1	STATE OF S	COUTH CAROLINA)	
2			
3	COUNTY OF RICHLAND)		
4	* * * *		
5		JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION	
6		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARING	
7		* * * *	
8	BEFORE:	REPRESENTATIVE ALAN D. CLEMMONS, CHAIRMAN	
9		MS. ELIZABETH H. BROGDON, CHIEF COUNSEL	
10		SENATOR LARRY A. MARTIN	
11		REPRESENTATIVE BRUCE W. BANNISTER	
12		MS. KRISTIAN BELL	
13	MR. ROBERT M. WILCOX		
14	SENATOR GERALD MALLOY		
15	REPRESENTATIVE DAVID J. MACK, III		
16		MR. MICHAEL HITCHCOCK	
17	MS. SUSAN T. WALL		
18			
19	DATE:	December 17, 2015	
20	TIME:	2:16 p.m.	
21	LOCATION:	Blatt Buildings, Room 516	
22		1101 Pendleton Street	
23		Columbia, South Carolina 29201	
24			
25	REPORTED B	Y: LISA F. HUFFMAN, REPORTER	

1		INDEX	
2	PAGE		
3			
4	THE HONORABLE W	ILLIAM GREGORY SEIGLER	
5	Statement by Ch	airman Clemmons	3
6	Statement by Se	nator Malloy	5
7	Examination by	Chairman Clemmons	6
8			
9			
10			
11		EXHIBIT INDEX	
12			PAGE
13			
14	EXHIBIT NO. 1		11
15	- Amended Answers to Personal Data Questionnaire for		
16	The Honorable Harris Bruce Williams dated December		ember
17	16, 2015.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22		Legend of the Transcript	
23	dashes []	Intentional or purposeful interrup	otion
24	[ph]	Denotes phonetically written	
25	[sic]	Written as said	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Judicial Merit
Selection Commission called pursuant to
Chapter 19 of Title II, South Carolina Code of
Laws, requiring review of candidates for
judicial office.

The function of a Commission is not to choose between candidates, but rather to declare whether or not the candidates who offer for positions on the bench in our judgment are qualified to fill the positions they seek.

The inquiry we undertake is a thorough one. It is centered around the Commission's nine evaluative criteria and involves a complete personal and professional background check on each candidate.

Today we are reconvened to examine Judge William Gregory Seigler, who is seeking reelection to the Family Court 11th Judicial Circuit, Seat 1, and to vote on his candidacy for this seat.

With that, the Chair would entertain a motion to go into executive session to consider some matters that counsel has to

1	present.
2	SENATOR MALLOY: So moved.
3	SENATOR MARTIN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: We have a motion and
5	a second. All those in favor, say "aye."
6	BOARD MEMBERS: "Aye."
7	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Those opposed. The
8	ayes have it. And we are in executive
9	session. The doors will be secured. Any non-
10	staff or non-commissioned members, if you'd
11	please exit the room. Thank you.
12	(Off-the-record executive session.)
13	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: We're back on the
14	public record. Thank you, ladies and
15	gentlemen. The Judicial Merit Selection
16	Commission is now back on the record. For the
17	record, I would like to state that we've been
18	in executive session. However, no decisions
19	were made, no votes were taken during
20	executive session. We were in executive
21	session for the purpose of legal advice.
22	Senator Malloy is recognized.
23	SENATOR MALLOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	(Brief pause.)
25	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Senator Malloy,

1 please proceed.

2.0

2.1

SENATOR MALLOY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Commission recognize that the Bar report failed to comply with the statutory requirements codified in Section 2-19-25, which expressly provides that the Bar's assessment must specify the Bar's finding as to whether each candidate is qualified or unqualified for the judgeship sought and the reasons for that finding.

Mr. Chairman, the report that the Bar sent to this Commission on October 7th, 2015 contain the Bar's assessment of the Fall 2015 candidates, but fails to include the reasons for the findings as to Judge Seigler or any other candidate. Because the Bar's report states no basis for its findings, as required by the statute, this Commission should find the Bar's assessment to be of little or limited persuasive value. I make that motion.

CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you, Senator Malloy. Is there a second to the motion?

MR. HITCHCOCK: Second.

CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Mr. Hitchcock seconds the motion. Any discussion?

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Hearing none, those
3	in favor, say "aye."
4	COMMISSION MEMBERS: "Aye."
5	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Those opposed?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: It's unanimous. The
8	ayes have it. Judge Seigler, would you come
9	forward, please.
10	(Judge Seigler comes forward.)
11	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Judge, would you
12	raise your right hand and be sworn for the
13	purpose of today's hearing.
14	(The judge is sworn in.)
15	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you, Judge.
16	Thank you for coming back for our reconvened
17	hearing today. Judge, we are reconvened to
18	further consider your candidacy for reelection
19	to the Family Court 11th Judicial Circuit,
20	Seat 1.
21	Judge Seigler, the Commission has noted
22	the statutory difficulties, deficiencies in
23	the Bar report because it fails to provide the
24	reasons for its findings, as required by South
25	Carolina Code, Section 2-19-25. Although the

South Carolina Bar found you unqualified in the areas of professional academic ability, reputation, experience and judicial temperament, the Bar failed to provide any reasons for its findings, as the statute expressly requires.

For these reasons, the Commission is not giving the Bar report persuasive value as to your candidacy. I would note that you had one of the highest test scores on the test given to family court candidates.

Further, Judge Seigler, the Midlands
Citizens Committee found you well qualified in
the areas of professional and academic
ability, reputation, experience, and judicial
temperament, as well as in the areas of
ethical fitness and character. The Midlands
Citizens Committee found you qualified in the
areas of constitutional qualifications,
physical health, and mental ability -- I'm
sorry, mental stability.

