

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

PROCEEDINGS

November 28, 2018

Judicial Merit Selection Commission, 2018

REPORTER: Patricia Bachand

```
1
    STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
 2
    COUNTY OF RICHLAND
 3
 4
                JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
 5
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
 6
 7
    BEFORE:
 8
         SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
 9
         REPRESENTATIVE G. MURRELL SMITH, JR., VICE CHAIRMAN
10
         SENATOR TOM YOUNG, JR.
11
         ROBERT W. HAYES, JR.
12
         REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
13
         MICHAEL HITCHCOCK
14
         LUCY GREY MCIVER
15
         ANDREW N. SAFRAN
16
         ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
17
18
19
20
    DATE:
                 November 28th, 2018
21
    TIME: 9:30 A.M.
22
    LOCATION: Gressette Building, Room 105
23
                   1101 Pendleton Street
24
                   Columbia, South Carolina 29201
25
    REPORTED BY: PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER
```

1	INDEX
2	PAGE:
3	THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK JR9
4	- Examination by Mr. Triplett
5	- Examination by Representative Smith
6	- Examination by Mr. Safran
7	- Examination by Senator Young
8	- Examination by Mr. Hayes
9	- Examination by Representative Rutherford 24
10	- Examination by Representative Smith
11	THE HONORABLE DEBORAH ANN MALPHUS
12	- Examination by Ms. Foster
13	- Examination by Ms. McIver
14	- Examination by Mr. Safran41
15	- Examination Chairman Rankin
16	- Examination Mr. Hithcock 58
17	- Examination Representative Smith
18	- Examination Ms. McIver 67
19	- Examination Senator Young
20	- Examination Mr. Safran 71
21	THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES
22	- Examination Ms. Riley 78
23	- Examination Senator Young
24	- Examination Ms. McIver 82
25	- Examination Senator Young

1	- Examination Mr. Safran86
2	- Examination Chairman Rankin90
3	THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON
4	- Examination Mr. Appleby94
5	- Examination Mr. Hayes 99
6	- Examination Senator Young
7	- Examination Representative Smith
8	THE HONORABLE TONY M. JONES
9	- Examination Ms. Simon
10	- Examination Mr. Hayes 117
11	- Examination Senator Young
12	- Examination Representative Smith
13	THE HONORABLE JAMES G. MCGEE III
14	- Examination Ms. Riley 125
15	- Examination Senator Young
16	- Examination Mr. Safran
17	- Examination Representative Smith
18	DAVID MICHAEL COLLINS
19	- Examination Mr. Fiffick146
20	- Examination Mr. Hayes 158
21	- Examination Chairman Rankin
22	- Examination Ms. McIver
23	- Examination Senator Young
24	- Examination Mr. Safran
25	- Examination Chairman Rankin

1	THE HONORABLE KELLY POPE-BLACK	185
2	- Examination by Ms. Crawford	187
3	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	200
4	- Examination by Ms. McIver	209
5	- Examination by Mr. Safran	214
6	- Examination by Mr. Hitchcock	222
7	- Examination by Senator Young	230
8	- Examination by Representative Smith	235
9	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	244
10	EXAMINATION OF THE HONORABLE MONET S. PINCUS	247
11	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela	249
12	EXAMINATION OF MATTHEW TODD YOUNGINER	259
13	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela	259
14	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	271
15	- Examination by Mr. Safran	276
16	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	278
17	- Examination by Representative Smith	284
18	- Examination by Senator Young	289
19	- Examination by Mr. Safran	294
20	- Examination by Representative Smith	295
21	RE-EXAMINATION OF THE HONORABLE MONET S. PINCUS	297
22	- Examination by Representative Smith	303
23	- Examination by Chairman Rankin	309
24	- Examination by Mr. Safran	311
25	- Examination by Mr. Hitchcock	318

1	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
2	- Examination by Representative Smith 330
3	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela
4	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
5	EXAMINATION OF NATHAN GINTER
6	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela
7	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
8	RE-EXAMINATION OF THE HONORABLE MONET S. PINCUS 351
9	- Examination by Chairman Rankin
10	- Examination by Mr. Safran
11	- Examination by Ms. Valenzuela
12	Certificate of Reporter
13	Word Index
14	* * * *
15	REQUESTED INFORMATION INDEX
16	(No Information Requested.)
17	* * * *
18	EXHIBIT INDEX
19	EXHIBITS: PAGE:
20	EXHIBIT NO. 1
21	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
22	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK JR.
23	EXHIBIT NO. 2
24	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
25	THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK JR.

1	EXHIBIT NO. 7	
2	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA	
3	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DEBORAH ANN MALPHRUS	
4	EXHIBIT NO. 8	
5	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT	
6	OF THE HONORABLE DEBORAH ANN MALPHRUS	
7	EXHIBIT NO. 9	
8	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA	
9	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES	
10	EXHIBIT NO. 10	
11	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE	
12	HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES	
13	EXHIBIT NO. 11	
14	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT	
15	THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES	
16	EXHIBIT NO. 12 93	
17	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA	
18	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON	
19	EXHIBIT NO. 13 93	
20	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE	
21	HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON	
22	EXHIBIT NO. 14	
23	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT	
24	OF THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON	
25		

1	EXHIBIT NO. 15
2	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
3	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE TONY M. JONES
4	EXHIBIT NO. 16
5	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
6	OF THE HONORABLE TONY M. JONES
7	EXHIBIT NO. 17
8	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
9	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE JAMES G. MCGEE III
10	EXHIBIT NO. 18
11	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
12	OF THE HONORABLE JAMES G. MCGEE III
13	EXHIBIT NO. 19
14	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
15	QUESTIONNAIRE OF DAVID MICHAEL COLLINS JR.
16	EXHIBIT NO. 20
17	- AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF DAVID
18	MICHAEL COLLINS JR.
19	EXHIBIT NO. 21
20	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
21	OF DAVID MICHAEL COLLINS JR.
22	EXHIBIT NO. 22
23	- JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
24	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE KELLY POPE-BLACK
25	

1	EXHIBIT NO. 23	
2	- JUDICIAL ME	RIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT
3	OF THE HONO	RABLE KELLY POPE-BLACK
4	EXHIBIT NO. 24	248
5	- JUDICIAL ME	RIT SELECTION COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA
6	QUESTIONNAI	RE OF THE HONORABLE MONET S. PINCUS
7	EXHIBIT NO. 25	248
8	- JUDICIAL ME	RIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF
9	THE HONORAB	LE MONET S. PINCUS
10	EXHIBIT NO. 26	323
11	- Candidate's	Written Response
12	EXHIBIT NO. 27	
13	- Candidate's	Written Response
14		
15		
16		* * * *
17		
18		
19	Court Reporter	's Legend:
20	dashes []	Intentional or purposeful interruption
21	• • •	Indicates trailing off
22	[sic]	Written as said
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are going to go on the
2	record. Let me first state that while in executive session
3	earlier this morning, we passed no votes or made any
4	decisions.
5	Now, Judge Smoak, we're ready to proceed
6	with your screening. Let me get you to first raise your
7	right hand.
8	WHEREUPON,
9	THE HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK JR., being
10	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
11	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And you have
13	before you financial excuse me a PDQ Personal Data
14	Questionnaire and a a sworn statement; is that correct?
15	JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes that need to
17	be made to those?
18	JUDGE SMOAK: No, sir.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you don't have any
20	objection to those being made a part of the record, do you?
21	JUDGE SMOAK: No, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. If you'll hand
23	those to Lindi, we'll put them in.
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
25	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE

1	HONORABLE GERALD C. SMOAK JR.)
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
3	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE
4	GERALD C. SMOAK JR.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you for being here.
6	And are you familiar with this process you've done this
7	before, but for the record let me just state that in our
8	investigation of your candidacy and for reelection to the
9	bench. We've looked at nine evaluative criteria, which has
10	included a ballot box survey, a study of your application
11	materials, verification of your compliance with state
12	ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your
13	name appears, a study of past screenings, and a check for
14	economic conflicts of interest.
15	No affidavits have been filed in opposition
16	to your election. No witnesses are present to testify.
17	You have the opportunity to make a brief opening statement
18	if you want to. Otherwise, Mr. Triplett will start and
19	proceed with the exam.
20	JUDGE SMOAK: I don't have any statement.
21	I'll defer to Mr. Triplett.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. Thank you.
23	EXAMINATION BY MR. TRIPLETT:
24	Q. Thank you, Judge Smoak. After serving for
25	twenty-three years on the family court, why do you want to

continue serving as a family court judge?

- A. I enjoy what I do. I enjoy resolving the conflicts that come before me in my courtroom. I enjoyed doing that when I was practicing law, and I enjoy it on the bench. And as long as you keep enjoying, it I'm going to keep doing it as long as they'll have me.
- Q. Judge Smoak, please explain one or two brief accomplishments that you feel you've completed during your tenure, and then a goal you would like to accomplishment if reelected.
- A. Of course judicial-wise, I guess I've enjoyed participating -- I guess it's not so much an accomplishment, but I've enjoyed participating in the Joe Program, which is the judicial observations program put on by the law school, where you get a law student come sit with you. And I've done that for probably the past four or five years. And I've really enjoyed that.

I've got to know some smart, smart law students that we're lucky to have in this state, from here in Columbia and Charleston. I think that's probably what I have enjoyed of recent the most.

If you're talking about not judicial, I guess I - my best accomplishment is my grandson was born about a -over a year ago. So I guess that's the best one. But
hopefully in the future, I will continue to participate in

the Joe Program.

I have lectured at the high school. My former administrative assistant left me some years ago and went to the high school, and of course she calls me when she needs somebody to come and I'm willing and able to do so. And I go there a good bit when she asks. And I enjoy doing that.

I see a lot of people. I don't know as many students that I used to know when my children were there, but it's still a fun thing to do.

- Q. Judge Smoak, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you, as well as court personnel?
- A. I hope I have a good reputation. I think I do. I mean, my courtroom is pretty laid back. The people that come in my courtroom, of course they have enough controversy and tension going on, and I try to make sure that I make everybody feel comfortable. And not only the litigants, but the attorneys.

I haven't been far enough removed from being an attorney that I've forgotten what it's like to be one. And sometimes you have to remember they're busy, too, and they have the -- various things come up. And sometimes you just have to accommodate them. And the same thing with litigants.

But I hope the reputation is good. I hope that

1 people feel comfortable when they come in my courtroom, and 2 think they have gotten a fair shot. I think that's the 3 best I can ask for. 4 Judge Smoak, the Commission received 459 ballot 5 box surveys regarding you, with 24 additional comments. 6 All but one were positive. And those positive comments 7 included: 8 "We need more judges like Judge Smoak. 9 credit to the bench and Bar. He's clearly one of the best 10 serving judges in South Carolina today." And lastly, "I 11 believe that he's one of the best, if not the best, family 12 court judges I've ever been in front of, because he's 13 knowledgeable. But past that, more importantly this 14 individual applies his knowledge of the law by tempering it 15 with his clear application for humanity. He does what is right even when what is right is not convenient. And, 16 17 frankly, he has done so even when it was not in my client's 18 I give him my highest recommendations." 19 One comment expressed concerns that, "Judge Smoak 20 is super nice to be in front of, but sometimes gives too 21 many chances to pro se litigants." 22 How would you respond to that concern?

A. I may agree somewhat. Because, you know, sometimes pro se litigants, what they want is they want to be heard. And a lot of times they don't think they're

23

24

- heard. And sometimes maybe you give them too many opportunities, you know, "Anything else you want to tell me?"
 - You want to make sure that they have felt they told you everything they want to tell you. And it's quite possible that I've done that a couple of times when I -- maybe I shouldn't have. But I think it's better to err on maybe the other side than not give them the opportunity. So I think that certainly could be possible.
 - Q. Thank you, Judge. And there are a few housekeeping issues to address. Since submitting your letter of intent have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 14 | A. No, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 23 A. No. sir.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you

1 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 2 your behalf? 3 No. sir. Α. 4 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 5 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 6 Section 2-19-70, Subsection E? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 MR. TRIPLETT: The Low Country Citizens 9 Committee found Judge Smoak to be qualified as to 10 constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental 11 stability, and well qualified as to ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, character, reputation, 12 13 experience and judicial temperament. 14 I would just note for the record that any 15 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the 16 candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the 17 candidate today. And with that, Mr. Chairman, I have no 18 further questions. 19 Representative Smith. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 20 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Mr. 21 Chairman. 22 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 23 0. Judge Smoak, how are you doing this morning? 24 I'm good. I'm a little cold. It wasn't this Α. 25 cold in the Low Country when I left.

Q. Judge Smoak, let me tell you I appreciate your being here today, and I appreciate what you do. I don't practice in family court anymore, but whenever I started practicing you were over on Sumter when I first started practicing.

And I'm going to say this as, you know, though back then I was a young lawyer, maybe a couple of years in practice, and you were kind and you were -- you were knowledgeable and you were fair to everybody. And the good news is, is as I look here twenty-something years later, and I look at your ballot box surveys, it looks like nothing's changed then.

So, you know, I think it's important while we point out negative comments to judges -- sitting judges, we also need to discuss the positive comments we have with judges. And, you know, I want to congratulate you and, more importantly, thank you for what you're doing on behalf of the family court in South Carolina.

Because it appears to me that when I look at this, your judicial temperament is probably some of the lowest -- or where you have just a few people say you're unqualified, that's one of the lowest marks. But more importantly, almost everybody says you're well qualified in your experience, your reputation, your character, your professional and academic ability.

1 You get marks at the top of what we're seeing for 2 And I know there's a debate about whether we 3 should pay much attention to these ballot boxes or throw 4 them away, but in my opinion that's probably a fair 5 barometer of people who can anonymously talk about what 6 they think of a judge that they appear in front of. 7 And your marks are just without -- just without 8 question one of the finest ones in the state. 9 appreciate you handling yourself in that manner. 10 Especially someone that's been here as long as you have 11 been on the bench. 12 Α. I appreciate it. 13 Let me ask you one thing that caught my eye is 14 that you are working on -- with the drug court in the 14th 15 Circuit? 16 Α. I used to. I don't right now. 17 0. Do you all have a drug court, Judge, down in the 18 14th Circuit? 19 Α. We have a drug court down in Beaufort right now. 20 Judge Few and another magistrate usually does it right now, 21 that's going on. 22 Q. Are you all not having it in the other counties 23 of the 14th Circuit? 24 No, sir. We had it. And I quit doing it --25 matter of fact, I was given authority to do it through the

- circuit court. I was doing that in Colleton and I was
 doing it in Hampton. And it was basically a grant and it
 ran out. And that was that.
 - Q. And so that's one of the issues that we debate at the Legislature up here with reestablishing drug courts, we're seeing more alternatives courts. And I presume what you -- what I'm hearing from you is that the issue in the smaller, rural counties where we don't have drug courts is defunded.
- 10 A. Exactly.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

21

22

- Q. And I presume your time as being a drug court judge and -- you didn't get paid anything extra being a drug court judge then, did you?
- 14 A. Oh, no. No.
- Q. It was a labor of love, wasn't it? And I presume that you have a lot of success stories where you -- when you were a --
- 18 A. Oh, yes.
- 19 Q. -- drug court judge?
- 20 A. No doubt. No doubt.
 - Q. From people who were given a second chance and took advantage of it and cleaned up their lives and moved forward in a positive manner?
- 24 A. No doubt.
- Q. Do you think drug courts are something that needs

1 to bed continued in the state of South Carolina --2 Α. No doubt. 3 -- with your experience with it? 0. 4 No doubt. Α. 5 Q. Well, Judge, I appreciate this. You know, it's 6 nice to have sitting judges in here. You know, I think 7 we've got to give each judge that's a -- that comes in 8 here, whether they're a candidate or whether they're a 9 sitting judge, a thorough look and make sure that they 10 still are serving the judiciary with honor and integrity 11 and competence. And there's no doubt you're exceeding all 12 expectations in that regard. And I thank you for your 13 service. 14 I appreciate it. Α. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 16 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 17 18 I will say I've known Judge Smoak for thirty-five 0. 19 And I've had the pleasure of appearing in front years now. 20 of you probably once. But I probably had less sense --21 kind of get into areas I don't quite necessarily fully understand. But I want to tell you that it is very, very 22 23 impressive that in a family court setting where things can 24 get so contentious and so out of hand, that you see these 25 repeated statements about patience and courtesy.

1 Which, you know, many times we don't see that. 2 And judges in that area are really criticized. But 3 patience and courtesy seem to be the last thing that people 4 want to talk about. And I think it is a credit that you're 5 able to maintain that over this length of time doing it. 6 And I just want to ask you -- it seems to me from 7 reading between the lines that people come in -- and I know 8 in my limited experience with you, it was like this, you're 9 not watching the clock, you're basically giving every case 10 however much time it needs, even though you've got a 11 schedule and even though you've got people waiting around. 12 I mean, is that something you consider to be 13 really essential in doing what you do? 14 I don't so much watch the clock, but I certainly 15 like to start on time. You have to make some -- you have 16 to have some leeway, of course. 'Cause you hate to stop a 17 hearing and you think it's going to take thirty more 18 minutes, and the next case is due up and you're not going 19 to make them come back. So you just go ahead and finish 20 I mean, obviously, if that's the case, you just got to 21 go ahead and do it. 22 I mean, what I've heard as far as the pro 0. 23 se's, I think you do it with everybody, don't you? 24 Α. Oh, yeah.

Don't you provide the opportunities --

25

0.

1 Α. Yeah. 2 -- to say, "Hey, I'm going to -- I want you to 3 hear this." You give everybody a chance to more or less be 4 heard, pretty much. But you know exactly what their 5 concerns are. 6 Α. No doubt. 7 0. And, you know, you rule -- you're more concerned 8 with rule on the merits as opposed to saying, "Well, you've 9 only got fifteen minutes for this, and it might take 10 I'm going to give you the other five and do it"? twenty. 11 I mean, if it's needed, it's needed. 12 Α. No doubt. Yes, sir. 13 And let me ask you also, again you still Q. 14 enjoy doing this, don't you? 15 Α. Oh, yes, sir. 16 0. And, you know, family court can be a pretty high stress area, can't it be? 17 18 Α. Sure. 19 And even with all the high stress, again Q. 20 everybody that seems to be appearing in front of you is 21 saying, "He takes his time. He's pleasant. He's patient." 22 And I don't think anybody from what I'm seeing walks away 23 feeling like that, and been treated fairly at least for

that day. I mean, you go out of your way to do that, don't

24

25

you?

1 Α. Sure. I mean, I think that's the way it ought to 2 be. 3 Well, I just want to tell you that, you know, we 0. 4 see a lot of these things, and comments like this are the 5 exception necessarily rather than the rule. I really do 6 think you deserve a great deal of admiration and 7 appreciation for it. And I appreciate you being here. 8 Well, I appreciate that. 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. 10 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 12 0. Judge Smoak, I too want to echo the compliments 13 that are being extended your way on the Bar -- on the Bar 14 It's very impressive and speaks volumes as to the 15 reputation that you earned in your time on the bench. 16 I just a question in the area of abuse and 17 neglect cases, and that is that based on -- you know, based 18 on your experience with being a family court judge for many 19 years, if you could improve the system on how we handle abuse and neglect cases that are in family court for the 20 21 children and the families involved, what would you do? Or 22 what would you recommend? 23 Α. We need more caseworkers and more court time. 24 The court time being you think it would benefit Q.

having a certain judge assigned to hear just abuse and

neglect cases in a --

A. That's a very good question. Now, I think the -- and just as an aside, I went to judge's school with Judge Williams and Judge Henderson, and there was some judges from Florida, and they were telling us that there were judges in Florida and that's all they did. And we kind of -- I said, "You do what?"

And they said, "Yeah, that's all we do is abuse and neglect." And, you know, now I hear people talking about that very same thing, you know, and whether you -- that can happen, I don't know. Would I think that's a good idea? If you can give more court time and have them come into court and not having to push cases back, I think the better off you're going to be.

'Cause I just got out of doing DSS Monday, and there were two cases then they had to schedule for trial and there wasn't time to do them. I mean, it's -- I mean, it's -- I mean, it can be a problem. I mean, granted it's not all the time. And that's the -- I guess that's the way you've got to schedule it.

I mean, it doesn't happen every week or every two weeks. But all of a sudden you get a big backlog and you have a rush of cases and then you get stuck. All of a sudden you have cases that should have been concluded and not -- they're not concluded. And it's not a month later,

1 it's three months later. 2 I don't know what the answer is. You know, we 3 try to stick them in whenever we can. Of course the 4 emergencies you have to hear, no doubt. But it's just the merits hearing and caseworkers -- I think if I could do 5 6 something about it right away, that's what I would do. 7 Q. Thank you very much. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Hayes. 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES: 10 0. Very briefly, I just want to add my support and 11 thanks for the work you're doing. You're doing the Class of 1983 proud from law school. 12 13 Α. I appreciate it. 14 You apparently learned pretty well. Q. I'm not so 15 sure I learned so well in law school, but you did well. 16 And we're proud of the work you do. And thanks for 17 continuing to serve. 18 Α. I appreciate it. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Rutherford. EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: 20 21 Judge, given the fact that people -- people being 0. 22 lawyers have the opportunity to say things anonymously 23 about you, and they chose overwhelmingly and unanimously to 24 say that you are a good guy, that you are a good judge.

How do we get more people like you, who are willing to

serve, who can come and do a good job? What do you think the recipe for success is?

A. I guess what you're doing. I mean, some of the anonymous comments may be the best way to get the true comments. You know, I'm not sure. But, you know, I'll tell you right now, of course I know everybody has their comments about certain judges, but, you know, the guys I work with, the girls I work with, I mean, it's the best bunch of people there are.

I think the system you all have, I think does a pretty good job of that. I don't know what else you could do. And a lot depends upon the candidates you have. I mean, you know, if you have a open seat and you only have two candidates, you only have two candidates.

Obviously, it's going to be one of the two. And, you know, sometimes you wonder why there are not more candidates for some seats. And I don't know the answer --

- Q. Well, how do you -- how do we attract better candidates? How do we attract good candidates? How do we make the people that appear in front of you, that you know are good that you would like to see come in front of you because they're prepared and they're ready to do, how do we attract them to the bench besides paying you all more than minimum wage?
 - A. Well, I was about to say maybe pay is one thing

to look at. I mean, seriously -- I mean, 'cause some of them just don't want to step out of the comfort zone of practicing law to go into --

Q. Right.

A. This is what you got. That's right, I mean, basically. And we've got kids going into college and everything, and they're just not going to do it, I mean, as much as you hate it. 'Cause there are some good lawyers in my bar, and fortunately in Colleton County we have a heck of a good bar.

But they're not going to do it, more than likely.

And they'll tell you why. There was one young lady, and I
won't call her name, and I asked her, I said, you know,

"When the time comes up and you're of the age, would you
consider it?"

And she said, "Absolutely not."

And I said, "Why?" 'Cause she's smart and good - a good lady and got children. She said, "I can't afford
the pay cut." And that's what -- just when you hear that,
you just go golly, you know.

Q. Right. Right.

- A. And whether that's -- you know, a lot of times that happens, I don't know for sure.
- Q. Well, I appreciate it. And I appreciate you having that conversation with that young lawyer as well,

1 because that's what we need. So thank you. 2 Α. Sure. 3 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 4 Just following up, Judge, on that, real guickly 5 is -- I also want to tell you how I appreciate that. 6 'Cause we -- as we see candidates come forward, you know, 7 sometimes we see circuits where we know there's excellent 8 lawyers, and the best of the lawyers are not running for 9 these seats anymore. And that's what's concerning to, I think, this 10 11 commission, and I can certainly say to me is that, you 12 know, you look in the circuits which you know there are 13 fine family court practitioners, and they're not applying 14 for the jobs. You know, part of it is, ehere is the 15 judiciary? Why doesn't -- you know, I -- one day you're 16 going to have to retire, and that's what the law says. 17 And, you know, I appreciate you saying, "Look, 18 I've suggested someone, you know, when the time's up to 19 help." And that's a little disheartening to me to hear 20 that people are saying -- you know, I see being a judge as 21 an honor. I mean, it's a -- there's some -- just legends in 22 23 the law who have served, you know, on the bench in the 24 circuit court and family court throughout the years. And,

you know, it's an honor to be elected. It's an honor to

1 carry on the integrity and the reputation of our judiciary. 2 And unfortunately, we find people who are choosing "I'm 3 unable to do that" because of the -- I guess the pay and 4 everything else that goes along with being a judge. 5 And, you know, I appreciate you and other judges. 6 And I know you're one of the leaders in the Family Court 7 Judge's Association and, you know, if you all would just 8 help us find candidates. I mean, you know, you don't --9 you don't have to hand-pick, but just say, you know, when 10 I'm about to retire let your Bar know that, you know, 11 you're about to retire and we need good candidates to come 12 forth to carry on the legacy and reputation that you've set 13 for this seat. 14 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, that's a great 15 question and statement, but you don't need to do anything 16 other than nod your head. Which you are certainly doing, 17 the record will reflect. JUDGE SMOAK: 18 I appreciate it. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: With a big smile on your 20 So just a couple more pile-ons here. And it is so 21 refreshing to see someone has served as long as you have, which is not that long, but in the scheme of things you've 22 23 been on the bench since '95? 24 JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir. 25 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Who is held in such high

1	regard by the anonymous participants in these ballot box
2	surveys, and the Citizens Committee who again you
3	interviewed with. And it is just so, again, refreshing and
4	the norm, generally speaking, for those who don't begrudge
5	the job, who don't display an attitude that some pick up on
6	that they're not happy with what they're doing, but those
7	like you, who truly are truly are happy to be serving
8	and foregoing for additional compensation, foregoing what
9	could be a far more lucrative career.
10	So with that, I hope you've got it going good
11	down there. It's always warmer down there, apparently.
12	JUDGE SMOAK: No joke.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So we are proud of your
14	service and your desire to continue doing it. And I can't
15	help but commend you for following in your dad's footsteps,
16	though in a different courtroom.
17	JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You all enjoy a wonderful
19	reputation down there.
20	JUDGE SMOAK: I appreciate it.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It's glad to see good
22	people serving, like you.
23	JUDGE SMOAK: I appreciate it.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there
25	are any other comments, that will close this portion of the

1	screening process. Judge, you're familiar with the rules,
2	and, again, we talked about one of them earlier. We're
3	real keen on both the spirit and the letter of the South
4	Carolina laws of ethics. As candidates, you have got to
5	abide by that. You know that any violation of appearance
6	of impropriety would be deemed very serious by us, and you
7	know that we would call you back to ask, if we would need
8	to. We trust that will not happen with you, though,
9	correct?
10	JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Because the
12	record is not closed until the final process ends.
13	JUDGE SMOAK: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, Judge. With
15	that, head on home. And you can leave the coat in the car
16	when you get out at the office.
17	JUDGE SMOAK: You're exactly right. I
18	appreciate it.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Take care.
20	JUDGE SMOAK: Thank you all. I appreciate
21	you all, too, letting me get to see my lawyer, Mr.
22	Triplett. Because my son and I spent many afternoon over
23	at the baseball stadium watching him, so we enjoyed that.
24	I enjoyed meeting him. Nice fellow. Thank you all.
25	(Candidate excused.)

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome, Judge Malphrus.
2	Thank you for your patience this morning. We are right on
3	time. It is twelve o'clock by our watch.
4	JUDGE MALPHRUS: Thanks a lot, Chairman.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will please raise
6	your right hand.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	THE HONORABLE DEBORAH ANN MALPHRUS, being
9	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
10	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have given to us a
12	Personal Data Questionnaire and a sworn statement. Any
13	changes that need to be made to those?
14	JUDGE MALPHRUS: No, sir.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you don't object to
16	Othose being included in the record with your sworn
17	testimony?
18	JUDGE MALPHRUS: I do not object.
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Very good.
20	Hand those to Lindi, and we'll put them in the record.
21	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
22	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
23	HONORABLE DEBORAH ANN MALPHRUS)
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 8 JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
25	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE

1 DEBORAH ANN MALPHRUS) 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, we have, as you 3 know, thoroughly investigated your candidacy. And we look 4 at nine evaluative criteria, which includes a ballot box 5 survey, a study of your application materials, verification 6 of your compliance with state ethics laws, a search of 7 newspaper articles in which your name appears, past screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of interest. 8 9 No one has filed an affidavit in opposition 10 to your campaign, and no one has desire -- or contacted us 11 to be a witness for you or against you. You are welcome 12 now to make a brief opening statement if you'd like. 13 Otherwise, we'll have questions of the Commission and Ms. 14 Foster. 15 JUDGE MALPHRUS: Mr. Chairman, I will waive 16 my opportunity to make an opening statement. 17 EXAMINATION BY MS. FOSTER: 18 Judge Malphrus, after serving seven years on the 0. 19 family court bench, why do you want to continue serving as 20 a family court judge? 21 You know, I love my job. I think that this is Α. 22 the one job where I'm able to blend making a difference in 23 families' lives, as also with the law. You know, I'm a 24 lawyer and I love law. And but I also really appreciate

the opportunity to impact and be involved in families'

lives, and family court gives me that opportunity.

- Q. Judge Malphrus, please explain one or two brief accomplishments that you feel you've completed during your tenure, and then a goal you'd like to accomplishment if reelected.
- A. Accomplishments. The clerk of court in Colleton County and I, we found that there were a large number of -- as there are, I suspect throughout the state -- in my circuit we have a large number of pro se litigants. And Fridays -- one day during the term of court is set aside for the pro se litigant divorces.

And all clerks are different, but in Colleton County the clerk's office there does a wonderful job assisting the pro se litigants with their divorce packets. And the pro se litigants would come to court and their paperwork would look -- would be in order, except for one issues, and that was with the service for the notice of the final hearing.

And so the clerk and I developed a program -- her office actually assists the litigants with having the initial summons and complaint served. She would collect the fifteen-dollar check for the sheriff's department and send the whole packet to the sheriff's department for service.

So we just developed a protocol where we just

took it one step further. When the pro se litigants came to file their lawsuit papers, she would go ahead then -- my suggestion was let's go ahead then and set the -- set the matter for final hearing, say sixty days out, and when you sent the paperwork to the sheriff's office to get served, go ahead and serve them with the notice of the final hearing as well, so the pro se litigants don't show up to court only to be told we can't go forward because they didn't have service for the notice of the final hearing.

So we developed that protocol. And it's worked wonderfully in Colleton County. And I'm now on the self-represented litigant committee and I'm -- that's something that I would love to see other counties develop as well. So that's an accomplishment that I'm proud of.

Another accomplishment -- I'm not so sure that this is an accomplishment. I had a young man appear for me, I was participating with the Department of Juvenile Justice Pre-adjudication Program, and I had a young man come before me and -- something that I had said made an impact upon him, and I encouraged him to look into joining the military. Which he ultimately did.

And he sent me a picture of his graduation picture from boot camp. And he sent me a Christmas card ever since. And so I feel like that was an accomplishment that I made in that young man's life. I don't know if

that's really a career accomplishment, but that was a
personal accomplishment.

And something I would like to see -- another goal I have for myself, is that your last question, ma'am?

Q. Yes.

- A. Well, I would like to see our self-represented litigant program that we started in Colleton County carried forward into other counties. And so I hope that I can accomplishment that on the self-represented litigant committee.
- Q. Judge Malphrus, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I think I have a reputation as being no-nonsense. I think I have a reputation -- I hope I have a reputation of being treating everyone the same no matter their walk in life. I think I have a reputation as being a hard worker. I think I have a reputation of not putting off on someone else, something that I can get accomplished that day.
- Q. Judge Malphrus, the Commission received 392 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 18 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:
- "A very good judge. Thoughtful about her decisions and seems to genuinely care. Judge Malphrus is an excellent judge, always courteous and respectful to

1 parties and matters." 2 Nine of the written comments expressed concerns. All of the negative comments indicated that you have poor 3 4 judicial temperament, and that you are often condescending 5 and unprofessional with other attorneys and litigants. 6 What response would you offer to this concern regarding 7 your temperament? 8 You know, when we discussed these comments 9 previously, I can tell you that I've taken them all to 10 heart. And I hope that those comments maybe came from our 11 early days on the bench. I hope that people -- that 12 attorneys and litigants don't feel that way about me today. 13 I do think that I am pretty -- I'm no-nonsense 14 and I've very cognizant of needing to maintain control in 15 the courtroom and keep -- and keep the docket moving along 16 so litigants and attorneys aren't inconvenienced. 17 But the negative comments are certainly 18 disheartening, and certainly areas that I hope that I can 19 improve on. 20 MS. FOSTER: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to 21 request that we go into executive session to handle a 22 matter. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Motion from Senator Young, 24 seconded by Mr. Safran. 25 (Off the record from 1:19 p.m. to 1:55 p.m.)

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We are back on the record. 2 And while in executive session, the Judicial Merit 3 Selection Commission neither cast any votes or conducted 4 any business. And we'll resume the screening questions by 5 Ms. Foster. 6 BY MS. FOSTER: 7 0. Thank you, Judge Malphrus. Some housekeeping 8 Judge Malphrus, since submitting your letter of 9 intent have you contacted any members of the Commission 10 about your candidacy? 11 Α. No, ma'am. 12 0. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 13 the limitations on contacting members of the General 14 Assembly regarding your screening? 15 Α. Yes, ma'am. Since submitting your letter of intent have you 16 0. 17 sought or receive the pledge of any legislator either prior 18 to the date of pending the outcome of your screening? 19 Α. No, ma'am. 20 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact 21 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 22 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 23 your behalf? 24 Α. No, ma'am.

Have you reviewed and do you understand the

25

0.

1 Commission's quidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E)? 2 3 Α. Yes, ma'am. 4 MS. FOSTER: I would note that the Low 5 Country Citizens Committee reported that Judge Malphrus is 6 qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 7 qualifications, physical health and mental stability and 8 experience, and well qualified in the evaluative criteria 9 of ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, 10 character, reputation and housekeeping. 11 The Committee also commented that Judge 12 Malphrus is very experienced. I would just note for the 13 record that any concerns raised during the investigation 14 regarding the candidate were incorporated into the 15 questioning of the candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have 16 no further questions. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver. 18 MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER: Judge Malphrus, we've spent a good bit of time in 20 0. 21 talking to family court judges about the temporary hearings 22 and issues with perhaps not having enough time, that the 23 lawyer schedules fifteen minutes because he or she has 24 clients that desperately want to get before the family 25 court, but the only way to get court time is to schedule

the fifteen-minute hearing. Have you found that to be an issue?

A. Yes, ma'am. And, again, I sort of accepted that as the nature of the beast and understand -- I understand the position that lawyers are in, needing to get into court as quickly as possible. I with that we did have more time. I don't like to -- I don't like to continue cases. I try to have everybody's case heard that needs to be heard.

And it would be nice if we had more time, yes, ma'am, particularly with those temporary hearings.

Q. Because this has been an issue that we've kind of taken very seriously, and also dug a little deeper on, one of the comments that you received asking you about -- one of the comments was, "She cuts off the attorneys in the middle of presentations and very annoyed by having to read affidavits at a temporary hearing."

Can you address that for us in the context of the shorter temporary hearings and whether or not those are connected?

A. In terms of cutting of attorneys, generally I don't allow -- if I have a huge packet of documents to look at a temporary hearing, I do try to allow attorneys to summarize for me their position. But again, being cognizant of others that are coming behind them, I don't allow a whole lot of argument at temporary hearings. I

allow a summary of their position. And I'm sorry if someone felt like I didn't read their affidavits, because I make it a point to read everything that is submitted to me. I read everything that's submitted to me.

And perhaps I don't have to ask as many questions of the attorneys because I have familiarized myself with the issues that are before me by actually reading -- by reading all of the material.

- Q. Do you typically allow attorneys to present a summary of the affidavits, or take the affidavits and --
- A. I generally -- I generally like to read -- I read the affidavits. I will allow them to make a summary of the relief that they are seeking, and what they -- their positions, but I don't -- I don't a whole -- I read the affidavits. I don't allow an attorney to summarize what's contained in the affidavit for me.
 - Q. And do you typically rule from the bench?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. On temporary hearings?
- A. Yes, ma'am, if I can elaborate. I've found -I've had attorneys tell me, you know, "We want a ruling.
 We'd like to have a ruling." And so I try to -- I try to
 rule and let people know where we're going, going forward.
 - Q. Let me ask you about one more comment.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

1 Q. This is from the ballot box survey, which as you 2 know is anonymous, so we don't know where this comes from. 3 But this comment provides, "I've been practicing for 4 decades and this is the most unnecessarily impolite and 5 intemperate judge who I've had the honor of appearing in 6 front of." 7 Would you address that comment for us? 8 That is so -- it's embarrassing, that comment. 9 And I'm sorry that I ever made anyone feel that way. 10 wish -- I wish I knew who that was so that I could reach 11 out and apologize for whatever I may have done or said that 12 gave them that impression of me. That -- that's 13 embarrassing for me. 14 Thank you, I don't have anything further. Q. 15 Α. Thank you, ma'am. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 17 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 18 Judge, let me just kind of again pick up on the 0. 19 comments. As far as these ballot box surveys are 20 concerned, I think you previously discussed, and I think 21 you indicated they do play, you know, a role in this 22 process. 23 Α. Sure. They have to -- they have to. 24 And they're relevant, but not necessarily always Q. 25 going to be, you know, the end all be all. Is that fair?

- 1 Α. I think that's fair, yes, sir. 2 Okay. But, you know, I guess what we're looking Q. 3 at is, is a situation where most people's, I guess, more or 4 less introduction to the court system can often be in a 5 family court setting. I mean, have you run into that? 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 And more so than maybe some of the other contexts 0. 8 that we deal with --9 Α. Sure. 10 -- like a traffic ticket or a -- you know, maybe 0. 11 it's a property dispute. Really in that scenario, stress 12 is generally going to be high, emotions are going to run, 13 you know, beyond what their normal parameters might be. 14 And I'm sure in seven years, and plus the time you 15 practiced before, you became aware of that. 16 Α. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And would you agree with me that in a context 18 like that, having the judge set the tone for the 19 proceedings is really essential? 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 And when we look at some of these comments that 0.
 - talk about, you know, rude and uncaring, not seemingly wanting to be here, that's not the tone you want to set, is it?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

22

23

1 Q. Now, as far as I can tell, again, there are a 2 stream of comments that seem to all be consistent, at least in their substance, at least from what you've been shown 3 4 and what you've heard about. Is that fair? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 All right. And, again, this is not a matter of a 0. 7 situation where you just started being a judge last year or 8 the year before. I mean, this is after seven years. 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 0. Would you agree with me that, basically, those 11 comments would be reflective, at least up until today, of 12 the perception at least of a -- of a decent segment of your 13 local bar, of kind of how they've felt being in your 14 courtroom has been during that time frame? 15 Α. I sure hope not, sir. I don't -- I don't recall -- are the ballot -- I believe that the ballot -- the 16 17 questions are submitted to the entire --18 0. Sure. 19 -- Bar statewide. And I don't think it's limited Α. 20 to your interactions over the last year, I don't think. Ι 21 hope it's my belief that --22 0. And I'm not -- I'm not limiting it. Let me --23 let me make sure I'm --24 Yes, sir. Α. 25 -- saying it correctly. Basically as I Q.

- understand it, these are from the Bar in general. And I
 get that.
 - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. And I think you've said that at least during the period that you've been on the bench, a decent portion has been staying local?
 - A. The last year. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And even before when you traveled, you didn't travel the whole year, did you?
- A. No, sir. Typically, we traveled one -- about one week out of the month that we were not in our circuit. Our circuit has five counties.
- Q. Okay. And so if you're traveling, basically, one week out of the month over the course of a year, it's pretty fair to say that probably about 75 percent of your time is going to be in your home circuit, wouldn't it?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. A good estimate?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. I mean, and it gets back -- again these are things that have been generated during a time frame where probably 75 percent of your time has been in the home circuit, and so we -- you know, do you really think that these are all coming from a toe in the water in Greenville, or, you know, spending the night in Rock Hill? I mean --

1 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

25

- Q. Okay. So what I'm trying to get at is this: We have, I think, consistently during the time I've been here put a certain focus on certain elements of being a judge.

 And I think I touched on it earlier as far as that introduction to the court and the setting, and particularly the sensitivity that you would want to have when somebody's coming in there in some of the most troubled elements of
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And, you know, from my standpoint I guess what I'm asking is, is that -- how do you intend to want to try to change, maybe make these comments --

their lives. You agree with that process, don't you?

- A. Meaningful?
 - Q. Well, make them go away.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. To get away from that segment.
- 18 A. Make a positive impact upon me?
- 19 Q. Uh-huh.
- A. I guess would be a fair -- is how I've looked at it. And so that is what I have committed myself to do is to be aware of how I am perceived. And it's not just about the decision that I make, but it is the perception and the importance of temperament and --
 - Q. And let me ask this.

1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 You know, you obviously practiced in family court Q. 3 for roughly a decade before you went on the bench? 4 little bit more, didn't you? 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 And who are the judges down there at the time, 0. 7 you know, when you were --8 Judge Black was one of our family court judges. Α. 9 Judge Fender and Judge Armstrong and Judge Kleckley. 10 Judge Kleckley was a --Q. 11 A wonderful --Α. 12 Q. -- nice a guy you'd ever want to see. 13 Α. He really -- yes, sir, he was. 14 And I think you also had the opportunity to Q. 15 practice in front of Judge Smoak. 16 Α. Yes, sir, I did. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And so I guess, you know, you --18 And he is a wonderful role model, Α. 19 temperamentally. He is a wonderful role model. 20 0. And I guess the flip side is, though, you had 21 situations where you've been in front of judges that 22 probably you walked of the room, shaking your head going, 23 you know, "I sure didn't deserve that." I'm sure that 24 happened in your own experience as a lawyer, didn't it?

Yes, sir.

Α.

- 1 Q. Okay. You know how you felt.
- 2 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

7

15

16

17

18

- Q. And I think is that really a feeling you'd ever want anybody to have happening after an experience with you?
- 6 A. No, sir.
 - Q. It's exactly the opposite of what you want.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. Let me switch gears with you. We've heard
 10 several times during the course of the last few days -- and
 11 I say this is the caveat that I don't do family court work,
 12 I'm more or less kind of getting an indoctrination into how
 13 much goes on in their -- some of it I'm accepting as a
 14 given. Some of it, I'm wondering.

But I guess the question is, is that given that there are time constraints, and I get it, isn't kind of the bottom line trying to get to the merits of the case and do the right thing?

- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | O. That's the bottom line.
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so I mean, if a case is going to take a
 little more than fifteen minutes, if unfortunately the
 clock's running would doing the right thing is -- may take
 you twenty, maybe even thirty. I mean, is that something

that you would err on the side of getting it done that way?

Or is it a matter of, hey, the fifteen minutes is up?

A. No, sir. Absolutely not. You know, I -- it would -- if it takes twenty minutes, if it takes thirty minutes. Which oftentimes in the context of an emergency hearing which isn't even on your docket, that gets worked in, if it takes an hour, it takes an -- it takes an hour. And that's just -- you know, that's what you do. And I devote that time to it. Yes, sir, I absolutely devote that time to it.

Q. And the last thing I want to ask you is, is that I've heard -- and you're not the first, and so I'm not certainly saying that, you know, you're unique, but what I kind of found a little bit -- I don't know. It's certainly hard to get my arms wrapped around is the fact that you've got people who come in, who are lawyers and advocating for clients, but pretty much there are family court judges that say, "I don't want to hear from you. Let me read your documents and that's it."

