

# 2025 Annual Accountability Report

South Carolina Administrative Law Court Agency Code: C050

# **Table of Contents**

Agency's Discussion and Analysis	1
Agency Organization Chart	11
Reorganization and Compliance	12
Strategic Plan Results	15
Strategic Plan Development	17
Budget Data	19
Legal Data	20
Services Data	22
Partnerships Data	23
Reports Data	24
Submission Form	25

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

# AGENCY'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OVERVIEW

The Administrative Law Court (ALC or Court) is an independent agency and court of record within the Executive Branch. The ALC has six (6) Administrative Law Judges (ALJs), including a Chief Judge. Each ALJ is elected to a specific seat by the South Carolina General Assembly and may employ other staff as authorized by the General Assembly to hear and dispose of matters within its statutorily mandated jurisdiction. The ALC hears many types of cases involving state agencies except those cases arising under the Consolidated Procurement Code, the Public Service Commission, and the Workers' Compensation Commission. (See Age of Disposed Cases below for specific case types filed with the Court). The Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings (OMVH) is a division of the ALC and has four (4) hearing officers plus staff, who are appointed and hired respectively by the Chief Judge, who serves as the Director of the Office. The OMVH conducts contested cases regarding certain decisions issued by the Department of Motor Vehicles.

The ALC is always improving upon how it protects data and Information Technology (IT) assets against increasing cyber threats and vulnerabilities. The ALC depends heavily on network and information systems for essential operations and data security. A culture of awareness regarding security risks is our standard at the ALC and we accomplish that with a multi-layered security and defense approach focusing on sophisticated and blended cyberattacks. The ALC places extremely high importance on the cyber security education of all agency employees, making sure users stay informed. We recognize that the first line of defense in maintaining the security and integrity of our IT assets and networks starts with informed IT personnel and network users.

## **RISK ASSESSMENT AND MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

The Chief Judge is statutorily responsible for assigning a specific ALJ to each case filed with the Court. At the OMVH, the cases are automatically assigned to a hearing officer based on specific geographic regions. The Chief Judge is also responsible for the administration of the Court and the OMVH, including budgetary matters and supervision of the support staff. The other ALJs have supervision of their administrative assistant/law clerk. There are currently no plans in development to change the structure or organizational framework and procedures of the Court or to recommend that the General Assembly make any statutory changes. However, we continue to closely monitor our results and performance measures to determine if any future changes will be necessary.

Each ALJ is individually responsible for ensuring the fair and prompt disposition of the cases assigned to his or her office. The greatest risk to the public if the Court fails to timely dispose of cases is the possibility of cases becoming moot before they can be resolved, which could negatively affect the parties involved. Although the litigants often ask for continuances due to discovery and scheduling issues, the Court seeks to balance those requests with timely review to ensure a court system that is fair and prompt for all litigants. The primary support for a court to efficiently and timely dispose of its docket is to have adequate resources to do so. This may be in the form of additional judges, staff, or funding that is not reliant on fees.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

## FILINGS WITH THE COURT BY JURISDICTIONAL TYPE

The Court has jurisdiction over four types of matters:


**Contested cases**. ALJs preside as the fact finder in contested cases involving departments of the executive branch of state government in which a single hearing officer, or an administrative law judge, is authorized or permitted by law or regulation to hear and decide these cases, with certain exceptions. The ALC's contested case jurisdiction is outlined in S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-600(A).

**Appeals**. ALJs hear all appeals from final decisions of contested cases heard by state agencies pursuant to the Administrative Procedures Act, Article I, Section 22 of the South Carolina Constitution, or another law, except for appeals from final decisions of the Public Service Commission, the State Ethics Commission, the Procurement Review Panel, and the Workers Compensation Commission. The ALC's appellate jurisdiction is defined in S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-600(D). The Court also hears appeals pursuant to *Al-Shabazz v. State*, 338 S.C. 354, 527 S.E.2d 742 (2000) and *Furtick v. South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services*, 352 S.C. 594, 576 S.E.2d 146 (2003).


**Regulation hearings.** ALJs preside over public hearings held during the promulgation of regulations by a department of state government for which the governing authority is a single director. Upon the conclusion of a regulation hearing, an ALJ issues a written report including findings as to the need and reasonableness of the proposed regulation. If the report includes a finding of a lack of need or reasonableness, the report may include suggested modifications to the proposed regulation. For more information concerning regulation hearings, refer to S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-111.

