepsychiatry program in Charleston
  - h. SCDC mental health services overview and statistics
  - i. SCDC mental health screening and evaluation
  - j. SCDC mental health lawsuit overview
  - k. Current agency collaborations
  - 1. Opportunities for enhanced collaboration
- III. Members ask questions, which Director Binkley, Director Stirling, and other agency staff answer.
- IV. There being no further business, the meeting is adjourned.

# AMENDED MINUTES FROM JULY 8, 2019

Chair Wm. Weston J. Newton

First Vice-Chair: Laurie Slade Funderburk

Micajah P. (Micah) Caskey, IV Neal A. Collins Patricia Moore (Pat) Henegan William M. (Bill) Hixon Jeffrey E. (Jeff) Johnson Marvin R. Pendarvis Tommy M. Stringer Bill Taylor Robert Q. Williams

Jennifer L. Dobson Research Director

Cathy A. Greer Administration Coordinator

## Legislative Oversight Committee



South Carolina House of Representatives

Post Office Box 11867 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Telephone: (803) 212-6810 • Fax: (803) 212-6811

Room 228 Blatt Building

Gary E. Clary
Chandra E. Dillard
Lee Hewitt
Joseph H. Jefferson, Jr.
Mandy Powers Norrell
Robert L. Ridgeway, III
Edward R. Tallon, Sr.
John Taliaferro (Jay) West, IV
Chris Wooten

Charles L. Appleby, IV Legal Counsel

Carmen J. McCutcheon Simon Research Analyst/Auditor

Kendra H. Wilkerson Fiscal/Research Analyst

Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee Meeting Monday, July 8, 2019, at 10:00 am Blatt Building Room 410

#### Archived Video Available

I. Pursuant to House Legislative Oversight Committee Rule 6.8, South Carolina ETV was allowed access for streaming the meeting. You may access an archived video of this meeting by visiting the South Carolina General Assembly's website (http://www.scstatehouse.gov) and clicking on *Committee Postings and Reports*, then under *House Standing Committees* click on *Legislative Oversight*. Then, click on *Video Archives* for a listing of archived videos for the Committee.

#### Attendance

I. Chair Jay West calls the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee to order on Monday, July 8, 2019, in Room 410 of the Blatt Building. All members of the Subcommittee are present for all or a portion of the meeting.

#### Minutes

- I. House Rule 4.5 requires standing committees to prepare and make available to the public the minutes of committee meetings, but the minutes do not have to be verbatim accounts of meetings. It is the practice of the Legislative Oversight Committee to provide minutes for its subcommittee meetings.
- II. Representative Ridgeway moves to approve the meeting minutes from the June 20, 2019, meeting. The motion passes.

| Representative Ridgeway's motion to approve the meeting minutes from the June 20, 2019, meeting. | Yea | Nay | Not Voting<br>(Absent) | Not<br>Voting<br>(Present) |
|--|-----|-----|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Rep. Robert Ridgeway   | ✓   |     |                        |                            |
| Rep. Bill Taylor   | ✓   |     |                        |                            |
| Rep. Chris Wooten  | ✓   |     |                        |                            |
| Rep. Jay West  | ✓   |     |                        |                            |

#### Meeting

- I. Chair West explains that this is the Subcommittee's ninth meeting with the Department of Mental Health, and that the purpose is to continue to learn about the DMH budget, medical affairs, and administrative services.
- II. Chair West explains that all testimony given to this subcommittee, which is an investigating committee, must be under oath. He reminds those sworn in during prior meetings that they remain under oath. He swears in DMH staff not previously sworn in.
- III. Mark Binkley (Interim Director), Robert Bank (Dep Director Division of Medical Affairs), and the below DMH staff provide testimony about community mental health DMH budget, medical affairs, and administrative services:
  - Noelle Wriston, Director, Budget and Planning, Financial Services, Division of Administrative Services
  - Tracy Turner, Controller, Financial Services, Division of Administrative Services
  - Melba Arthur, Director, Organizational Improvement, Division of Medical Affairs
  - > Stewart Cooner, Director of Special Programs & Telepsychiatry, Division of Medical Affairs
  - Barry Lloyd, Director, Office of Information Network Technology, Division of Administrative Services
  - ➤ Leigh Ann Chmura, Manager, Software Support Services, Office of Information Network Technology, Division of Administrative Services
  - Jasen Michalski, Manager, Network Services, Office of Information Network Technology, Division of Administrative Services
  - Debbie Blaylock, Dep Director Community Mental Health Services

- IV. Subcommittee members ask, and agency staff respond to questions about the following subjects:
  - a. Agency budget and expenditures;
  - b. Contract personnel;
  - c. Billing practices and costs;
  - d. Psychiatric residencies;
  - e. Prescription drugs;
  - f. Credentialing requirements;
  - g. Telepsychiatry; and
  - h. IT infrastructure and security.
- V. There being no further business, the meeting is adjourned.

# DAODAS STUDY TIMELINE

| 2018                     |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| December 5               | At <b>Meeting 1,</b> the <b>full Committee votes</b> to make DAODAS the next agency for the Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee to study. <u>Video</u> and <u>minutes</u> of the meeting are available online.  |
| 2019                     |  |
| January 9                | The Committee provides the agency with <u>notice</u> about the oversight process.  |
| February 27 -<br>April 1 | The Committee solicits input from the public about the agency in the form of an <b>online public survey</b> . The <u>results of the public survey</u> are available online.  |
| August 13                | The full Committee holds <b>Meeting 2</b> with the agency to receive <b>public input</b> .   |
| October 28               | The Subcommittee holds <b>Meeting 3</b> with the agency to discuss an overview of its <b>mission</b> , <b>history</b> , <b>resources</b> , <b>major programs</b> , <b>successes</b> , <b>challenges</b> , and <b>emerging issues</b> .                         |
| November 12              | The Subcommittee holds <b>Meeting 4</b> with the agency to discuss the <b>deliverables of its Prevention &amp; Intervention Services Division</b> .  |
| December 10<br>(TODAY)   | The Subcommittee holds <b>Meeting 5</b> with the agency to continue discussing the <b>deliverables of its Prevention &amp; Intervention Services Division</b> and begin discussing the <b>deliverables of its Treatment &amp; Recovery Services Division</b> . |
| Ongoing                  | Public may submit written comments on the Oversight Committee's webpage, accessed from <a href="www.scstatehouse.gov">www.scstatehouse.gov</a> .   |

## DAODAS SNAPSHOT

**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services** 

Mission and Services To ensure the availability and quality of a continuum of substance use services, thereby improving the health status, safety, and quality of life of individuals, families, and communities across South Carolina

Coordinates a statewide system of local substance abuse agencies that provide prevention, treatment, and recovery services

#### **Organizational Units**

Administration
Services/External Affairs
Program
Accountability/Treatment
Program
Accountability/Prevention
Finance & Operations
Information Technology
Health Integration &
Innovation

#### History

Initially created in 1957 as the S.C. Alcoholic Rehabilitation Center and granted treatment authority, the agency opened the first state-funded facility for alcoholism in 1962. In 1993, the agency was established as a cabinet agency, becoming the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services (DAODAS), and charged with ensuring quality services to prevent or reduce the negative consequences of substance use.

#### Resources (FY 17-18)

Employees
38
filled FTE positions at the end of the year

**Funding** 

\$55,666,679 appropriated and authorized

## Current:

- Addressing stigma associated with substance use disorders
- Increasing the number and quality of recovery residences in South Carolina
- Sustaining capacity and providing financial assistance for treatment services to indigent South Carolinians long-term

#### Emerging:

- · Increasing trends in cocaine and methamphetamine use
- Educating healthcare providers, parents, and educators about the risks of marijuana use among youth and women who are pregnant and nursing
- Filling vacancies in public addiction service provider system as anticipated turnover is over 25% in next five years

#### Successes

Identified by the agency

- Obtaining a \$28 million state opioid response grant that will assist with increasing access to medication-assistant treatment; reducing unmet needs; and reducing opioid overdose-related deaths
- Emphasizing prevention programs associated with the reduction of underage drinking
- Impacting health of South Carolinians as patients' past 30-day use of alcohol decreased by 27.6%; patients past 30-day use of any substance decreased by 37.5%; and patients' past 30day employment status rose by 7.7.%

Challenges dentified by the agency

Sources: Agency PER (July 2019), Accountability Report (September 2018), and website.

First Vice-Chair: Laurie Slade Funderburk

Micajah P. (Micah) Caskey, IV Neal A. Collins Patricia Moore (Pat) Henegan William M. (Bill) Hixon Jeffrey E. (Jeff) Johnson Marvin R. Pendarvis Tommy M. Stringer Bill Taylor Robert Q. Williams

Jennifer L. Dobson Research Director

Cathy A. Greer Administration Coordinator

## **Legislative Oversight Committee**



South Carolina House of Representatives

Post Office Box 11867 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 Telephone: (803) 212-6810 • Fax: (803) 212-6811

Room 228 Blatt Building

Gary E. Clary
Chandra E. Dillard
Lee Hewitt
Joseph H. Jefferson, Jr.
Mandy Powers Norrell
Robert L. Ridgeway, III
Edward R. Tallon, Sr.
John Taliaferro (Jay) West, IV
Chris Wooten

Charles L. Appleby, IV Legal Counsel

Lewis Carter Research Analyst/Auditor

Kendra H. Wilkerson Fiscal/Research Analyst

November 15, 2019

Sara Goldsby Agency Director South Carolina Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services P.O. Box 8268 Columbia, SC 29202

Via Email

RE: Follow-up questions from the Subcommittee meeting with the agency on November 12, 2019

#### Dear Director Goldsby:

The Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee appreciates the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services' continued partnership in the oversight process. As a follow-up to the meeting on November 12, 2019, please provide the requested information by Wednesday, December 4, 2019.

#### **Prevention and Intervention**

- 1. The percent change in substance use rates in 2017 are significantly less than 2016. Please provide additional information regarding what may have impacted substance use rates during that period. (Reference slide 44 from the agency's presentation on November 12, 2019).
- 2. Between 2016 and 2017, there was a significant percentage drop in the four alcohol product categories tracked through compliance checks. To what does the agency attribute this change?
- 3. What percentage of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant is allocated for primary prevention services?
- 4. Do local alcohol and drug abuse authorities, servicing a multi-county area, experience an increased rate of substance abuse verses counties with a single provider?

5. How many vacant certified prevention specialist and certified senior prevention specialist positions are there in the state? Please provide additional information regarding compensation and how it compares to North Carolina and Georgia.

#### **Government and Partner Organizations**

- 6. What state agencies and partner organizations comprise the State Epidemiological Outcomes Workgroup?
- 7. Please provide a list of "red flags" alcohol and tobacco merchants can look for to identify fake identification cards. **Please note**: If you have any concern that providing this information, which will be posted on the Committee's webpage, will negatively affect efforts to combat the usage of fake identification cards, please do not provide it. Instead, please provide contact information for merchants who wish to learn more about this topic.
- 8. Is the annual statewide outcomes report, prepared by the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation, posted online or otherwise made available to the public?
- 9. How many merchants in each county have completed the Palmetto Retailers Education Program? How many merchants would qualify for this program? Please provide estimates if exact numbers are not known.

#### **Schools and School Districts**

- 10. Please provide a list of school districts that have not availed themselves at the opportunity to incorporate classroom-based educational programming designed to help mitigate substance use.
- 11. Please provide a list of school districts opting to not participate in the Communities That Care Youth Survey.

In responding to these questions, please remember the Committee's expectations, which are provided in Committee Standard Practice 9. The subcommittee looks forward to working collaboratively with the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services. Thank you and your team for your service to the people of South Carolina.

Sincerely,

Jay West

Subcommittee Chair

Jag West

cc: Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee



## South Carolina Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

HENRY McMASTER
Governor

SARA GOLDSBY
Director

December 4, 2019

Via E-mail
The Honorable Jay West, Chair
Healthcare and Regulatory Subcommittee
Legislative Oversight Committee
South Carolina House of Representatives
Post Office Box 11867
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE: Follow-up questions from the Subcommittee meeting with the agency on November 12, 2019

Dear Representative West:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide information on the Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services' prevention activities during our meeting on November 12, 2019. As requested in your letter dated November 15, 2019, I am pleased to provide the following information:

#### **Prevention and Intervention**

1. The percent change in substance use rates in 2017 are significantly less than 2016. Please provide additional information regarding what may have impacted substance use rates during that period. (Reference slide 44 from the agency's presentation on November 12, 2019).

These rates are based on pre-tests and post-tests of students participating in evidence-based prevention curricula in school and community settings. According to our evaluator, Alan Stein-Seroussi, Ph.D., with the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE), in both 2016 and 2017 middle school students tended to have higher rates of change than high school students. However, there were many more high school students in 2017 (1,588 vs. 891 middle school students), which drove down the overall rates of change. In 2016, the number of middle-schoolers (1,440) was over six times the number of high-schoolers (230), which can account for the drastically higher rates of change in that year.

2. Between 2016 and 2017, there was a significant percentage drop in the four alcohol product categories tracked through compliance checks. To what does the agency attribute this change?

