

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

HEARING PROCEEDINGS

November 14, 2022

Judicial Merit Selection Commission

REPORTER: Jennifer Nottle

1	STATE OF SOUT	TH CAROLINA)
2	COUNTY OF RIC	CHLAND)
3		
4		* * * *
5	Ċ	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
6		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
7		* * * *
8	BEFORE: SEN	NATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
9	MIC	CAJAH PICKETT "MICAH" CASKEY, VICE CHAIRMAN
10	SEN	NATOR RONNIE A. SABB
11	SEN	NATOR SCOTT TALLEY
12	REI	PRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13	MS.	HOPE BLACKLEY
14	MR.	J.P. "PETE" STROM
15	MS.	LUCY GREY MCIVER
16	MR.	ANDREW N. SAFRAN
17	REI	PRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN
18	MS.	ERIN CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
19		* * * *
20	DATE:	November 14, 2022
21	TIME:	9:54 a.m.
22	LOCATION:	Gressette Building
23		1101 Pendleton Street
24		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25	REPORTED BY:	JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	HONORABLE RALPH K. ANDERSON, III
4	- Examination by Ms. Benson14
5	- Examination by Chairman Rankin22
6	- Examination by Representative Rutherford 25
7	- Examination by Mr. Safran36
8	
9	HONORABLE DAVID GARRISON "GARY" HILL
10	- Examination by Ms. Symmes42
11	- Examination by Chairman Rankin50
12	- Examination by Senator Talley53
13	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey56
14	- Examination by Representative Rutherford59
15	
16	HONORABLE APHRODITE KONDUROS
17	- Examination by Ms. Wilkinson
18	- Examination by Chairman Rankin81
19	- Examination by Ms. McIver88
20	
21	HONORABLE STEPHANIE PENDARVIS McDONALD
22	- Examination by Mr. Dennis100
23	- Examination by Chairman Rankin110
24	- Examination by Mr. Safran116
25	- Examination by Mr. Strom123

1	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey128
2	- Examination by Chairman Rankin131
3	
4	WHITNEY B. HARRISON
5	- Examination by Ms. Baker137
6	- Examination by Representative Rutherford145
7	- Examination by Mr. Safran152
8	- Examination by Chairman Rankin161
9	
10	HONORABLE LETITIA H. VERDIN
11	- Examination by Ms. Crawford167
12	- Examination by Representative Rutherford175
13	
14	HONORABLE GRACE GILCHRIST KNIE
15	- Examination by Mr. Hinson187
16	
17	HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL-HOLMES
18	- Examination by Ms. Dean209
19	- Examination by Representative Jordan216
20	- Examination by Vice Chairman Caskey217
21	Certificate of Reporter222
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	* * * *
1	
2	EXHIBIT INDEX
3	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
4	EXHIBIT NO. 140
5	- South Carolina Bar Reports (37 pages)
6	EXHIBIT NO. 240
7	- Citizens Committee Reports (43 pages)
8	EXHIBIT NO. 312
9	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Ralph K.
10	Anderson, III (17 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 412
12	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
13	Honorable Ralph K. Anderson, III (3 pages)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 5
15	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Ralph K. Anderson, III
16	(6 pages)
17	EXHIBIT NO. 642
18	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable David
19	Garrison "Gary" Hill (16 pages)
20	EXHIBIT NO. 742
21	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable David Garrison "Gary"
22	Hill (4 pages)
23	EXHIBIT NO. 873
24	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Aphrodite
25	Konduros (17 pages)

1	EXHIBIT NO. 973
2	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Aphrodite Konduros (5
3	pages)
4	EXHIBIT NO. 1098
5	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Stephanie
6	Pendarvis McDonald (24 pages)
7	EXHIBIT NO. 1198
8	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
9	Honorable Stephanie Pendarvis McDonald (3 pages)
10	EXHIBIT NO. 1298
11	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Stephanie Pendarvis
12	McDonald (8 pages)
13	EXHIBIT NO. 13135
14	- Personal Data Questionnaire for Whitney B. Harrison (21
15	pages)
16	EXHIBIT NO. 14
17	- Sworn Statement of Whitney B. Harrison (6 pages)
18	EXHIBIT NO. 15166
19	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Letitia H.
20	Verdin (17 pages)
21	EXHIBIT NO. 16166
22	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin (6
23	pages)
24	EXHIBIT NO. 17185
25	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Grace

1	Gilchrist Knie (23 pages)
2	EXHIBIT NO. 18
3	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
4	Honorable Grace Gilchrist Knie (1 page)
5	EXHIBIT NO. 19
6	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Grace Gilchrist Knie (5
7	pages)
8	EXHIBIT NO. 20
9	- Personal Data Questionnaire for The Honorable Jan B.
10	Bromell-Holmes (18 pages)
11	EXHIBIT NO. 21
12	- Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for The
13	Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes (1 page)
14	EXHIBIT NO. 22
15	- Sworn Statement of The Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes
16	(6 pages)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right we are on the record for
2	the fall '22 session of JMSC screening. Welcome
3	everyone. I want to welcome the only new face to
4	our midst and that is actually not one but
5	two, and they are both house members, Micah
6	Caskey, Representative Micah Caskey and
7	Representative Jay Jordan. Welcome, gentlemen,
8	welcome aboard. I want to welcome Micah and Jay
9	to this process. Y'all are familiar with it,
10	having seen the work product that comes out of
11	this. Now you're going to be a part of producing
12	that work product. And so, formally, let me just
13	say this. We are called pursuant to Chapter 19
14	of Title 2, requiring the review of candidates
15	for judicial office. The function of the
16	Commission is not to choose between the
17	candidates, but rather to declare whether the
18	candidates who offer for positions on the bench
19	are, in our judgment, qualified to fill the
20	positions they seek. The inquiry we undertake is
21	a thorough one centered around our nine
22	evaluative criteria involving a complete personal
23	and professional background check on each
24	candidate. And for these public hearings,
25	they're convened for solely the purpose of

1 screening candidates. This slate we screen one 2 open seat in the Supreme Court, one seat for re-3 election and one open seat on the Court of 4 Appeals, two open seats on the Circuit Court, two 5 seats for re-election and five open seats on the 6 Family Court, an open seat on the Administrative 7 Law Court, one seat for Master-in-Equity and four 8 retired judges. And with those introductory 9 remarks, unless there are comments from our new 10 members, you'll have ample time to be heard 11 later. We will request that you defer now, but again, welcome. This is an interesting process 12 13 that has been tried and true. And hopefully each 14 go round we learn and we do exactly as we're 15 charged by the statute to do. And again, I 16 welcome you with that. And now on motion of my 17 motion maker to the right. Erin, she's 18 motioning, and so we want to hear from Erin 19 Crawford, counsel to the Committee. MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, before we go into 20 21 executive session I just want to introduce the 22 screening attorneys and the staff. If you could 23 stand and wave so the Commission members will 24 know who to contact if they have a question about 25 any candidate. For the Senate, we have Paula

1	Benson, Bob Maldonado, Maura Baker, Madison
2	Faulk, Sharon Wilkinson, Breeden John, Catherine
3	Hart I don't see Catherine. House, we have
4	Emma Dean, Jimmy Hinson, Patrick Dennis, Haley
5	Mottel Symmes, Julia Foster, Emma Hall, Erica
6	Starnes and Macey Webb. Senate staff I'd like to
7	thank that are helping a great deal, Maxine
8	Henry, Elizabeth Harrell, Susan Gibson, Marie
9	Waller, of course, Lindi Putnam. Mr. Chairman,
10	at this time I'd like to request a vote on the
11	cover page for the draft report. It shows that
12	the report will be delivered on Thursday, January
13	12th, 2023, and the 48-hour period ends at noon
14	on Tuesday, January 17th, 2023. The election
15	tentatively scheduled, pursuant you know,
16	depending on the concurrent resolution, for noon,
17	Wednesday, February 1st.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Is there a motion for
19	that?
20	SENATOR TALLEY: Motion.
21	SENATOR JORDAN: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Moved and seconded. All
23	in favor, say aye.
24	(Ayes are heard.)
25	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, at this time I'd like to
	I

1	distribute the signature page.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, unanimously adopted.
3	MS. CRAWFORD: Okay, sorry. Can we please distribute
4	the signature page for the report. Lindi will do
5	that.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well.
7	MS. CRAWFORD: At this time, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
8	suggest that we move in executive
9	session regarding legal matters.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any opposition of that.
11	Motion by Senator Talley, seconded by
12	Representative Jordan. All in favor, say aye.
13	(Ayes are heard.)
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: There being no opposition, we will
15	go in executive session.
16	EXECUTIVE SESSION
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, ladies and
18	gentlemen. We are now back on the record, and
19	for the record I'd like to state that in
20	executive session no decisions were made and no
21	votes taken. And we will now proceed to our
22	first candidate. I'm sorry. First before we get
23	to our first candidate, we are now going to the
24	election of the Vice Chair of JMSC. Motion of
25	Representative Jordan.

1	REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd
2	like to nominate Representative Micah Caskey to
3	serve as your Vice Chairman.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And seconded by Senator
5	Talley and a host of others. Any other
6	nominations? There being none, all in favor of
7	Representative Caskey being Vice Chair, say aye.
8	(Ayes are heard.)
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And there are no dissenting votes.
10	Welcome Representative Caskey, Chairman Caskey.
11	Now, to our first candidate, and that is Judge
12	Anderson, who will be making his way in.
13	Welcome, and there you are. Come on around, sir.
14	All right, Judge Anderson, welcome.
15	THE HONORABLE RALPH K. ANDERSON, III, being duly
16	sworn, testifies as follows:
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome. You have submitted for us
18	a PDQ, Personal Data Questionnaire and Sworn
19	Statement. And those are ready to be submitted
20	by you to the Commission; is that right?
21	JUDGE ANDERSON: Correct.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes, additions, deletions
23	that you would like to make before they're
24	admitted into the record?
25	JUDGE ANDERSON: Yeah, I've made a

```
1
    MS. PUTNAM:
                  Yes, I have your amendment.
 2
    JUDGE ANDERSON:
                      I've got an amendment.
 3
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But any other than those --
 4
     JUDGE ANDERSON: No, no other amendments.
 5
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay, very well. And you have no
 6
          objection to them being entered into the record,
 7
          do you?
 8
     JUDGE ANDERSON:
                      No, sir.
 9
     (Exhibit Number 3 was marked for identification
10
    purposes - (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for
11
     The Honorable Ralph K. Anderson, III.)
12
     (Exhibit Number 4 was marked for identification
13
    purposes - (3 pages) Amendment to Personal Data
14
     Questionnaire for The Honorable Ralph K. Anderson,
15
     III.)
16
     (Exhibit Number 5 was marked for identification
17
    purposes - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable
18
    Ralph K. Anderson, III.)
19
     CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Lindi has now handed those to
20
          our Court Reporter, and they are now part of the
21
                   Judge Anderson, as you know, in this
          record.
22
          process the Commission investigates your
23
          qualifications for the bench. Our efforts to
24
          conduct a thorough investigation focuses on nine
25
          evaluative criteria, including a ballot box
```

1 survey, a thorough study of your application 2 materials, verification of your compliance with 3 the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper 4 articles in which your name appears, a study of 5 previous screenings and a check for economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits have been 6 7 filed in opposition to your election, and no 8 witnesses are here to testify. You have the 9 opportunity to make a brief opening statement if 10 you would like to. If not, we will move to 11 questions of you. I'll waive that. 12 JUDGE ANDERSON: 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. Thank you. Paula 14 Benson will now direct questions to you. Thank 15 you, so much. 16 MS. BENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the 17 record that based on the testimony contained in 18 the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in 19 the record with the candidate's consent, that 20 Judge Anderson meets the Constitutional 21 requirements for this position regarding age, 22 residence and years of practice. 23 JUDGE ANDERSON - EXAMINATION BY MS. BENSON: 24 Judge Anderson, why do you now want to serve as a Q. 25 judge on the Supreme Court?

Α.	I'll start by saying, as I have in the past, that I
	recognize that there are so many lawyers and judges
	that would love to be on the Supreme Court. And
	for me to ask for the privilege to do that I
	recognize that that is a significant step for
	anyone to take. And I'll say that my journey to be
	on the Supreme Court has taken an interesting path,
	because when I started with the Attorney General's
	office, my hopes and dreams were to be a trial
	lawyer. And I was told I'm in a wheelchair that
	and back then we didn't have the ADA. So I was
	told I couldn't be a trial lawyer because my hands
	don't work that well. I couldn't handle exhibits,
	but I found out about magistrate court cases being
	tried in Greenville. So I drove up back and forth
	to Greenville to prove I could do that level. And
	I worked my way up to be the a if not the lead
	trial lawyer for the Attorney General's office in
	both the criminal and civil side, because I helped
	Ed Evans. And then the opening of the
	Administrative Law Court or the Administrative
	Law Court came into existence. I ran for it, got
	elected in the second wave. And I even had second
	thoughts then because I loved being a trial lawyer.
	Although I will say I used to go in the court
	A.

1 and I think some of y'all can relate to this --2 right when the case was again -- the stress of the 3 case would come down, and I'd be thinking why the 4 heck does anyone ever want to be a trial lawyer. 5 And then as soon as the case began, I would be why 6 would anybody want to be anything but. 7 started at the Attorney General's office -- I mean, 8 at the Administrative Law Court. And at the time 9 we didn't have a lot of appellate jurisdictions. 10 Originally it was only around ten percent. 11 it's evolved around 60, but I'll just cut to the 12 chase a little quicker. I just over the years --13 both the appellate jurisdiction of our court and 14 also even the contested case jurisdiction, we hear 15 a lot of statutory construction issues. 16 cases, you know, are fairly a gambit. We have to 17 do findings of facts and conclusions of law. 18 They're a gambit of that of an appellate case. 19 I've developed a love for appellate law. 20 think I would do a very good job, and that's part 21 of my path why I would like be on the Supreme 22 Court. 23 Judge, how would you describe your general judicial Q. 24 philosophy? 25

Α.

My judicial philosophy, I believe -- I guess that

comes somewhat from my father is that I believe in hard work. And I believe in issuing orders that are scholarly, you know, well reasoned. I enjoy that aspect of blending the understanding of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That would be my approach concerning that question.

statutory construction with logical principles.

- Q. What is your vision for the future of the judicial system, and what changes would you advocate and why?
- I would hope that the judicial system for the Α. future would just maintain its respect and be a forum for people to come and to receive justice under our laws. There's a lot of ways to answer that question, but for the future I think currently there's a backlog of cases. I really think that the court needs to take that on and allow people -and we have articles, Article 1, Section 9, that people have a right to a speedy resolution of their cases therein in the courts. And I think that needs to be addressed. I think we're going to have to address the blending of technology. We've seen that with Covid that technology is coming to the forefront in the judiciary. But, you know, we've got to marry that with the aspect of having inperson hearings. Because I just think that just

Zoom or whatever.

4

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

like we're here today, rather than doing this via I think there's a benefit to be gained by that. So I think I would see that as an important issue coming forward.

- Q. What deference would you give to the actions of the General Assembly?
- Α. Well, on that one I'll start with the Constitution. Article 1, Section 8 says, in the government of this state, the legislative, executive and judicial powers shall be forever -- shall be forever separate and distinct from one another. And that's a pretty strong statement. And it goes on to say, though, that no person or persons exercising the functions of one said department shall assume or discharge the duties of any others. So, I mean, you've got the principles that are carried forward about giving deference to the co-equal branches of government, the political question doctorate. in our Constitution, at least in our State, is even more so emphasized. So I think that judicial deference in that regard is important -- or judicial restraint, judicial deference. when you speak of judicial restraint, the question is what are you restraining from, and what you're restraining from is stepping on the toes of co-

1 equal branches of government. And then the next 2 question would be in what regard are you deferring 3 to, and that would be -- two scenarios there I 4 would see is -- one would be the fact that where 5 the General Assembly has already passed laws, there 6 would be deference there. But then the secondary 7 aspect is well, if the case comes before you, and 8 there is no laws that have been passed, but it is 9 still an issue, the case before you -- the issue is 10 still one that should reside in the General 11 Assembly. Do you scratch that itch to try to put 12 your stamp on how things should be, tell people 13 what you think is fair or not, or do you leave that 14 to the branch of government that has been granted 15 that authority? And I would leave it to the 16 General Assembly. 17 Q. Judge, congratulations on having written a book, 18 The Majesty of the Lord's Prayer, which is a legal 19 analysis of the Lord's Prayer. Have you given that 20 book out to members of the General Assembly at any 21 time? 22

Yes, a few of them. Α.

23

24

25

Could you tell the Commission when that was and if Q. you were a candidate for judicial office at that time?

- 1 A. It's been some time ago. I can't even remember when it was published.
- Q. The publication date of the volume was December the 23rd, 2020.
- 5 Α. It was given out based shortly after -- or when I 6 I mean, shortly after it was gave it out. 7 published. It was to those members of the General 8 Assembly that were in a prayer group that I was in. 9 And then there's a few others that were pastors, 10 one situation was a pastor's wife, but I thought 11 that would be helpful for them. But I will note 12 that the book is valued at less than \$25. So it's 13 not even something that would be reportable, and I 14 really don't think that any member of the General 15 Assembly would be influenced by a 250-page book 16 with 700 footnotes. They may use it more to 17 facilitate nap time.
 - Q. And Judge, just to be clear, when you gave the book out, you were not a candidate for judicial office; is that correct?
- 21 A. Correct, correct.

19

20

Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge, the Commission received
566 ballot box surveys regarding you with 86
containing additional comments. And most of those,
had some very positive comments. In fact, 73

1 praised you for your intelligence, your 2 professionalism, your fairness, your ethics, your 3 work ethic and your analytical abilities. 4 of the comments expressed some concerns, and they 5 were mostly divided into two areas. One, that you 6 might show bias toward state agencies. How would 7 you respond to that? 8 The last time I was in here, it was split. Α. 9 half the people said -- or made the comment that I 10 showed bias toward state agencies. The other half 11 made the comment that I showed bias toward The bottom line is I just call it like 12 businesses. 13 I see it. And I quess some people are disappointed 14 when I call it like I see it. But I do my best not 15 to show bias toward anybody. My job is to follow 16 the law, and that's where my direction is made when I consider a case. 17 18 Judge, the other concern is about your conduct in Q. 19 the courtroom, that sometimes you seem to have a 20 superior attitude in the courtroom. How would you 21 respond to that comment? 22 Α. Well, I would respond to that that anyone that's 23 ever been in my courtroom, I think they would 24 completely disagree with that. I try to be -- as

the judicial canons instruct us, to be patient,

25

1 courteous and dignified toward the litigants and 2 the parties and the lawyers in the case. 3 I would note that the Midlands Citizens MS. BENSON: 4 Committee reported that Judge Anderson is qualified in the evaluative criteria of Constitutional 5 6 qualifications, physical health and mental 7 stability and well qualified in the criteria of 8 ethical fitness, character, professional and 9 academic ability and experience, reputation and 10 judicial temperament. The Committee further noted, 11 "very sincere in his relationship with the law, 12 good work ethic that will get opinions out sooner." 13 Judge, I have a few housekeeping issues. 0. 14 submitting your letter to run for this seat, have 15 you contacted any members of the Commission about 16 your candidacy? 17 Α. No. 18 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 19 the limitations on contacting members of the 20 General Assembly regarding screening? 21 Yes, I am. Α. 22 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 23 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 24 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 25

1 Α. No. 2 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 3 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 4 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 5 process on your behalf? 6 Α. No. 7 Mr. Chairman, I would just note for the MS. BENSON: 8 record that any concerns raised during the 9 investigation regarding this candidate were 10 incorporated into the questioning of the candidate 11 today. I have no further questions. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Benson. 13 Questions by members of the Commission. 14 JUDGE ANDERSON - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 Judge Anderson, I just want to just start one --0. 16 not the last question, but near the end. You gave 17 me a copy of that book about a year ago, a year and 18 a half ago, two years ago, maybe? 19 Actually you're the only person that I have ever Α. 20 actually ever given a book to who turned around and 21 paid me for it, so --22 Well, let the record reflect. Well, but that was Q. 23 well before any interest in --24 Α. Yeah. 25 And so in the vein of that book, I want to know, Q.

1 and having not found this or read close enough to 2 see, why do the Presbyterians say -- shorten it as 3 compared to the Baptists with the Lord's Prayer, 4 those who trespass against me versus the 5 Presbyterian version of that prayer? 6 I don't know the Presbyterian version of the Α. 7 Prayer, so you would have to tell me that for me to 8 respond. 9 Well, debts and debtors forgive -- anyway, so it is Q. very efficient --10 11 Personally I -- all right. I'm familiar with that Α. 12 underlying issue, but I think that just the better 13 rendition of the Hebrew is debt and debtors. 14 if you had read my book, you would have seen the 15 point that I made that when you consider debts, you 16 consider not just the sin, the trespass, but the 17 results of that sin and trespass, that you've 18 committed, and you owe a building of more and more 19 and more debt. And that debt is owed to the very 20 person that you were seeking the forgiveness from, 21 and that would be God. Well, it's definitely efficient. 22 Q. I grew up 23 Baptist, and there are far fewer words in the 24 Presbyterian vernacular of that with debt and

debtors --

25

- 1 A. Yeah.
- Q. -- but shifting to -- I'll call it the religious or
- 3 Christian theme, you've got, as one of your letters
- of reference, the retired Shandon Baptist Church,
- 5 | right?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Dick Lincoln has written on your behalf as well as 8 Mr. Jones, who you worked with years ago.
- 9 A. One of the duties I had with the Attorney General's
- office was I was counsel to the State Ethics
- 11 | Commission for several years until they finally got
- 12 their own in-house general counsel. And I gave
- 13 legal advice to the Ethics Commission and also,
- depending upon the chairman, I would rule on
- evidentiary issues in the Commission, or I would
- 16 give advice on the evidentiary issues.
- 17 Q. Well, a couple of the highlights in terms of
- 18 humility, professionalism, work ethic and
- 19 courageous. So anyway, nice things to have said
- 20 about you in your walk and your quest to become a
- 21 Supreme Court justice.
- 22 | A. My walk? Just messing with you.
- 23 Q. You said it. I didn't. But it is a closer walk
- 24 | with thee; right, isn't that the song?
- 25 | A. Yeah.

Q. So I don't think they changed that, but you, sir, are a mark of courageousness that I've admired for a long time.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So with that, questions by other members of the Commission? Representative Rutherford.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE ANDERSON - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

0. Good morning. Something that you kind of touched on, and I was taking notes on something else, but we talked about judicial economy and moving cases. And something that I've struggled with lately -and I don't want to burden every candidate with it, but I probably will -- what I believe is now the antiquated notion that a criminal case is old after And it has come up lately because the one year. chief has put an emphasis on changing the way that our docket is structured. And the courts have kind of followed that lead with, I believe, the problem being that defendants are getting the short end of the stick because they're being forced into the courtroom when the only person that wants them in there is the chief and the chief judge at that point. But when I first started practicing law in '96, we were basically doing murders by blood type. Type O -- and the offender had Type O blood,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

most likely you're the guy that did it. But we've now moved on to DNA and cell phone dumps and social media and all of these things that took a criminal case and made it where -- again, going backwards, the first murder case that I ever was given in the solicitor's office was a file that had eight pieces of paper in it, a couple of pictures, an incident report, and that was it. And now a DUI case has three body cams. It had two dash cams. It has statements by the defendant and statements by And when that case comes to trial, officers. you're not doing your client a good service if you're not looking at the social media of all of the potential jurors and the social media of the police officers because of all the data that we now have available to us that, as lawyers, we have to look into. And so, cases that were, again when I first started, a year old, because they were legitimately a year old, and that was technically old, are now at this point just beginning because there's so much information and so much obligation by lawyers to consume all of that and then put out a just result. So how is it that we are going to take all of that and create a construct that allows for us to be honest with the citizens of this state

1 and say, what used to be old is now at some points 2 in its infancy and somewhat just beginning. And so to make it so that every criminal lawyer and every 3 4 prosecutor and every criminal judge must try and 5 meet that one year mark or we have somehow fallen 6 behind to me is antiquated. But not only that, it 7 is causing a great deal of stress on those of us 8 that practice criminal law. Do you have any ideas 9 as to how we can address that and deal with what, 10 again, was the practice of law but no longer is at 11 least in the field that I practice, which is mostly 12 criminal law? 13 I'll start by saying that a lot of times when Α. 14 lawyers ask questions that long, I say that that 15 question ought to be taken out and shot. But your 16 question started with that which the Chief Justice 17 has been doing. And you seem to be asking me -- if 18 you go through the logical progression of that 19 question, that potentially I may be stepping on the 20 toes of the Chief Justice of whom's court I wish to 21 sit upon. 22 0. Or in the seat of at some point. 23 Α. Well --24 And that's why we have to ask now. Q.

Okay. But you could also ask me if I ever had the

25

Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

privilege of doing that -- but I think that the Supreme Court should always be attuned to both And it doesn't hurt -- sometimes things can get so bogged down with committees. But I think given the scenario that you just gave, it wouldn't hurt to have a committee of lawyers on both sides who're here to hear that issue out. I do recognize that -- I mean, I'm with you. I was prosecuting felony DUI cases back when they were pretty simple and I was asking people about whether they had a refrigerator in the back of the car because the beer was cold, but -- and murder cases, too, that just didn't have that much paperwork to them. there needs to be a happy medium, because you still need to have a speedy trial. And it behooves defense lawyers sometimes. The older the case gets, the more advantage it is to the defense. But my answer would be that I would -- the approach that I would take is to hear from both sides and let's just see if we can come up with a time frame to say, okay, in this type case, we need to look --I think it would be individual cases too. would ultimately reach more of an instructive rather than a bright line test of if this case involves this complexity of the law, then the

1 lawyer needs to be given time to develop it. 2 think you would instruct the circuit court to be 3 attuned to that. But maybe attuned to that with a 4 more developed understanding as a result of this 5 committee approach I'm thinking. Does that help? 6 It does, and it's -- as we refer back to the civil 0. 7 side, if it's a car accident. If a car accident 8 happens today, a lawyer has three years to file the 9 After they file it, typically in Richland, 10 you're at trial within about the first year. 11 you're four years old before the case ever gets up 12 to the point where it's in court, potentially. 13 at that point, the lawyers can decide on both sides 14 to 40J it and move it back even further. 15 Yeah. Α. 16 But there's no mechanism like that on the criminal 0. 17 side, and --18 No mechanism for the filing of it. When you say Α. 19 that -- a criminal case can be brought even further 20 back than three years. The issue is not 21 necessarily when the case is brought. It's the 22 discovery that is allowed the lawyer representing 23 their clients that they're allowed to make after 24 the case is brought; is it not?

Well, that's part of the problem in that, for

25

Q.

1 example, if you compare the federal courts to the 2 way that we do it in the state, and seemingly after 3 Langford, we have tried to move the state court 4 system to be more like the federal courts. 5 federal courts typically are doing years of 6 investigation before they actually bring the 7 charges. In state court, they may go out and make 8 an arrest today and then continue to do investigation, investigation, investigation up 9 until six months, a year later. While they're 10 11 still investigating, maybe they even bring new 12 charges, which the statewide grand jury does all 13 the time. But all the while that case is ticking 14 to become at that year old mark old when the 15 investigation has not even been complete. And at 16 that point, as soon as it's declared an indictment, 17 a charge or whatever, the defense lawyer -- the perception is he's gaining some advantage by this 18 19 case getting old. Where the new model is, there's 20 so much investigation still going on --21 I think I could stop you there. Α. 22 0. All right, go ahead. 23 The one year scenario or time frame you just gave, Α.

24

25

it?

under a case like that, it's somewhat silly, isn't

1 Q. It is.

A. And that would be my response, obviously. If the prosecutor's still investigating the case, and the defense lawyer has to respond to the investigation, once all that's laid in your lap -- so -- but under the scenario you just gave, you would need time to respond to that, which you've been given. You can't just throw it in your lap and ask you to walk into court the next day. For instance, the DNA --

- Q. But how do you address that?
- 11 A. -- the DNA results, you --
- 12 Q. Well, I think you're on target. The problem is,
 13 how do you address that en masse? How do you
 14 address it so that the lawyers --
- 15 A. I don't think you can.
 - Q. -- and the reason why, because as a criminal defense lawyer I walk into court, and this is the hand I've been dealt. I'm having to now ask for a continuance. And the judge is going, oh, you're going to get an advantage, because this case is getting older. And so if I give you a continuance, I've got to give everybody else a continuance. The case is getting older on my docket. The chief says I've got to move them. So en masse, how do we start to recognize -- because currently the system

doesn't have a way to recognize what you just said

--

- A. Yeah, but what you -- the point I was making is you change the en masse approach, that you recognize that cases should be viewed on a case-by-case basis. And you may have an en masse fundamental approach, but the court should be instructed that that is only a fundamental or general way of looking at the case. But you -- when it's laid at your lap, you should give more time for any attorney to develop their case so they can properly represent the client.
- Q. Lastly, something that we've talked about up here for a while and has obviously gained no traction. How do we deal with lawyer lifestyle? How do we make sure that a lawyer who needs time, who needs mental space, who needs family time -- how do we ensure that a lawyer is capable of getting the time that they need to spend with their family to do whatever it is they're entitled to do under any other scenario but for the fact that they're a lawyer and have to get permission from a judge in order to even take time off, if we have judges that don't sign orders of protection for lawyers. Or that tell lawyers you need to go check with the

1

2

other side and see whether they're okay with you scheduling this vacation six months from now? do we stop talking about mental health when we bring in people to tell us what stress looks like and where stress comes from when the people that are giving lawyers stress don't seem to recognize the fact that lawyers need family time, vacation time, and at some point, if we're not accumulating it the way that any other employee would who's been -- he's been practicing law 40 years and still is not guaranteed two weeks vacation a year. Whereas almost any other employee is. How does he say, guys, I'll be off this week and have the court go, he's off, leave him alone. How do we get to that North Carolina has two weeks times that a lawyer gets to take without having to answer depositions, without having to be bothered with How do we get to that point here in South things. Carolina without paying lip service to the stress that we all know that we're under, but just being told to deal with it?

A. All right. Implicit in your question was that most of this problematic aspect lies at the feet of the judges that you're bringing the cases or seeking to continuances to. So that would need to be

1 addressed as far as -- at least the court I'm 2 running for -- the Supreme Court would instruct 3 judges in that regard, and certainly the Supreme 4 Court can develop rules that allow lawyers to 5 select two weeks a year. Man, I don't see why 6 there would be a problem with that, if that's what 7 you're asking. Now my father, I remember when he 8 was on the bench, and he was good friends with Jack 9 I think, Mr. Safran, dad would say -- to Swerling. 10 answer Mr. Rutherford's question, he just needs to 11 become Jewish, because Jack had all kinds of 12 religious holidays that he was asking off for. the two weeks, I just think that would be something 13 14 that any court should be open to for a year. 15 regarding the rest, I mean, judges need to be, I 16 quess, more sensitive to the fact that if Mr. Strom 17 has been practicing for 40 years, he just needs 18 more time off. 19

Q. And I hear you. And I appreciate you saying that, but I can tell you -- and this is not a question but a comment that that's not what's going on. In fact, I received a prelim notice for 4:30 afternoon on the Wednesday prior to Thanksgiving that Richland County has court -- not just the county, but, if we practice in federal court, we are in

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 court 52 weeks a year, including the week after 2 Christmas. So at some point, without the Supreme 3 Court saying, we've got to do something here, 4 nothing's going on. It's just getting worse. And 5 so that's why I'm asking you these questions. Ι 6 hope that it wasn't too much of a bother that I'm 7 going to bother everyone on the panel every time we 8 Because it's becoming just untenable get people. 9 at this point, especially after Covid when nobody Lawyers can't hire staff, but we're 10 wants to work. 11 still now being pressed to do more and produce more 12 when everybody knows that there's nobody out there that wants to work, but anyway, thank you. 13 14 Mr. Strom. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge Anderson, you MR. STROM: 16 and I were in law school together, so we've known 17 each other a good long time and --18 JUDGE ANDERSON: That makes both of us old. 19 MR. STROM: Right. And I don't have a question. 20 think most of the people in this room know you and 21 know your reputation, but I'm just looking at one 22 of the comments. And it's absolute gentleman and 23 scholar, and I think that sums you up. And I don't

have any questions about your qualifications

Thank you for your service.

24

25

whatsoever.

- 1 | JUDGE ANDERSON: Thank you. That really is my goal.
- 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

- 3 JUDGE ANDERSON EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:
 - Q. Judge, I echo those comments. I think the first time I had any interaction with you, you were doing extradition issues for the Attorney General's office many, many, many, many years ago.
 - A. That was my first job.
 - Q. And so -- one of my first. Let me look at some of these comments, and we see them in everybody's. I guess I've got a question that you may or may not be able to answer, but to some extent it relates to some of the negative comments that you got. When I first started, they had a term for that. They called it robitis.
 - A. That's a term is use.
 - Q. And I guess, do you feel like that that malady has struck you?
 - A. Oh, my gosh, no. And the good thing is I was brought up with a father who was a judge, but not only a judge but one that did not have robitis at all. And I think that just was an excellent teaching tool to learn by someone who had authority, not to let it go to his head. And I have seen robitis though. And I've had to deal

- with it in my court, but I'm pretty confident that

 I haven't been bit by that particular bug.
 - Q. Well, and I guess my question is, is that -- I'm assuming that in the times that you actually were in court, I'm sure you also kind of might have been the recipient of the effects of robitis from some of the judges; am I fair in that?
 - A. I think only on just maybe one or two occasions, but I really think -- I can remember one right now.
 - Q. And you never forgot it, did you?

- 11 A. No. From just now when I said I could remember.
 12 No, I never forgot that one.
 - Q. Well, and I guess my question is -- and it leads to the other point. We seem to get a lot of negative comments about judges that make it appear as if that type of attitude is commonplace. Do you think it's increased in the last 30 years in terms of at least what you've seen and heard? Because I certainly don't have, anecdotally, a recollection that it was as, I guess, widespread as what we're led to believe based upon some of these ballot box comments that we get. I mean, you think it's worse now?
- 24 A. Boy, I don't -- I hate answering that question.
- 25 | Q. Well, let me ask a different way.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
L	0
L	1
L	2
L	3
L	4
L	5
L	6
L	7
L	8
L	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Α.

No, I'll answer it. I'm not going to shy away from But I think I see it more with the a question. younger judges. And I will say most of the time robitis is a temporary affliction. But I think that robitis is a combination of sometimes arrogance, but sometimes it's -- and the Chairman and the screening attorney is asking about judicial Robitis can be, from my perspective, as deference. something -- these younger judges may come in with an agenda. And the attorney comes in, and you're not open minded. Because even if you believe that there is a preexisting view of the law, and an attorney is arguing against that preexisting view, it's still important to just listen. And I've gone into court absolutely convinced that one side was going to lose and turn around and decide in favor of that side. And so I think it's both of -- I think a lot of people think of robitis as arrogance and a lack of humility. But I think judges need to be instructed that there is no -- they're not to have an agenda. I laugh about the phrase justice. They need to make sure that they don't come into the courtroom and rather get -- rather than justice they get just us. So I hope I've answered that question.

1 Q. You have, and I appreciate it. Thank you very 2 much. 3 All right. Any other questions of CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 Judge Anderson. All right, sir. Thank you, and 5 this will now conclude this portion of our 6 screening process. And let me remind you, as you 7 know, that pursuant to our evaluative criteria we 8 expect you and the other candidates to follow both 9 the spirit and the letter of the ethics law. 10 any violation of the appearance of impropriety will 11 be deemed very serious and potentially deserving of 12 heavy weight in our screening deliberations. 13 that note, as you know, this record will remain 14 open until the formal release of the report of 15 qualifications. You may be called back at such 16 time if the need arises, which we certainly would 17 not expect. Again, thank you for your service. 18 Thank you for your willingness to offer for this 19 position, and unless you have anything further to say, Judge Anderson, you are now dismissed. 20 21 Thank you, and thank you for your JUDGE ANDERSON: 22 I would have enjoyed even more of them, questions. because I find this process interesting, but, thank 23 24 you.

(Off the Record)

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good, thank you. I'm going to
2	recognize Erin Crawford.
3	MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I neglected earlier to point
4	out to the Commission that in your notebooks we do
5	have the judicial seats that we expect to screen
6	next time. And there are several new seats that I
7	have highlighted, I believe. And those are pending
8	funding in the budget. It's my understanding we'll
9	have those new seats as well. Mr. Chairman, I'd
10	like to offer and have made as exhibits to the
11	record the following: the 2022 Citizens Committee
12	Reports from the Low Country, Midland, Pee Dee,
13	Piedmont and Upstate as well as the 2022 South
14	Carolina Bar's Judicial Qualifications Report.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And any objections to those
16	coming in? Hearing none, at this time those
17	reports will be marked as exhibits and entered into
18	the public record. And next we will hear from
19	Judge Gary Hill.
20	(Exhibit Number 1 was marked for identification purposes
21	- (37 pages) SC Bar Reports.)
22	(Exhibit Number 2 was marked for identification purposes
23	- (43 pages) Citizens Committee Reports.)
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge Hill, come on up, if you will,
25	sir.

Yes, sir. 1 JUDGE HILL: 2 THE HONORABLE DAVID GARRISON HILL, being duly 3 sworn, testifies as follows: 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: While Lindi is close by, you have 5 prepared a Personal Data Questionnaire and Sworn Statement for submission to the Commission, and do 6 7 you have any changes that you need to make before 8 they're admitted into evidence or into the record? 9 JUDGE HILL: No, sir. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any you have no objection to them 11 coming in, correct? 12 JUDGE HILL: No, sir. 13 They are now in the record. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 You, Judge Hill, are familiar with this process and 15 our investigation of your candidacy. We look at nine evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot 16 17 box survey, a thorough study of your application 18 materials, verification of your compliance with 19 state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles 20 in which your name appears, previous screenings and 21 a check for economic conflicts of interest. There 22 was one affidavit filed in opposition to your 23 election, which has been dismissed pursuant to JMSC 24 Rule 13 for failure to, on its face, state 25 allegations related to your character, competency

1	or ethics as required by our rules. And therefore,
2	no opposition is filed as to your candidacy, and
3	likewise, no witnesses are present to testify. You
4	have the opportunity to make an ever so brief
5	opening statement if you would like. Otherwise, we
6	will turn it over to Haley for questions.
7	(Exhibit Number 6 was marked for identification purposes
8	- (16 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
9	Honorable David Garrison "Gary" Hill.)
10	(Exhibit Number 7 was marked for identification purposes
11	- (4 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable David
12	Garrison "Gary" Hill.)
13	JUDGE HILL: Other than to thank Ms. Mottel and Ms.
14	Crawford, I'll spare you an opening statement. I
15	know you've got a full agenda.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much. All right.
17	MS. SYMMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the
18	record that based on the testimony contained in the
19	candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the
20	record with the candidate's consent, David Garrison
21	"Gary" Hill meets the Constitutional and statutory
22	requirements for this position regarding age,
23	residence and years of practice.
24	JUDGE HILL - EXAMINATION BY MS. SYMMES:
25	Q. Judge Hill, why do you want to serve as a judge on

the Supreme Court?

- A. Well, like pretty much everyone in this room I suspect, I have a passionate commitment to the rule of law, and I also have a passionate devotion to our state. And I think I have an obligation to provide service where it can be most useful, and I think the Supreme Court is certainly an opportunity to help protect an important central institution in our government and work on the rule of law preservation in our state.
- Q. Thank you. Judge Hill, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge on the Supreme Court?
- A. Well, first of all, I was very fortunate to start my career with some excellent lawyers, and we had a general practice firm. So I was exposed to a lot of different areas, which has given me a great perspective since being a circuit judge and on the Court of Appeals. I mean, I did everything from Magistrate's Court to arguing cases in the Fourth Circuit and the Supreme Court. I even once tried a case in front of the South Carolina Mining Council. I don't know how many people have done that before, but did guite a --

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Where is that?

1 JUDGE HILL: The South Carolina Mining Council.

2 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Where? Where did they hold that

3 hearing?

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE HILL: It actually was in the Blatt Building they held that.

- A. And so I saw a little bit of everything, enough to be dangerous in some areas. And I think that's just given me a broad perspective that has certainly served me well thus far.
- 10 Q. Thank you. How would you describe your general judicial philosophy?
 - A. Well, it's pretty simple. My philosophy is I think the judge's role is to preserve the fairness of the proceedings. And the chief aspect of that is to allow the lawyers and litigants to be heard.

 That's -- after all, that's due process, and that means you have to really hear them. You have to listen and listen courteously. And then you have to consider fairly the facts and the law. And you have to let the parties know they've been heard, and you have to decided promptly and in the most narrow way possible. All of that designed to ensure the fairness and integrity of the process.
 - Q. Judge Hill, what is your vision for the future of our judicial system, what changes would you

advocate and why?

1

2 Well, I'm not sure if I have an all-encompassing Α. 3 vision. I know that I think our court system has 4 some challenges right now. First of all, in the 5 speed with which we dispose of cases on the 6 appellate level. That's one thing I've been 7 working on in the Court of Appeals is improving 8 disposition time of our cases. And it's just not 9 acceptable the current delays that people 10 That's been going on a long time. encounter. 11 Certainly the Supreme Court has its own delays with 12 their considerations of ** docket. So improving 13 the speed of the process would be -- and the 14 efficiency to process would be one thing I would be 15 interested in. I would also be interested in the 16 transparency of the court system and improving 17 Of course, you have to balance the speed and 18 efficiency with the transparency. And essentially 19 what I'm talking about are some of our opinions 20 come out, at least at the Court of Appeals level, 21 unpublished. And they come out in these 220 style 22 opinions, which is not something I'm a big fan of. 23 Because as a circuit judge, and even a lawyer, I 24 remember getting those opinions and not really 25 understanding what had happened other than that I

had lost or won. So I think there has to be better transparency in the process on the unpublished opinion side. We have improved, I think, our transparency at the Court of Appeals with the video archive we now have of the oral arguments so lawyers and litigants can go back and see arguments and much like the Supreme Court archive as well. I think another issue that we have is preserving and improving the public perception of our court system. And I also think that the court system can do a better job of helping assist with the improvement of civics education in our society.

Thank you. Judge Hill, to what extent do you

- Q. Thank you. Judge Hill, to what extent do you believe that a judge should or should not defer to the actions of the General Assembly?
- A. Well, of course, our case law is pretty clear on that. We have the separation of powers, which of course is the central keystone of our government.

 And of course as a court we have to assume the constitutionality of statutes. And in fact, as was set forth in the Wilson v. City of Columbia case last year, the court has a "solemn duty to uphold General Assembly policy, in body and legislation."

 And absent a clear constitutional violation, we have to uphold the statute. The burden to prove

the unconstitutionality is beyond a reasonable
doubt on the party challenging it. And most
recently, just a couple of months ago in the
Richardson case out of Horry County, the Supreme
Court upheld the constitutionality of our state
forfeiture law, as you know. So those are the
standards I would have to adhere to.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Thank you. Judge Hill, the Commission received 652 Q. ballot box surveys regarding you with 138 additional comments that I would note were overwhelmingly positive. The ballot box survey, for example, contains the following brief comments, and briefly: "He is the best example of what a judge should be. Unquestionable integrity and sound judgment." And finally: "This candidate possesses all of the traits and skills one would need and others would expect a person to have who seeks this judicial office, including intelligence, substantial knowledge, experience, good character, integrity, fair temperament, common sense and a sense of humor." Only a few of the written comments expressed concerns specifically regarding your temperament. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. Well, first of all, it saddens me to think anybody

1 would think my temperament was not excellent. 2 been doing this quite a while, as y'all know, and 3 that's one thing I've always strived to be is an 4 even-keeled, patient, courteous and kind judge. 5 And so I don't know the context of what happened. 6 I know one thing in the Court of Appeals that's a 7 little different than the Circuit Court is we only 8 have a very short window of time to ask questions. 9 And there are also two other people who want to ask 10 questions too. So sometimes when the question's 11 not answered, it may appear that the judges are 12 becoming impatient, but they're just trying to get 13 the answer to their question. They've only got one 14 opportunity. And that's what oral argument is 15 designed to do, is to get the answers to those 16 questions. So I could see where perhaps the 17 questioning is a little more pointed and aggressive 18 perhaps, but it shouldn't be that way. The oral 19 argument ought to be a conversation with the 20 lawyers. But I can see where occasionally in the 21 hurly burly of it someone might think that the 22 judge was being unpleasant or unkind, but I 23 certainly would regret if that ever occurred. 24 Thank you, Judge Hill. Q. 25 I would note that Upstate Citizens Committee MS. SYMMES:

1 reported that Judge Hill is well qualified as to 2 the evaluative criteria or ethical fitness, 3 professional and academic ability, character, 4 reputation, experience and judicial temperament and 5 qualified in the criteria of constitutional 6 qualification, physical health and mental 7 stability. 8 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for Q. 9 this seat, have you contacted any members of the 10 Commission about your candidacy? 11 No, ma'am. Α. 12 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 13 the limitation on contacting members of the General 14 Assembly regarding your screening? 15 Yes, ma'am. Α. 16 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. 17 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 18 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 19 your screening?

process on your behalf? 25 No, ma'am.

No, ma'am.

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

0.

Α.

Have you asked any third parties to contact members

of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you

aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this

1 MS. SYMMES: I would just note for the record that any 2 concerns raised during the investigation regarding 3 the candidate were incorporated into the 4 questioning of the candidate today. And Mr. 5 Chairman, I have no further questions. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you, Haley. I'll start it 7 off unless someone else has questions right away. 8 JUDGE HILL - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 9 0. To the temperament question, again, we don't call 10 them. We highlight. We try to get something that 11 is reflective. As Haley said, your comments 12 overwhelmingly are positive. In fact, you have one 13 of the highest percentages of judges in the regard 14 of those who have commented about you as being 15 well, well qualified in the area of judicial 16 temperament. So again, as she qualified, that is a 17 sampling of, but not -- certainly not reflective of 18 the opinion of your peers, albeit anonymous. 19 want to tack, though to the -- a couple of things 20 that strike me. Obviously, I know you. We were in 21 law school together. I think maybe I'm substantially older than you, but we were there at 22 23 similar times. But your father and my father were

maybe I've screened you once --

contemporaries. Past records, I think we have --

24

1 A. Right.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. -- for his position. But Leo Hill was lengendarily (sic) a gentleman, smart lawyer and most friendly.
- 4 I remember meeting him when you and I were punks --
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 -- at Hilton Head with the thought of law school 0. 7 perhaps ahead of us, but no college degree at that 8 point perhaps. So obviously, a sharp father. 9 you as a father, though, yourself, two things that 10 strike me in these letters of reference about you 11 as a father to your children, but you as a son to 12 your mother and someone commenting on your well and 13 good faithful service to your family, not a 14 surprise at all. Very touching that someone would 15 comment about you in that vein. So I'm curious, 16 why in the world would you want to go to the
 - A. Well, I'll have to -- since you brought up my mother, who died in May, as you probably know, she told me to do it. So --

Supreme Court, having said all that?