I mean, is that really how the train's supposed to run? I mean, it strikes me as a lawyer, somebody's who's supposed to be making arguments, that I'm supposed to come in and effectively put a muzzle on and keep my mouth shut, that seems to kind of cut against the whole idea of advocacy.

1 And that's -- maybe that's a function of the 2 family court. But I'm just asking is that something that 3 necessarily is supposed to be the rule? 4 I wish we had a better system and a better way of 5 handling -- conducting our family court business. 6 court -- and like a motion for summary judgement, that is 7 all argument for the most part. But family court is different. Family court is -8 9 - particularly in that temporary stage, the issues are 10 generally -- mother wants custody and father wants custody. 11 And the mother wants the house and father wants the house. 12 And I certainly -- like, it's wonderful when there is time 13 to have attorneys make arguments. 14 But I understand that's important for them and 15 for their clients. And I do understand that. And I do 16 wish there were more time that allow us to read the 17 affidavits and hear arguments -- full arguments. 18 I guess for me, I find it really important to 19 read the document -- the affidavits that people have 20 submitted, that they want me to hear their story. Because 21 again at a temporary hearing, we don't take testimony. 22 I'm having to hear their story through their -- through 23 their affidavit. 24 I get you. And, you know, I'm going to do Q. 25 something that basically you would probably tell me if I

was in court that you -- you know, I've already used my
time and I've already said I was finished. But let me just
ask this: You said when we started, "I love my job." Some
of these comments seem to say the exact opposite. But
you're telling me today that maybe they're mistaken.

- A. I love the opportunity -- I love my job, yes, sir. I love being involved in families and in children and trying to make a difference with our -- with our juveniles, with our abuse and neglect cases, and families that are in crisis and that need help. I do love that. I do.
 - Q. I appreciate your candor. And thank you.
- 12 A. Thank you, Mr. Safran.

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
 - Q. Judge, I want to follow up on this as well. And first, let me ask you -- I think I -- I've heard you on this point. But you do agree, do you not, that the ballot box survey is an important or useful -- or something that we should consider in our evaluation of your candidacy?
 - A. I wish that we had other tools. But I understand that this is -- this is a tool that we have. And I understand that it has to be -- that it's used. Yes, sir, I certainly don't think that you discard the anonymous ballot boxes.
 - Q. For good or bad?
- A. Good or bad. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. All right. And I will tell you that the 2 overwhelming comment from folks who have been on the bench for a year or twenty-three years, generally, perhaps 3 unanimously, don't hesitate in acknowledging the value of 4 5 input. 6 Α. Sure. 7 0. So you don't disagree that we should consider 8 this. 9 I do not disagree. I agree that you should 10 consider -- consider the ballot boxes. I think you have 11 I don't know of any other -- I don't know of a better 12 tool that we -- that we have, unfortunately. 13 In terms of the Bar review as well in terms of 0. 14 the South Carolina Bar report --15 Yes, sir. Α. -- the comments outside the ballot box, which 16 0. 17 I'll come back to, but is that something that should be
- 19 A. Yes, sir.

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And should we look more favorably at judges, family court, male and female who are long-serving that are -- that warrant and get for all questions of ethical fitness, character, professional and academic ability, reputation, experience, and judicial temperament, should we look more favorably at those candidates who get the highest

given credence by the Judicial Merit Selection Commission?

scores? Particularly on reputation, experience, and temperament.

- A. You know, Mr. Chairman, I don't know that I'm qualified to answer that question. I'm sure that there's many factors that go into the deliberations that take place here by the Commission.
- Q. Judicial temperament. Should a sitting judge be deemed by the -- as far as the judicial qualifications committee to be well qualified, do you think that it -- in your case, you have not been found to be well qualified. In fact, you've been found only to be qualified.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. And you cannot go lower than that, or higher than well qualified, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And so other judges who have been on the bench for -- Judge Abstance, we screened her earlier this week, or last week or last month whenever --
- A. And recently not -- just before that, I believe.

 I think she's had to go through this process twice, very

 closely. Yes, sir.
- Q. Judicial temperament found to be well qualified.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And we talked about Judge Smoak who is in your circuit, who's been on the Bar -- or the bench for twenty -

1 | -

- A. A number of years, yes, sir.
- Q. Found well qualified --
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- on judicial temperament and reputation, professional and academic ability. As a commission how should we weight your candidacy when the Bar and the JQC, the Judicial Qualifications Committee only find you qualified in, again, the temperament and reputation and professional and academic ability? How should we -- what weight should we give that?
- A. Mr. Chairman, I hope that you'll give some weight to the Citizens Committee which is made up of people that I serve in my community, and not just -- although there are attorneys on that committee, they're not just attorneys on that committee. And I believe that I have a good reputation when it comes to the decisions that I make, and the impact that at the end of the day I have on families' lives.
- Q. And you correctly point out that they state that you are -- you get the highest marks on the areas of reputation and character, professional and academic ability and ethical fitness. And then you go to the back page and, likewise, on temperament and experience, with the comment "very experienced."

So we should give that greater weight than the Bar results? Or consider it in the whole realm of what have to consider.

- A. I think you have to consider it in the whole realm of everything that you consider, sir.
- Q. So then to the ballot box survey. And you've heard a number of questions about these. And just to -you've got positive and you have negative. And but it is not -- it is a split. It is a split.

It's not overwhelmingly positive, and thus the time and the questions that you've heard a couple -- from a couple of folks by now, "Difficult to deal with. Attorneys avoid having her hear their cases. Poor judicial temperament. Unpleasant. Unprofessional. Annoyed by having to read affidavits at temporary hearings. Impatient and disrespectful behavior temperament."

And in fact one asking this commission to find you unqualified. Not one. Not two. But how do we process this? I mean, how do we do our job to help you do your job and other judges do their jobs? And as you said, you recognize the value of the input of the ballot box survey, you recognize the input of the Bar, you recognize the input of the Citizens Committees. So how do we square this? And what do we do with that? What would you have us do?

A. Mr. Chairman, I can tell you that this is -- this

is my first experience to go through this process after
being elected. And it is a -- it is a challenging
experience. I can tell you that I have committed myself to
making changes, to recognizing the importance of my
temperament and my dealings with the attorneys and
litigants in the courtroom.

And I -- sir, I can only tell you that I have committed to make changes and to -- to exercise the proper temperament in dealing with attorneys and litigants. And that the ultimate decision that I make isn't -- aren't running on time for everyone isn't always what's it's all about that I recognize, sir, that I -- that family court does impact people, families, children.

It's important to hold myself in a manner that is upstanding of the position. And I've committed to do that.

- Q. I looked at Judge Pincus -- I mentioned Judge
 Abstance, Judge Robertson, your male and female, and Judge
 Smoak as well earlier today, who either get no negative or
 two negatives out of all that would reply, who also pass
 muster with the -- their Citizens Committee.
 - A. Sure.

Q. Who also pass muster with the Bar, who get the top evaluative marks from them. And I am -- I am struggling with this because I -- fortunately, you don't have an opponent. And whoever the opponent would be, you

would -- you might be in far better stead but to
effectually -- effectively rubber-stamp a candidacy based
on the fact that there is no opposition in the face of
comments from your peers.

And I don't go to family court. And again I -God bless you for wanting to do it, and loving it as you
say, but it is -- it's difficult for me as one of the
members of this commission to not give credence to two out
of the three.

And, again, if they're all important how do -you know, it's probably rhetorical question, but we won't
see you again for six years if you are found qualified and
nominated.

A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

- Q. And so based on what we have before us, effectively, you're saying, "Give me another chance." And you're going to work to do better than you have for the last seven years. We're not talking about -- or how many -
- 20 A. That's correct. Yes, sir.
 - Q. It's seven years.
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. So that you haven't come before us before, is providential, perhaps, or unfair to you. But if again Judge Abstance is the -- out one year and is already

hitting it with the objective criteria that you've recognized we should consider, giving greater or lesser weight perhaps, it's difficult for me -- and I guess you could comment on this if you want, or not. But help me.

I'm really -- as the question was asked earlier, what assurances beyond what you've said can you give me to give me comfort in effectively saying, "Folks, we've heard from her response to these comments, your findings, and we're moving in a different direction?" Help me with that.

- A. Mr. Chairman, I wish I knew what assurances to give you, other than my commitment to do better. And I think that I have done better. And I don't -- I don't know what other assurances I can give you, sir.
 - Q. Have done better since the surveys --
- A. Yes, sir. Since the -- yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And these are -- do you think these are old surveys?
 - A. I really don't know, sir. I don't know. I can't -- oh, I don't think the surveys are old. I don't know if my interactions with certain attorneys, I don't know when those interactions took place.
 - Q. But I mean, was there one bad case that you had ten -- multiple attorneys that all anonymously have gathered together to say, "Let's talk about her temperament or impatience"?

1 Α. You know, I can't -- I'm not sure --2 There may be. If there was a --Q. 3 Again, sir, I can't comment on that. I did -- I Α. 4 can tell you that I did have a very difficult case early on 5 in -- after my appointment. And I'm not sure if -- I was -6 - I did what I thought was the right thing in that 7 difficult case, even though I had -- I believe that may 8 come back to haunt me. But I can't comment -- you know, 9 I'm not sure. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Hitchcock. 11 EXAMINATION BY MR. HITCHCOCK: 12 0. Thank you, Judge. And I appreciate you being 13 here and, you know, answering these questions. And I know 14 that they're not the easiest questions to answer, but just 15 to kind of give you an idea of where I'm coming from and --16 I mean, I've been on this commission for a while. And, you 17 know, what I've come to believe is that -- you know, we 18 evaluate nine criteria. It's my personal view that 19 judicial temperament is the -- one of the most, if not the 20 most important -- one of the most important criteria, 21 especially in family court, that we evaluate. 22 Because I think a judge with a -- if a -- if a --23 you know, if the public interacts with a judge who has a 24 poor judicial temperament, I think that's the easiest way

for the public to loose confidence in the judiciary.

- the impartiality and the fairness of the process, you know,

 if you -- if you have a case and go in front of a judge

 who's pleasant and you lose, it doesn't feel quite as bad

 or you don't feel like you were -- you don't feel like that

 you were wronged by the quote/unquote system.
 - A. Yes, sir, I agree with that.
 - Q. And I understand that, you know, judges are in -a lot of times are a -- live a little bit more of an
 isolated existence, you know, they -- you have to -- you
 have to stay removed a little bit. You know, there's a -and that, you know, one of the things that you don't get
 the benefit of is -- you don't really get the benefit of
 kind of open, honest feedback in regards to your
 performance on a regular basis.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You get to come in front of us once every six years --
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.

Q. -- and you get this -- you know, you get all this information. You know, for instance, I work for -- in my civilian job -- I say "civilian," because it sometimes feels like military duty. In my job I work for an eight-member commission. And I have a yearly performance review, and I can tell you that is the most awkward -- being reviewed by eight people at one time is probably one of the

most awkward things that I ever have to go through. But I
find that it's beneficial because of the feedback in
regards to my performance, and I get it on a regular basis.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You don't get that --
- A. Yes, sir.

Q. -- on a regular basis. And as the chairman has said, once we pass you -- you know, if we pass you along, we don't see you for six years. And there's no other entity that gives you kind of that performance update on a -- on a -- on a more -- a much more regular and interim basis.

And you've said that you are committing to do better, and that you've made assurances that you will do better, and you feel like you're doing better. The question -- and all of this is leading up to the question that I have for you is, how are you going to -- what's going to be the barometer for you?

How are you going to judge whether or not that you've made progress in this area, given your position, given the reticence of potentially people that you interact with on a daily basis to give you that open, honest feedback?

How are you going to ensure that you are making what -- you know, obviously, you knew about these comments.

You've had an opportunity to think about that. So kind of five me what's your plan on "this is how I'm going to know that my -- that I am progressing and I'm curing any, you know, default of deficit that I have in this area."

A. I do appreciate that question. And, sir, I will

tell you that -- that my local Bar in Beaufort County just recently -- this last month in October, they asked me to give them a presentation, and for -- in continuing legal educational presentation, I was asked to speak for my local family court Bar in Beaufort County.

And I took that -- and I had recently met with Ms. Crawford, I believe, and so I took that opportunity to speak candidly in -- and though it was a legal setting, it was not a judicial setting, I wasn't on the bench presiding. But I was there speaking to them as a lecture on family court topic.

And so I took that opportunity to be frank and up front with my Beaufort County Bar, which is our -- the largest county in my circuit, and commit to them to -- to be more temperate. And my comments were well received, I believe.

I've also -- and Mr. Safran has spoken frequently of Judge Smoak, who is a wonderful judge and a wonderful man, and a contemporary of mine, and I've spoken frankly with him. And Jerry -- Judge Smoak doesn't see my

performance in the courtroom, and I don't see his. But I certainly respect him from when I did practice before him.

And I've asked him to be my mentor and to help me.

And we talk frequently now. He's given me some words of encouragement, and I have asked him to please let me know if he has concerns that are brought to him by attorneys or by clerks.

I've asked older attorneys in my county where I live, that are not necessarily family court litigators, but who probably hear word through the grapevine about how I'm doing. And I've asked them candidly, as my friend, to please -- to let me know what they're hearing about my performance and my temperament, and to -- you know, to be my guide and be my barometer. So those are the things that I have recently put in place.

- Q. Do you see -- do you see yourself incorporating that and making that a -- kind of a -- or a standard operating procedure or regular course of business for you?
 - A. Yes, sir. That is -- yes.
- Q. 'Cause I can tell you, you know, in your position that the -- you know, the -- we all get -- we all get the nice comments about, you know, the -- you know, my staff will tell you, probably, that I'm the greatest person on the world. But they all work for me.
 - A. Sure. I've never -- I've never told a bad joke

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Exactly. Yeah, everybody --
- A. They're all funny.
- Q. Yeah, I am the funniest person at the Retirement Systems Investment Commission, I can tell you.
 - A. Right.
- Q. But that is by far from the truth. So it's not you know, for me the way that I look at it, it's not
 those positive comments that really --
- 10 | A. Sure.
- 11 Q. -- help shape me.
- 12 A. Sure. Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
- 13 It's the negative -- it's the negative -- it's Q. 14 the open, honest feedback. And I would say that -- you 15 know, editorializing, I would say that, you know, in your position you're going to really have to make the effort to 16 17 seek that out and to find it. Because it's probably not 18 going to be as readily apparently or as readily available 19 to you as it may be if you weren't, you know, in the 20 position that you are.
- A. Exactly. Yes, sir. And that is why I -- that's
 why I've leaned on Judge Smoak to be a barometer for me.

 And also older attorneys in my community, again, that don't
 practice in front of me, but certainly hear the
 scuttlebutt. And that is what I have done, I've asked them

1 to please keep -- let me know the feeling in the community, 2 negative more so than positive, you know. So, yes, sir, 3 that is what I've done. 4 Representative Smith CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 5 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 6 Judge, I appreciate you being here. I'm coming 0. 7 on the back end of this, so I'm -- I guess I -- and so but 8 I want to take a little different track, 'cause you said --9 Α. Sure. 10 -- something that caught my attention, and that 0. 11 was, you know, when you were asking -- you were asked about 12 how these comments came to light, and you said something 13 about you decided a difficult case. And I just want to 14 make a comment to you. 15 You know, I understand this is not a comfortable 16 position you're in. And you're getting questions about 17 your temperament, and I appreciate you saying that you're 18 going to improve your temperament and you're going to work 19 to strive to be a better a judge. And we all work to 20 strive to be better -- I strive every day to try to be a 21 better lawyer, a legislator, husband, whatever it is --22 Α. Sure. Yes, sir. 23 0. -- and a father. That's something we all need to 24 strive for. But I want to reassure you this, and this is

always my concern when I hear this question is that if you

are making -- we empower you to make difficult decisions,

and we want you to make those difficult decisions and never

-- well, I feel strongly about this. Never will I let some

disgruntled litigants who don't agree with the way you rule

control the process.

This is a fair, independent judiciary. And that's what it has to be. And so I don't want you to walk out of here today --

- A. Thank you so much --
- Q. -- saying --

- A. -- for those comments.
- Q. Well, and I appreciate that. But I say this, and whenever I'm invited to speak at judicial conferences I say this, and I mean it, I want you all to be a fair, independent body. I don't want you to always think how is the Judicial Merit Selection Commission going to interpret this ruling or how is the -- how is the Legislature that I have to come up to interpret this ruling.

We have a system. And there's a check and balance on everything. And I think like Mr. Hitchcock said, it's good to have performance reviews. But you also are a judge, you're a -- you take an oath at the beginning of your term to fairly and impartially hear and try cases. In family court you are the judge and you're the jury.

A. Thank you.

Q. And so they're going to --

- A. Thank you for those comments.
- Q. Well, I appreciate it. But you're going to have to make tough decisions. And I want you to continue to make touch decisions. And if there's issues that you have on how you treat people, I hope you improve on that. But I don't ever want you to shy away from making a hard decision.

Because you have to make -- you're almost playing God sometimes. You're making a life-and-death decision as to termination of parental rights sometimes, you're deciding on who has custody sometimes, you're deciding on whether alimony is granted.

And I don't -- and I really want the message to be clear that we want judges who have a temperament that is befitting to the bench. I think that's the most important attribute of a judge. But also I don't want litigants or lawyers who lose before you come and intimidating you into ruling in a way.

So I just -- you know, if you could balance -today, I missed a large portion of it, but if you -- my
comments I would echo -- if there's concerns with
temperament, I'd echo all those comments you heard. I
would urge you to improve those. But I will also ask you
not to shy away from making difficult decisions.

1	A. Thank you very much for those comments.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. McIver.
3	MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:
5	Q. I want to echo what Representative Smith said. I
6	was looking at one of your letters of reference, and it
7	says this is from Mr. Henderson, "Since she's been on
8	the bench, she has developed a reputation of having an even
9	temperament, a courteous demeanor, a superior knowledge of
10	the law, and a gift for making the right decisions even in
11	very hard cases."
12	As Representative Smith said, we appreciate your
13	willingness to
14	A. I appreciate that letter he wrote for me. That
15	was very nice.
16	Q even in very tough cases. And I also wanted
17	to point out a letter from the clerk of court, this ties
18	back into the question I was asking about the temporary
19	hearings and getting things done and moving people along.
20	And clearly based on the clerk, he gave you a
21	glowing record, you are moving cases along and are getting
22	things done. And we would just encourage you to consider
23	the comments with regard to how that is approached
24	A. Thank you, ma'am.
25	Q and how you get it done.

1 Α. Thank you. 2 Thank you very much. Q. 3 Α. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. 5 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 7 Q. Judge, I want to just change the focus just for a 8 moment --9 Α. Sure. 10 -- and ask you a question related to abuse and Q. 11 neglect cases. 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 You obviously have handled a number of those in 14 private practice, and then now being on the bench for seven 15 years you have extensive experience in that area. 16 would you do, or what would you suggest be done to improve 17 the system for the children and the families in the abuse 18 and neglect system? 19 I really appreciate that question. I find that 20 so much of my frustration does -- or my desire to see 21 better is in our -- with our abuse and neglect, I clearly -22 - I believe, though not all of -- not all family court 23 judges do, I think that it would be helpful -- in the abuse 24 and neglect cases, generally how a case goes is you have a

merits hearing and you come up with a treatment plan for a

family.

Generally, the treatment plan is to reunite parents. And it would be -- and then there are review hearings that are held during the pendency of that plan. It would -- I think it would be helpful, and this is something that we're looking at in the judiciary, is having one judge -- when practical, one judge assigned to that -- to that one family and to that one case.

I think that, that would be very helpful in our abuse and neglect arena. I don't think that the same judge that heard the initial abuse and neglect case could then preside over the actual -- which is a separate lawsuit -- the termination of parental rights, I think that perhaps that would be a conflict.

But at least during the pendency of the treatment aspect of the case, I think it would be helpful if we had one judge in one case. Abuse and neglect, I feel so for those -- the workers, they are -- they're overworked. They have too many cases. And the -- when I first started practicing law back in 1990, things have changed drastically.

We used to have caseworkers in DSS, and we don't call them that anymore. We call them case managers. So the caseworkers are no longer -- they're not social workers so much anymore, but they are managers. They plug these

families into, basically, either agencies instead of the actual social worker going out and inspecting a home, say, to see if changes have been made in terms of the physical necessities in the home, water, power, those sorts of things. The crib for a baby.

Instead of that caseworkers or social worker doing that now, it's contracted out with other agencies and non-profits to do that. And so there's so many times in family court there is a -- there is a disconnect between -- we don't have the information.

And I'm the judge presiding and I want to know whether they get a crib in the home for the baby, that the social worker or the case manager that I have before me isn't actually the person that has -- she may never have been in the home to see -- you know, to see what's going on in the home. It's some other non-profit agency that the state's contracted with to provide those services.

So that is difficult. And I don't know what the answer to those sorts of problems are in terms of the agency itself. But more court time, I think would be helpful. I think there has to be where we are more -- more judicial management.

Which brings -- presents another realm of problems, it's the -- mothers and fathers who are -- who are indigent and who -- their representation oftentimes is

1 lacking, and then the burden that we're placing on those 2 attorneys that have been court-appointed. 3 So it's a complicated situation. And I think the 4 impact that we as judges can make in that arena would be 5 more court time and possibly the one judge, one case 6 scenario. 7 0. Thank you very much. 8 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 10 Judge, first of all, I admire the fact that you 0. 11 hung in here. And you've been through a lot of 12 questioning. It's been a long kind of process. And, 13 again, I do appreciate the fact that you've been able to 14 stand through and respond. 15 The last thing I just wanted to do is -- 'cause I 16 do not in any way want to, I guess, ignore what 17 Representative Smith said. I think I said to you earlier, 18 you set the tone in the room. And I think that, that 19 certainly means that you control the room. 20 And by no means does the fact that there is a 21 focus put on good temperament mean that you're ever 22 supposed to be a pushover. And I know earlier you made the 23 comment when we started, you may have had a reputation for, 24 you know, no-nonsense kind of being more or less --

Get it done.

Α.

Q. Get it done. And I don't think there's anything wrong with getting it done. I mean, you plug away. You've got a lot to do. But I echo what he said in that nobody should ever come in there and feel like they should intimidate you.

And you shouldn't be intimidated. That's not the process. As the judge, again, you're the one kind of, you know, in control of the circus, so to speak. And in family court, unfortunately, it can be the circus.

And so I agree with him that our focus is not so much coddling people, as opposed to just letting them walk out of there and say, "You know what, I got a fair shake and I didn't really feel like anybody beat me up in the process. The result came back and that's the ruling. I'll deal with it the way I'm supposed to deal with it."

But that they never have that question about, you know, there were other reasons or that they were somehow, you know, not allowed to do what they're actually being paid. And, frankly, they're sworn to do, which is it come in there and put up whatever the best representation they can have. And I hope and I believe, you know, and you understand that.

- A. Yes, sir. Thank you, Mr. Safran.
- Q. And thank you again for your service. And thank you for the time here today.

A. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It's getting near 12:30, so we're going to soon wrap up. And in the -- unless this be perceived as a totally negative experience, as perhaps you would say, I appreciate your candor. I appreciate your recognizing the difficult role that we have, which is far less difficult than the role you as a judge have. But equally important in terms of whether -- what the message is for the Bar --

JUDGE MALPHRUS: Yes, sir.

General Assembly, for one of the equal branches of government to be, held in high regard or low regard, whether litigants and those representing them are -- or unrepresented get their opportunity in court to be heard fairly, patiently, and deliberatively is an incredible struggle that you as a judge have had to encounter, and as you are responsible to deliver day in and day out.

So I'm going to invoke this old Wall Street Journal article that I found long ago, it was a letter to the editor from somebody in California who's father -- and they -- I think they were in California, and it was about judicial selection and how to pick judges and effectively judging judges, and the difficulty in the Bar's participation, the Legislature's, the public and however

1	the model that that exists, the difficulty in your role
2	and those judging those judges. And that's what we're
3	doing here.
4	And so to the vein of the difficult case, how you
5	wear that and how you make the decisions. Again, the best
6	interests of the child, the family, and those who are
7	fighting over eight-track tapes, spoons, or whatever
8	JUDGE MALPHRUS: It was a Kurig machine
9	yesterday, Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Or a Kurig. In the moment
11	in time that Kurig is
12	JUDGE MALPHRUS: the most important.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The most important. In
14	the scheme of things, perhaps not. But fairness, wearing
15	the robe lightly, being respectful to those with the Kurig
16	or the whatever is
17	JUDGE MALPHRUS: I don't mean to cut you
18	short. I don't and I'm not trying to make light of
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: No, no.
20	JUDGE MALPHRUS: But that is you hit the
21	nail on the head. That is routinely and it is important
22	to people.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And it's important for the
24	judiciary to understand that as well.
25	JUDGE MALPHRUS: Yes, sir.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And seven years can be too
2	long, thirty years can be too long, a year can be too long
3	that you're willing to continue doing this. I hope with
4	the message that you are hearing and seeing, and giving
5	credence to as you have said repeatedly you will do, will
6	help you become a better judge over the next whatever
7	period of time you decide, again if you're willing and able
8	and deemed worthy, to continue serving.
9	So with that, unless you've got anything
10	else to say other than "amen," this will close this portion
11	of our screening process. You're welcome to
12	JUDGE MALPHRUS: Amen.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want to take this
14	opportunity to again remind you as we did at the outset,
15	about the criteria and the expectation that you maintain
16	both the spirit and the letter of the South Carolina law of
17	ethics.
18	Any violation of that or the appearance of
19	impropriety would be deemed very serious by us, and
20	deserving of us calling you back to inquire as to that
21	potential violation or appearance of impropriety. You're
22	aware of that, correct?
23	JUDGE MALPHRUS: Yes, sir.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Judge, with
25	that, that will let us now close this record. We thank you

1	for your patience and your candor in your responses.
2	JUDGE MALPHRUS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	Thank you, committee members.
4	(Candidate excused.)
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, let's first start
6	by having you raise your right hand.
7	WHEREUPON,
8	THE HONORABLE JAN B. BROMELL HOLMES, being
9	duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole
10	truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you have prepared
12	two documents, a Personal Data Questionnaire and a sworn
13	statement. You've got those in front of you?
14	JUDGE HOLMES: I do.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes that need to
16	be made to those?
17	JUDGE HOLMES: None.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And you don't
19	object to them being made a part of the record, do you?
20	JUDGE HOLMES: I do not.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will hand those to
22	the court reporter to your left, kind of like what you do
23	in your courtroom, they will be marked as exhibits in the
24	record.
25	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION

1	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
2	HONORABLE JAM B. BROMELL HOLMES)
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
4	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE JAM B. BROMELL
5	HOLMES)
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 11 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
7	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE JAM
8	B. BROMELL HOLMES)
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you're familiar
10	with this criteria that we look at in our as we
11	investigate our candidacy to be reelected for service. You
12	know that there are nine of them. Specifically including a
13	ballot box survey, a study of your application materials,
14	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
15	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears,
16	past screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of
17	interest.
18	No one has signed up to testify for you or
19	against you, and no one has filed an affidavit in
20	opposition to your campaign. You are welcome at this point
21	to make a brief opening statement if you like. But you
22	don't have to. And if not, Ms. Riley will begin questions.
23	And there will be some from the Commission as well. You're
24	welcome to
25	JUDGE HOLMES: I'm glad to be here. And I

1 just thank all of you for your service. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Ms. Riley. 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. RILEY: 4 Judge Holmes, after serving approximately eleven 5 years on the family court bench, why do you want to 6 continue to serve as a family court judge? 7 Α. Because I love my job. I do. I look forward to 8 doing this job. 9 Thank you. Please explain one or two brief 0. 10 accomplishments that you feel you have completed during 11 your tenure, and then a goal you would like to 12 accomplishment if reelected. 13 Accomplishments? I believe that I have brought a 14 spirit of unity to my circuit. And what I would like to 15 accomplishment in the future? 16 0. Yes, ma'am. 17 Α. Just to continue to do well and to make my 18 circuit even better. 19 0. Thank you. Judge Holmes, what do you think your 20 reputation is among attorneys that practice before you? 21 Α. I think I have the reputation of being fair, 22 diligent, and a good listener. 23 0. Thank you, Judge Holmes. The Commission received 24 321 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 15 additional

The ballot box, for example, contained the

25

comments.

1 following positive comments: 2 "Judge Holmes is a model jurist and she is 3 dedicated in all respects to fair and just decisions and 4 complex and straightforward cases that come before her. 5 She is respectful to attorneys and to all parties appearing 6 before her. My personal observation, I believe Judge 7 Holmes is an excellent family court judge and well 8 qualified in all aspects." A number of comments said, "Judge Holmes has 9 10 turned out to be one of the very best family court judges. 11 Unlike some other judges, she does not play favorites. 12 does not always rule in my favor. But when she doesn't, I 13 understand why. Her rulings are based on the law and rules 14 of procedure. She is an asset to the bench and is well 15 respected in the 15th Circuit." 16 Five of the written comments expressed concerns. 17 The comments indicated that you have a poor judicial 18 temperament and can be rude to litigants and attorneys. 19 What response would you offer to this concern? 20 Α. I note that concern. And I note that judges are 21 to be patient, dignified and courteous to all that appear 22 before them. 23 0. Thank you, Judge Holmes. 24 MS. RILEY: I would note that the Pee Dee

Citizens Committee reported that Judge Holmes is qualified

25

- 1 | in the evaluative criteria of constitutional
- 2 | qualifications, physical health and mental stability. The
- 3 | Committee found Judge Holmes was well -- is well qualified
- 4 | in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional
- 5 | and academic ability, character, reputation, experience and
- 6 | judicial temperament.
- 7 BY MS. RILEY:
- 8 Q. And I just have a few housekeeping questions for
- 9 you. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
- 10 | contacted any members of the Commission about your
- 11 | candidacy?
- 12 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including
- 14 | the limitations on contacting members of the General
- 15 | Assembly regarding your screening?
- 16 A. I am.
- 17 | Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you
- 18 | sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
- 19 prior to this date of pending the outcome of your
- 20 | screening?
- 21 A. I have not.
- 22 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact
- 23 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you
- 24 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on
- 25 | your behalf?

1 Α. I have not. And I am not aware. 2 Have you reviewed and do you understand the Q. 3 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 4 Section 2-19-70(E)? 5 Α. I do. MS. RILEY: Mr. Chairman, I have no further 6 7 questions. 8 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you. 9 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 10 Judge, I have a couple of questions for you. Q. One 11 is in the area of abuse and neglect cases. 12 Α. Okay. You obviously -- it's my understanding from your 13 14 PDQ, you handled a number of family court matters. 15 fact, I think it says 95 percent of your practice before you were elected to the bench in 2007 was family court 16 17 matters. 18 Since you've been on the bench for the past 19 eleven years, you've presided over a number of abuse and 20 neglect matters involving DSS. One of the things that I 21 would like to know is if you could improve the system for 22 the children and the families in the abuse and neglect 23 system in family court, what change would you recommend? 24 There appears to not be a lot of consistency Α. 25 within the agency, itself, with respect to the Department

of Social Services. So that certainly needs to be 1 2 reviewed. 3 Can you elaborate? What do you mean by 0. 4 "consistency"? 5 Α. Well, the attorneys would certainly -- if they 6 know that they are seeking a position or presenting a 7 position to the court that's probably -- they know that the 8 court is not going to rule in their favor, they will 9 certainly say that, "These are just our marching orders, 10 and this is what we are told we need to do." 11 So rather them leaving it -- leaving the decision 12 to the attorney, that takes a look at the facts before him 13 or her, they have orders from the state office as to how 14 they are to proceed. 15 Do you have mediation of abuse and neglect cases Q. 16 in Georgetown? 17 Α. Not in Georgetown. But in Horry, we do. 18 SENATOR YOUNG: Other questions? 19 EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER: 20 0. How are you? 21 Α. I'm good. 22 We've been addressing the issue of 0. 23 temporary hearings and whether fifteen minutes, thirty 24 minutes is sufficient to hear a temporary hearing to get 25 through all the affidavits that you need to get through and that type of thing.

Have you found it to be an issue where lawyers are requesting fifteen-minute hearings when actually they may need more, and have to balance that need for more time with the need of their client to get before the court as soon as possible?

- A. Most of the hearings that I -- that I have are set for thirty minutes. And it's actually taking us an hour or sometimes an hour and a half to read all the documents and the supporting exhibits that they submit. So if they need more than fifteen minutes, and so they're usually requesting thirty but then it takes an hour, which then causes a backlog on our docket.
 - Q. How do you handle that when there's a backlog?
- A. We just keep working until we get to each case.

 And, hopefully, they have an agreement on some of the later cases and we can get caught up. But I just -- I still hear the cases.
- Q. And you said you read through all the documents. The affidavits, I presume?
 - A. I do.
- Q. And do you typically rule from the bench or take matters under the advisement? Or do you have a particular practice?
 - A. Typically, I do rule from the bench. But if I'm

about an hour or so behind, I'll take the matter under advisement so I can get caught up. And I usually give the attorneys an opportunity to make statements to the court when I take the matter under advisement so they'll -- so the litigants will at least feel like they did have a voice and that the judge did hear their position.

- Q. As far as where you are physically presiding, you haven't heard it to be an issue with cases that are getting backed up and attorneys are getting frustrated, waiting in the waiting room for their turn if there is --
- A. It doesn't happen often. So no, there's not a problem.
- Q. I have another unrelated question. It's noted that you were named a defendant in a civil suit filed in 2000.
- A. That was an error. And I did have an opportunity to check that. It was actually in -- the plaintiff purchased property at a delinquent tax sale, and filed a quiet title action and listed all of the heirs -- possible heirs, and there were some minors.

I was appointed as the guardian ad litem in that case, and our clerk's office did not enter that correctly. So they have corrected it. They said that it should take a couple of days. But they have me -- I was appointed to represent the minors.

1	Q. Thank you, Judge Holmes.
2	A. You're welcome.
3	EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG:
4	Q. Judge, the majority of the comments that the Bar
5	received were very favorable. There were a handful of
6	comments that were not favorable. Do you think that the
7	Commission should consider the Bar comments in its review
8	of judicial candidates?
9	A. It would be helpful when we receive the comments
LO	that are unfavorable and I and I realize that the
L1	reason why it's anonymous, but sometimes if we if an
L2	attorney, for example, has appeared before the court on a
L3	continuous basis unprepared and we have to note that
L4	they're unprepared, or either continue their case, there
15	could be some negative comments concerning that.
L6	Or it could be negative comments concerning maybe
L7	a ruling that they disagreed with. So it would help for us
L8	to be able to address the negative comments.
L9	Q. What is your when you preside in court, what
20	is your goal in terms of how you're demeanor's going to be
21	and your temperament?
22	A. I consider myself to be very patient. I've been
23	told that I have the patience of Job. In fact, I had a
24	mother, after I committed her child to the Department of
25	Judicial Justice for two 90-day consecutive sentences she

- told all of us to go to "h-e double l." I didn't hold her
 in direct contempt because I realized that she was upset.
 I did allow her to leave the courtroom.
 So I have been dealt situations such as that, so
 - So I have been dealt situations such as that, so I think that my temperament is always appropriate. But as I stated, I note that some of the negative comments, and I note that how I am to conduct myself. And I consider myself to have the appropriate temperament at all times.
 - Q. I mean, there were not many. I mean, there were a handful. And there were also several that were very favorable. But I just wanted to bring to your attention there were a few that were not flattering about temperament. So we wanted to bring it to your attention. And we've done that with other candidates, and we want to be consistent.
- SENATOR YOUNG: Are there other questions?

 Mr. Safran.
- MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Senator.
- 19 | EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

Q. Judge, you know, let me kind of take up where Senator Young started. These ballot box surveys are part of the process, it's not certainly the only thing we look at. But it's one of the few opportunities that we have for, you know, us to have any flavor of what the Bar sees in terms of a judge's conduct. And, you know, so it's

1 certainly something we pay attention to, but it's not the 2 do all end all. 3 Let me ask this: You're not far removed from 4 being at attorney. Not by a long shot, are you? 5 Α. I'm not. 6 And you spent a good bit of time in the courtroom 0. 7 before you became a judge, didn't you? 8 Α. I have. 9 Okay. And I am sure that during the time that 0. you were on the other side of the bench, there were 10 11 probably some times where you went in there and felt like, 12 "Wow, I don't know why that happened to me today" or "I 13 didn't necessarily deserve that." 14 I'm not saying it was often, but I'm not -- we've 15

all experienced it as lawyers, where maybe we got a bit of a lash from a judge that we didn't necessarily feel was coming. And I'm assuming if you're like me, it's happened. Is that fair?

- A. Yes, that would be a fair statement.
- Q. And you remember how it felt, don't you?
- 21 A. I do.

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Q. So I guess when we see these comments, the reason we bring their -- being them to your attention is to simply say, you know, you've been there, you know how it is, and that I'm sure that sitting as a judge, when you're going in

there that day, whereas opposed to maybe you might have had a case or two that you were really focused on going in as the lawyer, where you're sitting there as a judge and you may have forty during the course of a day, that things probably can get to a point where people aren't ready if they're not prepared.

Human nature says it does have a way of having an impact. Because, you know, you're there for a reason, and if everybody's there -- is not there with the same level of preparation, it can be frustrating. And I think you would agree with me about that, wouldn't you?

A. I do.

Q. And, you know, so it is absolutely human nature that if things don't necessarily go that way, that, frankly, you know, we can get a little upset. And maybe that's sometimes what we see in this ballot boxes. And so all we're simply pointing out is, is we're not saying, "Hey, give up control of the courtroom," because that's what you're there for is to control that courtroom, to make sure you are running the proceeding and doing what you need to do.

And from our standpoint is just something that we feel the need to point it out. Because I've talked to people recently, they don't do these comments because they don't think they're anonymous. I mean, I had somebody tell

me last week, "Well, I don't do those. They're not -- I don't believe they're anonymous. I think they know who's giving them."

And so I'm sure we're only getting a smattering of what maybe some people out there are saying, good or bad. But what we're trying to basically say is this: We don't ignore the good stuff too. And I mean, as they said, "She has gotten better and better with experience." There are others that say, "Pleasure appearing before her."

So we're not in any way, shape, or form trying to say that, you know, there is this terrible crying hue out there that says, you know, something is a major, major problem. But I think what it all goes to is everybody wants to make themselves better as time progresses.

A. Absolutely.

Q. And that, you know, sometimes criticism can be taken constructively and things can improve with time. And, you know, there's nothing here saying there's a glaring issue, but I guess we feel like when we see you that once every six years, that it's -- would be derelict on our part if we didn't tell you that some of these things happen, and that -- you know, if we're going to try to improve the quality of the judges, everybody has an ability to be better even if they're already good.

And so, frankly, that's really the purpose of it.

1 And we do thank you for your serve and thank you for being 2 here today. 3 Thank you for having me. Α. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, I want to make a 5 couple of comments, unless there are other questions or 6 comments from other members of the commission. 7 (Hearing none.) 8 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 9 That being one of admiration for what you're 0. 10 doing, what you're trying to do, and the -- as you said 11 from the very outset with, I think, unadulterated purity 12 and honesty and passion, loving what you do. 13 God bless you for doing it. Because these are 14 not easy cases that you're deciding. You've got affidavits 15 in front of you, you've got a little bit of time to handle 16 it. I think you've addressed with Ms. McIver how you 17 handle that, and the roster itself. But being fair to 18 those who appear before you, whether represented or not, 19 and looking out for those who don't have a voice. And those, obviously, children that are affected 20 21 and torn between -- tugs of war between families and 22 marital assets, etc., etc. So it is a tinderbox of 23 emotions that you deal with, so in that you love it is a

The good and the bad, you're going to grow from

24

25

wonderful thing.

1 the negative. And keep up the positive and hopefully, when 2 you come back the next time, it will take .008 seconds to 3 get out, why do you want to do it that you continue to love 4 I appreciate your service. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: With that, unless there 6 are other questions, that will close this portion of your 7 screening. And I want to remind you that, as you know, 8 part of the evaluative criteria is the South Carolina laws 9 of ethics. Any violation of those or -- either in letter 10 or spirit would be deemed very serious. Of course we're 11 talking about your violation of that, which would expect 12 will not be the case. But again for the record, we have to 13 put on there. And you understand that we could call you 14 back, correct? 15 JUDGE HOLMES: I do. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we surely don't expect that to be the case. But with that, that will close this 17 18 portion of the record. And we appreciate your service and 19 willingness to continue serving on the bench. 20 Thank you for having me. JUDGE HOLMES: 21 (Candidate excused.) CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And now a motion of 22 23 Representative Smith, seconded by Representative 24 Rutherford, we're going to go into executive session. 25 (Off the record from 3:09 p.m. to 4:07 p.m.)

1	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Mr. Safran moves we
2	lift the veil and come out of state ethics laws. All in
3	favor say "aye."
4	(At this time the members audibly say "aye.")
5	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: And let me state,
6	once we're out of executive session that there were not
7	votes taken and no decisions made. We were in there for
8	the benefit of legal advice.
9	Judge Guyton, I appreciate you being here
10	today. And I see you have someone with you. Would you
11	like to introduce them to the committee?
12	JUDGE GUYTON: I would love to introduce you
13	to my wife, Chrystal.
14	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Crystal, how are
15	you doing? I appreciate you being here today. Judge
16	Guyton, will you raise your right hand, please, sir.
17	WHEREUPON,
18	THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON, being duly
19	sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
20	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
21	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge Guyton, as you
22	know in front of you, your Personal Data Questionnaire and
23	your sworn statement. Are both of these correct?
24	JUDGE GUYTON: Yes, they are.
25	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Are there any

1	amendments that you need make at this time?
2	JUDGE GUYTON: I have the exhibits marked as
3	12, 13 and 14. And I think the amendments that were
4	previously made to the original PDQ are included and are
5	correct. I don't think I need any additional amendments.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Okay. Do you have
7	any objection to us making this as an exhibit to your
8	record?
9	JUDGE GUYTON: I do not.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: So if you'll hand
11	that to Lindi for me, please, sir. And we'll mark that as
12	an exhibit.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 12 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
15	HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 13 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
17	QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE HONORABLE DAVID G. GUYTON)
18	(EXHIBIT NO. 14 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
19	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE DAVID
20	G. GUYTON)
21	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge Guyton, the
22	Judicial Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly
23	investigated your qualifications for the bench. Our
24	inquiry has focused on nine evaluative criteria, and has
25	included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your

application materials, verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in which your name has appeared, a study of previous screenings, and also a check for economic conflicts of interest.

We have received no affidavits, today, filed in opposition to your election. There are no witnesses present to testify. And you are welcome to make a brief opening statement if you like, but you're not compelled to do so.

JUDGE GUYTON: Given the time frame today with the delay, especially for your benefit, I'll decline to do so.

REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you. That's the best opening statement we've had so far today. Please answer any questions that Mr. Appleby may have.

EXAMINATION BY MR. APPLEBY:

- Q. Judge Guyton, after serving eight years on the family court, why do you want to continue serving as a family court judge?
- A. I think that's really what I was called to do.

 It is sometimes a very difficult and challenging job, but I think it's where I'm supposed to be. And I will say that I did have, I believe, an opportunity to move on up to circuit court, and I declined that to stay in family court. That, and I do want to enjoy the brand new courthouse that

is being built in York County.