According to Dr. Stein-Seroussi, although the total number of alcohol compliance checks was down in 2017, it followed three straight years of high numbers of checks. So, Dr. Stein-Seroussi's hypothesis is that the type of alcohol purchased



during compliance checks dropped across all four categories because merchants in 2017 were more vigilant due to their awareness of the high level of compliance checks in 2014, 2015 and 2016.

In addition, Michael George, Ph.D., another member of our PIRE evaluation team, noted that more Alcohol Enforcement Team (AET) training has contributed to a deeper understanding of the positive relationship between media efforts (traditional and social) and enforcement. As a result, more AETs began to use social media to advertise that compliance checks were being conducted in 2017, leading to greater awareness among merchants.

3. What percentage of the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant is allocated for primary prevention services?

DAODAS allocates 20% of the Block Grant for primary prevention services as required by the federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

4. <u>Do local alcohol and drug abuse authorities, servicing a multi-county area, experience an increased rate of substance abuse verses counties with a single provider?</u>

According to Sazid Khan, Ph.D., DAODAS epidemiologist, while there may be some substances that are used/misused at a higher frequency in areas served by multi-county agencies than single-county agencies — and vice versa — there does not seem to be a clear-cut association between these rates and the coverage size of a county alcohol and drug abuse authority. Instead, there are many other factors that must be taken into account (type of population in an area, socioeconomic variables, local coalition involvement, etc.).

5. How many vacant certified prevention specialist and certified senior prevention specialist positions are there in the state? Please provide additional information regarding compensation and how it compares to North Carolina and Georgia.

Within the 26 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities that have responded to our request for information, there are 9 vacant prevention positions. However, we received several comments that the number of funded positions is not equal to the task of providing prevention services in their coverage areas.

According to data from the DAODAS Finance & Operations Division, the average salary of a prevention professional employed by a county authority in Fiscal Year 2020 is \$42,416. While we have not received the requested information from Georgia, according to the N.C. Division of Mental Health / Developmental Disabilities / Substance Abuse Services, an equivalent position funded by that agency has an average salary of \$52,604.

## **Government and Partner Organizations**

6. What state agencies and partner organizations comprise the State Epidemiological Outcomes Workgroup?

According to DAODAS epidemiologist Dr. Khan, who serves as chairman of the SEOW, the following agencies and organizations are members of the workgroup:

| DAODAS   | S.C. Revenue and Fiscal Affairs Office                              |
|--|---|
| S.C. Department of Corrections                         | Children's Trust of South Carolina                                  |
| S.C. Department of Health and<br>Environmental Control | Fact Forward (formerly the S.C. Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy) |
| S.C. Department of Health and<br>Human Services        | Mothers Against Drunk Driving<br>South Carolina                     |
| S.C. Department of Juvenile Justice                    | Pacific Institute for Research and<br>Evaluation                    |
| S.C. Department of Mental Health                       | SC First Steps  |
| S.C. Department of Public Safety                       | S.C. Hospital Association   |
| S.C. Department of Social Services                     | Smokefree SC  |

7. Please provide a list of "red flags" alcohol and tobacco merchants can look for to identify fake identification cards. Please note: If you have any concern that providing this information, which will be posted on the Committee's webpage, will negatively affect efforts to combat the usage of fake identification cards, please do not provide it. Instead, please provide contact information for merchants who wish to learn more about this topic.

See the accompanying PDF titled *How to FLAG Fake IDs*.

8. <u>Is the annual statewide outcomes report, prepared by the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation, posted online or otherwise made available to the public?</u>

Yes. It is posted on the DAODAS website at <a href="https://www.daodas.sc.gov/prevention/statistics-and-outcomes/">https://www.daodas.sc.gov/prevention/statistics-and-outcomes/</a>

9. How many merchants in each county have completed the Palmetto Retailers Education Program? How many merchants would qualify for this program? Please provide estimates if exact numbers are not known.

First, it is important to note that *merchants* (i.e., businesses) do not complete the Palmetto Retailers Education Program (PREP). Instead, *individual employees* of merchants/businesses complete the PREP training. The accompanying Excel file (titled "PREP data (002)") contains the number of individual PREP completions (20,735) – broken down by county – from the program's inception in 2006 through June 30, 2019. Since completion of PREP results in a two-year certification, a number of the individual completions in the accompanying Excel file may be the same person who has taken the PREP training more than once to renew his/her certification.

We can only estimate the total number of merchants whose employees would benefit from completing PREP. While data from the S.C. Department of Revenue provides the number of entities licensed to sell alcoholic beverages (over 9,000), we have to rely on DAODAS' survey of tobacco outlets to ascertain the number of tobacco retailers (7,055 in fiscal year 2018), because South Carolina does not require licensure of such retailers. Of course, many businesses that sell tobacco products also sell alcoholic beverages, so there will be substantial overlap between these two types of merchants. **NOTE:** The approximate number of alcoholic beverage licenses provided above reflects the types of licenses granted to businesses most likely to have employees who need PREP training (non-profit

private clubs that sell liquor by the drink, off- and on-premise beer and wine outlets, retail liquor stores, and sports venues).

#### **Schools and School Districts**

10. <u>Please provide a list of school districts that have not availed themselves at the opportunity to incorporate classroom-based educational programming designed to help mitigate substance use.</u>

Based on the responses we have received from the county alcohol and drug abuse authorities, the following 18 school districts are not working with their local agencies to incorporate classroom-based programming:

| Aiken County Public Schools        | The School District of Greenville County |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Bamberg School District 1          | Greenwood County School District 52      |
| Bamberg School District 2          | Laurens County School District No. 55    |
| Barnwell School District 45        | Laurens County School District 56        |
| Calhoun County Public Schools      | School District of Newberry County       |
| Clarendon School District 2        | School District of Pickens County        |
| Clarendon County School District 3 | Saluda County Schools                    |
| Edgefield County School District   | Spartanburg School District 4            |
| Georgetown County School District  | Union County Schools                     |

To date, we have not received responses from the local authorities serving the following counties: Allendale/Hampton/Jasper, Anderson/Oconee, Charleston, Chester, Chesterfield/Kershaw/Lee, Dorchester, Horry, Lexington/Richland, Sumter, and York.

11. <u>Please provide a list of school districts opting to not participate in the Communities That Care Youth Survey.</u>

The following 41 school districts have opted not to participate in the Communities That Care Youth Survey:

| Aiken County Public Schools         | Lancaster County School District                     |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Allendale County Schools            | Laurens County School District 56                    |
| Anderson School District 2          | Lee County School District                           |
| Anderson School District 3          | Lexington County School District 1                   |
| Anderson County School District 4   | Lexington County School District 2                   |
| Anderson School District 5          | Lexington County School District 3                   |
| Berkeley County School District     | School District 5 of Lexington and Richland Counties |
| Charleston County School District   | School District of Oconee County                     |
| Chesterfield County School District | Richland County School District 1                    |
| Clarendon County School District 3  | Richland School District 2                           |
| Colleton County School District     | Spartanburg School District 1                        |
| Dillon School District 3            | Spartanburg School District 3                        |
| Dorchester School District 2        | Spartanburg School District 4                        |
| Dorchester School District 4        | Spartanburg School District 5                        |

| Florence County School District 3             | Spartanburg County School District 6       |
|---|--|
| Florence County School District 4             | Union County Schools                       |
| Florence County School District 5             | York School District 1                     |
| The School District of Greenville County      | Clover (York) School District              |
| Ware Shoals (Greenwood) School<br>District 51 | Rock Hill School District 3 of York County |
| Greenwood County School District 52           | Fort Mill (York) School District           |
| Hampton County School District 1              |  |

It is worth noting that some of these school districts have opted to conduct surveys of their own that collect data on alcohol and other drug use/misuse.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about the information provided with this letter, and I look forward to our next meeting on December 10.

Sincerely,

Sara Goldsby Director

cc: DAODAS Executive Management



F-L-A-G makes checking IDs fast and easy!

F-L-A-G stands for Feel, Look, Ask, and Give back



- 1) Have person remove the ID from their wallet or plastic holder.
- 2) Feel for cut-out or pasted-on information (especially near the photo and birthdate areas).
- 3) Feel the texture for any raised areas.





- 1) Look for the South Carolina state seal.
- 2) Look at the photograph and make sure the person's features don't change (especially the NOSE or EARS).
- 3) Look at **height** and **weight** of the customer to see if this is reasonable.
- 4) Look at the date of birth and do the math!
- 5) Compare the age on the ID with the person's apparent age.





- 1) Ask questions, like their middle name, year of high school graduation, the month they were born (if they respond with a number, it is probably because they are lying).
- 3) If the person has a companion, ask the companion to quickly tell you the person's name.
- 4) Ask the person to sign their name and compare the signatures.



- 1) If the ID look genuine, give it back to the customer and make the sale.
- 2) If the ID is phony or altered, you must return the ID.







## DAODAS Attachment 2: Number of Individual PREP Completions

| County          | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | COUNTY |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Abbeville       | 11      | 4       | 46      | 1       | 0       | 3       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 66     |
| Aiken           | 0       | 32      | 24      | 28      | 14      | 40      | 20      | 47      | 61      | 11      | 37      | 14      | 0       | 328    |
| Allendale       | 0       | 9       | 22      | 26      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 8       | 0       | 65     |
| Anderson        | 0       | 69      | 28      | 17      | 9       | 35      | 31      | 17      | 23      | 48      | 19      | 26      | 7       | 329    |
| Bamberg         | 6       | 71      | 13      | 2       | 27      | 25      | 17      | 41      | 21      | 17      | 31      | 21      | 12      | 304    |
| Barnwell        | 42      | 36      | 34      | 18      | 36      | 45      | 16      | 30      | 11      | 24      | 16      | 5       | 5       | 318    |
| Beaufort        | 26      | 87      | 107     | 52      | 65      | 47      | 45      | 219     | 312     | 129     | 281     | 166     | 270     | 1,806  |
| Berekely        | 27      | 16      | 39      | 71      | 21      | 38      | 47      | 47      | 44      | 47      | 24      | 39      | 14      | 474    |
| Calhoun         | 0       | 13      | 12      | 21      | 21      | 16      | 15      | 8       | 15      | 10      | 13      | 6       | 6       | 156    |
| Charleston      | 96      | 141     | 84      | 242     | 77      | 142     | 54      | 53      | 140     | 136     | 90      | 16      | 0       | 1,271  |
| Cherokee        | 0       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 30      | 5       | 0       | 4       | 0       | 7       | 2       | 5       | 6       | 80     |
| Chester         | 10      | 49      | 37      | 9       | 6       | 0       | 0       | 2       | 1       | 8       | 3       | 3       | 6       | 134    |
| Chesterfield    | 0       | 5       | 25      | 32      | 0       | 16      | 10      | 13      | 16      | 22      | 3       | 3       | 2       | 147    |
| Clarendon       | 13      | 16      | 3       | 1       | 11      | 4       | 15      | 8       | 14      | 10      | 5       | 2       | 11      | 113    |
| Colleton        | 164     | 143     | 68      | 29      | 10      | 14      | 63      | 33      | 58      | 34      | 38      | 2       | 9       | 665    |
| Darlington      | 0       | 25      | 9       | 14      | 7       | 7       | 19      | 29      | 16      | 29      | 16      | 14      | 0       | 185    |
| Dillon          | 0       | 0       | 4       | 33      | 24      | 15      | 20      | 23      | 24      | 10      | 5       | 12      | 3       | 173    |
| Dorchester      | 10      | 76      | 19      | 73      | 35      | 12      | 14      | 11      | 10      | 1       | 0       | 54      | 0       | 315    |
| Edgefield       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 8       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 2       | 3       | 0       | 13     |
| Fairfield       | 2       | 7       | 5       | 21      | 5       | 3       | 4       | 2       | 7       | 1       | 2       | 0       | 0       | 59     |
| Florence        | 50      | 83      | 159     | 102     | 63      | 45      | 54      | 44      | 53      | 62      | 33      | 23      | 2       | 773    |
| Georgetown      | 9       | 7       | 15      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 2       | 2       | 0       | 4       | 2       | 2       | 4       | 47     |
| Greenville      | 28      | 93      | 96      | 126     | 200     | 368     | 235     | 291     | 292     | 271     | 196     | 185     | 92      | 2,473  |
| Greenwood       | 52      | 13      | 17      | 15      | 0       | 3       | 16      | 7       | 5       | 8       | 12      | 0       | 0       | 148    |
| Hampton         | 0       | 39      | 12      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 7       | 58     |
| Horry           | 4       | 67      | 179     | 59      | 110     | 161     | 57      | 61      | 177     | 110     | 58      | 92      | 93      | 1,228  |
| Jasper          | 0       | 13      | 13      | 2       | 0       | 6       | 2       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 49      | 35      | 47      | 167    |
| Kershaw         | 13      | 35      | 56      | 32      | 36      | 14      | 11      | 57      | 67      | 62      | 32      | 30      | 8       | 453    |
| Lancaster       | 0       | 31      | 4       | 8       | 0       | 19      | 7       | 0       | 0       | 7       | 8       | 0       | 0       | 84     |
| Laurens         | 0       | 10      | 20      | 0       | 2       | 9       | 5       | 9       | 10      | 14      | 0       | 9       | 2       | 90     |
| Lee             | 0       | 10      | 23      | 6       | 7       | 6       | 9       | 0       | 2       | 0       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 64     |
| Lexington       | 37      | 51      | 52      | 113     | 58      | 49      | 32      | 100     | 130     | 86      | 21      | 25      | 43      | 797    |
| Marion          | 0       | 53      | 3       | 10      | 10      | 4       | 8       | 6       | 5       | 3       | 1       | 0       | 1       | 104    |
| Marlboro        | 0       | 1       | 18      | 4       | 0       | 1       | 6       | 4       | 16      | 15      | 8       | 0       | 8       | 81     |
| McCormick       | 2       | 0       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 17      | 4       | 3       | 4       | 32     |
| Newberry        | 12      | 14      | 15      | 15      | 1       | 6       | 6       | 21      | 9       | 2       | 18      | 27      | 15      | 161    |
| Oconee          | 12      | 78      | 75      | 10      | 12      | 0       | 1       | 4       | 14      | 6       | 4       | 7       | 0       | 223    |
| Orangeburg      | 38      | 303     | 58      | 55      | 64      | 32      | 56      | 68      | 72      | 53      | 62      | 21      | 76      | 958    |
| Pickens         | 0       | 31      | 49      | 24      | 53      | 38      | 26      | 33      | 12      | 36      | 66      | 22      | 4       | 394    |
| Richland        | 114     | 64      | 26      | 85      | 37      | 142     | 106     | 131     | 190     | 140     | 200     | 77      | 147     | 1,459  |
| Saluda          | 4       | 11      | 6       | 7       | 0       | 4       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 3       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 35     |
| Spartanburg     | 128     | 368     | 257     | 130     | 0       | 160     | 82      | 143     | 71      | 53      | 78      | 102     | 60      | 1,632  |
| Sumter          | 0       | 11      | 38      | 35      | 7       | 18      | 34      | 39      | 15      | 47      | 16      | 16      | 9       | 285    |
| Union           | 10      | 14      | 1       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 3       | 1       | 0       | 7       | 3       | 2       | 41     |
| Williamsburg    | 0       | 3       | 2       | 2       | 0       | 3       | 1       | 5       | 0       | 0       | 1       | 0       | 0       | 17     |
| York            | 38      | 330     | 137     | 132     | 171     | 117     | 104     | 63      | 263     | 111     | 176     | 177     | 111     | 1,930  |
| ANNUAL<br>TOTAL | 958     | 2,538   | 1,917   | 1,671   | 1,229   | 1,673   | 1,258   | 1,678   | 2,177   | 1,654   | 1,639   | 1,257   | 1,086   |        |