Q. What conjecture would that be? Noted for the record. Struck down. The question and answer are proper. And this is not a political position, but again, Trey Gowdy references the times of which we're serving and the times of which your candidacy

is based and this divided country, divided state perhaps, but does that -- your mother's, your father's advice to you have anything to do with and can it speak to the times which Trey Gowdy references to help restore and/or at least uphold the integrity of our courts, which seem to be in question by some that, I guess, are on the losing side?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

8

Well, first of all, I think this Commission does a wonderful job of helping with that public image. I'm not vain enough to think that I've got some special talents to bring to the job. I just think it's, as I've said earlier, an obligation. I've reached a point in my career where I think with my experience and background it seems like something that I should try to do. And to be helpful to the state and to the court system and use whatever experience I've accumulated to share with that job of trying to preserve our rule of law and our system of justice. So I feel very strongly about that, as I've said. And I do think my parents instilled in me, as it did I'm sure everybody in this room -- you wouldn't be here otherwise -- that it was important to participate in public service. And I've been fortunate enough

to serve as a judge for 18 years now. And I think with that experience I just feel an obligation to try to do that. And I do think, as you're alluding to, Mr. Chairman, the times are such where our institutions, the legitimacy of our institutions, is something that is very much at stake. And I'd like to contribute whatever talents I have to help preserve that.

Q. Okay, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Talley.

SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

| JUDGE HILL - EXAMINATION BY SENATOR TALLEY:

Judge, I want to follow up on that point, because 0. you touched on the timing of appellate matters, and obviously from those of us that practice but also the public perception, that's something that plays into to how they feel. It seems to become one of the civil mediators greatest tools, depending on which side you're on, that the threat of an appeal will delay the ultimate outcome of this case two years, and we hear that often when we're mediating So give us some thoughts on what's civil cases. causing that delay at the Court of Appeals. You know, if you're elevated to the Supreme Court, the timing aspect my colleague touched on earlier and

how we continue to catch up from Covid is going to be something that everybody is wrestling with. So

3

4

5

A. That's an excellent question. I don't -- the mediator, he or she, may be a little optimistic at two years.

6

Q. Fair point.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

I'll just be frank about that, and since I've Α. gotten there -- you know, as a circuit judge you would get these opinions from the Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court, and it's been so long, you're like, what is this case, I don't even remember this So, you know, the law's delay was one of the gripes Hamlet had about the world. So I think it's important that we try to keep the things moving as quickly as we can. So you asked what caused it, and you also mentioned Covid. And one thing I've been harping on at the Court of Appeals is that Covid did give us a lag in the appeal pipeline. And the best statistics I've seen, it's about a 30 percent reduction. This is the time for us to improve the speed of the disposition, because we do have a lag. It's starting to fill back up, but there's a law that we could take advantage of, and

so this is a perfect opportunity. And there are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

many things we've been doing. I'm on the docket committee. We have a docket committee to -- trying to improve the speed of it. Judge Konduros is on it, Judge Hewitt is on it and Judge Thomas, and we are all very keen on trying to improve the speed. We've come up with one alternative way to resolve cases. And it's called par panel alternative resolution -- I believe is what the acronym is, but it allows us to decide cases. Having the staff attorneys, instead of them writing a bench memo that takes a week to compile, they can come in and orally present the case to us. And we have the briefs in the record, and then we can decide the 14 case that way. And that has improved the disposition of cases. We can -- I remember one afternoon just about a month ago we resolved almost 20 appeals that way. Now not every case is suitable for that. And of course you also hear sometimes that we don't have enough oral arguments, and oral arguments are important to the process, but they take a lot of time. And if a case is orally argued, the queue gets backed up at the oral argument stage and sometimes at the staff attorney We've gotten, thanks to the legislature and the chief justice, we have, I think, five new staff

1 attorney positions that have been filled. 2 that'll help us process cases. Because we can 3 increase the workloads of the staff attorneys all 4 day, but it's a finite matter. So that's one thing we're doing, increasing the staff attorneys, this 6 alternative resolution, and then trying to find out 7 kind of where the logjams come in the pipeline. 8 And that's taking some time to figure out, but it's 9 something that's worrisome to me, and I think, as 10 you say, it's important to the transparency and the 11 legitimacy of the appellate courts. Because, you 12 know, litigants can't wait that long. So that 13 would be my response.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Vice Chairman Caskey.

5

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JUDGE HILL - EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

Being it is my first day here, I intended to wait Q. to ask any questions. But as the dumbest member of the Commission I couldn't resist the impulse to follow up on a different aspect of what the Chairman had asked you about with respect to preserving the public's confidence in the institutions. Because earlier a response to one of Ms. Symmes' questions, your answer was the role the judge was to preserve the fairness of proceedings.

1 And then you went on to broaden that a bit. 2 you've talked about judicial restraint and 3 respecting coequal branches of government -- a term 4 that -- coequal, I always wonder why we have to say 5 If it's equal, it's just equal, but 6 nonetheless that's what we do. Where these things 7 intersect in my mind is not the procedural due 8 process questions that you've sort of been speaking 9 to, but the doctorate of substantive due process. 10 And my question is where do you see substantive due 11 process operating in our law, because certainly at 12 the federal level it's a question that seems to 13 increasingly sound, in the public's mind, even if 14 they don't know that's what we're talking about. 15 But long question to say, how do you see 16 substantive due process as a legal doctrine? 17 Α. Well, of course, substantive due process is very 18 controversial because there you're getting into the 19 subjective mind set of the judges, and are you 20 getting away from the plain meaning of statutes or 21 constitutional provisions and coming up with what 22 the judges thoughts or policy views might be about 23 what process is due under that particular 24 situation. That forfeiture case I mentioned that 25 you know about, I believe they discussed

1 substantive due process in that in Matthews v. 2 Eldridge, which is the leading U.S. Supreme Court 3 case on it. It talks about weighing -- you know, 4 there's a weighing of the factors, like what is the 5 interest of the state, what's the risk if the 6 procedure used could cause an unconstitutional 7 deprivation of the interests at stake, but 8 substantive due process -- I'm not sure I'm 9 answering your question directly, but I don't know 10 if we have a very elaborate definition of it under 11 South Carolina law, because it has been 12 controversial at the federal level. 13 Thank you, Judge, and I appreciate that. 0. 14

Q. Thank you, Judge, and I appreciate that. And I would be remiss if I didn't point out -- and I know that your application packet was submitted before I was a member of the Commission. But in your writing sample you did an excellent job in ruling that I was right as assistant solicitor prosecuting the Miles case, which was turned around expeditiously. So not only did you get the decision right from my opinion as the prosecuting attorney in that case, but also you turned it around pretty quickly, so thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For the record, a nod of the head was the response from a number of people.

Representative Rutherford.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE HILL - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

- Q. Good morning, Judge. In the seven years prior to Langford, the courts were addressing the backlog, moving the numbers, actually reducing the backlog. Since Langford, the numbers have shot up, and the backlog continues to get worse. How do we address that, and how do we look at the fact that what maybe was supposed to be the answer to allowing litigants in the court faster has become more of an impediment?
- Α. Well, Representative Rutherford, it's been almost six years since I've been at Circuit Court. can't say my fingers on the pulse of what's going on in General Sessions court right now. I see my office thankfully is -- I'm still on the hall with several circuit judges, so I hear occasionally things, but I'm not well versed on the statistics. Greenville, of course, we hold ourselves out as having this great system up there, and it was a I don't know if it still is because good system. of Langford. And I frankly don't know what the current state of it is. I know at one point they said they were going to leave Greenville alone, and then they changed their minds. But I'm not so sure

1 that should not be a decision for the legislature and not the courts anyway. I don't know how to 2 3 govern that. But Langford is there, and I remember 4 -- you mentioned Trey Gowdy. When he was solicitor 5 I remember there was a lot of talk about -- this 6 was before Langford. There was a lot of talk about 7 giving the control to the judges rather than the 8 solicitor, and a lot of solicitors of course were 9 very adamant that that shouldn't happen. 10 remember Solicitor Gowdy at the time basically 11 saying throw me in the briar patch. I mean, 12 because he understood what probably would happen. 13 So I'm not sure if that's helpful to what you're 14 asking about, but --15 Well, I mean, it kind of gets to -- I think that's Q. 16 what eventually did happen is now we've put the 17 judges in control --18 Α. Right, yes. 19 -- which I believe gives judges a vested interest Q. 20 in each case moving, rather than being in a 21 position to simply call balls and strikes, because 22 they have to report back --23 Α. Right. 24 -- that they've moved these cases --Q. 25 Right. Α.

Q. -- rather than simply reporting back that justice has been served.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. And also, do the judges have the resources to do it. That's the other thing.
- Q. Well, and I'm glad you brought that up. Because that gets into, in 2022, whether any of us have the resources to act as if this was 15 years ago where we could all hire how ever many people we needed to hire and be as hard on law clerks and paralegals as we wanted to be. And now if you raise your voice to somebody, they'd probably quit tomorrow if they're not quiet quitting while they're sitting at their desk. And so how is it that we continue to act like things are the way that they used to be? You mentioned something earlier about the backlog. What are your thoughts on -- do we need to increase the number of judges on the court of appeals? mean, South Carolina, when I got elected, had a budget of about six billion, and we had about three and a half million people. We've now almost doubled the number of people and tripled the So are we in a position where maybe that arcane way of thinking that we've got to keep with what we had rather than looking at a way to increase the numbers? What are your thoughts on

that?

1

24

- 2 Well, that's within y'all's purview. It's not, of Α. 3 course, a policy decision for the courts. 4 just reading this morning -- you know, The 5 Southeastern Reporter was sitting on my desk, and I 6 just opened it up. And it has list of all of the judges in the southeast on the appellate courts, 7 8 and we do have, by far really, the smallest 9 appellate body. Now we're probably the smallest 10 state too. But Georgia, by contrast, they probably 11 have -- I would say 12 to 15 on their court of 12 appeals. That's just by eyeballing it on the list, 13 but they have a much larger population. 14 some point it's group dynamics. The group may 15 become unmanageable if it gets too small. 16 went to 12 on our court, for instance, then you'd 17 have an even number, and that might could be a 18 But I think it's certainly something to problem. 19 Like I said, the addition of the staff explore. 20 attorneys I think will greatly help us, and, you 21 know, more judges. You could certainly move more 22 cases. But whether it's a good policy choice 23 that's up to the legislature.
 - Q. I'm told that this is one of the first years where offers were made to law clerks to come to the

1 Supreme Court, and they were actually declined, 2 because there's simply so many jobs out there for 3 And we know that this hasn't always been lawvers. 4 But how do we, if you are successful to 5 get on the Supreme Court, and the Supreme Court 6 judges the conduct of all the lawyers, how do we 7 deal with lawyers that are understaffed, 8 overworked? How do we allow those lawyers to still 9 a) operate a business and b) still enjoy a lifestyle that does not drive them to early graves 10 11 because we're simply doing too much with judges 12 that don't allow them to have orders of protection, 13 with judges that don't believe in continuances? 14 How do we -- and you were probably most recently on 15 the Circuit Court bench in terms of the other 16 candidates -- I haven't studied it, but -- and I 17 know you did a great job when you were there, but 18 how do we dig down to make sure that lawyer 19 lifestyle is an important part of the judiciary and 20 our expectations of lawyers? 21 Α. Well, that's a great guestion. Because like you 22 said, the jobs are out there now. I mean, when we 23 advertise for clerk opportunities or whatever, we 24 used to get dozens of resumes. Now I get maybe one or two. And the same thing with the solicitor. 25

You mentioned the solicitor's office and the public
defender's office. I know we had Fielding Pringle
come and talked to us back in March, and she was
talking about the difficulties she was having
finding quality people, which the Richland County
Public Defender's Office was always kind of a
golden place to work and the solicitor's office for
sure, and they're having difficulty. So I don't
know. I know that the because I was in a small
firm, and I was the managing partner for a while.
And I know how difficult that is to do, because
there's all that paperwork a small business person
has to complete that can trip you up if you're not
careful, but it's very time consuming. I know that
Justice Kittredge was very keen on this mentoring
program. And I'm not sure of the current status of
it. I think it's still thriving, but I think
that's something that maybe we ought to be more
involved with is trying to create some kind of
mentoring. Because I don't think the culture is
the same when you and I probably started out. So I
think it's a little more artificial to have a
mentoring program like that, but you just don't see
the same types of mentoring happening in the Bar
that you used to see.

- 1 Q. How do we get to the point where we actually have 2 concrete answers on things regarding lawyer 3 vacation, time that we take off? You and I 4 probably came right after when courthouses in 5 Columbia, I know, were shut down in August because 6 it was too hot. They didn't have air conditioning 7 so they shut it down, and lawyers kind of worked 8 But now Richland County, for example, around that. 9 we got 52 weeks a year, magistrate court, municipal 10 court, federal court. And so at what point is a 11 lawyer that's been practicing for a while or 12 somebody that's even brand new -- at what point are 13 they quaranteed to get vacation? If they worked 14 for somebody else, they would. If they worked for 15 Amazon, they certainly get this many vacation days 16 17 Α. Right. 18 -- this many sick days. What do lawyers get. Q. And 19 how is it that we can make sure that we're 20 addressing that as the pressure becomes greater, as 21 the ability to hire out and spread that pressure out becomes less and less? How do we make sure 22
 - A. Well, I'm not sure there's protection. Yeah, my

early grave?

23

24

25

that lawyers are not simply being driven to an

1 view is that the lawyers -- if somebody wants 2 protection for a vacation, it's no questions asked. 3 I mean, it should be granted as long it's submitted 4 timely. And when I was practicing it used to be 5 you had to get it in two weeks before your trial or 6 something like that. But as long as you sent it 7 in, there weren't any questions asked. It's a 8 little different with maybe the way the docketing 9 is done now where you have day-certains or weeks-10 certains. But I think there has to be a balance 11 and appreciation for lawyers' schedules, certainly 12 for lawyers who are also legislators. 13 something that judges need to protect. Because if 14 we deter lawyers from serving in the legislature, 15 then we're going to have a whole lot of other 16 So I don't know if there's some magic problems. 17 bullet for that, but I empathize with your plight. 18 To your point, last week I had a felony DUI death Q. 19 And so the judge said, well, we'll go 20 ahead and start in that case on Monday. I said, 21 Judge, Tuesday's election day. He says, then okay, we'll pick a jury on Monday and then you can start 22 23 back on Wednesday. Well, I had federal court on 24 Tuesday. And they didn't care that it was election 25 day either. So as the minority leader, I had a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

felony DUI death case starting on Monday, federal court on Tuesday and continuing that felony DUI death case on Wednesday. And because it might run long, the judge had offered, well, we'll take the federal holiday away, and you can just -- y'all can work through the federal holiday. And so as it relates to lawyer legislators, but also as it relates to lawyers, it gets to the point where at some point I don't get the federal holiday back. And I've been trial during federal holidays before. I don't get the time back of dealing with the people that elected me, the minority leader, who have questions about elections and what's going on And so to your point about lawyer in their case. legislators, but in the broader sense of lawyers in general, is there some magic finish line that you think that people have in their head that we're going to rush to. And all of a sudden if we get across it by moving this case or that case, then we're going to be better off and be able to lift the chief on our shoulders and run around? I quess I'm asking for questions that don't necessarily have an answer. But I do want you to know because the Supreme Court is in charge of lawyers, what's going on, because I think the -- as you move to

1 appellate courts, and as you stated, you kind of 2 get away from what's going on --3

Α. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- -- where the rubber meets the road? And so how do Q. you do that. And how do you guide lawyers that, as you become further removed from it, you're not seeing that -- for example, I was first up in five different counties three months ago, one on a felony DUI death, the same case, the other one a five-defendant murder case. And so what are the guidelines that the court is saying, well, this is what you're supposed to do in that scenario? Because I'm not alone. I mean, this is going on not just with defense attorneys but with solicitors Do you have an idea of what the answer as well. If these are real-life problems, people need real-life guidance on how to deal with them.
- Well, no, I don't have any answer for it other Α. than, you know, it sounds like it needs to be studied more and have more input from the Bar and perhaps lay people, business people. And this sounds like another blue-ribbon committee idea, but I think it's very important to have the Bar's I mean, I remember the Chairman mentioning my father, and he used to say that the lawyers on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the civil docket, they used to control the docket. They decided when the cases were going to be tried. And he rued the day they ever lost that. think there probably needs to be more input from the lawyers on how to do that. Because as you well know, there's a lawyer perhaps on the other side of your case who doesn't like the fact that you're getting protection. And that puts the judge in a bind sometimes. But, as I said, it's important that the system recognize the legislators and what they do, who also practice law. So I don't know any magic bullet for that but I think it probably needs to be comprehensively studied. And you're right, the more removed the judges get -- first of all, they aren't really good at running dockets anyway. But second of all, the more removed they get from where the boots are on the ground, the less effective they are. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other questions? Hill, you invoked Hamlet, Shakespeare's line in Hamlet. I'm trying to figure out what -- did I hear that wrong? JUDGE HILL: No. Well, not in response to that question CHAIRMAN RANKIN: but earlier. Delay?

1 JUDGE HILL: The law's delay was one of the things he was 2 griping about when he was doing his to be or not to 3 be. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ah. Well, so I did hear Shakespeare, 5 Hamlet. And you also know that Shakespeare wrote in Henry the whatever, the first thing to do is to 6 7 kill the lawyers. Did you know that line? 8 JUDGE HILL: I've heard that. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You don't support that, do you? 10 JUDGE HILL: No. 11 All right. Any other questions by CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 12 members of the Commission? If not, Judge Hill, 13 thank you. And this concludes this portion of our 14 screening process. And let me remind you that 15 pursuant to the nine evaluative criteria we talked 16 about, that we expect the candidates to abide by 17 and adhere to both the spirit and the letter of the 18 ethics law. Any violation or appearance of 19 impropriety we will deem very serious, potentially 20 deserving of heavy weight in our deliberations. 21 And you do know, do you not, that this record will 22 remain open until the formal release of the report 23 of qualifications, and you may be called back at 24 such time if the need arises? You do know that? 25 JUDGE HILL: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, sir. So and with that,
2	again, thank you for your service. I think your
3	father and your mother would be proud of your
4	continuing in that vein, and that will conclude
5	this portion of our hearing. Thank you.
6	JUDGE HILL: Thank y'all very much.
7	(Off the Record)
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Good morning.
9	JUDGE KONDUROS: Good morning.
10	THE APHRODITE KONDUROS, being duly sworn, testifies
11	as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you the PDQ, Personal
13	Data Questionnaire and Sworn Statement that you
14	prepared, correct?
15	JUDGE KONDUROS: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any additions that need to be made to
17	those?
18	JUDGE KONDUROS: Yes, sir. I have in the it was
19	question 47 about lawsuits, and there's some
20	changes. There was a 1983 action called Henderson
21	v. Fisher in federal court, which was dismissed in
22	August of 2018. There was a case of Gray v.
23	Forsythe, which I did list, that was dismissed with
24	prejudice in district court in August of '22. And
25	there was Gibbs v. Lockemy, which was dismissed,

1 the Supreme Court said, on August 11th, 2022. 2 would like to add those to -- and the dispositions 3 to question 47. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. And then any other written additions that need to be made? 5 6 JUDGE KONDUROS: No, sir. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Do you object to them coming into the 8 record? 9 JUDGE KONDUROS: No, sir. 10 If you will hand them to Lindi, they CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 11 will be included in the record. Judge, as you 12 know, we in the Commission's investigation of your 13 qualifications on the bench, thoroughly investigate 14 and focus on nine evaluative criteria, including 15 which are the ballot box survey, a thorough study 16 of your application materials, verification of your 17 compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 18 newspaper articles in which your name appears, past 19 screenings and a check for economic conflicts of 20 interest. You have no affidavits filed in 21 opposition to your election, and no witnesses are 22 here to testify. You have the opportunity if you 23 like to make an ever so brief opening statement. 24 If not, we will turn it over to able staff counsel, 25 Sharon, for questions. Again, you can give a

1 statement if you like or waive it. (Exhibit Number 8 was marked for identification purposes 2 3 - (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The 4 Honorable Aphrodite Konduros.) (Exhibit Number 9 was marked for identification purposes 5 6 - (5 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Aphrodite 7 Konduros.) 8 JUDGE KONDUROS: This is my ninth screening. I know you 9 don't want a statement. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So not true but we'll leave it at that. 10 11 All right, Sharon. 12 MS. WILKINSON: I note for the record that based on the 13 testimony contained in Judge Konduros' PDQ, which 14 has been included in the record with her consent, 15 Judge Konduros meets the constitutional and 16 statutory requirements for this position regarding 17 age, residence and years of practice. 18 JUDGE KONDUROS - EXAMINATION BY MS. WILKINSON: 19 Q. Judge Konduros, why do you want to serve as a 20 justice on the Supreme Court? 21 This is my second run for the Supreme Court after Α. 22 14 years on the Court of Appeals, and I think 23 particularly for the lawyers in the room, getting 24 to be on the Supreme Court is like an actor getting 25 to play Hamlet, finally. It is something to which

1 I have always striven. I am the granddaughter of 2 four Ellis Island immigrants, and I would very much 3 Most of the reason would be not like to serve. 4 only because of the work, because after 14 years on 5 the Court of Appeals, I've written over 400 6 opinions and signed onto another 800, so you know 7 my work. But I enjoy the administration of justice 8 very much. I like being on committees. 9 doing things that further how we're seen by the 10 people at large of South Carolina. I've served on 11 Lawyers Helping Lawyers. Kindly y'all put me on 12 the Sentencing Reform Commission for prisoners and 13 worked on a case or a law that let people out of 14 jail when they were going to die and were no longer 15 The administration aspect of a threat to anyone. 16 it interests me, and there is almost no way to do 17 that on the Court of Appeals unless you're just put on a committee. 18 But to actually be involved in the 19 betterment of my profession would be something to 20 which I aspire and which I would love to do. 21 How do you feel your legal and professional Q. 22 experience thus far will assist you to be an 23 effective justice on the Supreme Court?

I have probably the longest history of public work

of any candidate because I spent so many years at

24

25

Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

DSS and the Department of Social Services. always joke whenever I have a termination of parental rights case because I'm the only one that was ever a county attorney, who was a state attorney, who was a county director, who was a family court judge, who was a Court of Appeals judge. As my mother used to say, I practice poor law, which was her way of calling that service, but when I was at DDSN and helped write the Adult Health Care Consent Act, and that sort of thing, plus I practiced with very small firms when I was at Todd and Barber, when I practiced in Greenville. It was just three of us. And then I worked at So I think it gives me a broad large firms. perspective about -- and I will come to the conclusion, that the practice of law is incredibly And I think when you see cases and you've tried them in federal and family and circuit and whatever with big firms and small firms, I think it gives me a sure footing to work with the lawyers who are going to be arguing cases in front of the Supreme Court. How would you describe your general judicial Q. philosophy?

The case before me and only the case before me, no

friends to reward or enemies to punish. I think, like I said -- I don't want to put anybody on the spot, that they've read my 400 and whatever opinions or looked at the 36,000 cases I heard on Family Court, but I think there's no mystery with me. It is about the case in front of me and the facts in front of me.

7 8

6

Q. What is your vision for the future of our judicial system, and what changes would you advocate and why?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

This is like a personal thing as opposed to Α. speaking for the chief justice of the court to which I aspire, but I hate how far away we're gotten from trying cases. I love trial lawyers and lawyers trying cases. And I think the greatest settlement mechanism in the entire world is call your first witness. And I think mediation has got its place. And I think it's probably so wonderful when someone, especially in Family Court, can see a mediator who will look them in the eye, as a judge cannot, and say you are not going to win. not going to win. You must think this back. And I think it saves people a lot of trouble. think for the judiciary we're going to start -maybe not in my life time but in the next life time

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 2223
- 2.4
- 25

- -- the judges are not going to have the trial experience that your judges do now when they were lawyers. And I don't want us to get away from that.
- Q. To what extent do you believe that a judge should or should not defer to the actions of the General Assembly?
- I've got a very easy job. We have three branches Α. of government, and I know which branch I'm in. I read -- when you pass and we get all those wonderful -- I keep up with it. I did have a case one time where I ruled Lamprese v. Saluda, which was a Freedom of Information Act case, and you said this, and I ruled that way. And I don't think it was popular, and they changed the bill, but that's what it said. And if the legislature wants to say the sky is orange, I will enforce the sky is orange until they say it is purple. I have never been one to want to read outside of it. Now on the Court of Appeals you have to agree with two other fine judges. On the Supreme Court it will be four other very fine judges. So we'll see where that goes, but I am -- I think after being on the bench 20 years, I can firmly tell you that you will not see me legislating.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4

Q.

Judge Konduros, the Commission received 999 ballot box surveys regarding you with 141 additional comments. The ballot box surveys were overwhelmingly positive. Some of those positive comments include, and I quote: "Judge Konduros is compassionate, courteous, intellectual, kind and diligent. These make her an ideal candidate for this position. Judge Konduros enjoys a superior reputation among members of the Bar. She is bright, diligent, engaging and has superior judicial temperament. Judge Konduros exemplifies every trait of a great justice. Her proven record should speak volumes to this committee. simply the best and most qualified candidate for the position." And lastly: "We cannot do better than Judge Konduros on our Supreme Court. judicial temperament is second to none, and her opinions are always well written and consistent with respect to the laws of this state." were a few negative comments. I'll ask you a question now regarding the negative comments. These comments stated that you are influenced by liberal bias and that you are too political to be a Supreme Court justice. What response would you give to these concerns?

1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4

Α.

I don't want to accept the premise and argue against myself on something like that, and I also don't want to accept that they assume it was meant as derogatory. And obviously without saying why they think this, I will state very firmly I do not speak to politics. You will not ever find me speaking to politics personally. Politically I don't do Facebook, that sort of thing. You're never going to hear something out of my mouth about another branch of government. So I do want to say if they're talking about in terms whether I'm willing to accept opinions different than my own and consider them in the context of deciding a But when they make a comment like that they're also speaking to two of my colleagues who have signed on to every single opinion I've ever written while I'm on the Court of Appeals. that's an interesting point. Now if you want to talk about liberal as whether I give generously of my time as a lawyer and to teach school -- and I'm working as a substitute teacher in Simpsonville right now with the Chief Justice's blessing a couple mornings a month. But again, I don't speak to politics. I never have. You wouldn't know what my personal beliefs are. So I don't want to accept

1 the premise of that question. 2 MS. WILKINSON: Mr. Chairman, I would note that the 3 Upstate Citizens Committee reported Judge Konduros 4 as qualified in the evaluative criteria of 5 constitutional qualifications, physical health and 6 mental stability and well qualified in the 7 remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 8 character, professional and academic ability, 9 experience, reputation and judicial temperament. 10 The Committee did not include any related comments 11 or a summary statement. There are a few housekeeping issues to go over, 12 Q. 13 Judge Konduros. 14 Yes, ma'am. Α. 15 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for Q. 16 this seat, have you contacted any members of the 17 Commission about your candidacy? 18 We do see each other at various Α. I have not. 19 We can't help that we're all invited to events. 20 the same thing, but the firm answer is I have not. 21 Are you familiar with Section 2-10-70, including Q. 22 the limitations on contacting members of the 23 General Assembly regarding your screening? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q.

1 sought or received the pledge of any legislator 2 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 3 your screening? 4 Α. No. 5 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members 6 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 7 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 8 process on your behalf? 9 Firmly, no. Α. 10 I would note for the record that any MS. WILKINSON: 11 concerns raised during the investigation regarding 12 Judge Konduros were incorporated into the 13 questioning of her today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 14 further questions. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. I'm going to start it with 16 a few questions. 17 JUDGE KONDUROS - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 18 You, Judge, a few questions ago you gave 0. 19 effectively the chronology of your assent to this 20 bench that you currently serve on and that you now 21 seek to move higher to the Supreme Court level. And I'm curious to your view of if you had to pick 22 23 of the evaluative criteria that people judge us by, 24 or you particularly as a judge, where would you 25 direct us in your view that is most important?

23

24

25

They could be -- you can include all of them, but do you have a top three that you say this helps define me as a candidate for the Supreme Court?

A little bit to reiterate for the fact that I was a real trial lawyer, and I really think in working and meeting with people and the Sunday night crowd that comes in to sign affidavits for Family Court or going to the jail to meet with the mother of the gentleman who's locked up or whatever, there is always -- you've got to temper firmness with kindness. And everybody that appears in a court hearing is having the worst day of their life, Nobody's happy usually, unless they've had cancer. Nobody's on their A game. at court. They're They say things wrong. And I think being upset. kind and letting people have a chance to say what they want to do. I hope I'm famous for, on the Court of Appeals, redirecting a lawyer. I remember one of my many Court of Appeals arguments I got all the way to the car and realized I had not spoken to my attorney's fees, you know, which is disastrous if you're lawyer. So especially when I'm lead chair, which I am now, most of the time because I'm third in seniority on the court, I will stop you and say, Mr. Smith, there's two more minutes.

1 Would you like to speak to your third issue or your 2 second issue and try to redirect them so that they 3 have a chance to -- there was a dissent in Atlantis 4 Coast Builders that talks about the fact that we 5 can't be playing gotcha with lawyers. And you've 6 got to let people argue their case within the time 7 frames, within the parameters. But I find that 8 probably my strength is the fact that I am a 9 calming influence on what's happening in a court 10 hearing, whether it was Family Court or any court I 11 appeared in front of, and give people a chance to 12 speak. Not go on forever, but let them make sure 13 There is a place for a judge they have their case. 14 to say, "is there anything else you want to tell 15 me." And someone will say something -- this is 16 going to sound like such a stupid story, but the 17 gentleman said yes. "Every time I went to my in-18 laws they gave me dark meat and they knew I didn't 19 eat dark meat." And I said, "anything else?" He 20 goes, "no, ma'am. I've wanted to say that for ten 21 years." And I said, "all right." And he left 22 happier than he probably would have. And it was 23 just a moment where you recognize the humanity of 24 who was appearing in front of you.

Q. Well, and the comments that you have been asked of,

1 are certainly a -- hopefully a cross reference of, 2 and are reflective of some of the good, some of the 3 bad, but overwhelmingly those who have taken the 4 time of -- some 999 --5 Α. I think it was one percent that I called it less 6 favorable. 7 Q. Did you not answer your own survey? 8 I have a husband for that, who is a lawyer. Α. 9 But it is overwhelmingly positive. And one of Q. which I want to focus on is -- again, we see the 10 11 good, we see the bad. You, from a judicial 12 temperament standpoint, are incredibly well 13 received by, again, those who take the time to 14 And again, you've heard a negative. participate. 15 There are tons of positives, one of which writes, 16 as cancer survivor, I was appreciative of the time 17 that you gave to this person, perhaps as spouse. 18 I'm not sure of the context of that. So the role 19 not as a trial judge, but as a person now reviewing 20 the tape of the trial judge, has that been a 21 difficult transition for you, and how do you anticipate, if successful, doing it at the Supreme 22 23 Court level, reviewing the trial court's decisions? 24 Because I have served already for 14 -- February Α.

will be 15 years on the Court of Appeals. And we

1	at the Court of Appeals handle 97 percent of the
2	appeals filed at the appellate level in South
3	Carolina. So any given month I might look at 40 or
4	45 that the breadth of the experience is such that
5	I don't think there will be the transition.
6	Somebody asked me one time what's going to be hard
7	about going to the Supreme Court, and I said
8	turning left on Devine Street instead of right.
9	And that was a terribly simplistic thing. But I've
10	also been very lucky to have sat very many times on
11	our Supreme Court and interacted. You know, right
12	now, every one who serves is someone that I have
13	served with in another capacity. Chief Justice
14	Beatty granted me the only summary judgment I ever
15	had when I was a trial lawyer. John Few was chief
16	of my court. Judge Kittredge is who I went to when
17	I thought about running for Family Court. Buck
18	James' sister, Rosemary is my oldest friend from
19	childhood. There is a camaraderie and a fit for me
20	already with them, and I really think it will be
21	more of a seamless transition now don't get me
22	wrong, the five types of cases that they hear that
23	I don't hear I have very little experience. I
24	argued a couple. There will be I think it would
25	be pompous to say that there won't be a learning

1 curve but I am prepared to join the curve.

Q. Very good.

- A. I also, if I could say right then, I stay very current. I think that -- I did as a Family Court judge. And right now I owe one case from June, and the rest are from October. I don't owe anything older than that as an opinion. And I think that's important both to the lawyers and the litigants that they not wait. My staff knows I require it. I require it of myself. I stay as current as I can. Because usually by the time it's gotten to me the trial could have been 2015. It is long enough to wait for a decision.
- Q. You mentioned the, I guess, fear or I'll say fear, that the judges coming up will have had little trial practice. Which begs a question for me -- as we've seen in the workers' comp fields, the trial docket fields, whether it's family court, criminal, civil, et cetera where the work comes from at the appellate level. What cases are you getting more of in the advent of a lack of actual trials or cases going to trial where there's a complaint of some improper ruling or admission of evidence, whatever, that would otherwise come to the appellate court?

It's overwhelmingly criminal. And we actually had 1 Α. 2 a little lull that took care of Covid where they 3 weren't trying them, but it -- I can't quote you 4 that percentage. It might be 70 percent. 5 fair amount of workers' comps since the Supreme 6 Court took that over right before I came on. 7 Family Court cases still have to still go forward 8 because it's your things, it's your child, there's 9 no insurance. We see a lot of those. I think 10 mostly the civil litigation is seeing a higher 11 settlement rate with all the -- and then the growth 12 of arbitration takes care of things. Although 13 frankly we see so many cases about whether or not 14 it needed to go to arbitration. And I'm sorry that 15 it takes another two years to decide whether it 16 should have gone there to begin with. I know that 17 was supposed to make -- Judge Hill, who was just 18 here, has written a marvelous body of work about 19 arbitration cases. But those -- it's going to be 20 the civil litigation that's going to be lacking in 21 what we see. 22 All right. Questions by anyone else? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 23 Lucy Grey. 24 JUDGE KONDUROS - EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for being here

25

0.

You

I wanted to talk a little

1 today, Judge Konduros. 2 bit about, not so much your role in writing 3 opinions, but a little bit to your character. 4 just mentioned that you are doing some 5 substituting.

Yes, ma'am. Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And I wanted to talk kind of about how you're Q. impacting young people. I've heard some stories. We do happen to be from the same hometown. stories from people that I know in the legal profession, but from young people. I'll touch on that in a minute, but could you tell us a little bit about the substituting that you're doing now?
- So it hasn't been that much. But we were coming Α. out of Covid there was an article in the Greenville News that said that Greenville County alone was short 1,600 teachers as they started back in class. And so I had taught law school for a number of years, although not since Covid, at Charleston School of Law, and obviously -- I mean, I think I'm on -- I think it's going to be something like 12 to 15 CLEs, including one I have this Friday, which I enjoy, and I try to make them fun. But I checked with the Chief Justice and said, if it works with my schedule, could I substitute. And he said, yes,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and so I got in touch with Molly Spearman. said, "I do not have a degree for teaching although I've taught law school, but nobody's going to die on my watch." I mean, I made that joke. not -- so frankly, a lot of it's been independent And what I do is I give them three mornings studv. a week and somebody texts me by 7:30 if they need And if they don't -- and right here lately, I'm pleased to say they have not. But while I did it, it was a little frightening, you know, the answers to the questions. You know, when was the war of 1812, dead silence. How many moons does the earth have, dead silence. You know, it just kind of sounds like, okay, let's back this up and try to work on -- we did not gain our independence from Vietnam. Let's back this up. And so I worried a little bit about it. And I thought, well, maybe I can do some more in that area, and I'd like to continue to do so if it allows. But I do a lot with mentoring young people. One of my greatest things is Girls State, the Palmetto Girls State, and I speak at that every June. As a matter of fact, one year they even moved it one day so that I could be there and then follow those 700 or 800 17year-old girls through there, and they all apply

But

1 and want me to come. And y'all all know, if you do 2 something like that, it'll get you a lot of high 3 school commencement address requests. But I think 4 sometimes people that don't have children speak to 5 other people's children better. I try to call just 6 about all I can of anybody who flunks the Bar to 7 tell them it's not the end of the world and they 8 will be fine. And so these things matter, you 9 know. Y'all know we are not on Olympus -- no pun 10 on my name, but when you call somebody and reach 11 out to them like that -- I actually had a lady in 12 my hairdresser's the other day that was telling me 13 that she called Senator Alexander and asked him a 14 question, that he had called her back and answered 15 And that was the whole talk of the beauty 16 parlor the other day. And it does matter that you 17 reach out, so I try to. 18 I appreciate you bringing those examples of Q. 19 Palmetto Girls State and calling these law 20 students, because that was one of the things that I 21 had written down to ask you about. Certainly you 22 hold a tremendous reputation in Sumter as a jurist, 23 but also in my house, I have a girl that went to

Palmetto Girls State, and she's not terribly

impressed with anything I've done in the law.

24

1 when she met you she came back and said she was 2 very interested in going to law school and how well 3 you had done and speaking to all of your 4 accomplishments and how proud she was to be from 5 Sumter when she got to State. It's a wonderful program. 6 Α. 7 So thank you for that. And also I've heard from Q. 8 people that you've called who failed the Bar exam, 9 and going back to Representative Rutherford's 10 concerns about mental health. I mean, that can be 11 truly devastating for a kid who's been through law 12 school. 13 And sometimes you have to call the mom or the dad 14 who's the lawyer. You know, you have a dad who's a 15 named partner at a fantastic firm whose child 16 fails. And he really doesn't know what to say to 17 his child. 18 Right. Q. 19 His grief is so hard about it or where he failed Α. 20 his child, and there are these -- and so I just try 21 to help and say, look, it'll be okay. You get --22 So just a small, just a little help. 23 It's a kindness. I had a really nice mother,

still the voice in my head about being nice and

And she's been gone a long time, but she's

24

1	backing up. She would make you look behind you and
2	see if somebody behind you was older than you in
3	which case you passed that parking space because
4	you could walk and they might not could. And so I
5	still hear Christine in my head.
6	Q. Well, thank you for the difference that you're
7	making on the bench as well as off the bench.
8	MS. GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Ms. Blackley.
9	MS. BLACKLEY: Good afternoon. Good to see you.
10	JUDGE KONDUROS: You, too.
11	MS. BLACKLEY: I don't know if you remember me or not
12	JUDGE KONDUROS: I do. I rode by the new courthouse
13	yesterday in Spartanburg, and it is palatial.
14	MS. BLACKLEY: It's wonderful.
15	JUDGE KONDUROS: I know. The Scott Talley Courthouse.
16	It's going to be great.
17	MS. BLACKLEY: I just want to I don't have any
18	questions. I have just a couple of comments. I
19	have watched you from afar from Family Court all
20	the way up until now, and having been a former
21	clerk of court, I can tell you what it means when
22	staff feels like they are seen and heard by a
23	sitting judge. Whether you were a Family Court or
24	a Circuit Court judge, I can just tell you you've
25	been invaluable with your support, your knowledge

1 and how you carry yourself on the bench. 2 want to be one of the people to tell you I have 3 appreciated how you carried yourself and what you 4 And I just heard of you substituting, which is 5 amazing to me. I have an institute that's called 6 the Institute for Youth Justice in Spartanburg 7 County that educates youth in our school systems 8 about the justice system. And I can't begin to 9 tell you how great it is for you to hear from 10 sitting judges, let alone someone on the Supreme 11 Court or possibly going to the Supreme Court. 12 want to thank you for that. Because what you just 13 described and what they don't know is troubling to 14 me, and I just think that it's great that the 15 courts are looking to use their resources to 16 educate our youth. Because they are our future and 17 this is what's to come. So I appreciate you doing 18 It means a lot, especially for somebody who it. 19 loves children. So thank you, and I wish you all 20 the best of luck. So I wanted to tell you job well done thus far. 21 22 JUDGE KONDUROS: Thank you so much. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. I want to introduce you to, 24 but not for the record -- Catherine Hart. You may know her, to your right. If you don't, you're 25

1	going to know her, because she is involved with
2	Girls State. And so she is one of our young
3	attorneys who will be screening a retired judge
4	later this week. But she may have a high school
5	that she may want you to go to to speak to at some
6	point in the future. All right. Unless there are
7	any other questions, Judge Konduros, thank you for
8	being with us. You said this is the ninth time?
9	JUDGE KONDUROS: This is my ninth screening.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So you know all about this and how
11	those nine evaluative criteria that we focus on
12	that embody, we hope, both the letter and the
13	spirit of the ethics law, too, and that any
14	violation or appearance of impropriety in that
15	regard would be deemed very serious and potentially
16	deserving of heavy weight on our screening
17	deliberations. You know that, right?
18	JUDGE KONDUROS: Yes, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And such that if there was any
20	appearance of that you could be called back to
21	answer those, correct?
22	JUDGE KONDUROS: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: As this record will not be closed until
24	a formal release of qualifications. And so I don't
25	expect that will happen, but just for the record,

1 you've acknowledged that you're aware of that rule, 2 correct? 3 JUDGE KONDUROS: Yes, sir, completely aware. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. And the classes that you've 5 had to, if at all, substitute beyond the war of 6 1812, what classes -- what generally would they be? 7 JUDGE KONDUROS: It was mostly what they call independent 8 study, which was a little frightening, because I 9 don't really understand a first period study hall. 10 I don't. I mean, it's time to get it -- but they 11 were, and I think -- and I've spoken to the 12 principal, and I've spoken to Dr. Royster in 13 Greenville and obviously to Ms. Spearman. 14 know, the first thing out of their mouth should not 15 be, is this on the test, is this on the test, which 16 is all you hear. And it's the same even a little 17 bit -- I hate to tell y'all this. When we 18 interview law clerks and staff attorneys, and I've 19 explained the job and the pay and whatever and, you 20 know -- do you have any questions for me. 21 questions are, can I be off that Friday. And can I 22 not come in that Monday, because my bro -- and 23 this, and is there a chance that we -- and I was 24 like, you will not have any leave until after the 25 first of the year. It just almost sounds like

2

5

6

21

you're too busy to work here, you know. Whereas, I grew up, and you showed up, and they said when can 3 you start. And you said I'm here, I'll work the 4 week out for free and see if you like me. just such a difference in how you look at it. And they -- you know, maybe they're smarter than we 7 Maybe they don't want to work the 100 hours a 8 week I know most of y'all do. But, you know, with 9 your first interview at your first job sitting 10 there with three judges, is that really the first 11 question out of your mouth, how much leave am I going to get and can I be off the day after I 12 13 It's just interesting. So we're going to 14 I hope to stay involved with it a have to back up. 15 little bit with education, but without coming 16 across like a curmudgeon. But we -- I pulled up something on the thing, and we talked about moons. 17 18 You know, I said, maybe you're talking about 19 Jupiter, but they weren't. And so we'll have to 20 work on things like that. Very good. Unless we task Ms. Hart to CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 find those students to talk about your teaching 23 temperament --24 JUDGE KONDUROS: Girls State girls know how many moons 25 there are.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: we will close it, and I appreciate
2	your, yet again, touching on that and your service
3	and your willingness to continue serving with your
4	candidacy.
5	JUDGE KONDUROS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and the members
6	of the Commission for having me today, and I hope
7	you have a good rest of the week.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you so much.
9	(Off the Record)
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome Judge.
11	JUDGE MCDONALD: Thanks for having me.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right we are on the record. And
13	Judge McDonald, you have before you some
14	information, a PDQ, a sworn statement; is that
15	right?
16	JUDGE MCDONALD: Yes.
17	THE HONORABLE STEPHANIE PENDARVIS MCDONALD, being
18	duly sworn, testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: To the PDQ, Personal Data Questionnaire
20	and statement, are those ready to be admitted into
21	the record, or do you need to make any changes?
22	JUDGE MCDONALD: Ten is ready with the exception of the
23	amendment, 11, that's here as well, and then no
24	changes to the other, to the sworn statement.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you have no objection to those

1	being included in the record, do you?
2	JUDGE MCDONALD: I do not. This one doesn't have my
3	signature part on it. Should I that's okay?
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah, you can submit that signature
5	afterwards today if you will, or you can sign it
6	right now if you like.
7	(Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification purposes
8	- (24 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
9	Honorable Stephanie Pendarvis McDonald.)
10	(Exhibit Number 11 was marked for identification purposes
11	- (3 pages) Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for
12	The Honorable Stephanie Pendarvis McDonald.)
13	(Exhibit Number 12 was marked for identification purposes
14	- (8 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Stephanie
15	Pendarvis McDonald.)
16	JUDGE MCDONALD: Well, I think there's a signed one
17	somewhere, but I'm happy to resign.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If we don't find the signed one, we're
19	going to come back to you.
20	JUDGE MCDONALD: Okay, thanks. Sorry about that.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. All right, Judge, you are
22	familiar with this process. How many times now
23	have you been sworn, more than once at least?
24	JUDGE MCDONALD: This is maybe my fourth or fifth time.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You are aware of this process, our

Commission's investigation of your candidacy and 1 2 what we focus on, the nine evaluative criteria, 3 correct? 4 JUDGE MCDONALD: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That includes a ballot box survey, a 6 thorough study of your application materials, 7 verification of your compliance with the state 8 ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a study of those previous 9 10 screenings and then a check for economic conflicts 11 There was one affidavit filed in of interest. 12 opposition to your election, but pursuant to Rule 13 13 that complaint and/or affidavit was dismissed 14 for failure to state an allegation relating to your 15 character, competency or ethics as required by the 16 Therefore, there are no affidavits in this Rule. 17 record in opposition to your election. And then 18 finally, no witnesses are here to testify. 19 therefore, you now have the opportunity to make an ever so brief opening statement if you like. Or if 20 21 you choose not to, answer questions by Mr. Dennis 22 before we turn it over to the other Commission 23 members. 24 I know y'all have a really long week, JUDGE MCDONALD: 25 and I think this is the first day. So I think, if

the Commission pleases, I'll just waive the opening 1 2 statement and answer any questions y'all might 3 have. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In the words of a former senator, 5 brevity is clarity, and so take it away, Mr. 6 Dennis. Thank you. 7 MR. DENNIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to note for the record that based on the testimony 8 9 contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been 10 included in the record with the candidate's 11 consent, Judge McDonald meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position 12 13 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 14 JUDGE MCDONALD - EXAMINATION BY MR. DENNIS: 15 Q. Judge McDonald, would you mind explaining to the 16 Commission why you would like to serve as a judge of the Supreme Court? 17 18 I loved being a Circuit Court judge. Α. 19 was my dream of what I wanted to be after being a 20 trial lawyer for 17 years. And also a good portion 21 of my practice was appellate. But it soon became 22 clear, a couple of years after I had gotten on the 23 circuit bench, that the Charleston County Bar and 24 some folks in our leadership wanted me to run for

the Court of Appeals. So I did that. It was a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

little bit scary at the time to do. But I'm very thankful to be there now. And I've loved that, and, you know, I've grown to love the Court of Appeals as well. I enjoy the scholarly deep dive that we get to do when writing opinions. I enjoy interacting with folks in the Bar. But I really think I can best serve the state perhaps as a conduit of information between trial courts and lawyers and the Supreme Court. One thing I noticed during the pandemic was just a communication gap. The court had so many crises, the Supreme Court did, that it had to deal with just to keep courts running on a daily basis. And I think sometimes 14 lawyers felt a little bit at sea. And I don't know how far back y'all went in my social media and my Facebook posts, but I would share the orders from 17 the Supreme Court as they came down. And certainly the Bar shared those as well, and they were available on S.C. Courts.org., but lawyers seemed really appreciative of that. And through posting that in three different places on Facebook and trying to make sure lawyers and the trial judges were kept abreast, it really became clear that 24 maybe people didn't feel comfortable always communicating the needs that the trial court judges

1 and clerks of court and the Bar have with court 2 administration and the Supreme Court. And I 3 hopefully could help improve that a little bit. 4 Other than that, it's really not that different of 5 a court than what we do now. I think Chief Judge Williams has let y'all know about 97 percent of the 6 7 appellate work goes through the Court of Appeals. 8 I'm lucky to get to work on it there. You know, I 9 had the honor of y'all allowing me to continue to 10 do that in 2020. But I would like to take this 11 opportunity now to try to help improve 12 communication with the trial bench, clerks of court 13 and the Bar is truly the reason.