- Q. Judge Guyton, please explain one or two brief accomplishments that you feel you have completed during your tenure, and a goal you would like to accomplish if reelected.
- A. I want to continue our adoption days that we have in December, typically, the last court date of the year in which we can get as many adoptions done in a particular day as we can.

We're also trying to increase legal access to the courtroom without it being necessarily legal assistance, without it becoming a burden on our clerk of court's office or our staff.

- Q. Judge Guyton, your SLED report indicated that since your last screening there was a lawsuit filed by Clark Thomas a/k/a George Nichols against you, Charleston County, and numerous other judges and clerks of court. Please explain the nature and the disposition of this lawsuit.
- A. All right. Several years ago, when I was a visiting judge assigned to Charleston County, I had a approximately two-hour motions hearing involving this man. And it's my understanding -- he came in, in shackles. He'd already been sentenced to -- for some time for a General Sessions case related to his family court case.

And the motions had to do with the family court case, and he disagreed with something I had done and he -- he had already conflicted out several of the local judges in Charleston, so as a visiting judge I was the next judge in line to get his case.

So I think that's what happened, and that's why other judges such as Judge Woods, the clerk of court, the solicitor have all been named in that suit.

- Q. Judge Guyton, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I believe that they feel that I'm -- can be tough but fair and impartial, that they're going to get a chance to have their case heard, and that I'm going to listen to what they have to say or read the materials that they have and consider them.
- Q. The Commission received 367 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 30 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

"Judge Guyton has an excellent temperament for the family court and is extremely knowledgeable. Judge Guyton is extremely knowledgeable in all areas of family law, evidentiary rules, and procedural rules. Judge Guyton is always fair and does what is in the best interest of children. He has the ethical fitness, professional

1 ability, reputation, experience and judicial temperament to 2 serve as an excellent family court judge." 3 Four of the thirty written comments expressed 4 Three of these mentioned poor judicial concerns. 5 temperament, and one stated you had no business being a 6 family court judge. What response would you offer to these 7 concerns? 8 I would say that obviously, overwhelmingly, the Α. 9 Bar in general feels that I am very patient and fair. 10 there will always be two or three that I simply can't make 11 happy. And I will be impatient at times if it's an 12 attorney that knows better but consistently shows up late, 13 or who wants to argue with me after I've made my ruling. 14 Just a few housekeeping issues. Since submitting Q. 15 your letter of intent have you contacted any members of the 16 Commission about your candidacy? 17 Α. I have not. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 18 0. 19 the limitations on contacting members of the General 20 Assembly regarding your screening? 21 Α. That's the 48-hour limit? I am. 22 Since submitting your letter of intent have you 23 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either 24 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

25

screening?

1 Α. I have not. Have you asked any third parties to contact 2 Q. 3 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 4 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 5 your behalf? 6 Α. I have not. 7 0. Have you reviewed and do you understand the 8 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 9 Section 2-19-70(E)? 10 Α. I do. 11 MR. APPLEBY: I would note that the Piedmont Citizens Committee reported Judge Guyton to be well 12 13 qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 14 professional and academic ability, character, reputation, 15 experience and judicial temperament, and qualified in the 16 remaining evaluative criteria of constitutional 17 qualifications, physical health and mental stability. 18 The Committee included the following summary 19 statement: "The Committee was particularly impressed by 20 Judge Guyton's diligence and commitment to service, 21 especially his willingness to make himself available 22 whenever necessarily to meet litigant's needs." 23 I would note for the record that any 24 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the

candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the

25

1 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further 2 questions. 3 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Senator Hayes. 4 MR. HAYES: Thank you. 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES: 6 I've been waiting a long time to get him under 0. 7 But no, I think that would be more dangerous to me 8 to hear, to put you under oath. But I just want to thank 9 you for your service. And I just wanted to ask you just a 10 few questions. 11 I noticed you had extensive military service. 12 Could you explain a little bit of that, and why that may 13 make you a better judge? 14 I've been fortunate to have served in the Marine Α. 15 Corps for three years on active duty from '88 to '91. 16 that was as a judge advocate, including time in Desert 17 Shield and Desert Storm. And then after coming back and 18 joining the law firm with Wes Hayes and Hugh Harrelson, Wes 19 asked me to consider becoming a judge advocate with the 20 National Guard. And so I started that in March of 1992, 21 and served through retirement in August of 2017. 22 So it's about thirty-two years altogether, and 23 that included some time as a prosecution defense, also as a 24 military judge for about three years. A lot of different

capacities. And I think the structure of the military and

25

the courtroom experience, the management, organizational requirements certainly all prepared me to be a better judge. I have no doubt I'm a better judge today because of my time in the military.

- Q. Just one other question. I noticed just looking at the different involvements you have in the community, that you seem to have a passion for mental health and children's issues. Could you maybe explain just a few of those things?
- A. Definitely, I've been involved with the Kiwanis Club for many, many years, terrific kids programs. I'm currently involved -- I had a meeting this morning with the ACE Task Force, that's Adverse Childhood Experiences. And I'm trying to look at the trauma that kids suffer, and how and why that leads to some of the juvenile behavior that they express later on.

I'm currently on a committee that's looking at the dual status kids, which are the kids who are all in DJJ and DSS at the same time, and how to maybe better handle some of those. But I've also been on the Status Offender Task Force, which just looks at some of the status offenses, and trying to make sure that we're not sending kids to jail for things like not -- just not going to school, or something simple. And so there's a --

involved with the Jaycees and other Children's Attention 1 2 Home -- the Palmetto School at the children's Attention 3 Home for the abused and neglected kids. And the Rock Hill 4 School District Foundation, my wife's a principal, so I 5 certainly understand the needs of the schools. 6 And it's just -- it has been a passion to try to 7 do the right thing for kids. And not just from a judicial 8 standpoint, but to look and try to find resources to help 9 the families out as well. 10 MR. HAYES: No further questions. 11 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Senator Young. 12 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 14 Judge, thank you for your interest in continuing Q. 15 your service to our state. My question for you is in the 16 area of abuse and neglect -- abuse and neglect cases. 17 the question is: Based on your experience on the family 18 court bench, and your observations from presiding over 19 abuse and neglect cases, if you could improve the system 20 for the children and the families involved in the abuse and 21 neglect cases in the family court and DSS system, what 22 would you suggest be done to improve that for those folks? 23 Α. For the kids and the families themselves is

trying to make as many resources as possible available to

the -- to those families as early on as possible. One of

24

25

the things that I see with the Department of Social

Services -- and everybody thinks that DSS is the bad guy.

Parents don't like them.

And, you know, they -- they have such a high turnover in a lot of their positions, whether it be caseworkers or whether it be the attorneys themselves, it would be nice to have more consistency with the experience. And in York County, we've been fortunate to have a couple of good attorneys who have been there for a long time.

But I think in the smaller counties, especially the lack of resources often make it difficult when it comes to actually giving the physical, not just legal assistance, to the families themselves. And there is -- it is truly a complex problem.

I've told many groups that I've spoken with, if you're not in DSS, you're not in law enforcement, if you're not in the education system or in court, there is this whole other lifestyle for impoverished families with generational dysfunctions that become so hard to break.

And I think if there were an easy -- any easy answers, we would have done so. I just think the more options and resources that we can give to the families themselves, to try to intervene and stop the cycle of dysfunction, the better.

Q. Thank you, sir.

1 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge, let me just 2 thank you for your service. Did I hear you say your wife 3 is also a principal in Rock Hill? 4 JUDGE GUYTON: She is. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: So thank you for your 6 service also. I appreciate it. EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 7 8 I just want to remark on a couple of issues. 0. 9 I look at your ballot box surveys and they are 10 overwhelmingly positive, which means if you've served on 11 the bench for seven years as a family court judge --12 obviously you don't make people happy most of the time, but 13 this is a reflection on the type of judge and the character 14 and the way you carry yourself in the courtroom. 15 So I appreciate your service and the way you 16 handle your courtroom and the way you are -- you're widely 17 respected among the members. 18 You know, we sit up here and we have talks with 19 the judges about these ballot box surveys, whether they're helpful or not helpful, I put a lot of credibility in them 20 21 because they're anonymous. And for the people who are 22 generally in front of you, and people don't have to -- you 23 know, to your face they're only going to tell you good 24 things, I presume. 25 Α. Right.

- Q. But this is an opportunity for us to see what people are saying behind the curtain, so to speak. And you have hardly no negative comments. And you are just -- it's overwhelmingly well qualified, and so that is a -- that's a good indication that you're doing your job and you're doing it with dignity. And I appreciate that.
 - A. Thank you very much.

Q. You know, also let me say I appreciate your passion for mental illness and for DJJ, that I just heard you talk about for children in general. And I appreciate the adoption day. That was started in Sumter by Judge McFadden years ago.

And I just -- it just makes me proud to see it going statewide and family court judges investing in the lives of children and helping better their lives. And I appreciate you being involved in that in York County.

- A. Thank you.
- Q. Judge, lastly, I'm going to say this is -- we've been having these debates as we're going forward about, you know, people bringing a wealth of experience, both from the legal profession as well as personal experience to the bench. And it looks like you're the type of judge that we are looking for, someone that has a career, life experiences, and gives back to the legal community, following being a judge.

1 I would encourage you all -- we've been telling 2 the judges up here, and you're nowhere near the retirement 3 age, or I wouldn't -- you're just a few years older than 4 me, so I'm not going to call you old either. But I would 5 encourage you to continue to tell the family court lawyers 6 in your circuit, and in other areas that you may visit, 7 about what a wonderful opportunity this is, how it's a --8 it's rewarding and how it's nice to have seasoned 9 practitioners become judges. 10 And I hope we can continue having that tradition 11 that's been set in the state for a long, long time. And 12 you're fulfilling that, and I appreciate that. 13 Α. Thank you very much. 14 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Any other questions 15 for the judge? 16 (Hearing none.) 17 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge, thank you so 18 much for being here today. I want to take this opportunity 19 to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative 20 criteria, we expect candidates to follow the spirit as well 21 as the letter of the ethics laws. And we will view 22 violations or the appearance of impropriety as serious and 23 potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening 24 deliberations. 25 On that note, and as you know the record

1	will remain open until the formal release of the report of
2	qualifications. And you may be called back at such time as
3	the need arise the need may arise. Hopefully, we won't
4	have that occur. And you understand all that, correct?
5	JUDGE GUYTON: I do. Thank you.
6	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: I appreciate you
7	being here today. I appreciate you all driving and your
8	patience as we run way behind, which has become the new
9	normal over here. But I wish you all safe travels back to
10	York County.
11	JUDGE GUYTON: Rock Hill. Right.
12	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: And you all are going
13	to beat the five o'clock traffic, barely. But you're going
14	to beat it, so run.
15	JUDGE GUYTON: Thank you. And I appreciate
16	what you all do. I know you're giving me the last lap of
17	your marathon, and while we're still on the record so I can
18	get brownie points, I do want to thank my wife for being
19	here with me. Because she has to support me. And this is
20	a very difficult job, and I appreciate very much her
21	support as well.
22	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Yeah, thank you.
23	Thank you for your support.
24	(Candidate excused.)
25	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge, how are you

k you. ight
-
ight
duly
truth and
ave
our sworn
correct?
at this
any
d of this
If you'll
LECTION
F THE

1 (EXHIBIT NO. 16 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE TONY 2 3 M. JONES) 4 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge, the Judicial 5 Merit Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your 6 qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry is focused on 7 nine evaluative criteria that has included a ballot box survey, a thorough study of your application materials, 8 9 verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a 10 search of newspaper articles in which your name may appear, 11 a study of previous screenings, and checks for economic 12 conflicts of interest. 13 There are no affidavits filed in opposition 14 to your election. No witnesses are here today to testify. 15 Do you wish to make a brief opening statement to the 16 Commission? You do not have to, but you're welcome to if 17 you'd like. 18 JUDGE JONES: Well, all I would like to say 19 is that I enjoy what I do. I do consider it public 20 service. I do consider it giving something back. 21 practice of law was very good to me, and I think this is my 22 way of giving something back. And I enjoy it. And it 23 gratifies me more than anything, is working with our 24 children in our judicial drug court, and being able to --25 our children -- our children are South Carolina's most

1 precious resource. And I enjoy what I do. And I want to 2 do it another six years. REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Judge. 3 Ι 4 appreciate those comments. Please answer any questions 5 that Counsel may have. 6 JUDGE JONES: Yes, sir. I stand ready to 7 answer any questions. 8 EXAMINATION BY MS. SIMON: 9 Judge Jones, after serving five years on the 10 family court, why do you want to continue serving as a 11 family court judge? 12 Α. Because I think it is a public service. 13 find it's a way of giving something back to our community. 14 Please explain one or two accomplishments that Q. 15 you feel you have completed during your tenure, and then a 16 goal you would like to accomplishment if reelected. 17 Α. Okav. Well, I go back to the judicial drug 18 The candidate who was just in here in front of me, 19 Judge Guyton and I, we are the judges from York County, and 20 we have a judicial drug court. And in that drug court, we 21 get to work hand-in-hand with these -- it's a diversion 22 program, that if they can successfully complete the 23 judicial drug court and graduate, that their record is 24 wiped clean.

Well, on the grounds of the courthouse, we have a

25

judicial -- I started this judicial drug court garden.

It's a gardening project where the children can come out
there, the juveniles, and they can work in the garden and,
you know, satisfy some of their community service hour
requirements.

And I get out there with them on Saturdays in the
afternoons. I mean, they see me in a tee-shirt and shorts.

They don't just see me in a robe. They see -- it humanizes

They don't just see me in a robe. They see -- it humanizes the court to them. And we get to -- you know, we grow vegetables and we grow things. And we took the harvest and took it to Bethel Methodist Church, where there's a

homeless shelter, and we had some of the people at the church prepare the food.

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And we let our juveniles serve the homeless, so we would tell them, you know, "This is what we're trying to keep you from becoming."

And it was very gratifying to see the children, the juveniles, the expression on their face to see them looking like "wow." They were able to come face-to-face with it. I found that to be -- that was a very gratifying moment as a family court judge.

You know, going forward -- you know, I want to continue in the capacity working with these children. I've always taken a great interest in children who are not quite as fortunate. I was a foster parent and raised two boys.

1	And they're still my family. And if I could touch the
2	lives you know, I'll just tell you this, quickly.
3	I had a I was in Union a couple of weeks ago,
4	and they brought a juvenile in for contempt. He missed
5	some school he was on a school attendance sort of last
6	year and he had missed three days this year. And they
7	brought him in on a contempt, which I thought was a little
8	excessive to begin with.
9	But I asked the young man why did he "Why did
10	you miss the days?"
11	He said his momma spoke up, and she said, "He
12	was sick two days. But we didn't have enough money to take
13	him to the doctor."
14	And then I said, "Well, what about the third
15	day?"
16	And he said, "Well, my class had a field trip and
17	I didn't have the money to pay. And I was ashamed to go to
18	school."
19	I just that just broke my heart. So I threw -
20	- I just threw the case out. I just threw it out. They
21	wanted to give him nine months probation. And I'm like,
22	"What?" So I threw it out.
23	And the solicitor says, "How can you throw that
24	out?"
25	And I said, "Cause it's not a crime to be poor."

It wasn't willful. And this year my Christmas donation is going to be to the Union County School District, to make sure that those children don't get left behind 'cause they don't have money.

- Q. Judge Jones, what do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. I'm fair but firm. I run a tight ship. I run on time. I try to treat everybody that comes in front of me with courtesy and respect. But I also work hard to maintain the dignity of the court. I think I've got a pretty good -- there's some that don't like me. I mean, sure. I mean, that -- that's -- you can't do your job right without that happening some.

But I know lawyers like to come in front of me, because they say I'm predictable. And I think for the most part, I'm well received by the Bar.

Q. The Commission received 290 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 23 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

"Outstanding judge." "Runs the best docket in the state." "Another outstanding judge that truly cares about children that have cases before him." "He shows tough love to juveniles, but he makes clear to them that he cares about them succeeding in life." "Judge Jones is in

1 all respects what a judge should be: Experienced, fair, 2 humble, devoted, firm yet compassionate." 3 Eight of the written comments expressed concerns. 4 All of them relate to temperament or premature judgement. 5 As an example of temperament, words like "erratic," 6 "offensive," "bully," "hostile," and "profanity" were used. 7 What response would you offer to these concerns? 8 Well, first of all -- and you had mentioned this 9 to me in our interview, about the comment about profanity, 10 which just struck me. Because by my nature, I'm not a 11 profane person. I'm just not. 12 The only time -- and I tried to think back about 13 what in the -- when could that have happened. And the only 14 thing I can think of -- you know, sometimes these family 15 court cases, they're so emotionally charged. And I tell 16 the litigants, "Sometimes it appears that you hate each 17 other more than you love your children. And your conduct 18 is contrary to the best interest of the children, " and that 19 they are destroying their children in the course of their 20 fight. 21 And I've told them, "That if you continue this 22 type of conduct, then hell's going to be in session." And 23 I meant it. Because if you're not going to look out for 24 the best interest of your children, the court is. And

that's the only time I can remember.

25

Now, as far as being erratic, I disagree with that. I think I'm very predictable and -- you know, I mean, I just -- I don't know how to respond to it other than say I don't agree with it.

- Q. As an example of premature judgement phrases like "quick to make assumptions" or "judgement without allowing full argument" and "knee-jerk decisions and assessments" were used. What response would you offer to those concerns?
- A. Well, I totally disagree. Yes, I will cut off argument at times. Because I mean, you know, like at a temporary hearing you just -- I've got fifteen minutes set. And I mean, I've got to consider everything. And I mean, I consider the affidavits that are submitted, and I will cut somebody off when they're arguing. I give them five to six minutes and that's it.

And typically the -- we don't have opening and closing remarks in family court, and arguments. We don't. And I don't think my judgements are knee-jerk. I spend a -- I devote a lot of time into making my decision. As part of my packet in my application, I submitted not only orders that I personally prepared in complicated cases, but also the detailed set of instructions that I -- as another example that I give to the attorneys for preparation of the order.

1 Because every day -- I take copious notes. 2 when I go back to my chambers after the court, I dictate 3 those notes and my secretary types them for me so I can add 4 -- if I got a little asterisk, I can't write fast enough, I 5 can add in. And so my thought -- I'll remember it so I can 6 have the detailed notes. 7 My decisions are -- I spend a lot of time working 8 And I think the examples of my written 9 instructions that I supplied would be evidence of that. 10 Just because somebody doesn't like the decision, doesn't 11 mean it's a knee-jerk decision, I promise you. 12 0. Judge Jones, during your last screening when 13 asked about the legacy you would like to leave, you said, 14 "He was fair and you had better been prepared." Does that 15 still hold true? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. 17 0. Now for a few housekeeping issues. 18 submitting your letter of intent have you contacted any 19 members of the Commission about your candidacy? 20 Α. No, ma'am. 21 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 22 the limitations on contacting members of the General 23 Assembly regarding your screening?

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

Since submitting your letter of intent have you

Yes, ma'am.

Α.

0.

24

25

sought or received the pledge of any legislator either
prior to this date of pending the outcome of your
screening?

- A. No, ma'am.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
 - A. No.

- Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code Section 2-19-70, Subsection E?
- A. I do.

MS. SIMON: I would note the Piedmont
Citizens Committee reported Judge Jones to be well
qualified as to the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,
professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
experience and judicial temperament, and qualification as
to constitutional qualifications, physical health and
mental stability.

The Committee commented that, "Judge Jones' direct no-nonsense manner confirms his impartiality and conscientiousness." The Committee was also moved by his compassion and commitment to the children and families whom he serves in the family court.

1 I would just note for the record that any 2 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the 3 candidate were incorporated into the questioning of him 4 today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further guestions. 5 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you. Any 6 questions of the committee? Senator Hayes. 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES: 8 Judge Jones, I was just telling Judge Guyton that 0. 9 I was looking forward to getting him under oath. Now is a 10 good chance for me to get you under oath as well. We go 11 way back. 12 Α. Right. 13 And I just appreciate your willingness to serve, 14 and the service that you rendered over the years to the 15 people in our area of the state. And we were talking earlier about how we -- we're seeking to get experienced 16

19 A. It is.

iudges.

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What ideas do you have on how to -- to try to get others -- 'cause I -- you know, you probably wouldn't say this, but you probably were looked up to while you were in the practice of law as probably the most knowledgeable family court lawyer before you became a judge. And you gave that up to become a family court judge.

lawyers -- family court lawyers to become family court

And that's kind of a challenge nowadays.

1 What advice would you have on how to get good 2 people to become family court judges? 3 Α. That's hard to say, Senator. You know, you've 4 got to want to do it. You've got to -- it's not a -- I 5 don't mean to make this sound funny, but I know when I was 6 a judge, when I got my first paycheck I said, "What in the 7 world is this? Good Lord." 8 But it's not about money. We've got some good 9 family court lawyers out there. You've just got to have it 10 within you to want to do it. I wanted to do it. I just --11 and it certainly wasn't for money. And it's not for power 12 or prestige or anything like that. It's something you've 13 got to want. It's got to be within you and you got to want 14 to do it. You've got to want to serve. 15 Like all those years you served, every week 16 coming down here and -- as you know, it's got to be within 17 you. 18 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Senator Young. 19 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 21 Judge, thank you so much for wanting to continue 0. 22 your service to our state and to your circuit, to all the 23 children and families that are impacted by your service. 24 I was particularly struck by your testimony today 25 about the situation -- or the child in Union. It's very

evident that you have a passion for your job. A question I have for you is in the abuse and neglect area. If you would improve the situation for the children and the families in the system, as it relates to the family court system for abuse and neglect cases, what would you recommend that be changed?

A. Well, I think a change recently came about that's going to be instrumental, and that was the -- up in our neck of the woods we call it the Dawson line of cases, it's foster parents having the ability to intervene in these termination of parental rights cases. It's pretty complicated, you know, when the department is on a TPR path, as we call it, and then you have foster parents who want to intervene in the action, to be adoptive parents.

The law has been very unclear. And as family court judges, we would just seek -- try to find guidance, you know, from the appellate court system, what to do. We finally got it from the Supreme Court, saying that foster parents have a right, have a standing to intervene in a termination of parental rights case, and as a perspective adoptive parent.

Because it brings closure -- I mean, that's what we've got to do is get -- you know, people are either going to have to complete their treatment plan as prescribed by the Department of Social Services for reunification, or

- they need to get off and let us find closure for these
 children. 'Cause they don't need to have their lives
 hanging in the balance for years and years while we're
 trying to rehabilitate parents who are making half-hearted
 efforts.
 - And if it's going to be TPR, let's get on with it. And I think now, you know, the kids are -- children are in a foster care environment that is typically an excellent environment, and these foster parents want to adopt these children. And now I think we've got a vehicle in place to allow this to happen.
 - Q. Thank you very much.
- REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Any further
- 14 | questions?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

24

25

- 15 | EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:
- Q. Judge Jones, let me just say thank you for your service. I remember when you ran, I got a lot of recommendations from the family court practitioner in my circuit when you were nominated last time for this position. And let me tell you, you represent what we're looking for on the family court bench.
 - A. Thank you.
 - Q. Somebody that has brought a wealth of experience in the legal community and lifetime experience to the court. I wish we had more candidates like you and more

judges like you on the bench. We've got a great set of family court judges, but as we're seeing candidates they're becoming more younger and younger. And I think we're benefitted by your years of practice, your wisdom and your experience.

And so I really appreciate that. And I would encourage you to try to always tell lawyers what a great job it is you -- you know, I wish they had your passion and -- you know, one day you're going to have to retire, and we need judges of similar ilk as you, replacing you.

So I appreciate your service and appreciate what you do in that regard.

A. Well, I'm fortunate to be here. I'm fortunate to serve with David Guyton. You know, David Guyton -- it's a pleasure to come to work with him. David Guyton and I both work hard, but it's nice to have him there. And we're very consistent. And our reputation is very consistent in his courtroom and my courtroom.

But I have to tell you this before I go. It's just funny because we had some juveniles -- and this is before I -- I've lost a lot of weight over the last three or four years, about 150 pounds. And I was really heavy. But anyway, we had a juvenile being brought up from Columbia, and he asked the driver, the deputy, he said, "Who's our judge, that big fat one or the man with the flat

1 head?" 2 He said, "Son, it don't matter." 3 Well, as a lawyer who appears in front of courts, 0. 4 consistency is a good thing whether it -- no matter how you 5 are, as long as you're consistent we know how to plan our 6 case --7 Α. Exactly. 8 -- accordingly. Q. 9 As long as you can tell them what to expect. Α. 10 That's exactly right. Q. 11 Thank you for the opportunity to be here. Α. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge, thank you so 13 And this will now -- with no more questions, this 14 now concludes your hearing and the record will be closed. 15 And I want to take this opportunity to remind you that 16 pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the 17 Commission expects the candidates to follow the spirit as 18 well as the letter of the ethics law. And we will view any 19 violations of the appearance of impropriety as serious and 20 potentially deserving of heavy weight in the screening 21 deliberations. Do you understand that? 22 JUDGE JONES: I certainly do. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: On that note, and as 24 you know the record will remain open until the formal 25 release of the report of qualifications. And you may be

1	called back at any time if the need arises.
2	JUDGE JONES: Yes, sir.
3	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: All right. I
4	appreciate your service. I appreciate you being here.
5	Thank you for your patience as we're running way behind,
6	which is the new normal. Have a safe trip back to Rock
7	Hill.
8	JUDGE JONES: Yes, sir. You all have a good
9	evening.
10	(Candidate excused.)
11	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge McGee, will you
12	please raise your right hand.
13	WHEREUPON,
14	THE HONORABLE THE HONORABLE JAMES G. MCGEE
15	III, being duly sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the
16	whole truth and nothing but the truth, testifies as
17	follows:
18	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: I got you under oath.
19	JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, sir.
20	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Judge McGee, you have
21	before you the Personal Data Questionnaire and the sworn
22	statement. Are these documents you submitted to the
23	Commission?
24	JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, they appear to be.
25	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Do you have do you

1	have any amendments or changes that you need to have made?
2	JUDGE MCGEE: Let me look for a second,
3	Representative Smith. Was the financial stuff in here?
4	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: No, that's separate.
5	JUDGE MCGEE: Okay. No changes. I bought a
6	new truck. That's all I was going to tell you.
7	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Okay.
8	Congratulations. Do you have any objection to us making
9	those part of the record of your hearing today?
10	JUDGE MCGEE: No, sir.
11	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Will you give those
12	to Lindi, please, sir?
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 17 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
14	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
15	HONORABLE JAMES G. MCGEE III)
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 18 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
17	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE JAMES
18	G. MCGEE III)
19	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: The Judicial Merit
20	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated your
21	qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry has focused on
22	nine evaluative criteria. It has included a ballot box
23	survey, a thorough study of your application materials,
24	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
25	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears, a

1 study of previous screenings, and checks for economic 2 conflicts of interest. 3 Surprisingly, we've had no affidavits filed 4 in opposition to your election, and no witnesses are here 5 to testify. Do you have a brief opening statement? We 6 would strongly encourage you not to, for the interest of 7 time. But do you have a brief --8 JUDGE MCGEE: No, I do not. 9 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: All right. Thank 10 you, Judge McGee. Will you answer any questions that 11 Counsel may have for you. 12 JUDGE MCGEE: Yes, sir. 13 EXAMINATION BY MS. RILEY: 14 Judge McGee, after serving for approximate six Q. 15 years on the family court bench, why do you want to 16 continue to service as a family court judge? 17 Α. I really like this job a lot. I feel like it's, 18 you know, what I'm supposed to be doing. And I'm much more 19 experienced as a judge now. I appreciate the appointment 20 for six years, so you're getting a better judge now, 21 hopefully, for the next six years, because I've got six 22 years of experience. 23 But I really enjoy it. I get up every morning, 24 you know, you can't wait to get to work. If I ever don't 25 feel that way, I'll let you all know. But I'm still --

really like the job a lot. All aspects of it. And it's tough sometimes. There's some tough things you have to deal with, but I'm glad to be there. I'm glad to do it.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Can you please explain one or two brief accomplishments that you feel you have completed during your tenure, and then a goal that you would like to accomplishment going forward?
- A. I guess the accomplishment would be, you know, familiarizing myself with aspects of family law that were not part of my practice when I was an attorney. The Department of Juvenile Justice cases, although I did have some acumen with that, based on my criminal law practice when I practiced law, there's a lot more to it than that.

So I feel like I've been able to just learn more about that process, and I feel much better in that process. And I think that's a very important aspect of what we do as a family court judge. Juvenile court kind of gets looked over sometimes. But so that would be an accomplishment.

And a goal would be just to be more efficient in what I do, making sure I get my orders out on time, making sure that I'm available to the attorneys when they need to be. I've strived to do that.

As you may know, I served as a legislator at some point, so the value of having a former legislator as a judge is that it is -- you recognize that this is a officer

of service at the end of the day, that you're serving the public in what you do. So being able to -- my goal would be to be more like that. I think I've done that. And that's based on my legislative service. But also be better at that as well, to continue to hone that. Did I make any sense?

- Q. It does. Thank you, Judge. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys that practice before you?
- A. Probably the most brilliant jurist they've ever been in front of. Probably just one that -- I'm teasing, of course. You know, that I'm going to help them get to a solution, if we can. And that if we have to try a matter, then it's really something that needs to be tried.

But a lot of times in family court especially -this is not so much in common pleas or things like that -family court's a very different environment. And I've got
very emotional people, sometimes they're lawyers are
emotional because of their clients have put them under
that.

So if I can help them come to some kind of resolution that both sides are satisfied with, then that's what they're probably seeing: I'm going to try that before we try a case. But if we have to try it, we'll just go ahead and do that as well.

1 And I don't mind trying cases either. 2 that part of it. But I do think I have a responsibility to 3 try to assist them in some way, if I can. And that's in 4 the canons to do that. 5 Q. Thank you. Judge McGee, the Commission received 6 357 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 23 additional 7 comments. All of which were overwhelmingly positive. 8 ballot box survey, for example, contained the following 9 positive comments: 10 "Judge McGee is another judge who takes his time 11 to understand cases from beginning to end. His decisions are thoughtful and follow the letter of the law. 12 He has 13 never been rude or unapproachable." 14 Another comment said, "In all of my appearances 15 before Judge McGee, I have been consistently impressed with 16 his judicial temperament. He is an excellent 17 representative of the judicial system." 18 One comment, however, said that they found you --19 or voted you qualified rather than well qualified because 20 you're too nice. What response would you have to the 21 mention that you were too nice? 22 I was suite-mates with Representative Murrell Α. 23 Smith for several years, and he probably rubbed off on me, 24 is what I'm thinking. 25 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: You mean the

1 opposite.

A. Let the record reflect that was my joke answer, like on Hollywood Squares. But I go back to, again, the way you're brought up. And my grandmother always told me, and I've always tried to do this, "Treat everybody the way you want to be treated."

And that goes for the way you treat people when you meet them on the street, and it goes all the way up to being a judge, you know. And so if you keep that mind set, then I -- it makes you successful, I believe. And that -- my Granny gave me that, and it stuck with me.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. I want to ask you a few housekeeping questions.
- A. Okay.
 - Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy?
- 18 A. No.
 - Q. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the limitations on contacting members of the General Assembly regarding your screening?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Since submitting your letter of intent have you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your

1 screening? 2 Α. No. 3 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 4 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 5 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 6 your behalf? 7 Α. No. 8 Have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 9 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 10 Section 2-19-70(E)? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Thank you. 13 Α. Thank you. 14 MS. RILEY: I would note that the Pee Dee 15 Citizens Committee reported that Judge McGee is qualified 16 in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 17 qualifications, physical health and mental stability. 18 Committee found Judge McGee is well qualified in the 19 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, professional and 20 academic ability, character, reputation, experience and 21 judicial temperament. 22 I would note for the record that any 23 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the 24 candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the

candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further

25

1 questions. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you, Daina. 3 Any questions of Judge McGee? Senator Young. 4 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 6 Judge McGee. 0. 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 Thank you for your service to our state on the 0. 9 family court bench, and for your interest in continuing 10 your service. My question to you is in the area of abuse 11 and neglect cases. 12 Α. Yes, sir. 13 The question is: As far as the children and the 14 families that are impacted by that, those types of cases, 15 how would you improve the system in the family court, if 16 you could, and make a recommendation in terms of what you 17 think would work better from your vantage point from having 18 presided over those cases? 19 Α. I've told somebody that I believe more time. Just more time is needed. It becomes a process, Senator 20 21 I see -- I go into counties and they're ready to 22 move these cases, and I hardly get a chance to know who the 23 parties are before they get beyond me. 24 And I'm slowing it down. They're trying to meet

all these statutory deadlines, and rightfully so.

25

1 think we just need to spend -- slow down and spend more 2 time on each one of these cases, in my opinion. In several 3 of the cases, I would say. 4 That would be my recommendation is just -- every 5 county generally has a day set aside for DSS, some half 6 days. And, again, it's -- there's a lot that could be 7 improved in that process, and time would be one of them. 8 I would also state that I -- that the attorneys 9 there is -- well, I'll save that. I'll save that. 10 tell you all privately. 11 0. Thank you, sir. 12 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Mr. Safran. 13 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 14 Judge, thank you for being here. Q. 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 0. Let me just ask a couple of questions. How can 17 you be too nice? 18 I don't know. Α. 19 Well, I think it -- I know you. And I know, Q. 20 frankly, it comes, you know, well deserved. 21 Α. Well, thank you. On the other hand, I've seen a few that I could 22 23 see that when they compare it to anybody, they're probably 24 thinking anything else is Santa Claus. Let me just ask you 25 -- you hit on one thing, and otherwise I would have -- you

know, deferred to my chairman here, and moved on.

But I've been very concerned, listening to some of the family court judges who -- and I'm not blaming them. But they seem very preoccupied with, "I got a schedule. I got to go. I got to go." And my problem is it seems like there's more trouble or -- there's more of a concern for the meeting the clock than it is to getting a case worked out.

And that's troubling. And I'm not blaming anybody personally. I mean, I was going to ask Judge Jones, but I was afraid he might look at me like I was kind of fool or something. But is it somehow -- 'cause again, I'm asking. I'm showing my ignorance. Is there somehow like a rule that says that those hearings have to be only fifteen minutes?

A. Yeah, the docketing clerk generally is setting the time. So when you -- like I go into Charleston County, I've got this docket. And I may have eight cases in two hours, you know. Now, they're anticipating some of those being settled and things like that. And you get to a probably if they're not.

But so that's -- that's -- you're right. That's the -- it's the docketing issue, getting all these cases -- if they all go through, then you've got a real problem.

The court system's sort of like infrastructure, it's like

1 roads. You know, the more people that move to South 2 Carolina, the more roads you have. Well, courts are 3 infrastructure as well. They're -- you know, we settle 4 disputes. And the more people you have, the more disputes 5 you have. 6 So when you get into some of these real growing 7 counties, I mean it just -- you can go down there and you 8 say, "I don't want to be there." But you've got to go. 9 And I think York is -- I was talking to Tony, outside, it's 10 extraordinarily busy. And there's a lot of growth up 11 there. 12 Charleston, of course. Lexington is growing a 13 great deal. It's been a long time since I've been in 14 Lexington, but I remember going -- I remember the docket. 15 You know, and it was tough. 16 But I think, Mr. Safran, the best thing that a judge can do is say, "Look, I'm going to take my time on 17 18 this case, be doggone what happens." And get it right. 19 And if I have to continue some things, or I have to set it 20 for another day when there's more time available, then I'll 21 do it that way, instead of it being a checkmark. You know 22 what I'm saying? "I got through with this. I'm done, you 23 know." 24 Because these are people's lives, these are 25 important decisions that you're making, and they deserve a

fair hearing and that they be heard.

Q. Well, and what really gratifies me, and I'm not surprised in the least, is what I'm hearing from you, literally mirrors what Judge Sinclair said. And, you know, I know the two of you all came from a similar environment, and you came basically with similar personalities. And obviously values.

And from what he told me, and I'm assuming your answer's going to be the same, you're not exactly staying there, you know, every night till midnight to get these cases done. So I mean, things are still moving along.

A. Yes.

Q. And, you know, kind of take a page from Senator Young's questioning, what can we do to make it to where the mind set of the judges are more in line with what I'm hearing from you and Judge Sinclair, as opposed to, "I got to get there. It's fifteen minutes. Next."

You know, I asked one of the judges earlier today, we as lawyers are by nature talkers. We're advocates.

- A. Right.
- Q. We're in here to pursue a position. And I'm hearing family court judges saying, "I'm not even letting the lawyer open their mouth."

And, you know, that strikes me as being not only

difficult, but offensive. And, you know, these folks are paying their attorneys to come in there and do a job for them, and you have to do it -- you know, the lawyers walk in and effectively being gagged and told, "Don't say anything"?

A. Yeah.

Q. I mean, to me that just doesn't seem to be consistent with what the whole purpose of this process is. And I'm glad to know that it's not uniform, but how do we change it to where everybody doesn't maybe feel so compelled to say, "Okay. Fifteen minutes. Next. No, don't open your mouth. I'll read this later and get with you"?

That to me just ain't the way to run the railroad.

A. Right. And I would -- like you say, the temporaries are where a lot of it gets bogged down at.

I'll spend a lot of time on temporaries if I have to, well more than fifteen minutes, and that's generally what's docketed for a temporary hearing.

So I think if a -- and I don't know where that came from, the fifteen minutes is used across the system.

I would do thirty minutes, probably, for a temporary, every one of them.

Especially if there's children involved. And

this is when the emotions are the hottest is at a temporary. These people are just separated, somebody's filed a temporary hearing, they're in court. And it's tough, man.

I mean, I can see it on the faces out there. And I'm trying to tamp all this down, you know. I'm trying to get everybody on an even keel, trying to heal whatever I can heal between these two parties. Especially if they have children. I say if they don't have children, go ahead and fight it out. You know, that's fine.

But if you've got children, these are resources of the state of South Carolina. It's my job to make sure that they're -- they're not a byproduct of you all's fighting between one another, you know, that your ill will towards one another is going to affect these children, and that's going to -- it's not moral, but from a public policy standpoint it's not good for the state of South Carolina either, to have these children in these situations where they grow up and they think this kind of behavior is normal. So more time would be my answer.

Now, that's going to stretch the docket out, and they're going to need maybe more judges or things like that. I don't know where that goes, Mr. Safran, from what I've said. But I've always -- just give me more time. If I have some down time, don't think of that as being a bad

thing. I may have gotten through things quickly. I'd rather be on that end, having a little extra time than being on the rushed end of it.

If I'm going to make a decision about how I'm going to docket, I'd rather be twiddling my thumbs for fifteen minutes than trying to squeeze in a very improve hearing in ten.

Q. Well, and I think you really hit the nail on the head in the sense that because of the highly emotional nature of family court litigant, that what happens at that temporary hearing could set the tone for the entire case, and that if you really want to get things moving in the right direction, that's where you put your focus to maybe kind of diffuse it, get everybody thinking a little more rationally, as best you can.

But I get the sense that you're kind of in the minority in terms of doing that, when in fact that ought to be the rule.

- A. Well, I don't know. You know, where I practice at is a -- Judge Morehead and Judge Vinson is primarily the two judges that I went in front of.
 - Q. Then you had two very good ones.
- A. I did. And, you know, I learned a lot from both of them. Judge Vinson is one of my contemporaries. I really learned a lot from his as a lawyer. He set the

- 1 right tone even -- you know, he did a lot of my -- what I do is really modeled after the way he did it. 'Cause I 2 3 liked it as a lawyer. I liked the way he did it a lot. 4 And so, you know, there's some -- there's a lot of us out 5 there. 6 And I don't want to -- you know, you do have that 7 time pressure. And, you know, I'm not saying that --8 again, I quess we need to not treat it like a checklist, 9 would be the bottom line. Don't treat it like a checklist, 10 something you have to get through, didn't you have to do. 11 So I was not a brilliant student in any school I 12 ever went to, so I was never one of those checkbox 13 students, you know what I mean, and I made straight A's and 14 all of that stuff. I was -- I was good just to get along, 15 you know. I was good just to pass. 16 So I've never been that way, you know, I've got 17 to -- I feel real uptight about it. I'm going to take my 18 time and hopefully make a good decision. 19 0. Well, you're obviously doing that, because these ballot box surveys are exemplary. We have seen it from 20 21 time to time, and I think it's absolutely incumbent upon us 22 when we do, to say you're doing a super job. 23 Α. Thank you.
 - Q. And we appreciate all you're doing. Thank you.

24

25

A. Thank you. No, I appreciate it. I thank you

1 all. That makes me feel good. 2 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Any further questions? 3 4 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 5 Q. Judge, let me just follow along with what Mr. 6 Safran said. In all sincerity is I -- you know, I pay a 7 lot of attention to these ballot box surveys. These are 8 survey -- these are surveys which everyone comes to your 9 face is going to tell you you're a great judge, and whether 10 you're a good judge or not. But when you pull the curtains 11 back -- close the curtains, you see what type of judge they 12 are by these ballot box surveys. 13 And the one thing I believe is probably the most 14 important attribute of a judge is the judicial temperament. 15 And you had no people complain about your -- about your 16 temperament. And that's to be commended. 17 Α. Thank you. 18 I appreciate that, Judge. The one thing, while I 0. 19 do have you under oath, and you talked about your grades, 20 you always bragged when you were in the House about being a 21 member of MENSA. Was that not true? 22 Α. Well, see, that didn't have anything to do with 23 grades. 24 Q. Oh, okay.

No, that was a -- something that Lucas came up

25

Α.

with. 1 2 Q. Oh, okay. 3 Α. But I did --4 Speaker Lucas to you. 0. 5 Α. Well, thank you, Representative Smith. Τ 6 appreciate what you all do. I think this process is 7 important. What we do in South Carolina, the way we elect 8 judges, is unique. I told somebody outside, that I had a law school mate who was going to write a letter -- write a 9 10 law school paper on how we elect judges in South Carolina, 11 and how stupid it is. And after the third year, I said, 12 "How did that paper go?" 13 He said, "I determined it was the best way to do 14 He said that South Carolina has it right. 15 it's a process. Did I like filling out all these financial 16 things and giving you all this stuff? No. It's hard. But 17 in all these surveys and things like that, it's important 18 to the process. I realize that. 19 And I appreciate what you all do. And the 20 Citizens Committee and the Bar, you know, that's all part 21 of the process. That's what keeps the integrity in this. 22 And I appreciate it very much. I served as a legislator. 23 As you all know, I served on this commission at 24 one time for about a year. So I know, it's hard work. 25 appreciate it very much. And I'm very pleased with the

staff that you all have here, they've been very helpful.

And they're just very professional.

So thank you all for what you do.

Q. Judge, thank you. And, you know, the last remark I'll make is that what we've been talking about with judges of similar ilk as you, who bring a lifetime of practical experience, a lifetime experience and judicial experience, and decide "it's time for me to give back to the legal community and serve as a judge."

So I appreciate you doing that. You're probably one of the few lawyers is a former newscaster and a lawyer, now judge, so I'm sure that's a small fraternity of people around the state.

- A. We can get David Stanton to do it would be --
- Q. Okay. So I appreciate that. And, you know, I would make this remark, as we've been telling the judges who have these exemplary ballot box surveys, and bring a wealth of experience to the bench is, I would encourage you, as you go around to mentor lawyers and tell them what important -- how important it is to have experienced, trained judges, rather than judges that are beginning their career -- legal career becoming a judge, but people who are ending their legal career.