# PREVENTION & INTERVENTION DELIVERABLES

DAODAS has identified the following deliverables to be presented as part of its Prevention & Intervention Services Division. The details on the following pages were provided in the agency's Program Evaluation Report.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable   |                    |  |
|---|--------------------|--|
| Item number   |                    | 5  |
| Associated laws   |                    | S.C. Code Ann. Section 17-22-510(D), 44-49-40(A), (C)(1), (C)(5), (C)(6)   |
|   |                    |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?  |                    | Yes  |
| Deliverable description   |                    | 1) Consultation with the S.C. Commission on Prosecution Coordination before the Commission approves the administrative procedures for the state's alcohol education programs. 2) Arrangements for the exchange of information between government officials concerning use and abuse of controlled substances, and action based on  |
|   |                    | results, information, and evidence regarding controlled substances that are received from the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control.   |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)   |                    | Prevention   |
| esults Sought   |                    |  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?  |                    | Yes  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome  |                    | Approval of administrative procedures.   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable?  |                    |  |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance  |                    | No Associated Performance Measure  |
| Measures Chart, if any  |                    | The second constitution of the second constituti |
|   |                    |  |
| ustomer Details   |                    |  |
| Customer description  |                    | State agency   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?   | 2017-18            | No No  |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year   |                    | 0  |
| 222es serred in ost competed fiscal year  |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY   |                    | 0  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY  | 2018-19            | 0.00%  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to  |                    | 0  |
| the agency Inits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers  |                    |  |
| Description of a single deliverable unit  |                    | One consultation session   |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
| Number of units provided  |                    | 0  |
|   | 2016-17            | 0  |
| Development like the control of the | 2015-16            | U .  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?  | , provide law      | No No  |
| ıı ye:  | 2016-17            | No No  |
| If ve:  | , provide law      |  |
| ,   | 2015-16            | No   |
| If ye   | , provide law      |  |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16            | 50.00  |
| Costs   |                    |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)  |                    |  |
|   | 2017-18            | 0.00   |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16 | 0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee  | 2015-16            | 1000   |
| salary/fringe)  |                    |  |
|   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00   |
| $Total\ deliverable\ expenditures\ as\ a\ percentage\ of\ total\ agency\ expenditures$  |                    |  |
|   | 2017-18            | Agency does not track the total expense of providing the deliverable.  |
|   | 2016-17            | Agency does not track the total expense of providing the deliverable.  |
|   | 2015-16            | Agency does not track the total expense of providing the deliverable.  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable   | 2017 10            | ra co  |
|   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00   |
| mount generated from providing deliverable  | 2013-10            |  |
| Total collected from charging customers   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)   | 2016-17            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources   | 2017-18            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17            | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00   |
| Agency Comments   |                    |  |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)  |                    | See additional agency comments on next page.   |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |
|   |                    |  |

## **Additional Comments about Deliverables**

Agency

Accurate as of

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

# July 2, 2019 Deliverable Number: Alcohol Education Program (AEP) administrative oversight was enacted in 2007. At the time, administrative procedures for the curriculum were shared with the Commission on Prosecution Coordination. No updates to the procedures have been provided to the Commission since that time. AEPs are provided by solicitor's offices and county alcohol and drug abuse authorities. In FY18, 334 youth were served by AEPs offered by the state's county alcohol and drug abuse authorities. The enabling legislation needs updating to accurately reflect the actual activities provided by the agency as the single state authority for the delivery of substance use disorder services (prevention, intervention, treatment, and recovery) to the citizens of South Carolina.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Item number   |                        | 18   |
|---|------------------------|--|
| Associated laws   |                        | S.C. Code Ann. Sections 44-49-40(C)(1), (C)(5), (C)(6), (C)(8), (9), (10), (E)   |
|   |                        |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?  |                        | Yes  |
| Deliverable description   |                        | 1) Encouragement of research on misuse and abuse of controlled substances. 2) Cooperation in establishing methods to accurately assess the effects of controlled substances and to identify and characterize controlled substances with potential for abuse. 3) Cooperation in making studies and undertaking programs of research (a) develop new or improved approaches, techniques, systems, equipment, and devices for strengthening the enforcement of Sections 44-49-10, 44-49-40, 44-49-50 and Article 3 of Chapter 53, and (b) determine patterns of misuse and abuse of controlled substances and the resulting social effects. 4) Contracts with public agenc institutions of higher education, and private organizations or individuals for the purpose of conducting research, demonstrations, or special projects that bear directly misuse and abuse of controlled substances. 5) Contracts for educational and research activities without performance bonds.   |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)   |                        | Prevention   |
| esults Sought   |                        |  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?  |                        | Yes  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome agency seeks by providing the deliverable? |                        | Improve the State's understanding of the paths for misuse and abuse of controlled substances and their effects on users and society.   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance<br>Measures Chart, if any                            |                        | No Associated Performance Measure  |
| ustomer Details   |                        |  |
| Customer description  |                        |  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?   | 2017-18                | No   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year   | 2017-18                | Unknown  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY   | 2017-18                | Unknown  |
|   | 2018-19                | Unknown  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to  |                        | Unknown  |
| the agency nits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers   |                        |  |
| Description of a single deliverable unit  |                        | Research on substance misuse and abuse   |
| bescription of a single deliverable unit  |                        | N.S.CHICH OF SUBSEMINE HIS BUSINESS.   |
| Number of units provided  | 2017-18                | Unknown  |
|   | 2016-17                | Unknown  |
|   | 2015-16                | Unknown  |
|   | 2017-18                | No   |
|   | provide law            |  |
|   | 2016-17                | No .   |
|   | provide law<br>2015-16 | No No  |
|   | provide law            | TO TO THE PARTY OF |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                | \$0.00   |
| osts  |                        |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)  | 2017-18                | 0.00   |
|   | 2017-18                | 0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                | 0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee  |                        |  |
| salary/fringe)  |                        |  |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16     | \$0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures   | 2013-10                | 30.00  |
|   | 2017-18                | 0.00%  |
|   | 2016-17                | 0.00%  |
|   | 2015-16                | 0.00%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable   |                        |  |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17                | \$0.00   |
| nount generated from providing deliverable  | 2015-16                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17                | 50.00  |
|   | 2015-16                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2017-18                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2016-17                | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                | 30.00  |
| ency Comments   |                        |  |

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable   | 1                  |   |
|---|--------------------|---|
| Item number   | <u>.</u>           | 23  |
| Associated laws   |                    | Federal Discretionary Grant, S.C. Code Ann. Section 44-49-40(F)   |
|   |                    |   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?                |                    | No No   |
| Deliverable description   |                    | Provision of training and technical assistance to selected county coalitions through a five-year Partnership for Success grant to build the coalitions' capacity to conduct   |
|   |                    | comprehensive needs assessments; to select evidence-based strategies to reduce impaired driving or the misuse/abuse of prescription drugs by youth/young adults ages 12-25; and to evaluate the outcome of the selected strategies' implementation. |
|   |                    | ages 12-25, and to evaluate the outcome of the selected strategies implementation.  |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)                                       |                    | Prevention  |
| Results Sought  |                    | rievenion   |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?                        |                    | No No   |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome      |                    | Reduction in alcohol-related crashes in funded counties and reduction in past-30-day use of non-prescribed prescription drugs by youth in funded counties   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable?                                      |                    | 9-1,  |
|   |                    |   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance                |                    | Reduce Underage Car Crashes (3)   |
| Measures Chart, if any  |                    |   |
| Customer Details  |                    |   |
| Customer description  |                    | DAO DAS-funded county coalition   |
| •   |                    |   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?                                 |                    | No  |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year                                   | 2017-18            | Barnwell; Berkeley; Chester; Darlington; Dorchester; Greenville; Horry; Jasper; Marlboro; Orangeburg  |
|   |                    |   |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY                                 | 2017-19            | 10  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY                  |                    | 10<br>Unknown   |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to      |                    | Unknown   |
| the agency  |                    | VINDOW!   |
| Jnits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers                                 |                    |   |
| Description of a single deliverable unit  |                    | 1) Contract with county coalitions. Total contract amounts were based on the population of the county and ranged from \$90,000 to \$120,000. 2) Contract for program  |
|   |                    | evaluation with Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation. 3) Regional contract (total of four) for training and technical assistance with Greenville, Lexington, Florence,   |
|   |                    | and Berkeley counties.  |
| Number of units provided  |                    | 15  |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16 | 15<br>15  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?                    |                    | No No   |
|   | s, provide law     | INO   |
| ıı ye   | 2016-17            | No No   |
| If ve   | s, provide law     |   |
| . ,   | 2015-16            | No  |
| If ye   | s, provide law     |   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit                                 |                    | \$0.00  |
|   | 2016-17            | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00  |
| Costs   |                    |   |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)                  | _                  | •   |
|   | 2017-18            | 1.50  |
|   | 2016-17            | 1.50  |
|   | 2015-16            | 1.50  |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee              |                    |   |
| salary/fringe)  |                    | \$1,025,107,70  |
|   | 2017-18<br>2016-17 | \$1,835,197.28 \$1,649,918.84   |
|   |                    |   |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures     | 2015-16            | \$689,068.79  |
| rotal deliverable experionales as a percentage of total agency expenditures     | 2017-18            | 3.95%   |
|   | 2017-18            | 3.55%   |
|   | 2015-17            | 1.55%   |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable                                 |                    |   |
| rigeries experimentes per unit of the deliverable                               | 2017-18            | \$122,346.49  |
|   | 2017-18            | \$109,994.59  |
|   | 2015-16            | \$45,937.92   |
| Amount generated from providing deliverable                                     | 1                  | <u> </u>  |
| Total collected from charging customers   | 2017-18            | \$0.00  |
| 0 0 1-1-1-1   | 2016-17            | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16            | \$0.00  |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable |                    | \$1,835,197.28  |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)             |                    | \$1,649,918.84  |
|   | 2015-16            | \$689,068.79  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources                   | 2017-18            | \$1,835,197.28  |
|   | 2016-17            | \$1,649,918.84  |
|   | 2015-16            | \$689,068.79  |
| Agency Comments   |                    |   |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)                                      |                    | See additional agency comments on next page.  |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |
|   |                    |   |

## **Additional Comments about Deliverables**

#### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

#### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

#### Deliverable Number:

23

DAODAS is required to report quarterly to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) on implementation of the grant and the services being implemented through the 10 county coalitions. National cross-site evaluation data is also required as part of the quarterly reports to SAMHSA. A final evaluation report is due once the five-year grant concludes in September 2020.