Q. Thank you, Judge. Would you mind explaining your general judicial philosophy, please?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Sure. It's pretty basic. Judges hear cases and controversies that come to their court for disposition. We do not set policy. We are not a super legislature or even a mini legislature, but we do hear our cases and controversies. You know, I took an oath to uphold the integrity and fairness of the judicial process. That's certainly something I always strive to do and also just try to not ever forget what it is to have been a practicing trial lawyer in private practice, a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

trial court judge and now an appellate judge who tries to write opinions that address not only the issue before us but that are helpful to the bench and Bar in the jurisprudence going forward. And that's basically my philosophy.

- Q. Would you mind discussing your vision for the future of the judicial system and what changes you might advocate to the system and why?
- Right now we're all, I think, still in crisis Α. management mode. We're still coming off of the pandemic shutdowns. Every county is coping with that a little bit differently. I know all trial court judges and clerks of court are coping with that a little bit differently. We've made some technological advances to help with that. example, being able to do oral arguments by Webex has been amazing. We were able to keep our work going. And now I certainly don't think that's a substitute for being in person court, either at the trial level or the appellate level, but it is a good supplement to have to allocate our resources. We've got to do something about the court reporter situation. I know trial judges are struggling with We have not yet seen the trickle that still now. up affect of how that will effect our records on

1 appeal and transcripts, but I'm rather concerned 2 about that. And when we talk about technology, we 3 really need to not forget the counties. When I was 4 a circuit court judge, it was interesting and 5 concerning sometimes the resources I had in 6 Charleston, in Berkeley we didn't have them at that 7 time. And in other counties it was still an issue. 8 And I think we need to be going out in person 9 looking at that and seeing what these counties 10 need. You know, without internet connectivity 11 properly and access to the case management system 12 as it is, it's really hard sometimes for the work 13 of the courts to go forward. So working on 14 technology, but according to the needs of the 15 particular counties, which are not all the same 16 would be a goal I think we could work on. I'm not 17 sure if that answered the question, but hopefully 18 it did. 19 Judge, to what extent do you believe that as a Q. 20 judge on the Supreme Court potentially you should 21 or not defer to the actions of the General 22 Assembly? 23 Well, the power of the legislature if plenary. Α. 24 know that. Unless it's limited by the Constitution

or some type of rule of the particular body, the

1 House rule or the Senate rule, we're not looking to 2 trump the legislature in any way, at least 3 appropriately in my regard. As I said before, we 4 address cases and controversies, but if something is unconstitutional or otherwise violative of the 5 6 rule of some body, that needs to be addressed. 7 Q. Judge McDonald, the Commission received a fairly 8 high volume of ballot box surveys regarding you. 9 And of those, 96 included additional specific 10 The ballot box survey, for written comments. 11 example, contained some of these following positive 12 comments. "Excellent judge, outstanding. 13 the perfect example of what a judge's temperament 14 should be." Seventeen of those 96 comments, 15 however, expressed some measure of concern, 16 primarily centered on your temperament from the 17 bench. How would you respond to that concern? 18 Well, I certainly take it very seriously. Α. 19 I have prided myself throughout my career on 20 hopefully being known for having a good temperament 21 on the bench. But it does worry me when some 22 attorneys feel like it might not have been up to 23 par in their particular oral arguments. I really 24 strive to be kind but fair. But also I do ask hard 25 questions sometimes. And I think that's part of

the oath that we all take as judges to uphold the
fairness and integrity of the judicial process.
Unfortunately and I hate to say that we're
seeing it more and more. I don't know if it's a
general anxiety issue that some folks have, but we
do have people that come into court, even at the
appellate level, and don't perhaps obey the duty of
candor to the tribunal. That has always been
important to me as a lawyer. You know, we swore
our oath, and that's definitely one of them. It
becomes a due process problem for the other side if
one side is misrepresenting the record or
misrepresenting the case law. And that does
happen. I try to walk the fine line between being
kind but fair but appropriately firm when that
needs to be addressed. Generally, the way I
approach it, is to say help me understand and give
the person the opportunity to correct me if I'm
wrong about something. It could very well be
something I misread in the record, and once they
correct me, I think we check that off and move on.
But I find it interesting that even after one of us
sometimes expresses that, some lawyers double down.
And they continue to press with a point that
perhaps the record says the opposite or perhaps the

1 case law says the opposite. And at that point I 2 will ask again, and there are some attorneys that 3 do not appreciate being challenged. They want you 4 to take their response. And if they don't want to 5 answer your question, they just won't answer your 6 question and move on. I struggle with the appropriate response to that but I think it's my 7 8 duty to address it. And I apologize if anybody 9 thinks I'm overly aggressive about it or overly 10 That certainly is not my intent. Some days stern. 11 I think I get it better than others, but I'm always working to do better. 12 13 Thank you, Judge. And one additional concern that 0. 14 was raised during the investigation was concerning 15 the timeliness of the issuance of your opinions. 16 Would you mind responding to that as well? 17 Α. Sure, absolutely. Every opinion is different and some do take longer. 18 For example, in my packet, 19 there is a writing sample of a workers' comp case 20 that took too long. It did not start off as my 21 I wrote a dissent. And it took me a opinion. 22 while to write that dissent. And then I was

23

24

25

Garber Reporting

pleased that the other two judges flipped to my

There are times when I might be waiting for the

info@garberreporting.com

side and we ended up ruling the opposite way.

Supreme Court to decide cert on something before we issue the opinion. Because then I can save people time on the cert list if I can get it right instead of always being fast. But then there are other opinions, like the medical malpractice published case I issued last month, we had oral argument on that in October. The opinion's already out, already been in the advance sheets. So I am always working to be better, faster, but to also be scholarly in my opinions. I think one of the things I'm really proud of is, my colleagues know that I've got a grasp of the record. And some of them rely on me to help with that. And I'm honored to be able to do it.

Q. Thank you, Judge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. DENNIS: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to note that the
Lowcountry Citizens Committee reported that Judge
McDonald is qualified as to the constitutional
qualifications, mental stability and physical
health and well qualified as to all other
evaluative criteria. The Citizens Committee also
reported that she is "a suburb judge, hard worker,
conscientious, personable, knowledgeable, straight
shooter and would be a very, very good Supreme
Court justice."

- 1 0. And Judge, I have just a couple of housekeeping 2 matters to wrap up with you before I'm finished. 3 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for 4 this seat, have you contacted any members of this 5 Commission about your candidacy?
 - Α. I have not.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 10 Yes, I am. Α.
 - Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 0. sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either prior to this date or pending the outcome of this screening?
 - I have not. Α.
 - 0. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 20 Α. I have not asked anyone to contact members of the 21 General Assembly. Several people have called and 22 said, we're excited to see your name, how can we 23 help. And I always direct them to the statute and 24 tell them thank you for the help but I'm not allowed to ask them to do anything.

Q. Thank you, Judge.

MR. DENNIS: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into this questioning today. And, Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything else for Judge McDonald.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Questions of the members?

Let me just start it off.

JUDGE MCDONALD - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

- Q. In the last -- not the last, but a few ago, the comment about timeliness of your opinions, and perhaps for the losing side or the winning side that that delay may be particularly frustrating, but I'm curious as to your brothers and sisters on the Court of Appeals. Is there a metric that you keep up with to show whether one has three opinions due? How do we measure that? How do y'all measure that? Help me.
- A. Sure. Chief Judge Williams has done an awful lot to help us move the opinions more quickly. For example, during Covid, I think our staff attorney's office was 40 percent more productive during the hybrid work from home work in the courthouse period. Always we're trying to get the opinions out in the most timely matter possible, but every

- 1 judge is different and every panel is different. 2 And that's really how that comes into play. 3 Q. In terms of the actual case number, general parity 4 between all of y'all, or some get more of this 5 particular type case? How does that work? 6 You mean, how the cases are distributed or --Α. 7 Q. Yeah. And case numbers, actually the -- one's got
 - Q. Yeah. And case numbers, actually the -- one's got 20, the other's got 30, 40, or are they all -- again, what numbers are split between --
 - A. Of outstanding opinions or --

9

- 11 Q. From the get go, assigned from the get go.
- 12 Α. Oh. Well, assigned from the get go, we get similar 13 The difficulty of the staff attorney 14 motions that come to various judges can vary. They 15 can take those motions to whatever judge is 16 available. And I always let them know I'm 17 available whenever they need something. If I don't 18 have a conflict in it, I'll do it when I'm there in 19 I'll do it from the road. I'll do it in 20 Charleston. Complexity wise, they're distributed, 21 I quess, equally. We get our boxes from the clerk's office. I am one that tends to over 22 23 recuse, if that makes sense. I believe that I am 24 bound by the judicial canons that require a judge 25 to hear any matter in which there is not a

1 disqualification. But the degree to which some of 2 our colleagues rely on us definitely differs, if 3 that answers the question. 4 Well, too -- and help me with the -- again, it is a 0. 5 small refrain, perhaps maybe a few, but in terms of 6 my sense of -- I don't know that it is fair, but 7 that it is difficult for you to pull the trigger. 8 Is that a fair statement? 9 Sure. Α. 10 And is that -- again, you've talked about writing Q. 11 the dissenting opinion that ultimately brought two 12 with you to be the, maybe, unanimous decision, but 13 14 Α. It was. 15 -- that is a good example? Q. 16 Α. Yes. The cases that perhaps the orders have not been 17 Q. 18 issued that are assigned to you, are those 19 particularly complex cases that may involve cert 20 being granted or not? Help me figure --21 Sometimes they are particularly complex Α. Sure. 22 cases in an area of the law that is rapidly 23 changing. For example, the sex offender 24 jurisprudence and bolstering of witnesses and 25 different evidentiary issues, Rule 404, where Perry

1 was overruled. That has changed over time, and so 2 I'm very careful with those opinions. Honestly it 3 depends panel by panel. If I'm on a panel on a 4 judge who was undergoing a health issue or 5 otherwise having some problems and that judge needs 6 help, I will put my work aside and help that judge. 7 And Judge Williams and I have talked about this. 8 He's aware of it. But that's really more of the 9 reason than anything being particularly complex. 10 And I don't assign any negative to that. Q. 11 Α. Sure. 12 Q. Because again, you want to get it right. 13 your name, your legacy, your ultimate score sheet 14 that others at the Supreme would judge you by. 15 I'm not assigning any negative to that. 16 Α. I do, though. I want to be timely and correct.

A. I do, though. I want to be timely and correct.

And I know lawyers rely on us to keep their cases moving. But it's important to me to be very familiar with the record and to be very familiar with the case law as it's coming down and to try to save people from time on the cert list, if I can.

Or to correct something that I think is incorrect, as happened in the Timothy Causey writing sample that I included.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

And again, lest I cast a negative light here, that

is not the refrain. You have got so many
compliments about your excellent writing, your
insight, your thoughtfulness, your thoroughness.

But that exchange begged the question for me --

A. Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- to pursue. Why in the world do you want to move up to the Supreme Court?
- I ask myself that question daily, more lately than Α. before. As I said earlier, I do think there is a disconnect, through no fault of the court, between what the Supreme Court declares trial judges and lawyers and clerks of court need and what the folks on the ground would actually say they need. think we need to do a better job of going to those places and those stakeholders and helping as we move forward coming out of the pandemic. During the pandemic, during the shutdowns, I guess, would be the best way to call it, our courthouse was very I could get from our parking garage in Charleston to my court area, my chambers, or to the clerk's office to meet with Julie Armstrong, without really having to interact with anybody. could get my temperature taken by the deputies, be masked, and we met probably two to three times a week trying to answer questions as lawyers and

other clerks and trial judges all struggled with 1 2 it. And that was a little bit where I noticed the 3 disconnect. And I really just want to try to help 4 I will also say there is occasional with that. 5 pressure from our Bar about the fact that the 6 Lowcountry and Charleston and Beaufort doesn't have 7 anyone on the court and hasn't in about 50 years. 8 And, as you know, Justice Hearn is our only coastal 9 And that is a concern to our bench and Bar in my part of the world. And so while I otherwise 10 11 might prefer to be ensconced in my office or with 12 my computer, I am venturing out to do this because 13 I thought there was a need that needed to be 14 fulfilled for the state. 15 Now if you lived in the Midlands or Upstate, you Q. 16 certainly wouldn't be making that point, would you? 17 Α. I would still be making the communication point if 18 that were the case. I'm not sure if I would have 19 had the conversations with the clerk of court that 20 I had with Julie Armstrong. I'll give you an 21 example. One of the judges that I was able to help 22 during Covid --23 No, no, no. And I'm going to come to that --Q. 24 Α. Oh, okay.

-- I'm talking about your residency as a coastal

25

Q.

1 member. That alone doesn't distinguish or 2 disqualify --3 Oh, no, not at all, but it's a reason for me to Α. 4 leave a place of safety where I'm happy and want to 5 try to do this. 6 Julie Armstrong, you've referenced, the Clerk of 0. 7 Court in Charleston, correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And again, I won't take Ms. Blackley's -- Hope -- I 0. 10 call her Hope -- Blackley's thunder. Perhaps she's 11 not going to speak, but as a former clerk, it is 12 important to have the kudos of, I think, and 13 unqualified support of. And she references Ms. 14 Armstrong in her letter, your work during Covid and 15 your nimbleness to get some things moved very 16 quickly. So she is a big supporter of yours in this effort. 17 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. I have no other questions 19 at this point. I'm going to turn it over to anyone 20 else that may. And, Mr. Safran, please. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN: 22 JUDGE MCDONALD - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 23 0. Let me say this. I think I've appeared in front of 24 you probably twice, once as actually the arguing 25 attorney, once sitting at the table. And I have

never found in that experience anything other than a fully response that you would have given. And in response to what you said earlier, I think it absolutely is your obligation to call people out when they're doing what they're doing in terms of misrepresenting the record or misrepresenting the law. And I'm confident that what's going on is, it is being tactfully addressed, at least the first time. And if, as you say, they're doubling down, then, yes, there is no reason to apologize about saying, "you've got to stop." And, you know, when people are called liars, they don't like it. And unfortunately, if they're doing it, they need to have that stated to them.

- don't want ever to make anybody uncomfortable or for them to have a bad experience at oral argument.
 - Well, and, you know, I don't think any of the judges truly that I've appeared before in oral arguments over 35 years, basically, have ever gone out of their way to do that. However, there is a line that can't be crossed. And I don't think it's incumbent upon any of the judges to ever have to put up with that kind of stuff, because it pollutes the whole process. And so, I get it. I know that

Let me

1 you don't want people to have a bad experience. 2 But candidly, they're bringing that bad experience 3 on themselves by doing what they're doing. 4 I don't think there's any apologies necessary for 5 And if in fact, those are what is the basis 6 or are the basis for making those comments, then 7 that's their problem. That's not yours. 8 also ask you this. You know, in terms of affording people time. 9 I know we heard this earlier from 10 Judge Hill. I know personally, and I may be 11 completely, you know, I guess, unrealistic in this 12 belief, but number one, I think that by the time a 13 lot of us get to an appellate case in the court, 14 we've got years invested in it. And so everybody 15 wants to be heard. Everybody wants an oral 16 argument. And candidly, you know, in the past what 17 I would do oftentimes is file a specific request 18 Because I figure if it's taken me this for one. 19 long to get here, I want to be heard. 20 there's a balancing that has to occur. How does 21 that happen in terms of, you know, your philosophy as far as allowing argument versus not? 22 23 Generally, if we have an oral argument request, as Α. 24 far as I know we grant it. I love oral argument and enjoy arguing the cases, and they are, quite 25

1 frankly a chance for attorneys to correct something 2 or help us understand better that I maybe have 3 misread or didn't quite follow. But we just don't 4 have the capability at the Court of Appeals to 5 argue everything that needs to be argued. 6 sometimes there are probably some things that 7 probably needed to be argued that didn't get 8 argued. Other times -- and this is more rare 9 though, because our screening process that our 10 staff attorney's office does is, I think, really 11 good. Every now and then there's a case that 12 afterwards I tell my colleagues, "wow, I should 13 have submitted that one. Sorry we had to have 14 argument on that." But to me it's much harder to 15 not have argument when you need it than to have it 16 if -- you know, that's our job. And if it doesn't 17 help move the ball forward, that's fine. We heard 18 the parties, and we can act accordingly. 19 Well, and I guess the next point in terms of time Q. 20 in general, I know that oftentimes people get 21 criticized on the court in terms of what is perceived as a delay in getting opinions out. 22 23 know, it never bothers me because I say, you know

rather them get it right than get it done quickly.

what, it's taken me this long to get here.

24

1 And so, again, I'm not really buying into that as 2 being a major issue. And I guess from your 3 standpoint I would assume you would agree. 4 Because, you know, I haven't written opinions, but 5 I've written plenty of briefs. It's not an easy 6 process if you want to do it right. You think 7 about every sentence. You don't want to basically 8 -- and particularly if you're publishing an 9 opinion, you want everything to basically fall the 10 way it should. You want it to be something that's 11 logical, and again, that is expressive in the way 12 you want it. It doesn't happen overnight, does it? 13 And I think what is important to me, as I said 14 earlier, is I'm not trying to just address the case 15 in controversy in that particular matter in front 16 of us, which may be or obviously is very important 17 to the people involved, but I need to not mess up 18 the jurisprudence going forward in the case law 19 that lawyers and trial judges rely on as part of 20 our body of law. And I take that very seriously. 21 Well, and on top of all of that in terms of the Q. 22 time also, you alluded to the fact y'all have a 23 motion practice that also has to be addressed. in addition to it -- I mean, again, it's not like 24 25 you're doing one opinion. You may have 20 that

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're still having not just deal with writing at the end of the game, but you're dealing with it on the front end, getting prepared for arguments, making contributions to whatever the bench folks are going to say. So again, you know, I personally wonder about all the cat calls about that, because it's not like y'all are doing nothing. And so, you know, what is your perception, I guess, as far as how fair maybe those contentions are?

A.

I always think it's fair for people to tell us we need to do better. And I know that we have, from the Bar and attorneys that go through this process, mixed reviews about the anonymous ballot box Which as y'all know, and you've seen it in the past, can be at times played with. in this situation, but in years past. I think it's a valuable tool, because I think lawyers need to be able to express their concerns, whatever they are, in a place of safety without having to put their name on it unless they want to. They need to be able to say that. And I think that when we offer for public service, and y'all know this probably much better than I do, we're open to be critiqued. I mean, I can always do better. Every day I try to do better than I did the day before.

One

1 really proud of my work ethic and of the work that 2 comes out of our chambers. And so, does the Court 3 of Appeals need to move faster, absolutely, and I 4 talked with this at the Citizens Committee and the 5 Bar Committee. Both expressed -- they were 6 impressed at the number of things in the advance 7 sheets coming out of Court of Appeals compared to 8 perhaps some other courts. But one thing I think 9 that y'all are going to have to look at eventually 10 and may be is the Court of Appeals is in the 11 middle. And there are two pipes that come into us, the Supreme Court sends us all the PCRs now. 12 13 year I think that was 353 in two truckloads. 14 more judges get added at the trial level to address 15 population needs in places where we need judges. 16 So we're getting more family court judges and 17 circuit court judges and perhaps other judges as 18 well, but those are the ones I'm familiar with. 19 And at some point that center is going to have a hard time holding. I'm not sure if that answered 20 21 the question, but that was my effort. 22 Well, and just one last thing. Q. 23

Representative Rutherford asked this question Is it really a matter of having to add more judges or just necessarily having to be more

24

1 patient in terms of being able to recognize there's 2 a load that has to be dealt with? 3 I mean, I do think we're all having to be more Α. 4 patient now because Covid certainly didn't make 5 things move any more quickly than they were before. 6 So I think we're all having to be a little bit more 7 patient. But I do think that if those pipes that 8 feed into the intermediate court continue to get 9 bigger and bigger, we may eventually need to add 10 more judges. 11 Well, I appreciate your responses, and thank you 0. 12 for being here. 13 Α. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. STROM. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. STROM: 16 JUDGE MCDONALD - EXAMINATION BY MR. STROM: 17 Q. Judge McDonald, I was looking back through your 18 PDO. And I remember when you first ran for the 19 Court of Appeals in 2014. And I was looking at 20 your resume, and I think it's worth this Commission

Court of Appeals in 2014. And I was looking at your resume, and I think it's worth this Commission making a note of that, Phi Beta Kappa, Algernon Sydney Sullivan, Mortar Board, doing honors college while doing all that. And you were president of your sorority and moved back to Charleston, back home and were president of the junior league,

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

president of your women's church group. So you're obviously really smart and really involved. And I say all that to kind of move into this question. Then Representative Rutherford was talking about this earlier. Everybody is concerned -- or the lawyers are concerned about the docket. obviously want things to move. You know, we don't get paid until our cases are resolved. the things that's happening as an unintended consequence of this is we're getting called all I mean, there's an order that over the place. magistrate court cases have to be moved within a And, you know, they're backlogged. lawyers have no time off. I mean, Friday afternoon you're in magistrate's court. And you've got to be in federal court. You know, it's just -- and there's no mechanism for us to find protection and I mean, we're called -- we've got any comfort. trial scheduled in four places on Monday and two on Tuesday. And, you know, as you know, practicing law is hard, and if you're from a small firm, and you're trying to figure out where you're supposed to be, get your client there and all this in the name of moving things faster. We get that, but we've got to have some kind of relief for the

lawyers, too.

25

1

Α.

I agree with that, and I have some thoughts on I can't remember if we talked about them last time or not. When I was a practicing trial lawyer, the most stressful part for me was not the work or the arguments or the court part. It was going to a roster meeting on Monday morning and trying to explain to a circuit court judge why I couldn't be in three counties at one time. think we are a little better than we were then, but not much. And since I was an attorney, since I was on the circuit bench and eight years ago when Mike Ethridge was working on it for the wellness committee, we've been trying to get a uniform lawyer protection process passed. And I know that one answer now is, oh, the technology, we're working on it. But seven or eight years ago when Mike Ethridge came to speak with the appellate court conference, which was the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals about that, the members of the court at that time just really didn't seem to see that as a legitimate problem. I think the comment was -- and I've heard this also in another context -- oh, our judges are reasonable. Our judges are They would never do that. Well, our reasonable.

1 judges are reasonable. I think y'all do a great 2 But I do think that everybody's under job. 3 pressure under the benchmarks that have come down, 4 and sometimes in the day-to-day work maybe we 5 aren't all as reasonable as we would strive to be. 6 For example, there's some great judges that will 7 not sign protection at all. And that -- I don't 8 understand that on any level. And two weeks ago my 9 clerk of court forwarded me a memo that had come 10 down from court administration about a pilot 11 uniform protection program. I just don't find that to be rocket science. And I think it's something 12 13 that lawyers have been asking for for a really long 14 time and that it needs to be made a priority. 15 if somebody wants to take their vacation -- their 16 family on vacation, they shouldn't have to write 27 letters to 16 magistrates, three family court 17 18 judges and how ever many circuit court judges are 19 And I'm not sure why that hasn't been fixed 20 yet, and that will be and has been one of my 21 squeaky wheels. I'll give y'all one more, and this 22 is why, Chairman Rankin, the coastal thing is 23 important. Many years ago and the way I started 24 sharing information on Facebook with lawyers about 25 what was going on at the courthouse, there was a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hurricane on the way. I can't remember which one it was, but it was the one that took days to get And it was a big one, and people were evacuating, and the governor had issued evacuation orders. And no order had come down from the appellate courts about how we were going to calculate time. And I was getting phone calls and texts, and Julie Armstrong was telling me she was getting phone calls and texts from people that were worried when was the Supreme Court going to issue a time computation order. So I started calling friends on the Supreme Court and see if I could And then that wasn't help with that, one or two. getting anywhere, so I called the chief justice's right hand person and talked with her. And then I called Dan Shearouse and talked with him. And eventually I got an instant message from Justice Few who was trying to work on that as well that said, "I have been told not to ask again." Translation, you stop asking. And, y'all, I wasn't asking because I needed to know how time was being calculated during that four or five day period. didn't have a brief due in the Supreme Court or anywhere, but lawyers did. And the order did come. It did, but only after I had kind of been fussed at

a little bit about bugging people about it. And s
these are the kind of lawyer wellness issues I
think we need to focus on and quality of life as
opposed to adding two hours of extra CLE
requirements. I'm not sure if that answered the
question, and I apologize for getting on my soap
box.

O. It did.

MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Representative Caskey.

VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

12 | JUDGE MCDONALD - EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

Q. I'll try and be brief. Judge, I appreciate you being here. Your colloquy with Mr. Strom made me think, though about another part of it, because I think you're absolutely right. I think the discussion we've had about demands on lawyers is very real, but there's another element. And I guess I'll just make a comment and ask for your response to it. Which is, the public's confidence in our judiciary is a very real issue that I'm perceiving. It's an issue that requires a multifaceted response from different participants and different elements within society writ large.

But one area where I perceive an opportunity for

improvement is engagement with the Bar. obviously very real limitations because of the judicial canons and our general notions of fairness and the rule of law. But by and large the public doesn't see our judges very often. And I thought your ballot box comments were fantastic. Like Mr. Safran, I think that to the extent that anybody has to complain about something, you know, that's just But what does come across in law large numbers. this is that you have dedicated an incredible amount of time and effort to make yourself approachable and available. And perhaps I'm doing a disservice to Judge Konduros by not recognizing her many contributions that we just talked about a minute ago. And I say all of that to say or ask, where do you perceive opportunities -- again, while maintaining our commitment to moving cases more quickly? Seeing our judges do more so that the public can have a greater level of confidence and faith in them?

222324

25

21

A. You know, it's a fine line to walk between speaking at conferences all the time and not being in the office enough to work that I think we all work on. I try to go to any conference I'm invited to. If somebody wants me to be on a panel or speak, I do

I try to interact with lawyers. One of the

And then on a

2 things I got asked when I ran for the Court of 3 Appeals is, aren't you going to miss everybody. 4 Aren't you going to feel isolated, you know, in the 5 appellate tower, and I don't think it has to be 6 that way. I try to make an effort to go out and 7 attend events and be present, and I think that's 8 really important. A big part of this job to me, 9 and I know it sounds hokey, but we're basically 10 customer service representatives for the judiciary. 11 And we need to be aware of public concern and certainly the appearance of the judiciary without 12 13 being overly affected by it to the extent that it 14 makes us afraid to issue our decisions or to issue 15 decisions that are sometimes more difficult. 16 do think it's important. And I've been going out 17 and speaking at CLEs and going to different lawyer 18 events whether I'm running for something or not. 19 And I'm not sure if that answered the question, but 20 that's my effort. 21 Thank you, Judge, for that. I mostly wanted to Q. 22 hear myself talk first. So I just welcomed the 23 opportunity to share that with you. 24 more serious note, to ask for your reaction to it,

so thank you for that.

1

25

it.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Other questions. 2 3 JUDGE MCDONALD - RE-EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 Judge, I want to come back to the ballot box --0. 5 Α. Sure. 6 -- and touch ever so briefly. You made the 0. 7 statement that effectively -- my word, not yours --8 it could be gamed. That was not what you said, but 9 does that word capture kind of what you were --10 I don't think it can be gamed. I think that --Α. 11 In this instance you said it -- what was your word, 0. 12 not gamed? 13 I think I did say gamed or manipulated. Α. I think in 14 the past we all know stories about when people have 15 tried to. It didn't work, but they tried. 16 0. Well, I don't know those stories. And I don't 17 serve on your side, so forgive me, or maybe 18 ignorance is bliss for me. I don't know. 19 responses, those who take the time to write about 20 you, would you be surprised to know that they have 21 only improved over time, and that you own and have earned an incredibly high reputation --22 23 Α. I would -- sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt. 24 -- well, in the attributes at least that we look at Q.

in the evaluative criteria, you're only getting

1 better. Surely, no one's manipulating that. 2 I don't know what they're doing, but it would Α. 3 surprise me that they're getting better, because I 4 do feel like -- and Justice Kittredge has said this 5 before -- the longer you do this, the more you have 6 opportunity to interact with folks in the adversary 7 system that our law is set up to foster and the 8 zealous advocates --9 Perhaps a better foundation for my question, they Q. 10 were high. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Q. You have enjoyed a very high reputation. 13 Α. Thank you. 14 With little dissenting view of unqualified. Q. 15 has only gotten better, and such that as one of the 16 four candidates here, you enjoy an incredibly high 17 regard of the people who have taken the time, 18 either at your behest or someone -- the Lord, 19 saying, let's help Judge McDonald. So my point --20 my question to you is, is that a surprise that you 21 are enjoying the regard, the high regard, of so 22 many people who appear before you, combatants 23 though they are? 24 It's sometimes hard for me to acknowledge positive Α.

I guess I'm not the best at taking a

25

remarks.

compliment, but thank you. I work really hard to
be a better judge today than I was yesterday and
hopefully will be a better one tomorrow. And if
that come out in the ballot box, I am thrilled to
see that. It doesn't surprise me, but I'm
gratified by it.

- Q. Well, and that is, again, anonymous though it may be and gamed perhaps or manipulated though it may be for others, it is reassuring to me to see someone who is on the upward trajectory starting at a very high place. And I've only gone back to '14 or '16. There was another in between, but I can only imagine you're in the high 80s then too. My point here, not for a question, that is helpful to this person to see that you are held or that the candidate is held in higher and higher and higher regard.
- A. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Any other questions by anybody else. And now I want to, unless you have any other statements you'd like to make, remind you that, again, part of our evaluative criteria we also take very serious the spirit and letter of the ethics law. Any violation or appearance of impropriety would be deemed very serious by us and potentially

1	warranting your being called back, correct?
2	JUDGE MCDONALD: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And you know this. So as
4	you further note, this record will remain open
5	until the formal release of the report of
6	qualifications. And in the unlikely event that
7	that violation or appearance of impropriety were to
8	occur, you know that we could call you back,
9	correct?
10	JUDGE MCDONALD: Oh, certainly, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you are most bright. As Mr.
12	Strom has alluded to, your CV is chocked full of
13	engagement of academic success I mean, community
14	engagement, and so that you are serving and willing
15	to continue to serve and offer for candidacy in a
16	very difficult, perhaps, court is most appreciated
17	by us. Thank you very much.
18	JUDGE MCDONALD: Thank y'all for having me and for giving
19	your time away from your law practices and work to
20	come and do this. I know it's not easy.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you for being early,
22	too.
23	JUDGE MCDONALD: Thank you for having me. Good to see
24	y'all.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are now going to go off, take a

1	break. And we are at motion from Mr. Strom,
2	seconded by Mr. Safran. We are going to go into
3	Executive Session at this time.
4	EXECUTIVE SESSION
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're back on the record. And thank
6	you, ladies and gentlemen. We are back on the
7	record, and during Executive Session and lunch,
8	thank y'all. No decisions were made, no votes were
9	taken. We will now proceed to our next candidate.
10	Welcome.
11	MS. HARRISON: Thank you.
12	WHITNEY B. HARRISON, being duly sworn, testifies as
13	follows:
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. You have with you, Ms.
15	Harrison, a Personal Data Questionnaire and a sworn
16	affidavit; is that correct?
17	MS. HARRISON: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And are those ready as is, or are there
19	amendments that need to be made?
20	MS. HARRISON: They are ready as is.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And if you will hand those
22	to the Court Reporter. I trust you have no
23	objection to those coming into the record today,
24	correct?
25	MS. HARRISON: No, sir, I don't.

1 (Exhibit Number 13 was marked for identification purposes 2 - (21 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for Whitney B. 3 Harrison.) 4 (Exhibit Number 14 was marked for identification purposes 5 - (6 pages) Sworn Statement of Whitney B. Harrison.) 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You have not been before 7 this Commission before; is that correct? 8 MS. HARRISON: Yes, sir. 9 So a little of this will be new, but I CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 10 know as a student of the law you are well prepared, 11 but as you will learn and have read, the Commission 12 takes a very thoroughly its task of investigating 13 your candidacy. We focus on nine evaluative 14 criteria, which includes the ballot box survey, a 15 thorough study of your application materials, 16 verification of your compliance with the state 17 ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in 18 which your name appears and a study of previous 19 screenings, again of which you've not had and then 20 a check for economic conflicts of interest. 21 affidavits have been filed in opposition to your 22 election, and no witnesses are present to testify, 23 though I will note you have a few folks perhaps, 24 family or friend, that are with you. And if you 25 would like to introduce anyone, you are certainly

1 welcome to at this time. 2 MS. HARRISON: Thank you. Today I've got my brother and 3 our future sister, or my future sister, and his 4 future bride. They're getting married in April, Trey Harrison and Helen Dennis and then also my 5 6 good friends, Eve Goodstein, Don Hyde and Michael 7 Anzelmo. All right. You have the 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome. 9 opportunity to make a very brief opening statement 10 if you would like. Otherwise, you can answer some 11 questions that Ms. Baker will have for you and 12 other members of the Commission. 13 I'm ready to answer some questions with MS. HARRISON: 14 the caveat of just saying thank you so much for 15 your time and the opportunity to be here and just 16 what a pleasure it has been to work with your 17 staff. They are outstanding. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you. 19 MS. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the 20 record that based on the testimony contained in the 21 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 22 record with Ms. Harrison's consent, that Whitney 23 Harrison meets the constitutional and our statutory 24 requirements for this position regarding age, 25 residence and years of practice.

MS. HARRISON - EXAMINATION BY MS. BAKER:

- Q. Ms. Harrison, why do you now want to serve as a judge on the Court of Appeals?
- A. As cheesy as this is probably going to sound, I love the law, especially appellate practice. For me, there is nothing more fun or exciting than to get into complexity and nuance. And I think that when you find something that you're passionate about and you're able to take your talents and gifts and turn it into a form of service, that's really the sweet spot of life. And so when I think about what is the role I want to have my life mean for the next 20, 30, 40 years, I want it to be one of service. And I see the judiciary as the perfect place to combine my talents and actually do something that matters beyond just one case.
- Q. And Ms. Harrison, how do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge on the Court of Appeals?
- A. I've had the privilege of clerking in both appellate courts, and I did that for a little over three years. In private practice, I've handled more than 50 appeals in the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court, having argued close to 20 cases. In addition, I've taken multiple cases to verdict,

1 usually dealing with complex business litigation issues or medical malpractice, and I've also 2 3 handled arbitration. And additionally, I've been 4 counsel on three class actions. And with all of 5 that combined, I'm proud to say that I actually 6 have addressed every type of case that will come 7 before the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court. 8 Ms. Harrison, how would you describe your general Q.

Ms. Harrison, how would you describe your general judicial philosophy?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- From an academic standpoint, I would say that I'm a Α. textualist and a minimalist. And what I mean by that is that I believe it's imperative that you follow the cardinal rules of construction, that we're always looking to the express language of the General Assembly. And to the minimalist portion, I believe that you only answer what you need to. Oftentimes we see when courts go a little further, probably adding a little extra commentary, it sometimes has a backfiring effect. And so by limiting and only addressing the issues that are squarely before the court and the ones that actually need to be addressed in that moment, it ensures that binding precedent isn't created accidentally or haphazardly.
 - Q. Ms. Harrison, what is your vision for the future of

our judicial system, and what changes would you advocate and why?

1

2

3 Well, I think we have great judiciary here in South Α. 4 I would say looking forward I think that 5 we have a unique time in order to make sure that we 6 have the community fully invested into the 7 judiciary. I think we're seeing in the news time 8 and time again that there are questions about 9 what's going on in the judiciary, and we certainly 10 know there's a limitation of what judges can say. 11 But I think we have to look forward in the sense of 12 how are we actively engaged in our communities, 13 whether it's judges going out and having CLEs or 14 participating in mock trials. But I think it's 15 important that we get the community actually 16 invested in the system and its value as the third 17 branch of government. As far as things that I 18 think we need to address, I'm going to speak solely 19 to the Court of Appeals and say that I think that 20 the biggest issue facing that court is making sure 21 that the docket is moving smoothly. It certainly 22 has been a huge improvement with Chief Judge Bruce 23 Williams. We've seen cases moving faster than 24 we've seen it in years. But I think there's still 25 a lot of work that needs to be done in that. And

1 specifically I want to talk about what it means for 2 litigants. Oftentimes when you get to the Court of 3 Appeals you're waiting more than two years for an 4 oral argument, and after that the opinions 5 sometimes don't issue for six months or a year. As 6 an oftentimes plaintiff's lawyer in that position, 7 it's very difficult to have your clients hold onto 8 the orders and the verdicts that they've faced when 9 they're also facing real-life problems like paying 10 their bills and making sure they can take care of 11 their families. And so I think that if we can 12 start working on expediting those cases, we're 13 going to make sure that people aren't settling 14 their cases too guickly and making sure that they 15 actually have a full, fair opportunity to be heard 16 within a reasonable amount of time. 17 Q. Ms. Harrison, to what extent do you believe that a

Q. Ms. Harrison, to what extent do you believe that a judge should or should not defer to the actions of the General Assembly?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I think that a judge must defer to the General
 Assembly as far as expressed policy and expressed
 mandates, any type of statute in deference to them
 at all times as far as any type of legislative
 intent.
- Q. Ms. Harrison, in your PDQ you disclosed campaign

1 contributions to legislators, were those 2 contributions made before or after you announced 3 your intent to run for this seat? 4 I believe all of them were made prior except for 5 perhaps incoming Cody Mitchell. I think it may 6 have been a little bit close to that time period, 7 but I'm sorry I don't have to document in front of 8 me with the date. Ms. Harrison, the Commission received 358 ballot 9 Q. 10 box surveys regarding you with 73 additional 11 The ballot box survey, for example, comments. 12 included the following positive comments: "She will 13 make an excellent judge. Ms. Harrison would be 14 outstanding. Excellent judgment and writing 15 skills. Whitney was born to be an COA judge. is well qualified for this position." Three of the 16 17 written comments expressed concerns that you did 18 not have enough experience to be an appellate court 19 What response would you offer to this 20 concern regarding your experience? 21 I would say, you know, at any first blush if I Α. 22 heard of someone I didn't know very well running 23 for the Court of Appeals at 37, I would have some 24 That being said, I don't think that pause as well.

pause is warranted here. Chief Justice Jean Toal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

used to say that if you argue more than 15 times before our appellate courts, you represent less than one percent of the South Carolina Bar who handles appeals. I think that that serves as a kind of practical benchmark for me to be able to say that I'm in that upper echelon group of people that argue routinely before our courts. But I think beyond just that benchmark there's a practical realization of how I get paid. reality is that lawyers across this state call and hire me to help with their issues. Sometimes they have an idea and they need help drafting the To, they're going to trial, and they complaint. need help, and they want to make sure that all of their issues are preserved. Or they just have kind of a weird motion that's going to take place. And other times they call me at the 59E stage, and I'm coming in to help draft that brief for them and And for me, I don't think there is a better testament or compliment that lawyers turn to me for help, but also that they're trusting in me to help take care of their clients' best interest, but also their economic interests. Oftentimes they take cases on contingency. So if someone's willing to let me join them in the fight and going into the

1 trench, I can't think of a better way to say that I 2 think I have the experience of lawyers who are 3 oftentimes ten to 30 plus years older than me, but 4 yet they're choosing me to trust in them. 5 you. I would note that the Midlands Citizens 6 MS. BAKER: 7 Committee reported that Ms. Harrison is qualified 8 in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 9 qualifications, physical health and mental 10 stability and well qualified in the remaining 11 evaluative criteria. Additionally, the Committee 12 also commented, she is exceptionally qualified for 13 writing well reasoned opinions. 14 Ms. Harrison, I have a few housekeeping issues. Q. 15 Since submitting your letter of intent to run for 16 this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy? 17 18 I have not. Α. 19 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 20 the limitations on contacting members of the 21 General Assembly regarding your screening? 22 Α. I am. 23 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 24 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 25 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of

1 your screening? 2 Α. I have not. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact members 0. 4 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 6 process on your behalf? 7 Α. I have not, and I'm unaware of anyone doing so. 8 MS. BAKER: I would just note for the record that any 9 concerns raised during the investigation regarding 10 Ms. Harrison were incorporated into the questioning 11 of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no 12 further questions. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, Maura. 14 Questions by members of the Commission? Senator 15 Talley. Thank you, Ms. Harrison. 16 SENATOR TALLEY: 17 afternoon. I don't think we've ever met, but I 18 just wanted to tell you, number one, I think you've 19 handled yourself very well going through this 20 process for the first time. Number two, and Ms. 21 McIver may want to chime in here, Tanya Gee was our 22 classmate in law school. So I read with great 23 interest and admiration for you -- Chris' letter 24 that's in your packet. So, thank you. 25 Thank you. Α.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HARRISON - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

- Q. Thank you for entering the process, as Senator Talley said, and for bringing a number of good people with you and Michael. But tell me, because it always comes up when we see lawyers that want to go straight from the street to the appellate court bench without stopping at the circuit court bench first. Tell me your thought process as it relates to that.
- I certainly think that the most traditional Α. path is to come and rise up through the lower But that being said, I think that we've seen very successful jurists come in many different ways, sometimes from the General Assembly, sometimes straight from practice like I'm trying to And what I will say is my biggest reason is that I think that the skill set is so different in appellate practice than in the trial courts. think that it takes an appreciation of standards of review, of knowing the intricacies of preservation and interlocutory appeals. I think that it has the ability -- that we really are seeing a shift in the way that appellate practice is working in South Carolina. And it's starting to follow more of a

1 national trend, which is appellate practice has 2 become its own practice area instead of 30 years 3 ago it was very common to see people try to handle 4 their own appeals. And so when I think about what 5 my skills are and where I think I can best serve, 6 it is the Court of Appeals. I honestly would have 7 more pause if I was sitting here today telling you 8 that I think I should be a circuit judge. 9 certainly have tried many cases to verdict, my 10 comfort level and where I feel like I'm the 11 strongest is in the Court of Appeals or the Supreme 12 Court. And I'm certainly not trying to run for the 13 Supreme Court straight off the street.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And others have done so. So there's nothing wrong with that, but you still get to the -- I'm not challenging you so much as just trying to get your input on this, because I firmly believe that we have to start looking at our courts different than the way we've always done so. And part of that is that you shouldn't have to go to the circuit court first before you go to the Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court, but do you feel like by being a candidate straight to the Court of Appeals that you're kind of having to educate while you also run? And that that makes it difficult because

you're constantly having to say, I know I didn't do this, but here's why because this is my practice. And in doing so it makes it more difficult to just be a candidate because you're having to educate. Even if that education shouldn't have to be done. It's like those people that only wanted to be a public defender all their life, and then they want to be a judge, and we tell them, well, you don't have any civil experience. Well, I didn't want to do that. What I wanted to do was practice law and be a public defender. Why should I have to have civil experience to be a judge when you recognize that being a criminal defense lawyer is the practice of law? But it somehow gets to be required that they have that dual experience. you think that that's part of our problem in that we haven't begun to recognize the national trend of saying that this in and of itself is the practice of law and should be just this field? Or is it the problem for candidates who are going to constantly have to take that step of going to the circuit court even if that's not what they want to do? That's a great guestion. I will say, when I started out, I thought I was going to have a ton of education to do, and I'm so grateful that Blake

1 Hewitt has paved the way for me. I have been in 2 awe of the number of folks who absolutely know what 3 appellate practice is. It in no way mirrors what I 4 feel like the overall Bar is. But as far as the 5 people on the statehouse grounds and everyone 6 knowing what appellate practice is and what I do 7 day to day, everyone seems to be up to date. That 8 being said, to squarely answer your question, I 9 think it might be a little bit of a hybrid. 10 think that I recognize that I'm not wearing a robe. 11 I'm the only person in my race who is not robed. And I'm okay with that. 12 If it's a little extra 13 work for me to introduce myself and tell you more 14 about me, I'm happy to take that on. But I do 15 think as the court is developing and you're looking 16 at where do we want to go in South Carolina --17 because at the end of the day the Court of Appeals 18 is still a very young court. It was founded in the 19 And so I think that if we're looking to say, 20 how do we maximize those nine seats, I can tell you 21 from clerking as well as just my experience when I 22 get brought in in an area of law that I don't know 23 well, so much of what we do is having meaningful 24 conversations. And so I think that when you look 25 at how are you lining up those nine seats, it does

matter that you have the perspective of a practitioner, someone who has actually come before the court. Most of the judges on the Court of Appeals maybe handled a few cases, but the recent, I would say, three to four people y'all have put on the court -- all have had appellate experience. And that's just been tremendous as an advocate to be able to have that level of exchange with someone, but also because they get the issues with extensions and what it really looks like to have to do in and put all your case cites in. I know that sounds like I'm really in the weeds, but I think that having the perspective of both people who have served on the bench in a lower court but also the practitioner really makes for a wonderful blended court that's going to serve everyone the best.

Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. STROM.

MR. STROM: Mr. Chairman, I have to confess that I'm a huge fan of Whitney Harrison. And Senator Sabb, you may not know this, but when were doing the SCANA litigation, we had a huge constitutional issue that the case turned on. And we're talking about hundred millions of dollars. And I was lead counsel in the case, and I got to pick who was

1 going to argue for us. Whitney was not on the 2 case, but I knew her. And I solicited her out of 3 all lawyers in the State to handle that appeal, 4 because I think that much of her skill. 5 know, the Bar has recognized her. You were the 6 appellate lawyer of the year --7 MS. HARRISON: I was. 8 -- the youngest one ever --MR. STROM: 9 Youngest and first female. MS. HARRISON: -- first female. She's on the board of PC, 10 MR. STROM: 11 and so Representative Rutherford's point about not going through the ranks -- I remember when Blake 12 13 Hewitt came through, and he had clerked for Joe 14 Anderson, and he clerked for Jean Toal, I believe. 15 He worked for a good law firm. And it wasn't this 16 traditional route to get on the Court of Appeals, 17 but, you know, I remember when they founded the 18 Court of Appeals. And Judge Goolsby, who had been 19 in the attorney general's office and Alex Sanders, 20 who had been a state senator and a lawyer. 21 were the people who first populated that court. So 22 the real history of that court is not from being 23 elevated from another court, but really people 24 coming in when they formed it. And I can just tell

you that I'm proud of you for running. You're an

1 excellent lawyer, and I wish you the best.