Requests for injunctive relief. The ALC has jurisdiction to hear requests for injunctive or equitable relief filed by state agencies that are authorized by law to seek such relief. The ALC may review and enforce an administrative process issued by a department of the executive branch of government, such as a subpoena or cease and desist order. In addition, a party aggrieved by an administrative process issued by an executive branch agency may apply to the ALC for relief from the process. Under the South Carolina Administrative Procedures Act, ALJs have the power to issue those remedial writs as are necessary to give effect to its jurisdiction. Further, ALJs have the same power at chambers or in open court as do circuit court judges, including the power of contempt.

The number of appellate cases filed with the ALC (excluding appeals from the Department of Corrections (SCDC) and the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS) has increased significantly over the years. When appeals from SCDC and SCDPPPS are included in the statistical analysis, the number is even higher. (See charts below). This increase in SCDC and SCDPPPS appeals is attributed in large part to recent "prevailing wage" issues related to the Prison Industries Program and the South Carolina Court of Appeals' decision in *Torrence v. South Carolina Department of Corrections*, 433 S.C. 633, 636, 861 S.E.2d 36, 38 (Ct. App. 2021), reh'g denied (Aug. 4, 2021), cert. denied (Aug. 3, 2022).



	Contested	Appeal	Injunctive	Regulation
2018-2019	209	159	32	45
2019-2020	227	126	27	33
2020-2021	238	138	34	21
2021-2022	231	230	44	33
2022-2023	185	135	46	41
2023-2024	226	131	55	33
2024-2025	182	103	54	56




AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

	Contested	Appeal	Injunctive	Regulation
2018-2019	209	842	32	45
2019-2020	227	807	27	33
2020-2021	238	633	34	21
2021-2022	231	616	44	33
2022-2023	185	572	46	41
2023-2024	185	1,269	55	33
2024-2025	182	957	54	56

# FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS FOR THE COURT (EXCLUDING OMVH) SINCE 2018

	I	1				
	*CCs, RHs,	<u>Al-</u>		*CCs, RHs,	<u>Al-</u>	
	IJs, and &	Shabazz/		IJs, and &	Shabazz/	
FISCAL	other	<u>Furtick</u>	TOTAL	other	<u>Furtick</u>	TOTAL CASES
YEAR	appeals	Appeals	FILED	appeals	Appeals	DISPOSED
FY 18-19	445	683	1,128	458	634	1,092
FY 19-20	413	681	1,094	392	673	1,065
FY 20-21	430	495	929	357	523	880
FY 21-22	538	386	923	450	401	851
FY 22-23	407	437	844	352	336	688
FY 23-24	435	1138	1,573	397	671	1,068
FY 24-25	395	854	1,249	459	1047	1,506



AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

# **AGE OF DISPOSED CASES REPORT**

Case	Type
Case	IVDC


	Case Type	Total Disposed	Average Age at Disposal	% Meeting Objective
Agency	I. Contested Cases Objective = 90 Days	153	155	42
DNR	Hunting/Fishing Violations [ALC CC 90]	2	49	100
DOR	ABC Applications/Renewals [ALC CC 90]	29	149	45
LLR	Wage Disputes [ALC CC 90]			
LLR	OSHA Violations [ALC CC 90]	12	393	17
ANY	Injunctive Relief Hearings [ALC IJ 90]	41	136	44
ANY	Public Hearings for Proposed Regulations [ALC RH 90]	59	107	20
ANY	Subpoenas	5	155	40
ANY	Miscellaneous	5	410	0
Agency	II. Contested Cases Objective = 120 Days	28	264	21
DCA	Applications/Violations [ALC CC 120]	3	251	0
DNR	Coastal Fisheries Violations [ALC CC 120]	2	185	0
DOI	Insurance Agent Applications [ALC CC 120]	1	194	0
DOI	Insurance Rate Cases [ALC CC 120]			
DOR	ABC violations [ALC CC 120]	21	292	24
SLED	CWP/PI/Security License [ALC CC 120]	1	145	0
Agency	III. Contested Cases Objective = 180 Days	59	289	36
ANY	Setoff Debt Collection [ALC CC 180]	4	172	50
ANY	Tourism Expenditure Review [ALC CC 180]			
DPH	Health Licensing Cases [ALC CC 180]	1	79	100
DNR	Boating Under the Influence [ALC CC 180]	13	251	31
DOI	Insurance Agent Violations [ALC CC 180]	3	217	67
DOR	Bingo Violations [ALC CC 180]			
DOR	County Property Tax [ALC CC 180]	26	395	19
DOT	Outdoor Advertisements/DBE/Displacement/Disqualification	1	65	100
PEBA	State Retirement Systems [ALC CC 180]	7	221	33
SOS	Charities [ALC CC 180]	4	65	100
	IV. Contested Cases Objective = 300 Days	78	387	72
DPH	Certificate of Need [ALC CC 300]	1	2156	0
DES	Environmental Permitting [ALC CC 300]	9	229	67
DES	Ocean and Coastal Resource Management [ALC CC 300]	46	277	100
DOR	State Tax Cases [ALC CC 300]	22	602	18
Agency	V. Appeals Objective = 120	60	210	57
DEW	Employment and Workforce Appeals [Appeals from DEW]	60	210	57
Agency	VI. Appeals (all other non-inmate) Objective = 180	81	264	54
HHS	Medicaid and Provider Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	15	311	53
DOA	Employee Grievance Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	2	710	0
Any	Charter School Appeals/Education [Appeals (all others) 180]	2	219	50
CJA	Criminal Justice Academy Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	9	271	56
OMVH	Administrative License Revocations/Ignition Interlock Appeals	17	168	53
LLR	Professional Licensing Board Appeals [Appeals (all others) 180]	6	190	50
DSS	Daycare/Fostercare Appeals, SNAP (FI) [DSS]	15	263	67


AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court		
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

PEBA	PEBA Employee Insurance Program Appeals	15	263	67
Category	IV Case Types: Objective = 120 days	1047	249	43
DOC	Inmate grievances [DOC & PPPS]	1047	249	43
ALL CASE TYPES		1506	248	43
ALL CASE	TYPES excluding inmate grievances	459	245	46

NOTE: DOI: Dept. of Insurance; LLR: Dept. of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; DNR: Dept. of Natural Resources; DOR: Dept. of Revenue; DES: Dept. of Environmental Services; DPH: Dept. of Public Health; HHS: Dept. of Health and Human Services; DSS: Dept. of Social Services; SLED: South Carolina Law Enforcement Division; DOC: Dept. of Corrections; PPPS, Dept. of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services; PEBA: Public Employee Benefit; OMVH: Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings; CA: Dept. of Consumer Affairs; DEW: Dept. of Employment and Workforce; CJA: Criminal Justice Academy; SOS: Secretary of State; DOA: Dept. of Administration; DOT: Dept. of Transportation

# DISPOSITION PERCENTAGES CHARTS FOR THE COURT (EXCLUDING OMVH) SINCE 2018






#### **COMBINED COURT AND OMVH FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS**

FISCAL YEAR	COURT	OMVH	TOTAL CASES FILED	COURT	ОМУН	TOTAL CASES DISPOSED
12/11	555.11	<u> </u>		- COOK!	<u> </u>	5.0. 0025
FY 18-19	1128	6514	7,642	1092	6532	7,624
FY 19-20	1094	5942	7036	1065	5647	6,712
FY 20-21	929	5962	6,891	880	6236	7,116
FY 21-22	923	6298	7,220	851	5764	6,615
FY 22-23	844	6211	7,055	688	6121	6,809
FY 23-24	1573	6061	7,634	1068	6042	7,110
FY 24-25	1249	6271	7,520	1506	6096	7,602

# **FILINGS AND DISPOSITIONS FOR OMVH SINCE 2019**



#### **OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR CURRENT FY 2024-2025**

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6106	5920
02	Habitual Offender 1st Declared	10	14
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	27	25
04	Financial Responsibility	87	97
05	Dealer Licensing	1	1
06	Physical Disqualification	10	9
07	IFTA		
08	Self-Insured		
09	Driver Training School	2	0
10	IRP		
11	Miscellaneous	3	3
12	Points Suspension	4	3
13	HOR 2		
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	21	24
TOTAL		6271	6096

# **OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR CURRENT FY 2023-2024**

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	5878	5825
02	Habitual Offender 1 <sup>st</sup> Declared	27	33
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	21	26
04	Financial Responsibility	102	124
05	Dealer Licensing	4	6
06	Physical Disqualification	7	7
07	IFTA	0	0
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	1	2
10	IRP	0	0
11	Miscellaneous	2	1
12	Points Suspension	0	1
13	HOR 2	1	5
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	18	12
TOTAL		6061	6042