'Cause I think that's what you expect, if you're a litigant and you walk into the courtroom, what you expect

1 to see. So --2 Α. Yes, sir. 3 I would appreciate as you go forward, before you 0. 4 retired just -- you know, extol the virtues of your -- of 5 your position. 6 Α. Thank you, Mr. Smith -- Representative Smith. 7 appreciate that. 8 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Any further questions 9 of Judge McGee? 10 (Hearing none.) 11 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: All right. Judge 12 McGee, this concludes this portion of your hearing. I want 13 to take this opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the 14 Commission's evaluative criteria, we expect candidates to 15 follow the spirit as well as the letter of the ethics law. 16 We will view any violations or the appearance of any 17 impropriety as serious and potentially deserving of heavy 18 weight and screening deliberations. Do you understand 19 that? 20 Yes, sir. JUDGE MCGEE: REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: On that note, and as 21 22 you know the record will remain open until the formal 23 release of the report of qualifications. And you may be 24 called back at such time of the need arises. I thank you 25 for being here. I thank you for your patience. I know

1	we're running well behind. And I thank you for your
2	service to the state of South Carolina.
3	JUDGE MCGEE: Thank you, Representative
4	Smith. I thank all of you all. I appreciate it.
5	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Thank you.
6	(Candidate excused.)
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. David
8	Michael Collins; is that right?
9	MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you will please raise
11	your right hand.
12	WHEREUPON,
13	DAVID MICHAEL COLLINS, being duly sworn and
14	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
15	but the truth, testifies as follows:
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You have before you, two
17	documents that you've prepared, a Personal Data
18	Questionnaire and a sworn statement; is that right?
19	MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir.
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any changes that need to
21	be made to those? Or are they good to go into the record
22	without objection.
23	MR. COLLINS: My understanding is they're
24	good to do as they are.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. So if you'll

1	hand those to Lindi, she'll hand those to the court
2	reporter.
3	(EXHIBIT NO. 19 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
4	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF DAVID
5	MICHAEL COLLINS)
6	(EXHIBIT NO. 20 - AMENDMENT TO PERSONAL DATA
7	QUESTIONNAIRE OF DAVID MICHAEL COLLINS)
8	(EXHIBIT NO. 21 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
9	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF DAVID MICHAEL
10	COLLINS)
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Collins, we have
12	thoroughly screened your and investigated your
13	qualifications. And as you are well aware there are nine
14	evaluative criteria which we rely upon, which include the
15	ballot box survey, a study of your application materials,
16	verification of your compliance with state ethics laws, a
17	search of newspaper articles in which your name appears,
18	and a check for economic conflicts of interest.
19	No one has signed or submitted an affidavit
20	in opposition to your campaign, and no one has signed up to
21	testify against you or for you. You are welcome to answer
22	any or to make brief remarks if you'd like. Given the
23	hour and the late start of your 3:45 hearing, you can waive
24	that. But again, I'll leave that to you.
25	MR. COLLINS: I will waive that, sir. I

1 would not subject the committee to any comments from me at 2 this late hour. 3 I will have many CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 4 questions, perhaps later. And you'll have opportunities to 5 make any comments you want to. Mr. Fiffick will ask 6 questions, and then we'll go from there. 7 MR. FIFFICK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. 8 Collins, please state for the record, the city and circuit 9 in which you reside. 10 I live in Landrum, South MR. COLLINS: 11 Carolina, which is the 7th Judicial Circuit. 12 MR. FIFFICK: Mr. Chairman, I note for the 13 record that based on the testimony contained in the 14 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the record 15 withe candidate's consent, Mr. Collins meets the statutory 16 requirements for this position regarding age, residence, 17 and years of practice. 18 EXAMINATION BY MR. FIFFICK: 19 Mr. Collins, why do you want to serve as a family 0. 20 court judge? And why do you feel your legal and 21 professional experience qualify and will assist you to be 22 an effective judge? 23 I want to be a family court judge, I have for 24 several years, because I got to see the difference that a 25 good judge makes for our families and our children and our

state. I grew up with Judge Jim Fraley and Judge Georgia Anderson, Buddy Brown out of Cherokee, and those were the judges that I grew up as a baby-lawyer with.

And I got to see what a difference they made for families when they did the right thing. And I wanted an opportunity, hopefully, to do the same thing for the folks of South Carolina.

I've been doing this for twenty years. The first case had ever landed on my desk, once I was sworn in, was a divorce case. And I've been in family court ever since.

And I hope after twenty years, and teaching other lawyers how to do this, working with clients, that maybe a chance to work from the bench may be what's next for me.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, are there any areas of the law which you feel you would need additional preparation in order to serve as a family court judge? And how would you handle that additional preparation?
- A. The one area that I'm weakest in, in family court right now is juvenile delinquencies. It's an area that I have done very little work in. I've done some. A lot of times it was because I was standing in the hallway when a guardian ad litem was needed in a juvenile case. But that is one of those areas that I have lawyers around that do that type of work, that I can talk to, to learn the statutes, learn what the procedures are and what the norms

1 | are.

And then I have access to experienced family court judges that I'm sure would help guide me and put me in the right direction.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, please briefly describe your experience in handling complex contested family court matters. And specifically, discuss your experience with the financial aspects of family court work.
- A. Like I said, I've been doing this for twenty years. I was licensed in November of 1998. And the first case that crossed my desk in the little town of Landrum, where I was practicing at the time, was a divorce case. I've been there ever since.

I have worked in contested adoptions. I have done contested custody cases for those twenty years. I have taught other lawyers for both private companies, MBI as well as the Bar, topics on custody, business valuation. I have attended additional training, both in custody evaluations and business valuations at the Houston Trial Academy, which is held annually in Houston.

Several of our lawyers from South Carolina teach there. So I've attended that, both their business valuation course and their basic -- their basic trial advocacy course.

And so between twenty years of doing it, dealing

with these folks, their families on a day-to-day basis, and that additional studying and then teaching other lawyers as well, I think that prepares me to do -- at least handle the divorce aspects and the custody and financial aspect.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, the South Carolina Bar Judicial Qualifications Committee found you well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, character and professional and academic ability, reputation and experience, but they only found you qualified in the evaluative criteria of judicial temperament. To what do you attribute this finding of qualified as opposed to well qualified?
- A. My understanding is that there were some comments made during the evaluations, that I may be a little harsh or that I may be, I guess, short with -- coming from the bench. There is no doubt that I oftentimes see things in black and white. And there's a certain standard of behavior that I expect lawyers that I participate with to meet, certain behaviors that I just think are inappropriate from lawyers.

And so I can see where some of those lawyers might think that my standards may be a little tough or rigid. But aside from that -- that's the only thing I can think of is I have -- I have very high standards for myself. And I expect those around me -- I think the legal

- 1 profession is one of those places where it's a privilege to 2 practice law. And if you're going to practice law, you 3 need to meet certain standards of behavior and 4 preparedness. 5 Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, the Commission 6 received 73 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 8 7 additional comments. One ballot box survey said, for 8 example: 9 "Mr. Collins is extremely well suited for this 10 position. He has a terrific demeanor, is extremely 11 intelligent, and has shown himself to be fair and impartial 12 in many settings. I think he would make an outstanding 13 family court judge." 14 Three of the written comments expressed concerns. 15 One comment indicated you are a very difficult person to 16 deal with as an attorney, and would be difficult and 17 excessively authoritarian as a judge. What response would
 - A. I guess that goes back to that -- the same comment as before, in that I have certain standards for myself and I have certain standards for the folks that I work with, I guess is the best way to put it.

you offer to this concern?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And when I think a lawyer or a client for that matter, a litigant in family court is acting inappropriately or not doing the right thing, then I make

it known. That is not something -- if you would ask me to describe myself, "authoritarian" or "overbearing" would not be the words that I would describe.

But I can see where that particular individual, had they been a lawyer in a case where I took a very strong stance or a strong position, had felt that something needed to be done a certain way, I can see where they took that -- where they took that away from that position.

- Q. In addition to the concern about your status as an attorney and being difficult, one comment also indicated that you have a questionable reputation and poor demeanor also outside of court. How would you address that concern?
- A. I have no idea. Without more specifics as to what they're talking about, I frankly would have no idea as to why I would have a poor reputation with anyone.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Another comment said that you might not be prepared to serve on the bench, and that you lack the level of knowledge possessed by many other judicial candidates. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. I would say that having taught for several years for the Bar in the Hot Tips Series, having taught several times a year for MBI and other local bar associations, I've taught for Lexington County and I've taught for Spartanburg County, at least, if not others as well.

I would think that very extensive list of topics and seminars that I've taught at would show otherwise.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, a fourth concern questioned your many law partners and associates over the years, and the fact that you seem to move on from jobs and working relationships frequently. What response would you have to this concern?
- A. I have had a couple of law -- several law partners over the years. The one that frankly lasted the longest, and was the best suited, was Phil Sinclair. For some reason he decided he wanted to leave and go be a family court judge after -- I think it was four or five years that we had spent together.

But for him becoming a family court judge, I expect that Phil Sinclair and I would have still practiced together. This is one of those things where you are able - either able to work well together or you're not. And if it doesn't work, sometimes it's -- you can't find that out until you've practiced together. And if it doesn't work, then you move on.

I'm still friends in both in a professional setting, and for some of them in a social setting, with every lawyer that I've ever practiced with.

Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, you've been involved in six lawyers. The first lawsuit from 1994 is

Larry Evans, Sr. v. Mr. Collins, Jr. It was filed as a result of an automobile accident. Please explain the nature and the disposition of this lawsuit, and a second related suit in 1995.

A. Yes, sir, that -- there was a automobile accident. I was a college study, I think, still at that time. It was dismissed during the discovery stage, initially. There was a second action with that same caption for a transcript of judgement.

I actually talked to the clerk's office in Spartanburg County, and they couldn't tell me why there was a second case number attached to it. But there was no second actual lawsuit filed. The second one was just for a transcript of judgement, showing that it had been dismissed with prejudice.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, a third action occurred in 2000, Kelly Gosline Collins v. Mr. Collins, Jr. This was a divorce action. Please explain its nature and disposition.
- A. Kelly and I had married shortly after college.

 And this was -- we were divorced -- we separated in 1999,
 and divorced in 2000. It was a peaceful divorce. We
 reached what I call a "kitchen table agreement." And that
 was approved by Judge Buddy Brown just shortly after our
 one-year separation.

Q. Thank you, sir. A fourth lawsuit David M. Collins v. William Douglas Patten was filed in 2008 as a result of an automobile accident. Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit.

- A. That action -- that was actually filed, I think, in magistrate court. Mr. Patten crossed the centerline in Downtown Spartanburg, hit me and -- it was almost a head-on. But thankfully, at a very low speed. I filed the lawsuit to help the process along, to get the property dam settled. There were no physical injuries. It was dismissed once it was settled by the insurance companies.
- Q. Mr. Collins, a fifth lawsuit Billy Koon Wood v. David M. Collins, Jr., was filed in 2012 by a perspective client. Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit.
- A. Mr. Wood came to see me, it was either late 2011 or early 2012, I believe it was. At that point he wanted me to represent him in probate court. I quoted him a fee. He declined to hire me at that point. He then went to probate court and lost and turned around and sued me for, I guess, malpractice for not agreeing to take his case at a reduced rate and not represent him, even though he hadn't hired me.

It went to trial and was dismissed after the plaintiff's presentation.

Q. And, Mr. Collins, a final sixth lawsuit, TLC
Accounting and Tax Incorporated v. David Michael Collins.

It's filed by an accountant you hired to assist you with your truth accounts. Please explain the nature and disposition of this lawsuit, including the counterclaim you filed in the matter.

- A. Yes, sir. I had filed a counterclaim against that accounting -- accountant and her firm for malpractice, or for not doing what she was hired to do. So it would have been a malpractice, essentially, claim. That case was settled prior to trial. She had claimed that there were a very large bill that was due to her for work that was done. I had disagreed with her about the bill. It settled for an amount much less than what I was sued for.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, what is your philosophy on recusal?
- A. If when at all -- when at all possible, if there is a question about an impropriety or a question where a judge's appearance in a particular case, I would -- I would err on the side of granting the recusal.

I guess the corresponding or the balancing consideration is making sure that if families and children get a judge to render a decision as quickly as possible in their particular case. So if the recusal can be granted without a delay, without putting any children at harm, then

1 I would err on the side of granting the recusal. 2 If the children need to be protected, then that 3 takes priority. 4 Thank you, sir. Mr. Collins, do you believe it 5 appropriate to recuse yourself in a matter involving the 6 law, simply because you disagree with that law? 7 Α. I think there are times when that's going to be -8 - that may very well be the only way to handle it, 9 depending on what the law is and what the situation is. 10 There are times that family court judges are going to be 11 asked to apply laws that they may not necessarily agree 12 with. 13 And that's part of the job. This is the law. 14 This is what the Legislature says. This is what our courts 15 -- our appellate courts have said. You take that law and 16 apply it. There may very well be times when, for moral considerations or other things, a judge is not comfortable 17 18 doing it. And that may be a time when a recusal is 19 appropriate. But that would be a very rare exception. 20 0. Thank you, sir. Now some housekeeping issues to 21 take care of. Mr. Collins, are you aware that as a 22 judicial -- a judicial candidate you are bound by the code

A. Yes, sir.

Carolina Appellate Court Rules?

23

24

25

of judicial conduct as found in Rule 501 of the South

1 Q. Mr. Collins, since submitting your letter of 2 intent have you contacted any members of the Commission 3 about your candidacy? 4 Α. No, sir. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 5 Q. 6 the limitations on contacting members of the General 7 Assembly regarding your screening? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 Since submitting your letter of intent have you 0. 10 sought or received the pledge of any legislator either 11 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 12 screening? 13 Α. No, sir. 14 Have you asked any third parties to contact Q. 15 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you 16 aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 17 your behalf? 18 No. sir. Α. 19 And have you reviewed and do you understand the 0. 20 Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code 21 Section 2-19-70(E)? 22 Yes, sir. I believe we've reviewed that. Α. 23 Q. Thank you, sir. 24 I would note that the Upstate MR. FIFFICK: 25 Citizens Committee found Mr. Collins to be well qualified

1 in fields of ethical fitness, professional and academic 2 ability, character, reputation, experience and judicial 3 The Committee found Mr. Collins qualified in temperament. 4 the fields of constitutional qualifications, physical 5 health and mental stability. 6 I would just note for the record that any 7 concerns raised during the investigation regarding the 8 candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the 9 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further 10 questions. 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okav. Thank you. Senator 12 Hayes. 13 EXAMINATION BY MR. HAYES: 14 I just have a couple of questions. Q. 15 Α. Yes, sir. Thank you for your willingness to serve in this 16 0. 17 capacity. In your comments, I noted you said at times you 18 called down other attorneys for behavior that you thought 19 was out of line, or whatever. Could you give a couple of 20 examples of that? 21 And I don't know if I've called down in the sense Α. 22 that I had some authority over them. But there have been 23 times, for example, when clients do stupid stuff in family

court. And sometimes they do things that are harmful to

children and to their spouses. And I have at times asked

24

25

lawyers or told lawyers that I thought they needed to get their clients under control, or at least to have conversations with their clients about what was appropriate or not appropriate for them to do. That would be an example of those types of things.

There are times when we've wasted clients' money, we've wasted clients' time because of situations that just simply didn't -- what they were asking for wasn't available to them under our legal system, things that our family court judge just couldn't do.

And I've at times been very vocal that it wasn't in the clients or the children's best interest to pursue those frivolous claims when we needed to just figure out a way to make it work under the -- under what we could do in our family court system.

- Q. Just one other question. I noted you've been to a number of different schools. Where does your loyalty lie, Georgia or South Carolina or Clemson? I noticed you went to all three of them.
- A. They're all on this side of the border. I am confused the week of -- the week of Thanksgiving, we'll just say that, when it comes to a -- between Clemson and Carolina.
- Q. What about Georgia? I saw that you went there too.

1 Α. I did go to Georgia, but I was there for a very 2 short period of time. When it comes to -- when it comes to 3 school loyalty, it's Clemson and Carolina. 4 Thank you. I appreciate it. 5 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Collins, I'm just curious, given your earlier 6 0. 7 statement about being kind of a black-and-white kind of 8 guy, it's not orange and black, or orange and garnet and 9 black --10 I'm just confused that weekend. Α. 11 Were you happy this past Saturday night? 0. 12 Α. I have no clue who won. I didn't even watch. 13 0. Wow. 14 So I have probably watched less than a quarter of Α. 15 football this year, so --Good for you. You'll live a long life --16 0. 17 Α. A much longer life, yes. 18 -- avoiding that weekly roller coaster. 0. 19 to ask you a couple of questions. In terms of the 20 participation by those in your area, who filled out the 21 ballot box surveys, unfortunately there are not that many 22 people either who know you well enough to say good or bad, 23 though you got, I think, about a 114, if my math is

correct. And, again, a few comments. Mr. Fiffick asked

24

25

you about some of them.

And in your work history have worked with what I think you would agree, and maybe disagree by saying that you're not the top, but one of the top family court judges in the state, that being Judge Sinclair, that was your partner, right?

- A. That was my partner, yes, sir.
- Q. Who enjoys a wonderful reputation across the board by those anonymous survey respondents, the Bar and the Citizens Committee, and in fact got nary not one negative comment, with a slew of positive comments --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. -- about his ability. So you certainly have been exposed to somebody who knows how to wear the robe correctly.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm curious about the Bar qualifications, you were asked about that, and you've explained your response to the judicial temperament where they found you only qualified versus well qualified.

Tell me -- well, let me just tell you that you have -- are rated very highly on the rest of the questions there, six in all. And, in fact, have done exceedingly well compared to a number of folks. So you've got that to be proud of.

And then shift to the Citizens Committee, where

1 again they found you well qualified in judicial 2 temperament. Any idea why there might be a disconnect 3 between those two? 4 Α. Between those two? I'll be honest in that I was 5 surprised at the lack of a well qualified result from the 6 Bar Committee. That interview I thought went quite well. 7 We later the room laughing, which was -- so I was a little 8 surprised at their comments -- or their decision on my 9 demeanor. 10 I have no idea. Other than I know there are a 11 very small -- I would assume a -- I hope a small group of 12 lawyers that -- like I say would be a very small minority, 13 but may be an outspoken minority, that I haven't had as 14 kind or a gentle interaction with as others. But other 15 than just that very small handful, I don't -- I can't tell 16 you why --Tell me about that, that process that the Bar 17 18 itself -- not the Citizens Committee, but the JQ. 19 about that. 20 The interview that I had --Α. 21 Right. Q. 22 Α. -- that day? There were a --23 Q. How long did that take? 24 Oh, we were in there, I would say thirty to Α. 25 forty-five minutes. It was the full allotted time. I know when I came out, the gentleman who was next on the roster was standing at the door waiting, because we had taken the full time.

But it was a very open discussion. We talked about a -- two of the ladies that were in there, were local practitioners in the same town that my office is in now. I have tried cases with one of them, I have not tried case -- I had not tried a case with the other one. But I at least knew who she was and had worked with her partner on one case.

And so we were able to talk about the law, different opinions that I may or may not have -- you know, what I felt about particular types of circumstances or situations that, you know, arise in family court.

We did talk about some of the issues that came up in the ballot box, but one that we talked about, I think, more than we did the actual -- being to me was me being too nice. That was one of the things we talked about was that there were some lawyers that I think were concerned that I may not be tough enough when things needed to be done or hard decisions needed to be made.

Q. In terms of your -- again, I invoke the Carolina/Clemson context, but the black-and-white view of the law that you had. And Senator Hayes' question, I want to kind of pursue that a little bit more.

What context would there be, that you would not - that you would exhibit some frustration with someone
either pursuing a frivolous claim? I mean, your opposing
counsel to these --

- A. We were talking about situations where this would be opposing counsel, yes, sir.
- Q. And so again you're advocating for your position, they're advocating for theirs, in terms of the sense that you are somehow --
- A. For example, there are -- there are certain things in family court that a -- that a family court judge -- there's certain things that you just can't get in a courtroom. I mean, our -- our family court is a court of equity, and so there are wide ranging things that our judges can do. But there are just some things that a judge cannot do.

And sometimes we have lawyers that take positions that just are incompatible with what the existing case law is, or what the existing statutes are. And those are times when I would emit frustration when lawyers take positions that are for things that they know they can't get in a communicate.

Q. But again to -- and I won't linger much longer here, but how would that arise to the level of a -- someone complaining -- I mean, you would mediate a case. You all

either negotiate yourselves, but --

A. Chairman, I have no clue. I have -- I don't know. I can't -- I wish I could tell you a situation or a circumstance that would -- that I can tell you that I have behaved in a certain way that would -- that would rise to this level. I wish there was a way to know who it was, so that I could go apologize and make it right.

I mean, if I've -- if I have behaved in a way that has put somebody to the point where they want to make that comment, then I would love to have the opportunity to make it right. I just -- I can't -- I don't know. I don't know.

- Q. And it's difficult, again, likewise for us.
- A. Right.

- Q. And I may have missed some of the exchange about that. In terms of these either objective or subjective criteria that we have, again the Bar qualifications --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- the Citizens Committee, ballot box surveys, do you think that we should rely upon these metrics in part of our weighing of candidate's candidacy?
- A. I think you have to. Because there's no other way. I mean, you -- you've -- as much as I wish I could tell you what has prompted those comments, or I wish I could explain them, or for that matter make them right, I

don't know that you as a committee have any other way to get insight into what happens day-to-day with our Bar and the individuals that are going to come in front of you.

So, yes, I think you have to rely on those metrics. I do think you have to take into account the fact that oftentimes the folks that would say good things may not be the ones that are going to be the ones quickest to make comments. It's going to be those who are disgruntled or unhappy or feel offended in some way, that are going to be the ones that are most vocal.

- Q. Well, an example -- and I'm not going to let you use Judge Sinclair.
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. But what attributes of a judge would you employ if you were successful? And it could be someone we know.
- A. Oh, I don't -- I don't mind telling you. I have for years told Judge Fraley that he wasn't allowed to leave the bench until he trained me, if I ever got a black robe. Now, unfortunately, he didn't listen. And he's retiring this year. So I'm hoping he will at least pick up the phone and answer my questions.

But Judge Fraley has for years been the model of what I thought a family court judge should be. Judge Fraley taught me immensely when I was a baby-lawyer. I can remember being in hearings and having legal discussions

with that man, he did it off the top of his head, things
that I was having to research but he knew -- he just knew.

When I left his courtroom, even if clients didn't like the decision, clients and I at least knew why he did what he did. And there was a logical reason for why he did a certain thing. So that's always been -- that's always been the goal, if I ever got a robe and sat on the family court bench, Judge Fraley was the model for what I wanted to be like.

Q. How about temperament?

A. Judge Fraley as well. I mean, he was always -you knew when Judge Fraley was upset. But not because he
raised his voice or did anything overt. I just -- I knew
him from years of appearing in front of him, and knew his
demeanor, but clients quite often never knew what was going
on, you know, there.

So that always even-keeled, never upset and never raising a voice, never -- he was firm but always rational, always kind. That demeanor was always what I would -- is what I would tell you I would strive for if I -- if I ever became a family court judge.

- Q. But a name -- but the negative -- you've been in -- you've tried cases, you're out for twenty years.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The attributes of a judge that you would not want

to be associated with?

A. The biggest one would be judges that don't listen, judges that seem to have a preconceived idea of what the -- what the ruling is going to be before you ever walk into a courtroom or hear an argument from a lawyer or a testimony from a -- from a client. Those that don't seem to listen, those that can't give you a rational basis for why they do what they do.

And those that just seem to be -- for lack of a better term, arrogance. Arrogance seem -- arrogance and inability to listen and almost a dictatorial type of demeanor from a judge. A judge needs to be one who's capable of listening and making a decision based on what they hear, and not imposing their preconceived notions on parties that walk into their courtroom.

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Ms. McIver.

MS. MCIVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:

- Q. Mr. Collins, I noted on your list of organizations with which you are affiliated, you have a high number of radio organizations.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. What do you do with those organizations? What are those?
 - A. Those are -- they're amateur radio, ham radio

1 organizations. Most of them are community service 2 organizations that are designed to provide communications -- the term is, "When all else fails." And so in support of 3 4 law enforcement, fire services, first responders, 5 government agencies. And the others are more or less social clubs. 6 7 They're just -- they're geeks. And, you know, other people 8 don't like to interact with geeks, so sometimes we interact 9 with ourselves. That's what that is mostly. 10 Okay. What about the Belton Gun Club? What is Q. 11 that? 12 Α. It's a rifle range, a shooting range. Just on 13 the other side of the Greenville/Anderson County line. 14 Q. Thank you. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. 16 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 17 18 Mr. Collins, I have a few questions for you. 0. 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 Q. I appreciate your interest in serving our state 21 on the bench. One question is in the sense that you are 22 not currently a judge, and you would -- obviously, if 23 you're elected, you would be ascending to the bench, and 24 you would be moving from your role as an advocate for your 25 client to serving, obviously, as a judge. Do you think you

-- do you think you can make that adjustment fairly easily?

A. Yes, sir. For ten years I've wanted to be a family court judge. And just because having interacted with some of those judges that I look up to and that I thought had the demeanors that were best on the bench, I tended to gravitate more towards those types of demeanors than I did just the brass knuckles, knock down, drag out litigators.

I guess my personality, I would say, is more of a problem-solver than a -- than just a combatant. And so that -- I think that the transition will be relatively easy.

Q. Have you mediated any family court cases?

A. As a mediator? Yes, sir. I actually was trained as a mediator in 2000. I think it was right before the South Carolina Bar started their mediator training here, I went to Atlanta and found a mediation training center in Atlanta, and went to that training and started doing mediations in 2000.

Q. Are you a certified mediator?

A. I was. I allowed that certification to lapse, I want to say two or three years ago. I just -- I wasn't getting enough of the work to justify trying to focus on that area. And so I allowed the certification to lapse. But at one point, yes, sir, I was.

- 1 I noticed in your educational background that you Q. 2 started school at Newberry College and you went to the 3 University of Georgia and then eventually ended up at the 4 University of South Carolina-Upstate to get your bachelor 5 of science. Is there any particular reason why you moved 6 schools, you know, I guess to the -- you went to three 7 different schools? 8 Yes, sir. Newberry was -- Newberry is a great Α. 9 school. But I came from a little high school in Landrum, 10 we had eighty-eight graduate in the Class of '89. So when 11 I went to Newberry, it was a very similar environment. Ιt was a small school, a small community. I wanted to 12 13 experience something a little bit different. 14 And so I went to Georgia. And it was very much 15 different. I had a lot of fun. I learned a lot. great school as well. My grandfather got sick during that 16 17 time that I was at Georgia, and I decided to come back to 18 be closer to home, once he went into the hospital and 19 started going downhill. 20 0. I saw where you have a master's in public administration. 21 22 Yes, sir. Α.
 - - Q. Have you used that in your legal career?
- 24 Α. I have not.

23

25

I also saw where you have a massage therapist Q.

license.

- A. I do. My wife is an occupational therapist, and does a particular type of therapy that as a massage therapist, I can do as well. And so that was -- if I continue to practice law for another ten, fifteen, twenty years, that was kind of the retirement plan was for us to be able to practice together. Because I could then come in and do the same type of treatments and therapy that she does.
- Q. I saw in your PDQ that you were the director -- I think it's the director of a --
 - A. Miracle Hill.
 - Q. -- Miracle Hill?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And that was while you were practicing law?
 - A. I took some time and practiced law part-time while I did that as well. It was -- I forget the years, but some of you may remember the shelter that was run in Downtown Spartanburg ran into some difficulties. And the gentlemen that were running it stepped away, and it was taken over by Miracle Hill.

I went in and for a period of time actually was the day-to-day director of that mission. I had a filing cabinet in the back corner, with family court cases I was still working on while I was doing that.

And several months into that, I realized that there were certain things as a lawyer I could do to help some of those folks, that other people couldn't do. There were other people that could sit at that desk and manage personnel issues and make sure that the mission ran and the food was served and the heaters were on and the clothes were there when it got cold, but there were not too many folks that could practice law and do what I did.

So I went back to practicing law and let somebody else step in and take over as the director there. And the last time -- the last time I was there to visit, he was still the director.

- Q. You were partners with somebody named Chris -- Stephen Chris?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And that -- it looks like that lasted about a year and a half, or about a year and three -- nine months?
- A. Stephen is a young lawyer, a very good lawyer.
 But Stephen got married, and Stephen's wife had just opened
 a retail business and I think the decision, basically, was
 that one of them needed to get a real job with health
 insurance. And that was something that we didn't have in
 our two-lawyer practice.

He is now working with a law firm -- I think it's Coburn -- Coburn & Coburn or something. It's a relatively

large firm. He and I still talk on a regular basis, refer cases back and forth to each other. It was an economic decision on his part to take that job.

O. Have you been a DSS contract attorney at any

- Q. Have you been a DSS contract attorney at any point in time in your career?
 - A. No, sir.

Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Have you handled any abuse and neglect cases?

I used to do a fair amount of parent defense.

- When I was a younger lawyer, back before the contracts came out I would do a -- they still had an appointment list.
- And so I would, for a fee, take over appointments for other lawyers. They would pay me a flat fee to substitute in and take over their appointed cases.

And so I did a fair amount of DSS defense work on behalf of the parents, taking other lawyers' appointments at that time. So, yes, I'm very familiar with the DSS system.

- Q. As far as areas of the law that may come before you, what would you do to prepare yourself in areas in which you maybe have not practiced as much in?
- A. Well, the example of the juvenile delinquents, that was an area that Judge Sinclair, I think at that point in time would have -- did not have much experience at, when he was running. And I was his law partner, so I would do the same thing I did to help him is pull the statutes and

1 read them. He would go talk to other judges, what do you -2 - you know, "How do you handle this? What type of things 3 come up and how do you address them?" 4 I would do the same thing. He would talk to 5 other lawyers that actually did juvenile delinquency work. 6 And so those are the types of folks that I would talk to, 7 at least to try and learn that system as well as I could 8 prior to taking the bench. And I am -- I have no doubt that -- I don't know 9 10 this for certain, but I would assume that the Bar has some 11 type of resources available to the judiciary to help bring 12 them up to speed prior to actually taking the bench in 13 those particular areas where they're -- where they're 14 weakest. 15 Q. I don't have any further questions at this time. 16 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 17 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 18 Mr. Collins, I know it's getting kind of late in 0. 19 the day. And I'll try not to keep you any longer than 20 necessary. But I just want to ask a few things. I know 21 there have been a couple of comments as far as concerns based upon the ballot box and, you know, I guess, the Bar 22

But let me just ask a few things. You know, you -- I think I'm saying you're AB rated under --

23

24

25

Committee had said.

1 Α. Yes, sir. As I understand it, aren't those ratings 2 Q. 3 basically done by peers? 4 Α. They are. Yes, sir. 5 Q. And how long have you held that? 6 I got my C rating, which is the initial rating, Α. 7 the first year I was eligible; I got my B the first year I 8 was eliqible; and I got my A the first year I was eliqible. 9 And so, you know, you've been practicing at least 10 under an AB rating for a period of time now, haven't you? 11 Α. Well over ten years, yes. 12 0. And when you get asked to speak on these 13 particular seminars and all, I'm assuming, you know, you're 14 not calling and asking them to get on, they're soliciting 15 you? 16 Α. That is correct. Yes, sir. 17 Q. And you're speaking particularly in the area that 18 you're more or less here talking to us about, which is 19 family court. 20 Yes, sir. Α. 21 I know that Senator Hayes asked you about some 0. 22 examples, and as far as maybe sometimes where people maybe 23 behaving in a certain way that you find to be

inappropriate, and maybe you'll make comment about it. And

I understand that sometimes clients can get out of hand,

24

25

particularly in a high-stress situation.

I guess are there other examples do you run into every now and then, where maybe lawyers act in a way that you just find to be inappropriate?

A. Yes, sir. I grew up as a baby-lawyer in Spartanburg County. I was taught by lawyers that had been practicing twenty or thirty-plus years at that point, that there was a certain way to behave. You acted like a gentleman and you behaved in a way that you were an advocate for your client, but if you ran into the other lawyer at dinner that night, and his wife, you could still have a civil conversation.

And that behavior went -- I say in a gentlemanly way, because when I had talkings to by older lawyers that was -- that was how it was approached. But the ladies behaved the same way. And it was a -- and there was a certain standard of civility that was required.

As a Bar, we've lost that. I mean, the fact that we are now required to take a civility oath as lawyers speaks volumes about where our profession has started to go. And so that is -- that's the standard of behavior that I expect of myself and --

- Q. And I understand. And granted, not everybody is going to be able to be on their best behavior 24/7.
 - A. Right.

- Q. That's how it works. And, you know, I guess what I'm more or less asking is, I know there are certain things that I'm sure I do, that people find to be problematic or offensive.
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

23

- Q. It may not be intended that way, but it happens. The flip side is that there are certainly things that I personally, and I'm sure others, find to be difficult, i.e., saying something to the court that's not true.
- 10 A. Right.
 - Q. And, you know, those are hot button-type of situations where it does bother you.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And I'm assuming you probably have similar views about that.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Tell me, at least in terms of your looking at comments about temperament and demeanor, would you say that there's a distinction in terms of how you may behave as far as, you know, how you go about a case as an advocate versus how you would be behaving as the one who sits as the judge?

 I mean, aren't those kind of different roles?
 - A. They are extremely different roles, yes, sir.
- Q. And as I understand it, you may do things that other lawyers may not particularly like, simply because

- they could be adverse to the position they're trying to
 take in a particular case. I mean, you've run into that,
 haven't you?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. I think as we all have. But I mean, we're all sometimes guilty of it, that if somebody is basically doing something that's kind of hurting our clients, maybe hurting our case, you know, it may push the wrong button. Not necessarily rationally, but as a practical matter it does happen.
 - A. We're all human. Yes, sir.
- Q. And so again, you know, I take with a grain of salt to some extent these ballot boxes. Particularly when they're talking about somebody who hasn't sat in the role as the judge, but rather it's a matter of solely through a period of being an advocate. Because again they are two different hats, aren't they?
 - A. Extremely different hats. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm assuming with recognizing what you've said in terms of trying to maintain a certain level of courtesy and decorum, you're not necessarily going about a case the same way in terms of how you pursue it if you are trying to reach a goal for a client, as opposed to if you're sitting there trying to decide between two positions.
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Tell me are there people, probably that you've run into that have done some things, that if you were asked to comment about them as advocates, that you might not have, you know, a glowing response? I mean, is that a fair statement?
 - A. That's a fair statement. Yes, sir.

- Q. But is that not necessarily kind of something that would be true for everybody in the Bar, at least at one point or another?
- A. At some point in time or another, yes, sir, we've all -- we've all made those mistakes.
- Q. But what I'm seeing is, is that at least -basically, at least in terms of your professional
 development, you came up and you were taught a certain way,
 you have over a period of time tried to find a niche in the
 family court, and you've reached a point where at least
 some of your peers are basically saying that they think you
 have very high capacity in terms of both your ability and
 your ethics.

And you're also basically are in a situation where, you know, you've developed at least the reputation enough to where some of these folks want you to talk to them about it, give them lectures and have a value as far as your opinion is concerned.

A. I hope so, yes, sir.

1 And let me ask this -- I mean, if you didn't get Q. 2 this, is basically -- you're not going to stop practicing 3 family law, are you? 4 Not my plan. No, sir. Α. 5 Q. I mean, that's still something that is on the 6 table. 7 Α. That's what I know how to do. Yes, sir. 8 Okay. And let me ask this -- I mean, if Judge 0. 9 Fraley hadn't been retiring, or maybe if an opportunity 10 didn't present itself, you know, for you to go on the 11 bench, I mean, would you otherwise be fine as far as 12 continuing a career as a lawyer? 13 Α. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 14 I know you've talked about kind of the end game Q. 15 maybe being with your wife, but that's down the road a 16 ways, isn't it? 17 Α. She doesn't want me now. No, sir. 18 Thank you for your time. 0. 19 Thank you, sir. Α. 20 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Perhaps you need to learn 21 a new massage technique. 22 MR. COLLINS: That's it. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It might produce a 24 different result. 25 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

1 Q. I want to follow up on the question and answer 2 about this homeless shelter. By my count it was five full 3 months that you were serving as the, I guess, interim 4 director of the Miracle Hill Ministries Homeless Shelter? 5 Α. It was not treated as an interim position. 6 it was five months, yes, sir. And that's -- it was 7 October, November, December -- 'cause I started the 8 beginning of October and ended February, right after my 9 birthday. 10 And your birthday is the end of February? Q. 11 The end of February, yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. So you took a sabbatical, effectively? 13 Effectively. I mean, I still had to appear in Α. 14 I still had cases that I was still handling. I 15 didn't take any new family court -- I didn't take any new 16 cases at all during that period of time. But there were 17 some that I still needed to wrap up and finish, yes, sir. 18 And that was while you were also working under 0. 19 what firm? 20 I was practicing by -- I think at that point I Α. 21 was by myself. 22 Okay. And was that a religious pursuit or a --0. 23 Α. It was. At that point I was a Sunday school 24 I considered going to seminary. And that did not teacher. 25 pan out, so I -- like I said, I'm a lawyer.

- Q. And you were a Sunday school teacher?
- 2 I was a Sunday school teacher at that point. Α. 3 This was a -- it's a -- Miracle Hill is a -- is a Baptist 4 organization. And that was the connection. It was also at 5 that point in time that I was -- had considered taking some 6 night classes in seminary, because of that particular 7 organizations bent and its -- and its mission. But so, 8 yeah, it was a sabbatical, I guess, would probably be the 9 best way to describe it.
 - Q. I want to commend you for it. I am not familiar with that group. But obviously a void there, and somebody had to do it.
 - A. Somebody had to do it.
 - Q. And you stepped in.
- 15 A. Yes, sir.

1

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Not in the religious vein, but in the -- that of service in terms of your viewing a judgeship what -- do you see a corollary there or a --
- A. Absolutely. This is -- you with are called to be in family court in one capacity or another, or you don't belong there. I mean, that's -- that's the way I've looked at family court. These are -- these are families that are going through difficult times. These are children that need someone to protect them and take care of them, and make sure that they have the best chance at life.

1 This is -- this is a way to serve the community and to serve our state. This is not something you do for 2 3 If you want to make money as a lawyer, there are a 4 lot of other ways to do it, and this ain't one of them. 5 Q. And for the last five years you've done 97 6 percent domestic. 7 Α. About 97 percent domestic, yes, sir. I've done a 8 little bit of -- I've done one or two probate cases, one or 9 two criminal cases. But even the criminal cases all had a 10 tie back into family court in one way, shape, or form. 11 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Collins, 12 if there are not any other questions, this 3:45 hearing 13 will come to a close. Very near that hour. And thank you 14 again for participating and offering for candidacy. And 15 let me remind you again that you, as you know, are subject 16 to the rules of this -- and the evaluative criteria. 17 MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That includes a strict 19 adherence to both the letter and the spirit of the South 20 Carolina laws of ethics. And we talked about that today, 21 right? 22 MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any violation of those 24 laws or the appearance of impropriety, you know would be 25 deemed -- deserving of very heavy weight and deliberations

1	by the Commission. We would call you back if there was any
2	question about a violation of that. You're aware of that,
3	correct?
4	MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The record will remain
6	open until the formal release of the report of
7	qualifications regarding anything that we're talking about
8	in this vein, which we don't expect. But you do know that
9	we could call you back.
10	MR. COLLINS: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Mr. Collins,
12	thank you so much. And if you hurry, you'll beat the five
13	o'clock traffic.
14	MR. COLLINS: Thank you.
15	(Candidate excused.)
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge Pope, we appreciate
17	your patience in being here for so long waiting. Let's
18	start by having you raise your right hand.
19	WHEREUPON,
20	THE HONORABLE KELLY POPE-BLACK, being duly
21	sworn and cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
22	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: The statements you have
24	before you, a PDQ and a sworn statement, are they ready to
25	go into the record?

JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: No additions or
corrections?
JUDGE POPE-BLACK: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you don't object to
them being made a part of the record.
JUDGE POPE-BLACK: No, sir.
(EXHIBIT NO. 22 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
HONORABLE KELLY POPE-BLACK)
(EXHIBIT NO. 23 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE KELLY
POPE-BLACK)
CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you're familiar
with this process, in fact we you have seen and know the
rules. But for the record I've got to state that we look
in when we investigate your candidacy, nine evaluative
criteria which includes the ballot box survey, a thorough
study of your application materials, verification of your
compliance with the state ethics laws, a search of
newspaper articles in which your name appears, a study of
previous screenings, and a check for economic conflicts of
interest.
No one has filed an affidavit in opposition
to your campaign or candidacy. No witnesses have desired

1	to be present and requested it. We note that you have your
2	husband with you, who is smiling broadly at the prospect of
3	continuing to visit and sit here with us. Would you like
4	to introduce him?
5	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: It's Jody Black.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Jody Black. Welcome, Mr.
7	Black. You have opening or an opportunity to make a
8	brief opening statement if you want. But given how close
9	it is to five o'clock, you probably don't want to.
10	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: And you all probably do
11	not want to hear one.
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're welcome to do it
13	now or at the end
14	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: I'm fine.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. All right.
16	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: You all have had a long
17	day and week already, so
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Crawford, if you will.
19	MS. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	EXAMINATION BY MS. CRAWFORD:
21	Q. Judge Pope-Black, do you enjoy serving as a
22	family court judge?
23	A. I do. I will admit there are times that it is
24	stressful, it's hard. No one can prepare you for the
25	stress that this job brings along with it, because there

are so many decisions being made regarding children. But I do enjoy it because I feel like I'm making a difference. I hope I'm making a difference.

I started our first juvenile drug court in Spartanburg, they didn't have one. It took a couple of years, but we were able to get that started. We just had our first graduate this past July. So it's a small juvenile drug court, but we finally have one.

So, yes, it's like any other job, it has good days and bad days. But I do, I love it.

Q. Besides that, why do you want to continue serving as a judge?

A. A lot for the same reasons. One, the reason that I took the job in the first place -- I think to do this position you have to have a service mind, and wanting to do that. And when I took this job, that was one of the things that I said I wanted to do was to be able to help children throughout our state.

I want to continue doing that. Like I said, there are some days that I can go home and feel like that I've not made a difference, that it's just been a very rough day. And then there are other days I go home, and we've had something wonderful happen in court or -- you know, I've had a juvenile that's done what they're supposed to do, or I've had a family that's done what they're

- supposed to do and they get their child back. And, you know, or we've had a beautiful adoption.
- So those are the reasons I want to continue to serve.
 - Q. And if you're reelected to this position, do you plan to serve a full term?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

- Q. And you mentioned the juvenile drug court. Are there any other accomplishments that you've completed during your tenure? Any other recent endeavors? I think that was the major one we had talked about.
- A. The main thing that sticks out in my mind is the juvenile drug court. I mean, 'cause it took -- we had no resources -- financial resources and backing for that at all. So it took -- literally took two years to get that started. We still don't have financial backing and resources for that, so we do it all voluntarily. And I hold juvenile drug court two nights a month, sometimes three. We have team meetings throughout the month, too, as well.
- 21 Q. Do you --
- 22 A. But that is my biggest accomplishment.
 - Q. Do you have any other goals or any other programs you'd like to start while you're -- if you're reelected to the bench?