2 MS. HARRISON: Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

MS. HARRISON - EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

Q. MR. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Really to kind of echo that, I remember sitting in here the first year that I was on this panel and basically heard John Nichols say what I think was really profoundly It's a different skill set, you know. accurate. don't think it should ever be a prerequisite to have to have been a trial judge to get on the appellate court. Because again I think while it could be beneficial, it is not necessary. Unfortunately because of the time demands, most of the trial courts are not drafting their own orders in the first place, never have. And from your standpoint as an appellate lawyer, I know you basically -- effectively you're doing briefs that are going to ultimately be turned into opinions. So it's good training. It's great training, and, you know, you had Justice Hearn as one of your mentors, and I'm sure, as I know, she was very hands on in trying to kind of teach you that way. So again, I'm very comfortable with the fact that you can gain more than enough experience from doing

1 the appellate work in order to be a very capable 2 appellate judge. The bigger question is this, and look, maybe it's just my old time mind set. 3 4 have a 35 year career ahead of you, and that's 5 still pretty young to start and effectively be a 6 I mean, that's a long, long time, and at iudae. 7 least from my personal perspective and 8 recollection, I don't know too many of them that 9 had that length of tenure, because it necessarily 10 it begs getting on in your 30s. And I'm not going 11 to tell you that you're not very accomplished at what you do. I'm confident you are. 12 The only 13 question I have is, is again, there's still a 14 certain maturation that still goes on. There's 15 still a certain amount of life experience we would 16 like to have in a situation like this. And I 17 understand you probably recognize that. And that 18 in no way diminishes your skills, your great 19 ability, your experience. I mean, I think you 20 basically have kind of gone into an area where most 21 people dare not tread. I've done my own appeals for years, but I mean, it's a big deal. 22 23 they've very time consuming. They're something 24 that are extremely challenging, and for you to have 25 gotten to the level you are in terms of acclaim

1 2

3

4 5

6 7 Α.

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

right now really says something. So I just point that out to you. I think in no way, shape or form are you not a super capable person. You know, my only reluctance would that. I mean, and if I'm wrong, I'd love to hear from you.

And I definitely appreciate that perspective, and I'm coming into this eyes wide open. There is nothing I can do to change my age. You know, it's something that I have dealt with time and time again. My two points would probably be on the I'll go with that first is, the reason personal. I've achieved what I have is because I have done it with passion and with purpose, and I've prioritized that more than I've prioritized anything else. tell you, I still cannot fold a fitted sheet to save my life. So there are a lot of things I can't do, but this is one of the things that I think I can do really well. As far as looking to a 35-year career, our shared friend, Justice Hearn, is someone who definitely got into the game in her And so there are folks who have done it, but 30s. I think it actually serves the entire state. Because oftentimes, as appellate lawyers and even as trial lawyers, we look to the courts to give us direction, and what we really need is a consistent

It's

1 voice. So I think that there is a great service to 2 say I'm in it for the long haul. I'm not looking 3 to change jobs in ten years or 15 years. 4 I'm dedicated to the rule of law in South Carolina, 5 and that starts with knowing how to write, knowing 6 how to argue and appreciating the longer game. 7 so I think that there is a benefit. I absolutely 8 see where there could be concerns. Maybe most 37 9 year olds aren't as mature as I am. I've had an 10 unusual life experience. At 24 I was told I had 11 less than a year to live. I'm doing so well. 12 been five years since I've had a procedure. 13 what I do know is that in a lot of ways I'm more 14 like an 80 year old man. I've got all the scars, 15 had all the struggles. It's hard to get out of bed 16 in the morning, but that gives me a purpose and a 17 reason to get up every morning. So I'm going to 18 slightly disagree on my general take of how it 19 applies to me, but I totally hear you and 20 understand your view of that. 21 And let me just ask one other thing, just switching Q. I know that you have voiced something that 22 23 we heard earlier today. And coming from a 24 standpoint of somebody who has basically dedicated

25

themselves to doing appellate work, I believe you

would agree that the drafting of briefs is a very time intensive, a very arduous process if you want to do it right.

- A. I complete agree. That's all I did for the last two and a half weeks, and it was honestly a joy to come see y'all instead of taking on another brief.
- Q. And I think comparatively doing opinions as a judge is going to have that same level of intensity, of the need for really -- to scrutinize again and again. Because I think you would agree if you worked for Justice Hearn, that there's no such thing as good legal writing. It's rewriting is how you do it.
- A. Absolutely.

Q. And so I guess my question -- and it has nothing to do with you personally, but I think sometimes the appellate courts maybe get a little bit of a bad rap because, you know, think about how much time you're putting into one case. And look at these judges on the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court, you know, who may have 30 that they're supposed to be dealing with. And I get the old idea of law clerks, but at the end of the day the judge has got to be the one responsible. The judge has got to be the one that reads it and has to be

1

2

4

3

5 6 Α.

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

25

24

satisfied. I want my name on this, and this is going to have potentially profound implications down the road. So it's a pretty intense and can be a very lengthy process; is that fair?

I think that's absolutely fair. And I agree with I think ownership has to come down to the iudae. We can't have an appellate court in either of them in which law clerks are running the show. It has to be the voice of that judge because of the long-term impacts that those pieces have. say from both of my clerkships, I don't want to be too snarky. But if lawyers don't like the lag time on their cases, maybe their briefs should be Because I will say a lot of times people have not actually addressed the issue, and they're always so surprised when the court rules in a certain way. And from the inside perspective, it comes down to, yeah, but you never actually put the issue before us that you wanted addressed. I think there's some give and take. I think that the ownership is absolutely on the judge or justice when they're writing that opinion. But we have to start with something, and they have to actually be given good material, thoughtfulness and the work that is necessary for them to do their job. And so

I think oftentimes when you see long delays, I
think it is a product of maybe the lawyers didn't
give the court what they needed.

- Q. Well, and that said, I would suspect that the way you were trained was is that don't rely on the briefs, do your own research, you make the decision the way it should have been researched regardless of what the product is that's given to you.
- A. That is exactly how I was trained. And I will tell you when I take a case in, that's exactly what I do. I don't want to see a single thing from my trial counsel. I want to see their complaint. I want to hear what they believe the issues are. And if I don't agree with them at the end of that analysis, I don't take that case, because I'm not looking to set out and make bad law for either side of the V.
- Q. Well, and I guess just in closing, if you look at, you know, I guess, what we've discussed, I think kind of at the end of the day basically we can't really get too judgmental like that. I guess, as far as the time lag, because in most of these cases aren't they effectively years in the making before they ever get to the Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court?

1 Α. They are. I would say that I think that I'm open 2 to having time between when you argue and when the 3 opinion comes out, but I have concern that I am 4 briefed and then I have a two-year wait time before 5 I can even argue. And then especially when 6 something then goes to the Supreme Court with you 7 doing your own appeals -- and I know you know this 8 -- but you only have 20 days at the Supreme Court 9 for any amount of extension that you need. 10 y'all that's hard if you actually haven't arqued 11 that case in five to six years. And then they're 12 like, all right, get on up here, and you can argue 13 in about 90 days. It's maybe been a minute since 14 I've actually read any of that stuff in relearning 15 the case. And so while I appreciate that they need 16 time, I think that there has to be some balancing 17 so that the proper advocacy can go into it. 18 Well, and, you know, I received the same shock as Q. 19 you did when the 20-day situation was made aware. 20 And I mean, they've got their justifications, I'm 21 It isn't the way that it used to be. sure. 22 you know, I think again, as you say, I've been 23 involved in one one time that the total from start 24 to finish, including the Supreme Court, was 16 25 years. They do happen sometimes. And I guess it

1 makes me -- when I look at cases like that, I used 2 to joke and say, well, you know, in my office 3 they're babies at ten years. And I've had more 4 than my share that ran that long. But I quess the 5 thing is is that if it's one that's involved, if 6 it's one that means something to you, I don't think 7 that the fact that there is some delay would 8 diminish ultimately what you're supposed to do and 9 what you ultimately receive. So, again, I'm not 10 trying to put you on the spot, but I do think again 11 I've kind of had a swing in my thought process. 12 because if we really look back, I can look at cases 13 that I did 25, 30 years ago that there were just 14 similar delays back then. And I don't know that 15 there's necessarily any explanation other than they 16 take time. And I'm assuming if you were fortunate 17 enough to ultimately accede to this, you're going 18 to take time, too. 19 And I think that maybe what we really need Α. 20 is the goal of we're going to move cases as quickly 21 as we can, acknowledging there are always going to 22 be outliers. And if that's how we set out, I feel 23 really comfortable about that, because you should 24 take extra time when it's needed.

Well, thank you very much for offering and

25

Q.

1 appreciate your comments. 2 Thank you. Α. 3 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Caskey. 4 VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 Chairman, as a former classmate and long time admirer of Ms. Harrison, early in the questioning 6 7 just preceding mine, I intended to offer a comment. 8 So, Ms. Harrison, I offer something in response to 9 rehabilitate any concern she had about the 10 question, particularly -- in response to the 11 question about age. And I was going to offer, you 12 know, the example of service at the same age here 13 in the legislative branch and present company 14 excepted, I realize that the intellectual 15 requirements of service in the legislative branch 16 are far lower than they are in the judiciary. And 17 I realized that might not be as helpful, so I'll 18 thank Ms. Harrison for her service in offering and 19 withdraw that. 20 MS. HARRISON - EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 21 0. It stings, but it really doesn't. And in that vein, I cannot help but thing of Ronald Regan's 22 23 line, I will not make age, my age, an issue in this 24 race, but I do want to pick right up on that if I

may. And you and another friend, Blake Hewitt,

25

Α.

seven years your senior if my math is correct. Young bright stars who have decided not to put personal financial gain ahead of your duty, your sense of giving and willingness to serve in a far less profitable, financially profitable, pursuit as serving on the bench. I want to give you kudos for that, but then ask it pointedly, why ever would you do that aside from what you're already told us?

- I'm fortunate that with Mr. Strom inviting me into SCE&G and Santee Cooper cases that things worked out just fine for me. And so I'm not driven by money. I know that may sound a little ridiculous, but I have more than I need. And what really moves my spirit is serving, and I'm just a nerd at heart. And the idea of getting to spend each and every day working on opinions, helping this next generation of lawyers through law clerks, getting to know lawyers better from the bench and getting to talk about the law every day and people not rolling their eyes and saying, yeah, yeah, not enough. really don't need to hear this at a happy hour. It's a captive audience for my nerdiness. feels like true win for me, and I can call it I don't think it gets better than that. service.
- Q. Well, and the other, aside from nerdiness and/or

Another

Tell

1 just passion, not inconsistent with, but I read and 2 I feel and I see a real sense of urgency about you 3 to make a difference. Your health issues, which 4 again, Chris writes about in his letter that 5 Senator Talley referenced again, perhaps gives you 6 a springboard to be urgent to make a difference. 7 And that is an infectious attribute to me. 8 of which is a most infectious attribute is your 9 ability to make an award winning pound cake. 10 us about that if you will.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- So this is probably the thing I am most proud of Α. and also what I become most competitive about. grandfather started baking and competing in the state fair in the 1960s, and it is a tradition that our family continues to carry out. I'm proud to say I won a blue ribbon at the state fair again this year, but is by far like the best thing that we do in the family. It's such a community gathering experience, and now I actually get to bake with little girls who are related to members of my clerk families. And so it's been really great to pass that tradition down to them, but it is definitely something special that I love to share with others.
- Will you share that with the audience at the Q.

1 appropriate time, not today, but in a year or so? 2 Absolutely. I can definitely make that happen. Α. 3 And I can be a judge of a pound cake. 0. 4 Ask her how many gold ribbons she's won. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, these are blue ribbons. 6 MR. STROM: Blue ribbons. Yeah, blue ribbons. How many --7 Q. How about gold? 8 No gold, but I'm hoping Mr. Strom will award me one Α. 9 later this year. I've won seven blue ribbons. 10 Well, all in the pound cake competition? Q. 11 Yes, sir. So there are five sub categories of Α. 12 pound cake. And so I've won for vanilla, lemon and 13 what I like to call miscellaneous, which is any 14 flavor not listed, but I usually put it for the 15 pecan pound cake. 16 Is there a kitchen sink pound cake that you --0. That's a very creative idea, and oftentimes on 17 Α. 18 Christmas Eve our family will bring different 19 versions of pound cake, so that might be the one I 20 need to try this year. 21 Well, all right. On that sweet, high CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 note, unless there are other questions, I want to 23 thank your willingness to offer for this position

and putting yourself out there as a young person,

accomplished already, willing and offering to do

24

1	more. So per our rules, as you are more and more
2	familiar now will be the second time you're
3	heard me invoke these, but per our evaluative
4	criteria, we expect candidates to follow both the
5	letter and the spirit of the state ethics law. Any
6	violation of that, any appearance of impropriety
7	would be considered very serious and deserving of
8	heavy weight in our deliberations. You're familiar
9	with that, correct?
10	MS. HARRISON: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The record is not closed, and it will
12	remain open until the formal release of the report
13	of qualifications. In the very unlikely event that
14	there would be any question of impropriety, you do
15	know that we would be able to call you back for
16	further testimony, correct?
17	MS. HARRISON: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Blue Ribbon Harrison, that
19	is it. Thank you so much, and nice meeting family
20	and close friends and GQ.
21	MS. HARRISON: Thank you so much. Y'all have a good day.
22	(Off the Record)
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Judge Verdin, welcome.
24	THE HONORABLE LETITIA H. VERDIN, being duly sworn,
25	testifies as follows:

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have your PDQ, Personal Data
2	Questionnaire and Sworn Statement before you. Are
3	those ready to go in the record, or do any
4	additions or edits need to be made?
5	JUDGE VERDIN: Those are ready to go. Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And if you'll hand those to
7	Lindi. You have no objection to them being
8	included in the record, do you?
9	JUDGE VERDIN: No objection. Thank you.
10	(Exhibit Number 15 was marked for identification purposes
11	- (17 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
12	Honorable Letitia H. Verdin.)
13	(Exhibit Number 16 was marked for identification purposes
14	- (Sworn Statement of The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin.)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right, Judge, you have
16	been through this process before.
17	JUDGE VERDIN: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're familiar with our role, the
19	Commission members, but for the record, you know
20	that we thoroughly investigate your candidacy and
21	bid for this office. And we look at nine
22	evaluative criteria, which include the ballot box
23	survey, a study of your application materials,
24	verification of your compliance with the state
25	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in

1 which your name appears, a study of previous 2 screenings and a check for economic conflicts of 3 There have been no affidavits filed in interest. 4 opposition to your election. No witnesses are here 5 to testify, and you now have the opportunity to 6 make a brief opening statement if you like. 7 not, I will turn it over to Ms. Crawford for 8 questions. So again, the floor is yours. 9 JUDGE VERDIN: Well, thank you. I know you've had a long 10 day. I just thank you for what you're doing and 11 just say that my law clerk asked if she could come 12 with me here today. She had never seen a public 13 hearing, and so my law clerk, Abigail Gowdy is here 14 with me today. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah, okay. Well, you moved. 16 I saw you and then you -- welcome. 17 MS. GOWDY: Sorry. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ms. Crawford. 19 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that 20 based on the testimony contained in the candidate's 21 PDO, which has been included in the record with the 22 candidate's consent, Judge Verdin meets the 23 constitutional requirements for this position 24 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 25 JUDGE VERDIN - EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:

Q. Judge Verdin, can you tell the Commission why you now want to serve as a judge on the Court of Appeals?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well, I absolutely my job as a circuit judge. have thoroughly enjoyed that. And I think, like a new lawyer, a new judge wants to be in the courtroom very much. And that's exciting, the exciting part of it. I still enjoy being in the courtroom, but I think as I've gotten older -- I've been a circuit judge for 11 years, and I was a family court judge for three years before that -- I savor the opportunity to have the time to research. If I have the opportunity to write my own orders, I enjoy doing that. And that's something -- I've just sort of gravitated to more of the academic side of circuit court, and I've enjoyed doing that. And I would like to do that on the Court of Appeals. Also, although I know there were some fantastic trial court judges on the Court of Appeals, I would hope to bring trial court experience and add to that on the Court of Appeals as well.
- Q. So you mentioned you were a family court judge and then your trial court experiences there. Is there anything else or any other reasons that you feel

your legal and professional experience will help you become an effective appellate judge?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- I do believe that I've been fortunate to have a Α. wide breadth of experience. I was a prosecutor. Ι did some criminal defense work when I was in private practice. I did civil defense work. I did limited plaintiff's work, but when I did civil defense work the good portion of it other than trying cases was writing memos of law, and I very I'm even one of those relics much enjoyed that. that was on the circuit court bench long enough to have done workers' comp appeals. I don't hold myself out as any type of expert in that area, but I did get to do some of those. So I do feel like I have a breadth of experience, and I've been very fortunate in that, to be able to get to at least be exposed to a good number of areas of law.
- Q. Judge, how would you describe your general judicial philosophy?
- A. Well, my judicial philosophy on the circuit court and day to day -- my number one philosophy is I want to treat people with respect. That includes attorneys. That includes all of the litigants that are before me. I want to treat them respectfully. In terms of making my decisions, I make my

1 decisions based upon the evidence that's presented 2 to me, and I make my decisions based upon the law. 3 I don't read into that law. I don't -- my job is 4 to interpret the law and not to make the law. 5 I try to fastidious about that, and I don't look 6 for ambiguities where there are none. And I don't 7 believe that I need to add my interpretation if no 8 interpretation is needed if the law is plain on its 9 face. 10 That touched on one of my other questions -- was Q. 11 what extent do you believe that a judge should or 12 should not defer to the actions or beliefs of the 13 General Assembly. 14 I would defer -- I defer to the General Assembly to Α. 15 make the law. My job is to interpret the law and, 16 once again, if there are ambiguities in that law, 17 then that's when I turn to other things such as 18 legislative and look to the legislative intent, but 19 I'm to carry out what would effectuate the intent 20 of the legislature in enacting those laws. 21 Ideally, what is your vision for the future of our Q.

- Q. Ideally, what is your vision for the future of our judicial system, and what changes would you make or would you advocate should you be elected to the Court of Appeals?
- 25 A. Well, I think our judicial system has been moving

22

23

1 towards a more efficient judicial system in the 2 past couple of years. I think Covid has 3 exacerbated that and the need for that efficiency, 4 and I know that right now at the Court of Appeals 5 they are looking at a number of measures to make 6 things more efficient and working through that. Ιf 7 I were fortunate enough to be elected to the Court 8 of Appeals, once I got my footing there, I wouldn't 9 want to go in with a head of steam right in the 10 beginning, but I'd want to join in that. But I'd 11 want to help in making things more efficient. 12 I don't believe that our judicial system should 13 trade efficiency for letting people feel like they 14 haven't been heard or that they haven't had their 15 day in court. But that's what my vision would be, 16 an efficient judicial system that still allows 17 people to believe, not only that they had 18 procedural due process, not only that they did have 19 due process, but let's them believe that they were 20 actually heard. 21 Thank you, Judge. Judge Verdin, the Commission Q. received 762 ballot box surveys regarding you with 22 23 at least 140 additional comments. And I will say a

common theme among the Upstate state attorneys,

with at least 21 comments reflecting this, state

24

that the only negative thing about your potential 1 2 elevation is that you would no longer serve on the 3 circuit court bench and that the losers would be 4 the circuit court bench and the trial attorneys. 5 Α. Oh, goodness. 6 Of the many, many positive ballot box surveys, some 0. 7 of the comments include the following: "Contributes 8 a lot to the profession in making South Carolina a 9 better place to practice law, very ethical, 10 friendly and intelligent, exemplifies 11 professionalism and integrity. She is patient, 12 detailed and deliberate with her decisions. 13 well known for her kindness and courtesy to all 14 courthouse staff, attorneys and members of the 15 public. She considers herself a public service and 16 is driven by a deep sense of justice and fairness." 17 And finally, "I believe South Carolina's judicial 18 system would be greatly improved by having more 19 judges like Judge Verdin on the bench." 20 Α. Gosh, thank you. 21 Judge Verdin, your SLED report indicated that you Q. were listed as a defendant in U.S. District Court, 22 23 District of South Carolina in two instances. 24 my understanding that both of those have been

dismissed?

- 1 A. That is my understanding.
- Q. Okay. The SLED report also indicated that you were
- 3 listed as a defendant in Circuit Court for the
- 4 Thirteenth Judicial Circuit of South Carolina on
- five instances. And I believe, correct me if I'm
- 6 wrong, all cases were dismissed without prejudice.
- 7 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 8 Q. And this caused some concern. The SLED report
- 9 indicates that Judge Verdin was arrested for
- 10 criminal mischief by the Brownsville Texas Police
- 11 Department on June 1st, 2008.
- 12 A. I'm pretty sure there must be another Letitia
- 13 | Verdin out there, because I don't think I've ever
- been to Brownsville, Texas. And you and I looked
- up what criminal mischief was, and I think that's
- 16 qraffiti.
- 17 | CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I just want to know if you have
- 18 | mischief in your heart?
- 19 A. I can't answer that one under oath, but I will just
- 20 say if I'm going to be arrested, I hope it's for
- 21 something more fun than graffiti, you know.
- 22 Q. Thank you, Judge.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. Judge, the Upstate Citizens Committee found you
- 25 qualified in the evaluative criteria of

constitutional qualifications, physical health and 1 2 mental stability and well qualified in the 3 remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 4 professional and academic ability, character, 5 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 6 They did not include any summary or related 7 statements. Just a few housekeeping issues on my 8 Since submitting your letter of intent to run 9 for this seat, have you contacted any members of 10 this Commission about your candidacy? 11 Α. I have not. 12 Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 13 the limitations on contacting members of the 14 General Assembly regarding your screening? 15 Α. I am. 16 0. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you 17 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 18 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 19 your screening? 20 Α. No. 21 Have you asked any third parties to contact members Q. 22 of the General Assembly on your behalf --23 Α. No. 24 -- or are you aware of anyone attempting to Q. 25 intervene in this process on your behalf?

1 A. No, I have not.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

- MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman, any concerns raised during the investigation regarding this candidate were incorporated into these questions today, and I have no further comment or questions.
- 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Questions by Representative Rutherford first.

JUDGE VERDIN - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

So, you know, you start reading your ballot box comments, and then you just give up, because they're all so sickeningly sweet and syrupy sweet and just nice and long. And every single one of them pretty much says the same thing about they saw you walk on water and turn water to wine. it really just amazing. The problem is that how do we -- those of us that actually practice in circuit court, how do we justify losing somebody like you just because of your aspirations and desires? means that we then have to suffer, and we've talked about it -- you know, Luke used to mention your name -- I'm sorry -- Senator Rankin used to mention your name to other candidates about how great you were and they needed to be more like you and blah, blah, blah, but the reality is replicating that becomes very difficult. And you are now where the

rubber meets the road. You see a lot of people.

You engage with a lot of people. And yet and still
you touch everybody seemingly the same way. But
now we would potentially lose you to go to a
smaller field where you don't see that many people.
What are we supposed to do? Not our problem, huh I mean, not your problem. Figure it out.

- CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Put mischief out of your heart.
- A. Well, thank you for saying that.

- 10 Q. I didn't. Everybody did. It's not me. It's everybody.
 - A. Well, thank you. I would just say that I have enjoyed it. And I'll say this, if the legislature see fits for me to continue in Circuit Court, I'm going to do so very happily and very proudly. If the legislature sees fit to elect me to the Court of Appeals, I'm going to do the very best job that I possibly can. And I'm going to try to stay as engaged with the Bar as I possibly could be. And I going to try to stay as engaged with the judiciary as I possibly can be. And I would just say that I -- you know, I would just hope I would love that job as much as I've loved this job, because I definitely love it.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

1 MR. SAFRAN: Judge, it's great to have you again, and 2 thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. 4 MR. SAFRAN: I've said this before, and I mean it. 5 don't think you appreciate the level of -- feeling 6 positively the level of acclaim that you get from 7 the Bar. We look at these things every year, and 8 I'm telling you, people are quick to throw the 9 They're quick to hurl the Bar. They all 10 It's human nature, and when we see something are. 11 like this where nobody has an ill word, nobody, it 12 is beyond outstanding. 13 JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. 14 I mean, literally it's super human is what MR. SAFRAN: 15 it is. 16 JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. 17 MR. SAFRAN: I think, you know, your humility, you know, 18 your kindness and your fairness has certainly 19 reached everybody who has appeared in front of you. And it is no small feat. I mean, I run out of 20 21 adjectives for you, because again, I've become a 22 I've sat here now -- this is the little jaded. 23 seventh time, and, you know, I see what I see. 24 when I see this, I just cannot get past the words, 25 exemplary, terrific, you know, outstanding.

1 he's right. They're talking about a grave loss if 2 you were to move on, but then again we recognize, 3 you know, there's a certain evolution that occurs. 4 And you seem to think that there's another horizon 5 out there for you. But Judge, I just want to make 6 sure you understand, because it is so uncommon for 7 us to see people have an outpouring of such just 8 wonderful comments. And so, you know, again I 9 believe in the past we've said, you know, we always 10 somehow are accused of basically emphasizing the 11 negative. I want to make sure that we all 12 emphasize the positive here, because, you know, 13 yours is spotless. 14 JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. 15 MR. SAFRAN: And wherever you go and whatever you do, I'm 16 confident it will be the same way, outstanding. 17 JUDGE VERDIN: Well, thank you very much. I really don't 18 honestly know what to say except to say I'm 19 somewhat overcome right now at this moment. 20 do want to point out I'd be extremely remiss if I 21 didn't tell you -- and it's not just because my law 22 clerk is in the room -- I have been so fortunate to 23 have the best staff. And their dealings -- so much 24 of what people perceive, their dealings are really

with my staff, so much of it is. And so I'm so

1 thankful and so grateful to them. And Abigail 2 Gowdy is just another one of those fantastic folks 3 that I've had. 4 MR. SAFRAN: Well, but you know what, they all draw it 5 from you though. JUDGE VERDIN: Well, thank you. 6 7 MR. SAFRAN: Okay. They follow what basically their 8 shown, and they emulate what they're taught. 9 JUDGE VERDIN: Well, they've taught me a lot, but thank 10 you so much. 11 MR. SAFRAN: Well, and again, I just wanted to make sure 12 you understood --13 JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. MR. SAFRAN: Because, you know, again we could sit here 14 15 all day and do nothing more than laud you, and it 16 would still come up short. 17 JUDGE VERDIN: Well, thank you so much. I really 18 appreciate it. 19 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you for appearing. 20 JUDGE VERDIN: I really do appreciate it. 21 Ms. Blackley. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 22 MS. BLACKLEY: Good afternoon. 23 JUDGE VERDIN: Good afternoon. 24 MS. BLACKLEY: Abigail Gowdy should be so thankful to be 25 in your essence and to be given what you give off.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have known you since you were a Family Court judge, watched you. We don't know each other very But in my capacity wherever I've worked I've known you, and there has never been an ill word or feeling, thought that has ever been expressed. that is unheard of, especially when you work among staff. And I remember when I was clerk, and you would come to Spartanburg, and it was just like, Judge Verdin's coming, Judge Verdin's coming. mean, you don't really find that that often. am just going to tell you it has been an honor to work with you. And I just need to publicly say, when all the things were going on, what I was dealing with, just stellar, and standing on conviction and treating -- and making sure staff felt heard and needed. And obviously your staff and anybody you come in contact, whether they're coming in front of you or around you, working for you, all feel the same. And I mean, I haven't been on this Commission for a very long time like others, but I've not seen any comments like this since I have been here. And you are deserving. You need to stand there and just take all this goodness that's coming your way because you deserve And I just have not seen anybody as stellar as it.

1 you in this field as long as I've been working. 2 And whatever you decided to do, it is going to be a misfortune, I guess or a negative for the circuit 3 4 court to lose you if you make it to the next level, 5 but just know that you are appreciated in 6 everything you do. And I hope you take -- Abigail, 7 I hope you take everything that you are seeing and 8 take it with you as you travel this legal world, 9 because you've got some great role models in your 10 life, but this lady right here is at the top as 11 well. 12 MS. GOWDY: Yes, ma'am. 13 And you're a role model of hers as well, JUDGE VERDIN: 14 and I thank you for that. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Strom. 16 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm not going to go 17 as long as everybody else, but ditto. I mean, I 18 have never heard anybody say anything negative 19 And that's just amazing when you do about you. 20 that job. Somebody has to be unhappy, but you seem 21 to manage that and treat people with respect and 22 the process with respect. I mean, it's page after 23 page after page of how wonderful you are and what a 24 good jurist you are. And I'm sort joining Mr. 25 Rutherford and a lot of other lawyers in

Greenville, nobody wants to see you go to the Court of Appeals. But if that's what you want to do, with the kind of judge you've been so far, I think you should be on the Court of Appeals.

JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you so much.

MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, let me add a little bit here. force of nature, a gift, a breath of fresh air, an honor to serve with, fair to all and listens to all even when others effectively don't deserve to be heard." Maybe not being respectful in court. You, again, have -- you've heard it countless times. You have the acclaim and the respect of those who you have come in contact. Seven hundred plus folks have participated, countless comments. Again, it is rare to have the acclaim that you have. Because this is one step along is important to us. the process of what does the world think about a candidate and a judge. And so, young lady clerk, you're with a teacher, a force of nature. Perhaps you may have other comments to say later, but we're not going to ask you now. But this is what you want to be. We ask others, Judge Verdin, who they hold up as their model judges. I daresay you're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be the one that young judges talk about in the future because you're in a class of your own, not alone, but really at the top. And so it is an honor to see someone who is so humble about it. And so, as you said, taken aback or overcome with the emotion of. So you don't wear the robe heavily. You are apparently grasping lightly, and that is -- as your Presbyterian brethren are saying about you, a pretty good walk, a pretty good path. JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you. Thank you very much. I really don't know what to say except to say that I've just had some incredible role models. And I've just had some incredible role models as judges, and I'm very, very fortunate about that. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Unless we take up an offering, I think we will now call the benediction. Judge, you've been here, done this before, and do you prefer trespassers or debtors? We know the answer. You're Presbyterian. So this concludes this portion of our inquiry and the screening You know very well what I'm about to say. process. This Commission takes very seriously candidates adherence to both the letter and the spirit of the And so that will be ethics law. violation of the appearance of impropriety would be

1	deemed very serious, potentially weighing of heavy
2	deliberations by us. All that to say that this
3	record is not closed until the formal release of
4	the report of qualifications. You understand in
5	the very unlikely chance that we would have
6	questions about the appearance of violation of
7	you know we could call you back for further
8	questions, correct?
9	JUDGE VERDIN: Yes, sir, certainly.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you for being the
11	mark and the mentor of a young lady and a young
12	lawyer. Perhaps, Ms. Gowdy, you will be standing
13	here one day offering for a judgeship in turn, too.
14	JUDGE VERDIN: I hope so. Thank you, y'all.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Peace, you guys. Take
16	care. Thank you.
17	JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you very much.
18	(Off the Record)
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome Judge Knie.
20	JUDGE KNIE: Good afternoon
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Are you ready?
22	JUDGE KNIE: I'm ready.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.
24	THE HONORABLE GRACE GILCHRIST KNIE, being duly
25	sworn, testifies as follows:

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have what you will soon hand into
us, I trust, a Personal Data Questionnaire and a
sworn statement. Are those ready to go in, or do
you need to make any additions or corrections to
them?
JUDGE KNIE: They are correct and are admitted without
objection. Thank you.
(Exhibit Number 17 was marked for identification purposes
- (23 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
Honorable Grace Gilchrist Knie.)
(Exhibit Number 18 was marked for identification purposes
- (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for
The Honorable Grace Gilchrist Knie.)
(Exhibit Number 19 was marked for identification purposes
- (5 pages) Sworn Statement of The Honorable Grace
Gilchrist Knie.)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you, Commission
Chairman Knie.
JUDGE KNIE: Sorry. Can you tell I've been in court this
morning?
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And did you object to that, by the way?
Note without objection that came into the record.
You are familiar with this process. You have been
screened before. So forgive my stating what you
know to be the facts, but we, in our thorough

investigation of your qualifications for this 1 2 position, focus on nine evaluative criteria, which 3 include the ballot box survey, a study of your 4 application materials, verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 5 6 newspaper articles in which in your name appears, a 7 study of previous screenings and a check for 8 economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits have 9 been filed in opposition to your election, and 10 other than the presence of a member of your family, 11 no one is here. And he's not going to testify, but 12 with that, you can certainly introduce your husband 13 if you would like. We know him, but --14 JUDGE KNIE: Sure. Thank you. My spouse is with me, 15 Patrick Knie. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. Note for the record, he 17 smiled brightly when you called him your spouse. 18 With that, Judge Knie, we are ready to either hear 19 a brief opening statement from you or have Mr. 20 Hinson take it away with questions. Your choice. 21 JUDGE KNIE: Okay. Very briefly, I am sincerely excited 22 to be before y'all today in contention for the 23 position of South Carolina Court of Appeals, Seat 24 I thank you for your consideration and welcome 25 the questions.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Hinson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Chairman, I note for the record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDQ, which has been included in the record with the candidate's consent, Judge Knie meets the constitutional and statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence and years of practice.

JUDGE KNIE - EXAMINATION BY MR. HINSON:

- Q. Judge Knie, why do you now want to serve as a judge on the Court of Appeals?
- Α. Well, I anticipated that question of course, and let me point out at the outset that I love what I And I love the people that I work with, my contemporaries on the bench as well as the staff that I deal with throughout the state. And my daddy used to say that if you love what you do and the people that you work with, you're going to be successful. And I feel like I am. And humbly, I think that I am doing an okay job, a good job, with my position currently. But I also believe that taking a position on the Appellate Court would give me an opportunity to expand my horizons, to challenge myself to do more academic work and selfishly to bask in time to reflect on decisions

- that right now I feel like sometimes are made in a
 hurry. And so I welcome this opportunity as a
 challenge of intellect.

 Thank you, Judge. Judge Knie, how do you feel your
 legal and professional experience thus far will
 assist you to be an effective judge on the Court of
 - A. And so, again, your question as to my experience?
 - Q. How do you feel your legal and professional experience thus far will assist you to be an effective judge on the Court of Appeals?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Appeals?

Α. Okay. Thank you. I have now been a lawyer and in I graduated from law this profession for 33 years. school, the University of South Carolina, in 1989 and immediately moved to Spartanburg to clerk for a circuit court judge. After that, I stayed in Spartanburg and started a practice with a very senior respected attorney in that area. And then he had health problems, and I became a sole practitioner within a year or two. And so I have -- throughout the majority of my career I have been a sole practitioner. I have run a business. have had employees. I have worried about payroll. I have worried about retirement benefits and health insurance benefits for employees. And when I tried

cases, I was the one trying them. I wasn't sitting 1 with a group. I wasn't working for a large firm 2 3 where the responsibilities were divided up. It was 4 me, and it was sink or swim. And I built a 5 practice representing women in domestic work. 6 That's what I did. I represented women in Family 7 Court. And there were only three or four female 8 lawyers in the Upstate at that time doing that type 9 And slowly I built a practice that became 10 more lucrative in handling plaintiff's personal 11 injury work, workers' comp, claimant's work. the City Prosecutor for the City of Spartanburg for 12 13 15 years, which meant that I handled nothing but 14 jury trials for the City. I was the only attorney 15 for the City of Spartanburg handling their 16 municipal court work. And I did criminal defense 17 work additionally that did not conflict with the 18 work that I did for the City. And then I started 19 doing Social Security disability work. And so after the 27 years, I became a circuit court judge 20 21 and have worked as a circuit court judge as the 22 chief administrative judge for common pleas and now 23 am currently the chief administrative judge for 24 general sessions, and believe that I bring a wealth 25 of experience and knowledge to an appellate bench.

1

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

12

11

14

13

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

Right now, on the circuit bench, you know, I can really feel what the attorneys are going through that are in court before me. And I believe that over the time that I have spent already on the bench that I will bring a lot of wisdom and experience to the appellate court bench if given that opportunity.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Judge Knie, how would you describe your general judicial philosophy?
- Well, after having done this now for six years I Α. will tell you that every day I go into a courtroom, and whether it's a jury trial or a bench trial, whether it is civil or general sessions, I strive not to be an impediment to the process. does not prohibit me from continuing to push and really be patient with people at the same time. Ιf I feel like someone needs a little more time, then I try to be flexible with them. I really try also to be efficient, but also be a conduit for the process. And that doesn't mean to rush somebody in But it means that if -- I started a the process. plea hearing this morning and the defendant needed some time to talk to his lawyer, I said let's take five minutes. Or an attorney is always running a little behind and they're in Family Court or

1 Magistrate's Court, and, you know, they're on the 2 Well, okay, let's just step back for a 3 minute, or someone's mother wants to come in to 4 speak on their behalf. And so the way to handle 5 those problems and those issues is not to blow up, 6 and that just causes more trouble and loss of time. 7 Efficiency in the court system, you have to be 8 flexible. You have to be understanding. I've been 9 where everyone of those people have been, and so I 10 just try to be not an impediment but a conduit. 11 also strive to be respectful to people and strive 12 to listen to them and make them feel important, 13 which having done this for 27 years, sometimes 14 people just want to tell their story. You know, if 15 it's a guilty plea -- and the domestic violence 16 quilty pleas seem to take the most time. You know, 17 I'll have people pleading to very serious crimes, 18 but, you know, we get to that DV third, and they 19 just say, well, I don't know that that's really 20 what happened. And so you have to back up and say, 21 well, did this happen or did this happen, or would 22 you agree with me that this happened. And you just 23 have to kind of listen to people and understand 24 that they're people. I've appeared in front of a 25 lot of judges throughout my career, and I've had

some very negative experiences. And I try to make being in court as positive as it can be for the people in my courtroom. And I always want people to feel very comfortable, as comfortable as they can and as relaxed as they can. And that in the end, I believe, helps everything run a lot more

7

8

smoothly.

6

5

Q. Judge Knie, what is your vision for the future of our judicial system, and what changes would you advocate for and why?

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Since I've been on the bench, I've seen a Α. lot of changes. We've been forced and nudged into making a lot of changes to keep the judicial system moving, and that would be because of Covid as of March of 2020 things did change. And quite frankly, in preparing for -- to run for this office, I started looking at the rules of appellate procedure and really studying them, and I noticed that changes in the rules over the last 18 months -- there were 13 changes, and most of them dealt with remote communication technology and facilitating that and making the court system more efficient, not only financially efficient but time efficient. And so that's what I see the future as being, that there's going to be a lot more use of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

remote communication technology. And as I know y'all know, but in Social Security hearings we've been doing that for almost two decades. And so I think that it makes sense. Uniquely in Spartanburg, I'm sure y'all may know, we're getting a new courthouse. And we're very excited about it, but in those growing pains security is a big issue. And so right now handling bond hearings remotely is a big plus for our security detail. Because the sheriff's department doesn't have to have people come over from the detention facility, and our detention facility is several miles away. And so I think the future of our judicial system is going to focus on being efficient through technology while promoting safety, and safety is also the new big concern. Safety has taken a new -- you know, a new level, keeping everyone safe. And unfortunately keeping us separated from the lawyers and litigants and inmates. It's a big, big, big issue. speaking with the solicitor in our circuit this morning, and, you know, population growth is amazing in our area right now, which is a bittersweet thing, because with population growth you have crime growth and you have litigation growth. But we were mainly talking about drug

overdoses and the fact that our coroner had recently made a presentation to county council because the death investigations due to drug overdoses have doubled in the last four years in Spartanburg. They had 162 drug overdoses resulting in death in 2021 and 109 of those were related to fentanyl. And so we have a lot of problems, and all that I know to do is collaborate with people that are smarter than I am and try to come to some answer as logically as we can and as efficiently as we can because we're all meeting new issues.

- Q. Thank you, Judge Knie. Judge Knie, to what extent do you believe that a judge should or should not defer to the actions of the General Assembly?
- A. Well, I think that the General Assembly, of course, is its own branch, and I am in a separate branch. And we do rely on the General Assembly, you all, to make the law. My job is to apply the law. And so I would defer to the General Assembly in their making the law. And if I'm looking to interpret the law, I would look at the language of the law and then look to your intent, the legislature's intent. And so -- but I don't want to infringe on the power of the General Assembly. I mean, my role is to apply the law as it is.

1 0. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 Α. 14 15 16 17 18 19 first of all, I quess, the initial review in those questions -- if someone was reviewing me like on 20 21 the judicial website, they would see that I have 22 only been a judge for almost six years. 23 digging deeper, I have been practicing now for 33 24 years, including my time on the bench, but 25 practicing 27 of those. And to reiterate what I

Judge Knie, the Commission received 151 ballot box surveys regarding you with 57 additional comments. The overwhelming majority were positive. ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments: "Judge Knie is a fantastic judge, fair, kind and hard working." Another stated that you were a fantastic jurist. Another stated that you were hard working, knowledgeable and even tempered. A few of the comments did express some concerns. Some of the concerns addressed your experience. What response would you offer regarding that concern? First of all, I think it's very difficult to hear comments about yourself. But it's also extremely important if those comments are sincerely made to know how you're perceived. And so I'm very flattered at the positive comments, of course. And the negative comments regarding my experience,

stated earlier, I sincerely believe that I am well 1 qualified for this position or I wouldn't be 2 3 seeking it. And I believe that my experience is And now in this climate of 4 very well rounded. 5 practicing law, the practice of law has become 6 extremely specialized, but when I was coming up or 7 at least I didn't limit -- maybe I should have, but 8 I didn't limit myself to a certain area. I mean, I 9 did everything. I did some deeds, probate work and 10 was really kind of a one-stop-shop initially. 11 so I believe that I have a wealth of knowledge and 12 experience for the Court of Appeals. 13 Thank you, Judge. A couple of concerns spoke to 0. 14 temperament and perceived bias. What response 15 would you offer regarding those concerns? With regard to bias, and I don't really -- I'm not 16 Α. 17 following regarding the temperament part. 18 maybe you can elaborate on that for me, but with 19 bias, did it say as to -- was there more detail? 20 Q. It was bias particularly towards plaintiff's 21 attorneys and then temperament just in general 22 treatment of folks coming before you in court. 23 Α. Really? I didn't recall us talking about the temperament part before. But the bias towards 24

plaintiff's attorneys in civil court, I will tell

25

1 you that anyone that would make that comment would 2 be, I guess, someone that had not followed my 3 decisions or watched me in court regularly. 4 Because I certainly have tried to be extremely fair 5 and impartial and unbiased and tried to follow all 6 of the canons of judicial conduct. I do not 7 believe that that is true. And I think it's 8 unfortunate that somebody perceived that. 9 would love to talk to someone that believed that 10 about me, because I really do take it to heart. 11 do want people to believe that I am independent and 12 unbiased and impartial, because I really strive to 13 be that way. And, you know, one of the -- I guess 14 it was one of the jury trials that I did during 15 One of the first jury trials, or only jury 16 trials maybe done in the state, was a medical 17 malpractice case. And it was a defense verdict 18 And we did a lot of the work with great lawyers. 19 virtually, and we chose the jury at the Spartanburg 20 Auditorium. And it was a wonderful experience, and 21 the trial was featured in a trial magazine about 22 how to deal with jury trials during Covid with 23 virtual witnesses, expert witnesses and whatnot. 24 And again, that was a defense verdict. Anyway, I'm sorry if someone perceives me that way. I have to 25

1 take issue with that though. And then with regard 2 to temperament, I have never had anyone, as far as 3 I know, complain about my temperament, and I quite 4 frankly wasn't aware that that was something that 5 came out in that information. And I really am 6 shocked and surprised about that. I really try to 7 be kind and patient. You know, scheduling and 8 trying to help people move things and not losing my 9 temper with them. You know, moving things around 10 If someone even has to be in Family during a week. 11 Court because they've got something big scheduled, 12 I try to work it so they can be in my court later. 13 I really am sorry if somebody thinks that. 14 Thank you, Judge. Q.

MR. HINSON: I would note for the record that the Upstate Citizens Committee reported that Judge Knie is qualified as to constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental stability and well qualified as to ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and judicial temperament.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And Judge, I just have a few housekeeping issues.

Since submitting your letter of intent to run for this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?

- 1 A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent, have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 10 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 15 A. No. sir.
- MR. HINSON: I would just note for the record that any
 concerns raised during the investigation regarding
 Judge Knie were incorporated into the questioning
 of the candidate today. And with that, Mr.
- 20 Chairman, I have no further questions.
- 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Hinson.
- Questions by the Commission members. Anybody want
- 23 to start? Senator Talley.
- 24 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Judge, good
- evening.

JUDGE KNIE: Good evening.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you for being with us. As you well know, we look at these ballot box comments and take that and many things into consideration when candidates come before us. And as you apply for the Court of Appeals I thought it was worth noting that several of these talk about how well reasoned and well written your orders are as a member of the They say you're thorough, you're trial court. fair, you're well versed on the law and the facts of each case, that you're an extremely conscientious circuit court judge who takes time to make sure she gets a decision right with a firstrate legal mind and is very deliberative. also says you review briefs and case law thoroughly, always well prepared during hearings and trials. And for the benefit of this Commission, I just want to say that's certainly been my experience in your courtroom. share your surprise that someone would question your temperament. If anything, at times you've been maybe more patient with some than those of us would like and required more of us in our orders than maybe we would like. But that's certainly reflective on how serious you take your job and I

1 think how well you would handle a position on the 2 Court of Appeals. So I want to thank you. 3 Thank you for those comments. JUDGE KNIE: 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Blackley. MS. BLACKLEY: Good afternoon. So good to see you. 5 6 JUDGE KNIE: Yes. 7 MS. BLACKLEY: I, too, was very surprised. I have seen 8 you in court, circuit court, in general sessions 9 court, civil court, with staff, and your 10 temperament has always been nothing but respectful 11 and kind and decent, considerate. So I was 12 completely shocked, because I spent an enormous 13 amount of time with you when I was clerk and 14 thought you have done an extraordinary job as being 15 a judge. So just completely shocked. That's not 16 the Judge Knie that I know and have worked with. 17 So I want you to be encouraged that sometimes we 18 get things that may not always be a true reflection 19 of a candidate. So I want all the rest of the 20 Commissioners here to know what I know about you 21 and your work and your dedication to our courts and to also being a volunteer with my institute and 22 23 talking to youth. I don't want that to go without 24 anyone here knowing that you do give back, and you 25 give back in a tremendous amount of way. And I can

tell you when we do survey our students, you have gotten a lot of high marks, and I just appreciate your service. I don't think I've ever told you that, but you did, and I can show you in the survey. So I just appreciate what you do for the community and for our court and look forward to what you're going to do in the coming years.

JUDGE KNIE: Thank you.

MS. BLACKLEY: Take care.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Other questions?

Representative Rutherford.

REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Only because I know that you'll go home tonight and beat yourself up over that one comment. I don't think anybody here takes that and says, oh, wow, she's that kind of person, especially -- I've been in front of you on numerous occasions, virtually and in person, and that's not you. I've never seen you rush anybody. You always go back and make sure that everybody has said what they need to say. So I don't know who that was or whether they were talking about the same judge that I've been in front of and that everybody else talks about. Because obviously prosecutors and defense attorneys talk about judges, and everybody says the same thing about you. And that is not reflective

1 of what people say. And as far as whether you've 2 made any contact, Mr. Chairman, she avoids me like 3 the plaque since she's become a candidate. 4 didn't know what it was at first, because sometimes 5 you forget you're on JMSC until you're walking 6 straight and you see her making a beeline around 7 the room, like where is she going. But I called 8 her office, she wouldn't talk to me. I talked to 9 her secretary. So she truly, truly takes these 10 things to heart, takes rules to heart, and as far 11 as everything that I've seen has become a great 12 judge. And I don't know that we expected or that a 13 lot of people expected that much out of you, but 14 you certainly have surpassed those expectations. 15 So thank you.

JUDGE KNIE: Thank you very much.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. A couple of things, again to ditto that. And we ask the exceptional and the more norm. And we get all sorts of comments, but you have -- you enjoy very high regard of those who have taken the time to weigh in here with temperament being very high. And every stripe that we look to here incredibly high. And your comment about the pandemic -- there's one comment from folks who said you put your own health at risk

1 taking seriously the role that you had to play 2 here, not trying to duck the hard task. So that is 3 In the vein of others that we've exceptional. 4 talked with in the past and today as well, folks 5 who want to get off the circuit court bench to go 6 to the Court of Appeals or the Supreme Court and 7 the void that will be created by good judges. 8 that you owe it to the state to remain in that 9 position if you're successful in moving up. 10 the role though is dramatically different, and 11 you've heard it from others. Duane Shuler, the 12 best example of a person who was a wonderful 13 circuit court judge who then got into the void of 14 personality, and I won't say humanity, but the 15 closed shop of no ebb and flow, give and take with 16 people on a day in and day out role. Personality 17 wise, are you suited to be a -- I don't want to say bookworm, but non-contact judge? Because that's 18 19 what the appellate level is, non-contact. 20 JUDGE KNIE: Thank you for that question. And I am 21 suited for that. I love reading. I have an 22 academic side, an intellectual side. 23 stated earlier, would welcome time to reflect and 24 discuss with fellow judges on my panel, okay, and 25 my staff attorneys and law clerks things that

1	sometimes we don't have a lot of time to talk about
2	when you're making really fast decisions. And so,
3	yes, I have thought about that and I've prayed
4	about that. I have talked to my husband about
5	that, and I do believe that I would welcome the
6	opportunity if it presents itself.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right. Questions by
8	otherm embers.
9	MS. BLACKLEY: I think y'all need to know that she's a
10	gardener as well grows great produce. Just FYI.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ever won a blue ribbon?
12	JUDGE KNIE: Not yet, but it's a goal.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Only because you're not competitive in
14	your gardening, I guess. One of the other
15	candidates has distinguished themselves in a non-
16	legal context with a blue ribbon award for
17	JUDGE KNIE: Baking, I bet.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: baking, yes. So yours is making it
19	grow in the Clemson Extension vernacular. Hers is
20	baking it grow. All right. Unless there are other
21	questions, Judge Knie, we appreciate your
22	willingness to serve, your willingness to step up
23	to offer for a different level of the judiciary.
24	And I want to remind you at this time that this
25	portion of the screening process will be closed,

1	but pursuant to our criteria as well as the state
2	ethics law, we expect you to adhere to both the
3	spirit and the letter of the ethics law. Any
4	violation of the appearance of impropriety would be
5	considered very serious by us and potentially
6	deserving of heavy weight in our deliberations. If
7	that were to occur, which we certainly don't
8	expect, you do know that this record is not closed
9	until the release of the record of qualifications.
10	We could call you back for questions about that; do
11	you understand that?
12	JUDGE KNIE: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. All right. Judge Knie, thank
14	you and your spouse for joining us today, and this
15	will conclude this portion of the screening
16	process.
17	JUDGE KNIE: Thank y'all.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Judge Holmes, welcome.
19	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Good evening.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: How are you this early afternoon. Is
21	it 4:30 where you are?
22	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: It is.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Hallelujah.
23 24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Hallelujah. JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: If you say it is, it is.

1 This is them suffering me with these type 2 exchanges. 3 THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES, being duly 4 sworn, testifies as follows: 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. You've got documents there, 6 a Personal Data Ouestionnaire and a Sworn 7 Statement. Are those ready to be entered into the 8 record? 9 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: They are. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. No objections to them being 11 included and no changes, correct? 12 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I did bring a supplement that 13 wasn't received that I mailed yesterday. 14 MS. PUTNAM: We'll add that to it. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand those to Lindi we'll include those now in the record. 16 17 Judge, you have participated in these screenings 18 before, so you know how this process works. 19 to put on the record again what you know to be the 20 facts and the truth. But in our efforts to 21 investigate your candidacy we look at nine 22 evaluative criteria, including a ballot box survey, 23 a study of your application materials, verification 24 of your compliance with state ethics laws, a search 25 of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a

1	study of previous screenings and a check for
2	economic conflicts of interest. No affidavits have
3	been filed in opposition to your election, and no
4	witnesses are present to testify, though I think
5	you have a fellow or two with you, perhaps family
6	and/or friend.
7	(Exhibit Number 20 was marked for identification purposes
8	- (18 pages) Personal Data Questionnaire for The
9	Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes.)
10	(Exhibit Number 21 was marked for identification purposes
11	- (1 page) Amendment to Personal Data Questionnaire for
12	The Honorable Jan B. Bromell-Holmes.)
13	(Exhibit Number 22 was marked for identification purposes
14	- (6 pages) Sworn Statement for The Honorable Jan B.
15	Bromell-Holmes.)
16	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Yes, I do.
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You can introduce them if you would
18	like.
19	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Okay. This is my husband,
20	Cleveland Holmes
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome.
22	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: and my Bar examiner, George
23	Hearn, who is a very experienced family court
24	litigator in the Fifteenth Circuit.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And my Bar examiner, too, and many of

1 us here, so welcome both gentlemen. Thank you. 2 Now you have the opportunity to make a brief 3 opening if you would like, but recognizing that 4 it's 4:35-ish, you can dispense with that, and 5 we'll jump right to -- and my apologies for Todd 6 Rutherford dragging this so that we're an hour late 7 getting to your screening. I cannot apologize 8 enough for him. Would you like to make an opening 9 statement, or Ms. Dean with start with questions? 10 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Just briefly, I appreciate your 11 time and your commitment here today, and I am 12 elated to be here. 13 Thank you. All right, Ms. Dean. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I note for the MS. DEAN: 15 record that based on the testimony contained in the candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 16 17 record with the candidate's consent, Judge Bromell-18 Holmes meets the constitutional and/or statutory 19 requirements for this position regarding age, residency and years of practice. 20 21 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES - EXAMINATION BY MS. DEAN: 22 0. Judge, why do you now want to serve as a judge on 23 the Court of Appeals? 24 I have been a lawyer for 27 years. The last 15 and Α. 25 a half I have served as a family court judge.

1 love what I do as a family court judge, but four 2 and a half years ago I was extended an opportunity 3 to sit as an acting justice on the Supreme Court. 4 I never thought that I would be interested in 5 appellate court work, but I thoroughly enjoyed it. 6 I had the opportunity to hear oral arguments for 7 the three criminal cases. Certainly I couldn't 8 judge a family court case. And I thoroughly 9 enjoyed it. I enjoyed reading the briefs, the 10 appellate -- the respondent briefs as well as the 11 transcript on the record. And I actively 12 participated and asked questions during oral 13 arguments. I thought that I did a very good job at 14 that, and I know that this is a job that I would 15 excel and do well. 16

Q. Thank you, Judge. How do you feel your legal and professional experience -- and you've already touched on this some, but if you would like to elaborate, will assist you to be an effective judge on the Court of Appeals?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. As a lawyer, I handled workers' comp cases, personal injury cases, Social Security disability cases, real estate cases, family court cases, pretty much any type of case. When you're a sole practitioner in a small town, your citizens,

1 friends, family members expect you to be an expert 2 at everything. So I did a really good job at just 3 about every area of law. Wasn't too fond of 4 criminal. I did handle some of those cases. But 5 as a family court judge I've also had an 6 opportunity to handle the criminal side with 7 respect to the juvenile justice cases and obviously 8 family court dividing property. So that didn't 9 change for me as well as all of the other cases 10 that comes along with family court, divorce, child 11 custody, child support, names changes, whatever, 12 all issues dealing with the family. 13 Judge, how would you describe your Q. Thank you. 14 general judicial philosophy? 15 My judicial philosophy is to rule after careful and Α. 16 deliberate consideration according to the law and 17 not to affect public policy. 18 Thank you, Judge. What is your vision for the Q. 19 future of our judicial system, and what changes 20 would you advocate and why? 21 In 2020 with Covid, I was chief administrative Α. 22 judge for family court for my circuit. So we did 23 have an opportunity to develop the Webex, and we

know this just not only happened for family court,

it happened for the entire judiciary for the state.

24

25

So I believe we're headed in the right direction 1 2 with that. And I have continued to hold Webex 3 hearings for uncontested matters. Certainly 4 difficult to do so for contested matters or trials. 5 Q. Thank you, Judge. To what extent do you believe 6 that a judge should or should not defer to the 7 actions of the General Assembly? 8 The judge should defer to the actions of the Α. 9 General Assembly in that the General Assembly makes 10 and creates laws. It is the judge's job to enforce 11 the law. 12 Q. Thank you. Moving to the ballot box questions. 13 Judge, the Commission received approximately 156 14 ballot box surveys regarding you with 72 additional 15 The ballot box survey, for example, comments. 16 contained the following positive comments: "A fair, 17 impartial judge, experienced and excellent 18 temperament and strong work ethic and is a person 19 of exceptional character." Sixteen of the written 20 comments expressed some level of concern. Some of 21 these concerns related to your level of experience 22 as well as your ability to serve on the Court of 23 Appeals. How would you respond to such concerns? 24 My level of experience and my ability to serve? Α. 25 Yes. Q.

- A. I have been a lawyer, as I stated, for 27 years, 15 and a half of which I have served as a family court judge. I have interacted with attorneys, with self-represented litigants, and I have done so very efficiently and fairly. I have very little cases that have been reversed. Most of my cases have been affirmed, but I don't anticipate any problems sitting as an appellate court judge.
 - Q. Thank you, Judge. The second concern raised was regarding your impartiality. What response would you offer to any concern about that?
 - A. I'm not sure without additional information, but
 I'm certainly not -- I don't show partiality
 towards one side or the other. I rule according to
 the facts and circumstances. And whenever it
 involves a child or children with what I believe to
 be in the best interest of the children according
 to the law and the facts and circumstances in front
 of me.
 - Q. Thank you. Judge, in your PDQ, you mention a case due to your service on the board of directors at Georgetown County Chamber of Commerce way back in 2000, a long time ago. You're named in the suit along with all the other board members, but the case was settled and dismissed without any of your

1 involvement; is that correct? 2 Α. That is correct. 3 0. Thank you. 4 MS. DEAN: I would note that the Pee Dee Citizens 5 Committee reported that Judge Bromell-Holmes is 6 qualified in the criteria of constitutional 7 qualifications, physical health and mental 8 stability. The Citizens Committee found the judge 9 well qualified in the criteria of ethical fitness, 10 professional and academic ability, character, 11 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. 12 Q. Moving now just to some housekeeping issues. Since 13 submitting your letter of intent to run for this 14 seat, have you contacted any members of the 15 Commission about your candidacy? 16 Α. I haven't. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 17 Q. 18 the limitations on contacting members of the 19 General Assembly regarding your screening? 20 Α. I am. 21 Since submitting your letter of intent, have you Q. 22 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 23 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of 24 your screening? 25 I have not. Α.

1 0. Have you asked any third parties to contact members 2 of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 3 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this 4 process on your behalf? 5 Α. I am not. 6 0. Thank you. 7 MS. DEAN: I would just note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding 8 9 the candidate were incorporated in today's 10 questioning. Mr. Chairman, I have no further 11 questions. 12 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Dean. Ouestions 13 by anybody on the Commission? Senator Sabb. 14 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I really have 15 more of a comment than I do a question. Of course, 16 I've known Judge Bromell-Holmes for a substantial 17 period of time. She's in the Georgetown area. Ι 18 don't practice family law, but my law partner, 19 Kimberly Barr does. And she always has raving 20 things to say when she appears before Judge Holmes. 21 And of course, I know her reputation in the community and amongst the local lawyers, and it's 22 23 stellar to say the least. And so, I was delighted

this position. She's obviously imminently

when I found out that she was going to offer for

24

25

1 qualified. And I wish her the best of luck, but I 2 just wanted everybody to know we've had nothing but 3 positive interactions with Judge Holmes since she's 4 been our jurist. 5 Α. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. All right. Representative 7 Jordan. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 8 REPRESENTATIVE JORDAN: 9 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES - EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE 10 JORDAN: 11 Judge, just a couple of questions. 0. Obviously 12 you've been on the family court now for, I think 13 you said, 15 years; is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 So let's say you're successful, and you're elevated Q. to the Court of Appeals. What would you think 16 17 would be the things you would need to do -- I don't 18 want to say get up to speed, but obviously you're 19 going to be going from a court that deals with 20 family court issues to a court that has to deal 21 with all different kinds of issues. So I'm guessing I'm asking how would you feel like -- what 22 23 things would you do to prepare yourself should you 24 be successful in being elevated? 25 I'm an avid and quick learner. Whatever cases that Α.

1 are assigned to me, I will certainly review the 2 case law pertaining to the particular cases. 3 would certainly have help there in that of a law 4 That's something that family court judges -5 - we don't have at this time. As a family court 6 judge, I'm judge and jury. So I think my workload 7 might not be as tedious in terms of having to --8 well, certainly I still would be judge and jury 9 along with two other panelists, but I don't anticipate any problems in getting up to speed. 10 11

Thank you. 0.

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Representative Caskey.

13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES - EXAMINATION BY VICE CHAIRMAN CASKEY:

Judge, thank you for being here today. As I was Q. looking through the ballot box, the comments are alowina. "The judge is one of the most experienced fair and knowledgeable judges on the bench." "Judge Holmes has the patience of a saint." very flattering. There was a question, though, that was pointed out here, and I just wanted to give you an opportunity to respond to it. With respect to your handling of rape cases. know if that is something you recollect from the process so far, but I was hopeful you might be able

to help me understand what that concern may have
been if you're familiar with it.

A. I'm not -- I'm not sure what that concern may have

A. I'm not -- I'm not sure what that concern may have been. I do recall Ms. Dean mentioning that. And the party apparently thought that I gave too harsh of a sentence. But I've handled several criminal sexual conduct, and the person certainly called it rape. But we don't use that term --

Q. Right.

A. -- in juvenile court, because it just sounds so harsh. But we are given recommendations from the Department of Juvenile Justice. The solicitor gives a recommendation and certainly the juvenile's attorney. I take a look at the recommendations, and we have guidelines that we follow. So I'm sure my ruling was within the guidelines and was fair and based on the facts and circumstances.

Q. Great. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Any other questions? All right. Judge, I want to comment as well on the regard that you have and the esteem that you enjoy with other members of the Bar in terms of the ballot box survey. And you've been on the family court bench for, you said --

<code>JUDGE</code> BROMELL-HOLMES: <code>Fifteen</code> and a half, yes.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: -- Fifteen and a half years. 2 description as being patient is well noted here. 3 Great temperament, and you never let common sense 4 leave the courtroom. Family court is not easy to 5 earn that regard. And so that is an exceptional 6 accomplishment on your behalf. As I have asked 7 others in the effort of moving from the trial bench 8 to the appellate practice, I mentioned the last 9 time Duane Shuler. You know him from the Pee Dee 10 And he offers a good example of someone who area. 11 was a people person who enjoyed being in the 12 courtroom. Didn't appreciate it as much until he 13 left it and got on the Court of Appeals where he 14 describes it to anyone who will ask, a great, vast 15 emptiness of human contact. Do you have a sense of 16 being able to transition, not to be a quick study, 17 but missing the people, the contact sport which 18 trial benches and judges have? 19 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I think because I will be going to 20 three panels and not -- technically I'm isolated 21 now when I make a decision, because I don't have a jury to help me to render the decision. 22 I'm alone 23 when I make my decision. So I would see it as a 24 welcoming endeavor by having two other panelists, 25 so I don't think I would experience any loneliness

1 at the appellate court level. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: In terms of the experience in the 3 appellate realm, we've had candidates today, one 4 who has not even served on the bench. 5 Harrison came through. You're contending for the 6 same seat she is -- who does not have any trial 7 experience effectively as a judge as you would but 8 has an incredible amount of experience in the Blake Hewitt, similarly, straight 9 appellate realm. 10 from trial law to becoming an appellate lawyer. 11 you see yourself having to be a guick study in the appellate field, or is that within your wheelhouse 12 13 already? 14 JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: It is within my wheelhouse 15 already. 16 All right. Any other questions? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 17 Gentleman, husband, thank you so much for joining. 18 Judge Holmes, let me remind you, as you are aware, 19 this concludes this portion of the screening 20 process, but you are well aware of our criteria 21 having screened before, that we are very much 22 sticklers for a candidate abiding by both the 23 letter and the spirit of ethics law. Any violation 24 of the appearance of impropriety of that would be 25 considered very serious and deserving of heavy

1	deliberation on our part. All that to tell you, as
2	you know, this record is not closed until the
3	formal release of the report of qualifications. In
4	the unlikely event that there would be any
5	appearance of impropriety or questions, you
6	understand that we could call you back for further
7	questions; is that correct?
8	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: I understand.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you so much for being here. And
10	my apologies for Representative Rutherford making
11	us start so late. Welcome. Y'all have a great and
12	safe trip back home.
13	JUDGE BROMELL-HOLMES: Thank you so much for your time.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care. All right. Now that
15	concludes today's process. Representative
16	Rutherford moves that we go in Executive Session,
17	seconded by Representative Jordan. We will now go
18	into Executive Session.
19	EXECUTIVE SESSION
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record. For the
21	record, during Executive Session no votes were
22	cast, no decisions were made, and we are now going
23	to adjourn until tomorrow morning. Thank y'all.
24	(There being nothing further, the proceeding concluded at
25	6:05 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
I, JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC IN
AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY
CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THIS PROCEEDING, ON MONDAY, THE
14TH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2022, AND THAT THE FOREGOING 221
PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY
STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID PROCEEDING.
I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL
FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES
CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY INTERESTED
IN SAID CAUSE.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 2ND
DAY OF DECEMBER, 2022.
Junifer Nouse
JENNIFER NOTTLE, COURT REPORTER
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 11, 2023

	221114NO	221114NO	160.10	15
	221114NO. JMSC_	221114NO. JMSC_Knie_	168:10	89:24
Exhibits	Konduros_	Exh 18	11th 72:1	
221114NO.	Exh 9	6:2 185:11		17th 9:14
JMSC_	5:1 73:5	221114NO.	12	
SC Bar Reports	221114NO.	JMSC_Knie_	62:11,16 88:21	18
Exh 1	JMSC	Exh 19	98:13	53:1 185:11 192:19 208:8
4:4 40:20	McDonald	6:5 185:14	12th	
221114NO.	Exh 10	221114NO.	9:13	1812
JMSC_	5:4 98:7	JMSC_Holmes_	13	89:12 95:6
Citizens Commit	221114NO.	Exh 20	41:24 99:13	19
tee Reports_	JMSC_	6:8 208:7	136:1 192:20	7:13 185:14
Exh 2	McDonald	221114NO.	138	1960s
4:6 40:22	Exh 11	JMSC_Holmes_	47:9	163:14
221114NO.	5:7 98:10	Exh 21	14	1983
JMSC_	221114NO.	6:11 208:10	73:22 74:4	71:20
Anderson_Exh 3	JMSC_	221114NO.	84:24 133:11	1989
4:8 12:9	McDonald_	JMSC_Holmes_	136:4	188:14
221114NO.	Exh 12	Exh 22	140	1st
JMSC_	5:10 98:13	6:14 208:13	171:23	9:17 173:11
Anderson_Exh 4	221114NO.	0.14 206.13	141	
4:11 12:12	JMSC_		78:2	2
221114NO.	Harrison_	\$	15	
JMSC_	Exh 13	\$25	61:7 62:11	2
Anderson_Exh 5	5:13 136:1	19:12	84:25 88:22	7:14 40:22
4:14 12:16	221114NO.	17.12	143:1 155:3	186:24
221114NO.	JMSC_		166:10 189:13	2-10-70
JMSC_Hill_	Harrison_	1	209:24 213:1	80:21
Exh 6	Exh 14	1	216:13	2-19-70
4:17 42:7	5:16 136:4	16:17 17:8	151	21:18 49:12
221114NO.	221114NO.	40:20 185:12	195:1	109:7 144:19
JMSC_Hill_	JMSC_Verdin_	208:11	156	174:12 199:2
Exh 7	Exh 15	1,600	212:13	214:17
4:20 42:10	5:18 166:10	88:17	16	20
221114NO.	221114NO.	10	42:8 126:17	55:17 77:23
JMSC_	JMSC_Verdin_	98:7	133:12 159:24	111:8 120:25
Konduros	Exh 16	100	166:13	138:13,24 159:8
Exh 8	5:21 166:13	96:7	162	208:7
4:23 73:2	221114NO.	109	194:5	20-day
	JMSC_Knie_	194:6	17	159:19
	Exh 17	11	12:10 73:3	2000
	5:24 185:8	97:23 98:10	100:20 166:11	213:23
	3.2.133.0	71.23 70.10	185:8	

2000		142		000
2008		43		999
173:11	3	40:23	7	78:1 84:4
2014	3	45	7	
123:19	12:9,13 98:11	85:4	42:10	A
2015	30	47	70	aback
86:12	37:17 54:20	71:19 72:3	87:4	183:5
2018	111:8 138:13	48-hour		
71:22	144:3 147:2	9:13	700	abide
2020	156:21 160:13	4:30	19:16 89:24	70:16
19:4 102:10		34:22 206:21	72	abiding
192:15 211:21	30s	4:35-ish	212:14	220:22
2021	153:10 154:21	209:4	73	Abigail
194:6	33		19:25 142:10	167:13 179:1,24
2022	188:13 195:23	5	762	181:6
40:11,13 61:6	35		171:22	abilities
72:1	117:20 153:4	5	7:30	20:3
2023	35-year	12:16 73:6	89:7	ability
9:13,14	154:18	185:15		21:9 49:3 65:21
21	353	50	8	80:8 146:23
136:2 171:25	122:13	115:7 138:23		153:19 163:9
208:10	358	52	8	174:4 198:20
22	142:9	35:1 65:9	17:8 73:2 98:14	212:22,24
7:2 71:24	36,000	566	80	214:10
208:13	76:4	19:23	155:14	aboard
220	37	57	800	7:8
45:21	40:21 142:23	195:2	74:6 89:24	abreast
	155:8	59E	80s	101:23
195.0		143:17	133:13 149:19	absent
185:9	4	143.17	86	46:24
23rd	4		19:23	absolute
19:4	4	6		35:22
24	12:12 42:11	6		absolutely
98:8 155:10	40	12:17 42:7	9	38:15 107:17
25	33:10 34:17	136:5 208:14	9	117:4 122:3
160:13	85:3 110:22	60	16:17 73:5	128:16 149:2
250-page	111:8 138:13	15:11	90	155:7 156:14
19:15	400		159:13	157:5,21 164:2
27	74:5 76:3	652	96	168:4
126:16 189:20	404	47:8	25:24 105:9,14	academic
191:13 195:25	112:25	6:05	· ·	21:9 49:3 80:8
209:24 213:1		221:25	97	134:13 139:10
	40J		85:1 102:6	168:15 174:4
	29:14			100.13 1/4:4
		1		

187:24 198:20	acronym	additionally	admired	affected
204:22 214:10	55:8	139:3 144:11	25:2	130:13
accede	act	189:17	admirer	affidavit
160:17	61:7,14 75:10	additions	161:6	41:22 99:11,13
accept	77:13 119:18	11:22 71:16	admission	135:16
79:1,3,12,25	acting	72:5 166:4	86:23	affidavits
acceptable	210:3	185:4	admitted	13:6 72:20 82:7
45:9	action	address	11:24 41:8	99:16 136:21
access	71:20	16:21 27:9	97:20 185:6	167:3 186:8
104:11	actions	31:10,13,14	adopted	208:2
accident	17:5 46:15 77:6	59:7 90:3 103:2	10:2	affirmed
29:7	104:21 139:4	105:4 107:8	Adult	213:7
accidentally	141:18 170:12	120:14 122:14	75:9	affliction
139:24	194:14 212:7,8	140:18	advance	38:4
acclaim	actively	addressed	108:8 122:6	affording
153:25 177:6	140:12 210:11	16:20 34:1	advances	118:8
182:14,17	actor	105:6 106:16	103:15	afraid
accomplished	73:24	117:8 120:23	advantage	130:14
153:11 164:25	actual	139:6,22	28:17 30:18	afternoon
accomplishment	86:21 111:3	157:15,19 195:11	31:20 54:24	34:22 55:16
219:6	ADA		advent	92:9 124:14
accomplishment	14:11	addressing	86:21	145:17 179:22,
S	adamant	59:4 65:20 139:20	adversary	23 184:20 201:5
91:4	60:9		132:6	206:20
accumulated	add	adhere 47:7 70:17	advertise	age
52:18	72:2 122:24	206:2	63:23	13:21 42:22
accumulating	123:9 168:21		advice	73:17 100:13
33:8	170:7 182:8	adherence 183:23	24:13,16 52:3	137:24 154:8
accurate	207:14		advocacy	161:11,12,23
152:9	added	adjectives 177:21	159:17	167:24 187:8
accused	122:14		advocate	209:19
178:10	adding	adjourn 221:23	16:8 45:1 76:9	agencies
achieved	128:4 139:18		103:8 140:2	20:6,10
154:12	addition	administration	150:7 170:23	agenda
acknowledge	62:19 120:24	74:7,15 102:2 126:10	192:10 211:20	38:10,21 42:15
132:24	138:25	administrative	advocates	aggressive
acknowledged	additional	8:6 14:21 15:8	132:8	48:17 107:9
95:1	19:24 47:10	189:22,23	afar	agree
acknowledging	78:2 105:9	211:21	92:19	77:20 120:3
160:21	107:13 142:10	admiration	affect	125:2 156:1,4,
	171:23 195:2	145:23	103:25 211:17	10 157:5 158:14
	212:14 213:12	143.23		191:22

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
ahead	170:6,16	89:11 112:3	143:4 146:22	127:6 130:5
30:22 51:7	amendment	anticipate	147:4,6,11,21,	138:5,21 142:18
66:20 153:4	12:1,2,13 97:23	84:22 213:7	23 149:17 150:4	143:2 146:7,19,
162:3	98:11 185:12	217:10	151:16,18	24 147:1 149:3,
air	208:11	anticipated	153:21 156:20	6 150:6 151:6
65:6 182:9	amendments	187:12	158:24 159:7	152:12,17
albeit	12:4 135:19	antiquated	168:3,18,20,21	153:1,2 154:23
50:18	amount	25:14 27:6	169:12 170:24	155:25 156:17
Alex	87:5 129:11	anxiety	171:4,8 176:17	157:7 169:2
151:19	141:16 153:15	106:5	182:2,4 186:23	187:22 189:25
Alexander	159:9 201:13,25	Anzelmo	187:11 188:7,11	190:6 192:17
90:13	220:8	137:7	196:12 200:6	204:19 210:5,10
Algernon	ample	Aphrodite	201:2 204:6	213:8 219:8
123:21	8:10	71:10 73:4,6	209:23 210:20	220:1,3,9,10,12
all-	analysis	apologies	212:23 216:16	application
encompassing	18:19 158:15	118:4 209:5	219:13	13:1 41:17
45:2	analytical	221:10	appearance	58:15 72:16
allegation	20:3	apologize	39:10 70:18	99:6 136:15
99:14	and/or	107:8 117:10	94:14,20 130:12	166:23 186:4
allegations	52:5 99:13	128:6 209:7	133:24 134:7	207:23
41:25	162:25 208:6	apparently	165:6 183:25	applies
allocate	209:18	183:7 218:5	184:6 206:4	155:19
103:21	Anderson		220:24 221:5	apply
allowed	11:12,14,15,21,	appeal 53:19 54:19	appeared	89:25 194:18,25
29:22,23 109:25	25 12:2,4,8,11,	104:1 151:3	83:11 116:23	200:5
·	14,18,21 13:12,		117:19 177:19	appreciated
allowing	20,23,24 21:4	appeals	191:24	93:3 134:16
59:9 102:9 118:22	22:14,15 25:7	8:4 43:19 45:7, 20 46:4 48:6	appearing	181:5
	35:15,18 36:1,3	53:23 54:10,18	83:24 179:19	appreciating
alluded	39:4,20,21	55:17 61:17	appears	155:6
120:22 134:12	151:14	62:12 73:22	13:4 41:20	appreciation
alluding	anecdotally	74:5,17 75:6	72:18 82:11	66:11 146:20
53:3	37:19	77:20 79:17	99:9 136:18	appreciative
alternative	announced	82:18,19 84:25	167:1 186:6	84:16 101:20
55:6,7 56:6	142:2	85:1,2 100:25	207:25 215:20	approach
amazing	anonymous	101:4 102:7	appellate	16:6 28:18 29:5
93:5 103:17	50:18 121:13	110:15 119:4	15:9,13,18,19	32:4,7 106:17
175:15 181:19	133:7	122:3,7,10	45:6 53:14	approachable
193:22	answering	123:19 125:20	56:11 62:7,9	129:12
Amazon	37:24 58:9	130:3 138:3,19,	68:1 85:2 86:20,	appropriately
65:15	answers	23 139:7 140:19	25 100:21 102:7	105:3 106:15
ambiguities	48:15 65:2	141:3 142:23	103:1,20 106:7 118:13 125:18	
	.0.15 05.2		110.13 123:18	
	l	l	1	1

	 ,		1 215.2	1
approximately	argument	Assembly	215:3	authority
212:13	48:14,19 55:23	17:6 18:5,11,16,	attend	18:15 36:24
April	108:6 117:17	20 19:8,15	130:7	avid
137:4	118:16,22,23,24	21:20 22:3	attitude	216:25
arbitration	119:14,15 141:4	46:15,23 49:14,	20:20 37:16	avoids
87:12,14,19	arguments	22 77:7 80:23	attorney	203:2
139:3	46:5,6 55:19,20	81:6 104:22	14:8,18 15:7	award
arcane	82:19 103:16	109:9,17,21	24:9 32:11 36:6	163:9 164:8
61:23	105:23 117:20	139:15 141:19,	38:7,10,13	205:16
archive	121:3 125:6	21 144:21 145:4	55:23 56:1	aware
46:5,7	210:6,13	146:15 170:13,	58:22 75:4,5	22:4 49:23 81:7
arduous	arises	14 174:14,22	111:13 116:25	95:1,3 98:25
156:2	39:16 70:24	194:14,15,17,	125:11 151:19	109:18 113:8
area	Armstrong	19,24 199:4,12	188:18 189:14	130:11 145:5
50:15 89:18	114:21 115:20	212:7,9 214:19	190:24 218:14	159:19 174:24
112:22 114:20	116:6,14 127:8	215:2	attorneys	198:4 199:13
128:25 147:2	arrest	assent	8:22 55:10 56:3,	215:3 220:18,20
149:22 153:20	30:8	81:19	5 62:20 68:14	awe
169:13 188:18	arrested	assign	94:3 95:18	149:2
193:22 196:8	173:9,20	113:10	105:22 107:2	awful
211:3 215:17	arrogance	assigned	119:1 121:12	110:19
219:10	38:6,18	111:11,12	169:23 171:24	aye
areas	article	112:18 217:1	172:4,14 190:2	9:23 10:12 11:7
20:5 43:17 44:7	16:17 17:8	assigning	196:21,25	ayes
169:17	88:15	113:15	202:24 204:25	9:24 10:13 11:8
aren't	articles	assist	213:3	
69:15 126:5	13:4 16:17	43:12 46:11	attorney's	B
130:3,4 141:13	41:19 72:18	74:22 138:18	82:21 110:21	
155:9 158:23	99:8 136:17	188:6,10 210:19	119:10	babies
argue	166:25 186:6	assistant	attribute	160:3
79:1 83:6 119:5	207:25	58:18	163:7,8	back
143:1,7,19	artificial	assume	attributes	10:18 14:11,15
151:1 155:6	64:22	17:14 46:19	131:24	28:9,11 29:6,14,
159:2,5,12	aspect	79:3 120:3	attuned	20 39:15 46:6
argued	16:4,24 18:7	assuming	28:2 29:3	54:23 60:22
55:22 85:24	33:23 44:14	37:4 160:16	audience	61:1 64:3 66:23
119:5,7,8	53:25 56:20	Atlantis	162:22 163:25	67:9,11 70:23
138:24 159:10	74:15	83:3	Auditorium	76:22 88:17
arguing	aspirations	attempting	197:20	89:14,16 90:14
38:13 43:20	175:18	22:4 49:23 81:7	August	91:1,9 94:20
75:21 116:24	aspire	109:18 145:5	65:5 71:22,24	96:14 98:19
118:25	74:20 76:13	174:24 199:13	72:1	101:15 123:17,
		<u> </u>	1	l

			<u> </u>	1
24 131:4 133:11	ball	based	beginning	benches
134:1,8 135:5,6	119:17	13:17 19:5	26:20 27:2	219:18
160:12,14	ballot	37:21 42:18	171:10	benchmark
165:15 184:7	12:25 19:23	52:1 73:12	begs	143:5,8
191:2,20	37:21 41:16	100:8 137:20	86:16 153:10	benchmarks
201:24,25	47:9,11 72:15	167:20 170:1,2	begun	126:3
202:19 206:10	78:1,3 99:5	187:3 209:15	148:17	benediction
213:22 221:6,	105:8,10 121:13	218:17	behalf	183:16
12,20	129:6 131:4	basic	22:3,5 24:7	beneficial
backed	133:4 136:14	102:16	49:22,24 81:6,8	152:13
55:22	142:9,11 166:22	basically	109:17,19	benefit
backfiring	171:22 172:6	25:24 60:10	145:4,6 174:22,	17:2 155:7
139:19	175:9 186:3	103:5 117:20	25 191:4	200:17
background	195:1,4 200:3	120:7,9 130:9	199:12,14	benefits
7:23 52:15	207:22 212:12,	152:7,18 153:20	215:2,4 219:6	188:24,25
backing	14,15 217:16	155:24 158:20	behest	Benson
92:1	218:23	178:10 179:7	132:18	9:1 13:14,16,23
backlog	balls	basis	behooves	21:3 22:7,12
16:15 59:4,5,7	60:21	32:6 101:13	28:15	Berkeley
61:15	Baptist	118:5,6	belief	104:6
backlogged	23:23 24:4	bask	118:12	bet
124:13	Baptists	187:25	beliefs	205:17
backwards	23:3	beat	79:25 170:12	Beta
26:4	Bar	202:13	believed	123:21
bad	40:21 64:24	Beatty	197:9	
84:3,11 117:17	68:20 78:9 90:6	85:14	bench	betterment
118:1,2 156:17	91:8 100:23	Beaufort	7:18 12:23 34:8	74:19
158:16	101:6,18 102:1,	115:6	55:10 63:15	bias
bake	13 103:4 115:5,	beauty	72:13 77:23	20:6,10,11,15
163:20	9 121:12 122:5	90:15	81:20 92:7 93:1	78:23 196:14,
Baker	129:1 143:3	bed	100:23 102:12	16,19,20,24
9:1 137:11,19	149:4 151:5	155:15	103:3 105:17,21	bid
138:1 144:6	176:19 177:7,9	beeline	115:9 121:4	166:21
145:8	208:22,25	203:6	125:12 146:8	big
baking	218:22	beer	150:14 162:6,18	45:22 75:19
163:13 205:17,	Barber	28:12	169:11 172:3,4,	116:16 127:3
18,20	75:12	began	19 187:15	130:8 153:22
balance	Barr	15:5	189:25 190:1,5,	193:7,9,15,19
45:17 66:10	215:19	begged	6,12 192:11	198:11
balancing	Bar's	114:4	195:24 204:5	bigger
118:20 159:16	40:14 68:23		217:18 218:24	123:9 153:2
110.20 139.10		begin 87:16 93:8	219:7 220:4	biggest
		07.10 75.8		
	I		I	I

140 20 146 17				
140:20 146:17	blessing 79:22	bother	break	broaden
bill		35:6,7	135:1	57:1
77:15	bliss	bothered	breath	broader
billion	131:18	33:17	182:9	67:15
61:19	blood	bothers	Breeden	BROMELL
bills	25:24,25	119:23	9:2	207:3
141:10	blow	bottom	brethren	Bromell-
bind	191:5	20:12	183:8	209:17
69:9	blue	bound	brevity	Bromell-holmes
binding	163:16 164:5,6,	111:24	100:5	206:19,22,24
139:23	9 165:18	box	briar	207:9,12 208:9,
bit	205:11,16	12:25 19:23	60:11	12,15,16,19,22
37:2 44:6 57:1	blue-ribbon	37:21 41:17	bride	209:10,21 214:5
82:4 88:2,3,13	68:22	47:9,11 72:15	137:4	215:16 216:9
89:17 95:17	blush	78:2,3 99:5	briefed	217:14 218:25
96:15 101:1,14	142:21	105:8,10 121:13	159:4	219:19 220:14
102:3 103:12,14	board	128:7 129:6	briefly	221:8,13
115:2 123:6	123:22 151:10	131:4 133:4	47:13 131:6	brother
128:1 142:6	213:21,24	136:14 142:10,	186:21 209:10	137:2
149:9 156:17	Bob	11 166:22	briefs	brothers
182:8	9:1	171:22 172:6	55:13 120:5	110:14
bittersweet	body	175:9 186:3	152:18 156:1	brought
193:23	26:9 46:23 62:9	195:1,4 200:3	157:13 158:6	29:19,21,24
Blackley	87:18 104:25	207:22 212:12,	200:15 210:9,10	36:20 51:18
92:8,9,11,14,17	105:6 120:20	14,15 217:16	bright	61:5 112:11
179:21,22,24	bogged	218:23	28:24 78:10	149:22
201:4,5,7 202:9	28:4	boxes	134:11 162:2	Brownsville
205:9	bolstering	111:21	brightly	173:10,14
Blackley's	112:24	Boy	186:17	Bruce
116:9,10	bond	37:24	bring	140:22
blah	193:8	branch	30:6,11 33:4	Buck
175:23,24	book	18:14 77:9	52:12 164:18	85:17
Blake	18:17,20 19:12,	79:10 140:17	168:20 189:24	budget
148:25 151:12	15,18 22:17,20,	161:13,15	190:5 207:12	40:8 61:19,22
161:25 220:9	25 23:14	194:16	bringing	bug
Blatt	bookworm	branches	33:24 90:18	37:2
44:4	204:18	17:17 18:1 57:3	118:2 146:4	bugging
blended	boots	77:8	bro	128:1
150:15	69:17	brand	95:22	Builders
blending	born	65:12	broad	83:4
16:4,21	142:15	breadth	44:8 75:14	building
<u></u>	1 12,13	85:4 169:4,15		23:18 44:4
	•	•	•	

		1	1	1
built	39:15 55:7	147:23 148:4	captive	21:2 25:14 26:4,
189:4,9	70:23 71:20	175:3 182:20	162:22	5,8,11 28:16,21,
bullet	84:5 90:13,14	199:19 201:19	capture	24 29:9,11,19,
66:17 69:12	91:8 93:5 94:20	203:3 215:9	131:9	21,24 30:13,19,
burden	109:21 117:12	220:22	car	24 31:3,20,23
25:12 46:25	124:10,18	candidates	28:11 29:7	32:9,11 43:22
burly	127:14,16 134:1	7:14,17,18 8:1	82:20	46:16,21 47:4
48:21	186:17 203:7	39:8 63:16	cardinal	53:20 54:12,13
business	218:7	70:16 132:16	139:13	55:12,14,17,21
63:9 64:12	calling	148:20 165:4	care	57:24 58:3,19,
68:21 139:1	75:8 90:19	175:22 183:22	66:24 75:10	22 60:20 63:4
188:22	127:11	200:5 205:15	87:2,12 141:10	66:19,20 67:1,3,
businesses	calls	220:3	143:22 184:16	14,19 68:9,10
20:12	121:6 127:7,9	candidate's	202:9 221:14	69:7 71:22
busy	calming	13:18,19 42:19,	career	74:13 75:3,25
96:1	83:9	20 100:9,10	43:15 52:14	76:6 77:11,13
buying	camaraderie	137:21 167:20,	105:19 153:4	79:14 83:6,13
120:1	85:19	22 187:4,5	154:19 188:21	86:5 92:3
120.1	campaign	209:16,17	191:25	104:11 106:13
	141:25	candidly	careful	107:1,19 108:6
C	cams	118:2,16	64:14 113:2	111:3,5,7
cake	26:9	candor	211:15	113:20 115:18
163:9 164:3,10,	cancer	106:8	Carolina	118:13 119:11 120:14,18
12,15,16,19	82:13 84:16	canons	33:15,19 40:14	138:16 139:6
calculate	candidacy	20:25 111:24	43:22 44:1	150:11,23,25
127:7	21:16 41:15	129:3 197:6	58:11 61:18	151:2 156:19
calculated	42:2 49:10	can't	74:10 85:3	158:10,15
127:22	51:25 80:17	19:1 31:8 35:10	140:4 143:3	159:11,15
call	97:4 99:1 109:5	56:12 59:14	146:25 149:16	197:17 200:11,
20:12,14 24:2	134:15 136:13	80:19 83:5 87:3	155:4 172:8,23	15 210:8,24
50:9 60:21	144:17 166:20	93:8 117:22	173:4 186:23	213:20,25 217:2
76:16 90:5,10	174:10 198:25	125:3 127:1	188:14	case-by-case
91:13 95:7	207:21 214:15	144:1 154:16	Carolina's	32:5
114:18 116:10	candidate	157:7 158:20	172:17	cases
117:4 134:8	7:24 8:25 10:22,	173:19	carried	14:14 15:16
143:10,17	23 11:11 18:24	capability	17:16 93:3	16:15,19 25:10
162:23 164:13	19:19 22:9,10	119:4	carry	26:17 28:9,12,
165:15 183:16	25:12 47:15	capable	93:1 163:15	22 32:5 33:24
184:7 206:10	50:3,4 74:25	32:18 153:1	170:19	43:20 45:5,8
221:6	78:7,14 82:3	154:3	case	53:22 55:7,9,15
called	110:4 133:16	capacity	15:2,3,5,14,18	56:2 60:24
7:13 36:15	135:9 145:11	85:13 180:3	18:7,9 20:17	62:22 69:2
	I	I	I	I

75:17,21 76:4,	caveat	94:10,19,23	challenge	104:6 111:20
14,15 85:22	137:14	95:4 96:21 97:1,	187:24 188:3	114:20 115:6
86:20,22 87:7,	cell	5,8,10,12,19,25	challenged	116:7 123:24
13,19 102:16,20	26:2	98:4,18,21,25	107:3	chase
105:4 111:6	center	99:5 100:4,7	challenges	15:12
112:17,19,22	122:19	108:16 110:5,7,	45:4	check
113:17 118:25	centered	9 116:18,21	challenging	7:23 13:5 32:25
124:8,12 129:17	7:21 105:16	123:14,15	47:2 147:16	41:21 72:19
138:24,25	central	126:22 128:9,	153:24	99:10 106:21
140:23 141:12,	43:8 46:18	11,12 131:1,3	Chamber	136:20 167:2
14 143:24 147:9		133:19 134:3,	213:22	186:7 208:1
150:4 157:13	cert	11,21,25 135:5,		checked
158:22 160:1,	108:1,3 112:19	14,18,21 136:6,	chambers	88:23
12,20 162:10	113:21	9 137:8,18,19	114:20 122:2	
169:9 173:6	certains	145:11,13 146:1	chance	cheesy 138:4
189:1 210:7,21,	66:10	150:18,19	82:16 83:3,11	
22,23 211:4,7,9	cetera	152:3,5 161:3,4,	95:23 119:1	chief
213:5,6 216:25	86:19	5,20 164:5,21	184:5	25:16,22 27:16,
217:2,23	chair	165:11,18,23	change	20 31:23 44:14
Caskey	10:24 11:7	166:1,6,15,18	32:4 154:8	55:25 67:21
7:6 11:2,7,10	82:23	167:15,18,19	155:3 192:15	76:12 79:22
56:14,15,16	chairman	173:17 175:2,6	211:9	85:13,15 88:24
128:10,11,12	7:1 8:20 9:9,18,	176:8,25 177:2	changed	102:5 110:19
161:3,4 217:12,	22,25 10:2,6,7,	179:21 181:15,	25:1 59:25	127:14 140:22
13,14	10,14,17 11:1,3,	16 182:6,8	77:15 113:1	142:25 189:22,
cast	4,9,10,17,22	183:15 184:10,	changing	23 211:21
113:25 221:22	12:3,5,19 13:13,	15,19,21,23	25:16 112:23	child
	16 22:7,12,14	185:1,17,18,21	Chapter	87:8 91:15,17,
cat	24:14 25:4	186:16 187:1,2	7:13	20 211:10,11
121:6	35:14,15 36:2	199:20,21,24	character	213:16
catch	38:6 39:3 40:1,	201:4 202:10	21:8 41:25	childhood
54:1	3,9,15,24 41:4,	203:2,17 205:7,	47:19 49:3 80:8	85:19
categories	10,13 42:16,17	11,13,18	88:3 99:15	children
164:11	43:25 44:2 50:5,	206:13,18,20,	174:4 198:20	51:11 90:4,5
Catherine	6,8 53:4,10,11	23,25 207:5,10,	212:19 214:10	93:19 213:16,17
9:2,3 93:24	56:14,15,16,21	15 208:17,21,25		chime
caused	58:24 68:24	209:13,14	charge	145:21
54:16 173:8	69:19,24 70:4,9,	215:10,12,14	30:17 67:24	chocked
Causey	11 71:1,8,12,16	216:6,8 217:12,	charged	134:12
113:23	72:4,7,10 73:10	13,14 218:19	8:15	choice
causing	80:2 81:13,15,	219:1 220:2,16	charges	62:22 186:20
27:7 53:23	17 87:22,25	221:9,14,20	30:7,12	
2 33.23	92:8 93:23	221.J,1 1 ,2U	Charleston	choose
	72.0 73.23		88:19 100:23	7:16 99:21