Per the federal guidelines from the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP), 85% of the Partnership for Success funding was distributed to the local entities for prevention program implementation of evidence-based strategies to achieve the following outcomes: a reduction in alcohol-related fatalities in the five counties and a reduction in past-30-day use of non-prescribed prescription drugs by youth in the other five counties. 15% of the award can be utilized by the state to provide administration of the grant (through DAODAS personnel). A portion of the administrative costs also included contracts with entities to provide evaluation services at the state and local levels and to entities that assisted the state in the provision of training and technical assistance to the county coalitions. During the first year of the grant (2015-2016), counties received the award and completed the following: built a coalition, conducted a needs assessment, and used the assessment to develop a plan for strategy implementation and evaluation. There were no direct service-implementation activities recorded for that fiscal year. In 2016-2017, the funded counties served 4,233,627 South Carolinians, and in 2017-2018 the counties served 9,293,903 citizens. The numbers are high based on the implementation of media campaigns as a component of implementing high-visibility enforcement strategies such as public safety checkpoints and saturation patrols and implementing environmental strategies to reduce access to unused prescription drugs and to educate youth and adults in the community about the dangers of taking prescription drugs improperly. Strategies being implemented to reduce access are take-back events with law enforcement, providing Deterra bags to community members for safe disposal, and installing more permanent "drop box" receptacles throughout the counties. Outcomes related to the grant thus far: From 2015-2017, the rate of crashes that were alcohol-related decreased across the three years and -- in the first full year of strategy implementation in the five counties -- showed a substantial decrease. Percent of traffic crashes that were alcohol-related went from 5.5 % in 2015 to 5.4% in 2016, down to 4.6% in 2017 in the five selected counties as compared to the state levels, which were 4.7% in 2015, 4.4% in 2016, and 4.1% in 2017. The measure relating to past-30-day use will not be available until the final survey period, which will occur next spring (March 2020). In 2017-2018, the number of trainings/technical assistance events went up significantly due to the fact that the state received permission from CSAP to allocate underruns from the first two years of the grant to provide funding for 17 additional counties (Allendale, Barnwell, Charleston, Chester, Chesterfield, Clarendon, Edgefield, Fairfield, Florence, Greenwood, Hampton, Jasper, Kershaw, Laurens, Lee, McCormick, Newberry, Pickens, Saluda, Spartanburg, Union, Williamsburg) to increase the awareness of and number of permanent drop boxes across the state.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable<br>Item num  | her   | 24   |
|--|---|--|
| Associated la  |   | Federal Discretionary Grant, S.C. Code Ann. Sections 44-49-40 (C)(7), (F)  |
|  |   |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverab  |   | No   |
| Deliverable descript   | ion   | Reduction in the number of prescription drug/opioid overdose-related deaths and adverse events among individuals 18 years of age and older by training first respond and other key community sectors on the prevention of these overdose-related deaths and by implementing secondary prevention strategies, including the purchase are  |
|  |   | distribution of naloxone to first responders, through the five-year Prevent Prescription Drug/Opioid Overdose-Related Deaths (PDO) grant awarded to DAODAS by the  |
|  |   | federal Center for Substance Abuse Prevention.   |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
|  |   |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (prima   | irv)  | Prevention   |
| esults Sought  |   |  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpo  | se?   | No No  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outco   | me  | Increase the number of first responders (law enforcement and fire fighters), patients, caregivers, and community members trained to carry and administer naloxone  |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverab  | le?   | across the state.  |
| A contract of the state of the  |   |  |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performa<br>Measures Chart, if  |   | Increase the Number of First Responders Trained in Opioid Reversal Protocols using Narcan (23), Increase the Number of Narcan Administrations by Trained First Responders (24)   |
| measures energy in   | ,   | Temporation (L. 1)   |
| ustomer Details  |   |  |
| Customer descript  | ion   | S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control; county alcohol and drug abuse authority   |
| Describe 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1   |   | N.   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction   |   | No all   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal y   | 2d1 ZU17-18   | All  |
|  |   |  |
| Number of customers served in last completed   | FY 2017-18  | 33   |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current  | FY 2018-19  | Unknown  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available  |   | Unknown  |
| the age  | тсу   |  |
| nits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers   |   | No. 1 No. 2 Annual Control of the Co |
| Description of a single deliverable  | init  | 1) Contract with S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) Bureau of EMS to provide training to first responders. 2) Contract with DHEC Bureau of Statistics to provide evaluation of the project as required by the funder.  |
|  |   | Substitute of provide evaluation of the project as required by the future.   |
| Number of units provide  | ied 2017-18   | 2  |
|  | 2016-17   | 2  |
|  | 2015-16   | 0  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverab  | le? 2017-18   | No   |
|  | f yes, provide lav  |  |
|  | 2016-17   | No   |
|  | f yes, provide lav  |  |
|  | 2015-16   | No   |
|  | f yes, provide lav  | \$0.00   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable   | unit 2017-18<br>2016-17   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16   | \$0.00   |
| osts   |   | your Comments of the Comments  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week un   | its)  |  |
|  | 2017-18   | 1.10   |
|  | 2016-17   | 1.00   |
|  | 2015-16   | 0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and emplo  |   |  |
| salary/frin  |   | ATTRA ASS AGO  |
|  | 2017-18   | \$772,151.39   |
|  | 2016-17   | \$198,938.19   |
| Total deliverable evpenditures as a percentage of total account of   | 2015-16   | \$0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditu   | res<br>2017-18  | 1.66%  |
|  | 2017-18   | 0.43%  |
|  | 2015-16   | 0.00%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the delivera   |   |  |
|  | 2017-18   | \$386,075.70   |
|  | 2016-17   | \$99,469.10  |
|  | 2015-16   | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.  |
| and the second s |   |  |
| mount generated from providing deliverable   | 2017.10   | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from providing deliverable  Total collected from charging custom   | ers 2017-18   |  |
|  | 2016-17   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17<br>2015-16  | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging custom total collected from charging custom otal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the delivera  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18   | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39   |
| Total collected from charging custom   | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>ole) 2016-17   | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19   |
| Total collected from charging custom  otal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliveral  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral   | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>ole) 2016-17<br>2015-16                                      | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging custom<br>Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the delivera   | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>ole) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18                       | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Fotal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliveral  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>ole) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17            | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19   |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverar<br>(federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral<br>Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>ole) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18                       | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliveral  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>cle) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliveral  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>cle) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the delivera  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour   | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>cle) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Fotal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliveral  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour  | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>cle) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging custom  Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the delivera  (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliveral  Total collected from charging customers and non-state sour   | 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ble 2017-18<br>cle) 2016-17<br>2015-16<br>ces 2017-18<br>2016-17<br>2015-16 | \$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00<br>\$772,151.39<br>\$198,938.19<br>\$0.00   |

## **Additional Comments about Deliverables**

#### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

#### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

#### Deliverable Number:

24

The Prevent Prescription Drug/Opioid Overdose-Related Deaths (PDO) initiative is a five-year grant awarded to DAODAS by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP). The purpose of the grant is to reduce the number of opioid-related overdose deaths and adverse events among individuals 18 years of age and older through the use of Opioid Overdose Prevention Toolkit developed by the federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). The program aims to educate key community sectors and implement secondary prevention strategies, such as the distribution of naloxone.

There was no data for 2015-2016, as the grant did not start until September 1, 2016. The first several months were spent hiring staff, issuing a contract to the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC), and developing/submitting required documents to CSAP for the approval of a naloxone distribution plan, needs assessment, and health disparities statement. The naloxone purchased with funds from the grant are distributed to two distinct populations in slightly varying manners. The first population is first responders (law enforcement and fire fighters). This population is trained by DHEC Emergency Medical Services staff and provided naloxone to administer, if needed, according to state law. The second population is uninsured patients and caregivers of individuals who seek treatment for opioid use disorder at the county authorities. This population is trained to administer naloxone, if needed, according to state law. For distribution to uninsured patients and caregivers, naloxone is purchased directly from Adapt Pharma by DAODAS. The product is then shipped to four of DHEC's regional dispensing pharmacies, where it is briefly stored before being shipped to the county authorities to give to patients and caregivers who have completed training. DAODAS staff also provide the training and technical assistance needed to equip county authority employees with the support needed to conduct the training. Prior to receiving this grant, a pilot program was in place (since ended) to train officers from 16 law enforcement agencies. The pilot program initially received naloxone product donations from Kaleo Pharma and Adapt Pharma through the request of the Fifth Circuit Solicitor's Office. The pilot program began in the Fifth Circuit and trained officers in three law enforcement agencies as pilot programs in the Columbia area. Once the initial training was developed and provided to law enforcement agencies in the Columbia area, the project began to expand. As of October 15, 2016, when the initial supply of naloxone was exhausted, the pilot program had trained 759 officers from 16 law enforcement agencies, equipping 508 officers with naloxone kits. In 2016-2017, 4,153 first responders and patients/caregivers were trained and provided with naloxone. In 2017-2018, 3,299 first responders and patient/caregivers were trained.

DAODAS is required to report bi-annually to SAMHSA on the grant implementation, to include: the number of people trained to carry/administer naloxone, the number of naloxone kits purchased through grant funds, the number and location of kits distributed through the grant, the number of administrations, and the number of overdose reversals. National cross-site evaluation data is also required as part of the bi-annual reports to SAMHSA. A final evaluation report is due at the conclusion of the grant in 2020.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable  |                |  |
|--|----------------|--|
| Item number  | l              | 25   |
| Associated laws  |                | Federal Discretionary Grant  |
|  |                |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?   |                | No .   |
| Deliverable description  |                | Collaboration with Charleston Center (the county alcohol and drug abuse authority) and Joint Base Charleston to implement information dissemination activities, alternative events, and increased environmental strategies as part of the federally funded Project Safety Through Alcohol Responsibility (Project STAR).   |
|  |                | ancember 2 veries, and interested chinicimitental strategies as part of the reactions indicated interest in the period in responsibility (indicated interest in the period in responsibility (indicated in the period in the perio |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)  |                | Prevention   |
| esults Sought  |                |  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?   |                | No   |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome   |                | Decrease alcohol-related harm and access to alcohol for underage military personnel at Joint Base Charleston   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable?   |                |  |
| A colored to the colo |                |  |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance<br>Measures Chart, if any   |                | Reduce Underage Alcohol Use (1), Reduce Underage Car Crashes (3)   |
| ivieasures chart, if any   |                |  |
| ustomer Details  |                |  |
| Customer description   |                | Charleston Center; Ernest E. Kennedy Center; Joint Base Charleston   |
|  |                |  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?  |                | No .   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year  | 2017-18        | Berkeley; Charleston   |
|  |                |  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY  | 2017-18        | 3  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY   | 2018-19        | Unknown  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to   |                | Unknown  |
| the agency   | Ì              |  |
| Inits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers  |                |  |
| Description of a single deliverable unit   |                | Contracts with Charleston Center, Ernest E. Kennedy Center, and a media firm to implement the strategies required under the grant.   |
|  |                |  |
| Number of units provided   | 2017-18        | 0  |
|  | 2016-17        |  |
|  | 2015-16        | 3  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?   | 2017-18        | No No  |
| If ye  | s, provide law |  |
|  | 2016-17        | No   |
| If ye  | s, provide law |  |
|  | 2015-16        | No   |
| If ye  | s, provide law |  |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit  | 2017-18        | 50.00  |
|  | 2016-17        | 50.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | 50.00  |
| Costs  |                |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)   |                |  |
|  | 2017-18        | 0.00   |
|  | 2016-17        | 0.00   |
| Total delicerable assessed to use such sees (an existence) and assessed  | 2015-16        | 1.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee<br>salary/fringe)   |                |  |
| Saiaty, Hillige)   | 2017-18        | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16        | \$95,181.93  |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures  |                |  |
|  | 2017-18        | 0.00%  |
|  | 2016-17        | 0.00%  |
|  | 2015-16        | 0.21%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable  |                |  |
|  | 2017-18        | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.  |
|  | 2016-17        | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.  |
|  | 2015-16        | \$31,727.31  |
| mount generated from providing deliverable   |                |  |
| Total collected from charging customers  |                | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16        | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable<br>(federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)   | 2017-18        | \$0.00   |
| nederal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)   | 2016-17        | \$0.00   |
| Total callected from short-  | 2015-16        | \$95,181.93  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources  |                | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00   |
| ganay Cammants   | 2015-16        | \$95,181.93  |
| Agency Comments  |                | Con additional general commants on payting an  |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)   |                | See additional agency comments on next page.   |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |
|  |                |  |

## **Additional Comments about Deliverables**

#### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

#### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019



25

Project Safety Through Alcohol Responsibility (Project STAR) was a federal grant received by DAODAS from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) within the U.S. Department of Justice. DAODAS staff worked with Charleston Center (the county alcohol and drug abuse authority) and Joint Base Charleston to decrease alcohol-related harm and access to alcohol for underage military personnel through implementation of information dissemination activities, alternative events, and increased environmental strategies such as policy changes, public safety checkpoints, alcohol compliance checks, etc.