## **OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2022-2023**

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6018	4346
02	Habitual Offender 1 <sup>st</sup> Declared	34	27
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	29	20
04	Financial Responsibility	99	42
05	Dealer Licensing	6	3
06	Physical Disqualification	3	5
07	IFTA	0	0
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	2	0
10	IRP	0	0
11	Miscellaneous	1	2
12	Points Suspension	3	2
13	HOR 2	4	2
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	12	10
TOTAL		6211	6121

## **OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2021-2022**

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	6122	5613
02	Habitual Offender 1st Declared	35	30
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	30	25
04	Financial Responsibility	72	60
05	Dealer Licensing	3	5
06	Physical Disqualification	7	7
07	IFTA	0	1
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	0	0
10	IRP	0	1
11	Miscellaneous	1	0
12	Points Suspension	5	3
13	HOR 2	4	3
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	19	16
TOTAL		6298	5764

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative L	aw Court	
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

# OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2020-2021

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	5824	6088
02	Habitual Offender 1st Declared	22	19
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	37	31
04	Financial Responsibility	58	69
05	Dealer Licensing	2	1
06	Physical Disqualification	6	11
07	IFTA	1	2
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	0	0
10	IRP	1	0
11	Miscellaneous	1	0
12	Points Suspension	0	3
13	HOR 2	2	2
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	8	10
TOTAL		5962	6236

# **OMVH CASE TYPE REPORT FOR FY 2019-2020**

Case Type #	Description	CASES FILED	CASES DISPOSED
01	Implied Consent or BAC	5783	5459
02	Habitual Offender 1 <sup>st</sup> Declared	24	38
03	Habitual Offender Reduction	37	40
04	Financial Responsibility	53	57
05	Dealer Licensing	2	3
06	Physical Disqualification	11	12
07	IFTA	1	3
08	Self-Insured	0	0
09	Driver Training School	0	1
10	IRP	1	2
11	Miscellaneous	0	0
12	Points Suspension	5	4
13	HOR 2	4	6
14	IID (Ignition Interlock)	21	22
TOTAL		5942	5647

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Adminis	strative Law Court	
AGENCY CODE:	C050	SECTION:	058

# **AGENCY ORGANIZATIONAL CHART**



# **Reorganization and Compliance**

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

# **Primary Contact**

## **C050 - ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT**

First Name	Last Name	Role/Title	Email Add	lress	Phone
Jana	Shealy	Clerk of Court	jshealy@so	calc.net	803-734-6411
Secondary C	ontact				
First Name	Last Name	Role/Title	Email Add	lress	Phone
Danielle	Cox	Finance Director	dcox@scal	c.net	803-734-0550
Agency Miss	ion			Adopted in:	1994

The Court's mission is to provide a neutral forum for fair, prompt, and objective hearings for person(s) affected by an action or proposed action of State agencies or departments within the Court's jurisdiction. Prior to the creation of the Court, citizens who had a dispute with a state agency and wanted to challenge any action related to the dispute had to appear before hearing officers employed or contracted by that particular agency. However, the Administrative Law Court now provides separate adjudicatory proceedings from agencies which conduct the investigative and policy-making functions. The ALC also offers a forum to enforce the decisions of administrative agencies. In fulfilling its function, the Court places a very high value on its ability to be fair and neutral to all litigants that appear before it and continues efforts to improve those results.

The Office of Motor Vehicle Hearings (OMVH) was created in 2005 as an office within the ALC and its mission is to provide a neutral forum for fair, prompt, and objective hearings for persons affected by certain actions or proposed actions of the SC Department of Motor Vehicles, ensuring due process and respecting the dignity of all.

Agency Vision	Adopted	2014
	in:	

The Courts vision, including OMVH, is to provide a technologically advanced court, easily accessible by all customers and stakeholders, to ensure the fair, prompt and objective resolution of all cases.