		 		1
choosing	80:3 108:17,21	clerked	co-	160:23 192:4
144:4	122:4 144:6	151:13,14	17:25	commencement
chose	173:24 198:16	clerking	co-equal	90:3
197:19	210:25 214:4,8	138:20 149:21	17:17	comment
Chris	City	clerks	COA	20:9,11,21
145:23 163:4	46:21 189:12,	61:9 62:25	142:15	34:21 51:15
Christian	14,15,18	95:18 102:1,12	Coast	79:14 110:11
24:3	civics	103:13 114:12	83:4	125:22 128:19
Christine	46:12	115:1 156:23	coastal	161:7 175:5
92:5	civil	157:8 162:17	115:8,25 126:22	197:1 202:14
Christmas	14:19 29:6	204:25	Cody	203:23,24
35:2 164:18	53:18,22 69:1	clerkships	142:5	215:15 218:20
chronology	86:19 87:10,20	157:11	coequal	commentary
81:19	148:9,12 169:6,	clerk's	57:3,4	139:18
church	7 190:13 196:25	111:22 114:21	cold	commented
24:4 124:1	201:9	CLES	28:12	50:14 144:12
circuit	claimant's	88:22 130:17	collaborate	commenting
8:4 29:2 43:18,	189:11	140:13	194:8	51:12
21 45:23 48:7	clarity	Cleveland	colleague	comments
54:9 59:13,17	100:5	208:20	53:25	8:9 19:24,25
63:15 75:18	class	client	colleagues	20:4 35:22 36:4,
92:24 100:18,23	88:17 139:4	26:12 32:12	79:15 108:11	10,13 37:15,22
104:4 122:17	183:2	124:23	112:2 119:12	47:10,12,22
125:8,12 126:18	classes	clients	college	50:11 78:3,5,20,
146:8 147:8,20	95:4,6	29:23 141:7	51:7 123:22	21,22 80:10
148:21 168:4,	classmate	143:22	colloquy	83:25 92:18
10,16 169:11,20	145:22 161:5	climate	128:14	105:10,12,14
172:3,4 173:3,4	CLE	196:4	Columbia	118:6 129:6
175:16 176:14	128:4	close	46:21 65:5	142:11,12,17
181:3 188:16	clear	23:1 41:4 97:1		161:1 171:23,25
189:20,21 190:1	19:18 46:16,24	138:24 142:6	combatants 132:22	172:7 175:10
193:20 200:12	100:22 101:23	165:20		178:8 180:21
201:8 204:5,13	Clemson	closed	combination	182:16,22
208:24 211:22	205:19	94:23 165:11	38:5	195:2,5,10,14,
circumstances	clerk	184:3 204:15	combine	15,17,18 200:3
213:15,18	63:23 92:21	205:25 206:8	138:15	201:3 203:19
218:17	115:19 116:6,11	221:2	combined	212:15,16,20
cites	126:9 163:21	closer	139:5	217:16
150:11	167:11,13	24:23	comfort	Commerce
citizens	178:22 180:7	closing	124:18 147:10	213:22
21:3 26:25	182:20 188:15	158:18	comfortable	Commission
40:11,23 48:25	201:13 217:4		101:24 152:24	7:16 8:23 11:20
	I	I	ı	1

12:22 18:23	common	55:11	173:8 193:16	conference
19:22 21:15	47:20 147:3	complain	195:12 212:20	125:19 129:24
22:13 24:11,13,	171:24 189:22	129:8 198:3	213:9,11 218:1,	conferences
15 25:5 40:4	219:3		3	129:22
41:6 47:8 49:10		complaint	concerned	
52:9 56:19	commonplace 37:16	86:22 99:13	104:1 124:5,6	confess
58:16 70:12		143:13 158:12	,	150:19
74:12 78:1	communicating 101:25	complete	concerns 20:4 22:8 47:22	confidence
80:17 97:6		7:22 30:15	50:2 78:25	56:22 128:20
99:22 100:1,16	communication	64:13 156:4		129:19
105:7 109:5	101:10 102:12	completely	81:11 91:10	confident
123:20 136:7,11	115:17 192:21	20:24 95:3	110:3 121:18	37:1 117:7
137:12 142:9	193:1	118:11 201:12,	142:17 145:9	153:12 178:16
144:17 145:14	communities	15	155:8 175:2	conflict
166:19 168:1	140:12	complex	195:10,11	111:18 189:17
171:21 174:10	community	112:19,21 113:9	196:13,15	conflicts
180:20 183:22	134:13 140:6,15	139:1	199:17 212:21,	13:6 41:21
185:17 195:1	163:18 202:6	complexity	23 215:8	72:19 99:10
198:25 199:22	215:22	28:25 111:20	conclude	136:20 167:2
200:18 212:13	comp	138:7	39:5 71:4	186:8 208:2
214:15 215:13	86:17 107:19	compliance	206:15	congratulations
Commissioners	169:12 189:11	13:2 41:18	concluded	18:17
201:20	210:21	72:17 99:7	221:24	conjecture
	company	136:16 166:24	concludes	51:21
Commission's 72:12 99:1	161:13	186:5 207:24	70:13 183:19	connectivity
	comparatively	compliment	220:19 221:15	104:10
commitment	156:7	133:1 143:20	conclusion	conscientious
43:3 129:17	compare	compliments	75:16	108:23 200:12
209:11	30:1	114:2	conclusions	consent
committed	compared	comprehensively	15:17	13:19 42:20
23:18	23:3 122:7	69:13	concrete	73:14 75:10
committee	compassionate	comps	65:2	100:11 137:22
8:19 21:4,10	78:6	87:5	concurrent	167:22 187:6
28:6 29:5 40:11,	competency	computation	9:16	209:17
23 48:25 55:2	41:25 99:15	127:11	conditioning	
68:22 74:18			65:6	consequence 124:10
78:13 80:3,10	competing	computer	conduct	
108:17,21	163:13	115:12	12:24 20:18	considerate
122:4,5 125:14	competition	concern	63:6 197:6	201:11
144:7,11 173:24	164:10	20:18 47:24	218:7	consideration
198:16 214:5,8	competitive	105:15,17	conduit	186:24 200:4
committees	163:12 205:13	107:13 115:9	101:8 190:19	211:16
28:4 74:8	compile	130:11 142:20	191:10	considerations
		159:3 161:9	171.10	45:12

considered	80:16 109:4	continued	coroner	85:24 92:18
165:7 206:5	144:16 174:9	212:2	194:1	100:22 109:1
220:25	198:24 214:14	continues	correct	171:2 196:13
considers	contacting	59:7 163:15	11:21 19:20,21	203:17 216:11
172:15	21:19 49:13	continuing	41:11 71:14	courageous
consistent	80:22 109:8	67:2 71:4	94:21 95:2 99:3	24:19
78:18 154:25	144:20 174:13	190:15	106:18,21	courageousness
constantly	199:3 214:18	contrast	113:16,22 116:7	25:2
148:1,20	contained	62:10	119:1 134:1,9	court
Constitution	13:17 42:18	contribute	135:16,24 136:7	8:2,3,4,6,7
17:7,19 104:24	73:13 100:9	53:7	162:1 165:9,16	12:20 13:25
constitutional	105:11 137:20	Contributes	173:5 184:8	14:3,7,14,21,22,
13:20 21:5	167:20 187:4	172:7	185:6 207:11	25 15:8,13,22
42:21 46:24	195:4 209:15	contributions	214:1,2 221:7	16:16 24:21
49:5 57:21	212:16	121:4 129:14	corrections	27:20 28:2 29:2,
73:15 80:5	contemporaries	142:1,2	185:4	12 30:3,7 31:9,
100:11 108:18	50:24 187:15	control	couldn't	17 32:7 33:13
137:23 144:8	contending	60:7,17 69:1	14:12,13 56:19	34:1,2,4,14,24,
150:22 167:23	220:5	controversial	125:9 210:7	25 35:1,3 37:1,5
174:1 187:6	contention	57:18 58:12	council	38:15 43:1,7,13,
198:17 209:18	186:22	controversies	43:22 44:1	19,20,21 45:3,7,
214:6	contentions	102:17,20 105:4	194:2	11,16,20 46:4,7,
constitutionality	121:9	controversy	counsel	9,10,19,22 47:5
46:20 47:5	contested	120:15	8:19 24:10,12	48:6,7 51:17
construct	15:14 212:4		72:24 139:4	52:17 53:23,24
26:24	context	convened 7:25	150:25 158:12	54:10,11,18
construction	48:5 79:13		counties	58:2 59:10,13,
15:15 16:5	84:18 125:23	conversation	68:8 104:3,7,9,	15 61:17 62:11,
139:13	205:16	48:19	15 125:9	16 63:1,5,15
consume	contingency	conversations	countless	65:9,10 66:23
26:22	143:24	115:19 149:24	182:13,16	67:2,24 68:11
consuming	continuance	conviction	country	71:21,24 72:1
64:14 153:23	31:19,21,22	180:15	40:12 52:1	73:20,21,22,24
contact	continuances	convinced	county	74:5,17,23 75:6,
8:24 22:2 49:21	33:25 63:13	38:15	34:24 47:4 64:5	22 76:5,12,19
81:5 109:16,20	continue	Cooper	65:8 75:4,5	77:19,21 78:16,
145:3 174:21	30:8 54:1 61:13	162:10	88:16 93:7	24 79:17 81:21
180:17 182:15	89:19 97:3	coping	100:23 103:11	82:3,7,11,14,18,
199:11 203:2	102:9 106:24	103:11,13	194:2 213:22	19,24 83:9,10
215:1 219:15,17	123:8 134:15	copy	couple	84:23,25 85:1,7,
contacted	176:14	22:17	24:17 26:7 47:3	11,16,17 86:4,
21:15 49:9	1/0.14		50:19 79:23	18,25 87:6,7 92:19,21,23,24
21.13 77.7				92.19,21,23,24
		<u> </u>		

93:11 100:17,	189:7,16,20,21	139:17 143:2,7	173:10,15	curve
18,25 101:3,9,	190:3,6,25	146:13,19	189:16 210:7	86:1
11,17,25 102:1,	191:1,7 192:2,	147:18 152:15	211:4,6 218:6	custody
2,5,7,12,17	22 196:12,22,25	154:24 156:17	crises	211:11
103:1,13,19,22	197:3 198:11,12	201:21	101:11	customer
104:4,20 106:6	200:6,9,12	Courts.org.	crisis	130:10
108:1,25 110:15	201:2,8,9 202:6	101:19	103:9	cut
114:7,10,11,12,	204:5,6,13	court's	criteria	15:11
20 115:7,19	208:23 209:23,	84:23	7:22 12:25 21:5,	CV
116:7 118:13	25 210:1,3,5,8,	cover	7 39:7 41:16	134:12
119:4,21 122:2,	20,23 211:5,8,	9:11	49:2,5 70:15	131.12
7,10,12,16,17	10,22,24 212:22	Covid	72:14 80:4,7	
123:8,19	213:2,8 216:12,	16:22 35:9 54:1,	81:23 94:11	D
124:12,15,16	16,19,20 217:4,	17,19 87:2	99:2 108:21	dad
125:6,8,19,20,	5 218:10,24	88:15,19 110:21	131:25 133:22	34:9 91:13,14
21 126:9,10,17,	219:4,13 220:1	115:22 116:14	136:14 144:8,11	daddy
18 127:10,12,23	courteous	123:4 171:2	165:4 166:22	187:17
130:2 134:16	21:1 48:4 78:6	192:14 197:15,	173:25 174:3	daily
135:22 138:3,	courteously	22 211:21	186:2 206:1	101:13 114:8
19,23,24 139:7,	44:18	Crawford	207:22 214:6,9	Dan
21 140:19,20	courtesy	8:19,20 9:25	220:20	127:16
141:2 142:18,23	172:13	10:3,7 40:2,3	criticized	
146:7,8 147:6,	courthouse	42:14 167:7,18,	119:21	dangerous 44:7
11,12,13,20,21,	92:12,15 110:23	19,25 175:2	critiqued	
22,23 148:22	114:18 126:25	create	121:23	dare
149:15,17,18	172:14 193:6	26:24 64:19	cross	153:21
150:3,6,14,16	courthouses	created	84:1	daresay
151:16,18,21,	65:4	139:23 204:7	crossed	182:25
22,23 152:12	courtroom	creates	117:22	dark
156:20,21	20:19,20,23	212:10	crowd	83:18,19
157:7,16 158:3,	25:21 38:23	creative	82:6	dash
24,25 159:6,8,	168:7,9 190:11	164:17	culture	26:9
24 168:2,11,16,	192:3 200:19	crime	64:20	data
17,19,20,21,23, 24 169:11,20	219:4,12	193:24	curious	11:18 12:10,13
170:24 171:4,7,	courts		51:15 81:22	26:15 41:5 42:8
15 172:3,4,22	16:19 25:17	crimes	110:14	71:13 73:3
173:3 175:17	30:1,4,5 52:6	191:17		97:19 98:8,11
176:14,16 180:1	56:11 59:4 60:2	criminal	curmudgeon 96:16	135:15 136:2
181:4 182:1,4,	62:3,7 68:1	14:19 25:14		166:1,11 185:2,
12 185:19	93:15 101:8,12	26:3 27:3,4,8,12	current	9,12 207:6
186:23 187:11,	104:13 122:8	29:16,19 31:16	45:9 59:23	208:8,11
22 188:6,11,16	127:6 138:21	86:18 87:1	64:16 86:4,10	date
22 100.0,11,10		148:13 169:5		19:3 21:24

40.10.01.2				04.17.165.0
49:18 81:2	dealings	decisions	64:2,6	94:17 165:8
109:13 142:8	178:23,24	10:20 84:23	defense	184:2 206:6
144:25 149:7	deals	130:14,15 135:8	28:16,17 30:17	deliberative
174:18 199:8	216:19	169:25 170:1,2	31:4,17 68:14	200:14
214:23	dealt	172:12 187:25	148:13 169:5,6,	delighted
David	31:18 123:2	197:3 205:2	8 189:16	215:23
41:2 42:9,11,20	154:9 192:20	221:22	197:17,24	delivered
day	Dean	declare	202:23	9:12
31:9 56:4,17	9:4 209:9,13,14,	7:17	defer	demands
66:21,25 69:3	21 214:4 215:7,	declared	8:11 46:14 77:6	128:17 152:14
82:12 89:23	12 218:4	30:16	104:21 141:18,	Dennis
90:12,16 96:12	death	declares	20 170:12,14	9:4 99:21 100:6,
99:25 121:24,25	66:18 67:1,3	114:11	194:14,19	7,14 108:16
127:22 149:7,17	68:9 194:3,6	declined	212:6,8	110:2 137:5
156:23 158:20	debt	63:1	deference	department
162:15,19	23:13,19,24	dedicated	17:5,17,21,22	17:14 75:1
165:21 167:10	debtors	129:10 155:4,24	18:6 38:8	173:11 193:10
169:21 171:15	23:9,13,25	dedication	141:22	218:12
179:15 184:13	183:18	201:21	deferring	depending
190:11 204:16	debts	Dee	18:2	9:16 24:14
day-certains	23:9,15	40:12 214:4	define	53:18
66:9	decades	219:9	82:3	depends
day-to-day	193:3	deeds	definition	113:3
126:4	December	196:9	58:10	depositions
days	19:3	deem	degree	33:17
65:15,18 107:10	decent	70:19	51:7 89:2 112:1	deprivation
127:2 159:8,13	201:11	deemed	delay	58:7
DDSN	decide	39:11 94:15	53:20,23 54:13	
75:9	29:13 38:16	133:25 184:1	69:25 70:1	deputies 114:23
dead			110:13 119:22	
89:12,13	55:9,13 87:15 108:1	deep 101:4 172:16	160:7	derogatory
deal			delays	79:4
9:7 27:7,9 32:15	decided	deeper	45:9,11 158:1	describe
33:21 36:25	44:21 69:2	195:23	160:14	15:23 44:10
63:7 68:17	162:2 181:2	defendant	deletions	75:23 139:8
101:12 121:1	deciding	26:10 172:22	11:22	169:18 190:9
153:22 187:16	79:13	173:3 190:22	deliberate	211:13
197:22 216:20	decision	defendants	172:12 211:16	describes
dealing	58:21 60:1 62:3	25:19	deliberation	219:14
67:11 121:2	86:13 112:12	defender	221:1	description
139:1 156:22	158:6 200:13	148:7,11		219:2
180:14 211:12	219:21,22,23	defender's	deliberations	deserve
1			39:12 70:20	

		l 	1	l
180:24 182:11	119:3,7 123:4	direct	dispense	divorce
deserving	125:21 127:23	13:14 81:25	209:4	211:10
39:11 70:20	131:15,23	109:23	dispose	DNA
94:16 165:7	142:22 148:1,9	direction	45:5	26:2 31:9,11
180:22 206:6	158:2 176:10	20:16 154:25	disposition	docket
220:25	178:21 196:7,8,	212:1	45:8 54:22	25:17 31:23
designed	23 203:4 211:8	directly	55:15 102:18	45:12 55:1,2
44:22 48:15	219:12	58:9	dispositions	69:1 86:18
desires	die	director	72:2	124:6 140:21
175:18	74:14 89:3	75:5	disqualification	docketing
desk	died	directors	112:1	66:8
61:13 62:5	51:19	213:21	disqualify	dockets
detail	difference	disability	116:2	69:15
193:9 196:19	92:6 96:5 163:3,	189:19 210:22	dissent	doctorate
detailed	6	disagree	83:3 107:21,22	17:18 57:9
172:12	differently	20:24 155:18	dissenting	doctrine
detention	103:12,14	disappointed	11:9 112:11	57:16
193:11,12	differs	20:13	132:14	document
deter	112:2	disastrous	disservice	142:7
66:14	difficult	82:21	129:13	documents
devastating	64:11 84:21	discharge	distinct	207:5
91:11	112:7 130:15	17:15	17:11	doesn't
develop	134:16 141:7	disclosed	distinguish	28:3 32:1 69:7
29:1 32:11 34:4	147:25 148:3	141:25	116:1	91:16 98:2
211:23	175:25 195:13	disconnect	distinguished	115:6 116:1
developed	212:4	114:10 115:3	205:15	119:16 120:12
15:19 29:4	difficulties	discovery	distribute	129:5 133:5
developing	64:4	29:22	10:1,3	161:21 190:20
149:15	difficulty		1	193:10
	64:8 111:13	discuss 204:24	distributed	dollars
Devine 85:8	dig		111:6,20	150:24
	63:18	discussed	district	domestic
devotion	digging	57:25 158:19	71:24 172:22,23	189:5 191:15
43:4	195:23	discussing	ditto	Don
Dick	dignified	103:6	181:17 203:18	137:6
24:7	21:1	discussion	dive	don't
didn't	diligent	128:17	101:4	9:3 14:13 19:14
14:11 15:9	78:7,10	dismissed	divided	23:6 25:1,12
24:23 28:13	diminish	39:20 41:23	20:5 52:1 189:3	31:15 32:24
58:14 65:6	160:8	71:21,23,25	dividing	33:6 34:5 35:19,
66:24 83:18	diminishes	99:13 172:25	211:8	23 37:19,24
101:24 104:6	153:18	173:6 213:25		38:22 43:23
	133.10			30.22 13.23
	<u> </u>	I	<u> </u>	1

49.5 50.0 54.4	216.17.217.5.0	D	204-22	140.5 25
48:5 50:9 54:4, 12 55:19 57:14	216:17 217:5,9, 23 218:8	Duane 204:11 219:9	204:23	148:5,25
58:9 59:21,22	219:21,25		early	effect
60:2 63:12,13	· ·	duck	63:10 65:24	103:25 139:19
64:8,20,23	double	204:2	134:21 161:6	effective
66:16 67:9,11,	106:23	due	206:20	43:13 69:18
22 68:18 69:11	doubled	44:16 57:7,9,10,	earn	74:23 138:19
70:9 73:9 76:2	61:21 194:4	16,17,23 58:1,8	219:5	169:2 188:6,11
77:3,14 79:1,3,	doubling	106:11 110:17	earned	210:19
8,23,25 85:5,21,	117:9	127:23 171:18,	131:22	effectively
23 86:6 89:8	doubt	19 194:3 213:21	earth	81:19 131:7
90:4 92:11,17	47:2	DUI	89:13	152:18 153:5
93:13,25 94:24	dozens	26:8 28:9 66:18	easy	158:23 182:11
95:9,10 96:7	63:24	67:1,2 68:9	77:8 120:5	220:7
98:18 101:14	draft	duly	134:20 219:4	effects
103:18 106:4,7	9:11 143:18	11:15 41:2	eat	37:6
107:4 110:5	drafting	71:10 97:18	83:19	effectuate
111:17 112:6	143:12 152:15	135:12 165:24	ebb	170:19
113:10 117:12,	156:1	184:24 207:3	204:15	efficiency
16,18,22 118:1,	dragging	dumbest	echelon	45:14,18 171:3,
4 119:3 120:7	209:6	56:18	143:6	13 191:7
124:7 126:7,11	dramatically	dumps	echo	efficient
130:5 131:10,	204:10	26:2	36:4 152:6	23:10,22 171:1,
16,18 132:2	draw	duties	economic	6,11,16 190:19
135:25 141:5	179:4	17:15 24:9	13:5 41:21	192:23,24
142:7,24 143:19	dream	duty	72:19 99:10	193:14
145:17 148:8	100:19	46:22 106:7	136:20 143:23	efficiently
149:22 152:10	dreams	107:8 162:3	167:2 186:8	194:10 213:5
153:8 157:11,12	14:9	DV	208:2	effort
158:5,11,14,15		191:18	economy	116:17 122:21
160:6,14	drive	dynamics	25:10	129:11 130:6,20
162:21,24	63:10	62:14	Ed	219:7
169:12 170:3,5,	driven		14:20	efforts
6 171:12 173:13	65:23 162:11		edits	12:23 207:20
176:5 177:5	172:16		166:4	ego
178:17 180:2,10	drove	earlier		190:14
182:11 183:6,11	14:15	40:3 52:13	educate	elaborate
191:19 194:23	drug	53:25 56:23	93:16 147:24	58:10 196:18
196:16 201:23	193:25 194:3,5	61:15 69:25	148:4	210:19
202:3,14,20	DSS	114:9 117:3	educates	elated
203:12 204:17	75:1	118:9 120:14	93:7	209:12
205:1 206:7	dual	122:24 124:5	education	
213:7,13 215:18	148:15	155:23 196:1	46:12 96:15	Eldridge 58:2
				30.2
		1		

elect	178:12	20	111:21	94:11 99:2
176:16				108:21 131:25
	emphasized 17:20	engagement	Erica 9:5	133:22 136:13
elected		129:1 134:13,14		144:8,11 165:3
14:23 61:18	emphasizing	engaging	Erin	166:22 173:25
67:12 170:23	178:10	78:10	8:17,18 40:2	174:3 186:2
171:7	employee	enjoy	essence	207:22
election	33:9,12	16:3 63:9 74:7	179:25	Evans
8:3 9:14 10:24	employees	88:23 101:4,5	essentially	14:20
13:7 41:23	188:23,25	118:25 132:16	45:18	
66:21,24 72:21	emptiness	168:8,14 203:20	estate	Eve
99:12,17 136:22	219:15	218:21	210:23	137:6 164:18
167:4 186:9	emulate	enjoyed	esteem	even-keeled
208:3	179:8	39:22 132:12	218:21	48:4
elections	en	168:5,16 169:10	ethic	evening
67:13	31:13,24 32:4,6	176:13 210:5,9	20:3 21:12	199:25 200:1
element	enacting	219:11	24:18 122:1	206:19
128:18	170:20	enjoying	212:18	event
elements	encounter	132:21	ethical	134:6 165:13
128:24	45:10	enjoys	21:8 49:2 80:7	221:4
elevated	encouraged	78:8	172:9 174:3	events
53:24 151:23	201:17	enormous	198:19 214:9	80:19 130:7,18
216:15,24	end	201:12	ethics	eventually
elevation	22:16 25:19	ensconced	13:3 20:2 24:10,	60:16 122:9
172:2	90:7 121:2,3	115:11	13 39:9 41:19	123:9 127:17
Elizabeth	149:17 156:23	ensure	42:1 70:18	everybody's
9:8	158:14,20 174:8	32:18 44:23	72:17 94:13	36:10 126:2
Ellis	192:6	ensures	99:8,15 133:23	evidence
74:2	endeavor	139:23	136:17 165:5	41:8 86:23
embers	219:24	entered	166:25 183:24	170:1
205:8		12:6 40:17	186:5 206:2,3	evidentiary
embody	ended 107:24	207:7	207:24 220:23	24:15,16 112:25
94:12		entering	Ethridge	evolution
Emma	ends	146:3	125:13,18	178:3
9:4,5	9:13	entire	evacuating	evolved
emotion	enemies		127:4	15:11
183:6	76:1	76:16 154:22 211:25	evacuation	exacerbated
	enforce		127:4	171:3
empathize	77:17 212:10	entitled	evaluative	
66:17	engage	32:20	7:22 12:25 21:5	exam
emphasis	176:2	equal	39:7 41:16 49:2	91:8
25:16	engaged	18:1 57:5	70:15 72:14	EXAMINATIO
emphasize	140:12 176:19,	equally		N
			80:4,7 81:23	13:23 22:14

	1	1	1	1
25:7 36:3 42:24	executive	74:22 77:2 80:9	expressed	
50:8 53:12	8:21 10:8,15,16,	85:4,23 117:1,	20:4 47:22	F
56:16 59:2	20 17:9 135:3,4,	17 118:1,2	105:15 122:5	
73:18 81:17	7 221:16,18,19,	138:18 142:18,	141:21 142:17	face
87:24 100:14	21	20 144:2 148:9,	180:5 212:20	7:3 41:24 170:9
110:9 116:22	exemplary	12,15 149:21	expresses	Facebook
123:16 128:12	177:25	150:6 152:25	106:23	79:8 101:16,21
138:1 146:2	exemplifies	153:15,19	expressive	126:24
152:4 161:20	78:11 172:10	155:10 163:19	120:11	faced
167:25 175:8	exercising	168:21 169:1,4,	extended	141:8
187:9 209:21	17:13	15 174:5 188:5,	210:2	facilitate
216:9 217:14	exhibit	8,10 189:25	extension	19:17
examiner	12:9,12,16	190:6 195:11,18	159:9 205:19	facilitating
208:22,25	40:20,22 42:7,	196:3,12 197:20	extensions	192:22
examples	10 73:2,5 98:7,	198:20 200:19	150:10	facility
90:18	10,13 136:1,4	210:17 212:21,	extent	193:11,12
excel	166:10,13	24 214:11	36:12 46:13	facing
210:15	185:8,11,14	219:25 220:2,7,	77:5 104:19	140:20 141:9
excellent	208:7,10,13	8	129:7 130:13	fact
36:22 43:15	exhibits	experienced	141:17 170:11	18:4 19:25
48:1 54:4 58:17	14:13 40:10,17	208:23 212:17	194:12 212:5	32:21 33:7
105:12 114:2	existence	217:17	extra	34:16,22 46:20
142:13,14 152:1	14:22	experiences	128:4 139:18	50:12 59:8 69:7
212:17	expand	168:24 192:1	149:12 160:24	82:4 83:4,8
excepted	187:23	expert	extradition	89:23 115:5
161:14	expect	169:13 197:23	36:6	118:5 120:22
exception	39:8,17 40:5	211:1	extraordinary	152:24 160:7
97:22	47:17 70:16	explain	201:14	194:1
exceptional	94:25 165:4	125:8	extremely	factors
203:18 204:3	206:2,8 211:1	explained	153:24 178:20	58:4
212:19 219:5	expectations	95:19	195:14 196:6	facts
exceptionally	63:20 203:14	explaining	197:4 200:11	15:17 44:19
144:12	expected	100:15 102:14	eye	76:7 185:25
exchange	203:12,13	explanation	76:20	200:10 207:20
114:4 150:8	expediting	160:15	eyeballing	213:15,18
exchanges	141:12	explore	62:12	218:17
207:2	expeditiously	62:19	eyes	failed
excited	58:20	exposed	154:7 162:20	91:8,19
109:22 186:21	experience	43:16 169:17	157.7 102.20	fails
193:6	21:9 43:12	express		91:16
exciting	47:19 49:4	121:18 139:14		failure
138:6 168:7,8	52:15,18 53:2	195:10		41:24 99:14
	22.13,10 33.2			
	1	I	I	1

fair	18 76:5,19 82:7	fault	fees	99:11 136:21
18:13 37:7	83:10 85:17	114:10	82:21	167:3 186:9
47:20 54:7 87:5	86:4,18 87:7	favor	feet	208:3
105:24 106:15	92:19,23 122:16	9:23 10:12 11:6	33:23	filing
112:6,8 121:9,	126:16,17	38:16	fellow	29:18
10 141:15	136:24 163:15,	favorable	204:24 208:5	fill
157:4,5 163:14,	18 164:18	84:6	felony	7:19 54:23
16 182:10 195:6	165:19 168:11,	fear	28:9 66:18 67:1,	filled
197:4 200:10	23 180:1 186:10	86:14	2 68:9	56:1
212:16 217:18	189:6 190:25	feat	felt	finally
218:16	198:10 208:5,23	177:20	101:14 180:16	24:11 47:15
fairly	209:25 210:1,8,	featured	female	73:25 99:18
15:16 44:19	23 211:1,5,8,10,	197:21	151:9,10 189:7	172:17
105:7 213:5	12,22,24 213:2	February	fentanyl	financial
fairness	215:18 216:12,	9:17 84:24	194:7	162:3
20:2 44:13,23	20 217:4,5	federal	fewer	financially
56:25 102:21	218:23 219:4	30:1,4,5 34:25	23:23	162:5 192:23
106:2 129:3	famous	57:12 58:12	field	find
172:16 177:18	82:17	65:10 66:23	27:11 148:19	39:23 56:6 79:6
faith	fan	67:1,5,6,9,10	176:5 181:1	83:7 96:22
129:20	45:22 150:20	71:21 75:18	220:12	98:18 106:22
faithful	fantastic	124:16		124:17 126:11
51:13	91:15 129:6	feed	Fielding 64:2	138:8 180:10
fall	168:19 179:2	123:8		finding
7:2 120:9	195:6,7	feel	fields	64:5
fallen	fast	36:17 43:11	86:17,18	findings
27:5	108:4 205:2	52:20 53:2,17	Fifteen	15:17
 familiar	faster	74:21 101:24	218:25 219:1	fine
7:9 21:18 23:11	59:10 108:9	105:22 130:4	Fifteenth	77:20,22 90:8
41:14 49:12	122:3 124:24	132:4 138:17	208:24	106:14 119:17
80:21 98:22	140:23	147:10,22 149:4	fight	129:21 162:11
109:7 113:19	fastidious	160:22 163:2	143:25	fingers
122:18 144:19	170:5	168:25 169:14	figure	59:14
165:2,8 166:18	father	171:13 180:19	56:8 69:21	finish
174:12 185:23	16:1 34:7 36:20	187:19 188:1,4,	112:20 118:18	67:16 159:24
199:2 214:17	50:23 51:8,9,11	9 190:2,17	124:22 176:7	
218:2	68:25 71:3	191:12 192:4	file	finished
families	father's	210:16 216:22	26:6 29:8,9	109:2
141:11 163:21	52:3	feeling	118:17	finite
family	Faulk	177:5 180:5	filed	56:4
8:6 32:17,19	9:2	feels	13:7 41:22 42:2	firm
33:7 51:13 75:6,		92:22 162:23	72:20 85:2	43:16 64:10
		72.22		80:20 91:15

	1	1		
106:15 124:21	flunks	forget	117:1 173:24	76:6,7 83:11,24
151:15 189:2	90:6	102:24 104:3	214:8 215:24	116:23 120:15
firmly	focus	203:5	foundation	121:3 142:7
77:24 79:5 81:9	72:14 84:10	forgive	132:9	177:19 180:18
147:17	94:11 99:2	23:9 131:17	founded	191:24 202:16,
firmness	128:3 136:13	185:24	149:18 151:17	22 213:18
82:10	186:2 193:14	forgiveness	fourth	frustrating
firms	focuses	23:20	43:20 98:24	110:13
75:11,14,19	12:24	forgot	frame	fulfilled
first-	fold	37:10,12	28:20 30:23	115:14
200:13	154:15	form	frames	full
Fisher	folks	138:10 154:2	83:7	42:15 134:12
71:21	100:24 101:6	formal	frank	141:15
fit	106:5 114:12	39:14 70:22	54:8	fully
85:19 176:16	121:4 132:6	94:24 134:5	frankly	117:2 140:6
fitness	136:23 149:2	165:12 184:3	59:22 87:13	fun
21:8 49:2 80:7	154:21 179:2	221:3	89:5 119:1	88:23 138:6
174:3 198:19	182:15 196:22	formally	192:16 198:4	173:21
214:9	203:25 204:4	7:12	free	function
fits	follow	formed	96:4	7:15
176:14	20:15 39:8	151:24	Freedom	functions
fitted	53:13 56:20	Forsythe	77:13	17:14
154:15	89:24 119:3	71:23	fresh	fundamental
five-defendant	139:13 146:25	fortunate	182:9	32:6,8
68:10	165:4 179:7	43:14 52:25	Friday	funding
fixed	197:5 218:15	160:16 162:9	88:22 95:21	40:8
126:19	fond	169:3,16 171:7	124:14	fussed
flattered	211:3	178:22 183:14	friend	127:25
195:17	footing	forum	85:18 136:24	future
flattering	75:20 171:8	16:12	154:19 161:25	16:7,11,14
217:20	footnotes	forward	208:6	44:24 76:8
	19:16	17:4,16 87:7	friendly	93:16 94:6
flavor 164:14	force	103:4 104:13	51:3 172:10	103:7 137:3,4
flexible	182:9,21	114:16 119:17	friends	139:25 170:21
190:18 191:8	forced	120:18 140:4,11	34:8 76:1	183:2 192:8,24
	25:20 192:12	202:6	127:12 137:6	193:13 211:19
flipped	forefront	forwarded	165:20 211:1	FYI
107:23	16:23	126:9	frightening	205:10
floor	forever	foster	89:10 95:8	
167:8	17:10 83:12	9:5 132:7	front	
flow	forfeiture	found	43:22 75:21	
204:15	47:6 57:24	14:14 23:1	+3.22 /3.21	
	1	1	1	1

	Gee	7:7 10:18 135:6	giving	210:13 211:2
G	145:21	209:1	17:17 33:6 60:7	219:10
	general	George	134:18 162:4	goodness
gain	15:23 17:6 18:5,	208:22	glad	172:5 180:24
89:15 152:25	10,16,20 19:7,	Georgetown	61:5	Goodstein
162:3	14 21:20 22:3	213:22 215:17	glowing	137:6
gained	24:12 32:8	Georgia	217:17	Goolsby
17:3 32:14	43:16 44:10	62:10	goal	151:18
gaining	46:15,23 49:13,	get all	36:1 104:16	gosh
30:18	22 59:15 67:16	77:10 203:19	160:20 205:12	36:19 172:20
gambit	75:23 77:6	Gibbs	God	gotcha
15:16,18	80:23 81:6	71:25	23:21	83:5
game	102:15 104:21	Gibson	gold	govern
82:14 121:2	106:5 109:9,17,	9:8	164:4,7,8	60:3
154:20 155:6	21 111:3 119:20	gift	golden	government
gamed	129:3 139:8,15	182:9	64:7	17:8,18 18:1,14
131:8,10,12,13	141:19,20	gifts	good	43:9 46:18 57:3
133:8	144:21 145:4	138:10	15:20 21:12	77:9 79:10
gap	146:15 155:18		25:8 26:12 34:8	140:17
101:10	169:18 170:13,	Gilchrist	35:17 36:19	governor
garage	14 174:14,22	184:24 185:10, 13,16	40:1 47:19	127:4
114:19	189:24 190:9,13	, ,	51:13 59:3,21	Gowdy
gardener	194:14,15,17,	girl	62:22 69:15	51:24 52:4 60:4,
205:10	19,24 196:21	90:23	71:8,9 84:2,11	10 167:13,17
gardening	199:4,12 201:8	girls	86:2 92:9 95:4	179:2,24 181:12
205:14	211:14 212:7,9	89:21,25 90:19,	96:21 97:7,8	184:12
Garrison	214:19 215:2	24 94:2 96:24	100:20 103:21	GQ
41:2 42:9,12,20	generally	163:20	105:20 108:24	165:20
Gary	95:6 106:16	give	112:15 119:11	
40:19 42:9,12,	118:23	17:5 24:16	134:21,23	Grace
40.19 42.9,12, 21	general's	31:21,22 32:10	137:6,18 145:16	184:24 185:10, 13,15
gathering	14:8,18 15:7	53:22 54:19 72:25 78:25	146:4 151:15	· ·
163:19	24:9 36:6	79:19 83:11	152:20 156:12	graduated 188:13
	151:19	89:6 106:17	157:24 165:21	
gave 19:6,18 22:16	generation	115:20 126:21	169:8,17	graffiti
24:12 28:5	162:16	154:24 157:20	179:22,23	173:16,21
30:23 31:6	generously	158:3 162:6	181:24 183:9,15	grand
81:18 83:18	79:19	175:10 179:25	184:10,20	30:12
84:17 218:5	gentleman	187:22 201:24,	185:17 187:20	granddaughter
gears	35:22 51:3 82:9	25 204:15	199:24 200:1	74:1
155:22	83:17 220:17	217:22	201:5 204:7	grandfather
1 J J , 44	gentlemen	217.22	205:7 206:19	163:13

grant	Greenville	118:11 119:19	hand	happily
118:24	14:15,16 59:19,	120:2 121:8	31:18 72:10	176:15
granted	24 75:12 88:15,	128:19 132:25	127:15 135:21	happy
18:14 66:3	16 95:13 182:1	156:15 158:18,	166:6 185:1	28:14 82:13
85:14 112:20	grew	19,21 159:25	207:15	98:17 116:4
grasp	23:22 96:2	160:4 181:3	handed	149:14 162:21
108:12	Grey	195:19 197:2,13	12:19	hard
grasping	87:23	205:14	handle	16:2 61:9 75:17
183:7	grief	guessing	14:13 85:1	85:6 91:19
grateful	91:19	216:22	147:3 151:3	104:12 105:24
148:25 179:1	gripes	guidance	191:4 201:1	108:22 122:20
gratified	54:14	68:17	211:4,6	124:21 132:24
133:6	griping	guide	handled	133:1 155:15
grave	70:2	68:5	138:22 139:3	159:10 195:6,8
65:24 178:1	ground	guidelines	145:19 150:4	204:2
graves	69:17 114:13	68:11 218:15,16	189:13 210:21	harder
63:10	grounds	guilty	218:6	119:14
gravitated	149:5	191:15,16	handles	harping
168:15	group	guy	143:4	54:18
Gray	19:8 62:14	26:1	handling	Harrell
71:22 92:8	124:1 143:6	guys	189:10,15 193:8	9:8
	189:2	33:13 184:15	217:23	Harrison
great 9:7 27:7 43:17	grow		hands	135:11,12,15,
59:20 63:17,21	205:19,20	Н	14:12 152:23	17,20,25 136:3,
78:12 92:16	growing		haphazardly	5,8 137:2,5,13,
93:9,14 126:1,6	193:7	hairdresser's	139:24	23 138:1,2,17
140:3 145:22		90:12	happen	139:8,25
148:23 152:20	grown 101:3	Haley	60:9,12,16 88:9	141:17,25
153:18 155:1		9:4 42:6 50:6,11	94:25 106:14	142:9,13 144:7,
163:22 175:22	grows 205:10	half	118:21 120:12	14 145:10,16
177:1 181:9		20:9,10 22:18	159:25 164:2	146:2 150:20
197:18 203:11	growth 87:11 193:21,	61:20 156:5	191:21	151:7,9 152:2,4
205:10 218:18	23,24,25	209:25 210:2	happened	161:6,8,18,20
219:3,14 221:11	, ,	213:2 218:25	45:25 48:5	165:10,17,18,21
greater	guaranteed 33:11 65:13	219:1	113:23 191:20,	220:5
65:20 129:19		hall	22 211:24,25	Harrison's
greatest	guess 15:25 17:22	9:5 59:16 95:9	happening	137:22
53:18 76:15	20:13 34:16	Hallelujah	64:24 83:9	harsh
89:20	36:11,17 37:3,	206:23,25	124:9	218:5,11
greatly	13,20 52:7	Hamlet	happier	Hart
62:20 172:18	67:21 86:14	54:14 69:20,21	83:22	9:3 93:24 96:21
32.23 1, 2.1 0	111:21 114:17	70:5 73:25		
	· 111.41114.1/		ĺ	İ.

hasn't	210:6	held	highlight	holidays
63:3 88:14	heard	44:5 133:15,16	50:10	34:12 67:10
115:7 126:19	8:10 9:24 10:13	Helen	highlighted	Holmes
hate	11:8 37:18	137:5	40:7	206:18 207:3
37:24 76:13	44:15,20 70:8			208:20 209:18
95:17 106:3	76:4 84:14 88:8	helped 14:19 75:9	highlights 24:17	215:20 216:3
haul	91:7 92:22 93:4			217:19 220:18
155:2	118:9,15,19	helpful 19:11 52:17	Hill 40.10.24.41.1.2	home
haven't	119:17 125:23	60:13 103:3	40:19,24 41:1,2, 9,12,14 42:9,12,	110:23 123:25
37:2 63:16	141:15 142:22	133:14 161:17	13,21,24,25	202:13 221:12
120:4 148:17	152:7 155:23		43:11 44:1,4,24	hometown
159:10 171:14	165:3 171:14,20	helping 9:7 46:11 52:10	46:13 47:8	88:9
180:19 214:16	180:16 181:18	74:11 114:15	48:24 49:1 50:8	
head	182:12,13	162:16	51:2 53:12	honest 26:25
36:24 51:6	204:11		56:16 59:2	
58:24 67:17	hearing	helps	69:20,23 70:1,8,	honestly
91:25 92:5	40:16 44:3 71:5	82:2 192:6	10,12,25 71:6	113:2 147:6 156:5 178:18
171:9	82:12 83:10	Henderson	87:17 118:10	
headed	167:13 190:22	71:20	Hilton	honor
212:1	hearings	Henry	51:6	102:9 180:11
	7:24 16:25	9:8 70:6	Hinson	182:10 183:4
health	193:2,8 200:16	here's	9:4 186:20	Honorable
21:6 33:3 49:6	212:3	148:2	187:1,2,9	11:15 12:11,14,
75:10 80:5	Hearn	Hewitt	198:15 199:16,	17 41:2 42:9,11
91:10 108:20 113:4 144:9	115:8 152:21	55:4 149:1	21	73:4,6 97:17
163:3 174:1	154:19 156:11	151:13 161:25	hire	98:9,12,14
188:19,24	208:23	220:9	35:10 61:8,9	165:24 166:12,
198:18 203:25	heart	he's	65:21 143:11	14 184:24
214:7	162:14 173:18	30:18 33:10,14	history	185:10,13,15 207:3 208:9,12,
hear	176:8 197:10	113:8 178:1	74:24 151:22	14
8:18 15:14 28:7,	203:10	186:11		
19 34:19 40:18	heavily	high	hokey 130:9	honored 108:13
44:17 53:21	183:7	90:2 94:4 105:8		
55:18 59:17	heavy	131:22 132:10,	hold	honors
69:22 70:4 79:9	39:12 70:20	12,16,21	44:2 59:19	123:22
85:22,23 92:5	94:16 165:8	133:11,13	90:22 141:7	hope
93:9 95:16	184:1 206:6	164:21 202:2	169:12 182:25 212:2	16:10 35:6
102:16,20	220:25	203:20,22,23		38:24 82:17
111:25 130:22	Hebrew	higher	holding	94:12 96:14
154:5 155:19	23:13	81:21 87:10	122:20	97:6 116:9,10
158:13 162:21	heck	133:16	holiday	168:20 173:20
186:18 195:13	15:4	highest	67:5,6,9	176:22 181:6,7 184:14
	13.7	50:13		104.14
	<u> </u>			<u> </u>