The grant ran from October 1, 2012, through September 30, 2015. Outcomes achieved during the grant period were: 1) 43% reduction in underage DUIs during the grant period; 2) no underage alcohol-related car crashes reported in 2014 and 2015; 3) 45% reduction from 78 DUIs during the baseline period to 42 DUIs during the grant period on Joint Base Charleston. The total number of underage airmen and sailors reached through briefings and awareness events on Joint Base Charleston from January 1, 2015, to September 20, 2015, was 1,176.

DAODAS was required to report bi-annually to the OJJDP on the implementation of the grant and the services provided to Joint Base Charleston through the contractors. National cross-site evaluation data was also required as a part of the reports to the OJJDP. A final evaluation report was produced at the conclusion of the grant.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable  |                |   |
|--|----------------|---|
| Item number  |                | 26  |
| Associated laws  |                | Federal Discretionary Grant   |
|  |                |   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?               |                | No .  |
| Deliverable description  |                | Provision of training and technical assistance to selected county coalitions through a five-year Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant (SPF SIG) to build their capacity to conduct comprehensive needs assessments; to select evidence-based strategies to reduce impaired driving or underage alcohol use; and to evaluate |
|  |                | the outcome of the selected strategies' implementation.   |
|  |                | 9   |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)                                      |                | Prevention  |
| esults Sought  |                | · revenue.  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?                       |                | No No   |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome     |                | Prevent the onset and reduce the progression of substance abuse, including childhood and underage drinking, and reduce the percent of fatal motor vehicle crashes   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable?                                     |                | involving alcohol-impaired drivers.   |
|  |                |   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance               |                | Reduce Underage Alcohol Use (1), Reduce Underage Alcohol Buy Rate (2), Reduce Underage Car Crashes (3)  |
| Measures Chart, if any   |                |   |
| ustomer Details  |                |   |
| Customer description   |                | DAODAS-funded county coalition  |
|  |                |   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?                                |                | No  |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year                                  | 2017-18        | Abbeville; Berkeley; Cherokee; Colleton; Darlington; Edgefield; Greenwood; Horry; Kershaw; Laurens; Lexington; Newberry; Saluda; Williamsburg   |
|  |                |   |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY                                | 2017-10        |   |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY                 |                | Unknown   |
| Vaximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to     | 2020 10        | Unknown   |
| the agency   |                |   |
| nits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers                                 |                |   |
| Description of a single deliverable unit                                       |                | Contract with evaluator to complete the required final federal report.  |
|  |                |   |
| North and Employment day   | 2017.10        |   |
| Number of units provided   | 2017-18        |   |
|  | 2015-17        |   |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?                   |                | No No   |
|  | s, provide law |   |
| ye   | 2016-17        | No No   |
| If ve  | s, provide law |   |
| ·  | 2015-16        | No  |
| If ye  | s, provide law |   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit                                | 2017-18        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | \$0.00  |
| osts   |                |   |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)                 |                |   |
|  | 2017-18        | 0.00  |
|  | 2016-17        | 0.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | 0.05  |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee             |                |   |
| salary/fringe)   | 2017-18        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2017-18        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2015-17        |   |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures    | 2013-10        | \$3,379.13  |
|  | 2017-18        | 0.00%   |
|  | 2017-18        | 0.00%   |
|  | 2015-17        | 0.01%   |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable                                |                |   |
| Agency experiences per unit of the activerable                                 | 2017-18        | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.   |
|  | 2016-17        | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.   |
|  | 2015-16        | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.   |
| nount generated from providing deliverable                                     |                | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   |
| Total collected from charging customers  | 2017-18        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | \$0.00  |
| otal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable |                | \$0.00  |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)            | 2016-17        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | \$3,379.13  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources                  | 2017-18        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2016-17        | \$0.00  |
|  | 2015-16        | \$3,379.13  |
| gency Comments   |                |   |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)                                     |                | See additional agency comments on next page.  |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |
|  |                |   |

### **Additional Comments about Deliverables**

#### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

#### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

#### Deliverable Number:

26

DAODAS was required to report quarterly to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) on the implementation of the grant and the services provided through 18 county coalitions. National cross-site evaluation data was also required as part of the quarterly reports to SAMHSA.

The grant ended in September 2014, and a final evaluation report was produced in May 2015. The report was developed by the Chapel Hill Center of the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) as the final assessment of the South Carolina Strategic Prevention Framework State Incentive Grant (SPF SIG). It provided a summary of major project-related activities at the state and county levels for the period July 2009 through June 30, 2014. The report also provided outcome data on underage drinking and DUI crashes and factors that contributed to those issues in each of the local sites that were funded through this effort. Prevalence rates of both any alcohol use and binge drinking among high school students increased in comparison communities. Both measures decreased in the SPF SIG-funded communities that targeted underage drinking (using the adjusted prevalence rates). The differences between funded and comparison communities in the changes over time for both measures were statistically significant. Furthermore, three additional outcomes related to underage drinking (regular drinking by age 13, disapproval of alcohol use, and parental disapproval of alcohol use) all exhibited changes that were more favorable in the SPF SIG communities and attained at least marginal (p<.10) levels of statistical significance. Purely based on the descriptive data analysis, in which monthly motor vehicle crash data were aggregated into pre- and postintervention phases, no consistent and compelling evidence for SPF SIG effects on DUI crashes emerged from the findings. Although decreases were observed among funded communities in either one or both cohorts for all three outcome measures examined, the decreases were either not statistically significant (as in the case of alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes), or they were significant but not notably greater (and sometimes less) than the decreases experienced in either or both of the comparison groups (as in the case of both alcohol-related motor vehicle crash deaths and single-vehicle nighttime crashes).

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Marine  |                          | 20   |
|---|--------------------------|--|
| Item number   |                          | 28 IS Dublic Law 114 355 S.C. Code App. Sections 44 49 40(5)   |
| Associated laws   |                          | US Public Law 114-255, S.C. Code Ann. Sections 44-49-40(F)   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?  |                          | Yes  |
| Deliverable description   |                          | Enhanced and expanded provision of peer support and other recovery-support services through the State Targeted Response (STR) for Recovery grant. Funding und STR also includes grant administration costs and grant management activities such as, but not limited to, technical assistance, webinars, site visits, or quarterly meet involving county alcohol and drug abuse authorities.  Through this initiative, the recovery community served 53,173 individuals in 2016-2017 and 80,715 individuals in 2017-2018.   |
|   |                          |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)   |                          | Treatment  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?  |                          | No   |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome agency seeks by providing the deliverable? |                          | Increase and enhance referrals from treatment to peer support and other recovery-support services  |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance<br>Measures Chart, if any                            |                          | Increase Coordination With the S.C. Department of Corrections to Enroll Inmates in Opioid Recovery Services (25), Train Peer Support Specialists (26), Increase Reco   |
| stomer Details  |                          |  |
| Customer description  |                          | County alcohol and drug abuse authority or recovery community organization (i.e., Faces and Voices of Recovery- Greenville, Midlands; Courage Center - Lexington) contracted to provide peer support services by DAODAS  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?  Counties served in last completed fiscal year                        |                          | No<br>All  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY   | 2017-18                  | 35   |
|   | 2017-18                  | 0.00%  |
| aximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to   |                          | Unknown  |
| the agency  |                          |  |
| its Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers   |                          | Post and the state of the state |
| Description of a single deliverable unit  |                          | Contract with entity to provide peer support and/or other recovery support services.   |
| Number of units provided  |                          | 60   |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16       |  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?  |                          | No No  |
|   | , provide law            |  |
|   | 2016-17                  | No   |
| If yes,   | , provide law            |  |
|   | 2015-16                  | No .   |
|   | , provide law<br>2017-18 | \$0.00   |
| Amount charges to eastorner per denverable unit   | 2016-17                  | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                  | \$0.00   |
| sts   |                          |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)  |                          | <u> </u>   |
|   | 2017-18                  | 0.10   |
|   | 2016-17                  | 0.00   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee  | 2015-16                  | 0.00   |
| salary/fringe)  |                          |  |
|   | 2017-18                  | \$960,728.06   |
|   | 2016-17                  | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                  | \$0.00   |
| otal deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures  | 2017-18                  | 2.07%  |
|   | 2017-18                  | 0.00%  |
|   | 2015-16                  | 0.00%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable   |                          |  |
|   | 2017-18                  | \$16,012.13  |
|   | 2016-17                  | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.  |
|   | 2015-16                  | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.  |
| nount generated from providing deliverable  Total collected from charging customers                                   | 2017-18                  | \$0.00   |
| Total concected from charging customers   | 2016-17                  | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                  | \$0.00   |
| stal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable  | 2017-18                  | \$960,728.06   |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)   | 2016-17                  | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                  | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources   |                          | \$960,728.06   |
|   | 2016-17                  | \$0.00   |
|   | 2015-16                  | \$0.00   |
| ency Comments   |                          |  |

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| eliverable  |                 |   |
|---|-----------------|---|
| Item numbe  | r               | 29  |
| Associated law  | S               | US Public Law 114-255, S.C. Code Ann. Sections 44-49-40(C)(1), (C)(5), (C)(6), (F)  |
|   |                 |   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable               |                 | Yes   |
| Deliverable description   | 1               | Through the State Targeted Response grant, development by DAODAS of a statewide education campaign designed to raise community awareness and reduce the stigma surrounding the issue of opioid-related drug misuse/abuse in South Carolina. During the first year (January 10 - June 30, 2018), a total of 433 messages were from "Just Plain Killers" (JPK) social channels, resulting in a total of 2,894,243 impressions, 13,689 engagements, and 905 link clicks with 4,087 fans. A total of 1,548 bonus spots ran on broadcast television stations, and 9,120 bonus spots ran on cable, for a total added value of \$141,012. The paid digital accounted for 4,464 session the JPK microsite (justplainkillers.com) and \$2% of total traffic. Throughout the campaign, over 7.85 million video impressions were served, accounting for over 1: million completed video views. During the campaign, 9,171 users visited the microsite, resulting in 20,872 page views and 199 redirects to the DAODAS website. |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary                                      | )               | Prevention  |
| sults Sought  |                 |   |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose                       | ?               | No No   |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome    |                 |   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable                                     |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance              |                 | No Associated Performance Measure   |
| Measures Chart, if an   | У               |   |
| stemas Datalla  |                 |   |
| stomer Details  |                 | Part of Carl Carlin   |
| Customer description  | 1               | State of South Carolina   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction                                | 2017-18         | No No   |
|   |                 | All   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal yea                                  | . 2017-18       |   |
|   |                 |   |
| Number of customers served in last completed F                                | 2017-18         | 1   |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current F                 |                 | 0.00%   |
| laximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to    |                 | 1   |
| the agenc   |                 |   |
| its Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers                                 |                 |   |
| Description of a single deliverable uni                                       | t               | Contract to media firm awarded through the state procurement process to develop and assist DAODAS with the administration of the campaign.  |
|   |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |
| Number of units provided  | 2017-18         | 1   |
|   | 2016-17         | 1   |
|   | 2015-16         | 0   |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable                   | 2017-18         | No  |
| lf y  | es, provide law |   |
|   | 2016-17         | No  |
| lf y  | es, provide law |   |
|   | 2015-16         | No  |
| lf y  | es, provide law |   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable uni                                | t 2017-18       | \$0.00  |
|   | 2016-17         | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16         | \$0.00  |
| sts   |                 |   |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units                 | )               | '   |
|   | 2017-18         | 0.20  |
|   | 2016-17         | 0.00  |
|   | 2015-16         | 0.00  |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee            |                 |   |
| salary/fringe   |                 |   |
| .,,,  | 2017-18         | \$1,042,036.01  |
|   | 2016-17         | \$5,006.89  |
|   | 2015-16         | \$0.00  |
| otal deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditure     |                 |   |
|   | 2017-18         | 2.24%   |
|   | 2016-17         | 0.01%   |
|   | 2015-16         | 0.00%   |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable                               |                 |   |
| Agency experiationes per unit of the deliverable                              | 2017-18         | \$1,042,036.01  |
|   | 2017-18         | \$5,006.89  |
|   | 2015-17         | There were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not track the number of units provided and/or total cost.   |
| ount generated from providing deliverable                                     | 2012-10         | more were no units provided, no cost, or the agency does not dack the number of units provided and/or total cost.   |
| Total collected from charging customer  | 2017-18         | \$0.00  |
| Total collected from charging customer  |                 |   |
|   | 2016-17         | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16         | \$0.00  |
| tal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable |                 | \$1,042,036.01  |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable            |                 | \$5,006.89  |
|   | 2015-16         | \$0.00  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                  |                 | \$1,042,036.01  |
|   | 2016-17         | \$5,006.89  |
|   | 2015-16         | \$0.00  |
| ency Comments   |                 |   |
| Additional comments from agency (optional                                     | )               | See additional agency comments on next page.  |
|   |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |
|   |                 |   |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

## Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

## Deliverable Number:

29

The State Targeted Response grant was provided to DAODAS by the federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. The grant aims to address the opioid crisis by increasing access to treatment, reducing unmet treatment needs, and reducing opioid overdose-related deaths through the provision of prevention, treatment, and recovery activities for opioid use disorder, including prescription opioids and illicit drugs such as heroin.