A. There's some programs that involved more than just our county, that I would -- there are some things that I would like to see done, possibly statewide. The Chief Justice sent me to -- along with some others, to a conference in Knoxville, Tennessee.

And while we were there, we learned that in other states their DS -- their equivalent to our DSS has a statewide system where every child that's in the DSS system is listed, and any county can link in and see if that child has a history anywhere else, DJJ can link in and see if that child that child has a history anywhere else.

So that is something -- it would be a very long endeavor, something that would be quite indepth. But I would like to see our state at least explore that as an option.

Q. Thank you, Judge. In 2012 when you were originally screened for this position, when asked to explain to the members of the Commission what you think the proper demeanor of a family court judge is, you said, and I'll quote, "I think that a judge should be patient and understanding, but I think a judge should have control of the courtroom and the proceedings, and know when it's time to be stern, but do so in a manner that is respectful of those in the courtroom. In family court, you do a lot of pro se litigants, so I think you have to be extremely

patient and understanding, and make sure that they
understand the proceeding and they understand what is going
on in the courtroom. So I think the biggest thing is to be
respectful, but be stern when you need to be, and to be
patient."

Judge Pope-Black, what do you think your
reputation is among attorneys that practice before you, now
that you've been on the bench?

A. Probably one that she's going to start on time.
I try to be punctual and start on time. In the counties
that I work in, which are mainly Spartanburg and
Greenville, there's no time to start late. I mean, you
have a full docket all day. And to be prepared.

You know, we don't have a lot of time sometimes
on hearings, so if the attorneys prepare then it is

on hearings, so if the attorneys prepare then it is beneficial to us as sitting judges. But, yes, be on time and be prepared. And be cordial not only to the court, but also to your colleagues that's across the table from you.

Q. Judge, the Commission received 326 ballot box surveys regarding you, with 30 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments:

"Excellent judge. She has a wonderful temperament and is a particularly dedicated and fair trial judge. Outstanding judge who strives to make sure the best

1 interests of the children take priority in her courtroom." 2 Eleven of the written comments expressed 3 Several comments indicated you are not fair in 4 sentencing. What response would you offer to this concern? 5 Α. I guess do they mean sentencing in juvenile 6 court? 'Cause we don't really sentence elsewhere. We do 7 child support bench warrants. But that's kind of hard to 8 answer, because I don't really know what they mean by 9 "sentencing." 10 Q. Right. 11 Because that is the only place we would sentence, Α. 12 would be in juvenile court. 13 A concern was raised indicating that you 0. 14 were not responsive in tasks like signing and filing 15 What response would you offer to this concern? 16 Α. I would think probably that may have something to 17 do with the time period that when -- when Judge Kinlaw 18 moved to circuit court, I got reassigned to Greenville. 19 And so I was in Greenville County and out of my office probably for close to three months, I believe it was. 20 21 So I had a box literally in Greenville for 22 orders, a box literally in Spartanburg for orders, 23 traveling back and forth between the two, trying to get 24 orders signed. So if anything was late, it would have been

25

during that time period.

But I can assure you that if it was late being signed, it was probably maybe a little late being turned in. 'Cause I try to get them all signed within ten days of them being put on my desk. During that time period, it may have been a little longer than ten days. But that's my goal. But I literally was working two offices at once.

- Q. Another concern indicated that you were too uncompromising and not as flexible as family court necessitates. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. That I'm learning. That if I am that way -- I apologize if I'm perceived that way. I try not to be that way. I would say that I probably approach things better now than when I first came on the bench.

The first probably three -- two or three years wasn't taking the time to take care of myself and do what I needed to do. After I came before you all last year, I sat down with one of my mentors, Judge Tommy Edwards, I sat with him before I took the bench, I sat down with him and talked to him about what had taken place, some of the complaints, and told him I needed to work on these things. And so he and I had some good, candid conversations.

And so I try to relax more, take time for myself, find outlets to destress. I wasn't doing that when I first took the bench. So I would hope that the changes that I

have made are helping with that.

And, hopefully, that is not something that will be a continued problem in the future, because I am -- I take -- I take breaks now in-between hearings. I used to be so concerned with being able to stay -- you know, we have thirty hearings we have to get done today, 'cause I'm in a busy county, trying to get them done and not take any time and say, okay, I need about five minutes, I need about ten minutes. I do that now. Whereas before I was not.

So there's been a lots of changes in the past year, that I would hope are visible.

- Q. There were some concerns raised that you create your own procedures, forms and such. Can you -- and this has come up in the past, and I think we talked about it. Can you explain those concerns, or that comment?
- A. I think that's referring to the child safety questionnaire, which actually was created and is used by Judge Wayne Creech. It's his form. It's one a toolkit that we as family court -- it's a CD that's a family bench toolkit. And it's on there that we get, and every year you upload it to your computer, so it's forms that are given to us. And he's a very well respected family court judge, I use his form.

And it's basically when you're doing an agreement mainly or -- anything dealing with child custody disputes,

his form is used. And it asks certain questions, just so the court can know some information before we make a ruling, that it's in the best interest of the child.

Now, when that issue was raised before, I talked to Judge Creech about it. And he uses it all the time. I just don't think it was used a whole lot in my area before.

Q. Is that why you think there's -- I mean, do other judges in the area use this form?

A. I don't think they do in my county. I can't say for the others. I do know that I learned this time, that it was brought to my attention that I think guardian ad litem attorneys find it disrespectful in the Upstate area, because that's something that -- the questions that are on there, 'cause they ask about DSS involvement, any domestic violence in the home, criminal charges or a criminal background.

I think that the guardian ad litem attorneys thought that's their job. And they make a valid point. I have not -- that had not been raised until I was going through the screening this time. So that is actually something that I've actually started doing, if you have a guardian in the case, then, no, you don't have to do those forms.

- Q. But otherwise, you're still using --
- A. But otherwise, yes, I am still using them.

1 Q. Thank you, Judge. 2 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman --3 I'd like to add one part to that. Α. 4 Yes, ma'am. 0. 5 Α. I'm sorry. Part of those questions are too --6 are questions that we would have to ask to approve an 7 agreement. So part of it is a time-saving issue, if they 8 go ahead and answer the questions and sign the form, I 9 don't have to take the time to ask them. Which is another 10 reason why Judge Creech created the form and uses it. 11 still uses it all the time. 12 Q. Thank you, Judge. 13 Mr. Chairman, I note that the MS. CRAWFORD: 14 Upstate Citizens Committee reported that Judge Pope-Black 15 is well qualified in the evaluative criteria of ethical 16 fitness, professional and academic ability, character, 17 reputation and experience, qualified in the evaluative 18 criteria of constitutional qualifications, physical health 19 and mental stability, and unqualified in the evaluative criteria of judicial temperament. 20 21 The Citizens Committee noted, quote, "As the 22 Commission is aware, this Committee reported negative 23 information about Judge Pope-Black's judicial temperament

position. This Committee worked tirelessly to follow up on

last fall when she was candidate for the circuit judge

24

1 those reports this year. Our investigation revealed that 2 Judge Pope-Black's temperament remains a problem. During 3 our interview she reported that she had made significant 4 changes in her courtroom demeanor. Unfortunately, that is 5 not what -- that is not consistent with what the witnesses 6 we interviewed reported. The specific words and phrases 7 used by our witnesses were 'dictatorial,' 'disrespectful to 8 lawyers and litigants, ' 'arbitrary, ' 'arrogant, ' 9 'impatient,' 'creates issues when there weren't any,' 10 'lacking any tact.' Therefore, we would urge the 11 Commission to consider this candidate's qualifications 12 carefully. Based on the troubling information received 13 from many sources and not all lawyers," that was in parens, 14 "we must report that this candidate is unqualified in the 15 judicial temperament area of the evaluative criteria." 16 BY MS. CRAWFORD:

Q. Judge Pope-Black, there were several concerns also raised by the ballot box survey about your judicial temperament. So at this point I'm going to give you the opportunity to address both of these concerns -- both these concerns and the finding of the Citizens Committee at this point.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And as you do this, maybe you could touch on some things you mentioned before, some of the things that you've doing differently to address this perception of the

problems.

A. A lot of them are the same that I mentioned a moment ago. I also worked on -- am still working, and it's something that will continue to be worked on, is the delivery of decisions. When I had my Upstate Citizens Committee interview -- and as you know, I requested -- asked you if those were recorded, because we don't know.

When I read that, I was very concerned. It's very concerning. And it's hard to read those things about yourself. I was in and out of the interview in twenty minutes. I told them the same things that I had been working on, hoping that there had been a change, that it could be visible.

And I was actually told back that, "The response that we are getting is that there has been a change. We've seen a change. We're getting positive responses back."

And that was the end of it. And then I left the interview.

So seeing -- that's why I wanted to know if it was recorded, because seeing that really took me aback.

But what I can say is that I have been working hard. I'll continue. I hate that -- and I'm sorry that people feel that I am that way, because that's not the experience -- and family court's hard enough to come into in the first place, and that's not the experience that I want people to

1 leave with. Especially coming from me. 2 So I'll continue to do the things that I've been 3 working on, and actually find other avenues to work on it 4 as well. Because that's not the experience I want people 5 to have. 6 Thank you, Judge. I have a few housekeeping 0. 7 Since submitting your letter of intent have you 8 contacted any members of this Commission about your 9 candidacy? 10 Α. No, ma'am. 11 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 0. 12 the limitations on contacting members of the General 13 Assembly regarding your screening? 14 Α. Yes, ma'am. 15 And since submitting your letter of intent have 0. you sought or received the pledge of any legislator either 16 17 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 18 screening? 19 Α. No, ma'am. And have you asked any third parties to contact 20 Q. 21 members of the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware of anyone doing so on your behalf? 22

Garber Reporting

And have you reviewed and do you understand both

the Commission's guidelines on pledging, as well as South

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

No, ma'am.

1 Carolina Code Section 2-19-70(E) --2 Α. Yes, ma'am. 3 -- Subsection E? 0. 4 MS. CRAWFORD: I would note for the record 5 that any concerns raised during the investigation regarding 6 the candidate were incorporated into the questioning of the 7 candidate today. Mr. Chairman, I have no further 8 questions. 9 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 10 Judge, I want to ask you some questions. Q. 11 will tell you from the outset, that I am concerned about 12 the input that we've received, and that you are judged as 13 every other sitting judge and every other candidate for 14 election is held to and judged by. 15 You've mentioned and effectively said that the 16 Citizens Committee only spent twenty minutes with you. 17 Α. Approximately. 18 Let me ask you about the JQC, the Judicial 0. 19 Merit Qualifications Committee through the Bar. Tell me 20 about that process and how long did that interview take 21 place -- or take? 22 Approximately forty-five minutes to an hour, Α. 23 approximating. 24 And you've seen their results? Are you familiar Q. 25

1 Α. I've seen the -- what they released, the 2 qualified. Is that what you're referring to? 3 0. Yes, ma'am. 4 Yes, I've seen that. Α. 5 Q. And I will tell you, not to -- there's no way to 6 soften it, but you're the only sitting judge who received 7 no "well qualified," any standard that the Bar puts 8 candidates and sitting judges to the test of. 9 Can I ask a question? Because I'm not real sure. Α. 10 Does that mean there were no well qualified votes at all? 11 Or that report that they send out, is that -- the report --12 Q. The report -- the JQC reports that, "The 13 collective opinion of those Bar members surveyed regarding 14 Judge Pope-Black's candidacy is as follows." 15 And there was again --16 Α. Okav. I follow you now. I understand. 17 Q. There was no "well qualified." 18 Yes, sir. Α. 19 Tell me how as compared to other sitting judges -Q. 20 - and you've been out six years? 21 I'm in my fifth year. Α. Oh, fifth. 22 0. 23 Α. I'm starting the sixth. I don't know how you --24 but 2019 will be six years. 25 Should that be a concern, both to this Commission 0.

and to the -- to the Bar itself?

- A. That I came out qualified instead of well qualified?
 - O. Yes, ma'am.

- A. I can see how it would be concerning, yes. I will be honest, I can see how you would be concerned. But what I can say is that they did find me qualified.
- Q. And I'm going to interrupt you. There's no lower mark than qualified.
 - A. There's unqualified.
- Q. I'm sorry. Excuse me. I'm corrected. On the -- so go ahead. Go ahead. Forgive me.
- A. No, that's okay. I'm trying to find the words to -- yes, that's difficult to see. If I were sitting on your side, would I be concerned, yes, that there's no well qualified whatsoever, they were qualified. I feel like -- oh, I'm sorry.
 - Q. And I keep --
- A. I know I pause. I feel like that I do work hard at my job. I am making a difference. I'm doing a good job. Yes, there are areas I need to work on. I am working on those, and hopefully will be able to continue to work on those, and would take feedback on ways to do that. But I have been working on them, and will continue to do so.
 - Q. And, again, I'm -- I don't know you. I don't

1 know your husband. I have no personal enmity towards you. 2 I have no desire to pursue this. But there are 84 3 candidates for every stripe of judicial position we hold in 4 this state, and so they all kind of not run together, but we kind of hone in on these what I would consider -- and 5 6 perhaps you would agree or disagree that these are as 7 objective a criteria as we can get, comparing everybody, 8 person to person, qualification to qualification.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are these fair standards? The Bar JQC, the Citizens Committee's interview, the ballot box surveys, are these things that you agree that we should consider or --

- A. That puts me in a tight spot. Are you saying the process, I guess?
- Q. Well, the process and the result, the reports that we get.
- A. I think with the way that we elect judges in our state, that it is a -- it's a good process. Could it be tweaked some? Possibly. There's a lot of things that on this side, I don't know how many well qualified -- like, I don't know how the Bar comes up with their qualified, well qualified, or unqualified. I don't know.

I don't know if they have -- if they have fifty people that respond, and if twenty-five of those say well qualified and twenty-five said, you know, qualified -- or twenty-six or twenty-five, is it a majority? Is it a

1 percentage? I don't know. 2 So it's kind of hard to say and answer the 3 question, because I don't know how they come up with -- I 4 don't know what their -- I don't know how they weigh it. 5 don't know how they come up with it. 6 Well, I mean, have you suggested to the Bar in 0. 7 any prior exchange with them, that you would want to see a 8 -- I mean, you mentioned a recording about the Citizens 9 Committee.

- A. I did. Because I didn't know if there was -- when I saw that, I didn't know if it was recorded. I honestly never thought about it, to be honest with you.
- Q. I mean, if they had recorded it, what would you have done? What was -- listen to see where you -- I don't understand what that --
- A. The recording? I wanted to see if there was a recording, 'cause it was so -- the feedback that I received was so very different than the report that was submitted by the chairperson.
 - Q. And that's -- that was Nancy Jo Thomason --
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

- Q. -- she's the Upstate Citizens Committee chair?
- A. Yes, sir. Because what I was told was that the feedback they had received from their witnesses and people they interviewed, was that they had seen a positive change.

There was nothing negative mentioned.

- Q. Well, and I mis-spoke earlier about nothing lower than qualified. In fact, I was referring to the temperament finding wherein -- or the Citizens Committee, they found you unqualified.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And so as compared to other sitting judges, I will represent to you that there is no other judge, six years, seven years, one year, thirty-five, twenty-five -- I guess thirty is the longest serving -- that has gotten from the Citizens Committee, an unqualified as a -- in judicial temperament. And that is concerning. And so I -- in terms of the process, again I don't know you.
 - A. I understand.
- Q. I don't do family court. I mean, it's a -- I don't know how we -- what advice do you have for me in terms of my struggle with holding you to the same standard that other candidates, other sitting judges fully aware of the process and knowing how -- knowing enough to interact with and make their case to persuade to the Bar, the Citizens Committee, and those who appear before you different? Again, do you see a question in that, I guess? Do you understand what I'm --
- A. I do. And I'll try my best. I think I do. And can I clarify any suggestions about -- or concerns about

1 the process, and then how to address the concerns with me, 2 the feedback? Is that --3 Well, you asked -- I think I heard you say you'd 4 be open for feedback from us. 5 Α. Yes. 6 And that's -- again, you're sitting out there, 0. 7 you met with Judge Edwards. You mentioned --8 Α. I did. 9 -- sitting with him, and that was some time ago -Q. 10 11 Α. It was --12 Q. -- apparently --13 -- within the past year. Α. 14 Before you met with the Bar, before you met with Q. 15 the Citizens Committee --16 Yes, sir. Within the past year after -- within Α. 17 the past year, these are things that I have worked on and 18 I don't know if all those people who responded focused on. 19 had been in front of me in the past year, to see if there's been an opportunity for a difference or not. 20 21 One of the reasons why I asked Ms. Crawford if 22 there -- if the Upstate Citizens Committee -- or the 23 Citizens Committee interviews at all were recorded was 24 because I wanted to try and get a copy of the recording and 25 -- I don't know if I could submit it or -- or how that

1 process worked, but to show that it was so very different 2 than the report that was submitted. It was 180 degrees 3 different. 4 0. All right. I'm going to ask a few more questions 5 and then --Okay. And I don't think I've answered your 6 Α. 7 question yet. I'm sorry. 8 Your testimony in 2012, you used the word 9 "patience," I think, three times; "showing respect" or 10 "being respectful" two times; "understanding," "stern but 11 again cordial to all and respectful." 12 There is a -- in the negative comments a theme, 13 perhaps, that is totally contrary to your goal in 2012, and 14 what you're exhibiting now. And, again, there are some 15 positives here. But the theme is temperament --16 "temperament runs hot and cold and being unpredictable. Has a brilliant mind but believes she is above procedures 17 18 she is bound to. A difficult judge to go before." 19 That may not be fair. None of these may be fair 20 I don't know. I don't know who they are. to you. 21 I don't either. And I don't know the situations Α.

Q. And, again, I appreciate that. "One of the worst cases of Robe-itis I can remember." So these comments

where they occurred, which makes it difficult to respond.

coupled with the Citizens Committee, coupled with the Bar

22

23

24

results, the JQC -- the Judicial Qualifications Committee, that give you unqualified -- I mean, excuse me -- qualified but not well qualified.

For a sitting judge, what more would you need?
What more input, what more on-the-job training would you need than you have already had the time to correct this?

A. Well, like I said, one of those things -- I don't know if those people have been back in front of me in the past year, to be able to see a difference. I just -- I don't know. I think that's probably one of the disadvantages of this process, is that when comments are made it's -- it's hard to respond. I don't know what they're in reference to.

All I can say is let the committee know that I understand there are concerns. I am concerned. I do not want to be perceived that way, by no means. I want to be able to keep doing what I'm doing, because being able to serve the children of our state is a passion for me.

And so I want to be able to continue doing that, and continue working on myself and working on my appearance -- or how I'm perceived in the courtroom. I think one of those things is I finally got some direct feedback as to what a concern was with the child safety questionnaire.

I had never really had a direct comment as to the guardian ad litem attorneys in my area find it

```
1
     disrespectful. That is so good to know. I did not know
 2
     that until this screening process this year.
                                                   I wish we
 3
     could get more direct feedback on something exactly that we
 4
    did or said.
 5
               But that being the direct feedback, and that's
 6
     something I immediately took action with and changed the
 7
    procedure of when I use those forms. Because I don't want
 8
    a quardian ad litem attorney to feel disrespected.
 9
     so necessary to the court system and to our court system.
10
     I would never want them to feel that way.
11
               So that's one thing that I've immediately done
12
     through this screening process this year, and I'll continue
13
     to work on if I'm able to stay on the bench, is the
14
     delivery as I've worked on that. But I'm continuing to
15
    work on -- on my patience and on myself and trying to
16
    deliver a presence in the courtroom that people are not
17
     intimidated by or feel disrespected by. I don't want
18
    anyone to ever feel that way.
19
                    CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right.
                                                  That's it from
20
    me for now. Questions by members of the Commission?
21
    McIver.
22
                    MS. MCIVER: Mr. Chairman.
23
    EXAMINATION BY MS. MCIVER:
24
               Judge Pope-Black, I've been reviewing some of the
          0.
25
     comments from the ballot box. And one of them is kind of
```

1 related, but has a different thrust to the comments that 2 you were just addressing. It reads, "I appeared in front 3 of Judge Pope-Black twice. The second time she was not 4 pleased with me. And I absolutely deserved it, even though 5 it was not deliberate on my part. She's incredibly fair 6 without being cruel. It was not an enjoyable experience, 7 but I was completely impressed with her, nonetheless. Ι 8 respect her even more for how she has handled the 9 situation."

Can you think of examples where attorneys may come into your courtroom and feel like, "That wasn't very much fun, but maybe I deserved it"? Can you think of examples of how someone may have come up with this comment? I'm not trying to identify the person, by any means, but --

- A. I know I had those when I was in private practice.
 - O. Sure. And I know I did too.
- A. A specific -- we deal with so many issues that people can not get the result that they want. Honestly, I can't -- I don't know a specific incident. I don't.
- Q. I'm comparing this comment with some of the other comments --
- 23 A. Right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. -- "uncompromising, discourteous, opinionated, 25 rude, disrespectful." And so I guess what I'm trying to find out is are there examples of how you may be stern in the courtroom with attorneys, where some attorney may interpret it more negatively, where another attorney may, as this attorney did, perceive that as just part of going into the courtroom and being prepared or unprepared?

A. I will say -- I don't know if this is -- if this is that issue or a positive or a negative. I serve mainly in Spartanburg and Greenville counties. We have temporary hearings that are usually set for fifteen minutes.

Sometimes thirty minutes, but mainly they're fifteen minutes -- they're set every fifteen minutes in those counties.

According to the rules, we can -- we are to review affidavits, supporting documentation, financial declaration. When I first took the bench, I would give five minutes to each side to argue, and then five minutes for me to look, review and try to do a decision. And that's not possible. Because a lot of the affidavits have information -- you need to read the affidavits. That's what they're there for.

So I stopped that process and now I use the fifteen minutes to review the packets, basically submitted from both sides. I usually ask questions, but I try to do it so that I can stay within the time frame that I'm supposed to stay in to keep my docket -- so that other

1 people aren't waiting outside when I get behind. 2 Sometimes it's inevitable. Sometimes a hearing 3 takes a little longer. But I think that may be one of --4 that may be a problem with some attorneys. But I've got to be fair to both sides. I can't let you argue five minutes 5 and then I cut you off, and the other side argue five 6 7 minutes and cut you off and you're not finished, 'cause 8 then I can't do my job. 9 So I've just started -- I'll read your packets. 10 Now, we have pro se litigants. And I do let the pro se 11 litigants make a statement at a temporary hearings if they 12 want to, because they don't know about a packet. They're 13 not aware of that. And some attorneys may not like that. 14 I don't know if that's a specific -- if that's 15 what that's referring to, but I do know that, that is one 16 thing that I do to try to keep -- one, make it -- keep it 17 fair and also keep things running on time. You mentioned the fifteen-minute hearings. 18 0. And 19 that is an issue that we've discussed multiple times over 20 the past few days. 21 Α. Okay. 22 And as far as the time allotted, have you 23 considered requiring cases that seem more complex to obtain 24 a thirty-minute slot as opposed to a fifteen-minute slot?

I think the problem on our end is that the

25

Α.

1 clerk's office takes in the request and sets them. 2 have -- and when I say "we," the judges in my circuit -- we 3 have expressed to the Family Bench Bar of Spartanburg 4 County, if you're got custody issues or complex financial 5 issues, please request thirty minutes. Because we just --6 we can't get it done in fifteen minutes. 7 And I want to be fair. Sometimes I can't get it 8 done in thirty minutes. I try not to take things under 9 advisement, but sometimes I do. If you've got children 10 involved, and there's a lot of information, it's my duty to 11 take the time to read through all of that. And sometimes I 12 can't get it done even in the thirty minutes. 13 But that's one thing that we have encouraged for 14 them to try to do. And we are having more thirty-minute 15 hearings requested. 16 So for the fifteen-minute hearings, typically you 0. 17 rule from the bench? 18 Typically, we try to rule from the bench on a Α. 19 fifteen or a thirty minute. But sometimes it's just not possible. 20 21 Thank you. 0. 22 Α. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 24 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Judge, I remember you from last year. And, you know, I have a few things I just want to ask you about.
 - A. Yes, sir.

Q. I mean, obviously we're here to try to be fair to everybody. And certainly the last thing anybody wants to do is put under a microscope, try to dissect everything that's happens in five years. It wouldn't be really equitable to you.

The flip side is, is that we've got to be sensitive to what we hear from the Bar, that's one factor. And we have to try to look at kind of an amalgamation of things in order to try to get as good a picture as we can as to how you're doing, and ultimately what can be corrected and what can't.

I don't doubt that you're a very bright person.

I think that most of what I've read in the ballot boxes
certainly indicate that. And I have no reason, listening
to you, to feel any differently. I know that when you were
here last time, you were given at least some indication
about what the comments were.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And, you know, I'm assuming took them to heart then and were trying to do things. But yet, you know, we see similar comments coming back. And so I'm not going to sit here and, you know, pretend that people aren't

influenced in terms of what they say, at least potentially
by what the outcome is in the case.

But then again, you know, after sitting here and listening and reading so many of these -- I mean, tens of them at minimum -- I see other situations where you -- they're saying, "Even if I'm losing, I'm still walking out feeling like I've been treated fairly."

I see some judges -- and I'm not saying this is the rule, I think it is to some extent an exception, where there's not one negative thing said at all. You've got one right down the hall from you with Judge Sinclair.

And so, you know, the last thing I want you to believe when we come meet like this, is that it's some kind of inquisition. Because it's not. That said, you talked about see in your previous questioning about -- I was a lawyer too and I remember these things happened. And I what I heard from Judge McGee, just a little while ago was, you know, "The way I act is based on what I learned as a child. You do unto others."

And I don't think there's a lawyer in here, or pretty much anyone that's probably ever practiced, you know, for any length of time that hasn't gone to court and gotten maybe some of a butt-chewing or whatever you want to call it. But unfortunately, that's just part of the process.

But I think you walk out there, particularly if you ultimately ascend to a situation like you are, remembering that and remembering how you felt, where you go, "Maybe they were right." As that commentor said, you know, "I wasn't prepared and she basically got on me, but she spared me the embarrassment that maybe I otherwise would have gotten."

I guess what we're looking at is that -- you know, you're in control of the courtroom, it's your kind of running the show. But at the same time we recognize, and I think you would too, that it needs to be done in a way that is conducive to getting a result and people being at their best as opposed to their worst.

A. Yes.

- Q. Particularly in a family court context.
- A. Because they come in at their worst moments.
- Q. Exactly. And I think what's troublesome, and I'm sure what I'm hourly from the Chairman is, is that when you see, you know, one or two comments out of a bunch, you go we kind of can really dismiss those to some extent.

But when you get more than that, and they start coming back and they're, you know, using some pretty strong terms -- you know, "rude," "arrogant" -- I mean, I'm sure that when you were a lawyer, that the last thing you would have wanted is for somebody to evoke this kind of responses

out of you.

- A. I agree.
- Q. And so again, I'm really more or less trying to kind of get a sense as to what you think we're supposed to be doing when we're confronted with something like that, and then we're looking at it in a context where you were just here a year ago. And the same kind of things were being said.

And, look, I don't doubt for a minute that, you know, in your mind or heart of hearts, was you want to call it, that think you've made an effort. Tell us, you know, basically where you think you may be falling short to still be kind of prompting those kind of comments that are coming out.

A. It would -- it would be good to know if those people have been in front of me in the past year, or if it's the same people that made the comments from last year are making the comments again this year. It has been a conscious effort on my part to be more respectful.

The thing with the temporary motion hearings has stayed -- that's something that I think people may have a problem with, and that could be viewed as something as Robeitis or arrogant, because I don't want to hear arguments from them. And it has nothing to do with their abilities whatsoever.

Q. And I get that. And let me just say this:

Probably of anybody on this panel this go-round, the one
who has probably been the most, I guess, vocal about this
fifteen-minute hearings is me. And I'm not a family
practitioner, so, you know, maybe you're saying, hey, I'm
coming from a utopian view.

But it just seems really, really inconsistent that if we're getting into these cases and the temporary hearing is the first step, that, that's really going to set a tone for what's going to go on, potentially in the litigant from there on out, and effectively you're corking the mouths of the lawyers, you're basically tying their hands, you're more or less making it something almost as if you could run it through a computer, really.

I mean, how -- is that really in any way
enhancing a case going in the right way? I mean, because
it looks like it's McDonald's law. And that's not
necessarily something I think that promotes the system, I
think it tears it down. But that's not necessarily on you.
And I want you to understand that.

A. No, I understand.

- Q. I mean, I've heard that repeatedly. And apparently I respect that, that's at this point how that system works.
 - A. I don't think that you would find a lot of judges

- 1 | that would probably object to having some more time.
- Q. Sure. I mean, we heard from several of them.
- 3 And I asked Judge McGee, I asked -- you know, Judge
- 4 | Sinclair, "What do you do?" And they said, you know, "If
- 5 | it takes thirty minutes, I give it thirty minutes. If it
- 6 | takes longer than that, I'm going to rearrange the schedule
- 7 and tell people --

9

10

11

16

17

18

- 8 A. Or take it under advisement.
 - Q. Well, I think they don't like doing that. So I think they're trying to get it heard.
 - A. But sometimes it needs to be --
- Q. But you understand if in fact you think that
 maybe the source of all this is that situation, it seems to
 me the way to remedy it is to recognize how it is more or
 less impacting people.
 - A. That's true.
 - Q. And if you see that, hey, this is how -- they're thinking I'm being rude, maybe I need to change the whole way I go about them.
- A. Well, that's a -- that is -- that is a true -that's a good point. And that is something that definitely
 can be looked at and done. On the flip side of that, I'd
 be defending that I hold up court and I take too long and
 I'm too slow.
- Q. Well, let me say this -- I've been in --

- A. I don't -- I don't know. It's a -- it's a double-edged sword.
 - Q. Well, and I understand. And, again, I'm not trying to -- this isn't an indictment on you.
 - A. Well, I understand.
 - Q. But I'll leave it at this: You know, as far as -I've been in other context, whether it be the circuit court
 or workers' comp or whatever, and it's not just the family
 court that multi -- multi-books these things, okay, where
 you'll do one hearing every fifteen minutes. But you know
 what, when we go in those other places, if people run
 behind, we sit in the hall and we wait until we get called
 up.
- 14 | A. True.

- Q. And, you know, I keep hearing this, "Well, I don't want to make people wait." Well, people wait everywhere else. So I'm just kind of concerned maybe that there's an overemphasis on supposedly keeping the train running as opposed to dealing with what the baggage is on the train in the first place.
 - A. I can understand that. I understand. I do.
- Q. And, you know, I don't want to get afar afield, but I know we've talked about that. But let me just sum up by this: We obviously have to decisions to make, and the concern that you would normally expect we would have is

that we see you every six years, we see these things come back.

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And once you leave here, basically if you leave then there's really nothing that anybody's going to be able to do for the next six years. And if this behavior is going on, and continues to go on, it's -- over time it's destructive. And so I hope you understand why there is concern for it.
- 10 Α. I do. I do. And I hope that all of you 11 understand that, that is not a perception that I want of me 12 at all. That's not a perception I want for anyone to have 13 from someone's -- of anyone sitting on the bench. 14 you're right, the hearings -- maybe doing them a different 15 I think I had a comment that was made last go-round, wav. 16 that sometimes I don't run my docket on time. 17 behind.

So I made an effort to try to not get behind, and I don't think it was -- I don't think that it was met very well, or perceived or taken very well. So I do apologize for that. Because I was just trying to fix another issue.

Q. Well, I appreciate your responses. And thank you for being here.

24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Hitchcock.

25 | EXAMINATION BY MR. HITCHCOCK:

Q. It's supposed to be "good afternoon," Judge. But we're a little past that, unfortunately. Judge, let me -- let me kind of, you know, explain to you where I'm coming from, and hopefully you can -- you can help me a little bit as we get a little bit down the road.

You know, I can tell you to a certain extent, you know -- and I know that these are hard questions. And we're certainly not trying to in any way -- you know, and to a certain extent you're being asked by people who certainly live in glass houses. You know, I'm -- and I would -- if I were to ever offer myself for -- I have somewhat of a reputation for being open, honest, and direct. Sometimes too direct.

And if I were to ever offer myself for a judge, I would hate to see what the feelings of the fellow Bar members in regards to my judicial temperament might be.

But that's assuming that I realize and I recognize and I -- as a result, I have not offered myself to be a judge.

But the -- you know, the one thing that concerns me is -- the one thing that I do believe is, I believe that out of all of the evaluative criteria that we look at, that judicial temperament is -- and I've said this before today, that judicial temperament is probably one of the most important that we evaluate.

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Especially in the family court. Because in family court, you know, it doesn't matter who you are, rich, poor, whatever, you might find yourself in family court, right? I mean, there -- every walk of life, you could potentially find yourself in family court, because of the matters that are handled, in that they are some of the most important matters because they so different affect peoples' personal lives.
 - A. They're very emotional.

Q. Right. And they're very emotional. And, you know, to me the one thing that can more quickly erode the confidence in the judiciary more than anything else is for a litigant the come into court and meet with a judge who has a poor temperament. I think that, that can -- you know, because -- and I think to your credit, I think you've gotten, you know, a comment or two about how -- you know, "I lost and I walked out, but I felt like I had been fairly treated."

And, you know -- and I think if you exhibit that proper judicial temperament, I think that's -- you know, nine times out of ten, that's how people are going to feel if they walk out, even if they're not happy. Because most people, whether they realize that they won or not, don't walk out of family court happy, right?

I mean, that's just -- they're not a hundred

percent happy. Everybody loses a little bit, you know, in family court.

A. True.

Q. I did it a long time ago, you know, and I only did it for a year because I knew that wasn't the place for me. But the thing that I have concerns about is, you know, we kind of went down this road a little bit last year, and, you know, we talked about some other things, and you've certainly taken some strides to address those.

But what really, really concerns me is, you know, the -- is the finding of the Citizens Committee. And, you know, there's not -- I've been doing this for a while, and there's not a lot of times where it really has seemed to me like a -- you know, kind of a they are really waiving the red flag, "please look at this," because of the strength of the language that they're using.

I mean, words like "dictatorial" really cause me concern. And I know that you've indicated that, you know, you want to take -- you have taken some steps to address it. But, you know, the one problem that I have, and Mr. Safran touched on this a little bit -- to qualify you, you're unopposed and we don't see you for six years. You know, it's not like a regular job where you get a performance review every year, and you get feedback from your boss, they tell you what you're doing good, they tell

you what you're doing bad, what you need to correct, and then you may have some conversations along the way to make sure that you're doing it.

And you're in a position where as a -- as a judge, that people aren't necessarily -- and we talked about it earlier, you tell the funniest jokes in the courthouse, you know, as a judge. And I -- I'm the -- in my office, I'm the boss and I tell the funniest jokes. I am not the funniest person.

So, you know -- and so there's a -- it's difficult for -- sometimes, I think, for judges to get that. And there's a degree of isolation to what you do. And it's a lot of times difficult for judges to get the feedback -- or to get that type of feedback as to how they're doing.

A. Right.

Q. But that doesn't mean that you need to please everybody, right? Because that is not what we're asking you to do, by any stretch of the imagination. You've got to make tough decisions. People are going to be upset. People are going to be mad.

We're not ask -- we don't want you to compromise in any way the -- we don't want you to run your courtroom because you're worried that necessarily about what ballot box comment, and offhand -- an isolated ballot box comment.

1 Obviously, when we see a lot, and we see kind of the 2 thematics, that's when we get concerned. 3 I say all this to get to the point: I know that 4 you say you want to take steps to address your judicial 5 temperament, but how are you going to evaluate your 6 progress? Like, how are you going to -- what are you going 7 to use as a barometer to evaluate whether or not you feel 8 like that you're making the progress that you need to make 9 to address these issues, which obviously still exist in the 10 minds of the people that, quite frankly, are the closest --11 they're a lot closer to you, you know, in proximity in a daily basis than we are. 12 13 So if you need -- can you help me out with -- and 14 give me some idea about how you would -- you know, how you 15 would just make this part of your standard operating 16 procedure, and -- and fit -- and how you would judge your 17 progress in that regard? 18 It is difficult. Because we don't get feedback Α. 19 until we come through this process. I don't -- I believe that Ms. Crawford had said there were 326 ballot boxes, 20 21 with 11 negative? 22 MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, ma'am. 23 Α. So out of 326 comments, 11 were negative. 24 don't know how many other ballot boxes all the other judges 25 have been --

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: About three hundred 2 comments -- thirty comments. 3 MS. CRAWFORD: Three hundred and twenty-six 4 respondents. 5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Three hundred and twenty-6 six respondents. Thirty comments --7 JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Were all the comments 8 negative? 9 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: No. 10 BY MR. HITCHCOCK: 11 0. No. 12 Α. And I'm asking because I don't know. 13 Yeah. And just so you -- just so I can give you 0. 14 some idea --15 Α. 'Cause I'm trying to get an idea too --16 0. Sure. Yeah. And one thing that I want you to 17 understand is -- and I'm not trying to interrupt you. 18 one thing I want you to understand is, I don't give a whole 19 lot of credence, necessarily, to anonymous comments unless 20 I see some themes, right? 21 Or unless I see some other indication of things 22 that corroborate it. Quite frankly, I'm much more 23 concerned about the Citizens Committee's, you know, 24 representation that they interviewed several witnesses that 25 kind of countered your "I'm trying to get better."

1 And so that -- that's my bigger concern. Which 2 to me lends credence to the negative comments that are in 3 the ballot box. 4 And I'm trying to -- I was very concerned by Α. 5 those comments as well. Because they were so very 6 different from my -- in the comments that were given to me 7 in the interview. Not one time during the interview was 8 there ever brought up, "We have had a negative comment 9 about you on temperament." 10 In fact, it was the very opposite of that. 11 is also concerning that no other committee member signed 12 that report, just the one person. It was done, I think, 13 maybe fourteen days after I had my interview. 14 And I don't know the process of once you have 15 your interview, do they discuss it? Do they vote? I don't 16 know how it works. And, honestly, we don't know how much 17 we can ask. 18 And why don't -- and I'll tell you, let's 0. Sure. 19 not -- let's not look back. Let's look forward and --20 Α. I was just --21 Sure. And, you know, if you can help me. 0. Yeah. 22 Because I know we don't want to -- if you can -- how are we 23 -- how are we going to -- how are we going to measure 24 progress going forward, so that I can feel like that if --

you know, I say yes, you're qualified, that I know that

you've taken this seriously and that you are -- that you are, you know, continuing to measure your progress and that you're getting feedback that you need to along the way?

A. I don't know if this is possible. But I think that this would probably not only benefit me, but possibly other judges as well, I think that it wouldn't be bad to get some feedback throughout our time on the bench, and not wait until we have to go through the screening process.

You know, if it's something that I can send out - I'd have to figure out a way to do it, but anonymously to
the counties -- to the main bars that I'm in front of.
Because we don't travel a lot now, so I'm in front of the
same counties usually.

And maybe our local bars do a survey, and you get anonymous feedback that way. I would be open to that. I think that would be a very good measure until -- I would have welcomed that before, so that we had that ability to kind of see how we're doing throughout a job. We really don't get job reviews until we come in here.

So I would welcome that opportunity. Absolutely. I don't know if that -- how that works with the screening process and the Commission, if that's something that we can -- we can ask our bar to do individually. But I would be very open to that. I think that gives you feedback along the way to be a better judge.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. 2 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 4 Judge, a question I have for you is when -- and 5 you may have already answered this in all of these 6 questions, but I want to -- if so, I don't remember hearing 7 it. But when have you first learned that there were some 8 members of the Bar who had questions about your 9 temperament? 10 Α. It would have been this time last year when I 11 went through the process of circuit court. 12 Q. In the screening for the circuit court. 13 Yes, sir. And I will add the issue with the Α. 14 quardian ad litems filling the forms as disrespectful, I 15 just learned that, actually, through the Bar interview on 16 this screening process. So when I had that bar interview 17 in October, that's when I learned that they felt that, that 18 was disrespectful to them as quardian ad litem attorneys. 19 And that's when I said, okay, and I eliminated 20 that form in cases where there -- there is a quardian ad 21 litem that can provide that information in their report. 22 Prior to 2017, after you were elected in 2013, 0. 23 did anyone ever mention to you that you should be aware of a temperament issue on the bench? 24 25 Α. I got questions from attorneys as to what Judge

- 1 | Creech's form was, and the purpose of it. But other than
- 2 | that, no. It's kind of one of those things when -- as Mr.
- 3 | Hitchcock was saying, you're the funniest person in the
- 4 office, that you don't -- you don't get that constructive
- 5 | feedback. Does that --
 - Q. I noticed that the sheriff wrote a letter of reference for you, the Spartanburg County sheriff.
 - A. He did.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And he noted that you played an instrumental role in the Spartanburg County drug court.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is that something that started after you were elected to the bench?
- A. Yes, sir. I started that program. That was one of the -- I'm sorry to interrupt. But that was one of my goals, if I was elected, I wanted us to have a juvenile drug court because we didn't have one.
 - Q. Did you model that after another county?
- A. After York County. They have a -- they have financial resources, but they have a smaller juvenile drug court. Richland has a large one. Greenville has a large one that is almost like its own separate entity with the solicitor's office and -- we just didn't have those resources, so I modeled it after York's program.
 - Q. How much interaction did the sheriff -- or does

the sheriff have with you in family court?

- A. Well, they provide our deputies, our security. They have -- the sheriff provides a deputy to do curfew checks for the juvenile drug court. They testify in some DSS cases sometimes, if they're involved in an emergency protective case.
- Q. The Spartanburg County sheriff's deputies that you work with on a daily basis, those are the deputies that provide security at the courthouse?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. James Price, he's an attorney in Greenville.
- 12 A. Yes, sir. Chip Price.
 - Q. He wrote a letter of reference as well. Does he appear in front of you?
 - A. No. His children also practice, and they do not appear in front of me either.
 - Q. The clerk of court wrote a very nice letter on your behalf, too, noting your work settled up the juvenile drug court. Let me ask you another question, which I've asked all the candidates who are sitting judges. And this is in the area of abuse and neglect.

If you could improve the system in this state for abuse and neglect cases, in how we handle those for the children and the families involved, what would you recommend change?

A. One of the things that I would like to see explored, as I mentioned earlier, sometimes I believe are - sometimes I believe there's not a continuity within our DSS. It's so very different in every county that you go to.

Some continuity, I think, would help. How you achieve that, I'm not sure, to be quite honest with you. I think there needs to be the centralized system with the DSS database, so that they see if a child's involved in DSS in another county. I think DJJ and DSS could work closer together.

Because unfortunately, most of your children that come through the DJJ system also have been touched by DSS. DSS and DJJ should probably both have access to that centralized system so that they can see if a child that comes into DSS also has a DJJ history or a current case, and vice versa.

The caseworkers are overworked. There's a huge turnover. It's a very hard job. And I understand that.

And this comes from someone that -- I can -- I can ask a lot from -- on a DSS case, because there's a lot riding on that case.