# Recommendations for reorganization requiring legislative change:

None

None				
Significant events related to	the agency t	hat occurr	ed in FY2025	
Description of Event	Start	End	Agency Measures Impacted	Other Impacts
Creation and implementation of E-Filing system	December	June	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	
Judicial Vacancy	July	June	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	
Is the agency in compliance v	with S.C. Co	de Ann. §	2-1-220, which	
for publication online and th		_	· ·	Yes
for publication online and th 60-2-20). Reason agency is out of		_	· ·	Yes
for publication online and the 60-2-20).  Reason agency is out of compliance: (if applicable)  Is the agency in compliance vectords, including electronic History? See the Public Records and the South Care	with various ones, to the ords Act (S.Colina Unifor	requirements Departments C. Code Andrews C. Electron	ents to transfer its ent of Archives and nn. § 30-1-10 through	Yes
for publication online and the 60-2-20).  Reason agency is out of compliance: (if applicable)  Is the agency in compliance of records, including electronic History? See the Public Records and the South Card (S.C. Code Ann. § 26-6-10 the	with various ones, to the ords Act (S.C olina Unifor rough 26-10	requirement Department C. Code A. m Electrone-210).	ents to transfer its ent of Archives and nn. § 30-1-10 through nic Transactions Act	
requires submission of certain for publication online and the 60-2-20).  Reason agency is out of compliance: (if applicable)  Is the agency in compliance verecords, including electronic History? See the Public Rece 30-1-180) and the South Care (S.C. Code Ann. § 26-6-10 the Does the law allow the agence Law number(s) which gives the agency the authority to promulgate regulations:	with various ones, to the ords Act (S.C olina Unifor rough 26-10	requirement Department C. Code A. m Electrone-210).	ents to transfer its ent of Archives and nn. § 30-1-10 through nic Transactions Act	Yes

Agency intentions for other major reorganization to divisions, departments, or programs

to allow the agency to operate more effectively and efficiently in the succeeding fiscal

year:

Is the agency in compliance with S.C. Code Ann. § 1-23-120 (J), which requires an agency to conduct a formal review of its regulations every five years?	Yes				
(End of Reorganization and Compliance Section)					

# FY2025

#### Strategic Plan Results

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

C050 - ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Perf.														
Measure Number	Description	Pasa	Target		Value Type	Desired Outcome	Time Applicable	Calculation Method	Data Source	Data Location	Stakeholder Need Satisfied	Primary Stakeholder	State Funded Program Number Responsible	Notes
1.1	Track Age of Dispose		rarget	Actual	Турс	Outcome	Аррисанс	Witthou			Government and Citi		Number Responsible	rvotes
1.1.1	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	54%	100%	43%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.2	Percentage of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within internal timeframes (90 Days)	43%	100%	42%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within 90 days/ total # Contested Cases and Regulations I	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.3	Percentage of Contested Cases II disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	37%	100%	21%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases II disposed within 120 days/ total # of Contested Cases II	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.4	Percentage of Contested Cases III disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	67%	100%	36%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases III disposed within 180 days/ total # of Contested Cases III	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.5	Percentage of Contested Cases IV disposed within internal timeframes (300 Days)	33%	100%	72%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases IV disposed within 300 days/ total # of Contested Cases IV	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

Perf. Measure					Value	Desired	Time	Calculation			Stakeholder Need	Primary	State Funded Program	
Number	Description	Base	Target	Actual	Type	Outcome	Applicable	Method	Data Source	<b>Data Location</b>	Satisfied	Stakeholder	Number Responsible	Notes
1.1.6	Percentage of Appeals V disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	58%	100%		V 1	Equal to or		# of Appeals VI disposed within 180 days/ total # of Appeals V	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.7	Percentage of Appeals VI disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	48%	100%	54%			State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals V disposed within 120 days/ total # of Appeals VI	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.8	Percentage of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	58%	100%	43%	Percent	1	State Fiscal Year	# of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within 120 days/ total # of Inmate Appeals VII	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.9	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes (Excluding Inmates)	49%	100%	46%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes (excluding inmate cases)	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

# FY2026 Strategic Plan Development as submitted for the Accountability Report by

as submitted for the Accountability Report by: C050 - ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT

Perf. Measure Number	Description Track Age of Disposed (	Base Cases	Target	Value Type	Desired Outcome	Time Applicable	Calculation Method	Data Source Sta	Data Location ate Objective:	Stakeholder Need Satisfied Government and C	Primary Stakeholder Citizens	State Funded Program Number Responsible	Notes
1.1.1	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes	43%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.2	Percentage of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within internal timeframes (90 Days)	42%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases and Regulations I disposed within 90 days/ total # Contested Cases and Regulations I	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.3	Percentage of Contested Cases II disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	21%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases II disposed within 120 days/ total # of Contested Cases II	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.4	Percentage of Contested Cases III disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	36%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases III disposed within 180 days/ total # of Contested Cases III	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