DAODAS' primary activity was development of a statewide education campaign designed to raise community awareness and reduce the stigma surrounding the issue of opioid-related drug misuse/abuse in South Carolina. The campaign focuses on the following: prevention messages for youth, young adults, and parents/guardians warning of the dangers of opioid misuse/abuse; provision of information on treatment options available throughout the state for opioid-related drug misuse/abuse; provision of information on recovery initiatives in South Carolina; and provision of information on the prevention of opioid overdose deaths through the availability and use of naloxone. The media campaign is being implemented through television PSAs, social media, a website, community events, and provision of information through handouts, brochures, and presentations across the state through the 32 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities. The initial year of the Just Plain Killers (JPK) campaign launched January 10, 2018. During that first year (January 10 - June 30, 2018), a total of 433 messages were sent from the JPK social channels, resulting in a total of 2,894,243 impressions, 13,689 engagements, and 905 link clicks with 4,087 fans. A total of 1,548 bonus spots ran on broadcast television stations and 9,120 bonus spots ran on cable, for a total added value of \$141,012. The paid digital accounted for 4,464 sessions on the website and 52% of total traffic. Throughout the campaign, over 7.85 million video impressions were served, accounting for over 1.5 million completed video views. During the campaign, 9,171 users visited the microsite, justplainkillers.com, resulting in 20,872 page views and 199 redirects to the DAODAS website. Pre and post surveys were conducted for the first year of the campaign, and the results indicated that 47% of South Carolina residents recalled one or more statements from the campaign, and 52% recalled one or more visual elements from the campaign.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable  | Ì                         |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| Deliverable<br>Item number   | 1                         | 32   |
| Associated laws  |                           | US Public Law 102-321; US Public Law 91-666; US Public Law 255   |
|  |                           |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?   |                           | Yes  |
| Deliverable description  |                           | Oversight of statewide unannounced inspections that provide a valid probability sample of tobacco sales outlets accessible to minors and submission of an annual report detailing activities to enforce the underage tobacco sales requirements of the federal Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration Reorganization Act. |
|  |                           |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary) Results Sought   | 1                         | Prevention   |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?   |                           | No No  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome agency seeks by providing the deliverable?                                  |                           | Conduct the annual Synar Study to ensure retail establishments are not selling tobacco products to minors under the age of 18, to achieve a retail violation rate of 10% of less   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance<br>Measures Chart, if any   |                           | Reduce Underage Tobacco Access (6)   |
| Customer Details   |                           |  |
| Customer description   |                           | County alcohol and drug abuse authority  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?  |                           | No   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year  | 2017-18                   | All  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY  |                           | 32   |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to              | 2018-19                   | 0.00%<br>32  |
| the agency  Jnits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers  | 1                         |  |
| Description of a single deliverable unit   |                           | Inspection of retail outlets in South Carolina that sell tobacco products  |
|  |                           |  |
| Number of units provided   |                           | 256  |
|  | 2016-17<br>2015-16        | 553<br>453   |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?   |                           | No No  |
| If ye  | s, provide law            |  |
|  | 2016-17                   | No   |
| If ye  | s, provide law<br>2015-16 | No.  |
| If ve  | s, provide law            | No .   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit  |                           | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$0.00   |
| Costs  Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)  |                           |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.3 floar per week units)  | 2017-18                   | 0.25   |
|  | 2016-17                   | 0.25   |
|  | 2015-16                   | 0.25   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee salary/fringe)  |                           |  |
| salary/mnge)   | 2017-18                   | \$41,943.08  |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$44,985.23  |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$43,382.27  |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures  | 2017-18                   | 0.09%  |
|  | 2017-18                   | 0.10%  |
|  | 2015-16                   | 0.10%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable  |                           |  |
|  | 2017-18                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17<br>2015-16        | \$0.00   |
| Amount generated from providing deliverable  | 2013-10                   | <del>powe</del>  |
| Total collected from charging customers  | 2017-18                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable<br>(federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable) | 2017-18<br>2016-17        | \$41,943.08<br>\$44,985.23   |
| , IIII IIII g.IIII IIII g.IIII IIII g.IIII IIII g.IIII III   | 2015-17                   | \$44,985.23<br>\$43,382.27   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources  |                           | \$41,943.08  |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$44,985.23  |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$43,382.27  |
| Agency Comments  Additional comments from agency (optional)  |                           | See additional agency comments on next page.   |
|  |                           |  |

### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

### Deliverable Number:

32

In July 1992, Congress enacted the Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration Reorganization Act (PL 102-321), which includes an amendment (Section 1926) aimed at decreasing youth access to tobacco. This amendment, named for its sponsor, Congressman Mike Synar of Oklahoma, requires states (that is, all states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and six Pacific jurisdictions) to enact and enforce laws prohibiting the sale or distribution of tobacco products to individuals under the age of 18. The federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is charged with implementing the Synar Amendment. In January 1996, SAMHSA issued the Synar regulation to provide guidance to the states. The regulation requires that states: enact laws prohibiting any manufacturer, retailer, or distributor of tobacco products from selling or distributing such products to any individual younger than age 18; enforce these laws; conduct annual, unannounced inspections that provide a valid probability sample of tobacco sales outlets accessible to minors; negotiate interim targets and a date to achieve a noncompliance rate of no more than 20%; and submit an annual report detailing activities to enforce the law. States must comply with the Synar Amendment in order to receive their full Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant awards.

As per the federal Synar regulation, in South Carolina, DAODAS contracts with the 32 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities to conduct annual, unannounced inspections of a valid probability sample of tobacco outlets that are accessible to minors. This study, known in South Carolina as the Youth Access to Tobacco Study (YATS) or simply the "Synar Study," is designed to determine the extent to which people younger than 18 can successfully buy cigarettes from retail outlets. Although similar in nature and scope to the county alcohol and drug abuse authorities' tobacco compliance checks, the YATS is a distinct operation that occurs during a specific time period each year, and uses a scientifically developed and SAMHSA-approved sampling frame. Between January 1 and February 28, 2016, 150 youth volunteers ages 15-17, under trained adult supervision, conducted unannounced cigarette purchase attempts in 453 randomly selected retail outlets in all 46 counties. These outlets were randomly sampled from the estimated 9,000 outlets in the state. For 2016, the estimated overall sales rate, also known as a Retailer Violation Rate (RVR) was 5.3%. This rate is far better than the federal standard of 20.0% and substantially lower than the RVR of 63.2% in 1994, the first year of the study. The 2015 rate was 7.7%. Between January 1 and February 28, 2017, 215 youth volunteers ages 15-17, under trained adult supervision, conducted unannounced cigarette purchase attempts in 553 randomly selected retail outlets in all 46 counties. These outlets were randomly sampled from the estimated 7,055 outlets in the state. For 2017, the estimated overall sales rate was 3.7%. Between January 1 and February 28, 2018, 133 youth volunteers ages 15-17, under trained adult supervision, conducted unannounced cigarette purchase attempts in 256 randomly selected retail outlets in all 46 counties. These outlets were randomly sampled from the estimated 6,766 outlets in the state. For 2018, the estimated overall sales rate was 4.3%.

DAODAS is required to report annually to SAMHSA on efforts to comply with the Synar Amendment.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable   |                  |   |
|---|------------------|---|
| Item numb   | ≥r               | 33  |
| Associated lav  | rs               | US Public Law 102-321; US Public Law 91-666; US Public Law 255; S.C. Code Ann. Sections 16-17-500 (E)(2), 44-49-40(F), 44-49-60, 44-49-70, 61-4-50 (C), 61-4-1515   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable             | 2                | Yes   |
| Deliverable description   |                  | 1) Use of not less than 20% of the state's federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant to fund primary prevention strategies directed at individual  |
| Deliverable destription   | "                | dentified as being in need of treatment. 2) Appointment and support of an Adult Alcoholic Education Program Supervisor for the prevention or reduction of alcoholic South Carolina and the creation of recognition and understanding of the problem. 3) Approval of a merchant tobacco enforcement education program for individuals who sell tobacco products and who violate laws related to underage sale. |
| Responsible organizational unit (primar                                     | v)               | Prevention  |
| sults Sought  | Ä                |   |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose                     | . ?              | No  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcom   |                  | 1) Reduce underage alcohol use in South Carolina, alcohol-related car crashes in South Carolina, and tobacco use among youth in South Carolina; provide primary   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable                                   |                  | prevention programs and strategies to prevent substance use and improve the well-being of youth and familes in South Carolina. 2) Part of the agency's seven-year oversight study and investigation conducted pursuant to Chapter 2, Title 2.   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance            | :e               | Reduce Underage Alcohol Use (1), Reduce Underage Alcohol Buy Rate (2), Reduce Underage Car Crashes (3), Increase AET Public Safety Checkpoints (4), Reduce  |
| Measures Chart, if a  | ıy               | Underage Tobacco Use (5), Reduce Underage Tobacco Access (6)  |
| Annua Barrilla  |                  |   |
| stomer Details  |                  |   |
| Customer description  | П                | County alcohol and drug abuse authority   |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction                              | 2 2017-19        | No  |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal ye                                 |                  | All   |
| counties served in last completed fiscal ye                                 | 11 2017-10       | ANII  |
|   |                  |   |
| Number of customers served in last completed f                              | Y 2017-18        | 32  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current F               |                  | 0.00%   |
| aximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available      |                  | 32  |
| the agen  |                  |   |
| ts Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers                                | Æ                |   |
| Description of a single deliverable ur                                      | iit              | 1) Contract with each of the 32 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities to provide primary prevention services for South Carolina citizens. 2) Seven-year report to   |
|   |                  | submitted no later than the first day of the 2015 Legislative Session. 3) An approved merchant tobacco enforcement education program.   |
|   |                  |   |
| Number of units provide   | d 2017-18        | 32  |
|   | 2016-17          | 32  |
|   | 2015-16          | 32  |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable                 | ? 2017-18        | No  |
| If  | yes, provide law |   |
|   | 2016-17          | No  |
| If  | yes, provide law |   |
|   | 2015-16          | No  |
| If  | yes, provide law |   |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable ur                               | nit 2017-18      | \$0.00  |
|   | 2016-17          | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16          | \$0.00  |
| ets   |                  |   |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week unit                | s)               |   |
|   | 2017-18          | 1.50  |
|   | 2016-17          | 1.50  |
|   | 2015-16          | 1.50  |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employe           | :e               |   |
| salary/fring  | e)               |   |
|   | 2017-18          | \$4,737,708.05  |
|   | 2016-17          | \$4,539,836.74  |
|   | 2015-16          | \$4,505,706.44  |
| otal deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditure   | es.              |   |
|   | 2017-18          | 10.19%  |
|   | 2016-17          | 9.76%   |
|   | 2015-16          | 10.11%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverab                               | ie               |   |
|   | 2017-18          | \$148,053.38  |
|   | 2016-17          | \$141,869.90  |
|   | 2015-16          | \$140,803.33  |
| ount generated from providing deliverable                                   | Æ                |   |
| Total collected from charging custome                                       | rs 2017-18       | \$0.00  |
|   | 2016-17          | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16          | \$0.00  |
| tal collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverab |                  | \$4,737,708.05  |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable          |                  | \$4,539,836.74  |
| * * * *   | 2015-16          | \$4,505,706.44  |
|   |                  | \$4,737,708.05  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                |                  | \$4,539,836.74  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                |                  |   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                | 2016-17          |   |
|   | 2015-17          | \$4,505,706.44  |
|   | 2015-16          | 54,505,706.44  See additional agency comments on next page.   |
| ency Comments   | 2015-16          |   |
| gency Comments  | 2015-16          |   |

### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

### Deliverable Number:

33

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) states that Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG) funds must be directed toward the following four purposes: 1) to fund priority treatment and support services for individuals without insurance or who cycle in and out of health insurance coverage; 2) to fund those priority treatment and support services not covered by Medicaid, Medicare, or private insurance offered through the exchanges and that demonstrate success in improving outcomes and/or supporting recovery; 3) to fund universal, selective, and targeted prevention activities and services; and 4) to collect performance and outcome data to determine the ongoing effectiveness of behavioral health prevention, treatment, and recovery-support services. SAMHSA requires that grantees spend no less than 20% of their SABG allotment on substance abuse primary prevention strategies. These strategies are directed at individuals not identified to be in need of treatment. DAODAS has a funding formula that is based on population levels of the counties and the number of counties covered by the agency in order to determine the amount of the contract each year.