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

hopeful	24:18 38:19	166:10,13	43:8 52:24	166:22 172:7
217:25	177:17	185:8,11,14	54:15 55:20	174:6 186:3
hopes	humor	208:7,10,13	56:10 63:19	207:16
14:9	47:21	ignorance	68:23 69:9	included
hoping	hundred	131:18	81:25 86:8	13:18 42:19
164:8	150:24 182:15	III	106:9 113:18	72:11 73:14
horizon	hurl	11:15 12:11,15,	116:12 120:13,	98:1 100:10
178:4	177:9	18	16 126:23	105:9 113:24
horizons	hurly	ill	130:8,16 140:15	137:21 142:12
187:23	48:21	177:11 180:4	182:18 191:12	166:8 167:21
Horry	hurricane	image	195:15	187:5 207:11
47:4	127:1	52:10	impressed	209:16
host	hurry	imagine	90:25 122:6	includes
11:5	188:2	133:13	improper	41:16 99:5
hot	hurt	immediately	86:23	136:14 169:22,
65:6	28:3,6	188:15	impropriety	23
hour	husband	immigrants	39:10 70:19	including
162:21 209:6	84:8 186:12	74:2	94:14 133:24	12:25 21:18
hours	205:4 208:19	imminently	134:7 165:6,14	35:1 47:18
96:7 128:4	220:17	215:25	183:25 206:4	49:12 72:14
house	hybrid	impacting	220:24 221:5	80:21 88:22
7:5 9:3 90:23	110:23 149:9	88:8	improve	109:7 144:19
105:1	Hyde	impacts	54:22 55:3,5	159:24 174:12
housekeeping	137:6	157:10	102:3,11	195:24 199:2
21:13 80:12	137.0	impartial	improved	207:22 214:17
109:1 144:14	т	197:5,12 212:17	46:3 55:14	incoming
174:7 198:22	I	impartiality	131:21 172:18	142:5
214:12	idea	213:10	improvement	inconsistent
huge	68:15,22 143:12	impatient	46:12 129:1	163:1
140:22 150:20,	156:23 162:15	48:12	140:22	incorporated
22	164:17	impediment	improving	22:10 50:3
 human	ideal	59:11 190:14	45:7,12,16 46:9	81:12 110:4
177:10,14	78:7	191:10	impulse	145:10 175:4
219:15	Ideally	imperative	56:19	199:18 215:9
humanity	170:21	139:12	in-	incorrect
83:23 204:14	ideas	implications	16:24 83:17	113:22
humble	27:8	157:2	in-house	increase
183:4	identification	Implicit	24:12	56:3 61:16,25
humbly	12:9,12,16	33:22	incident	increased
187:19	40:20,22 42:7,	important	26:7	37:17
humility	10 73:2,5 98:7,	17:4,21 38:14	include	increasing
	10,13 136:1,4	17.7,21 30.14	78:5 80:10 82:1	56:5

increasingly	inmates	78:6 161:14	208:2 213:17	92:25
57:13	193:19	204:22	interested	invested
incredible	input	intelligence	45:15 91:2	118:14 140:6,16
129:10 183:12,	68:20,24 69:4	20:1 47:18	210:4	investigate
13 220:8	147:17	intelligent	interesting	72:13 166:20
incredibly	inquiry	172:10	8:12 14:7 39:23	207:21
75:16 84:12	7:20 183:20	intended	79:18 96:13	investigates
131:22 132:16	inside	56:17 161:7	104:4 106:22	12:22
203:23	157:17	intense	interests	investigating
incumbent	insight	157:3	58:7 74:16	30:11 31:3
117:23	114:3	intensity	143:23	136:12
independence	instance	156:8	interlocutory	investigation
89:15	31:9 62:16	intensive	146:22	12:24 22:9 30:6,
independent	131:11	156:2	intermediate	9,15,20 31:4
89:5 95:7	instances	intent	123:8	41:15 50:2
197:11	172:23 173:5	21:22 49:8,16	internet	72:12 81:11
indictment	instant	80:15,25 107:10	104:10	99:1 107:14
30:16	127:17	109:3,11 141:24	interpret	110:3 145:9
individual	instilled	142:3 144:15,23	170:4,15 194:20	175:3 186:1
28:22	52:22	170:18,19	interpretation	199:17 215:8
infancy	institute	174:8,16	170:7,8	investigations
27:2	93:5,6 201:22	194:22,23	interrupt	194:3
infectious	institution	198:23 199:6	131:23	invited
163:7,8	43:8	214:13,21	intersect	80:19 129:24
influence	institutions	interact	57:7	inviting
83:9	53:5 56:23	114:22 130:1	intervene	162:9
influenced	instruct	132:6	22:4 49:23 81:7	invoke
19:15 78:22	20:25 29:2 34:2	interacted	109:18 145:5	165:3
information	instructed	85:11 213:3	174:25 199:13	invoked
26:21 77:13	32:7 38:20	interacting	215:3	69:20
97:14 101:8	instructive	101:6	interview	involve
126:24 198:5	28:23	interaction	95:18 96:9	112:19
213:12	insurance	36:5	intricacies	involved
infringe	87:9 188:25	interactions	146:21	64:19 74:18
194:23	integrity	216:3	introduce	94:1 96:14
 initial	44:23 47:14,20	interest	8:21 93:23	120:17 124:2
195:19	52:6 102:21	13:6 22:23	136:25 149:13	159:23 160:5
initially	106:2 172:11	41:21 58:5	186:12 208:17	involvement
196:10	intellect	60:19 72:20	introductory	214:1
injury	188:3	99:11 136:20	8:8	involves
189:11 210:22	intellectual	143:22 145:23 167:3 186:8	invaluable	28:25 213:16

involving	itch	24 162:22	69:21 75:3 77:9	194:20 195:16
7:22	18:11	163:18,21	79:11,17,20	196:16 197:24
Island	it'll	172:23 173:20	81:15,22 82:17,	213:12,13
74:2	90:2 91:21	176:10 177:1,	22,23 84:18	216:21,22,25
isn't	it's	10,14 178:21	87:14 88:20	217:6 218:3,15
24:24 30:24	15:11 19:1,12	181:22 190:12	89:9 96:3 98:17	219:20,22
139:23 159:21	23:22 29:6,7,12,	191:15 193:19	101:1 102:8	I've
isolated	21 30:16,24	195:13,14 197:7	104:1,16 106:18	11:25 12:2
130:4 219:20	32:9 35:4,8,22	205:12 209:4	107:9,11	15:19 25:2,11
issuance	37:17,22 38:6,	215:22	108:11,13	31:18,22,24
107:15	14,17 40:8	I'd	109:2,24 110:14	36:11,25 38:14,
issue	44:12 45:8	9:6,10,25 10:7,	111:16,18	24 45:6 48:1,3
17:4 18:9 23:12	52:13 54:11,14,	19 11:1 15:3	113:2,3,15	50:25 52:11,13,
28:7 29:20 46:8	20,23 55:7 56:4,	40:9 53:6 89:18	115:18,23,25	14,18,21,25
83:1,2 103:3	8,10 57:5,12	100:7 108:16	116:4,19 117:7	54:8,17,20
104:7 106:5	59:12 62:2,14,	119:24 154:5	120:1,14 121:25	59:13 67:10
104.7 100.5	18,22 64:14,17,	171:10 178:20	122:18,20	70:8 74:5,10
120:2 127:10	22 66:2,3,7,12	I'll	126:19 128:5,21	77:8 79:16
128:21,22	68:23 69:9	13:12 14:1,6	129:12,24	83:20 85:9 88:8
130:14 140:20	76:18 86:11,18	15:11 17:7 24:2	130:18,19	89:3 90:25 91:7
141:5 150:23	87:1,8,19 88:21	27:13 33:13	132:25 133:5	95:11,12,18
157:15,19	89:5 90:7 91:6,	38:1 42:14 50:6	137:13 139:5,10	101:2,3 108:12
161:23 193:7,19	23 92:14,16	51:18 54:8	140:18 142:7	116:23 117:19
198:1	93:14 95:10,16	78:20 86:14	143:6,17 145:7	120:5 125:23
issued	96:4,13 102:4,	88:11 96:3	146:16 147:10,	130:16 133:11
108:6 112:18	16 104:12,24	100:1 111:18,19	12,15 148:25	137:2 138:20,
127:4	106:4 107:7	115:20 126:21	149:10,11,12,14	22,25 139:2,3
	113:18,20 116:3	128:13,19	150:12,19	153:21 154:12,
issues	117:22 118:18	154:11 161:17	151:25 152:22,	13,14 155:9,12,
15:15 21:13	119:14,24	176:13 191:17	24 153:10,12	14 159:14,22
24:15,16 36:6 80:12 112:25	120:5,24 121:7,	I'm	154:4,7 155:2,4,	160:3,11 164:9,
	10,16 123:20	10:22 14:10	11,13,17 158:15	12 168:9,14,16
128:2 139:2,20	124:16 126:12	23:11 28:8 29:5	159:1,20 160:9,	169:3,15 173:13
143:11,15	128:22 129:21	31:18 34:1 35:5,	16 162:9,11,14	176:23 177:4,
144:14 150:9	130:16 132:24	6,21 37:1,3,5	163:15 164:8	21,22 179:3
158:13 163:3 174:7 191:5	134:20 139:12	38:1 40:1 45:2,	167:15 169:10	180:3,21 181:1
	140:13,14 141:7	19,22 50:21	170:19 173:5,	183:11,12
194:11 198:22 211:12 214:12	146:25 148:6	51:15 52:11,22	12,20 175:21	185:19 191:8,
211:12 214:12 216:20,21	149:12 152:9,20	55:1 58:8 59:16,	176:14,17,18	24,25 192:11
	153:3,22 154:8	18,25 60:13	177:8 178:15,	202:3,16,18,22
issuing	155:11,15	61:5 62:24	18,25 181:16,24	203:11 205:3
16:2	156:12 157:3	64:16 65:25	183:13,21	211:5 215:16
	159:13 160:5,6,	67:22 68:13	184:22 193:5	218:6
				-

	14 211:2 212:10	14 48:4,22,24	147:8 148:8,12	13,17 213:3,8,9,
\mathbf{J}	jobs	49:1 50:8 53:1,	151:18 152:11	20 214:5,8
	63:2,22 155:3	12,13 54:9 55:3,	153:2,6 156:7,	215:16,20
Jack	Joe	4 56:16,25	24 157:7,9,21	216:3,9,11
34:8,11	151:13	58:13 59:2,3	164:3 165:23	217:6,8,14,15,
jaded	John	66:19,21 67:4	166:5,9,15,17	17,19 218:20,25
177:22	9:2 85:15 152:8	69:8,19,23 70:1,	167:9,22,25	219:19 220:7,
jail	join	8,10,12,25 71:6,	168:1,2,4,6,10,	14,18 221:8,13
74:14 82:8	86:1 143:25	9,15,18 72:6,9,	11,23 169:2,18	judges
James	171:10	11 73:8,13,15,	170:11 171:21	8:8 14:2 32:23
85:18	joining	18,19 75:6,7	172:19,21	33:24 34:3,15
Jan	181:24 206:14	76:20 77:5 78:1,	173:9,22,24	37:7,15 38:3,9,
207:3 208:9,12,	220:17	5,8,11,16 80:3,	175:8 177:1,3,	19 48:11 50:13
14	joke	13 81:12,17,18,	13,16 178:5,14,	57:19,22 59:17
January	75:2 89:4 160:2	23,24 83:13	17 179:6,9,13,	60:7,17,19 61:3,
9:12,14	Jones	84:19,20 85:16	17,20,23 180:2,	17 62:7,21 63:6,
Jay	24:8	86:5 87:17,24	9 181:13 182:3,	11,13 66:13
7:7,8	Jordan	88:1 92:10,12,	5,7,20,24	69:14 77:1,2,21,
Jean		15,23,24 93:22	183:10,17	22 86:15 93:10
142:25 151:14	7:7 9:21 10:12,	94:3,7,9,18,22	184:9,14,17,19,	96:10 101:22,25
Jewish	25 11:1 216:7,8, 10 221:17	95:3,7 96:24	20,22 185:6,19	102:16 103:13,
34:11		97:5,10,11,13,	186:14,18,21	23 106:1 107:23
Jimmy	journey	16,22 98:2,16,	187:6,9,10	111:14 114:11
9:4	14:6	20,21,24 99:4,	188:4,6,11,16	115:1,21
	joy	24 100:11,14,	189:20,21,22,23	117:19,23
JMSC 7:2 10:24 41:23	156:5	15,16,18 102:5,	190:8 192:8	120:19 122:14,
	judge	14 103:1 104:4,	194:12,13	15,16,17,25
203:5	11:11,14,21,25	19,20 105:7,12	195:1,5,6,22	123:10 125:24
job	12:2,4,8,21	107:13 108:15,	196:13 198:14,	126:1,6,18
15:20 20:15	13:12,20,23,24,	17,22 109:1	16,22 199:18,24	129:5,18
36:8 46:11	25 15:23 18:17	110:1,6,9,19	200:1,12 201:3,	140:10,13 150:3
52:10,12,19	19:18,22 20:18	111:1,15,24	6,15,16 202:8,	156:20 168:19
58:17 63:17	21:4,13 22:14,	113:4,5,6,7,14	21 203:12,16	172:19 182:25
77:8 93:20	15 25:7,22 27:4	116:22 118:10	204:13,18,20	183:1,13 191:25
95:19 96:9 114:14 119:16	31:19 32:22	123:16,17 125:8	205:12,17,21	202:24 204:7,24
126:2 130:8	35:15,18 36:1,3,	128:12,13 129:13 130:21	206:12,13,17,	217:4,18 219:18
157:25 168:4	4,20,21 39:4,20,	131:3,4 132:19	18,19,22,24 207:9,12,17	judgeship
170:3,15	21 40:19,24	131:3,4 132:19	207:9,12,17	184:13
176:17,23	41:1,9,12,14	11,18,23 138:3,	208:10,19,22	judge's
181:20 187:20	42:13,24,25	19 140:22	22,25 210:1,8,	44:13 105:13
194:18 200:25	43:11,13,18	141:18,20	16,19 211:5,13,	212:10
201:14 210:13,	44:1,4,24 45:23 46:13,14 47:8,	142:13,15,19	18,22 212:5,6,8,	judgment
201.17 210.13,	40.13,14 47:8,	174.13,13,17	10,22 212.3,0,0,	7:19 47:15
<u> </u>				

05.14.142.14	96.5 90.22	idifi andiana	V: JI	00.2 12 01.12
85:14 142:14	86:5 89:22	justifications 159:20	Kindly 74:11	80:3,13 81:12, 17 87:24 88:1
judgmental	173:11			
158:21	junior	justify	kindness	92:10,12,15
judicial	123:25	175:17	82:11 91:23	93:22 94:7,9,18, 22 95:3,7 96:24
7:15 15:23,25	Jupiter	juvenile	172:13 177:18	97:5 129:13
16:7,10 17:9,20,	96:19	211:7 218:10,12	kinds	
22,23 18:24	jurisdiction	juvenile's	34:11 216:21	kudos
19:19 20:25	15:13,14	218:13	kitchen	116:12 162:6
21:10 25:10	jurisdictions		164:16	
38:7 40:5,14	15:9	K	Kittredge	L
44:11,25 47:18	jurisprudence		64:15 85:16	
49:4 50:15 57:2	103:4 112:24	Kappa	132:4	lack
75:23 76:8	120:18	123:21	knew	38:19 86:21
78:11,17 80:9	jurist	keen	83:18 151:2	lacking
84:11 102:15,22	90:22 181:24	55:5 64:15	Knie	87:20
103:7 106:2	195:7 216:4	keeping	184:19,20,22,24	ladies
111:24 129:3	jurists	193:17,18	185:6,10,13,16,	10:17 135:6
139:9 140:1	146:14	keystone	18,19 186:14,	lady
169:18,20	jurors	46:18	15,18,21 187:6,	90:11 181:10
170:22,25	26:14	kid	9,10 188:4	182:20 184:11
171:1,12,16		91:11	190:8 192:8	lag
172:17 173:4	jury 30:12 66:22	kill	194:12 195:1,5	54:19,23 157:12
174:5 190:9	189:14 190:12	70:7	198:16 199:18	158:22
192:9,13 193:13		Kimberly	200:1 201:3,6,	laid
195:21 197:6	197:14,15,19,22 217:6,8 219:22	215:19	16 202:8 203:16	31:5 32:9
198:21 211:14,	<u>'</u>		204:20 205:12,	Lamprese
15,19 214:11	justice	kind	17,21 206:12,	77:12
judiciary	16:12 24:21	25:8,17 37:5	13,17	Langford
16:23 63:19	27:16,20 38:21,	48:4 56:7 60:15	knowing	30:3 59:4,6,22
76:24 128:21	23 52:20 55:25	64:6,19 65:7	146:21 149:6	60:3,6
130:10,12	61:1 64:15	68:1 78:6 82:16	155:5 201:24	language
138:14 140:3,7,	73:20 74:7,23	88:7 89:13	knowledge	139:14 194:21
9 161:16 176:20	76:12 78:12,24	105:24 106:15	47:19 92:25	
205:23 211:25	85:13 88:24	117:24 124:3,25	189:25 196:11	lap
Julia	93:6,8 108:25	127:25 128:2		31:5,8 32:10
9:5	115:8 127:17	131:9 143:5,15	knowledgeable 108:23 195:9	large
Julie	132:4 142:25	147:24 152:5,23	217:18	74:10 75:14
114:21 115:20	152:21 154:19	153:20 158:20		128:24 129:4,9
116:6 127:8	156:11 157:21	160:11 182:3	Konduros	189:2
 jump	172:16 210:3	191:23 195:6	55:3 71:9,10,15,	larger
209:5	211:7 218:12	196:10 198:7	18 72:6,9 73:4,	62:13
June	justice's	201:11 202:15	7,8,13,15,18,19	lastly
	79:22 127:14		78:1,5,8,11,16	32:13 78:15

	1			
late	204:25 206:2,3	6,7,8,20 65:7,	learner	legislature
209:6 221:11	211:3,16 212:11	18,23 66:1,11,	216:25	55:24 60:1
laud	213:18 215:18	12,14 67:8,15,	learning	62:23 66:14
179:15	217:2,3 220:10,	24 68:5,25 69:5	85:25	77:16 102:19
laugh	23	70:7 73:23	leave	104:23 105:2
38:21	laws	74:11 75:20	18:13,15 33:14	170:20 176:13,
law	13:3 16:13 18:5,	76:14,15 77:3	59:24 73:10	16
8:7 14:21,22	8 41:19 72:17	83:5 86:8 101:9,	95:24 96:11	legislature's
15:8,17,19	78:19 83:18	14,19,22 106:23	116:4 219:4	194:22
20:16 21:11	99:8 136:17	113:17 114:12,	led	legitimacy
25:23 27:8,10,	166:25 170:20	25 120:19	37:21	53:5 56:11
12 28:25 33:10	186:5 207:24	121:17 124:6,14	left	legitimate
35:16 38:12	212:10	125:1 126:13,24	83:21 85:8	125:22
39:9 43:4,9	lawsuits	127:24 128:17	126:19 219:13	legitimately
44:19 46:16	71:19	130:1 143:10,20	legacy	26:19
47:6 50:21 51:6	lawyer	144:2 146:6	113:13	lemon
52:19 54:24	14:10,12,18,24	151:3 154:23,24	legal	164:12
57:11 58:11	15:4 27:3 29:1,	157:12 158:2	10:9 18:18	lengendarily
61:9 62:25	8,22 30:17 31:4,	162:17,18	24:13 43:11	51:2
69:11 70:18	17 32:15,16,18,	181:25 189:8	57:16 74:21	length
74:13 75:8,16	22 33:16 45:23	193:18 197:18	88:10 138:17	153:9
88:18,20 89:3	51:3 63:18 65:2,	215:22	156:12 169:1	lengthy
90:19,25 91:2,	11 67:7,14 69:6	law's	181:8 188:5,9	157:4
11 94:13 95:18	79:20 82:5,18,	54:13 70:1	200:14 205:16	
106:13 107:1	22 84:8 85:15	lay	210:16	Leo 51:2
112:22 113:20	91:14 100:20	68:21	legislating	
117:7 120:18,20	102:25 106:9	lead	77:25	let alone
124:21 129:4,9	125:5,15 128:2	14:17 25:18	legislation	93:10
132:7 133:24	130:17 141:6	82:22 150:24	46:23	Letitia
134:19 136:10	148:13 151:6,20	leader	legislative	165:24 166:12,
138:5 145:22	152:1,17 168:6	66:25 67:12	17:9 141:23	14 173:12
148:10,14,19	184:12 188:12	leadership	161:13,15	letter
149:22 151:15	190:23 209:24	100:24	170:18	21:14,22 39:9
155:4 156:23	210:21 213:1	leading	legislator	49:8,16 70:17
157:8 158:16	220:10	58:2	21:23 49:17	80:15,25 94:12
162:17,19 165:5	lawyers	leads	81:1 109:12	109:3,11 116:14
167:11,13	14:2 21:2 26:16,	37:13	144:24 174:17	133:23 144:15,
169:9,17 170:2,	22 27:14 28:6,	league	199:7 214:22	23 145:23 163:4
3,4,8,15,16	16 29:13 31:14	123:25		165:5 174:8,16
172:9 178:21	32:24,25 33:6,7	learn	legislators	183:23 198:23
183:24 188:13	34:4 35:10	8:14 36:23	66:12 67:7,15 69:10 142:1	199:6 206:3
194:18,20,21,25	43:15 44:15	136:11	09.10 142.1	214:13,21
196:5 200:10,15	46:6 48:20 63:3,	150.11		220:23
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

	<u> </u>	1	1	
letters	lightly	21:1 44:15 46:6	161:5 167:9	179:9 181:25
24:3 51:10	183:7	56:12 59:10	169:11 175:12	190:5 191:25
126:17	likewise	86:8 141:2	180:20 181:1,17	192:6,12,13,25
letting	42:3	169:23 193:18	213:23	194:7 197:18
82:16 171:13	limit	213:4	long-term	202:2 203:13
let's	196:7,8	litigation	157:10	205:1
28:20 89:14,16	limitation	87:10,20 139:1	longer	love
132:19 171:19	49:13 140:10	150:22 193:24	27:10 74:14	14:3 15:19
190:23 191:2	limitations	litigator	107:18 132:5	74:20 76:14
216:15	21:19 80:22	208:24	155:6 172:2	101:3 118:24
level	109:8 129:2	live	longest	138:5 154:5
14:16 45:6,20	144:20 174:13	155:11	74:24	163:23 176:22,
57:12 58:12	199:3 214:18	lived	looked	24 187:13,14,17
81:21 84:23	limited	115:15	76:4 173:14	197:9 204:21
85:2 86:20	104:24 169:7	load	Lord	210:1
103:20 106:7	limiting	123:2	132:18	loved
122:14 126:8	139:20	local	Lord's	14:24 100:18
129:19 147:10	Lincoln	215:22	18:18,19 23:3	101:2 176:23
150:8 153:25	24:7	locked	lose	loves
156:8 177:5,6	Lindi	82:9	38:16 176:4	93:19
181:4 193:17	9:9 10:4 12:19	Lockemy	181:4	Low
204:19 205:23	41:4 72:10	71:25	losers	40:12
212:20,21,24	166:7 207:16	logical	172:3	Lowcountry
220:1	lining	16:5 27:18	losing	108:17 115:6
liars	149:25	120:11	52:7 110:12	lower
117:12	lip	logically	175:17 198:8	146:12 150:14
liberal	33:19	194:10	loss	161:16
78:23 79:19	list	logjams	178:1 191:6	luck
lies	62:6,12 71:23	56:7	lost	93:20 216:1
33:23	108:3 113:21	loneliness	46:1 69:3	lucky
life	listed	219:25	lot	85:10 102:8
76:25 82:12	164:14 172:22		15:9,15 16:13	lucrative
128:3 138:11,12	173:3	long 25:3 27:14	27:13 37:14	189:10
148:7 153:15	listen	35:17 45:10	38:18 43:16	Lucy
154:16 155:10	38:14 44:18	54:11 56:12	55:21 60:5,6,8	87:23
181:10	191:12,23	57:15 66:3,6	66:15 76:23	Luke
lifestyle	listens	67:4 86:12	87:9 89:5,19	175:20
32:15 63:10,19	182:10	91:24 99:24	90:2 93:18	lull
lift		107:20 118:19	110:19 118:13	87:2
67:20	literally 177:14	119:24 126:13	140:25 154:16	lunch
light		153:6 155:2	155:13 157:14	135:7
113:25	litigants	158:1 160:4	172:8 176:1,2	122.7
			ĺ	
	1	1	1	1

	major	121:4 123:21	13 185:8,11,14	Maura
M	120:2	140:20 141:10,	208:7,10,13	9:1 145:13
	majority	14 158:23	marks	maximize
Macey	188:21 195:3	169:25 171:11	202:2	149:20
9:6	make	172:8 180:15	married	Maxine
made	11:23 13:9 27:3	192:13,22	137:4	9:7
10:20 11:25	29:23 30:7	194:20 203:6	marry	ma'am
20:9,11,16	32:16 37:15	205:2,18 221:10	16:24	49:11,15,20,25
23:15 26:4	38:22 41:7 42:4	malady	marvelous	80:14 83:20
40:10 62:25	63:18 65:19,22	36:17	87:18	88:6 181:12
71:16 72:5 89:4	72:23 78:7	Maldonado	masked	Mcdonald
103:14 126:14	79:14 83:12	9:1	114:24	97:11,13,16,17,
128:14 131:6	87:17 88:23	malpractice	masse	22 98:2,9,12,15,
135:8,19 142:2,	92:1 97:21	108:5 139:2	31:13,24 32:4,6	16,20,24 99:4,
4 159:19 166:4	99:19 101:22	197:17	Master-in-	24 100:11,14,15
188:1 194:2	117:16 123:4	man		105:7 108:18
195:15 203:2	128:19 129:11	34:5 155:14	equity 8:7	110:6,9 116:22
221:22	130:6 133:21	manage		123:16,17
Madison	137:9 140:5	181:21	material	128:12 131:3
9:1	141:13 142:13	management	157:24	132:19 134:2,
magazine	143:14 158:6,16	103:10 104:11	materials	10,18,23
197:21	161:23 163:3,6,	managing	13:2 41:18	Mciver
magic	9 164:2 167:6	64:10	72:16 99:6	87:24 145:21
66:16 67:16	169:25 170:2,4,	mandates	136:15 166:23	meaning
69:12	15,22 171:5	141:22	186:4 207:23	57:20
magistrate	178:5,11 179:11		math	meaningful
14:14 65:9	181:4 185:4	manipulated	162:1	149:23
124:12	191:12 192:1	131:13 133:8	matter	
magistrates	194:18 197:1	manipulating	56:4 89:22 90:8,	means 44:17 92:21
126:17	200:13 202:19	132:1	16 110:25	93:18 141:1
magistrate's	209:2,8 219:21,	March	111:25 120:15	160:6 175:19
43:20 124:15	23	64:3 192:15	122:24 150:1	190:21
191:1	maker	Marie	matters	
mailed	8:17	9:8	10:9 53:14	meant 79:3 189:13
207:13	makes	mark	109:2 138:16	
maintain	35:18 111:23	25:2 27:5 30:14	212:3,4	measure
16:11	130:14 147:25	184:11	Matthews	105:15 110:17
maintaining	148:3 150:15	marked	58:1	measures
129:17	160:1 193:4	12:9,12,16	maturation	171:5
	212:9	40:17,20,22	153:14	meat
Majesty	making	42:7,10 73:2,5	mature	83:18,19
18:18	11:12 32:3 92:7	98:7,10,13	155:9	mechanism
	115:16,17 118:6	136:1,4 166:10,		29:16,18 76:16

			1	1
124:17	110:7 125:20	messing	minimalist	mom
media	137:12 144:16,	24:22	139:11,15	91:13
26:3,13,14	20 145:3,14	met	Mining	moment
101:15	163:20 166:19	91:1 114:24	43:22 44:1	83:23 139:22
mediating	172:14 174:9,	145:17	minority	178:19
53:21	13,21 198:24	metric	66:25 67:12	Monday
mediation	199:3,11,22	110:15	minute	66:20,22 67:1
76:17	211:1 213:24	Micah	88:12 129:15	95:22 124:19
mediator	214:14,18 215:1	7:5,6,8 11:2	159:13 191:3	125:7
54:5 76:20	218:22	Michael	minutes	money
mediators	memo	137:6 146:5	82:25 190:24	162:12
53:18	55:10 126:9	middle	mirrors	month
medical	memos	122:11	149:3	55:16 79:23
108:5 139:2	169:9	Midland	miscellaneous	85:3 108:6
197:16	mental	40:12	164:13	months
medium	21:6 32:17 33:3	Midlands	mischief	30:10 33:2 47:3
28:14	49:6 80:6 91:10	21:3 115:15	173:10,15,18	68:8 141:5
meet	108:19 144:9	144:6	176:8	192:19
27:5 82:8	174:2 198:18	midst	misfortune	moons
114:21	214:7	7:4	181:3	89:12 96:17,24
meeting	mention	Mike	misread	morning
51:4 82:6 125:7	175:20,21	125:12,18	106:20 119:3	25:8 59:3 62:4
165:19 194:11	213:20	miles	misrepresenting	71:8,9 125:7
meets	mentioned	58:19 193:12	106:12,13 117:6	155:16,17
13:20 42:21	54:17 57:24	million	missing	185:20 190:22
68:4 73:15	60:4 61:15 64:1	61:20	219:17	193:21 221:23
100:11 137:23	86:14 88:4	millions	Mitchell	mornings
167:22 176:1	168:23 219:8	150:24	142:5	79:23 89:6
187:6 209:18	mentioning	mind	mixed	Mortar
member	68:24 218:4	57:7,13,19	121:13	123:22
19:14 56:18	mentor	100:15 102:14	mock	mother
58:16 116:1	184:11	103:6 107:16	140:14	51:12,19 71:3
186:10 200:8	mentoring	153:3 200:14	mode	75:7 82:8 91:23
members	64:15,20,23,24	minded	103:10	191:3
7:5 8:10,23	89:20	38:11	model	mother's
18:20 19:7	mentors	minds	30:19 181:13	52:2
21:15,19 22:2,	152:22	59:25	182:25	motion
13 25:5 49:9,13,	mess	mine	models	8:16,17 9:18,20
21 70:12 78:9	120:17	161:7	181:9 183:12,13	10:11,24 120:23
80:16,22 81:5	message	mini		135:1 143:16
97:5 99:23	127:17	102:19	Molly 89:1	motioning
109:4,8,16,20		102.17	07.1	8:18

motions	murder	nerd	33:15	number
111:14,15	26:5 28:12	162:14		12:9,12,16
· ·	68:10	nerdiness	note	40:20,22 42:7,
Mottel 9:5 42:13	murders		13:16 19:11 21:3 22:7 39:13	10 58:25 61:17,
	25:24	162:22,25	42:17 47:10	21 62:17 73:2,5
mouth		news	48:25 50:1	88:18 98:7,10,
79:9 95:14	mystery	88:16 140:7	73:12 80:2	13 111:3 118:12
96:11	76:5	newspaper	81:10 100:7	122:6 136:1,4
move		13:3 41:19	108:16 110:2	145:18,20 146:4
10:8 13:10	N	72:18 99:8	123:21 130:24	149:2 166:10,13
29:14 30:3	1	136:17 166:25	134:4 136:23	169:17,21 171:5
31:24 62:21	named	186:6 207:25	137:19 144:6	185:8,11,14
67:25 81:21	91:15 213:23	nice	145:8 164:22	208:7,10,13
106:21 107:6	names	24:19 91:23,25	167:19 185:22	numbers
110:20 114:6,16	211:11	165:19 175:12		
119:17 122:3	nap	Nichols	186:16 187:3	59:5,6 61:25
123:5 124:3,7	19:17	152:8	198:15 199:16	111:7,9 129:9
160:20 178:2	narrow	night	209:14 214:4	numerous
198:8	44:22	82:6	215:7	202:16
moved	national	nimbleness	notebooks	
9:22 26:2 60:24	147:1 148:17	116:15	40:4	O
89:23 116:15	nature	ninth	noted	
123:24 124:12	177:10 182:9,21	73:8 94:8,9	21:10 51:21	oath
167:15 188:15	necessarily	nobody's	219:2	102:21 106:1,10
moves	29:21 67:22	82:13,14 89:3	notes	173:19
162:13 221:16	122:25 153:9	nod	25:9	obey
moving	160:15	58:24	nothing's	106:7
25:10 54:15	needed		35:4	object
59:5 60:20	61:8 87:14	nominate 11:2	notice	72:7 185:21
67:19 113:18	115:13 119:7		34:22	objection
124:24 129:17	127:21 158:3	nominations	noticed	12:6 41:10
140:21,23	160:24 170:8	11:6	101:9 115:2	97:25 135:23
170:25 192:14	175:23 180:16	non-	192:18	166:7,9 185:7,
198:9 204:9	190:22	205:15	noting	22
212:12 214:12	negative	non-contact	200:6	objections
219:7	36:13 37:14	204:18,19	notion	40:15 207:10
multifaceted	78:20,21 84:14	nonetheless	25:14	obligation
128:23	113:10,15,25	57:6	notions	26:21 43:5
multiple	173:10,13,23	noon	129:3	52:13 53:2
138:25	181:3,18 192:1	9:13,16	nuance	117:4
municipal	195:18	norm	138:7	occasional
65:9 189:16	neglected	203:19		115:4
	40:3	North	nudged 192:12	
	1 0.5		194.14	

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

occasionally	166:21 192:17	operating	106:25 107:1,24	outliers
48:20 59:17	203:8	57:11	opposition	160:22
occasions	officers	opinion	10:10,14 13:7	outpouring
37:8 202:17	26:11,15	46:3 50:18	41:22 42:2	178:7
occur	oftentimes	58:21 79:16	72:21 99:12,17	outset
118:20 134:8	118:17 119:20	86:7 107:17,21	136:21 167:4	187:13
206:7	139:17 141:2,6	108:2 112:11	186:9 208:3	outstanding
occurred	143:23 144:3	120:9,25 157:22	optimistic	105:12 111:10
48:23	154:23 158:1	159:3	54:5	137:17 142:14
occurs	164:17	opinions	oral	177:12,25
178:3	older	21:12 45:19,22,	46:5 48:14,18	178:16
October	28:16 31:21,23	24 54:10 74:6	55:19,20,22	overcome
86:6 108:7	50:22 86:7 92:2	76:4 78:18	103:16 105:23	178:19 183:5
offender	144:3 168:9	79:12 88:3	108:6 117:17,19	overdoses
25:25 112:23	oldest	101:5 103:2	118:15,23,24	194:1,4,5
offer	85:18	107:15 108:5,10	141:4 210:6,12	overly
7:18 39:18	olds	110:11,16,20,24	orally	107:9 130:13
40:10 47:23	155:9	111:10,13 113:2	55:12,22	overnight
121:21 134:15	Olympus	119:22 120:4	orange	120:12
142:19 161:7,8,	90:9	141:4 144:13	77:17	overruled
11 164:23	one-stop-shop	152:19 156:7	order	113:1
195:12 196:15	196:10	162:16	32:23 124:11	overwhelming
205:23 213:11	one's	opinion's	127:5,11,24	195:3
215:24	111:7 132:1	108:7	140:5 153:1	overwhelmingly
offered	open	opportunities	orders	47:11 50:12
67:4	8:2,3,4,5,6	63:23 129:16	16:2 32:24	78:4 84:3,9 87:1
offering	34:14 38:11	opportunity	63:12 101:16	overworked
160:25 161:18	39:14 70:22	13:9 42:4 43:7	112:17 127:5	63:8
164:25 183:16	121:23 134:4	48:14 54:25	141:8 152:15	owe
184:13	154:7 159:1	72:22 99:19	168:13 200:8,23	23:18 86:5,6
offers	165:12	102:11 106:18	Originally	204:8
62:25 219:10	opened	128:25 130:23	15:10	owed
office	62:6	132:6 137:9,15	otherm	23:19
7:15 14:9,18	opening	141:15 167:5	205:8	ownership
15:7 18:24	13:9 14:20 42:5,	168:12,13 187:23 188:2	other's	157:6,21
19:19 24:10	14 72:23 99:20	190:7 205:6	111:8	137.0,21
26:6 36:7 47:18	100:1 137:9	209:2 210:2,6	outcome	
59:16 64:1,2,6,7	167:6 186:19	211:6,23 217:22	21:24 49:18	P
110:22 111:22	209:3,8	opposed	53:20 81:2	p.m.
114:21 115:11	operate	76:11 128:4	109:13 144:25	221:25
119:10 129:23	63:9		174:18 199:8	packet
151:19 160:2		opposite	214:23	58:15 107:18
	1			55.15 107.10

145:24	novents	nagad	paying	21 64:5 67:12,
	parents 52:22	passed 18:5,8 92:3	33:19 141:9	17 68:16,21
pages		125:15		74:10,13 76:23
12:10,13,17 40:21,23 42:8,	parity 111:3	passion	payroll 188:23	81:23 82:6,16
11 73:3,6 98:8,		154:13 163:1		83:6,11 88:8,10,
11,14 136:2,5	parking		PC	11 89:20 90:4
166:11 185:9,15	92:3 114:19	passionate	151:10	91:8 93:2
208:8,14	parlor	43:3,4 138:8	PCRS	101:24 106:6
'	90:16	past	122:12	108:2 109:21
paid 22:21 124:8	part	14:1 50:24	PDQ	113:21 117:4,12
143:9	7:11 12:20	72:18 118:16	11:18 13:18	118:1,9 119:20
	15:20 29:25	121:15,16	42:19 71:12	120:17 121:10
pains	63:19 98:3	131:14 171:2	73:13 97:14,19	127:3,9 128:1
193:7	105:25 115:10	177:24 178:9	100:9 123:18	131:14 132:17,
palatial	120:19 125:5,6	204:4	137:21 141:25	22 141:13 143:6
92:13	128:15 130:8	pastors	166:1 167:21	146:5 147:3
Palmetto	133:22 147:19	19:9	187:4 209:16	148:6 149:5
89:21 90:19,24	148:16 168:8	pastor's	213:20	150:5,13
pandemic	196:17,24 221:1	19:10	Peace	151:21,23
101:10 103:11	partiality	patch	184:15	153:21 157:14
114:16,17	213:13	60:11	pecan	162:19 169:22
203:24	participants	path	164:15	171:13,17
panel	128:23	14:7 15:21	Pee	176:1,2,5 177:8
35:7 55:7 111:1	participate	146:12 183:9	40:12 214:4	178:7,24 181:21
113:3 129:25	52:24 84:14	patience	219:9	187:14,18
152:7 204:24	participated	217:19	peers	190:16 191:9,
panelists	182:16 207:17	patient	50:18	11,14,17,23,24
217:9 219:24	210:12	20:25 48:4	Pendarvis	192:3 193:10
panels	participating	123:1,4,7	97:17 98:9,12,	194:8 197:11
219:20	140:14	172:11 190:16	15	198:8 203:1,13
paper	parties	198:7 200:22	pending	204:16 219:11,
26:7	21:2 22:2 44:20	219:2	21:24 40:7	17
paperwork	49:21 81:5	Patrick	49:18 81:2	people's
28:13 64:12	109:16 119:18	9:4 186:15	109:13 144:25	90:5
par	145:3 174:21	Paula	174:18 199:8	perceive
55:7 105:23	199:11 215:1	8:25 13:13	214:23	128:25 129:16
paralegals	partner	pause	people	178:24
61:9	64:10 91:15	142:24,25 147:7	16:12,16,18	perceived
parameters	215:18	paved	18:12 20:9,13	119:22 195:16
83:7	party	149:1	28:10 33:4,5	196:14 197:8
parental	47:2 218:5	pay	35:8,20 38:18	perceives
75:3	pass	95:19	43:23 45:9 48:9	197:25
13.3	77:10 163:22		58:25 61:8,20,	177.25
	1	1	1	1

			l <u>-</u>	
perceiving	12:10,13 41:5	214:7	plays	154:1 178:20
128:22	42:8 71:12 73:3	pick	53:16	187:13
percent	76:11 79:25	66:22 81:22	plea	pointed
15:10 54:21	97:19 98:8,11	150:25 161:24	190:22 191:15	48:17 217:21
84:5 85:1 87:4	135:15 136:2	pictures	pleading	pointedly
102:6 110:22	153:7 154:11	26:7	191:17	162:7
143:3	162:3 166:1,11	pieces	pleas	points
percentage	185:2,9,12	26:6 157:10	189:22 191:16	27:1 154:10
87:4	189:10 207:6	Piedmont	pleased	police
percentages	208:8,11 210:22	40:13	89:9 107:23	26:15 173:10
50:13	personality	pilot	pleases	policy
perception	204:14,16	126:10	100:1	46:23 57:22
30:18 46:9	personally	pipeline	pleasure	62:3,22 102:18
53:16 121:8	23:11 79:7	54:19 56:7	137:16	141:21 211:17
perfect	118:10 121:5	pipes	pledge	political
54:25 105:13	156:16	122:11 123:7	21:23 49:17	17:18 51:23
138:14	persons	place	81:1 109:12	78:23
period	17:13	64:7 76:18	144:24 174:17	Politically
9:13 95:9	perspective	83:13 116:4	199:7 214:22	79:7
110:24 127:22	38:8 43:18 44:8	121:19 124:11	plenary	politics
142:6 215:17	75:15 150:1,13	133:11 138:15	104:23	79:6,7,24
permission	153:7 154:6	143:16 152:16	plenty	pollutes
32:22	157:17	172:9	120:5	117:24
Perry	pertaining	places	plight	
112:25	217:2	101:21 114:15	66:17	pompous 85:25
person	Phi	122:15 124:19		
16:25 17:13	123:21	plague	point 23:15 25:23	poor 75:7
22:19 23:20	philosophy	203:3	26:20 27:22	
25:21 47:17	15:24,25 44:11,	plain	29:12,13 30:16	popular
64:12 84:17,19	12 75:24 102:15	57:20 170:8	32:3 33:8,15,18	77:15
103:19 104:8	103:5 118:21	plaintiff's	35:2,9 37:14	populated
106:18 111:19	139:9 169:19,	141:6 169:7	40:3 51:8 52:14	151:21
115:9 127:15	20,21 190:9	189:10 196:20,	53:13 54:7	population
133:15 149:11	211:14,15	25	58:14 59:23	62:13 122:15
154:3 164:24	phone		62:14 65:1,10,	193:21,23
202:15,17	26:2 127:7,9	play 73:25 111:2	12 66:18 67:8,9,	portion
204:12 212:18	phrase	204:1	14 79:18 94:6	39:5 70:13 71:5
218:7 219:11	38:21		106:24 107:1	100:20 139:15
personable	physical	played	115:16,17	169:8 183:20
108:23	21:6 49:6 80:5	121:15	116:19 119:19	205:25 206:15
personal	108:19 144:9	playing	122:19 132:19	220:19
7:22 11:18	174:1 198:18	83:5	133:14 151:11	position
/ 11.10			100.11.101.11	

13:21 39:19	206:5	praised	161:13 208:4	principal
42:22 51:2,23	pound	20:1	presentation	95:12
60:21 61:22	163:9 164:3,10,	prayed	194:2	principles
73:16 78:8,15	12,15,16,19	205:3	presented	16:5 17:16
100:12 137:24	power	prayer	170:1	Pringle
141:6 142:16	104:23 194:24	18:18,19 19:8	presents	64:2
164:23 167:23	powers	23:3,5,7	205:6	prior
186:2,23 187:7,	17:10 46:17	precedent	preservation	21:24 34:23
21,22 196:2	practical	139:23	43:10 146:21	49:18 59:3 81:2
201:1 204:9	143:5,9	preceding	preserve	109:13 142:4
209:19 215:25	practice	161:7	44:13 52:19	144:25 174:18
positions	13:22 27:8,10,	preexisting	53:8 56:25	199:8 214:23
7:18,20 56:1	11 34:25 42:23	38:12,13	preserved	prioritized
positive	43:16 53:15	prefer	143:15	154:13,14
19:25 47:11	69:11 73:17	115:11 183:18		priority
50:12 78:4 84:9	75:7,16 86:16		preserving 46:8 56:22	126:14
105:11 132:24	100:13,21	prejudice 71:24 173:6		prisoners
142:12 172:6	102:25 120:23		president	74:12
178:12 192:2	137:25 138:5,22	prelim	123:23,25 124:1	private
195:3,5,17	146:16,19,24	34:22	press	102:25 138:22
212:16 216:3	147:1,2 148:2,	premise	106:24	169:6
positively	10,14,18 149:3,	79:1 80:1	pressed	
177:6	6 167:24 169:6	prepare	35:11	privilege 14:4 28:1
positives	172:9 175:16	216:23	pressure	138:20
84:15	187:8 188:17	prepared	65:20,21 115:5	
possesses	189:5,9 196:5	41:5 71:14 86:1	126:3	probate 196:9
47:16	209:20 215:18	121:3 136:10	pretty	
possibly	219:8	200:16	17:12 28:9 37:1	problem
93:11 176:18,	practiced	preparing	43:2 44:12	25:18 29:25 31:12 34:6
19,21	75:11,12	192:16	46:16 58:23	
posting	practices	prerequisite	102:16 153:5	62:18 106:11 118:7 125:22
101:20	134:19	152:10	157:3 173:12	148:16,20
posts	practicing	Presbyterian	175:13 183:9	175:15 176:6,7
101:16	25:23 33:10	23:5,6,24 183:8,	210:24	problematic
potential	34:17 65:11	19	previous	33:23
26:14 172:1	66:4 102:25	Presbyterians	13:5 41:20 99:9	
potentially	124:20 125:4	23:2	136:18 167:1	problems
27:19 29:12	195:23,25 196:5	presence	186:7 208:1	66:16 68:16
39:11 70:19	practitioner	186:10	prided	113:5 141:9
94:15 104:20	150:2,15	present	105:19	188:19 191:5 194:7 213:7
133:25 157:2	188:20,22	42:3 55:12	primarily	217:10
176:4 184:1	210:25	130:7 136:22	105:16	217.10
				1

, ,	, ,.	27.4.160.4	120.20	100.15
procedural	productive	27:4 169:4	128:20	190:15
57:7 171:18	110:22	189:12	published	put
procedure	profession	prosecutors	19:2,7 108:5	18:11 25:16
58:6 155:12	74:19 88:11	202:23	publishing	26:22 60:16
192:18	172:8 188:13	prosecutor's	120:8	74:11,17 76:2
proceed	professional	31:3	pull	113:6 117:24
10:21 135:9	7:23 21:8 43:12	protect	112:7	121:19 150:5,11
proceeding	49:3 74:21 80:8	43:8 66:13	pulled	157:18 160:10 162:2 164:14
221:24	138:18 169:1	protection	96:16	176:8 203:25
proceedings	174:4 188:5,9	32:24 63:12	pulse	207:19
44:14 56:25	198:19 210:17	65:25 66:2 69:8	59:14	
process	214:10	124:17 125:15	pun	Putnam
7:9 8:12 12:22	professionalism	126:7,11	90:9	9:9 12:1 207:14
22:5 39:6,23	20:2 24:18	proud	punish	puts
41:14 44:16,23	172:11	71:3 91:4	76:1	69:8
45:13,14 46:2	profitable	108:11 122:1	punks	putting
49:24 55:20	162:5	139:5 151:25	51:4	156:19 164:24
56:2 57:8,9,11,	profound	163:11,15	purple	
16,17,23 58:1,8	157:2	proudly	77:18	Q
70:14 81:8	profoundly	176:15	purpose	qualification
98:22,25 102:22	152:8	prove	7:25 154:13	qualification 49:6
106:2,11 109:19	program	14:16 46:25	155:16	
117:25 119:9	64:16,23 91:6	proven	purposes	qualifications
120:6 121:12,14	126:11	78:12	12:10,13,17	12:23 21:6
125:15 145:6,20 146:3,9 156:2	progression	provide	40:20,22 42:7,	35:24 39:15
157:4 160:11	27:18	43:6	10 73:2,5 98:7,	40:14 70:23 72:13 80:5
166:16 171:18,	prohibit	provisions	10,13 136:1,4	94:24 108:19
19 174:25	190:15	57:21	166:10,13	134:6 144:9
181:22 182:19	promoting	public	185:8,11,14	165:13 174:1
183:21 185:23	193:15	7:24 40:18 46:9	208:7,10,13	184:4 186:1
190:14,20,21	promptly	52:10,25 53:16	pursuant	198:17 206:9
199:14 205:25	44:21	64:1,6 74:24	7:13 9:15 39:7	214:7 221:3
206:16 207:18	proper	121:22 129:4,19	41:23 70:15	qualified
215:4 217:25	51:23 159:17	130:11 148:7,11	99:12 206:1	7:19 21:4,7
220:20 221:15	properly	167:12 172:15	pursue	49:1,5 50:15,16
produce	32:11 104:11	211:17	114:6	78:14 80:4,6
35:11 205:10	property	publication	pursuit	108:18,20
producing	211:8	19:3	162:5	142:16 144:7,
7:11	prosecuting	publicly	purview	10,12 173:25
product	28:8 58:18,21	180:12	62:2	174:2 196:2
7:10,12 158:2,8	prosecutor	public's	push	198:17,19
7.10,12 130.2,0	_	56:22 57:13	_	