If we as judges don't make the right decision on a DSS case, then the children's lives are at stake. And so I think that while I know that there's been an effort to

1 hire more caseworkers, unfortunately there's still a 2 turnover rate. 3 I don't know if that has to do with -- I don't 4 know if the pay was increased or if it stayed the same. I 5 do not know. Maybe that's something that can be looked at 6 too. But a large problem with DSS is that your entry-level 7 with your caseworkers, they just can't keep up. 8 And I wish I had an answer for you, because 9 they're so necessary. In Spartanburg County we have the 10 highest number of children in foster care, so I understand 11 how necessary the Department of Social Services is. And I 12 wish I could give you an answer. I wish that we could fix 13 But those are some things that I see could possibly --14 could possibly change things. 15 Thank you. I may have some additional questions Q. 16 in a moment. 17 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Smith. 18 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Let me just follow up 19 Judge, you've been going at it for a while. Do 20 you need a break? 21 No, I don't -- it depends JUDGE POPE-BLACK: on how much longer you're going to have me to. 22 23 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: I hope not long. 24 wife is texting me, so that's going to give me some 25 incentive to be quite. She's giving me this mean smiley

1 face. 2 JUDGE POPE-BLACK: That's not good. 3 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: So things are not 4 good right now in the Smith household. 5 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 6 I quess I found an interest in what you said 0. 7 about DSS and -- and over the years, for more my 8 information than anything else. But we've been involved in 9 Children's First Lawsuit, I think is what it's called, and 10 they had to fund DSS, create more caseworkers. 11 And what I'm hearing you tell me from -- you're 12 on the ground floor of it, watching what's happening, you 13 haven't seen much improvement with the addition of new 14 caseworkers? 15 Α. That's a fair statement. 16 0. Okay. And, you know -- and so, you know, I just 17 find that interesting, because that's what experts come in 18 from out of state and tell us that they need more 19 caseworkers, and that's what's going solve all the problems 20 at the Department of Social Services. 21 And I, like you, am not seeing much improvement 22 at the Department of Social Services. And, you know, I'm 23 over -- I'm on their subcommittee where they request 24 funding every year, and I think last we gave them over \$20

million, and the year before something similar.

And then of course we're building a child support system from nineteen -- that was prior to being the 1990s.

And we still have yet to complete it. And we're moving forward in that.

So let me ask you this -- we've been having these discussions about the Department of Social Services with some of the judges, some of your contemporaries. And one of them brought up the issue about DSS attorneys. And, you know, I have some concern that it looks like to me -- and every time I turn around, they've gone to a county attorney system where the attorneys are being hired by the agency. And I don't see the attorneys having much of a legal discretion, as I could call it.

With their caseworkers, it seems like the tail's wagging the dog, and the caseworkers are driving the train as it relates to whether we're going to go forward or how we're going to resolve or -- and all of that. Are you finding that to be the case on your time on the bench?

- A. Yes, I would agree with that statement. There's -- or maybe the supervisors -- but hearing a lot of "my client can't agree to this" or my client this or my client that.
- Q. So it doesn't -- it doesn't appear to you that the attorneys are independent arbiters or evaluating the case. Of course, you know, I've got my -- if I report a

client and my client's insisting upon a position, then, you know, I've got to go with it.

A. Right.

- Q. They're the boss. But also it seems that -- you know, I don't see that independent advice is given, nor is it welcomed at the Department of Social Services.
- A. I don't know to that great detail, because I'm not involved in what's happening outside of the courtroom. I can say that there have been times when I have had DSS attorneys say, "I've had discussions with my client, I've given them my take on the case, advised them but they would like to move forward" or "this is the decision that they want to go with." So I have heard that distinction on -- from some DSS attorneys.
- Q. Judge, and I don't want to -- I think they've discussed some of these Bar surveys and Citizens Committee surveys ad nauseum. But, you know, one thing that I'm looking at -- you know, we don't have a record of your last time you appeared. I recall it, but we don't have a record of it. And I'm just trying to figure out whether these are similar complaints that you had last year.

And, you know, I guess it's a double-edged sword, 'cause what we've got to figure out is whether you have been improving. And it takes some time for the improvement to catch up with those who still have ill feelings.

A. Correct.

Q. Or rather, this is a continuing problem that's occurring because -- you know, you had talked about -- you said you were going to make improvements and -- you know, like the Citizens Committee says during the interview, "She reported she had made significant changes in courtroom demeanor. Unfortunately, it's not consistent with the witnesses interview."

Do you recall whether these are similar complaints, you know, that you're hearing from us today as it was last year at this time?

- A. My specific words?
- Q. Well, I don't -- I guess, you know, what I'm trying -- you heard some of the complaints. Were those -- I don't have a transcript. I don't have anything I can go back and refer to.

And I'm trying to figure out exactly whether this is -- you know, look, you treat me -- and I can still tell you the judges in my legal career who treated me rudely, you know? I'm never going to forget it. It's an experience that no one enjoys.

And so I -- you know, and I don't -- you know,

I'm a -- if I had to fill out a ballot box survey with

someone that chewed on me like I've been chewed on my legal

career, I probably would not have kind things to say about

them now.

And, you know, unfortunately, when you engage in that type of conduct that's -- it's hard to shake it out from somebody. And so, you know, that's -- that's what I -- my practical experience I bring to this commission. So, you know, I tend to think that these are probably people who you may have offended in the past, that you're trying to rectify those situations.

And so, you know, I -- and I don't think there's any way we're really going to be able to get to the bottom of it, 'cause we don't have a transcript and we don't have the materials from last year. So, you know, I guess that's what I was to your knowledge to understand.

- A. I understand. I do -- I remember there being the Robeitis comment. I remember there being comments about controlling of the courtroom.
 - Q. And, you know, that's --
 - A. I'm sorry, I'm trying to --
- Q. But that's my recollection also, is some of these comments that I'm looking at are very similar to the ones you had last year. So, you know, I'm trying to give you the benefit of the doubt and say, you know, look, I came onto the bench maybe I -- you know, I -- this is a different career.
 - You're not much younger than I am. From what I

looked on here, you started practicing law a few years after I did. And, you know, judges that have progressed in this legal world that we live in now, where before they were -- you didn't question them. I mean, you know, and they were --

A. Right.

Q. They were people who were of high quality, of high stature and -- you know, and they treated people not like they should have all the time. And, you know -- and so, you know, we try to thread the needle on this where you have the judges who were tyrants to now, you know, if you say something or look at someone wrong, they're quick to criticize you.

So, you know -- you know, I don't know how you ever -- you know, I think the pendulum swung too far this way, and it needs to be in the middle, and so I think you're probably the beneficiary of some of these people who carry their feelings on their sleeves a little bit and need to have some tougher skin.

But by the same token, you know, I -- you know, the one thing, and I think you told you this last time, that I'm most concerned about, you don't have to be the smartest judge, you don't have to be, you know, the best personality, the best dressed or whatever other things they want to grade you on.

But one thing I'm always concerned about is your judicial temperament. Because, you know, I'm sure you've been chewed on in a courtroom like all of us up here who have practiced law have been chewed on, and it ain't fun. And if it happens in front of your client, that's an unpardonable sin in my book. Because you never embarrass a lawyer in front of their client.

- A. I agree.
- Q. If you have a problem with a lawyer, you take him back in they chambers and you dress him down all day long.

 And I have no problems with that.
 - A. You're still trying to make a living.
- Q. Yeah.

- A. And you've worked hard to get ready for the hearing, to be there. And so, no, I don't want to embarrass an attorney. I've been there. That's not something I want to do.
- Q. And so that's what -- you know, and I ask whatever happens out of this today, and if you continue on in your position, I just -- you know, I would ask that you assure this Commission that if that occurs, that, you know, we're going to -- we're not going to see comments like this six years from now.

I know I'm not going to be on the committee six years from now. I'm probably not going to be in the

Legislator six years from now. But, you know, it -- you know, there's needs to be assurances that -- that we're seeing the same trend in the wrong way.

And you're a young judge. I remember when you ran. You have a lot of potential. You're a -- you're a fine lawyer. And, you know, I still -- I voted for you and I'm still confident in that vote I made six or seven years ago.

A. Thank you.

- Q. But what I want to make sure of is that -- is that this isn't, you know, this isn't here to embarrass or to humiliate you. This is a learning experience like all of us -- as Mr. Hitchcock has been saying, it's a job review.
 - A. Right.
- Q. And you've got to come up for a job interview every six years. And I just want to make sure that we're going to -- that the next six years from now, you're never going to remedy those who you've really offended. But, you know, the next six years it should look a whole lot better, if we get there.

And I just want your assurance that if you -- if you go forward and you're elected -- I mean, you've obviously got to go to the Floor too, that you would strive hard to make sure we don't see comments like this in the

future.

- A. Absolutely. Yes.
- Q. Well, Judge, in conclusion let me tell you this:

 I want to commend you on the drug court in Spartanburg

 County.
 - A. Thank you.
- Q. I've been on sentencing reform for the first generation, and number two which is obviously not going in the -- on the train to nowhere quickly, 'cause it doesn't please certain interests around this statehouse over here. But I think there's nothing better that can be done to give a person a second chance. We all make mistakes.
 - A. I agree.
- Q. And, you know, in drug court is where someone makes a mistake and we let them try to learn from their mistakes and move on in a positive fashion in life. And, you know, I -- and where I want to commend you is (a) you don't have to do it, (b) it's not a job that you're doing on your nine-to-five job. It's something you're going and doing at night. And so that's a labor of love for you?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And it is a -- and it's a service to your community, it's a service to the state. So I really want to commend you for going down that path and trying to help people improve their lives.

1 Because if it's not for judges like you who say, 2 "I can go home and be with my husband or be with my 3 children," those kids that you are saving through that drug 4 court would still be somewhere a lot -- a whole lot worse 5 than where they are now. 6 Α. Thank you. That means a lot. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Anything else further? 8 (Hearing none.) 9 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 10 Q. And I may have heard this question answered 11 before, but you have -- and we've been talking way too 12 long, and you've been here way too long. I know your 13 husband said it -- standing for twenty minutes might be a 14 good thing. My take from that would be it would be over. 15 Α. I don't know how long I've been here. 16 0. Twenty-two minutes. Twenty-two minutes. Twenty-three. When you're in the hot seat, you 17 Α. 18 kind of lose track of time. 19 0. The earlier question of -- about seeking other 20 judgeships, you mentioned earlier -- and there's been a lot 21 of back and forth, and no secret about it you -- for a 22 period of time last year were a candidate for the circuit 23 court bench. 24 Yes, sir. Α.

And a question asked today about fulfilling the

25

0.

term and not running for another position. My question to you is: What changed from last year to this year? And why the conviction that you would not seek another judgeship other than this one?

A. Even when I was running for this seat in 2012 --

A. Even when I was running for this seat in 2012 -2013, actually -- I think that it's probably been expected
of me to make the transition to circuit court. I did a lot
of civil work, had done some criminal work. I even got
asked several times by members of the General Assembly,
"Why aren't you running for circuit court?"

When I ran last year -- one, my heart wasn't in it. But, two, I'm glad I did it. My heart was not in it. But if I had not done it, I would not have appeared before you a year ago to know things I needed to work on. Not only what we discussed at length here today, but also some financial issues that need to be cleaned up. And if I had not been before you a year ago, then the job that I have now that I love, and the things that I'm working on to try improve in my community, I wouldn't have the opportunity to do that. Because I would be sitting in a different position if I had not done that last year, to learn the things that I did.

So to answer your question, I can understand that people would think that I would probably not fulfill the term. There are things that I have started in Spartanburg,

that I want to finish, make sure that a strong program is 1 2 developed not only in the juvenile drug court. 3 But I mentioned some things tonight the I hope 4 that -- in regards to DSS, that I might get contacted on 5 later to give some more information on those so that we can 6 have a better program. Because that is such an integral 7 part of what we do. So I can tell you that my passion and 8 my heart lies with family court and helping the children of 9 our state. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. Unless there 10 11 are any other questions, Judge, thank you. This will 12 conclude this portion of our screening. And I want to 13 remind you, as you know, pursuant to the evaluative 14 criteria, we expect you as a candidate, and all candidates, 15 to abide by both the spirit and the letter of the South 16 Carolina laws of ethics. Any violation of those laws, 17 either in spirit or letter, or the appearance of 18 impropriety would warrant us calling you back. You're 19 aware of that, right? 20 JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Yes, sir. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And we don't expect that 22 to be the case. However, the record of qualifications will 23 not be closed until all is finished. 24 JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Okay. Yes, sir. 25 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Again, we don't expect

1	that to be the case. But with that, and your
2	acknowledgment of that
3	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Yes, sir, I do.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: this will close this
5	hearing. And thank you for your patience and your waiting
6	on us, and the longest twenty minutes your husband has ever
7	sat.
8	JUDGE POPE-BLACK: Well, you all have still
9	a long night. So I'll thank you for your service.
10	(Candidate excused.)
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Let's start first by
12	having you raise your right hand.
13	WHEREUPON,
14	MONET S. PINCUS, being duly sworn and
15	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
16	but the truth, testifies as follows:
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You've prepared for us two
18	documents that are before you, a sworn statement and a
19	personal data questionnaire. Any changes need to be made
20	to those before they're made a part of the record?
21	JUDGE PINCUS: No, sir.
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you don't object to
23	them being included in the record?
24	JUDGE PINCUS: No, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: If you'll hand them to

1	Lindi. And now mark them in as exhibits.
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 24 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
3	COMMISSION PERSONAL DATA QUESTIONNAIRE OF THE
4	HONORABLE MONET S. PINCUS)
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 25 - JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION
6	COMMISSION SWORN STATEMENT OF THE HONORABLE MONET
7	S. PINCUS)
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, you are familiar
9	with our investigation of your candidacy for reelection.
10	And you know that we focus on nine evaluative criteria,
11	which include the ballot box survey, a study of your
12	application materials, verification of your compliance with
13	the state ethics laws, a search of newspaper articles in
14	which your name appears, a study of previous screenings,
15	and a check for economic conflicts of interest.
16	We've received two affidavits filed by
17	Nathan Miles Ginter, if I'm pronouncing that correctly, and
18	Matt Younginer we'll spell that for the court reporter
19	later that have been filed in opposition to your
20	election. And then two witnesses are present, I believe,
21	to testify.
22	You have the opportunity at this point to make a
23	brief opening statement to the Commission. Otherwise, Ms.
24	Valenzuela will begin the questions and we'll go from
25	there.

1	JUDGE PINCUS: Well, I didn't ask this
2	earlier, but the last time I ran I was given the option of
3	waiving the opening statement. If that's an option, I
4	would waive it.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You can invoke it at
6	anytime if you like.
7	JUDGE PINCUS: All right. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Do you want to waive it
9	now?
10	JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Then we'll begin with
12	questions. Thanks.
13	MS. VALENZUELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA:
15	Q. Good evening, Judge Pincus. And thank you very
16	much for your patience.
17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	Q. Judge Pincus, after serving five years on the
19	family court, why do you want to continue serving as a
20	family court judge?
21	A. Well, when I decided to run the first time, I
22	thought that being a family court judge would encapsulate
23	all that I loved about family court: trial work, motion
24	work, oral arguments. And it has. And I get to do that
25	now regularly every week versus a little bit, you know,

maybe a trial once a year. So it's an extremely challenging job and difficult at times, but very rewarding.

After practicing law for sixteen years, I now have the ability to, in a hands-on way, really work with family law -- really work in the family court system. I'd love the opportunity to do it again. I'm very grateful for the opportunity to do it for the last five years.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Could you please explain one or two brief accomplishments that you feel you've completed during your tenure, and then a goal you would like to accomplish if you are reelected?
- A. I really enjoy mentoring young students and lawyers at times. So I've had the opportunity to participate in a JOE Experience Program, which is through the law school. But not just that. I have regularly, high school students come that are in some type of a political program or a law program, that come and sit with me on the bench and participate in my daily -- in my day and my daily activities. That's something that I'm proud of, that I was able to reach out and make connections in a couple of schools to do that. Anytime that I have that request, I accommodate myself.

What I would hope to be able to do more, and this was more of a scheduling issue when I became a judge, I just -- I get a docket, I have to be certain places, so I

1 have not been able to participate as much as I'd like to in 2 mock trial.

I used to do that a lot as an attorney. And I would love to be able to get back into that more. I am going to be participating in March, but there's many other opportunities for me to. But I have to work with scheduling.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. What do you think your reputation is among attorneys who practice before you?
- A. Well, a lot of the attorneys that I practice -or that come before me, I've known and for a long time.

 Some of them from the beginning of my time as a practicing attorney. When I went for my Bar interview, I was given a little bit of information about what the Bar felt about me as an attorney, and the committee actually told me they were really pleased with the responses. And that was really uplifting to hear.

So I think that I have the reputation of being what you would expect as a judge that has family law experience: experienced, diligent, I'm a hard worker, knowledgeable about the law, respectful, professional to the litigants and the attorneys.

- Q. Thank you, Judge.
- A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Judge Pincus, for clarification you have not been

1 named as a party to a lawsuit since your last screening in 2 2012; is that correct? 3 Α. That's right. 4 And then to your knowledge, all lawsuits brought 0. 5 by or against you before 2012 have been resolved. Is that 6 also correct? 7 Α. That's right. Judge Pincus, the Commission received 341 ballot 8 0. 9 box surveys regarding you, with 29 additional comments. 10 The ballot box survey contained some of the following 11 positive comments. And these are only a few examples, 12 because we can't be here to go through all of them: 13 "Great on complex matters and not afraid to make 14 difficult decisions. We are lucky to have her service." 15 "Fair, impartial, kind to litigants and attorneys. Great courtroom manner and management." 16 17 And then this last one is from someone who 18 identified themselves as practicing in circuits across the 19 state: 20 "Without question a top five judge in the state. 21 Kind but firm. Knows the law. Patient will fairly 22 consider an opposing view. Practical, excellent and well 23 reasoned decisions. And always, always treats everyone 24 with respect. She is an absolute pleasure to have on the

25

bench."

1 Now, five of the comments expressed some 2 concerns. One of the comments allege that you have an 3 unprofessional demeanor and that you're mean and 4 disrespectful to attorneys. What response would you offer 5 to this concern? 6 Α. Well, I certainly regret that an attorney 7 perceived me as being mean or disrespectful. I work very 8 hard at extending respect to not only attorneys but 9 litigants that come before me. 10 I begin my hearing with pleasantries. I end it 11 with pleasantries. I maintain eye contact. I generally, 12 to the best of my ability within time limits, give 13 attorneys as much time as they need to present their cases. 14 I think it was -- I don't know the context, but I 15 would think it would be a misperception of something that I 16 did or said. I certainly wasn't intended to be mean or 17 disrespectful. It could have been a ruling that someone 18 did not like. But I work hard not to have that type of 19 description, and I'll continue to do it. 20 0. Thank you, Judge. Another concern stated simply, 21 "Ex parte communications. Unprofessional demeanor." 22 What response would you offer to this statement? 23 Α. Well, I answered in my written application my --24 I think the question was my philosophy on ex parte 25 communication, and of course I would refer to that. I do

- have to engage in some ex parte communication. It's part of my job. But when I do it, I do it under the rules and I do it as permitted.
 - I don't, however, have conversations informally, somewhere outside the courtroom, about a case with just one party and not the other party involved. No, that I do not do. So I do ex parte within the confines that I'm allowed. But absolutely, I don't engage in ex parte communication outside of that.
 - Q. Judge, another comment alleged that you're in -that you're often in over your head. What response would
 you offer to this concern?
- A. It said "often"? Did you say "often"? Or just in over --
 - O. Often.
- 16 A. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Actually, if you give me just a second. It says,

 "Judge Pincus does an adequate job, but is often in over
 her head."
- A. Okay. What I would say to that is -- prior to coming on the bench, I practiced family law exclusively for sixteen years. I invested a lot of time becoming proficient in my practice. I was a fellow in the AAML, as an example.
 - So coming on the bench -- certainly, I don't know

everything. I still don't know everything, and I didn't then. But we -- as most of you would know, we have mandatory CLEs, we are always in conferences as judges, learning, we have conferences just for judges on family law. So we're always -- me in particular, but my fellow family court judges always learning, always being prepped and updated about new cases that might have come down.

So on the one hand I have to do certain things to stay on top of the law. On the other hand, I personally review the rules of evidence and the rules of civil procedure on my own regularly. I personally do my own case law summary of any family law cases that come out.

I think if somebody perceives me of being in over my head, I would say that if I was or if I didn't rule correctly in their opinion, they could appeal or ask me to reconsider that. Maybe they did that, I don't know. But I'm competent to be a family court judge.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. Now, one comment suggested that you're biased in favor of military and men. What response would you offer to this concern?
- A. Military and men. So I've never been asked to recuse myself based on that as it -- you know, so I've never had an attorney come in and say, "Judge Pincus, I don't think you can be fair because there's a military personnel involved."

So as I said, I practiced a long time with many attorneys that still practice. So during that relationship, and now they know I'm married to a retired sergeant major, my son is about to graduate the Citadel and be commissioned for the units -- United States Army. So people that know me, and have known me over my career as a family law attorney and judge, know those personal things about me.

However, anytime I have a case involving the military, I may know more about the nuances of military life. I also represented a good bit of military personnel when I practiced, because of Fort Jackson advertizing -- but I also represented spouses of military personnel. So I may know more of the nuances, more of the nomenclature, but when a case is before me, it's about that particular case and those particular people and those facts.

And when you first brought this to my attention, it reminded me that I had recently ruled on two cases involving military personnel. I don't keep track of those kind of cases, it's just something that jogged my memory 'cause they were so recent.

And in one case I granted a military father custody. And it was a -- you know, 'cause mother wanted custody, but I found the best interests of the children served the father having custody. In the other case it was

the father wanting custody, but I granted custody to the mother. And that's just two examples. And I have the dates and the cites I could give you, if required.

So while I may have more familiarity with it, and I have some family members involved in the military, I would deny that I have a bias against or for either military personnel or fathers in general.

- Q. Thank you, Judge Pincus. Judge, as recently as this year you were listed as a registered agent for a nonprofit and three LLCs. What actions have you taken with these companies and the nonprofit as a registered agent?
- A. All of the companies I believe have been closed at this time. They were not active. But as an attorney some of them had to do with my husband's business, where I would be listed as the agent for service. And when I discovered that I was still listed, we've terminated those companies.
- Q. Judge Pincus, two of your letters of recommendation were written by family law attorneys, Rebecca Creel and Elizabeth McCool, who appear in family court. Do either of these attorneys who wrote you letters of recommendation appear before you in the court?
 - A. No, ma'am.

MS. VALENZUELA: Mr. Chairman, I would note that the Midlands Citizen Committee reported that Judge

1	Pincus is qualified in the evaluative criteria of
2	constitutional qualifications, physical health and mental
3	stability. The committee found her well qualified in the
4	remaining evaluative criteria of ethical fitness,
5	professional and academic ability, character, reputation,
6	experience and judicial temperament.
7	Mr. Chairman, I would now turn to the two
8	complaints we received, one by Mr. Matt Younginer and one
9	by Mr. Nathan Ginter. Both complainants are here to
10	testify today. And I have Mr. Younginer listed first, but
11	we can go at the Chairman's
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. Let's hold on one
13	second. Judge, and I apologize I didn't do this outset, as
14	I've done with every candidate or judge who's come before
15	us thus far it's late I know. But you have family with
16	you that you'd like to introduce?
17	JUDGE PINCUS: Oh, I do have family, sir. I
18	have my mom, Joyce. This is my husband, Danny, behind her.
19	I have my father, Danny, and his fiancee, Kathy. And I
20	have my good friend, Rebecca.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very well. And I
22	apologize.
23	JUDGE PINCUS: That's okay.
24	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Welcome. Thank you. All
25	right. Now, Judge, if you'll have a seat.

1	JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Younginer.
3	WHEREUPON,
4	MATTHEW TODD YOUNGINER, being duly sworn and
5	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
6	but the truth, testifies as follows:
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Valenzuela.
8	MS. VALENZUELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	Mr. Younginer's complaint alleges unethical behavior by
10	Judge Pincus, claiming she's claiming she seals court
11	records to shield her own bad conduct, that she has an
12	ongoing relationship with a local therapist with ties to a
13	behavioral modification program, and that she took his
14	children away from him without any violations on his part.
15	EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA:
16	Q. Mr. Younginer, the Commission has before it the
17	affidavit of complaint.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And then you also have an attachment to it, which
20	appear to be handwritten notes by one of your children.
21	They have that as well.
22	A. Thank you.
23	Q. And I'm going to remind you that the committee's
24	purpose is to review the qualifications of the candidate.
25	A. Yes.

1 Q. They're not here to relitigate the case. 2 Α. Absolutely. 3 And they do not have the ability to change the 0. 4 result of your case. 5 Α. I understand that. 6 Is there anything that you wish to testify to 0. 7 tonight that is not already covered in the documents before 8 the committee? 9 Yes, absolutely. First off, I'd like to thank Α. 10 everyone on this commission. I realize it's late and I 11 realize everybody's probably ready to get home. But you 12 can imagine many of us have been waiting out there for 13 about four hours, and so we certainly understand your pain. 14 I appreciate you all allowing me to have time 15 tonight to speak, and I will try and be as brief and as to 16 the point as possible. I know you already know this, but 17 I'd like to read it for the record: 18 When it comes to our judges, we put our faith and 19 our trust in judges. It doesn't mean they have to go our 20 way or give us the verdict that we have to have, but it 21 means that we simply want them to be almost like an umpire. 22 We want them to be competent. We want them to be fair. 23 want them to be unbiased. We want them to be able to be

like an umpire where they can call a ball a ball or a

24

25

strike a strike.

When that umpire continues to miss those calls, or when a judge continues to do things that in this situation, and apparently others as I found out, are affecting the children of South Carolina, it doesn't matter if the judge is running unopposed, it doesn't matter if there's a shortage of umpires, Ladies and Gentleman, you change the umpire or you change the judge.

We cannot afford in South Carolina to have this situation happen. And I'll get into one of the situations that took place in Pennsylvania, and I promise like I said to be as brief as possible. But it's important for this commission -- I understand it only has so much power to it, but it is a checks and balance. And I'm also happy to see that there's so many members here tonight that also have taken this situation very seriously for the children of South Carolina.

I'd like to read just two excerpts for you, real quick, that I'm sure you're very familiar with. On the Judicial Code of Conduct, it makes it very clear: Although judges should be independent, they must comply with the law, including the provisions of this code. Public confidence in the impartiality of the judiciary is maintained by the adherence of each judge to his responsibility. Conversely, a violation of this code diminishes public confidence in the judiciary, and thereby

does injure -- does injury to the system of government of the law.

One final part I'd like to say on this. In Canon 2, A judge shall avoid impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all of the judge's activities. A judge shall respect and comply with the law and shall at all times in a manner that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the judiciary.

On August the 25th of 2014, that didn't take place with me. And more importantly with my children. My exwife, three years prior, had sued me for custody because we'd been going to counselors, and finally after several counselors that are very well qualified in this state, said that the father's doing everything that he can to help the children repair their relationship with the mother, but the mother needs to do some more stuff.

Finally, my exwife came across a couple of snake oil-style therapists that practiced this thing called "Threat therapy." It's parental alienation. And it is considered by many across the nation some, of the most well respected psychologists and psychiatrists, as junk science.

These snake oil-style therapists look for draconian-style judges, these rogue judges to get this stuff passed through the court systems, because they still after all these years can't get it accepted in the DSM, the

1 American Psychological Association's Bible of mental 2 disorders. 3 So they get these roque judges and that have this 4 draconian style, and they get them to pass this off. 5 it's coming at the consequences of South Carolina's 6 children, and several other state's children have also 7 seen. Several states have now cut it out. 8 I want to show you this as well or read this to 9 And I'm not a lawyer, and not trying to play a 10 lawyer, just so you know. But even a layman as myself can 11 research this stuff. It's pretty obvious. This is what 12 the Supreme Court has had to say about this parental 13 alienation in the family courts. 14 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Is that the South 15 Carolina Supreme Court or United States Supreme Court? 16 MR. YOUNGINER: United States. 17 Α. Under relevant evidentiary standards, the court 18 shall not accept testimony regarding Parental Alienation 19 Syndrome. In theory, the existence of PAS has been 20 discredited by the scientific community. Tire v. 21 Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137, the Supreme Court ruled that even 22 expert testimony based on the soft sciences must meet the 23 standards set in the Daubert case. 24 Daubert has the court reexamine the standard of 25 that earlier, articulated the Frye case. In other words,

1	any testimony that a party to a custody case suffers from
2	the syndrome of parental alienation shall be therefore
3	moved inadmissable and stricken from the evaluation report,
4	on both the standard established in the Daubert and the
5	Frye standard.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Younginer, do me a
7	favor and back up a little bit.
8	MR. YOUNGINER: Is it too much? Okay.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We can hear you loud and
10	clear.
11	MR. YOUNGINER: Okay. I just want to make
12	sure. Okay.
13	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: And can you do one
14	more favor. Can you give us the cite of that of case
15	again?
16	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir. It's under code
17	526 U.S. 137 in 1999.
18	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 1999?
19	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
20	A. I'd like to also ask you for the sake of time,
21	for all of you, if you would to please also if you
22	could, to jot this down to research what's taking place
23	here. The Washington Post actually did an article on this
24	kind of stuff and the dangers that it presents to children.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You included that in your

1 MR. YOUNGINER: Well, I want to give you the 2 3 actual reference, though, if I can, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Right. 5 Α. It's May the 9th of 2017. The article is titled, 6 "They were taken from their mother." This time it was 7 reversed, parental alienation. They said the mom was doing 8 But they were taken from their mom to bond with their 9 It did not go well. If there's any chance with 10 everything that you all have on you all's plates, if you 11 all could research this article, I would love for you do 12 so. 13 One of the judges that's retiring, that has had 14 an impeccable career in the state of South Carolina, is 15 Judge Dana Morris. I'm not sure if any of you all know 16 him. All of this had already come in front of Judge Dana 17 Morris in 2011. Judge Dana Morris heard the same the 18 people that Judge Pincus heard, he saw the same affidavits. 19 He heard everything. And here's what he said about all 20 this. This is what happens when you have a judge who calls 21 the balls a ball and the strike's a strike, and they have 22 wisdom on the bench and don't have knee-jerk reactions for 23 the sake of the children. 24 "You guys" -- talking about my exwife and I, "You

guys are the only ones who are going to be able to fix

1 And it's pretty obvious when I read some of the affidavits and the e-mails that have gone between you, that 2 3 there's some unresolved issues between the two of you. 4 There's some anger and some frustration. And it's on both 5 sides of the fence." 6 In other words, he looked at this situation and 7 realized that it's taken two in this particular case to And on top of that, only my exwife and I can fix 8 9 No therapists. None of this parental alienation. this. 10 I'll wrap it up by telling you this: This judge 11 on August the 25th, 2014 actually, without any violations on my part, took these kids away from me, my 14-year-old 12 13 son and my 16-year-old daughter and sent them off to a 14 behavioral modification camp in Bozeman, Montana. 15 She allowed one of these snake oil-style 16 therapists to oversee all of this, even though the 17 particular therapist who she allowed in the courtroom that 18 day, and to be involved in the custody change of a phone 19 call, who had nothing to do with my case, she also allowed that person to oversee this behavioral modification camp. 20 21 She gave me the death penalty. Ladies and 22 Gentleman, a sexual pedophile or a murderer could have had 23 more access to the children than what I got for six months.

I was given the death penalty. And that's what this judge

24

25

stepped in and did.

These kids, to my knowledge, were the first ones in the state of South Carolina that were put on a plane, against their will, told them repeatedly their father's a bad man, and continually said that if they even think about trying to re-associate with their father, that they would go to foster care, and if that if their dad tried to contact them, well, he would go to jail.

There's nothing healthy about that situation.

And the man that was the clinical director of this place,

Randy Rand, had just come off of serving a five-year

probation for committing perjury in child custody cases.

This whole thing -- this whole thing, Ladies and Gentleman, is a cult. And just like with all cults, you have your leaders: David Koresh, Jim Jones. And in this case the man's name is Dr. Richard Gardener. He created the term "Parental alienation syndrome," or PAS, in 1985.

And he is also known as being on record as actually saying -- this is how twisted this is. He's on record as saying, for some reason about pedophilia, "The American society has overreacted to pedophilia. In fact, in some societies it's actually normal for the father to have sex with his daughter, because that way at least the daughter knows the first person that had sex with her was somebody that loved her."

That is the top of all of this that this judge is

allowing to happen right here. If you saw it in a movie, you would say, "Well, that's Hollywood for you." And yet, it's happened right here, Ladies and Gentlemen, in Columbia, South Carolina, thanks to Judge Monet Pincus. This is what these kids have gone through. You've got excerpts from my daughter's journal.

- CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We do.
- A. Does that look healthy to you? And meanwhile, all along these therapists are telling this judge, "Things are going great." Family Bridges and Horse Sense are the two behavioral modification camps that these kids are being sent to, and they are nothing but moneymaking schemes from these people.

And I would like to let, for the record, this commission know, just so that it understands based on the obligation it has to the citizens of South Carolina, that even though I'm not alleging that Judge Pincus is receiving a financial kickback from it, I am saying that these are friends of hers, and all that stuff about she not having ex parte communications is bull. She is friends with these ladies. She is kicking them business.

And just as we saw in the "kids for cash" scheme in Pennsylvania, where two judges who thought they were above the law were giving kids to a particular juvenile detention center, and they were getting financial kickbacks

1 because the guy that ran the juvenile detention center was 2 their buddy. 3 Now, those two judges, who served a long time on 4 the bench and had a lot of connections, not only in 5 Pennsylvania but obviously, apparently, in D.C., Judge 6 Ciavarella and Judge Conahan, they now are behind bars 7 because the FBI stepped in. And when the FBI steps in on 8 an investigation, the FBI makes it very clear: Judicial 9 immunity falls. 10 And with those judges that felt they were above 11 the law, it also shows you that nobody, no judge is above 12 the law. 13 I want this commission to understand the 14 importance of that. Because whether it's the media in this 15 town or the Federal Bureau of Investigation, if it gets 16 involved and ask any of you all on this commission, "Were 17 you ever aware of some possible unethical conditions by 18 Judge Monet Pincus?" you have to answer, "Yes." 19 And as also Marsha Levick in Pennsylvania, the 20 lawyer that had a civil lawsuit on Luzerne County showed, 21 you better know what you're doing. Because she won a \$2.5 22 million lawsuit for the victims of that. 23 I close with this: You saw my daughter's journal. 24 I want to enter into admission, the very last excerpt again

25

to show you --

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It's in the record, sir.
2	MR. YOUNGINER: That is in the record then?
3	Okay.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Submitted.
5	MR. YOUNGINER: Okay. Well, that's fine.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: It's submitted and we have
7	it.
8	MR. YOUNGINER: Well, thank you.
9	A. So then I will close then with this: I've had the
10	privilege of co-chairing an organization here for five
11	years called Healthy Learners. My father started it before
12	he died back in 2001. Some of you may have heard of
13	Healthy Learners. We provide dental, vision and healthcare
14	for South Carolina's children that can't afford it. They
15	actually help about fifteen children a year in twenty-seven
16	school districts across South Carolina. I tell you that to
17	tell you this. We have a very simple moto. It's "Be a
18	champion for children."
19	I don't care if she's running unopposed. I don't
20	care what others say that might be good. Please, I beg you
21	she's going to get a chance to rebut what I've said. I
22	never had that chance that day, as I mentioned in my
23	complaint. I never had that chance to be able to defend
24	myself against my accuser.
25	But please know this: Nothing she says, as crafty

as it may be, changes the facts of what I've said to you today. So I close by asking you to do the same for the sake of future children, so that they don't have -- they don't have to go through the hell that my children went through and what other kids have gone through.

For the sake of the South Carolina's children, I'm asking each and every one of you to please be a champion for children. And I thank you for your time.

EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:

- Q. Mr. Younginer, I want to ask just a couple of questions, if I may.
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And obviously there's some -- you litigated this case and you were represented by an attorney?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Was there a final disputed result where an order was issued? Or was there a settlement?
- A. So Mr. Chairman, what took place with this was, once some other attorneys caught wind of this across the state, and how egregious the temporary order was, and how dishonest the temporary order was, where she took just my exwife's side of the affidavits and put them into the temporary order as if they were fact without my chance to rebut, one of the attorneys stepped in a couple months later when he realized how egregious and draconian this

was, as I've mentioned, and he stepped in and he shut it down.

And what I mean by that, Ladies and Gentlemen, is he stepped in and he subpoenaed eighteen people, including my entire exwife's family and these therapists that we were talking about. Because beyond a shadow of a doubt, we could prove that they committed perjury in sworn affidavits. And when they found out that we were going to expose that perjury in sworn affidavits, and even this judge who almost in a boastful manner, back on August 25th, bragged about she'll retain jurisdiction all the way through trial, not only did she then say during one of those future hearings afterwards that, "Now, if this goes to trial, I won't be the judge. I hope you all know that."

And she not only said that, she started backing away from it, but also my exwife and everybody gave the kids back. It all came crumbling down because they realized we were going to hold them accountable for the perjury they had committed in sworn affidavits.

So the order actually got vacated. All of a sudden all this stuff that I was going to be having to do - and even the kids were going to go through another six months of therapy, even once they came back from the psycho-circus in Bozeman, Montana, all of that came to a complete halt. And it all stopped. And her order got

vacated, which I have learned is a legal term as if it
never happened. Well, tell my kids that it never happened.
They lived it.

But, yes, sir, it came in -- and to answer your question, other attorneys came in that have had a lot experience in this state with this kind of stuff, and said, "Enough's enough." And they shut it down. And whenever my exwife and those counselors found out that they were being subpoenaed for deposition to expose perjury in their affidavits, the kids came right back to me. We shut it down. Her order got vacated. And that's been it ever since.

I'm speaking today not for my kids or for me.

It's too late. I swear to you, I'm speaking for the future kids of South Carolina that don't have to face this kind of stuff anymore, that might not have the financial means or the emotional stability to do it.

- Q. Mr. Younginer, tell me about yourself. What do you do?
- A. For the last twenty-four years I am the national -- the national accountants manager for Husqvarna

 Construction Productions. The facility is located on Two

 Notch Road. We manufacture construction equipment that -
 also the diamond tools that cut and repair the highways,

 that you see out there. A lot of times when you're being

1 frustrated for having to move into one lane and traffic 2 stops, unfortunately a lot of our customers that are using 3 our products are causing that in order to cut the highways 4 up and re-pour them, and also do demolition for buildings 5 as well. 6 0. And who were your attorneys? 7 Α. Well --8 Q. Or attorney. 9 An attorney. Originally, I had --Α. 10 Just briefly who was it? Q. 11 Yes, sir. Anne Marie Ugarte was the original Α. attorney who came on. She was also with the School of Law. 12 13 And she was so distraught at this order. So then I ended 14 up getting Mr. Douglas Cody. I also got Michael Taylor. 15 Michael Taylor was for the appellate part -- excuse me --16 for the appellate part of the process. 17 She might even mention to you how the appeals 18 court approved this. She won't know to let you know, 19 though, that they approved it on procedural matter and not 20 merit. There's a huge difference. 21 But also, then finally Mr. Peter Shahid, who's 22 the current president of the Charleston Bar Association, 23 stepped on board. He's been a -- he's been a family court

magistrate judge for eleven years. And he's the one that

lawyer for over thirty-three years, and he also was a

24

officially came on and applied the eighteen subpoenas for deposition to these people and shut it down.

- Q. And so there was an appeal by you, or by your exwife?
- A. We appealed it immediately because of the -- like I said, just the absolutely insane nature of what was being taking place.
 - Q. Now, I'll try to be as short as I --
- A. Yes, sir. Sure. Yes, there was an appeal. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you said it was administratively --
- A. It was moved on for procedural matters and not merit. And what I mean by that, Mr. Chairman, is the fact that they looked at it again -- I can't speak for Judge Few on the appellate court. But they moved it on in regards to, basically, you know, we're just going to let it continue on for now. They didn't say that based on the merit of it, in other words, that --
- Q. So there is no reversal of some order by an appellate court?
- A. Correct. The appellate court, when we took it to appeals, just simply said, "At this time from looking at it, we're going to just let it move forward for now." And then obviously when the order got vacated, well, then no one else had to look at it anymore.

1 Q. Was that order vacated by settlement? 2 Α. Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 3 How long from start to finish of your temporary 0. 4 till the settlement? 5 Α. Six months. From the actual temporary to the 6 actual official settlement, six months. 7 0. And how long were your children actually in 8 Bozeman? 9 Α. Four days. 10 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's all I have. Any 11 questions by the Commission members? Mr. Safran. 12 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 13 I think I've got the time frame. And I want to 0. 14 be quick, too, because I think I read this --15 Yes, sir. Α. -- in the materials that were presented. 16 0. 17 understood it, when you were talking about the appeal being 18 done, Judge Few heard it on what was called a supersedeas? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. Basically try to stop it at that point? 21 Right. Α. But did not allow it at that point --22 Q. 23 Α. Right. 24 -- or didn't find that it should be stopped, so Q. 25 it was still allowed to be pending.

1 Α. Yes. 2 And at some point down the road within at the Q. 3 six-month period is when you ultimately reached the settlement. 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. And it was done in a consent order, basically. 6 0. 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 And is that consent order part of what was 0. 9 sealed, ultimately? 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 But what you're telling me is, is your 0. 12 understanding is what prompted the consent order was 13 getting the third attorney in the case --14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 Is it Shaheed or Shahid --0. 16 Α. Shahid. 17 Down in Charleston. 0. 18 Yes, sir. Peter Shahid. Α. 19 And once this discovery process was initiated, Q. 20 things kind of came to head. 21 Α. That's correct. And your kids came back. 22 0. 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 I'm assuming that resolved the case, you know, Q. 25 overall at that point.

- 1 Α. Yes, sir. 2 But I mean, the time we're talking about in terms Q. 3 of what you're telling us that went on was roughly about a 4 six-month window from the time that, that initial temporary 5 order came out until the time everything was more or less 6 concluded? 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 0. Thank you. 9 Α. Thank you. 10 MR. YOUNGINER: Mr. Chairman, one final 11 thing that I -- that I remembered, though. 12 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 13 You can back up 'cause we are --0. 14 That day in court -- that day in court August Α. 15 25th, she asked for my daughter when they brought them in -16 - took them out of school, by the way, and paraded them in Blythewood High School, in front of their friends, as if 17 they were almost like criminals. Their friends are saying, 18 19 "What's going on? What's going on?" And these kids are 20 like, "We don't know."
 - They parade them into a guidance counselor's office, my exwife's there with a sheriff's deputy, and they bring them down to the courthouse like as if they're criminals themselves. The judge notifies them of what's going to take place.