The federal SABG requires states to provide comprehensive primary prevention services in a variety of settings. The services must target both the general population and sub-groups that are at high risk for substance abuse. The services must include, but are not limited to, the following strategies: 1) "Information Dissemination" that provides knowledge and increases awareness of the nature and extent of alcohol and other drug use, abuse, and addiction, as well as their effects on individuals, families, and communities. It also provides knowledge and increases awareness of available prevention and treatment programs and services. It is characterized by one-way communication from the information source to the audience, with limited contact between the two. 2) "Education" builds skills through structured learning processes. Critical life and social skills include decision making, peer resistance, coping with stress, problem solving, interpersonal communication, and systematic and judgmental capabilities. There is more interaction between facilitators and participants in Education than there is in Information Dissemination. 3) "Alternatives" provide opportunities for target populations to participate in activities that exclude alcohol and other drugs. The purpose is to discourage use of alcohol and other drugs by providing alternative, healthy activities. 4) "Problem Identification and Referral" aims to identify individuals who have indulged in illegal or age-inappropriate use of tobacco or alcohol and individuals who have indulged in the first use of illicit drugs. The goal is to assess if their behavior can be reversed through education. This strategy does not include any activity designed to determine if a person is in need of treatment. 5) "Community-Based Process" provides ongoing networking activities and technical assistance to community groups or agencies. It encompasses neighborhood-based, grassroots empowerment models using action planning and collaborative systems planning. 6) "Environmental" establishes

In 2017-2018, 5,195,164 South Carolinians were served by the 32 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities through the strategies listed above utilizing the SABG primary prevention set-aside. In 2016-2017, 5,100,349 South Carolinians were served by the 32 county authorities through the strategies listed above utilizing the SABG primary prevention set-aside. In 2015-2016, 4,615,946 South Carolinians were served by the 32 county authorities through the strategies listed above utilizing the SABG primary prevention set-aside.

These prevention-funded programs include the Palmetto Retailer Education Program (PREP), which is the curriculum approved as the merchant education program for alcohol sales, as well as for underage sales of tobacco products. This is the deliverable provided by contract with county alcohol and drug abuse authorities. More than 1,400 (unique count) retail employees were trained on alcohol and tobacco material in FY18. Fees charged by the county authorities range from \$0 to \$50 per training per person.

DAODAS is required to report annually to SAMHSA.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable Item numb   | er er                           | 34  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| Associated lav  |                                 | US Public Law 102-321; US Public Law 91-666; US Public Law 255; S.C. Code Ann. Sections 44-49-40 (C)(1), (C)(5), (C)(6), (F); 44-49-80  |
|   |                                 |   |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable                         |                                 | Yes   |
| Deliverable description   | n                               | Use of not less than 5% of the state's federal Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant to provide information on Early Intervention Services for the Human Immunodeficiency Virus. |
|   |                                 |   |
|   |                                 |   |
|   |                                 |   |
|   |                                 |   |
|   |                                 |   |
| Responsible organizational unit (primar<br>Results Sought                               | ()                              | Prevention  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose                                 | .?                              | No  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcom               |                                 | Increase access to HIV testing for patients served by the alcohol and drug abuse authorities in high-risk counties.   |
| agency seeks by providing the deliverable   |                                 |   |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance                        | 20                              | No Associated Performance Measure   |
| Measures Chart, if a  |                                 | NO Associated Performance intensive   |
|   | _                               |   |
| Customer Details  |                                 |   |
| Customer description  | n                               | County alcohol and drug abuse authority; S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction  | ? 2017-18                       | No  |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal ye   |                                 | Aiken; Anderson; Beaufort; Berkeley; Charleston; Clarendon; Dillon; Dorchester; Florence; Georgetown; Greenville; Greenwood; Horry; Jasper; Kershaw; Orangeburg;                                |
|   |                                 | Richland; Spartanburg; Sumter; Williamsburg; York   |
| Number of customers served in last completed i  | Y 2017-18                       | 22  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current F                           |                                 | -100.00%  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available                 |                                 | 33  |
| the agen  | у                               |   |
| Jnits Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers  Description of a single deliverable ur | it.                             | 1) Contract with a county alcohol and drug abuse authority to provide early testing and intervention for high-risk behaviors related to HIV for patients receiving treatment                    |
| Description of a single deliverable of  |                                 | services. 2) Contract with the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control to provide training and technical assistance to the county authorities related to HIV                        |
|   |                                 | testing and referral for follow-up care.  |
| Number of units provide   |                                 | 22  |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | 22 22   |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable                             |                                 | No No   |
|   | yes, provide law                |   |
|   | 2016-17                         | No  |
| If  | yes, provide law                |   |
|   | 2015-16                         | No  |
|   | yes, provide law<br>iit 2017-18 | \$0.00  |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable ur   | 2017-18                         | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16                         | 50.00   |
| Costs   | Æ                               |   |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week unit                            |                                 |   |
|   | 2017-18                         | 0.25  |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | 0.25<br>0.70  |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employe                       |                                 | 0.70  |
| salary/fring  |                                 |   |
|   | 2017-18                         | \$1,040,640.53  |
|   | 2016-17                         | \$1,359,624.96  |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditure              | 2015-16                         | \$1,171,989.69  |
| rotor demorable experiorares as a percentage of total agency experiorare                | 2017-18                         | 2.24%   |
|   | 2016-17                         | 2.92%   |
|   | 2015-16                         | 2.63%   |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverab   |                                 |   |
|   | 2017-18                         | \$47,301.84   |
|   | 2016-17                         | \$61,801.13<br>653,373,36   |
| Amount generated from providing deliverable   | 2015-16                         | \$53,272.26   |
| Total collected from charging custome   | rs 2017-18                      | \$0.00  |
|   | 2016-17                         | \$0.00  |
|   | 2015-16                         | \$0.00  |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverab           |                                 | \$1,040,640.53  |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable                      |                                 | \$1,359,624.96  |
| (redetal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable                      | 2015-16                         | \$1,171,989.69  |
|   | - 2017.10                       |   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                            |                                 | \$1,040,640.53  |
|   | 2016-17                         | \$1,359,624.96  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source                            |                                 |   |
|   | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | \$1,359,624.96  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source  Agency Comments           | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | \$1,359,624.96<br>\$1,171,989.69  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source  Agency Comments           | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | \$1,359,624.96<br>\$1,171,989.69  |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state source  Agency Comments           | 2016-17<br>2015-16              | \$1,359,624.96<br>\$1,171,989.69  |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

## Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

## Deliverable Number:

34

The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) requires designated states, as defined in Section 1924(b)(2) of Title XIX, Part B, Subpart II of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. § 300x-24(b)(2)), to spend at a minimum 5% of Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant funds to provide information on Early Intervention Services (EIS) regarding the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV).

DAODAS contracts with 21 county alcohol and drug abuse authorities to provide early testing and intervention for high-risk behaviors related to HIV for patients receiving treatment services. DAODAS also contracts with the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control to provide training and technical assistance to the county authorities related to HIV testing and referral for follow-up care.

SAMHSA lifted the requirement for states to spend a minimum of 5% of grant funds for EIS in 2016, allowing South Carolina to continue the programming with funds until 2019, but not after. HIV testing and referral for care with this funding source ended July 1, 2019.

While the agency maintains an FTE to oversee programming for child and adolescent treatment, the School Intervention Program (ScIP) is defunct. Funding is no longer provided through the Education Improvement Act, and general state appropriations are provided to the agency for youth and adolescent services. County alcohol and drug abuse authorities do work with schools, but mainly in the prevention arena. A minority of these local agencies have counselors in schools. The enabling legislation is outdated and should be amended to reflect existing programming.

**Agency**Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

| Deliverable  | 1                         |  |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| Item number  | 1                         | 37   |
| Associated laws  |                           | US Public Law 111-31   |
|  |                           |  |
| Does state or federal law specifically require this deliverable?   |                           | Yes  |
| Deliverable description  |                           | Execution of undercover buy inspections (with minors under the age of 18) and inspections of advertising and labeling in retail establishments that sell tobacco products through a contract with the U.S. Food & Drug Administration. |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
| Responsible organizational unit (primary)  |                           | Prevention   |
| Results Sought   |                           |  |
| Does the legislature state intent, findings, or purpose?   |                           | Yes  |
| What is specific outcome sought in law OR, if not in law, specific outcome<br>agency seeks by providing the deliverable? |                           | Decrease access of tobacco products to those under the age of 18 and ensure tobacco products are labeled and advertised according to the regulations set forth in federal law.   |
| agency seems by providing the deliverable.   |                           |  |
| Associated performance measure item numbers from the Performance   |                           | Reduce FDA Vendor Violation Rate (7)   |
| Measures Chart, if any   |                           |  |
| Customer Details   |                           |  |
| Customer description   |                           | U.S. Food & Drug Administration  |
|  |                           |  |
| Does the agency evaluate customer satisfaction?  |                           | No   |
| Counties served in last completed fiscal year  | 2017-18                   | All  |
|  |                           |  |
| Number of customers served in last completed FY  | 2017-18                   | 1  |
| Percentage change in customers served predicted for current FY   |                           | Unknown  |
| Maximum number of potential customers, if unlimited resources available to   |                           | Unknown  |
| the agency   | Ì                         |  |
| Units Provided and Amounts Charged to Customers  |                           |  |
| Description of a single deliverable unit   |                           | Inspection of a retail establishment that sells tobacco products in one of the 46 counties   |
|  |                           |  |
| Number of units provided   | 2017-18                   | 10,062   |
|  | 2016-17                   | 11,125   |
|  | 2015-16                   | 11,915   |
| Does law prohibit charging the customer for the deliverable?   |                           | No.  |
| If ye  | s, provide law            |  |
| 16   | 2016-17                   | No .   |
| ır ye  | s, provide law<br>2015-16 | No No  |
| If ve  | s, provide law            | NO.  |
| Amount charged to customer per deliverable unit  |                           | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17                   | 50.00  |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$0.00   |
| Costs  |                           |  |
| Total employee equivalents required (37.5 hour per week units)   |                           |  |
|  | 2017-18                   | 10.20  |
|  | 2016-17                   | 10.50  |
|  | 2015-16                   | 8.20   |
| Total deliverable expenditures each year (operational and employee<br>salary/fringe)                                     |                           |  |
| salary illinge)  | 2017-18                   | \$1,384,593.32   |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$1,141,240.34   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$840,704.60   |
| Total deliverable expenditures as a percentage of total agency expenditures  |                           |  |
|  | 2017-18                   | 2.98%  |
|  | 2016-17                   | 2.45%  |
|  | 2015-16                   | 1.89%  |
| Agency expenditures per unit of the deliverable  | 2017                      | Assess   |
|  | 2017-18                   | \$137.61   |
|  | 2016-17<br>2015-16        | \$102.58   |
| Amount generated from providing deliverable  | 2013-10                   | yr our   |
| Total collected from charging customers  | 2017-18                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$0.00   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$0.00   |
| Total collected from non-state sources as a result of providing the deliverable  | 2017-18                   | \$1,384,593.32   |
| (federal and other grants awarded to agency to provide deliverable)  | 2016-17                   | \$1,141,240.34   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$840,704.60   |
| Total collected from charging customers and non-state sources  |                           | \$1,384,593.32   |
|  | 2016-17                   | \$1,141,240.34   |
|  | 2015-16                   | \$840,704.60   |
| Agency Comments  |                           |  |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)   |                           | See additional agency comments on next page.   |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |
|  |                           |  |

### Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse Services

### Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

### Deliverable Number:

37

Section 702 (B) of The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, instructs that the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) contracts, where feasible, with states to carry out inspections of retailers in connection with the enforcement of the law.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required the State to have FDA-commissioned inspectors who conducted two different types of compliance inspections at retail outlets throughout the state. The first type of compliance inspection (undercover buys) were undercover purchase attempts by an FDA-commissioned inspector and minor to determine whether retailers are checking identification and if they are selling regulated tobacco products to minors. The second type of compliance inspection (advertising and labeling) involved only FDA-commissioned inspectors. This inspection determined compliance with other retail provisions in effect, such as the restrictions on impersonal modes of sales (i.e., vending machines and self-service displays), the ban on cigarettes with certain characterizing flavors, and the ban on the sale of packages containing fewer than 20 cigarettes. FDA-commissioned inspectors recorded potential violations observed during the compliance inspection and data was submitted to the FDA for review. The evidence collected by the inspection determined the action taken by the FDA. The FDA utilized several administrative and enforcement tools provided for in the Tobacco Control Act and the FD&C Act, to include: warning letters, civil money penalties, no-tobacco-sale orders, seizures, injunctions, and/or criminal prosecutions.

The FDA-commissioned inspectors in South Carolina were required by the FDA to complete a series of follow-up inspections (compliance follow-ups) in a defined period of time at establishments where violations occurred. Breakdown of the numbers above by inspection type are as follows:

October 2015 - September 2016: Undercover Buy: 7,474; Advertising and Labeling: 2,501; and Compliance Follow-Up/Other FDA Directed: 1,940.