Perf. Measure					Desired	Time	Calculation		Data	Stakeholder	Primary	State Funded Program Number	
Number	Description	Base	Target	Value Type	Outcome	Applicable	Method	Data Source	Location	Need Satisfied	Stakeholder	Responsible	Notes
1.1.5	Percentage of Contested Cases IV disposed within internal timeframes (300 Days)	72%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Contested Cases IV disposed within 300 days/ total # of Contested Cases IV	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	Case Management and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.6	Percentage of Appeals V disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	57%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals VI disposed within 180 days/ total # of Appeals V	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.7	Percentage of Appeals VI disposed within internal timeframes (180 Days)	54%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Appeals V disposed within 120 days/ total # of Appeals VI	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.8	Percentage of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within internal timeframes (120 Days)	43%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of Inmate Appeals VII disposed within 120 days/ total # of Inmate Appeals VII	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	
1.1.9	Percentage of all ALC cases disposed within internal timeframes (Excluding Inmates)	46%	100%	Percent	Equal to or greater than	State Fiscal Year	# of all cases disposed within internal timeframes (excluding inmate cases)	Date is maintained in case management system, audited by the clerk and then transferred into an excel spreadsheet to formulate the percentages	and Excel spreadsheet	Prompt disposal of case	Litigants	Program 1	

# **Budget Data**

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

State Funded Program No.	State Funded Program Title	Description of State Funded Program	(Actual) General	(Actual) Other	(Actual) Federal	(Actual) Total	(Projected) General	(Projected) Other	(Projected) Federal	(Projected) Total
0100.000000.000	Administration		\$ 3,733,283.90	\$ 600,093.57		\$ 4,333,377.47	\$ 4,144,030.00	\$ 700,000.00		\$ 4,144,030.00
	State Employer Contributions		\$ 1,500,559.97	\$ 207,438.17		\$ 1,707,998.14	\$ 1,444,783.24	\$212,000.00		\$ 1,656,541.00
	PUBLIC AREA RENOVATIONS		\$ 510,470.31			\$ 510,470.31	\$ -			\$ -
9818.080000X000	E-FILING MODULE		\$ -			-	-			\$ -
	STAFF ATTY/HEARING OFFICER/BUSINESS ASSOC EQUIP						-			\$ -
9817.070000X000	TECH UPGRADE		\$ 92,115.74			\$ 92,115.74	\$ -			\$ -

# **Legal Data**

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Law number	Jurisdiction	Type	Description	Purpose the law serves:	Notes:	Changes made during FY2025
A44	State	Statute	Chronically underperforming schools and school districts	Requires a service		No Change
A85	State	Statute	Electronic Notaries Public	Requires a service		No Change
A90	State	Statute	Public Service Authority/ORS	Requires a service		No Change
Al-Shabazz v. State, etc.	State	Case Law	Administrative, non-collateral appeals from Dept. of Corrections and Dept. of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services	Requires a service	The Supreme Court issued the opinion that gives the ALC this jurisdiction. It does not fall under any of the categories provided under "Type."	No Change
Article I, Section 22	State	Constitution	Constitutional provisions regarding due process hearings	Requires a service		No Change
Chapter 61-113	State	Regulation	Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Groundwater Use and Reporting	Requires a service		No Change
Chapter 61-57	State	Regulation	Dept. of Health and Environmental Control, Development of Subdivision Water Supply and Treatment/Disposal Systems	Requires a service		No Change
Chapter 63	State	Regulation	Contested Cases from the Dept. of Transportation	Requires a service		No Change
A8	State	Statute	Education Scholarship Trust Fund	Requires a service		Amended
A75	State	Statute	Law Enforcement Death Benefits	Requires a service		No Change

						a
Law number A22	Jurisdiction State	Type Statute	Description Structured Settlements	Purpose the law serves: Requires a service	Notes:	Changes made during FY2025 No Change
A77	State	Statute	Athletic Trainers	Requires a service		No Change
A38	State	Statute	Omnibus Tobacco Enforcement Act of 2023	Requires a service		No Change
A187	State	Statute	SC Board of Genetic Counselors	Requires a service		No Change
A113	State	Statute	Department of Revenue, allocation and apportionment regarding taxpayer(s) business activity in SC	Requires a service		No Change
A190	State	Statute	SC Earned Wage Access Services	Requires a service		No Change
A41	State	Statute	The SC Energy Security Act	Requires a service		Added
A42	State	Statute	Alcohol Server Training	Requires a service		Added