21

22

23

24

1 And then the judge asked, "Do any of you, young 2 man" -- his name is Bryce, at the time 14 years old, or 3 Ansley, 16 years old -- "do you have any questions?" 4 And my daughter -- how she composed herself, I 5 have no idea. But she spoke so eloquently, and basically 6 said to the judge, "What would you do if you were me? 7 would you do if a judge is telling you that your daddy now, 8 you're not going to be with him anymore, and your momma's 9 the one that's been talking bad about your daddy? Your 10 daddy hasn't been talking bad about your mom. What would 11 you do?" 12 And once again -- what's interesting, with all 13 due respect, ma'am, when you mentioned about someone saying 14 she was rude, she cuts off my daughter and she goes, "Is 15 there a question in this?" 16 And she goes, "Yes, ma'am. I'm asking you what 17 would you do? What would you do now if the world that you 18 were happy in is now being taken away from you?" 19 This judge, after my 16-year-old daughter, who's 20 now 20 -- this judge, after my 16-year-old daughter said 21 what she said so eloquently, turns to the court reporter 22 and says, "I would like to strike the kid's comments from 23 the record." 24 Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission, why would 25 this judge want to strike the kid's comments from the

1 She didn't say anything like, "I'm going kill record? 2 myself" or "I'm going to kill you" or anything like that. 3 She asked a very simple question to the judge. And she 4 also exposed the judge for what she was doing to be wrong. 5 And even if the judge obviously disagreed with 6 her, because she is the judge, why would the judge -- I 7 hope this commission wants to know why would a judge strike 8 a 16-year-old's comments from the record, that simply 9 pointed out that everything that the judge was saying was 10 incorrect? Why wouldn't she still allow it on the record? 11 'Cause she would be worried about somebody like you all, 12 one day on the judicial commission of merit, questioning 13 And why would she strike it from the record? 14 Was that part of your appeal? Was that included Q. 15 in any briefing that you would have filed? The exchange 16 you just -- the information you've just shared with us. 17 Α. Well, at that time our main goal, Mr. Chairman, 18 was simply to try to see about getting the kids back. 19 Q. There would be a transcript with that --Yeah, actually, the whole was, the court reporter 20 Α. 21 sent it to my attorney by mistake, I think. So that's why 22 it wasn't sealed.

as if in other words, "This is how it's going to be."

So your attorney objected to that request to --

Yeah. And she shut the attorney down, you know,

23

24

25

0.

Α.

1 Q. So is it within the transcript, or not? 2 Α. No, sir. She had it erased. 3 Q. Who was your attorney at that point? 4 Anne Marie Ugarte. Α. 5 Q. So she shut your attorney down? She shut the kids down first, and then she 6 Α. 7 shut the attorney down when the attorney wanted to know why 8 the -- why would that be struck from the record. 9 Was there an objection made by your attorney --0. I have to honest, Mr. Chairman, there was not. 10 Α. 11 And the reason why was because she was flabbergasted. 12 Marie Ugarte -- I don't know if any of you know Mrs. 13 Ugarte, but she's been a professor at the School of Law 14 down here at South Carolina for many years. And she was so 15 flabbergasted by this absolute off-the-grid rogue style of 16 ruling, that she was -- she was actually at a loss. 17 really was. 18 So, no, sir, Mr. Chairman, she did not. 19 she was still trying to take in what all had just happened 20 in the last hour. 21 Last question from me, and I want to make sure 0. 22 that I understand. You're not alleging that Judge Pincus 23 has any financial interest that she's benefitting from the 24 referral, you're just saying that it's something that we 25 should be aware of might --

1 Mr. Chairman, I'm saying that an investigation by Α. 2 the Federal Bureau -- the Federal Bureau investigation 3 might find some very interesting things. But for the 4 record, Mr. Chairman, I am not personally alleging that she's receiving any financial kickback. My only things 5 6 that I'm saying to this commission is that she's allowing 7 friends of hers to receive huge financial -- that Bozeman, 8 Montana camp was \$40,000. 9 Now, originally in her order she said I was 10 supposed to pay half of it. But again when we shut all 11 this down, and everybody was getting subpoenaed and stuff, and we were going to expose what we exposed, all of a 12 13 sudden I didn't have to pay any of that either. I didn't 14 pay one dollar of it. 15 Q. Okay. So four days there was no -- who paid for 16 the four days --17 Α. My exwife and her -- and her father and her 18 family. 19 Q. What did that cost? 20 Forty thousand -- well, thirty-eight thousand, I Α. 21 think. 22 For four days? 0. 23 Α. Yes, sir. 24 Q. Okay. 25 That's what these camps are. And they're huge Α.

1 moneymakers. Dr. Paul Vanwyke, who was our therapist, 2 also said that these counselors -- these particular kind of 3 counselors, they use this and that -- alienation does 4 exist, but these counselors are taking it on a huge canvas 5 and they're going, "Alienation, alienation, alienation." 6 Because when you do that, that's money, money, money. 7 0. This is the last question, then we're going to 8 try to wind this down here. You have also alleged in your 9 complaint, something about the record being sealed. 10 Α. Right. 11 But was that at your lawyer's motion? 0. 12 Α. Oh, no, sir. Not at all. It was at her lawyer's 13 motion. 14 But was there an objection by your attorney to Q. 15 sealing the record? 16 Α. At that time we were so happy to get the kids 17 back, Mr. Chairman. We were just wanting to get the kids 18 I honestly don't know if he -- if they objected to 19 They realized probably that even if they did, it wouldn't have been heard. But I don't know if they did 20 21 file an actual objection. I would say I don't believe they 22 did. 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you, sir. 24 Thank you for your time. MR. YOUNGINER:

CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Representative Smith.

1 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 2 Q. Mr. Younginer, just a couple questions. 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 And there's a lot of editorializing. And I 0. understand that --5 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 0. -- about this case. I just want some facts, 8 okay? 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 I don't need explanations behind it. Q. 11 Α. Sure. 12 Q. Who was the therapist that you -- that 13 recommended that these children go to the alienation camp? 14 Α. Well, there was two. One was Dr. Alicia 15 Benedetto and the other one was Dr. Allison Foster. 16 0. Allison Foster who used to be with DMH and the 17 ARC over here? 18 They've changed the name since then. Α. Right. 19 Q. Yeah, it's changed. I know what you're talking 20 about. And then who was your wife's attorney? 21 For that particular case it was Peter Currence. Α. With McDougall and Self. 22 Q. 23 Α. Right. 24 And let me ask you this question: When you all Q. 25 went to court, was Judge Pincus the judge who approved your

1 settlement? Or was there another judge? 2 Α. No, she approved it. 3 And the record is sealed; is that right? 0. 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 Q. And this was in 2014, so we have a rule called 6 Rule 41.1, which is sealing records, okay? 7 Α. Yes. 8 And so would you all -- if it's sealed, would 0. 9 your attorney have consented and agreed to the sealing of 10 the record? Or was that decision made by Judge Pincus, 11 where it was proposed and Judge Pincus had to make that 12 ruling? 13 Judge Pincus said she was sealing the record. Α. 14 She said she was sealing the record. Q. That was 15 not part of any agreement? 16 Α. No, sir. And help me understand it if -- and I'm just real 17 Q. 18 confused. And I have read in detail your complaint. 19 Α. Thank you. 20 0. Judge Pincus ordered this parental alienation 21 camp. 22 Yes, sir. Α. 23 0. And how long did it take for your children to go 24 I mean, it seems like six months later you were up there? 25

1 Α. It was about two months, I think. Because we 2 filed an appeal immediately. 3 So that stayed it while --0. 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 Q. Okay. Maybe six weeks. Six weeks to two months. 6 Α. 7 Q. And then you all entered into the agreement, and 8 that's why your children only stayed out there for a couple 9 of days? 10 Α. No, sir, that's how those programs run. I mean, 11 literally, like I say, it's thirty thousand --12 Q. It's a three-day --13 -- forty five --Α. 14 Q. -- program? 15 Yes, sir. Three to four days, yes, sir. Thirty-Α. five to forty thousand dollars. 16 17 Q. All right. 18 And the other program is called Horse Sense. Α. 19 one of the complainants, I don't think she's here tonight, 20 Trudy Morris, her family went up there. And that was a 21 weekend and that six thousand dollars. And Horse Sense, 22 you go up there and, "Now, you tell Popcorn all your secrets. And I'm not going -- I'm not going to listen. 23 24 Popcorn's got your secrets." 25 And the counselor's on the other side of the

1 horse --

2

3

4

6

7

- Q. Yeah, that doesn't have anything to do with this complaint. I'm just trying to -- I need to get all these facts out.
- 5 A. Okay.
 - Q. And so as I understand it, the procedural history of this is that you all appeared in front of Judge Pincus on a temporary hearing.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then you appealed the supersedeas, which was denied.
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I understand what you're saying about the supersedeas, it's procedural. And I agreed with you on that.
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then did you all ever go -- I thought I read somewhere where there were some motions to reconsider all that, or did you all --
- 20 A. Oh, yes, sir. We also asked her to recuse 21 herself. You know, that wasn't --
- Q. Did you all have hearings on that also or -- just
 "yes" or "no." Did you have any --
- A. We had a second rehearing about other stuff. I mean, we -- Dr. Foster's mentor, Dr. Jonathan Gould, who's

- nationally renowned, he had looked at the case, and he put

 a -- I'll be glad to tell you, but that's what -- that's

 what the hearing was. We had another hearing about that.
 - Q. And it appears to me, and maybe I'll see it and we'll hear it from Judge Pincus, that she stayed her enforcement of her ruling until you had this motion. Would you agree with that? Did she stay the ruling -- the temporary ruling until you had your motion to reconsider hearing?
 - A. Yes, sir. You mean in -- yes, in regards to the kids going to the camp?
 - Q. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

- A. Yes, sir. That's correct.
- Q. So actually, it was Judge Pincus, on her own order, that stayed the kids from going to camp until that time.
 - A. Well, until the appellate court heard the case.
 - Q. Yes, sir. And then you all had another hearing where some more affidavits and information was accepted at that hearing?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And then you all reached a settlement and entered that into the record, and the record's been sealed so nobody can see the record -- really, I mean -- you know, that's part of the concern I have is -- you know, I take

your word, I'm sure she's going to have a different version 1 2 of it, and we don't the opportunity to have a record. Do 3 you have any transcripts or anything, orders in your 4 possession? 5 Α. No, sir. Because again it would all be sealed, 6 and I'd be in violation --7 0. Right. Yes, sir. I understand that. But what 8 I'm saying is -- you know, I don't do family court anymore, 9 but I have extensive prior experience in that for years. 10 And, you know, the clients -- I always sent my client the 11 order, I send them everything that I had in the file as a 12 matter of course. 13 I have all of them. Α. 14 And I know you can't share them. Do you have Q. 15 that stuff in your possession? 16 Α. Yes, sir. I have all of it. 17 Q. All right. 18 I do. Α. 19 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: All right. 20 Younginer, thank you for being here today. 21 Thank you all for your time. MR. YOUNGINER: 22 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. 23 SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 24 EXAMINATION BY SENATOR YOUNG: 25 Mr. Younginer, I just have a few questions. 0.

1 Yes, sir. Α. 2 The 2014 case that you've talked to us about, Q. that case as I understand it was related to modification of 3 4 custody; is that correct? 5 Α. Yes, sir. You and your exwife had been declared divorced in 6 0. 7 a prior action in Richland County? 8 Α. Yes, sir. 9 And when was that entered? 0. 10 The divorce was received in the court of Richland Α. 11 County in 2009. 12 0. And so was the modification of custody action 13 filed to modify something from the 2009 divorce decree? 14 Mr. Young, what happened was we had a week Α. 15 on/week off, and the kids didn't want to go with their 16 mother because of things they said that was happening over 17 there. We were seeing a therapist at the time, to try and 18 reunify the kids, and it continued just to go bad with 19 that. 20 And so I hope I'm answering your question 21 correctly. It was a week on/week off visitation. But even 22 at that time one of the therapists, Dr. Sam Touma, 23 recommended that the kids stay with me during the school 24 time, because they were doing better.

So it was a week on/week off agreement, but it

1 never officially ever had any length to it. Because the kids would always be coming back, not wanting to be with 2 3 their -- with some of the stuff happening. 4 So you and your exwife were divorced in 2009? 0. 5 Α. Yes, sir. 6 And you had joint custody? 0. 7 Α. Yes, sir. 8 With a week on/week off? Q. 9 Yes, sir. Α. 10 Q. And that was the arrangement between 2009 and 11 2014? 12 Α. Well, in 2011 is whenever she sued for custody. 13 And that's whenever -- was the first attempt when they 14 tried to bring on Dr. Benedetto and --15 What happened with -- the case that's cited in Q. 16 this paperwork is a 2014 case. What happened with the 2011 17 case? 18 That's what I read for you from doctor -- excuse Α. 19 me -- from the Honorable Judge Dana Morris, who made his -you know, he appointed a guardian. He appointed, also, a 20 21 therapist to be reporters to the court. They both reported 22 back the court that no wrongdoing was being done by the 23 father, the father was not alienating the affections of the 24 kids towards the mother. And so that case ended up

25

shutting down.

1 Q. That case was in 2011? 2 Yes, sir. With Judge Dana Morris, he heard it Α. 3 that day in Camden. 4 Is that case filed under seal too? 0. 5 Α. No, sir. Not to my knowledge. 6 That must be a Kershaw County case. 0. 7 Α. Well, I don't know how it would --It's not showing up on the court roster that I 8 0. 9 can see in -- or the court records in Richland County. 10 Α. Okay. 11 The 2009 divorce action is actually showing up, 0. 12 but it's a 2008 and 2009, two different actions. And they 13 actually appear to be sealed. Did you agree to seal that 14 too --15 No, sir. Α. 16 0. -- at some point? 17 Α. No, sir. That's news to me. 18 The 2014 action, I don't see it showing up either 0. 19 in the court records. 20 Well, that is sealed. Α. 21 But you can't pull up the 2008 or 2009 records. 0. 22 And one more question is: Were you aware that it appears 23 that your attorney of record agreed to seal the record 24 after the case was resolved in February of 2015? 25 Which attorney does it show? Does it say Mr. Α.

Peter Shahid?

- Q. It's my understanding that the case was -- the record was sealed at the request of both parties.
- A. Well, it was just agreed upon. Again, Judge Pincus said she was going to seal the record. And so they signed off on it. Mr. Young, I'm not sure with regard -- my attorney I know for a fact never suggested we want the record sealed. By no means did we want them sealed.

And possibly -- and again I don't want to speak for Mr. Shahid, but my only thought could be based on that time frame, we were doing whatever at that time the judge was doing so that we could get the kids back.

But no, I know my attorney never, ever suggested for the record to be sealed. If he signed off on it, then that might be a different thing, just because the judge said she was sealing the record. But he never -- Mr. Young, he never put any kind of suggestion into the court for the record to be sealed. Maybe Mr. Currence did, and Mr. Shahid had said, "Okay. Fine." But he never, ever suggested for the record to be sealed.

- Q. Has there been any subsequent action since the 2015 settlement?
- A. No, sir. And, happily, I'm glad to say that my daughter is 20 and my son's 18.

SENATOR YOUNG: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN:

- Q. Very briefly. I think part of what I wanted to know is what Senator Young just asked you was -- because at least it's our understanding that the record was sealed by agreement as opposed to something that the judge necessarily said, "This is what I'm going to do on my own motion." I mean, is that --
- A. Mr. Safran, to my recollection, and what I think took place, was that there was some pictures of my exwife who has a connection -- or had a connection at the time to a prominent member here in Columbia, and I think for the sake of that situation, if I recall, Mr. Currence wanted the record sealed. And he suggested it to be sealed. And the judge wanted it sealed. And I think Mr. Shahid had signed off on it. Again, that is to my best recollection of it.

I do know for a fact, Mr. Shahid never suggested for it to be sealed. But that doesn't mean, Mr. Safran, that Mr. Shahid didn't say, "Okay, Mr. Currence wants it sealed based on some stuff in regards to his client, and the judge wants it sealed, whatever."

But I know we never officially submitted that we wanted it sealed. But I'm sure Mr. Shahid had signed off on it being sealed, just to move forward.

1	Q. So at least what I'm hearing from you now,
2	though, is, is that at least it's certainly your
3	understanding that the rationale behind sealing the file,
4	so to speak, was that it had something to do with some
5	things that might have been, you know, less than
6	potentially embarrassing
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q that may have come up during some process
9	A. That's right.
10	Q in the course of the case
11	A. Yes, sir.
12	Q that had to do with your wife.
13	A. That's correct.
14	MR. SAFRAN: Thank you.
15	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
16	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: One last question,
17	Mr. Chairman.
18	REEXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:
19	Q. Mr. Younginer, what was the ultimate settlement,
20	the disposition of your case with the with the custody
21	of your children?
22	A. Well, they were going back to week on/week off.
23	Q. You all went back to week on/week off.
24	A. We did. But then afterwards, my daughter, I
25	guess, apparently yes, sir. Yes, that's what the

that is the final verdict.Q. And you filed --

- Q. And you filed -- if I understand correctly what you told Senator Young, you filed the child modification action, correct? You were the plaintiff in the custody action?
 - A. No, I was the defendant.
- 7 Q. Oh, she --

3

4

5

6

- A. She's filed -- she filed all that.
- 9 Q. She filed. What was the relief she was seeking,
 10 to stop the week on/week off --
- 11 A. She was wanting -- she was seeking full custody.
- Q. Okay. I'm sorry. I had it -- I thought you said
 you were seeking full custody.
- 14 A. No, sir.
- Q. So you all went back to the current arrangement that you had.
- 17 A. Right.
- Q. And she was what we call the moving party in the modification of custody --
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- A. I have to say one thing -- I have to say this:
 Those counselors, as I mentioned in the affidavit, I will
 tell you again the expert opinion of those counselors in
 other cases in this state that you can -- I can certainly

1	give you the cases on, have been shown in retrospect as I
2	said in my complaint, it's very accurate, their expert
3	opinion in retrospect ended up advocating for pedophiles
4	and sexual molesters. That is a fact that I do want to
5	also get into the record about these so-called expert
6	opinions that this judge allowed to have reign over my
7	case.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Younginer, the last
9	question and courtesy same courtesy to you as everyone
10	that comes with us, do you have is that your daughter
11	with you here?
12	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir. There's also my
13	mother and my aunt that are here.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And your daughter's name?
15	MR. YOUNGINER: Ansley.
16	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Very good. All right.
17	Thank you so much.
18	MR. YOUNGINER: Thank you all so much.
19	(Complainant excused.)
20	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, if you'll come back
21	up. And you are still under oath as
22	JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm sure you are aware
24	of that. So how would you like to respond? The floor is
25	yours to address the complaint that has been filed and the

1	testimony that Mr. Younginer has
2	JUDGE PINCUS: I had the opportunity, once I
3	received the complaint, to review the entire court file. I
4	had the opportunity to provide the Commission with a
5	written response to that complaint. Which I'm hopeful you
6	have in your materials. I understand you do.
7	There's probably a few comments I should
8	make maybe to reiterate some things in my written response,
9	but also some things I've heard today for the first time.
10	I would like to point out on the issue of sealing the
11	record
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, I'm going to
13	JUDGE PINCUS: Is there a way
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: That's the last that
15	seemed to be the last point.
16	JUDGE PINCUS: That was the last I guess
17	that's the first refresh first in my mind.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Let's get to the bigger
19	the bigger crux of his complaint, and that being
20	JUDGE PINCUS: Sure.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: the procedural
22	JUDGE PINCUS: Okay. The temporary order?
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: But your involvement with
24	this case, and again direct response as best you can of his
25	complaint about the Bozeman order.

1 JUDGE PINCUS: The written response that I provided the Commission went through a lot of detail as to 2 3 exactly when I heard the matter, how much time I spent on 4 the record with it. Is that what you're --5 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, and there's no --6 that's not a sworn statement. So you need to give us a 7 little bit about that. 8 JUDGE PINCUS: So it was the parties' first 9 temporary hearing randomly scheduled before me. I just 10 happened to be in Richland County on that day and got the 11 It was scheduled for a thirty-minute temporary 12 hearing. And for those -- I know that some of you are 13 familiar with temporary hearings, some may not be, but 14 temporary hearings are motion-type hearings where I am to 15 decide issues brought before me based on affidavits, 16 documents and pleadings that come in under the rules. 17 It's not a trial. It's not where you would 18 have witnesses testify and I would make a final 19 determination on that. 20 So I held the first temporary hearing in the 21 It was scheduled for thirty minutes. I've checked case. 22 my notes, and I spent almost two hours on the first 23 The attorneys were very well prepared. They are 24 very respected attorneys for both sides. 25 During the litigation Mr. Younginer had

exceptional representation. And so did Ms. Donaldson. 1 The 2 attorneys know family law and practice family law 3 regularly, so as you can imagine they were very prepared. 4 Because it was a longer scheduled temporary 5 hearing, they weren't limited to eight pages of affidavits. 6 I actually measured for my written response the amount of 7 documents I reviewed for that first hearing was voluminous. 8 Which is fine. But I am the type of judge at a 9 temporary hearing -- not all judges do this, but I do. I 10 read everything the attorneys submit to me. And I hear 11 oral arguments. Other judges have different styles. 12 And I do that on the bench in front of the 13 So with the amount of documents that I was 14 given, it took long time. And I happened to have the time 15 that day. So after I reviewed and listened to arguments of 16 counsel, I also had some thing -- I took it under 17 advisement. I didn't rule from the bench. I had some 18 things to listen to in chambers. 19 There were a lot of audio recordings that 20 were given to me. I finally came to a decision on a 21 temporary basis, what I wanted to do. I called the 22 attorneys to tell them about my decision on the phone, and 23 what I was wanting to do, and gave instructions for an 24 order. 25 It was shortly after that, that I received

1 notice from a second attorney for Mr. Younginer, asking me 2 to hear, on an emergency basis, a stay of my order, to 3 reopen the record, to allow another opportunity to be heard 4 before I closed the record and signed my order. And it's a 5 little bit of an unusual request. I granted it. 6 scheduled an emergency hearing as soon as I could do it. 7 It was within days. 8 At this hearing there was even more 9 documents presented. Both parties had a lot of time on the 10 court -- all open court on the record to present multiple 11 documents, affidavits, and oral arguments again for as long 12 as they needed. So after all of this time -- and the two 13 hearings that I scheduled, I granted the request to open 14 the record. I did stay my order. I didn't change my 15 underlying decision orally. I made a few -- a few, maybe adjustments to it, but I ended up granted the relief on a 16

19 Younginer's.

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

So after I did that, I appointed a guardian. I didn't know the guardian. It was a guardian from Sumter County, Mr. Stoddard, who was recommended to me from some other judges, who would -- who might be a good fit. Other than -- and I was asked to appoint a guardian. So it's not something I did independently.

temporary basis that Ms. Donaldson requested. Not all of

But I generally granted her relief and not Mr.

1 But I did not take -- I don't even recall if 2 the attorneys offered a suggestion on guardians. I really 3 don't recall. So nevertheless, I appointed a guardian. 4 understand there was an emergency appeal of my order. 5 Justice Few reviewed it -- he was Judge Few at the time. 6 He denied the emergency appeal, the supersedeas. And my 7 order went forward. 8 Now, at that point it's not correct to say 9 that I kept any kind of close eye on this case. I don't do 10 Even if I keep jurisdiction on a case, I don't call 11 people and say, "How's it going?" I don't check with the 12 guardian and say, "How's it going?" 13 The attorneys have to report to me, under the 14 rules, which would be by motion or perhaps a request for a 15 conference call. I don't even think that happened, that I 16 recall. So it's not to say that I followed the case to 17 make sure everything was going okay. It was news to me 18 when I got a call that they settled. I was very happy that 19 they settled the case. I asked for time to come in to put 20 it on the record. But I don't track cases. 21 And in my temporary order -- I think it's 22 important to understand that I -- I did not independently 23 research any type of therapist or program or camp or 24 anything like that. That's not what I do as a judge. Ι 25 received information from very competent attorneys to

1 support their request. 2 Ms. Donaldson, through her attorney, 3 presented a plan for reunification that she thought was in 4 the children's best interest. My temporary order -- I 5 didn't even order the children to go to any particular 6 I leave it in her discretion to choose the 7 reunification therapy that I believed was in the best 8 interest of the children. 9 So it's not fair to say that I had, you 10 know, any kind of a relationship with or interest in 11 appointing certain people. In fact, in my temporary order 12 13 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Hold on a second. 14 If it's going to be easier for me to digest all this --15 Okay. I'm sorry. JUDGE PINCUS: 16 EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: 17 0. Let me say from the beginning that I'm not here, 18 and this Commission is not here, to re-litigate a case, 19 okay? And so I don't want to -- you know, we heard from Mr. Younginer, and we can hear from you, but -- you know, 20 21 what we're doing is, this calls into question your character and your fitness for a judge is what the 22 23 complaint's about. 24 And so, you know, I'm not here -- I don't want 25 detailed explanation of why you ruled on what you did.

- 1 That's your job. And, you know, you can -- in fact,
 2 there's other avenues for that to be addressed.
 - But what I am concerned about is what I heard is

 -- that raises my antennas, that I'd like addressed is did
 you order for these children to go to a reunification place
 in Bozeman, Montana?
 - A. Can I look in my order, sir?
 - Q. Yes. Yes, ma'am.
 - A. I have it marked exactly what I had -- what I had ordered. I'm reading from my temporary order on page 20, paragraph 46.
- 12 Q. Judge, if this is sealed, how do you have a copy
 13 of it?
- 14 A. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

- Q. So help me just get to that.
- 16 Α. Well, that goes to the sealing of the record. Ι 17 had -- the parties asked me to seal the record. 18 their final agreement. I quoted the page in my response of 19 exactly where they asked for me to do that. The consent --20 an order sent in, and the first line of the order sealing 21 the records says that the parties moved for the court to 22 seal the record.
 - So I as a court official -- it's a Richland

 County case, I can -- I can go and look at the file. So

 can the parties. The file is sealed for outside third

- parties to come in. It's to -- it's to protect parties
 privacy or most -- most -- most of the time --
 - O. Is that in the rule?
 - A. -- the children.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

- Q. Is that the rule?
- A. Well, in the -- the Rule 41.1 does have a special clause when you're dealing with family court, that family court judges can consider things that might not be normally considered in the civil court, for protection of children or privacy or things like that. So at the request of the parties, I sealed the record. And this is how I got into the record --
 - Q. Yeah, I don't want to get into that.
- A. -- you can do that.
- 15 Q. I was just asking how do you have a copy --
- 16 A. So that's how I have it.
- 17 Q. -- of it when the record's sealed. So you're 18 saying that court officials and parties can seal the 19 I don't know what the unsealing of documents, 20 how you go about that, I don't see that in the rule. 21 you're telling me that Mr. Younginer and his wife and you, 22 and anybody in the Richland County clerk's office, can 23 legally look at a sealed file.
 - A. Yeah. And if there's any question -- the clerk of court brings the file up the administrative judge at the

1 time, or if the judge is there that sealed the record and 2 said this -- you know, "Parties downstairs, they need a 3 copy of this or that, " so the clerk oversees that. 4 somebody wanted to --5 Q. Again, we need rifle shots and not shotgun blasts 6 to this. So help me. All I asked was is there a rule, a 7 policy? Where are you getting it from, that you can have 8 that document? 'Cause I'd like to have that document, but 9 I don't think I can under the rules of where we are. 10 But that's why I'm asking. Is there a policy or 11 is there a rule? What allows you to have a copy of that document? 12 13 Anyone can file a motion to unseal the record. Α. 14 But no one's filed a motion to unseal the record, Q. 15 right? 16 Α. I don't know if you all have done that or not. No, we haven't. 17 Q. I wouldn't --18 Α. 19 So not by --Q. 20 Anyway, I wouldn't have to be the Α. -- know that. 21 judge to hear that. So if somebody did that, I wouldn't 22 know. 23 0. All right. Well, let's --24 I'm sorry. Maybe I don't understand your Α. 25 question.

1	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And I'm going to interrupt
2	you.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You were at the settlement
4	stage, so we're going to move past. You were happy that
5	they settled it. And again
6	JUDGE PINCUS: So I was referring, I think,
7	to there are some parts of their final settlement that I
8	could refer to, but then I was asked about the temporary
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Well, hold on a second.
10	REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Mr. Chairman, my
11	question wasn't finished. I didn't have my question
12	answered that I want answered, please, sir.
13	BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:
14	A. To answer your question, Representative Smith, I
15	do I ordered temporary custody to the mother. And with
16	that I gave her the discretion to chose a therapist. I in
17	fact said she cannot chose Dr. Benedetto, one of the
18	complained therapists for Mr. Younginer, because there was
19	something going on between them.
20	So I allowed her to chose the reunification
21	therapist and/or the process in her discretion as the
22	custodial parent.
23	Q. And so that reunification process was in Bozeman,
24	Montana?
25	A. If that's what Mr. Younginer says. I don't have

1 any reason to dispute where she went. 2 Q. Is that something that you --3 As I said, it wasn't brought up then in the Α. 4 I didn't try the case, so I can't really tell you, 5 from the time I heard the temporary hearing until I put the 6 settlement on the record, what exactly transpired, how many 7 days the children were somewhere, or for how long. 8 All right. But you didn't specifically 0. 9 order that the child go to Bozeman, Montana, at whatever 10 expense it is. But putting a child on a plane to Bozeman, 11 Montana --12 Α. No, sir. 13 -- is kind of baffling to me. 0. 14 Α. No, sir. 15 All right. That's not something you ordered, Q. 16 that's something a therapist recommended. Did anyone bring 17 that back to you and say, "Judge, they're recommending that 18 the child go to Bozeman, Montana, and that's insanity"? 19 Α. Well, certainly the --20 Q. Did someone just bring that back to you --21 Well, there was a --Α. -- in the form a motion? 22 0. 23 Α. -- motion to reconsider.

Sort of in the sense that I hadn't yet signed my

24

25

Q.

Α.

Was that part --

- order. So I opened the record and then each attorney again brought information before me, "This is the therapy we'd like to use" or "this is why we oppose it." I didn't make the call on where they should go.
 - Q. And all I'm really trying to get -- and I'm going to just tell, it's going to disturb me greatly if a court in this state ordered someone to get on a plane and go to Bozeman, Montana, for some type of therapy. I mean, you know, I just -- you know, that's -- but what I'm just asking I guess is: Did you specifically order or approve, or do anything to allow the child -- the children to get placed on a plane and go to Bozeman, Montana, for a three-day therapy class?
- 14 A. It's not in my order.
 - Q. Okay. That's all I want to know. Thank you.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
- Q. I want to follow up that with, was a guardian involved and was there a recommendation by --
- 20 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

- 21 Q. -- the guardian ad litem supporting --
- 22 A. Yes, sir. There was a guardian --
 - Q. -- supporting whatever reunification?
- 24 A. At the beginning of that case there was not.
- Q. At the point that this temporary order is issued.

1 Α. Well, it's my temporary order that appointed a 2 guardian, but then -- was immediately appointed, and 3 certainly consulted along with therapists about the best 4 reunification plan. 5 0. So is there a --6 So we had the opportunity to do that. Α. 7 0. And there would be within that record a quardian 8 report supporting --9 Α. In this case --10 -- that decision? 0. 11 I don't know if he filed a written report at the Α. -- in the file. Again, the parties settled. And I can't 12 13 recall --14 Well, I'm saying at the point of a temporary Q. 15 order, would there be a requirement to have a guardian ad 16 litem's report or testimony --17 Α. Not at that first temporary hearing. No, sir. 18 Some temporary hearings we do, but not this one. This was 19 the very first time they were in court. They had not yet agreed upon a guardian. The court had not yet appointed 20 21 one. 22 I did appoint one the first time it came before 23 Of course, the quardian stayed involved all through 24 the five months of litigations, six months of litigation,

until the final order. And he -- and he signed off on

1 their agreement. 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Safran. 3 EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 4 Judge, I'm going to make the request -- because I 5 don't want to put you or anybody else through anything 6 longer than is necessary, So I'm going to kind of echo what 7 Representative Smith said, "give me it back as a rifle 8 Just tell me if I'm right or wrong, okay? 9 You issued an order that vested custody, where it 10 had been previously alternating, to the mother; is that 11 right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 As part of that order, you basically gave her 14 complete and sole discretion in terms of choosing where 15 this child was going to go for the therapy that you had 16 determined that they needed; is that fair? 17 Α. Fair. Yes. 18 And basically, you've excluded the 0. Okav. 19 particular psychologist that was objectionable, locally. 20 Benedetto, correct? 21 Α. Yes, sir. Do you have any idea whether or not Benedetto was 22 23 the one that actually chose this place out in Montana, to 24 effectively facilitate what they wanted anyway? 25 I can't recall, sir. Α.

- Q. But you would agree with me, does it not seem a little odd that -- and again I don't go to family court. But it seems a little strange to me that an order would give complete discretion to send somebody -- what if they wanted to send them to Tibet? I mean, I'm just asking. I mean, from what you're telling me, the way the order was drafted was that they could have done that. I mean, is that not true?
 - A. It's not -- it's not that -- it's not true. The way that you're saying it -- I -- I ordered reunification therapy.
 - Q. And I get that.

- A. And I was presented with several plans. I adopted a type of a plan that looked to me like what was in the best interest of the children at the time. There's a guardian involved in a case, sir. There's -- there was a therapist involved. I think if somebody -- again, I don't oversee the cases either, once they're off of -- off of my docket as far as jurisdiction goes. But if that happened, there are ways that a aggrieved party can bring that issue back.
 - Q. Wasn't that part of the supersedeas, potentially?
- A. Initially. But the therapy hadn't occurred yet at that time.
 - Q. Right.

A. So assuming -- assuming that after the supersedeas, that the therapy goes forward not -- it's wrong to assume or think -- and if I gave you that impression, I'm sorry, that Mr. Younginer didn't know what was going on.

So he had counsel involved and a guardian involved. He was not cut off from really what was going on. It's not uncommon to award a parent temporary custody and give them discretion to choose a path of welfare for the children.

Q. And let me --

- A. And final -- or sole authority to do that.
- Q. Let me say this. I'm not suggesting that he didn't know what was going on, because he was obviously party to the -- to the litigation. What I'm simply asking is -- and again, if you're telling -- if you want to tell me that, you know, maybe I'm just -- I don't have a clue, or you can tell me that maybe I'm not, I guess, educated enough in this area to understand what the process is, that's fine. I accept that.

But what I'm asking you is, is that is it standard to basically issue an order that vests that type of discretion, to the extent that the court doesn't supervise anymore, where somebody is basically pretty much at the whim of one party going to be sent to somewhere

that, you know, may, as Senator Smith pointed out, be sent somewhere that seems a little extreme for the circumstances? Do you follow what I'm asking?

A. I do. But I just --

- Q. And let me just say this: As somebody who I know has a long background in family court, and who's obviously is well versed in the law, and who I think from everything I've read had a sterling reputation, what I'm asking is, is that the norm in a situation like this? Or does not the court continue to have to have some form of oversight to avoid anything that would be abusive in terms of the use of that parental discretion?
- A. There is not a norm per se in family court, because each family and those children and/or their situation has to stand on its own. It can't be compared to what we might do in other cases.

So it's hard for me to say what I did in this particular case is the norm or the standard. But as far as oversight there are -- we issue orders all the time, and then never know what might happen afterwards unless it's brought back. And there are ways for people that are aggrieved under this order.

Mr. Younginer was allowed to be involved in the process and know what was going on. If Ms. Donaldson, as you say, decided to take the children out of the country or

do something outside the scope of my order, there's contempt available if -- there's further motions for supplemental relief available.

There is avenues by which a party that believes a parent is abusing a certain discretion they're given in a temporary order, or a final order. There are avenues for that to be brought before -- it doesn't have to be me, but any judge. But there is no oversight of cases once they -- once we sign off on an order.

- Q. Let me ask you this, and I'll end with this: You're saying that based on --
 - A. In the sense of me overseeing it.
- Q. I understand that.
- 14 A. Oh, okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I understand. But didn't you retain jurisdiction of this one?
- A. I did retain -- I wanted to review, I think is the word I used, once the guardian got involved, for the guardian to be able to report to me any concerns or problems.
 - Q. And I guess the other bigger --
 - A. And then that never happened.
 - Q. And the bigger question, at least in my mind, based on what you just said, was that contempt would be available if somebody went outside the parameters of your

order; but yet, the order basically vested such a broad level of discretion, that what would have been outside the perimeter of the order?

A. Well, I mean not -- not really, sir. The heart of the case -- the heart of case was -- the heart of my order was a certain type of therapy that I ordered. It wasn't -- it just -- it's hard to say. If you're aggrieved by the order, you would bring it back and say, "Look, I think the parent is abusing her discretion or violating the order," and then we review it.

Sometimes orders are not easy to interpret on contempt. Sometimes they are. But in this case there was enough in my order that said this is the type of therapy that should be done. And it was the -- and it's not -- it's not a stranger. This is a mother who had --

Q. Oh, sure.

A. -- you know, a week on/week off, that was presenting the problems, and what she believed to be the solution to those problems. And I happened at the time to agree with her. And when I look back over the file and everything that I did, and it comes back to my memory, I stand by what I ordered.

And there is oversight of orders, but not necessarily all the time. It's, you know, by the judge that issues the order.

- Q. I understand that.
- A. I hope that --

- Q. And, you know, again I'll end with this -- and maybe I'm just uninformed. Maybe I am not certainly versed in family court practice. But the thing that does kind of sit with me as being a little difficult, as Representative Smith pointed out, was where somebody could basically go to the extent of saying that a therapy that presumably is available in a lot of locale, we have to go literally across the country in order to get it. That's something I'm finding problematic.
- A. Well, there's a lot of -- there was -- from what I recall in the voluminous records I received at that hearing, multiple professionals that were already involved in the case, many of which would have been conflicted out from doing anything.

Again, there were experts that are -- like Dr. Gould, Mr. Younginer mentioned, is an expert. And he could have potentially been the person to do this. However, he was retained as an expert so he was -- so many of the therapists or experts that attorneys would bring to a judge and say this -- this -- this therapist can do reunification therapy or this person can, couldn't have done that in this case.

Q. And again, the only thing I'd say in response to

```
1
     that is, is it that -- is there some rule that says that
 2
     the court can't designate an expert that's within a certain
 3
     geographic proximity, as opposed to letting a party
 4
     effectively just go pick anybody they want in the world?
 5
          Α.
               No, sir. No.
 6
                    MR. SAFRAN:
                                 Thank you.
 7
                    CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Mr. Hitchcock.
 8
     EXAMINATION BY MR. HITCHCOCK:
 9
          0.
               Judge.
10
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
11
               And again, we're not here to re-litigate this
          0.
12
     case. And I want to you to understand that and I want
13
     everybody to understand that, that's not the purpose here.
14
     But it's been a long time since I've been in family court.
15
     I practiced for a little while, but it was quite a while
           So I'm just trying to -- in my mind I'm just trying
16
17
     to follow.
                 There was a -- there was a temporary hearing,
18
     right?
19
               Yes, sir.
          Α.
20
          Q.
               And at that temporary hearing you issued an order
21
     and you gave -- I guess it was Ms. Donaldson custody at
22
     that point?
23
          Α.
               Yes, sir.
24
               And you ordered a -- ordered reunification
          Q.
25
     therapy of her choice, I guess?
```

1 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Then there was subsequently a motion for reconsideration, I think you said, that was even before you signed your order, right?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And was any part of that motion for reconsideration, was there any allegation that -- or any concern expressed that the reunification therapy was going to -- was it brought to your attention that the planned reunification therapy was going to take place in Bozeman?
- A. I don't recall what was specifically in the affidavits. I can tell you that there were more than one reunification plan or option presented by Ms. Donaldson, and absolutely nothing that was agreed to by Mr. Younginer. He was opposed to it in all manner.
- Q. That's not exactly my question, Judge. What I'm asking you is, is that as part of the motion for reconsideration -- were any of the arguments in regards to the motion for reconsideration, was it brought to your attention that the reunification therapy would take place in Bozeman and there was an objection to that?
- A. I can't -- I can't answer definitively about an objecting -- and objection to Bozeman. I can say that there was an objection to reunification therapy brought up, and every plan that was submitted was objected to.

1 So the two that I mentioned in my order, that she 2 could choose from -- it was the wording she could choose a 3 reunification therapy program like Family Bridges or Horse 4 Sense. Is Family Bridges the one in Bozeman? 5 Q. 6 I don't know, sir. Α. 7 0. Did your order say Family Bridges? 8 Yes, sir. It doesn't say where -- that's not to Α. 9 say I didn't know at the time. 10 Q. Okay. 11 I don't want to mislead you. There was a lot of Α. 12 information presented to me, there were brochures, there 13 were professional affidavits about different reunification 14 therapies that were available. It could very well have 15 been presented. Q.and again, you have the benefit of the order, you 16 have the benefit of the file. Did you review the file 17 18 before you came --19 Α. I did. 20 0. -- here today? 21 Today? No, I reviewed it --Α. 22 Before you came here today, did you -- I'm not 0. 23 saying that you came here today. Did you review your file 24 -- the file at some point before you came here today? 25 I reviewed the file before I filed my written Α.

1	response with the Commission, yes.
2	Q. Well, obviously, we don't have the benefit of
3	that, and you do. So it mentioned the actual names of the
4	the Bridges and Horse Sense, it mentioned you
5	specifically say that those are the choices in your order.
6	A. No, I say "such as." And please and also I
7	Q. Judge.
8	A I absolutely would
9	Q. Judge, were those in your order or not? Those
10	two. I said mentioned "such as," it means the same thing.
11	Are those are those two places listed in your order?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. All right.
14	A. And I'm happy to read. But I but you're the
15	second person that brought up that you don't have the file
16	and I'm sorry about that because
17	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're going to correct
18	that.
19	JUDGE PINCUS: Okay. I thought that you all
20	
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And again, let me and
22	let me ask you a real quick and I have a real quick
23	question, yes or no from Mr. Younginer.
24	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Will you sign whatever

1	document or consent to unsealing this record, for us to
2	have the benefit of the entire record?
3	MR. YOUNGINER: One hundred percent, yes,
4	sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right. And I need you
6	to speak loudly in that microphone or Madame Court
7	Reporter, can you hear that? Okay. And your exwife is a
8	resident where now?
9	MR. YOUNGINER: Right here in Columbia.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And are you all on terms
11	where you can communicate to her, or have her reach out to
12	us to likewise consent to her
13	MR. YOUNGINER: I think the best thing would
14	be for my attorney to contact her attorney.
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And who is your attorney?
16	MR. YOUNGINER: Mr. Peter Shahid. Her
17	attorney is Mr. Peter Currence. Pete Currence.
18	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you all are still
19	litigating some
20	MR. YOUNGINER: No, sir. The daughter's 20
21	and the boy's 18, so they
22	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So you are but you
23	still have him as your attorney in all in other
24	MR. YOUNGINER: He would be the one that
25	would that would request it.

And then contact him to
contact him to
ell. If you'll
wise, and to reach
n finally, real
ment. Do you have
tten response. Do
a part of the
motion of
will come into the
. WIII 000 III00 0110
, WIII 000 III00 0II0
'E'S WRITTEN RESPONSE)
E'S WRITTEN RESPONSE)
1

1 MR. HITCHCOCK: Yeah, I was. 2 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 3 I may be the only one up here who has gone to 0. 4 family court, with children in the tug-of-war. And this is 5 years ago, where I was involved with a contested case, a 6 temporary hearing, affidavits galore. And we've been 7 talking all for the last however many days about the --8 either the short shrift -- the short attention given to or 9 great weight given to and time to time to ponder. 10 all about that. 11 My question is, as to the somewhat surprise of 12 all of us, I've never heard of reunification therapy. 13 Reunification -- I don't even know what it means. 14 never heard of that. Perhaps it's a very common tool in 15 highly litigated, highly contested, fractured I don't know. But was it common in 2014? 16 relationships. 17 Was this something that had been ordered by other judges in 18 the state? And is it -- does it continue now? 19 Α. Well, I don't -- I don't have the ability to know 20 what other judges order. I just don't. I know my -- I 21 know that particular case that I had dealt with 2014, since 22 then there have been -- there was a Supreme Court case that 23 came out, I think it was Nguyen, on parental alienation. 24 So the heart of this matter is there -- it was extremely

high conflicted. It was not their first time in court.