October 2016 - September 2017: Undercover Buy: 7,23; Advertising and Labeling: 2,086; and Compliance Follow-Up/Other FDA Directed: 1,616.

October 2017 - September 2018: Undercover Buy: 6,968; Advertising and Labeling: 1,773; and Compliance Follow-Up/Other FDA Directed: 1,321.

DAODAS was required to submit monthly invoices and data to the FDA to receive payment for services rendered.

DAODAS did not exercise the option to renew the contract for the three-year period that would have begun in October 2018. During the month of September 2018, the FDA worked with DAODAS to close out the program, and therefore no inspections were completed that month.

# PREVENTION & INTERVENTION PERFORMANCE MEASURES

DAODAS has identified the following performance measures as being related to the deliverables of its Prevention & Intervention Services Division. The details on the following pages were provided in the agency's <a href="Program Evaluation Report">Program Evaluation Report</a>.

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse

|  | 1  |                                  |   |
|--|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Performance Measure  Item #  | 1  | 2                                | 3   |
|  | Reduce (Self-Reported) Past-30-Day   |                                  |   |
| Description  | Use of Alcohol by SC High School<br>Students   | Reduce Underage Alcohol Buy Kate | Crashes Where the Driver Is Under<br>the Age of 21  |
| Time applicable  | Calendar Year (Jan Dec.)   | State Fiscal Year (July - June)  | State Fiscal Year (July - June)   |
| Double Comment   |  |                                  |   |
| Results Summary  Is the goal to meet, exceed, or obtain a lower value than the target? | Meet or obtain lower value   | Meet or obtain lower value       | Meet or obtain lower value  |
| Did the agency achieve its goal  |  |                                  |   |
| 2018   | Yes  | Yes                              | Yes   |
| 2017   | Yes  | Yes                              | Yes   |
| 2016   | Yes  | Yes                              | Yes   |
| 2015   | Yes  | Yes                              | Yes   |
| 2014   | Yes  | Yes                              | Yes   |
| Changes in target  |  |                                  |   |
| 2019   | Decreased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year        | Decreased from prior year   |
| 2018   | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year               | Same as prior year  |
| 2017   | Increased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year        | Decreased from prior year   |
| 2016   | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year               | Same as prior year  |
| 2015   | Decreased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year        | Decreased from prior year   |
| "did not exist") 2019 Target   | 24.0%  | 10.0%                            | 33.0%   |
| 2018   |  |                                  |   |
| Target   | 28.0%  | 12.0%                            | 40.0%   |
| Actual   | 25.4%  | 6.9%                             | 33.0%   |
| 2017   |  |                                  |   |
| Target   | 28.0%  |                                  |   |
| Actual   | 25.4%  | 8.6%                             | 40.0%   |
| 2016   | 36.0%  | 12.00/                           | 42.10/  |
| Target   | 26.0%  | 13.0%<br>11.1%                   | 42.1%   |
| Actual <b>2015</b>   | 24.6%  | 11.176                           | 40.0%   |
| Target   | 26.0%  |                                  | 42.1%   |
| Actual   | 24.6%  | 11.7%                            | 40.0%   |
| 2014   |  |                                  |   |
| Target   | 36.0%  |                                  | 44.1%   |
| Actual   | 28.9%  | 11.4%                            | 43.0%   |
| Agancy Comments  |  |                                  |   |
| Agency Comments  Additional comments from agency (optional)                            | Past-30-day use among high school students; the reporting frequency is bi-annual; some years will have the same actual and target values from previous year depending on reporting cycle. Source: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (conducted bi-annually) |                                  | Measures deaths in crashes where Blood Alcohol Concentration is .08% or greater; two-year lag in reporting; some years will have the same actual and target values from previous year depending on reporting cycle. Source: Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse

| Performance Measure   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Item #  | 4  | 5  | 6  |
| Description   | Increase Alcohol Enforcement<br>Team Public Safety Checkpoints   | Reduce (Self-Reported) Past-30-<br>Day Use of Tobacco by SC High<br>School Students  | Reduce Retail Availability of<br>Cigarettes to Those Under the<br>Age of 18 as Measured Through<br>the Mandated Synar Study<br>(Federal Requirement) |
| Time applicable   | State Fiscal Year (July - June)  | Calendar Year (Jan Dec.)   | Federal Fiscal Year (Oct Sept.)  |
|   |  |  |  |
| Results Summary Is the goal to meet, exceed, or obtain a lower value than the target? | Meet or exceed   | Meet or obtain lower value   | Meet or obtain lower value   |
| Did the agency achieve its goal   |  |  |  |
| 2018  | No   | Yes  | Yes  |
| 2017  | No   | Yes  | Yes  |
| 2016  | Yes  | Yes  | Yes  |
| 2015  | Yes  | Yes  | Yes  |
| 2014  | Yes  | Yes  | Yes  |
| Changes in target   |  |  |  |
| 2019  | Same as prior year   | Decreased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year  |
| 2018  | Decreased from prior year  | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year   |
| 2017  | Increased from prior year  | Same as prior year   | Decreased from prior year  |
| 2016  | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year   | Decreased from prior year  |
| 2015  | Decreased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year  |
| Result details for year ending (Note: DNE means "did not exist")  2019                | 550  | 9.0%   | 5.0%   |
| Target  | 550  | 9.0%   | 3.0%   |
| 2018  |  |  |  |
|   | 550  | 10.0%  | 7 70/  |
| Target<br>Actual  | 434  |  |  |
|   | 434  | 10.0/0   | 5.770  |
| 2017  |  |  |  |
| Target  | 1,250  |  |  |
| Actual  | 715  | 10.0%  | 5.3%   |
|   |  |  |  |
| 2016  |  |  |  |
| Target  | 1,000  |  |  |
| Actual  | 1,125  | 9.6%   | 7.7%   |
| 2015  |  |  |  |
| Target  | 1,000  | 10.0%  | 11.7%  |
| Actual  | 1,250  |  |  |
| Actual  | 1,230  | 3.070  | 10.070   |
| 2014  |  |  |  |
| Target  | 1,011  | 20.0%  | 12.0%  |
| Actual  | 1,382  | 16.0%  | 11.7%  |
|   |  |  |  |
| Agency Comments  Additional comments from agency (optional)                           | Measures local participation in Alcohol Enforcement Team (AET) safety checkpoints; there has been a decrease in checkpoints due to a change in funding stream and limited number of counties funded for impaired driving prevention. Source: Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) | Past-30-day use among high school students; the reporting frequency is bi-annual; some years will have the same actual and target values from previous year depending on reporting cycle. Source: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (conducted bi-annually) | Youth Access to Tobacco Study<br>(also known as the Synar Study)   |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse

## Accurate as of

July 2, 2019

| July 2, 2015                                    |  |
|---|--|
| Performance Measure                             |  |
| Item #  | 7  |
| Description                                     | Reduce Retail Availability of            |
|   | Tobacco Products to Those Under          |
|   | the Age of 18 as Measured by the         |
|   | Food & Drug Administration               |
|   | Vendor Violation Rate *Started in        |
|   | 2015                                     |
| Time applicable                                 | Federal Fiscal Year (Oct Sept.)          |
| Results Summary                                 |  |
| Is the goal to meet, exceed, or obtain a lower  | Meet or obtain lower value               |
| value than the target?                          | INTEGER OF OBLANT IOWER VALUE            |
| value than the target:                          |  |
| Did the agency achieve its goal                 |  |
| 2018  | Yes                                      |
| 2017  | Yes                                      |
| 2016  | Yes                                      |
| 2015  | There was no target                      |
| 2014  | There was no target                      |
|   |  |
| Changes in target                               | D I for a series and                     |
| 2019  | Decreased from prior year                |
|   | , ,                                      |
| 2017<br>2016                                    | Same as prior year  No prior year target |
| 2016  | No prior year target                     |
| 2013  | No prior year target                     |
| Result details for year ending (Note: DNE means |  |
| "did not exist")                                |  |
| 2019  |  |
| Target  | 9.0%                                     |
|   |  |
| 2018  |  |
| Target  | 10.0%                                    |
| Actual  | 9.8%                                     |
| 2017  |  |
| <b>2017</b> Target                              | 10.0%                                    |
| Actual  | 8.3%                                     |
| Actual  | 6.570                                    |
| 2016  |  |
| Target  | 10.0%                                    |
| Actual  | 6.9%                                     |
|   |  |
| 2015  |  |
| Target  | DNE                                      |
| Actual  | DNE                                      |
| 2044  |  |
| 2014  | DNE                                      |
| Target<br>Actual                                | DNE DNE                                  |
| Actual  | DINL                                     |
| Agency Comments                                 |  |
|   | Federal contract with the Food &         |
| , , , ,   | Drug Administration (FDA)                |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse

| July 2, 2019                                    |  |
|---|--|
| Performance Measure                             |  |
| Item#   | 24   |
| Description                                     | Increase the Number of Narcan  |
| · ·   | Administrations Through the Law  |
|   | Enforcement Officer Naloxone   |
|   | (LEON) Program (Number of Lives  |
|   | , , , ,  |
|   | Potentially Saved) *Started in   |
| Time applicable                                 | 2017<br>State Fiscal Year (July - June)  |
| Time applicable                                 | State Fiscal Year (July - Julie)   |
| Results Summary                                 |  |
| Is the goal to meet, exceed, or obtain a lower  | Meet or exceed   |
| value than the target?                          |  |
|   |  |
| Did the agency achieve its goal                 |  |
| 2018  | Yes  |
| 2017  | Yes  |
| 2016  | There was no target  |
| 2015  | There was no target  |
| 2014  | There was no target  |
|   |  |
| Changes in target                               |  |
| 2019  | In annual of the control of the cont |
| 2018  | Increased from prior year  |
| 2017  | No prior year target   |
| 2016  |  |
| 2015  | No prior year target   |
|   | No prior year target   |
| Result details for year ending (Note: DNE means |  |
| "did not exist")                                |  |
| 2019  |  |
| Target  | 500  |
| 141860  | 300  |
| 2018  |  |
|   | 450  |
| Actual  | 450<br>496   |
| Actual  | 450  |
| 2017  |  |
|   | 400  |
|   |  |
| Actual  | 451  |
| 2246  |  |
| 2016  |  |
| Target  |  |
| Actual  | DNE  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| Target  | DNE  |
| Actual  | DNE  |
| 2014  |  |
| 2014  | DAIR   |
| Target  | DNE  |
| Actual  | DNE  |
|   |  |
| Agency Comments                                 |  |
| Additional comments from agency (optional)      |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |

## Agency

Department of Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse

| Performance Measure   |  |  |   |
|---|--|--|---|
| Item#   | 25   | 26   | 27  |
| Description   | Increase Coordination With the<br>Department of Corrections to<br>Enroll Inmates in Opioid Recovery<br>Services *Started in 2018 | Trained Peer Support Specialists   | Increase Recovery Housing<br>Opportunities  |
| Time applicable   | State Fiscal Year (July - June)  | State Fiscal Year (July - June)  | State Fiscal Year (July - June)   |
| Results Summary Is the goal to meet, exceed, or obtain a lower value than the target? | Meet or exceed   | Meet or exceed   | Meet or exceed  |
| Did the agency achieve its goal   |  |  |   |
| 2018  | There was no target  | Yes  | Yes   |
| 2017  | There was no target  | Yes  | Yes   |
| 2016  | There was no target  | Yes  | Yes   |
| 2015  | There was no target  | Yes  | Yes   |
| 2014  | There was no target  | Yes  | Yes   |
| Changes in target   |  |  |   |
| 2019  | No prior year target   | Increased from prior year  | Increased from prior year   |
| 2018  | No prior year target   | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year  |
| 2017  | No prior year target   | Increased from prior year  | Decreased from prior year   |
| 2016  | No prior year target   | Same as prior year   | Increased from prior year   |
| 2015  | No prior year target   | Same as prior year   | Same as prior year  |
| "did not exist") 2019 Target  | 20   | 221  | 65.00%  |
| 2018  |  |  |   |
| Target  | DNE  | 130  | 29.00%  |
| Actual  | 17   | 210  |   |
| 2017  |  |  |   |
| Target  | DNE  | 130  |   |
| Actual  | DNE  | 140  | 37.00%  |
| 2016  |  |  |   |
| Target  | DNE  | 0  | 38.00%  |
| Actual  | DNE  | 130  |   |
| 2015  |  |  |   |
| Target  | DNE  | 0  | 18.00%  |
| Actual  | DNE  | 130  |   |
| 2014  |  |  |   |
| Target  | DNE  | 0  | 18.00%  |
| Actual  | DNE  | 50   |   |
|   |  |  |   |
| Agency Comments  Additional comments from agency (optional)                           | Actual numbers only reflect inmates receiving naltrexone injections for opioid use disorder pre-release.                         | Actual numbers reflect the number of Certified Peer Support Specialists specializing in substance use in South Carolina. From 2014 to 2016, training was offered on demand when there were enough intersted and qualified individuals for a cohort. No targets were set. | This measure is the increase in Oxford House Recovery Residences. DAODAS contracts yearly with Oxford House to increase the number of residences statewide. |