	1	1		1
214:6,9 216:1	9,12 207:6	quickly	43:25 44:2 50:6,	ranks
quality	208:8,11	54:16 58:23	8 53:10 56:14	151:12
64:5 128:3	questions	110:20 116:16	58:24 69:19,24	rap
quest	13:11,14 22:11,	119:25 123:5	70:4,9,11 71:1,	156:18
24:20	13 25:4 27:14	129:18 141:14	8,12,16 72:4,7,	rape
question	35:5,24 39:3,22	160:20	10 73:10 81:15,	217:23 218:8
8:24 16:6,14	42:6 48:8,10,16	quiet	17 87:22 93:23	rapidly
17:18,23 18:2	50:5,7 56:18,24	61:12	94:10,19,23	112:22
22:16 27:15,16,	57:8 66:2,7	quit	95:4 96:21 97:1,	rare
19 33:22 34:10,	67:13,22 69:19	61:11	8,10,12,19,25	119:8 182:17
20 35:19 36:11	70:11 72:25	quitting	98:4,18,21,25	rate
37:3,13,24 38:2,	81:14,16,18	61:12	99:5 100:4	87:11 200:14
25 48:13 50:9	87:22 89:11	quote	110:7,9 116:18	raving
51:22 52:7 54:4	92:18 94:7	78:5 87:3	123:14 126:22	215:19
57:10,12,15	95:20,21 99:21		131:1,3 133:19	
58:9 63:21	100:2 105:25		134:3,11,21,25	re- 8:2
69:24 71:19	110:7 114:25	R	135:5,14,18,21	
72:3 78:21 80:1	116:18 131:1	race	136:6,9 137:8,	re-election
86:16 90:14	133:19 137:11,	149:11 161:24	18 145:13 146:1	8:5
96:11 104:17	13 140:8	raise	150:18 152:3	RE-
107:5,6 112:3	145:12,14	61:10	161:3,20 164:5,	EXAMINATIO
114:4,8 122:21,	164:22 167:8	raised	21 165:11,18,23	N
23 124:3 128:6	170:10 175:4,5,	22:8 50:2 81:11	166:1,6,15,18	131:3
130:19 132:9,20	6 184:6,8	107:14 110:3	167:15,18	reach
133:14 148:23	186:20,25	145:9 175:2	173:17 175:6,21	28:23 90:10,17
149:8 153:2,13	195:20 199:20,	199:17 213:9	176:8,25 179:21	reached
156:15 161:10,	22 202:10	215:8	181:15 182:8	52:14 177:19
11 165:14	205:7,21 206:10	Ralph	183:15 184:10,	reaction
187:12 188:8	209:9 210:12	11:15 12:11,14,	15,19,21,23	130:24
200:20 204:20	212:12 215:11,	18	185:1,17,21	read
215:15 217:20	12 216:11		186:16 187:1	23:1,14 76:3
questioning	218:19 220:16	ran 14:22 123:18	199:21 201:4	77:10,19 136:11
22:10 48:17	221:5,7	130:2 160:4	202:10 203:17	145:22 159:14
50:4 81:13	question's	Rankin	205:7,11,13,18	163:1 170:3
110:5 145:10	48:10	7:1 9:18,22	206:13,18,20,	reading
161:6 199:18	queue	10:2,6,10,14,17	23,25 207:5,10,	62:4 175:9
215:10	55:22	11:4,9,17,22	15 208:17,21,25	204:21 210:9
Questionnaire	quick	12:3,5,19 13:13	209:13 215:12	reads
11:18 12:10,14	177:8,9 216:25	22:12,14 25:4	216:6 217:12	156:25
41:5 42:8 71:13	219:16 220:11	35:14 36:2 39:3	218:19 219:1	ready
73:3 97:19 98:8,	quicker	40:1,15,24 41:4,	220:2,16 221:9, 14,20	11:19 97:20,22
11 135:15 136:2	15:12	10,13 42:16	1 4 ,40	135:18,20
166:2,11 185:2,		10,13 12.10		

137:13 166:3,5	49:17 78:1 81:1	40:11,18 41:8,	referenced	41:25 80:10
184:21,22 185:3	84:13 105:7	13 42:18,20	116:6 163:5	163:20 174:6
186:18 207:7	109:12 142:9	50:1 51:22	references	194:6 212:21
real	144:24 159:18	55:13 58:24	51:24 52:5	relates
82:5 128:18,21	171:22 174:17	70:21 71:7 72:8,	116:13	36:12 67:7,8
129:2 151:22	195:1 199:7	11 73:12,14	reflect	146:9
163:2 210:23	207:13 212:13	78:12 81:10	22:22 187:25	relating
real-life	214:22	93:24 94:23,25	204:23	99:14
68:16,17 141:9	recent	97:9,12,21 98:1	reflecting	relationship
reality	150:4	99:17 100:8,10	171:25	21:11
143:10 175:24	recently	106:12,20,25	reflection	relaxed
 realization	47:3 63:14	108:12 110:2	201:18	192:5
143:9	194:2	113:19 117:6	reflective	relearning
realize	recipient	134:4 135:5,7,	50:11,17 84:2	159:14
161:14	37:6	23 137:20,22	200:25 202:25	release
realized	recognize	145:8 165:11,22	Reform	39:14 70:22
82:20 161:17	14:2,5 28:7	166:3,8,19	74:12	94:24 134:5
realm	31:25 32:1,4	167:19,21	refrain	165:12 184:3
220:3,9	33:6 40:2 69:10	184:3,18 185:22	112:5 114:1	206:9 221:3
· ·	83:23 123:1	186:16 187:3,5		relics
reason 31:16 74:3	148:12,17	198:15 199:16	refrigerator 28:11	169:10
102:13 113:9	149:10 153:17	206:8,9 207:8,		relief
116:3 117:10	178:2	16,19 209:15,17	Regan's	124:25
146:17 154:11	recognized	210:11 215:7	161:22	
155:17	151:5	221:2,20,21	regard	religious
	recognizing	records	17:21 18:2 34:3	24:2 34:12
reasonable	129:13 209:3	50:24 103:25	50:13 94:15	reluctance
47:1 125:24,25	recollect	recuse	105:3 132:17,21	154:4
126:1,5 141:16	217:24	111:23	133:17 196:16	rely
reasoned	recollection	redirect	198:1 203:20	108:13 112:2
16:3 144:13	37:19 153:8	83:2	218:21 219:5	113:17 120:19
200:7	recommendatio	redirecting	regret	158:5 194:17
reasons		82:18	48:23	remain
168:25	n 218:13	reducing	regularly	39:13 70:22
reassuring		59:5	197:3	134:4 165:12
133:9	recommendatio	reduction	rehabilitate	204:8
recall	ns 219.11 14	54:21	161:9	remaining
196:23 218:4	218:11,14	refer	reiterate	80:7 144:10
receive	record	29:6	82:4 195:25	174:3
16:12 160:9	7:1 10:2,18,19	reference	relate	remarks
received	11:24 12:6,21	24:4 51:10 84:1	15:1	8:9 132:25
19:22 21:23	13:17,19 22:8,	2	related	remember
34:22 47:8	22 39:13,25			19:1 34:7 37:9,
				

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
11 45:24 51:4	reporting	73:16 100:12	respected	result
54:12 55:15	61:1	128:5 137:24	188:18	26:23 29:4
60:3,5,10 68:24	reports	161:15 167:23	respectful	resulting
82:18 92:11	40:12,17,21,23	187:7 209:19	182:12 191:11	194:5
123:18 125:3	represent	requires	201:10	results
127:1 151:12,17	32:12 143:2	128:22	respectfully	23:17 31:11
152:6 180:7	Representative	requiring	169:24	resume
remind	7:6,7 10:12,25	7:14	respecting	123:20
39:6 70:14	11:1,2,7,10	research	57:3	resumes
133:21 205:24	25:5,7 59:1,2,12	158:6 168:12	respond	63:24
220:18	91:9 122:23	researched	20:7,21,22 23:8	retired
remiss	124:4 128:9	158:7	31:4,7 105:17	8:8 24:4 94:3
58:14 178:20	146:1,2 151:11	reside	212:23 217:22	retirement
remote	161:3 175:6,8	18:10	respondent	188:24
192:21 193:1	202:11,12	residence	210:10	reversed
remotely	216:6,8,9	13:22 42:23	responding	213:6
193:8	217:12 221:10,	73:17 100:13	107:16	review
removed	15,17	137:25 167:24	response	7:14 146:21
68:6 69:14,16	representatives	187:8	31:2 47:23	195:19 200:15
render	130:10	residency	56:13,23 58:25	217:1
219:22	represented	115:25 209:20	69:24 78:24	reviewing
rendition	189:6	resign	107:4,7 117:2,3	84:19,23 195:20
23:13	representing	98:17	128:20,23	reviews
replicating	29:22 189:5	resist	142:19 161:8,10	121:13
175:24	reputation	56:19	195:11 196:14	
report	21:9 35:21 49:4	resolution	213:10	reward 76:1
9:11,12 10:4	78:9 80:9 90:22	9:16 16:18 55:8	responses	
26:8 39:14	131:22 132:12	56:6	123:11 131:19	rewriting
40:14 60:22	174:5 198:20	resolve	responsibilities	156:12
70:22 134:5	214:11 215:21	55:6	189:3	ribbon
165:12 172:21	request	resolved	responsible	163:16 165:18
173:2,8 184:4	8:11 9:10	55:16 124:8	156:24	205:11,16
221:3	118:17,23		rest	ribbons
reportable	requests	resources	34:15 86:6 97:7	164:4,5,6,9
19:13	90:3	61:3,7 93:15 103:21 104:5	201:19	Richardson
reported	require		restore	47:4
21:4 49:1 80:3	86:9,10 111:24	respect 16:11 56:21	52:5	Richland
108:17,22 144:7	required	78:19 169:22	restraining	29:9 34:24 64:5
198:16 214:5	42:1 99:15	181:21,22	17:24,25	65:8
reporter	148:15 200:23	181:21,22	restraint	ridiculous
12:20 62:5	requirements	217:23	17:22,23 57:2	162:12
103:22 135:22	13:21 42:22	217.23	11.22,23 31.2	rights

75:3	round	running	11,14,19	schedule
rise	8:14	34:2 69:15	saint	88:25
146:12	rounded	85:17 101:13	217:19	scheduled
risk	196:4	130:18 142:22	Saluda	9:15 124:19
58:5 203:25	route	151:25 157:8	77:12	198:11
road	151:16	190:24	sample	schedules
68:4 111:19	routinely	rush	58:17 107:19	66:11
157:3 176:1	143:7	67:18 190:20	113:23	scheduling
robe	Royster	202:18	sampling	33:2 198:7
149:10 183:6	95:12	Rutherford	50:17	scholar
robed	rubber	25:6,7 59:1,2,12	Sanders	35:23
149:11	68:4 176:1	122:23 124:4	151:19	scholarly
robitis	rued	146:1,2 175:7,8	Santee	16:3 101:4
36:15,21,25	69:3	181:25 202:11,	162:10	108:10
37:6 38:4,5,8,18	rule	12 209:6	sat	school
rock	24:14 41:24	221:10,16	85:10 177:22	35:16 50:21
177:9	43:3,9 52:19	Rutherford's		51:6 79:20
rocket	95:1 99:12,16	34:10 91:9	satisfied 157:1	88:18,20 89:3
126:12	104:25 105:1,6	151:11		90:3 91:2,12
	112:25 129:4		save	93:7 94:4
rode	155:4 211:15		108:2 113:21	145:22 188:14
92:12	213:14		154:16	science
role	ruled	S.C.	saves	126:12
44:13 56:24	77:12,14	101:19	76:23	score
84:18 88:2	rules	Sabb	savor	113:13
138:12 166:18	34:4 42:1	150:20 215:13,	168:12	Scott
181:9,13	139:13 157:16	14	SC	92:15
183:12,13	165:1 192:17,19	saddens	40:21	
194:24 204:1,	203:10	47:25	SCANA	scratch
10,16		safe	150:22	18:11
rolling	ruling 58:17 86:23	114:19 193:17	scars	screen
162:19	107:24 218:16	221:12	155:14	8:1 40:5
Ronald		safety	scary	screened
161:22	run 21.14.40.9.67.2	116:4 121:19	101:1	50:25 185:24
room	21:14 49:8 67:3, 21 73:21 80:15	193:15,16	SCE&G	220:21
35:20 43:2		Safran	162:10	screening
52:23 73:23	100:24 109:3 142:3 144:15	34:9 36:2,3	scenario	7:2 8:1,22
178:22 203:7	142:3 144:15	116:20,21,22	28:5 30:23 31:6	21:20,25 38:7
Rosemary	147:12,23 174:8	129:7 135:2	32:21 68:12	39:6,12 49:14,
85:18	192:6,16 198:23	152:3,4 176:25	scenarios	19 70:14 73:8
roster	214:13	177:1,4,14,17	18:3	80:23 81:3 94:3,
125:7	217.13	178:15 179:4,7,		9,16 109:9,14
				119:9 144:21

		1	1	1
145:1 174:14,19	21:18 49:12	sense	43:6 51:13	Shakespeare
183:20 199:4,9	80:21 109:7	47:20,21 67:15	52:25 71:2 75:8	70:4,5
205:25 206:15	144:19 174:12	111:23 112:6	97:2 121:22	Shakespeare's
209:7 214:19,24	199:2 214:17	140:11 162:4	130:10 138:10,	69:20
220:19	security	163:2 172:16	14 155:1	Shandon
screenings	189:19 193:2,7,	193:4 219:3,15	161:12,15,18	24:4
13:5 41:20	9 210:22	sensitive	162:24 172:15	shape
72:19 99:10	seek	34:16	202:3 213:21	154:2
136:19 167:2	7:20 81:21	sentence	Services	share
186:7 207:17	seeking	120:7 218:6	75:1	52:18 101:16
208:1	23:20 33:24	Sentencing	serving	130:23 160:4
scrutinize	196:3	74:12	51:25 66:14	163:24,25
156:9	seeks	separate	97:3 134:14	200:20
sea	47:18	17:11 194:16	162:6,14	shared
101:14	seemingly	separated	session	101:18 154:19
seamless	30:2 176:3	193:18	7:2 8:21 10:9,	sharing
85:21	sees	separation	15,16,20 135:3,	126:24
search	176:16	46:17	4,7 221:16,18,	Sharon
13:3 41:19	select	serve	19,21	9:2 72:25 73:11
72:17 99:8	34:5	11:3 13:24	sessions	sharp
136:17 166:25	self-represented	42:25 53:1	59:15 189:24	51:8
186:5 207:24	213:4	73:19 74:3	190:13 201:8	Shearouse
seat	selfishly	81:20 100:16	set	127:16
8:2,3,6,7 21:14	187:25	101:7 131:17	46:21 57:19	sheet
27:22 49:9	Senate	134:15 138:2	102:18 132:7	113:13 154:15
80:16 109:4	8:25 9:6 105:1	147:5 150:16	146:18 152:9	
142:3 144:16		162:4 168:2	153:3 158:16	sheets
174:9 186:23	senator	172:2 182:10	160:22	108:8 122:7
198:24 214:14	9:20,21 10:11	187:10 205:22	settled	sheriff's
220:6	11:4 53:10,11, 12 90:13 100:4	209:22 212:22,	213:25	193:10
seats	145:14,16 146:3	24	settlement	she's
8:4,5 40:5,6,9	150:20 151:20	served	76:16 87:11	8:17 90:24
149:20,25	163:5 175:21	44:9 61:2 74:10	settling	91:24 116:10
secondary	199:23,24 200:2	84:24 85:13	141:13	151:10 164:4
18:6	215:13,14	150:14 209:25	Seventeen	202:15 203:3
seconded	, ,	213:2 220:4	105:14	205:9 215:17,25
9:22 10:11 11:4	sends	serves	seventh	216:3
135:2 221:17	122:12	85:12 143:4	177:23	shift
secretary	senior	154:22	sex	146:23
203:9	162:1 188:18	service	112:23	shifting
Section	seniority	26:12 33:19		24:2
16:17 17:8	82:24	35:25 39:17	sexual 218:7	shock
10.1/1/.0		22.20 07.17	210.7	
				1

150 10			🚙 .	1
159:18	51:3	Simpsonville	Sixteen	social
shocked	sick	79:21	212:19	26:2,13,14 75:1
198:6 201:12,15	65:18	sin	skill	101:15 189:19
shooter	sickeningly	23:16,17	146:18 151:4	193:2 210:22
108:24	175:11	sincere	152:9	society
shop	side	21:11	skills	46:12 128:24
204:15	14:19 29:7,17	sincerely	47:16 142:15	sole
short	33:1 38:15,17	186:21 195:15	147:5 153:18	188:19,22
25:19 48:8	46:3 52:8 53:19	196:1	sky	210:24
88:17 179:16	69:6 106:11,12	single	77:17	solely
shorten	107:24 110:12	79:16 158:11	slate	7:25 140:18
23:2	131:17 158:16	175:12	8:1	solemn
shortly	168:16 204:22	sink	SLED	46:22
19:5,6	211:6 213:14	164:16 189:4	172:21 173:2,8	solicited
shot	sides	sir	slightly	151:2
27:15 59:6	28:3,6,19 29:13	11:13 12:8	155:18	solicitor
shoulders	sign	13:13 25:1 39:4	slowly	58:18 60:4,8,10
67:21	32:24 82:7 98:5	40:25 41:1,9,12	189:9	63:25 193:20
shouldn't	126:7	70:25 71:1,15,	small	218:12
48:18 60:9	signature	18 72:6,9 94:18,	62:15 64:9,12	solicitors
126:16 147:20	10:1,4 98:3,4	22 95:3 135:17,	75:11,19 91:22	60:8 68:14
148:5	signed	25 136:8 164:11	112:5 124:21	solicitor's
show	74:6 79:16	165:10,17	177:20 210:25	26:6 64:1,7
20:6,15 110:16	98:16,18	166:17 184:9	smaller	someone's
157:8 202:4	significant	199:1,5,10,15	176:5	143:24 191:3
213:13	14:5	sister	smallest	son
showed	silence	85:18 137:3	62:8,9	51:11
20:10,11 96:2	89:12,13	sisters	smart	song
shown	silly	110:14	51:3 124:2	24:24
179:8	30:24	sit	smarter	sooner
shows	similar	27:21 179:14	96:6 194:9	21:12
9:11	50:23 111:12	210:3	smiled	sorority
Shuler	160:14	sitting	186:17	123:24
204:11 219:9	similarly	61:12 62:5	Smith	
	220:9	92:23 93:10	82:25	sort 57:8 75:10 79:8
shut	simple	96:9 116:25		168:15 181:24
65:5,7	28:9 44:12	147:7 152:6	smoothly	
shutdowns	simplistic	189:1 213:8	140:21 192:7	sorts 203:19
103:11 114:17	85:9	situation	snarky	
shy		19:10 57:24	157:12	sought
38:1	simply	103:23 121:16	soap	21:23 49:17
sic	60:21 61:1 63:2,	153:16 159:19	128:6	81:1 109:12
	11 65:23 78:14			
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1

144:24 174:17	speaking	spotless	standing	52:1,17 58:5
199:7 214:22	57:8 76:12 79:7,	178:13	180:14 184:12	59:23 62:10
sound	15 91:3 129:21	spouse	standpoint	72:17 75:4
47:15 57:13	130:17 193:20	84:17 186:14,17	84:12 120:3	78:19 79:5
83:16 138:4	Spearman	206:14	139:10 152:17	89:21 90:19,24
162:12	89:1 95:13	spread	155:24	91:5 94:2 96:24
sounds	special	65:21	Starnes	99:7,14 101:7
68:19,22 89:14	52:12 163:23	springboard	9:6	115:14 136:16
95:25 130:9	specialized	163:6	stars	143:10 151:3,20
150:12 218:10	196:6	squarely	162:2	154:22 163:14,
South	specific	139:21 149:8	start	16 165:5 166:24
33:18 40:13	105:9 118:17	squeaky	14:1 17:7 22:15	171:24,25 186:5
43:22 44:1	specifically	126:21	27:13 31:25	187:16 197:16
58:11 61:18	47:22 141:1	stability	43:14 50:6	204:8 206:1
74:10 85:2	speed	21:7 49:7 80:6	66:20,22 76:24	207:24 211:25
140:3 143:3	45:5,13,17	108:19 144:10	81:15 96:3,13	stated
146:24 149:16	54:22 55:3,5	174:2 198:18	107:20 110:8	68:1 78:22
155:4 172:8,17,	216:18 217:10	214:8	141:12 147:18	117:14 195:7,8
23 173:4 186:23	speedy	staff	153:5 157:23	196:1 204:23
188:14	16:18 28:15	8:22 9:6 35:10	159:23 175:9	213:1
southeast		55:9,23,25 56:3,	199:23 209:9	statehouse
62:7	spend 32:19 162:15	5 62:19 72:24	221:11	149:5
Southeastern		86:9 92:22	started	statement
62:5	spent 74:25 190:4	95:18 110:21	14:8 15:7 25:23	11:19 12:17
space	201:12	111:13 119:10	26:18 27:16	13:9 17:12 41:6
32:17 92:3		137:17 172:14	36:14 64:21	42:5,11,14
spare	spirit	178:23,25	88:17 126:23	71:13 72:23
42:14	39:9 70:17	180:7,15,16	127:11 148:24	73:1,6,9 80:11
Spartanburg	94:13 133:23	187:15 201:9	163:13 188:17	97:14,20,24
92:13 93:6	162:14 165:5	204:25	189:18 190:21	98:14 99:20
180:8 188:15,17	183:23 206:3	stage	192:17	100:2 112:8
189:12,15 193:5	220:23	55:23,24 143:17	starting	131:7 136:5
194:5 197:19	split	stake	54:23 67:1	137:9 166:2,14
speak	20:8 111:9	53:6 58:7	133:10 146:25	167:6 185:3,15
17:23 52:4	spoke	stakeholders	starts	186:19 207:7
78:13 79:6,23	196:13	114:15	155:5	208:14 209:9
83:1,12 89:22	spoken		state	statements
90:4 94:5	82:20 95:11,12	stamp 18:12	10:19 13:3 17:9,	26:10 133:21
116:11 125:18	sport		19 20:6,10	174:7
129:25 140:18	219:17	stand	24:10 26:25	statewide
191:4	spot	8:23 180:23	30:2,3,7 41:19,	30:12
	76:3 138:11	standards	24 43:5,10 47:5	stating
	160:10	47:7 146:20	Í	

		<u> </u>	1	
185:24	161:21	34:16 35:14,15,	studying	63:4 84:22
statistics	stop	19 123:14,15,16	192:18	146:14 187:19
54:20 59:18	30:21 33:3	128:9,14 134:12	stuff	204:9 216:15,24
status	82:24 117:11	135:1 150:18,19	117:24 159:14	sudden
64:16	127:20	151:8,10 162:9	stupid	67:18
statute	stopping	164:4,6,8	83:16	suffer
8:15 46:25	146:8	181:15,16 182:6	style	175:19
109:23 141:22	stories	strong	45:21	suffering
statutes	88:8,10 131:14,	17:12 212:18	subjective	207:1
46:20 57:20	16	strongest	57:19	suggest
statutory	story	147:11	submission	10:8
15:15 16:5	83:16 191:14	strongly	41:6	suit
42:21 73:16	straight	52:20	submit	213:23
100:12 137:23	108:23 146:7,16	struck	98:4	suitable
187:7 209:18	147:13,23 203:6	36:18 51:22	submitted	55:18
stay	220:9	structured	11:17,19 58:15	suited
86:3,10 96:14	street	25:17	66:3 119:13	204:17,21
176:18,20	85:8 146:7	struggle	submitting	Sullivan
stayed	147:13	107:6	21:14,22 49:8,	123:22
188:16	strength	struggled	16 80:15,25	summary
steam	83:8	25:11 115:1	109:3,11	80:11 85:14
171:9	stress	struggles	144:15,23	174:6
stellar	15:2 27:7 33:4,	155:15	174:8,16 198:23	sums
180:14,25	5,6,19	struggling	199:6 214:13,21	35:23
215:23	stressful	103:23	substantial	Sumter
step	125:5	student	47:19 215:16	90:22 91:5
14:5 148:21	strike	136:10	substantially	Sunday
182:18 191:2	50:20 51:10	students	50:22	82:6
205:22	strikes	90:20 96:22	substantive	super
Stephanie	60:21	202:1	57:9,10,16,17	102:19 154:3
97:17 98:9,12,	stripe	studied	58:1,8	177:14
14	203:22	63:16 68:20	substitute	superior
stepping	strive	69:13	79:21 88:25	20:20 78:8,10
17:25 27:19	102:23 105:24	study	95:5 103:19	supplement
stern	126:5 190:13	13:1,4 41:17	substituting	103:21 207:12
107:10	191:11 197:12	72:15 89:6 95:8,	88:5,13 93:4	support
stick	strived	9 99:6,9 136:15,	suburb	70:9 92:25
25:20	48:3	18 166:23 167:1	108:22	116:13 211:11
sticklers	striven	186:3,7 207:23	success	supporter
220:22	74:1	208:1 219:16	134:13	116:16
stings	Strom	220:11	successful	supposed
				supposeu
L				

59:9 68:12	47:11 72:15	Sydney	talents	target
87:17 124:22	84:7 99:5	123:22	52:12 53:7	31:12
156:22 160:8	105:10 136:14	Symmes	138:9,15	task
176:6	142:11 166:23	9:5 42:17,24	talk	96:21 136:12
Supreme	186:3 195:4	48:25 50:1	60:5,6 79:19	204:2
8:2 13:25 14:3,7	202:1,5 207:22	56:24	88:1,7 90:15	taught
15:21 24:21	212:15 218:23	syrupy	96:22 104:2	88:18 89:3
28:2 34:2,3 35:2	surveys	175:11	130:22 141:1	179:8,9
43:1,7,13,21	19:23 47:9 78:2,	system	162:18 183:1	teach
45:11 46:7 47:4	3 105:8 142:10	16:8,10 30:4	190:23 197:9	79:20 152:23
51:17 53:24	171:22 172:6	31:25 44:25	200:7 202:24	teacher
54:11 58:2 63:1,	195:2 212:14	45:3,16 46:10	203:8 205:1	79:21 182:21
5 67:24 72:1	survivor	52:17,20 59:20,	talked	teachers
73:20,21,24	84:16	21 69:10 76:9	25:10 32:13	88:17
74:23 75:22	Susan	93:8 103:7,8	57:2 64:3 70:15	teaching
77:21 78:16,24	9:8	104:11 132:7	96:17 112:10	36:23 89:2
81:21 82:3	suspect	140:1,16	113:7 122:4	96:22
84:22 85:7,11	43:3 158:4	170:22,25	125:3 127:15,16	
87:5 93:10,11	sweet	171:1,12,16	129:14 175:19	technically 26:19 219:20
100:17 101:9,	138:11 164:21	172:18 191:7	203:8 204:4	
11,17 102:2	175:11	192:9,13,22	205:4	technological
104:20 108:1,24	Swerling	193:13 211:19	talking	103:15
113:14 114:7,11	34:9	systems	33:3 45:19	technology
122:12 125:19	swim	93:7	57:14 64:4	16:21,22 104:2,
127:10,12,23	189:4		79:11 96:18	14 125:16
138:24 139:7			115:25 124:4	192:21 193:1,14
147:11,13,22	swing 160:11		150:23 178:1	tedious
156:20 158:25		table	193:25 196:23	217:7
159:6,8,24	switching	116:25	201:23 202:21	telling
204:6 210:3	155:21	tack	talks	90:12 127:8
Surely	swore	50:19	58:3 83:4	147:7 177:8
132:1	106:9	tactfully	202:22	temper
surpassed	sworn	117:8	Talley	82:10 198:9
203:14	11:16,18 12:17	takes	9:20 10:11 11:5	temperament
surprise	41:3,5 42:11	55:11 87:12,15	53:10,11,12	21:10 47:20,23
51:14 132:3,20	71:10,13 73:6	136:12 146:20	92:15 145:15,16	48:1 49:4 50:9,
133:5 200:20	97:14,18,24	183:22 200:12	146:4 163:5	16 78:11,17
surprised	98:14,23	202:14 203:9,10	199:23,24 200:2	80:9 84:12
131:20 157:16	135:12,15 136:5	taking	Tanya	96:23 105:13,
198:6 201:7	165:24 166:2,14	25:9 56:8	145:21	16,20 174:5
survey	184:25 185:3,15	132:25 156:6	tape	196:14,17,21,24
13:1 41:17	207:4,6 208:14	187:22 204:1	84:20	198:2,3,21
				200:21 201:10

	1			
203:22 212:18	135:12 165:25	97:23 98:3	157:20 160:15	160:5 161:22
214:11 219:3	184:25 207:4	102:22 103:5,18	178:3,4 192:25	163:11,17 172:1
temperature	testify	105:25 106:10	203:24	175:13 193:23
114:23	13:8 42:3 72:22	111:2 113:8	they'd	202:25
tempered	99:18 136:22	118:7 119:16,17	61:11	things
195:9	167:5 186:11	120:10 124:9	they're	18:12 24:19
temporary	208:4	129:8 130:7,20	7:25 11:23	26:3 28:3 33:18
38:4	testimony	138:10 143:16	15:18 25:20	50:19 51:9
ten	13:17 42:18	145:24 148:16,	29:23 30:10	54:15 55:1 57:6
15:10 83:20	73:13 100:8	22,23 150:7,16	32:20,21 33:1	59:18 61:14
97:22 144:3	137:20 165:16	153:4,6 156:4	38:20 41:8	65:2 70:1 74:9
155:3 160:3	167:20 187:3	157:5 158:8,10	48:12 61:12	82:15 87:8,12
tentatively	209:15	159:10 160:5,22	64:8 79:11,15	89:21 90:8,20
9:15	Texas	162:22 164:17	82:14 96:6	96:20 108:11
tenure	173:10,14	168:7,14 170:1,	111:20 117:5,9,	116:15 119:6
153:9	texts	17 171:15	13 118:2,3	122:6 123:5
term	89:7 127:8,9	173:7,15 180:24	124:13 132:2,3	124:7,9,24
36:14,16 57:3	textualist	181:19 182:2	137:4 141:9	130:2 140:17
218:8	139:11	189:6 191:19	143:13,21 144:4	154:16,17
termination	thankful	192:24 200:18,	153:23 156:21	162:10 170:17
75:2	101:2 179:1,24	24 201:15	157:15,22	171:6,11 177:7
	thankfully	202:17 204:18	159:11 160:3	180:13 192:15
terms 24:17 37:17	59:16	217:4	175:11 177:9	198:8,9 200:4
63:15 79:11	Thanksgiving	thee	178:1 179:8	201:18 203:10,
111:3 112:5	34:23	24:24	180:17 190:25	17 204:25
	that'll	theme	191:1,24	215:20 216:17,
117:5 118:8,21	56:2	24:3 171:24	they've	23
119:19,21 120:21 123:1		there's	44:20 48:13	thinking
	that's	16:13,15 17:2	60:24 76:3	15:3 29:5 61:23
153:25 169:25 217:7 218:22	15:20 17:11	19:9 26:21	82:13 141:8	thinks
220:2	20:16,22 27:24	29:16 30:19	153:23 159:20	107:9 198:13
	29:25 31:5 34:6,	35:12 54:24	179:9 198:11	Thirteen
terribly	21 35:5 36:16	58:4 63:2 64:12	thing	20:3
85:9 90:24	44:7,16 45:6,10	65:25 66:16	36:19 45:6,14	Thirteenth
terrific	48:3,6,14 51:5	69:6 71:19 76:5	48:3,6 54:17	173:4
177:25	53:16 54:4 56:4,	82:25 86:22	56:4 61:4 63:25	Thomas
test	8,9 57:6,14	87:8 98:16	70:6 75:10	55:4
28:24 95:15	60:13,15 61:4	118:4,20 119:11	76:11 79:8	thoroughness
testament	62:2,12,23 63:21 64:18	123:1 124:11,17	80:20 85:9	114:3
143:20		126:6 128:18	95:14 96:17	thought
testifies	65:11,12 77:15 79:18 86:7	140:10,24 143:8	101:9 122:8,22	19:10 51:6
11:16 41:3	87:20 93:5	147:14 153:13,	126:22 155:21	85:17 89:17
71:10 97:18	01.20 93.3	14 156:11	156:12 158:11	

115:13 129:5	76:25 77:12	times	tomorrow	158:5,9
146:9 148:24	79:20 82:23	27:13 33:15	61:11 133:3	training
160:11 180:5	83:6,17 84:4,13,	37:4 50:23	221:23	152:20
200:6 201:14	16 85:6 86:11	51:24,25 52:4	ton	trait
205:3 210:4,13	91:24 94:8	53:4 85:10	148:24	78:12
218:5	95:10 98:24	98:22 107:25	tonight	traits
thoughtfulness	101:1 104:7	114:24 119:8	202:13	47:16
114:3 157:24	108:3 113:1,21	121:15 141:23	tons	trajectory
thoughts	117:9 118:9,12	143:1,17 157:14	84:15	133:10
14:24 53:22	119:19 120:22	182:13 200:21	tool	transcript
57:22 61:16,25	122:20 124:14	timing	36:23 121:17	210:11
125:2	125:4,9,21	53:14,25	tools	transcripts
threat	126:14 127:7,	Timothy	53:18	104:1
53:19 74:15	11,21 129:11,22	113:23	top	transition
thrilled	131:19,21	Title	82:2 120:21	84:21 85:5,21
133:4	132:17 134:19	7:14	181:10 183:3	219:16
thriving	135:3 137:1,15	Toal	total	
64:17	140:5,7,8	142:25 151:14	159:23	Translation
throw	141:16 142:6	today		127:20
31:8 60:11	145:20 152:14	17:1 22:11 29:8	totally	transparency
177:8	153:3,6,23	30:8 50:4 81:13	155:19	45:16,18 46:2,4
thunder	154:9 156:2,18	88:1 97:6 98:5	touch	56:10
116:10	157:12 158:22	110:5 133:2	88:11 89:1	travel
	159:2,4,16,23	135:23 137:2	131:6 176:3	181:8
Thursday	160:16,18,24	145:11 147:7	touched	tread
9:12	161:5 164:1	155:23 164:1	25:8 53:14,25	153:21
ticking	165:2 168:12	167:12,14 175:4	170:10 210:18	treat
30:13	177:23 180:20	186:22 199:19	touching	169:22,24
time	187:25 189:8	204:4 206:14	51:14 97:2	181:21
8:10 9:10,25	190:4,16,17,23	209:11 217:15	tower	treating
10:7 15:8 18:21,	191:6,16 192:23	220:3	130:5	180:15
25 19:1,17 20:8	195:24 200:12	today's	town	treatment
25:3 28:20 29:1	201:13 203:21	215:9 221:15	210:25	196:22
30:13,23 31:6	204:23 205:1,24	Todd	traction	tremendous
32:10,16,17,18,	206:25 209:11	75:12 209:5	32:14	90:22 150:7
23 33:7,8 34:18	213:23 215:17		trade	201:25
35:7,17 36:5	217:5 219:9	toes 17:25 27:20	171:13	trench
38:3 39:16 40:6,	221:13		tradition	144:1
16 45:8,10 48:8	timeliness	told	163:14,22	trend
54:21 55:21	107:15 110:11	14:10,12 33:21 51:20 62:24	traditional	147:1 148:17
56:8 60:10	timely	127:19 155:10	146:11 151:16	trespass
64:14 65:3	66:4 110:25	162:8 202:3	trained	23:4,16,17
67:11 70:24	113:16	102.0 202.3	u anicu	23.7,10,17

Trey	trouble 76:23 191:6 troubling	111:5 139:6 141:22,23	understaffed 63:7	unqualified 116:13 132:14
Trey 51:24 52:4 60:4	76:23 191:6	i i		1 110.13 134.14
51:24 52:4 60:4		169:13 189:8	understand	Unquestionable
	Trombine	207:1 210:24	95:9 106:17	47:14
1	93:13	types	119:2 126:8	unrealistic
trial	truckloads	64:24 85:22	153:17 155:20	118:11
14:9,12,18,24	122:13	typically	178:6 184:4	untenable
15 4 06 11	true	29:9 30:5	191:23 206:11	35:8
28:15 29:10	8:13 73:10		218:1 221:6,8	unusual
66:5 67:10	162:23 197:7		understanding	155:10
76:14 77:1 82:5	201:18		16:4 29:4 40:8	upheld
84:19,20,23	trump	U.S.	45:25 172:24	47:5
85:15 86:12,16,	105:2	58:2 172:22	173:1,7 191:8	uphold
17,22 100:20	trust	ultimate	understood	46:22,25 52:5
101:8,22,25	135:22 144:4	53:20 113:13	60:12 179:12	102:21 106:1
102:12,25	185:2	ultimately	undertake	upper
103:1,12,20,23	trusting	28:23 112:11	7:20	143:6
114:11 115:1	143:21	152:19 160:8,9,	unfortunate	upset
120:19 122:14	truth	17	197:8	82:15
124:19 125:4	207:20	unanimous	unhappy	Upstate
143:13 146:19	Tuesday	112:12	181:20	40:13 48:25
132.11,13	9:14 66:24 67:2	unanimously	unheard	80:3 115:15
154:24 158:12	124:20	10:2	180:6	171:24 173:24
168:19,20,24	Tuesday's	unaware	uniform	189:8 198:15
172.1170.12	66:21	145:7	125:14 126:11	upward
197:21 200:9 219:7,18 220:6,	turn	unbiased	unintended	133:10
10	38:16 42:6	197:5,12	124:9	urgency
trials	72:24 99:22	uncomfortable	unique	163:2
86:21 140:14	116:19 138:10	117:16	140:5	urgent
189:14 197:14,	143:20 167:7	uncommon	Uniquely	163:6
15,16,22 200:17	170:17 175:14	178:6	193:4	103.0
212:4	184:13	unconstitutional	University	V
	turned	58:6 105:5	188:14	V
106:8	22:20 58:19,22	unconstitutional	unkind	vacation
trickle	150:23 152:19	ity	48:22	33:2,7,11 65:3,
1	turning	47:1	unmanageable	13,15 66:2
trigger	85:8	uncontested	62:15	126:15,16
	two-year	212:3	unpleasant	vain
trip	159:4	undergoing	48:22	52:11
	type	113:4	unpublished	valuable
tripled	25:24,25 28:21	underlying	45:21 46:2	121:17
aipicu	37:16 104:25	23:12		

			1	1
valued	versed	vision	Waller	Webex
19:12	59:18 200:10	16:7 44:24 45:3	9:9	103:16 211:23
vanilla	version	76:8 103:6	wanted	212:2
164:12	23:5,6	139:25 170:21	61:10 83:20	website
vary	versions	171:15 192:8	88:1,7 93:20	195:21
111:14	164:19	211:18	100:19,24	Wednesday
vast	versus	voice	130:21 145:18	9:17 34:23
219:14	23:4 118:22	61:10 91:25	148:6,10 157:19	66:23 67:3
vein	vested	155:1 157:9	179:11 216:2	weeds
22:25 51:15	60:19	voiced	217:21	150:12
71:4 161:22	Vice	155:22	war	week
204:3	10:24 11:3,7	void	89:12 95:5	33:13 35:1
venturing	56:14,15,16	204:7,13	warranted	55:11 66:18
115:12	128:11,12 161:4	volume	142:25	89:7 94:4 96:4,8
verdict	217:13,14	19:3 105:8	warranting	97:7 99:24
138:25 147:9	video	volumes	134:1	114:25 198:10
197:17,24	46:4	78:13	wasn't	weeks
verdicts	Vietnam	volunteer	35:6 127:13,20	33:11,15 34:5,
141:8	89:16	201:22	151:15 189:1,2	13 35:1 65:9
Verdin	view	vote	198:4 207:13	66:5 126:8
165:23,24	38:12,13 66:1	9:10	211:3	156:5
166:5,9,12,14,	81:22,25 132:14	votes	watch	weeks-
17 167:9,22,25	155:20	10:21 11:9	89:4	66:9
168:1 171:21	viewed	135:8 221:21	watched	weigh
172:19,21	32:5		92:19 180:2	203:21
173:9,13 175:8	views		197:3	weighing
177:3,13,16	57:22		water	58:3,4 184:1
178:14,17	violation	wait	175:14	weight
179:6,9,13,17,	39:10 46:24	56:12,17 86:9,	wave	39:12 70:20
20,23 181:13	70:18 94:14	13 159:4	8:23 14:23	94:16 165:8
182:5,7,24	133:24 134:7	waiting	ways	206:6
183:10 184:9,	165:6 183:25	107:25 141:3	16:13 146:15	weird
14,17	184:6 206:4	waive	155:13	143:16
Verdin's	220:23	13:12 73:1	wealth	welcomed
180:9	violative	100:1	189:24 196:11	130:22
verification	105:5	walk	wear	welcoming
13:2 41:18	violence	24:20,22,23	183:6	219:24
72:16 99:7	191:15	31:8,17 92:4		wellness
136:16 166:24		106:14 129:21	wearing 149:10	125:13 128:2
186:4 207:23	virtual	175:14 183:9		
vernacular	197:23	walking	Webb 9:6	weren't 66:7 87:3 96:19
23:24 205:19	virtually	203:5	7.0	00.7 87.3 90:19
	197:19 202:17	200.0		
	1	1	1	1

we'll	whatnot	162:4 164:23	177:11 180:4	189:11 210:21
40:8 66:19,22	197:23	205:22		
67:4 73:10			words	working
77:22 96:19	whatsoever	Wilson	23:23 100:4	45:7 79:21 82:5
207:14,16 209:5	35:25	46:21	177:24	104:13 107:12
	what's	win	work	108:9 125:13,17
we're	34:21 53:22	76:21,22 162:23	7:10,12 14:13	141:12 146:24
8:14 16:20 17:1	58:5 59:14	window	16:2 20:3 21:12	162:16 171:6
33:8,20 35:10	67:13,24 68:2	48:8	24:18 35:10,13	180:18 181:1
37:20 51:25	83:9 85:6 93:17	wine	43:9 64:7 67:6	189:2 195:6,8
53:21 56:5	117:7 140:9	175:14	74:4,7,24 75:20	workload
57:14 62:9	wheelchair	winning	86:19 87:18	217:6
63:11 65:19	14:10	110:12 163:9	89:15 96:1,3,7,	workloads
66:15 67:17,20	wheelhouse	wisdom	20 102:7,8	56:3
74:9 76:13,24	220:12,14	190:5	103:17 104:12,	works
80:19 96:13	wheels	wise	16 110:23 111:5	88:24 207:18
98:18 103:9,10	126:21	111:20 204:17	113:6 116:14	world
105:1 106:3	Whitney	withdraw	122:1 125:6	51:16 54:14
109:22 110:24	135:12 136:2,5	161:19	126:4 127:18	76:16 90:7
121:23 122:16	137:22 142:15	witnesses	129:23 131:15	114:6 115:10
123:3,6 124:10,	150:20 151:1	13:8 42:3 72:21	133:1 134:19	181:8 182:19
18 125:16 130:9	220:4	99:18 112:24	137:16 140:25	worried
135:5 139:14	whom's	136:22 167:4	149:13 153:1	89:16 127:10
140:7 141:12	27:20	197:23 208:4	155:25 157:24	188:23,24
149:19 150:23	who're		169:5,6,7,8	worrisome
160:20 182:22	28:7	women	180:6,12	56:9
193:5,6 194:11	who's	189:5,6	187:14,18,24	worry
209:6 212:1	33:9 82:9 91:11,	women's	189:5,9,11,16,	105:21
we've	14	124:1	17,18,19 196:9	worse
16:21,23 26:1		won	197:18 198:12	35:4 37:22 59:7
32:13 35:3,16	wide	46:1 163:16	201:21 210:5	
55:1,6,24 60:16	154:7 169:4	164:4,9,12	212:18	worst 82:12
61:20,23 86:17	widespread	205:11	worked	
103:14,22	37:20	wonderful	14:17 24:8 65:7,	worth
118:14 124:18,	wife	52:10 76:18	13,14 74:13	123:20 200:6
25 125:14	19:10	77:11 91:6	75:13 151:15	wouldn't
128:17 140:23,	Wilkinson	92:14 150:15	156:11 162:10	28:5 52:23
24 145:17	9:2 73:12,18	178:8 181:23	180:3 189:21	79:24 115:16
146:13 147:19	80:2 81:10	197:20 204:12	201:16	171:8 196:2
158:19 175:19	Williams	won't	worker	203:8
178:9 192:12	102:6 110:19	85:25 107:5	108:22	wow
193:2 204:3	113:7 140:23	116:9 204:14	workers	119:12 202:15
216:2 220:3	willingness	word	86:17 87:5	wrap
	39:18 97:3	131:7,9,11	107:19 169:12	109:2

	14.25.1.46.00		156.10 160.0 17	102-2 5 205-0
wrestling 54:2	14 35:1 46:22	yesterday 92:13 133:2	156:19 160:8,17	193:2,5 205:9 206:17 221:11,
	65:9 89:23 95:25 122:13	207:13	162:8 165:2,8 166:18 167:10	23
writ	124:13 141:5		181:13 182:21,	
128:24	151:6 152:7	young	25 183:2,19	y'all's
write		88:8,11 89:20	187:18 195:16	62:2
75:9 103:2	153:4 155:9,11,	94:2 149:18		
107:22 126:16	14 163:17	153:5 162:2	200:9,10,11	Z
131:19 155:5	164:1,9,20	164:24 182:20	202:7 203:5	
168:13	177:7 188:20	183:1 184:11	204:9 205:2,13	zealous
writes	year-old	younger	210:24 213:23	132:8
84:15 163:4	89:25	38:3,9	216:15,18 218:2	Zoom
writing	years	youngest	220:5	17:2
55:10 58:17	13:22 15:12	151:8,9	you've	
88:2 101:5	22:18 24:8,11	youth	17:16 23:17	
107:19 112:10	29:8,11,20 30:5	93:6,7,16	24:3 31:7 37:18	
113:23 114:2	33:10 34:17	201:23	42:15 57:2,8	
121:1 142:14	36:7 37:17	you'd	75:17 82:10	
144:13 156:12	42:23 53:1,21	62:16 133:21	83:5 84:14 91:8	
157:22 169:9	54:6 59:3,13	you'll	92:24 95:1,4	
written	61:7 62:24	8:10 166:6	112:10 116:6	
18:17 24:7	73:17,22 74:4,	202:13 207:15	117:11 121:14	
47:21 72:4 74:5	25 77:24 83:21		124:15 136:19	
78:18 79:17	84:25 87:15	you're 7:11 17:24	145:18 167:9	
87:18 90:21	88:19 100:13,	22:19 26:1,12,	181:9 182:3,13	
105:10 120:4,5	20,22 115:7	13 29:10,11	183:17 200:21	
142:17 200:8	117:20 118:14	31:12,19 33:24	203:1 204:11	
212:19	121:16 125:12,	34:7 38:10 53:3,	207:5 210:17	
wrong	17 126:23	· ·	216:12 218:23	
69:22 82:15	137:25 138:13,	19,24 54:11 57:18 60:13	y'all	
85:22 106:19	22 140:24 141:3		7:9 15:1 48:2	
147:14 154:5	144:3 147:2	64:13 68:6,12 69:7,13 74:17	67:5 71:6 74:11	
173:6	153:22 155:3,12	· ·	90:1,9 91:24	
	158:23 159:11,	79:8 82:22 88:7,	95:17 96:8	
wrote	25 160:3,13	13 92:6 93:25	99:24 100:2	
70:5 107:21	162:1 167:24	95:1 96:1,18	101:15 102:6,9	
	168:10,11 171:2	120:8,25 121:1,	110:17 111:4	
Y	187:8 188:13	2 124:1,15,21,	120:22 121:7,	
	189:13,20	22 128:16	14,22 122:9	
year	190:10 191:13	131:25 133:13	126:1,21 127:20	
22:17 25:15	194:4 195:22,24	138:8,9 141:3	134:18,24 135:8	
26:18,19 27:5	202:7 209:20,24	147:24 148:1,4	150:5 156:6	
29:10 30:10,14,	210:2 213:1	149:15 151:25	159:10 165:21	
23 33:11 34:5,	216:13 219:1	152:18 153:11	184:14 186:22	
	I	I	<u> </u>	I