Finally the Citizens Committee remarked that, quote, "Although we just interviewed Judge Seigler for this position recently and found him well qualified, we believe that he

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1	has grown in his position nicely. He has the
2	qualities required to be a very good family
3	court judge, " close quote. I would also note
4	that the Commission found you qualified and
5	nominated in the spring of 2014 and the
6	Commission has not received any complaints
7	against you during this screening.
8	Judge Seigler, I'd now ask you to please
9	answer any other questions the Commission
10	members may have. Do any Commission members
11	have questions?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Hearing none, Judge
14	Seigler, thank you so much for being with us
15	today. You're welcome to have a seat.
16	JUDGE SEIGLER: Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you. Is there
18	a desire to move into executive session? Or
19	may we proceed to a vote?
20	(No response.)
21	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: We shall proceed to a
22	vote. I think we've discussed qualifications
23	sufficiently to this point and we can dispense
24	with executive session. All those in favor of
25	finding Judge

1	SENATOR MALLOY: I move that we find
2	Judge Seigler qualified and nominated.
3	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you. We have a
4	motion to find Judge Seigler qualified and
5	nominated. Is there a second?
6	REPRESENTATIVE MACK: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: All those in favor,
8	please raise your hand.
9	(The Commission members vote.)
10	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: And Senator Martin
11	has the proxy of Senator Campsen. And I had
12	the proxy of Representative Bannister, and
13	Representative Bannister votes "aye."
14	SENATOR MARTIN: Campsen votes "aye."
15	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: We have a unanimous
16	decision of finding Judge Seigler qualified
17	and nominated to the Family Court 11th
18	Judicial Circuit, Seat 1. Congratulations,
19	Judge Seigler.
20	JUDGE SEIGLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you.
22	JUDGE SEIGLER: Thank everybody else as
23	well. Hope you have a good evening.
24	MS. BROGDON: Mr. Chairman and members of
25	the Commission, I have a procedural matter to

1	take care of. At this time, Mr. Chairman, I
2	would like to ask that the Honorable Harris
3	Bruce Williams' amendment to his PDQ be
4	entered as an exhibit into the hearing record.
5	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Thank you. I
6	understand that there was an update with
7	regard to the go ahead and share with us
8	the reason for the
9	MS. BROGDON: Judge Williams called
10	yesterday and said that there was a lawsuit
11	against he and other appellate court panel
12	members, as well as other judges in the lower
13	courts and all of the lawyers by a pro se
14	litigant, and he wanted to amend his PDQ to
15	reflect that lawsuit that was just filed. And
16	so I have his original copy, and I wanted to
17	update his PDQ.
18	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: May we have a motion?
19	MR. WILCOX: So moved.
20	SENATOR MARTIN: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: So we have a motion
22	and a second. Those in favor, say "aye."
23	BOARD MEMBERS: "Aye."
24	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Those opposed?
25	(No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: The ayes have it.
2	[EXHIBIT NO. 1, AMENDED JUDICIAL MERIT
3	SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
4	QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE HONORABLE HARRIS BRUCE
5	WILLIAMS, DATED DECEMBER 16, 2015, ADMITTED.]
6	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Is there any other
7	business that we need to consider at this
8	time?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN CLEMMONS: Hearing none, ladies
11	and gentlemen, we want to thank you for
12	reassembling today, especially being so close
13	to Christmas. And we wish you all happy
14	holidays, until we meet again.
15	(There being no further questions,
16	the proceedings adjourned at 4:02 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

25

-1	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, LISA F. HUFFMAN, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY
3	PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE,
4	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THE SAID PROCEEDINGS, ON
5	THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2015, THAT THE CANDIDATES WERE
6	FIRST DULY SWORN AND THAT THE FOREGOING 11 PAGES
7	CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF SAID
8	PROCEEDINGS TO THE BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY.
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR
10	COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE
11	PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY
12	INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF SAID
14	TRANSCRIPT WAS THEREAFTER SEALED BY ME AND DELIVERED TO,
15	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION, 1101 PENDLETON
16	STREET, COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201, WHO WILL RETAIN
17	THIS SEALED ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE
18	FOR FILING SAME WITH THE COURT PRIOR TO TRIAL OR ANY
19	HEARING WHICH MIGHT RESULT IN A FINAL ORDER ON ANY
20	ISSUE.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL
22	THIS 14TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2016.
23	
24	LISA F. HUFFMAN, COURT REPORTER

GARBER REPORTING SERVICE 803-256-4500

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES JULY 25, 2025

1	VERIFICATION OF DEPONENT
2	
3	WE, JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION, HAVE READ
4	THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSISTING OF 12 PAGES, WHICH
5	WAS REPORTED BY LISA F. HUFFMAN, COURT REPORTER AND
6	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, ON
7	THE 17TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2016.
8	I FIND THE TRANSCRIPT OF SAID PROCEEDINGS TO BE A
9	TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT ACCORDING THE TESTIMONY ON
10	THAT DATE, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF CHANGES AND/OR
11	CORRECTIONS LISTED ON THE ATTACHED ERRATA SHEET WHICH
12	WAS FILLED IN BY ME.
13	
14	
15	
16	JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
17	
18	, 2016
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
45	

1		ERRATA PAGE
2	Page # Line #	Change/Correction (& Explanation)
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
L1		
12		
13		
14		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9	The above cha	anges were noted by me on this errata
20	page before signir	ng the attached Verification of
21	Deponent. I have	retained a copy of this errata page
22	for my records, ar	nd the court reporter is to attach this
23	page and my verifi	cation to the original transcript.
24	DATED:	
25		JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
	Ī	