Services Data
as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Description of Service	Description of Direct Customer	Customer Name	Others Impacted by Service	Division or major organizational unit providing the service.	Description of division or major organizational unit providing the service.	Primary negative impact if service not provided.	Changes made to services during FY2025	Summary of changes to services
Final Orders issued in all cases		Citizens, State Agencies,	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	scivices
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC		Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC		Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the Court		Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	SCALC Hearings	Contested case hearings, appeals, regulation hearings and injunctive relief hearings	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the OMVH	Any person filing a request for a hearing with the ALC		Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings	Contested case hearings regarding driver's license suspensions	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
	71 0 1	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings	Contested case hearings regarding driver's license suspensions	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	
Final Orders issued in all cases filed with the OMVH		Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	Citizens, State Agencies, Corporations	OMVH Hearings	Contested case hearings regarding driver's license suspensions	Due Process requires a final decision in all cases filed with the Court/OMVH	No Change	

2025	as submitted fo	Partnerships Data  as submitted for the Accountability Report by:  C050 - ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT								
Type of Partner Entity	Name of Partner Entity	Description of Partnership	Change to the partnership during the past fiscal year							
State Government	All state agencies that appear before the Court or OMVH	Work with the agencies to provide information for citizens who are aggrieved by agency decisions, input on Rules of Procedure	No Change							
Professional Association	SC Bar	Provide speakers for continuing legal education seminars and assist in developing programs	No Change							
State Government	SCPRT	The ALC and SC PRT provide and assist each other with IT support as necessary	No Change							
Professional Association	South Carolina Administrative and Regulatory Law Association	Chief Judge and Clerk serve on the Board, work with partner to provide speakers and content for annual conference. Currently there is also an additional Administrative Law Judge serving on the Board	No Change							
State Government	University of South Carolina, School of Law	Provide internships for the summer JOE Program	No Change							

#### Reports Data

as submitted for the Accountability Report by:

Report Name	Law Number (if applicable)	Summary of information requested in the report	Date of most recent submission DURING the past fiscal year	Reporting Frequency	Type of entity/entities	Method to access the report	Direct access hyperlink or agency contact (if not provided to LSA for posting online)	Changes to this report during the past fiscal year	Explanation why a report wasn't submitted
Fees and Fines Report		Other revenue	September of 2025	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies	Available on agency's website	FY 2024 - 2025 Fees and Fines Report.pdf	Amend	
GAAP Reports		GAAP Reports	August of 2025	Other	South Carolina state agency or agencies			Amend	
IT/IS Plans		Data collection and survey regarding IT plans and policies	August of 2025	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies			Amend	
Organizational Chart		Positions, titles and classification	September of 2025	Annually	South Carolina state agency or agencies	Available on agency's website	SC Administrative Law Court - Contact (scalc.net)	Amend	
Procurement			July of 2025	Quarterly	South Carolina state agency or agencies			No Change	
State Vehicle Summary		Lease car mileage		Monthly	South Carolina state agency or agencies				The Administrative Law Court turned in the leased vehicle in 2024. State Fleet picked up the leased car from a body shop in July 2024 after repairs were completed.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Administrative Law Court						
<b>AGENCY CODE:</b>	C050	SECTION:	058				

2025 Accountability Report

# **SUBMISSION FORM**

I have reviewed and approved the data submitted by the agency in the following templates:

- Data Template
  - o Reorganization and Compliance
  - o FY2025 Strategic Plan Results
  - o FY2026 Strategic Plan Development
  - o Legal
  - o Services
  - Partnerships
  - o Report or Review
  - o Budget
- Discussion Template
- Organizational Template

I have reviewed and approved the financial report summarizing the agency's budget and actual expenditures, as entered by the agency into the South Carolina Enterprise Information System.

The information submitted is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

AGENCY DIRECTOR (SIGN AND DATE):	SIGNATURE ON FILE	Signature Received: 09/10/2025
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):	Ralph King Anderson, III, Chief Judge	
Board/Cmsn Chair (SIGN AND DATE):	N/A	
(TYPE/PRINT NAME):		