25

There was --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

- Q. Have you ever ordered -- and I'm interrupting you. Forgive me. Have you ordered this before 2014?
 - A. No.
- Q. And you're a member of the -- I want to call it the elite --
- A. I was a fellow of -- right -- of the American

 Academy of -- and I didn't say I didn't hear of it, but I did not order it.
- Q. Well, before you became a judge, you appeared before lots of family court judges, advocating for your clients.
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Had you ever been a party to a case that involved -- not a party to, but representing folks where this was ordered? Agreed upon or not, as was the case clearly in this one.
- A. Yes, in the sense that many types of therapies
 have been -- were ordered in cases where I was the attorney
 or -- and/or agreed to. And many of those, you know, dealt
 with reunifying an estranged parent or an alienated parent
 to some degree.

I can't -- I can't say that it happened a certain amount of times. You could say it wasn't a foreign concept to me. It wasn't something that when it was presented,

that seemed to be out of the realm of what a court can do when you're dealing with these types of allegations.

But, sir, I honestly can't say a specific case or time or an order, but I was not unfamiliar with therapeutic intervention in families by multiple experts, many of which were mentioned in this case.

- Q. All right. And I'm going, I hope, hush here in just a second. But in temporary hearings, generally with a custody situation when the parties have had some prior either agreement or order, is it not generally the case that most judges want to maintain the status quo, pending the final development of all the facts, all the discovery, and at that point make whatever, perhaps, drastic changes to the status quo? Is that -- is that my mis-impression?
- A. No, it's not a mis-impression. There is no rule that says that a judge has to maintain the status quo. The reason why we look to the status quo is to try to get an idea of what's in the best interest of a child. But not every case is a status quo in their best interest.

So we -- I, or we as judges, have the right to issue orders that adjust, modify or change the status quo even on an interim basis.

Q. And I'm not cutting you off, but here's what I would like to do, unless there are other comments from the other commission members. We want to get the file. We

1	want to get the information. And not to re-litigate this.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And be clear: All parties
3	in this room, that's not our job here. Because, again, we
4	don't know the history, Mr. Younginer, of your family.
5	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Obviously, you're a you
7	have deep devotion to your children, as arguably your
8	exwife and the mother does as well. We're not here
9	we're not the appellate court, to sit here and decide
10	whether something should change. Your children have aged
11	out.
12	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank the Good Lord. Our
14	job is to determine whether, within the confines of
15	character, ability, the evaluative criteria, whether this
16	judge has stepped beyond the bounds of that authority. So
17	what I would like to do is to adjourn this portion of the
18	complaint. Mr. Younginer, you have told us that you don't
19	object to unsealing the record for us to get it.
20	MR. YOUNGINER: Correct.
21	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, I don't think it
22	requires your consent, but you have no problem with us
23	getting it.
24	JUDGE PINCUS: Of course not.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And so you're going to

1	have your attorney contact your exwife's attorney?
2	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Get in touch with
4	MR. YOUNGINER: And contact Mrs. Crawford or
5	Mrs. Valenzuela?
6	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. Yeah.
7	MS. CRAWFORD: Both.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Crawford and Ms.
9	Valenzuela.
10	MR. YOUNGINER: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're not looking for you,
12	no more
13	MR. YOUNGINER: I understand. I understand.
14	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Not to cut you off, but
15	we've heard and we've read. We've got all we need.
16	MR. YOUNGINER: Right.
17	BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
18	Q. But what I would ask us to do and we'll talk
19	about it how we're going to resume this. But for the
20	specific purposes of looking in the record that we don't
21	have the benefit of, that perhaps as you say, in hindsight
22	you wouldn't have changed a thing, you knew what was going
23	on then. And you were the one, and the only one, called
24	upon to decide at that time, based on what was before you,
25	what as you've said is your task, what's in the best

1 interest of these children. We can't second guess that. 2 But if there's some new something out there that, 3 again you're kind of pausing with this concept of 4 reunification, and you're the top of the top lawyer --5 Α. Well, I really don't want you to misinterpret my 6 It's I can't -- I can't identify specific cases 7 or dates. 8 Well, that's --0. 9 And that might seem like I'm pausing. Α. I'm not. 10 I was --11 And again, no negative association about by that. 0. 12 Α. Okay. 13 But it seems very unusual. But again --Q. 14 Representative Smith? CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: Mr. Chairman, one 16 other thing is, could counsel probably also contact Mr. 17 Currence, so we can bypass that, where they could talk to 18 Mr. Currence about seeing if he could gain the wife's 19 If not, then I would suggest the court issue a 20 subpoena or a motion to the family court. Who's the chief 21 administrative judge for Richland County right now? 22 JUDGE PINCUS: It's Judge Frierson. 23 REPRESENTATIVE SMITH: And so we can motion 24 them for limited discovery -- I mean, limited ability to 25 get that.

EXAMINATION BY REPRESENTATIVE SMITH:

- Q. So Judge Pincus, while all this is going on let me ask you one question, Because this is what it boils down to me. Did you know the cost of the procedure -- I mean, of the therapy, before they went out there?
- A. Possibly. And I say if it's a -- if it was in the affidavits, then I would know it.
- Q. And I don't know anything about the financial conditions of the parties, but would it be around \$60,000? Is that reasonable? I mean, is that something that could occur?
 - A. I don't have -- I can't dispute.
- Q. Would you order something of a party to spend \$60,000? I mean, is that something routinely done in your courtroom for some type of therapy?
- A. I look at each -- if there's a request for me to order parties to share in types of fees, I look at their underlying financial declarations to see whether or not they can afford it. I've refused certain requests before that I thought were out of the realm of what the parties could afford.

I'm not going to dispute what the program may have cost. It could be in the affidavits that were submitted to me. And again, it could, in fact, be what Ms. Donaldson was told when she enrolled the children. So I

1 | don't have ability to say that it wasn't \$40,000.

I did order the parties to share equally in the costs.

- Q. That was my next question.
- A. And then they agreed to share equally in the counseling costs. But as part of their agreement, Ms. Donaldson paid the entire cost of whatever therapy she chose.
- Q. But in the temporary order, when you ordered the reunification therapy and left that in discretion, you ordered them to initially split that, and you may have known at that time -- and we will be able to tell by the affidavits -- whether that was \$60,000.
- A. Yes, sir.

Q. So the next question -- and I'm not going to ask this on the record to Mr. Younginer or any -- I don't know what his income is and -- you know, and whether that's something that was -- you know, I guess based upon the income is whether it would be reasonable or unreasonable in looking at the financial declarations.

And so maybe, I guess, we probably would need the financial declaration. I want a limited access to the file. I want to reiterate what the chairman said. This is not the forum to re-litigate the issue. You know, and again to me, it's just this sending somebody out to

1 Bozeman, Montana, is what's giving me pause. 2 And I'd like a lot more clarification than what I 3 heard from today. And I think the benefit of the file 4 would provide that to us. And, you know, it may be 5 absolutely reasonable once I look at the file. It may not 6 be. I don't know. 7 But, you know, it's causing me enough pause that I want to have a further investigation and a further 8 9 hearing into this, so we can figure it out and make sure we 10 have all the facts when we make a decision. 'Cause you are 11 -- you should be able to be confident in this process, and 12 make sure that you are treated fairly and with respect. 13 And also any complainant, including Mr. Younginer over 14 here, should also have -- be treated fairly and with 15 respect, and have us do the job that we were required and charged under the law and the constitution of this state to 16 17 perform. 18 JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir. 19 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I have a few more 20 questions for you and then we're going to recede with this 21 portion. And then we'll go to the other -- Mr. Ginter's 22 complaint, and then we'll decide accordingly at that point 23 what to do. 24 JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MS. VALENZUELA:

1 EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA: 2 Q. Judge Pincus, do you have a personal or financial 3 connection to Family Bridges, an organization that 4 advertises its work in behavior modification? 5 Α. No, ma'am. Do you have a personal or financial connection to 6 0. 7 Horse Sense, an organization that does work in behavioral 8 modification? 9 Α. No, ma'am. 10 Do you have a personal or financial connection to 0. 11 any local or national organization that treats children 12 with behavioral modification? 13 Α. No, ma'am. 14 Do you have a personal or financial connection to Q. 15 any local or national organization that offers 16 reunification therapy or intervention? 17 Α. No. 18 Have you ever instructed a court reporter to 0. 19 strike language from a transcript? 20 I might have. But usually the attorney -- it Α. 21 would be when an attorney says "move to strike" in some 22 form of an objection. I have no recollection of what Mr. 23 Younginer said in that regard. But if it -- I can see --24 there are attorneys that would make an objection and then 25 move to strike, I would say "yes" or "no." If somebody

disobeyed a directive of the court, I could see saying, you know, that should be stricken.

But I do understand that even when a judge says that, it's still in the actual transcript. That's what I understand, that a court reporter doesn't go -- have the ability to delete what was said. So I have had transcripts stricken before. Under the rules, I've done that.

- Q. So aside from an attorney motioning, asking you to strike, or a person in your courtroom ignoring a direct order from you, have you ever instructed a court reporter to strike language from a transcript?
- 12 A. I would say I have not. And I would refer to the 13 transcript in this case as to why -- if I did that, why I 14 did that.
 - Q. Thank you, Judge Pincus.
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17 | EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
 - Q. Judge, I want to be real clear on that last exchange.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Forgive me. When you reviewed the file in preparation for this hearing today, and the complaint that's been leveled against you, did you review the transcript as well?
 - A. No, sir.

Q. Is there a transcript in that file?

- A. I don't think there is. The only way it would be in there is if a party had transcribed it and attached it to a document and placed it the file. But it wouldn't come from me, it would come from a party. But the full transcript is not in the file, that I recall. I don't recall any transcript, let me -- let me say that.
 - Q. But you've looked in that file recently.
- A. I looked at it the day the complaint came in -or that week, so the end of October, and I did not see a
 transcript. I did not request one or order one to be put
 in the file. But I did not see one.
- Q. Again, we're talking five -- four years ago, but very vivid recollections you've heard with a different story. Your testimony is that you, of your own motion, did not instruct the court reporter to strike the comments made by Mr. Younginer's daughter.
- A. Senator, I can't deny his recollection. I have under the circumstances mentioned -- ordered the court reporter to strike certain testimony.
 - O. But that's --
- A. Generally, in those two situations, I don't recall ever just on my own, in the manner it was described doing that.
 - Q. At the insistence of another -- of one of the

1 litigants, or their representatives, that being the one or 2 the -- again, I didn't hear both. 3 It was -- if there -- if it was a -- like Α. 4 a violation of the directive of a court. 5 Q. Right. In that regard. 6 Α. 7 0. Or a motion of one of the parties. 8 Α. Or on motion of a party. 9 And you don't recall that at all in this 0. 10 instance. 11 But I'm not going to say it's not in the Α. transcript. I just don't -- I don't recall. 12 13 You don't remember. 0. 14 Yeah. Α. 15 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So Judge, if you will have 16 a seat. And Mr. Younginer, you're free to leave at this 17 point. We are not going to resume any testimony on your 18 complaint tonight. 19 MR. YOUNGINER: Mr. Chairman, were you 20 saying, though, that the attorneys -- you all are going to 21 contact the attorneys? Or I still have to do what you were 22 asking earlier? 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: You're going to contact 24 your attorney, who's going to contact her attorney, who is 25 going to submit consent to us. And perhaps your attorneys

1	can prepare whatever document that not to put the burden
2	on you, that will allow us to get access to that file.
3	MR. YOUNGINER: Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Yeah. And so, again, we
5	got a little bit going back and forth here. We've got
6	that. So we'll handle that. Ms. Valenzuela will contact
7	the other attorney.
8	Let's now go to Mr. Ginter. State your name
9	for the record, please.
10	MR. GINTER: Nathan Ginter.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I'm sorry?
12	MR. GINTER: Nathan Ginter.
13	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Maybe pull the mic up
14	some. And if you will raise your right hand.
15	WHEREUPON,
16	NATHAN GINTER, being duly sworn and
17	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and nothing
18	but the truth, testifies as follows:
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So we have your complaint,
20	and everyone has read it. Ms. Valenzuela's going to ask
21	you some questions about it. And not to cut you off in any
22	way, or to limit you from the outset, but do understand we
23	have all read your information. So if there's something
24	that we need beyond that, again, we would ask you to limit
25	it to what has been submitted, okay?

1	MR. GINTER: Absolutely, sir.
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you. Ms.
3	Valenzuela.
4	MS. VALENZUELA: Good evening, Mr. Ginter.
5	And thanks for your patience. And Mr. Younginer, I meant
6	to thank you earlier for your patience as well.
7	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: A quick interruption. And
8	I apologize. I'm messing all these up. Do you have anyone
9	here with you that you would like to introduce?
10	MR. GINTER: Just support friends, sir.
11	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Okay. That's all right.
12	Go ahead.
13	EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA:
14	
T.4	Q. Mr. Ginter, your complaint relates to a 2014
15	Q. Mr. Ginter, your complaint relates to a 2014 custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus
15	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus
15 16	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the
15 16 17	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising
15 16 17 18	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising concerns about her ability to care for the child, which led
15 16 17 18	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising concerns about her ability to care for the child, which led to the child being injured, abused and neglected.
15 16 17 18 19 20	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising concerns about her ability to care for the child, which led to the child being injured, abused and neglected. He also alleges that Judge Pincus signed a court
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising concerns about her ability to care for the child, which led to the child being injured, abused and neglected. He also alleges that Judge Pincus signed a court order with multiple factual inaccuracies, and that this
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	custody action. Mr. Ginter alleges that Judge Pincus awarded joint custody to the child's mother, despite the mother being [redacted] and despite a [redacted] raising concerns about her ability to care for the child, which led to the child being injured, abused and neglected. He also alleges that Judge Pincus signed a court order with multiple factual inaccuracies, and that this action led to his incarceration.

document entitled "Temporary Order" in a case with a docket 1 2 number 2014-DR-40-1658. The Commission has those documents 3 and has reviewed those documents. 4 I'm going to remind you of the same thing we 5 reminded Mr. Younginer of: Please remember that committee's 6 purpose is to review the qualifications of the candidate. 7 They're not here to re-litigate your case and cannot --8 they do not have the ability to change the result in your 9 Knowing that, is there anything you wish to testify 10 to tonight that is not already covered in the documents 11 before the committee? 12 Α. I do. First, I'd like to thank everybody for the 13 patience. And I promise I'll be nice, short, and sweet. 14 I've got about a page and a half. And, Senator Young, it's 15 good seeing you again. I see you hiding from me. 16 time I run into you, you're behind one of those microphones 17 and I'm testifying. 18 But again, thank you for your patience. I know 19 it's very, very late. 20 SENATOR YOUNG: Mr. Chairman. 21 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Senator Young. I want the record to reflect 22 SENATOR YOUNG: 23 I'm not hiding from you. I'm eating a bag of potato chips, 24 because it's 9:32 at night and I haven't had anything to 25 eat since about three o'clock this afternoon. And I still

got to drive home to Aiken when we finish tonight.

MR. GINTER: Well, I will make sure to make this as short and precise as possible.

A. What I'd like to do is go over just a few portions of what I have written. It may sound redundant, but it's exceptionally important to my testimony, to make sure everything is chronologically correct so we can avoid some confusion which may have happened to Matt over here.

I think it's more important to start off by telling you why I stand here before you today. And I want this committee to understand that I don't stand here before you as a disgruntled past-litigant of Monet Pincus' courtroom. Nor do I stand in front of you as a -- as a disgruntled single parent.

I stand in front of you today as a father, a citizen of this state, and also a guardian ad litem. But more importantly than all of those combined, I'm here today because of my moral convictions, something that Monet Pincus seriously lacks.

On May 28th, 2014, before that date I had full custody of my daughter. An action was brought against me by an individual that was out [redacted] of her own child. The normalcy for the state of South Carolina to reverse custody, much less any sort of visitation, is a substantial change in circumstances. Monet Pincus had listed no change

in circumstances on her final order, nor did she have any finding of fact.

So as Monet Pincus, and I will quote her as saying earlier, "There are no norms in family court." That is a facade. That is why we have standard orders issued, such as Judge Brown's order -- Judge Brown's visitation orders or Judge Morris -- Dana Morris' visitation orders to build off of.

Each and every case in the state of South

Carolina is custom because no one is the same. However,

there are standards. Because if we had no standards, then

the court would turn into the civil version of the Wild,

Wild West.

After that hearing and custody was awarded to an individual [redacted] who also had questionable [redacted] and issues with her, reflected in information that was submitted to Monet Pincus, yet she chose to ignore them, my daughter ended up hurt. She became a product in the [redacted].

And it took years to reverse this order. As time grew -- as time went on, the effects of Monet Pincus' order took an effect on myself, and it also took effect on my daughter. It took literally years to get past it, all the way to a final order.

Now, I find something also curious in what Monet

1 said earlier that we are able to reverse decisions, but yet 2 she seemingly only has one final hearing per year. So I 3 can only insinuate that Monet Pincus believes that you can 4 reverse a temporary order where the appellate courts will 5 actually hear a temporary order. That's not true either. 6 So whenever a temporary order is issued in the 7 state of South Carolina, a precedence is set. 8 precedence, meaning the next judge will then follow that 9 order and build off of it, very rarely do we have judges in 10 this state reverse each other's orders, not unless it's a 11 final hearing itself. 12 With that being said my hearings -- my court case 13 has ended. And I'm very happy. I'm not here today just 14 like as -- just like as Matt said earlier, I'm not here to 15 relitigate my case. I'm not here to rewind the past. 16 here for my own moral convictions to let this panel know 17 how reckless and negligent this judge is. 18 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Ms. Valenzuela. 19 BY MS. VALENZUELA: Thank you, Mr. Ginter. Mr. Ginter, I have a few 20 0. 21 questions for you. 22 Α. Go ahead. 23 0. The order you attached to your complaint was 24 issued by Judge Pincus and entitled "Temporary Order," 25 correct?

1 Yes, ma'am. Α. 2 Have the issues in the case reached a final Q. 3 resolution? 4 Α. They have. 5 Q. Was Judge Pincus the judge who ordered the final 6 resolution in the case? 7 Α. She was not. 8 What was the final resolution of the case? 0. 9 The final resolution is that I [redacted]. Α. 10 Q. So there was a separate judge who ordered the 11 relief that you just discussed? 12 Α. That is correct. A final order. And that final order was a similar resolution to 13 0. 14 what Judge Pincus ordered in her temporary order? 15 Α. Portions of it were adopted. That is correct. 16 0. And did a separate judge, aside from Judge Pincus 17 and the final judge, review the case before the final order 18 was issued? 19 Yes, they did. However, it was in a different Α. 20 style of hearing. So in this state, most of you know that 21 you have temporary hearings, motions for relief, rules to 22 show cause -- rule to show causes and so forth. 23 0. I'm so sorry, Mr. Ginter. I apologize. 24 Α. That's okay. 25 Could you repeat what you said? Q.

- 1 Α. Could you repeat the question again? 2 I said, "Did a separate judge, aside from Q. Sure. 3 Judge Pincus, review the case before the final order was 4 And you were explaining to me that it was a 5 different type of case. 6 Α. That's correct. We were in court, multiple 7 times, in multiple different types of cases. So again, I'm 8 not sure if this panel understands the type of cases that 9 can go before a family court judge, but you have motions of 10 relief, temporary hearings, you have rule to show causes, 11 which are obviously infractions or someone -- one party 12 accusing the other of an infraction of the order. 13 A rule to show cause is obviously almost its own 14 It does not have the ability to necessarily change animal. 15 a final order, because it is its own separate order by 16 itself. 17 Q. Thank you. But so there was a separate judge. 18 And did that separate judge -- so separate from Judge 19 Pincus and separate from the judge who ordered the final 20 ruling, the final order, make a finding that [redacted] in 21 your case?
 - A. That's correct. That's what they said.

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did that judge make a finding that [redacted]?
- A. To my recollection, that's correct as well.
 - Q. Did that judge make a finding that [redacted]?

1 Α. That, I do not recall. 2 Mr. Ginter, were you represented by an attorney Q. 3 throughout this process? 4 Α. Several. That is correct. 5 Q. So at all times you were represented by an 6 attorney? 7 Α. That is correct. 8 Was there a guardian ad litem in this case? 0. 9 Unfortunately, yes. Α. 10 And was that guardian ad litem involved Q. 11 throughout the entirety of the case? If you can relate one site visit in two years, 12 Α. 13 then the answer is "yes." 14 EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN: 15 Q. Mr. Ginter, I think I heard this, but there was 16 no appeal by you of that final decision? 17 Α. Of the final order? 18 Yes, sir. 0. 19 No, sir, there was not. Sir, when you -- and Α. 20 again, I believe that earlier you stated that you are also 21 a product of family court, in a heavily -- and it was a 22 heavily litigated matter. When you're able to break free 23 of those chains, being free of individuals such as the one 24 that sits behind me, that makes erroneous decisions, the 25 last thing you ever want to do is go back.

1 Q. But I'm saying from not the temporary, but from 2 the final order which you have admitted --3 That is correct. Α. 4 -- reflected --0. That is correct. That's what I'm referring to, 5 Α. 6 the final order. 7 Q. Reflect the temporary order which Judge Pincus 8 issued? 9 So you're asking if I ever refuted the temporary Α. 10 Is that correct? order? 11 I'm asking you did you appeal the final 0. No, sir. 12 order, which you've said essentially adopted what Judge 13 Pincus concluded in the temporary order? 14 Portions of it. Α. 15 All right. 0. No, sir, we did not. And again, I explained why. 16 Α. 17 When you have spent so many years in family court, you 18 don't want to go back. 19 And I'm not begrudging the fact that you didn't. 0. 20 And again, I've been there and done that. The Lord blessed 21 me with a beautiful result. It took a little time, but the 22 -- I'm blessed as a result. And all parties involved, I 23 think, have been blessed as a result of it. I didn't think so at the time. But in the end, I did. 24 25 And so my question is not certainly wanting to go

back because of what your experience was but --

- A. I did not believe it was in the best interest of the child.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. No more fighting.
 - Q. Right.

A. And at that time there was another reason. One of most concerning issues of Monet Pincus' decision -- initial decision was the indiscretions with the [redacted].

So as the years rolled on, because this did take several years -- or nearly several years, this individual, somewhat to a certain extent, through that time period did reform themselves.

- Q. What I want to now ask -- just a couple questions before I turn this over, if there are questions. As to the temporary order, again I think I know the answer to this. But for the record, did you file a motion to reconsider or alter or amend the temporary order after Judge Pincus issued it?
- A. The time period had elapsed, unfortunately. See, there is a nice trick that certain attorneys participate in, and certain judges do. There's a certain amount of time typically set for an order to allow it to set, or for to allow to be signed, and then have that document sent back to you. It was very clever on what occurred.

1 Now, whether that was Monet Pincus, or whether 2 that was the opposing attorney, Sandra Parise. I will 3 never know. But the time had elapsed by the time my 4 attorney and myself had received that. There is a certain 5 amount of time that you can request. 6 Your attorney was who at that time? 0. 7 Α. Jeff Goodwin. 8 And you're saying that Mr. Goodwin did not catch 0. 9 the lapsed date to file a motion to reconsider or --10 Α. It wasn't -- it wasn't received. It wasn't sent. 11 The order was sat on by the opposing attorney. It was also 12 added to. So regardless of what Monet Pincus may have 13 ordered verbally in that court that day, that was far from 14 what the actual order that was received. 15 And no disrespect, Mr. Ginter, but that order, Q. 16 you have admitted, was affirmed at the end of this 17 litigation --18 No, sir. Α. 19 -- by another judge. Q. 20 Α. I'm sorry, I mis-poke. Let me clarify the fact. 21 Certain portions of the order. Certain portions. Not the 22 order. Certain portions of the order. 23 0. Did custody change from the temporary to the 24 final order?

No, it did not.

25

Α.

1	Q. And again, I'm not I've been there and done
2	that.
3	A. I'm not necessarily here to whine to you that I
4	lost full custody. I am here to explain very clearly that
5	I walked into a courtroom, and I had a judge hand my
6	[redacted] child over to a person out on a [redacted]
7	let's stick to the facts. Out on [redacted]. Are any of
8	you criminal attorneys in here? I hope you are. We
9	understand how serious that is. [Redacted] for her own
10	child.
11	Q. Mr. Ginter, did you enter into an agreement
12	regarding [redacted]?
13	A. If you can explain that. Can you repeat that
14	again?
15	Q. Did you enter into an agreement regarding
16	[redacted]?
17	A. Yes, sir, I did. And the reason being is because
18	I was guilty. It's what was written. There's nothing to
19	negate what was said at that time, what Monet Pincus had
20	said and what was written, because the transcripts were not

Q. And again, no disrespect. But you were represented by an attorney at every turn --

way for me to prove to the judge, that, that had not

21

22

23

24

25

occurred.

ordered at that date. They were not ready. There was no

1 Α. That's correct, sir. 2 -- at every event before Judge Pincus, and every Q. 3 other family court judge in this -- in your litigation. 4 Α. That's correct, sir. 5 Q. And again, do I agree with all decisions? No. 6 Do you have the funds to appeal? Again, that doesn't --7 Α. Well, that's why we're here. We're here to 8 figure out why does a judge, who just gave prior testimony, 9 state that there are no norms but then turn around and 10 state that there are? Obviously, this judge is not 11 following any set standard in this state. 12 The set standards have been in place for a long 13 while. The set of rules, the standards set by the Supreme 14 Court, this judge obviously does not follow them. 15 ships children off to other states, possibly. 16 0. Mr. Ginter, I'm going to nudge you to your 17 experience --18 Α. Sure. 19 Q. -- and your testimony. Is there anything further 20 from your complaint that you would have us know? 21 No, sir. Α. 22 Q. Thank you so much. 23 Α. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Now, are there questions 25 of Mr. Ginter by the Commission?

1	(Hearing none.)
2	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Sir, thank you.
3	MR. GINTER: Thank you, sir.
4	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Judge, again, in the
5	interest of time if you will, come on back up. And again,
6	you're under oath.
7	JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir.
8	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: And you have responded to
9	Mr. Ginter's complaint with a written document. Do you
10	have any objection to that document being introduced into
11	the record?
12	JUDGE PINCUS: No, sir.
13	(EXHIBIT NO. 27 - CANDIDATE'S WRITTEN
14	RESPONSE)
15	EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN RANKIN:
16	Q. Without reading it verbatim, if you'll give us,
17	again, the information. Summarize it as best you can.
18	Tell us what we need to know, recognizing that it is late
19	and we have kept you all here a long time. We apologize.
20	I don't want to cut you off, but succinctly as you can,
21	tell us what we need to know in response to Mr. Ginter's
22	complaint.
23	A. This was a temporary hearing. The parties were
24	involved in prior litigation, I believe, the year before in
25	family court. If you don't finish your case in 365 days,

it can be dismissed. At some point during their prior litigation they had some temporary orders unsuccessfully mediated. It was dismissed.

So oftentimes what happens is an attorney will file, which is what happened in this case, a new complaint asking for relief on a temporary basis.

So in this particular hearing it was scheduled for fifteen minutes. I spent forty-eight minutes on the record. I did the same thing as I described in the former case, I read the documents and heard from counsel.

This was a unique case because there was a guardian at the temporary hearing. In the prior case a guardian had been appointed and had investigated for over a year, and so he appeared at this temporary hearing to be reappointed. And he had done a lengthy investigation, so he was a able to present a report to the court -- a verbal report. And he did.

My order did not go against what he recommended. I didn't order what I ordered just because he believed it was in the best interest of the children. But nevertheless, it did not oppose the guardian's recommendations.

And in essence, I changed [redacted]. I didn't actually change their underlying -- what they were doing [redacted]. [Redacted] -- and again, I had the benefit of

the quardian in that -- in that situation.

There were several other judges that did hear the matter. There was a second temporary hearing that involved -- even though there was no motion -- somebody asked about that. There was no motion for me to reconsider or to change any errors, if there were any. Nothing -- nothing was filed. The rules allow attorneys to do that, once they receive an order, so I'm not sure what the complaint is there. But Mr. Ginter's attorney had the right to file a motion if he -- if he had wanted to do that.

But nevertheless, even though he didn't file a motion to reconsider of my order, it was reviewed several times during the course of his litigation by other independent judges. The second temporary order was even more constricting than mine as far as the [redacted].

So in general -- you know, I would say I didn't act unethically. I held the temporary hearing the way that we're charged with doing it under the rules. I did everything open on the record. I read the documents. I issued an order. I heard from the guardian. And never -- never did hear on a motion to reconsider.

There was an appeal. Mr. Ginter, either him or through his attorney, didn't meet a certain deadline and the appeal was dismissed. So he did appeal Judge Taylor's final order.

1 I'm happy to answer questions that you have. 2 just -- the other points that I would make are that I -- I 3 didn't act out of any negligence or with emotional urges. 4 I deny the characterization of me as a judge, that Mr. 5 Ginter has made. 6 Mr. Safran. CHAIRMAN RANKIN: EXAMINATION BY MR. SAFRAN: 7 8 Just quickly. On one point, I just wanted to 0. 9 make sure. As I understood it, you made an oral ruling at 10 the time of the hearing. Is that --11 I did rule from the bench this time, yes, sir. Α. 12 Q. And then you asked for a proposed order. 13 I did. Α. 14 And as I understand the process, and I'm sure you Q. 15 follow it as proposed orders are exchanged, so other 16 counsel would get it, correct? 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 And if other counsel had any reason to object to 0. 19 it, they had an opportunity to at least make you aware of 20 any problems they had with the content of the order. 21 Yes, sir. Α. 22 And if that didn't occur, the order would 0. 23 ultimately be presented to you for signature, correct? 24 That's right. Α. 25 And then once it's done, it's out of your hands, Q.

1 It goes to the clerk's office -- the clerk is 2 charged with the responsibility of serving the order, 3 correct? 4 No, sir the -- whatever the attorney asks for in Α. 5 the letter submitting the order, whether there's an 6 envelope to send it, or to send it down to the clerk's 7 office, but we -- we get the order back to the attorney 8 that submitted it, either by putting it in their box or after it's filed, returning it to them in a self-addressed 9 10 envelope. 11 Okay. Well, I'm --0. 12 Α. But, yes, the clerk does that. I don't do that. 13 I'm saying --0. Right. 14 So I sign it and it goes down, yes, sir. Α. 15 Once you sign it, any service is really out of Q. your hands. 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. I'm sorry. 18 And then basically during that process, everybody 0. 19 goes by the same rules; they have a certain amount of time 20 in which to file a motion for reconsideration. And that's 21 all something that's laid out, correct? 22 Yes, sir. Α. 23 Q. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any other questions of 25 Judge Pincus?

1 (Hearing none.) 2 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Johanna? 3 EXAMINATION BY MS. VALENZUELA: 4 Judge Pincus, I have housekeeping guestions for 5 These are separate from the complaints that we've 6 been discussing. These are questions that are asked of 7 each candidate at the end of the staff's portion of the 8 public hearing. 9 Judge Pincus, since submitting your letter of 10 intent have you contacted any members of the Commission 11 about your candidacy? 12 Α. No, ma'am. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including 13 0. 14 the limitations on contacting members of the General 15 Assembly regarding your screening? 16 Α. Yes, ma'am. Since submitting your letter of intent have you 17 18 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, either 19 prior to this date or pending the outcome of your 20 screening? 21 Α. No, ma'am. 22 Have you asked any third parties to contact 0. 23 members of the General Assembly on your behalf? 24 Α. No, ma'am. 25 Are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene **Q.**

1	in this process on your behalf?
2	A. I am not.
3	Q. Have you reviewed and do you understand the
4	Commission's guidelines on pledging and South Carolina Code
5	Section 2-19-70(E)?
6	A. Yes, ma'am.
7	MS. VALENZUELA: Those are all the
8	housekeeping questions.
9	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Any questions of Judge
10	Pincus?
11	(Hearing none.)
12	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: I want to just touch on a
13	few things, to end it for this portion. We are Mr.
14	Younginer and Mr. Ginter, we are again, we are will
15	be no further testimony from you all. You're welcome to
16	stay. We are about to wrap up, but you all welcome to
17	leave. Thank you all for joining us. You don't have to
18	leave, but again we're done with your portion.
19	Mr. Younginer, you understand that we will -
20	- do you understand how those contacts are going to be
21	about the record?
22	MR. YOUNGINER: Yes, sir.
23	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Thank you.
24	MR. YOUNGINER: Thank you, sir.
25	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: For this portion of the

1 record, I just want to call out the ballot box survey, of 2 which you had 341 people reply. And you are deemed very --3 you get high marks. You've heard the good and the bad in 4 terms of the anonymous comments. And you have been rated 5 very highly by the citizens committee, who found you well 6 qualified, and the Bar report as well. 7 So obviously, we're not finished. 8 terms of the record that we have as to the evaluative 9 criteria, for the world to know at this point, you have 10 very high marks. 11 JUDGE PINCUS: Thank you for pointing it 12 out. 13 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So we'll have an 14 opportunity to develop more questions about this later on, 15 but again we are going to recede to gather information 16 about Mr. Younginer's complaint. And we'll be in touch in 17 terms of the time line as how we'll do that and when we 18 will get you back here -- both back here. 19 And that will conclude this portion of the hearing. Let me remind you again, the record is not closed 20 21 certainly. 22 Okay. JUDGE PINCUS: 23 CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So I would advise you to 24 maintain the same strict adherence to both the letter and 25 the spirit of the ethics laws, the criteria itself, the

1	questions that Ms. Valenzuela has asked you about
2	contacting anyone. And I trust that you're going to do
3	that.
4	JUDGE PINCUS: Yes, sir.
5	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: Unless there are other
6	points, we will recede. Family, we're sorry you all are
7	here as well and leaving so late. Judge, we're sorry for
8	the delay.
9	JUDGE PINCUS: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: So with that, we will
11	close in recess.
12	JUDGE PINCUS: Thank you to all the Members.
13	Have a nice night.
14	(Candidate excused.)
15	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: We're going to go into
16	executive session on the motion of Senator Young and
17	Representative Smith.
18	(Off the record at 10:01 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	l l

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY 4 PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSISTING OF 5 359 PAGES IS A TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE RECORD TO THE 6 7 BEST OF MY SKILL AND ABILITY. 8 T FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR 9 COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE 10 PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY 11 INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE. 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 13 13TH DAY OF DECEMBER 2018. 14 15 16 17 18 acia Halachand 19 20 21 PATRICIA G. BACHAND, COURT REPORTER 22 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 8, 2027 23 24 25

	6:22 93:18	181128PB.	10:01	19
Exhibits	181128PB.	JMSC_Exh 25_	359:18	145:3
	JMSC_Exh 15_	Pincus	11	1983
181128PB.	Jones	8:7 248:5	77:6 226:21,23	24:12
JMSC_Exh 1_	7:1 107:23	181128PB.	114	1985
Smoak	181128PB.	JMSC_Exh 26_	160:23	267:16
5:20 9:24	JMSC_Exh 16_	Pincus	12	1990
181128PB.	Jones	8:10 323:22	93:3,13	69:20
JMSC_Exh 2_	7:4 108:1	181128PB.	12:30	1990s
Smoak	181128PB.	JMSC_Exh 27_	73:2	236:2
5:23 10:2	JMSC_Exh 17_	Pincus	13	1992
181128PB.	McGee	8:12 351:13	93:3,16	99:20
JMSC_Exh 7_	7:7 124:13		137	1994
Malphrus	181128PB.	\$	263:21 264:17	152:25
6:1 31:21	JMSC_Exh 18_		14	1995
181128PB.	McGee	\$2.5	93:3,18 279:2	153:4
JMSC_Exh 8_	7:10 124:16	269:21	14-year-old	1998
Malphrus	181128PB.	\$20	266:12	148:10
6:4 31:24	JMSC_Exh 19_	235:24	14th	1999
181128PB.	Collins	\$40,000	17:14,18,23	153:21 264:17,
JMSC_Exh 9_	7:13 145:3	282:8 331:1	15	18
Holmes	181128PB.	\$60,000	78:24 107:23	1:19
6:7 76:25	JMSC_Exh 20_	330:9,14 331:13	150	36:25
181128PB.	Collins		121:22	1:55
JMSC_Exh 10_	7:16 145:6	(15th	36:25
Holmes	181128PB.		79:15	30.23
6:10 77:3	JMSC_Exh 21_	(a)	16	2
181128PB.	Collins	243:17	108:1 279:3	
JMSC_Exh 11_	7:19 145:8	(b)	16-year-old	2
Holmes	181128PB.	243:18	266:13 279:19,	10:2 262:4
6:13 77:6	JMSC_Exh 22_		200.13 279.19,	2-19-70
181128PB.	Pope-Black	0	16-year-old's	14:15 15:6
JMSC_Exh 12_	7:22 186:8	000	280:8	37:12 80:13
Guyton	181128PB.	008 91:2	17	97:18 115:21
6:16 93:13	JMSC_Exh 23_	91:2	124:13	116:12 129:19
181128PB.	Pope_Black			157:5 199:11
JMSC_Exh 13_	8:1 186:11	1	18	356:13
Guyton 6:19 93:16	181128PB.	1	35:20 124:16 293:24 322:21	2-19-70(E)
	JMSC_Exh 24_	9:24		38:2 81:4 98:9
181128PB.	Pincus	10	180	130:10 157:21
JMSC_Exh 14_	8:4 248:2	77:3	207:2	200:1 357:5
Guyton		11.5		

20	2019	252:8 358:2	7th	aback
145:6 279:20	201:24	357	146:11	198:20
293:24 304:10	21	128:6		abide
322:20	145:8	365	8	30:5 246:15
2000	22	351:25		abilities
84:15 153:17,22	186:8	367	8	217:25
170:15,19	23	96:16	31:24 150:6	ability
2001	112:18 128:6	392	84	15:12 16:25
270:12	186:11	35:19	203:2	38:9 51:23 53:6,
2007	24	3:09	88	10,22 80:5
81:16	13:5 248:2	91:25	99:15	89:23 97:1
2008	24/7	3:45	89	98:14 116:17
154:2 292:12,21	177:24	145:23 184:12	171:10	119:10 130:20
2009	25	113.23 101.12		149:8 158:2
290:11,13	248:5	4	9	161:12 180:18
291:4,10	25th	4		196:16 229:17
292:11,12,21	262:9 266:11	41.1	9	250:4 253:12
2011	272:10 278:15	285:6 305:6	76:25	258:5 260:3
154:16 265:17	26	459	90-day	324:19 327:15
291:12,16 292:1	323:22	13:4	85:25	329:24 331:1
2012	27	46	91	334:6 338:18
154:13,17	351:13	304:11	99:15	339:8 344:14
190:16 207:8,13	28	48-hour	95	absolute
245:5 252:2,5	338:25	97:21	28:23 81:15	252:24 281:15
2013	28th	4:07	97	absolutely
230:22 245:6	340:20	91:25	184:5,7	26:16 48:3,9
2014	29	71.23	9:32	88:13 89:15
262:9 266:11	252:9		339:24	139:21 183:19
285:5 290:2	290	5	9th	210:4 229:20
291:11,16	112:17	501	265:5	243:2 254:8
292:18 324:16,	112.17	156:23		260:2,9 275:6
21 325:3		526	A	319:14 321:8
338:14,25	3	263:21 264:17		332:5 338:1
340:20	30		A's	Abstance
2014-DR-40-	96:17 191:20	7	139:13	52:17 55:17
1658	321		a/k/a	56:25
339:2	78:24	7	95:16	abuse
2015	326	31:21	AAML	22:16,20,25
292:24 293:22	191:19 226:20,	73	254:23	23:8 50:9 68:10,
2017	23	150:6	AB	17,21,23 69:10,
99:21 230:22	341	75	175:25 176:10	11,17 81:11,19, 22 82:15
265:5	J-11	44:15,22		22 02.13

	1	1	1	1
101:16,19,20	15 109:16	acting	78:24 93:5	admire
119:2,5 131:10	126:7,8,18	150:24	96:17 112:18	71:10
174:7 232:21,23	189:22	action	128:6 147:15,17	admission
abused	accomplishment	84:19 119:14	148:18 149:2	269:24
101:3 338:19	S	153:8,16,18	150:7 191:20	admit
abusing	11:8 33:3,6	154:5 209:6	234:15 252:9	187:23
315:5 316:9	78:10,13 95:3	290:7,12	additions	admitted
abusive	109:14 126:5	292:11,18	186:2	346:2 348:16
314:11	189:9 250:9	293:21 296:4,5	address	adopt
academic	account	338:15,22	14:11 39:17	120:10
15:12 16:25	166:5	340:21	41:7 85:18	adopted
38:9 51:23 53:6,	accountable	actions	151:12 175:3	312:14 343:15
10,22 80:5	272:18	257:10 292:12	197:20,25 206:1	346:12
98:14 116:17	accountant	active	224:9,19 226:4,	adoption
130:20 149:8	155:3,8	99:15 257:13	9 297:25	95:6 104:11
158:1 196:16	accountants	activities	addressed	189:2
258:5	273:21	250:19 262:5	90:16 304:2,4	adoptions
Academy	accounting	actual	addressing	95:8 148:14
148:20 325:8	155:2,8	69:12 70:2	82:22 210:2	adoptive
accept	accounts	153:13 163:17	adequate	119:14,21
263:18 313:20	155:4	265:3 276:5,6	254:18	· ·
accepted	accurate	283:21 321:3	adherence	advantage 18:22
39:3 262:25	297:2	334:4 348:14	184:19 261:23	
288:19	accuser	acumen	358:24	adverse
accepting	270:24	126:12	adjourn	100:13 179:1
47:13		ad	327:17	advertises
access	accusing 344:12	84:21 147:22	adjust	333:4
95:10 148:2		195:11,17	326:21	advertizing
233:14 266:23	ACE	208:25 209:8	adjustment	256:12
331:22 337:2	100:13	230:14,18,20	170:1	advice
accident	achieve	237:17 309:21	adjustments	92:8 118:1
153:2,6 154:3	233:7	310:15 340:16	301:16	205:16 237:5
accommodate	acknowledging	345:8,10	administration	advise
12:23 250:22	51:4	add	171:21	358:23
	acknowledgmen	24:10 115:3,5		advised
accomplish 95:4 250:11	t	196:3 230:13	administrative 12:3 305:25	237:11
	247:2	added	329:21	advisement
accomplished	act	348:12		83:23 84:2,4
35:18	177:3 215:18	addition	administratively	213:9 219:8
accomplishment	353:17 354:3	151:9 235:13	275:11	300:17
11:9,13,23 33:4	acted	additional	admiration	advocacy
34:14,15,16,24	177:8	13:5 29:8 35:20	22:6 90:9	48:25 148:24
35:1,2,9 78:12,		20.0 20.0 00.20		
		<u> </u>	1	1

advocate	affiliated	292:13 312:1	allegations	77:3 93:16
99:16,19 169:24	168:20	316:20 350:5	326:2	145:6
177:10 178:20	affirmed	agreed	allege	amendments
179:16	348:16	285:9 287:14	253:2	93:1,3,5 107:14
advocates	afford	292:23 293:4	alleged	124:1
135:20 180:3	26:18 261:8	310:20 319:14	254:10 283:8	American
advocating	270:14 330:19,	325:16,20 331:5	alleges	263:1 267:20
48:16 164:7,8	21	agreeing	259:9 338:15,20	325:7
297:3 325:11	afield	154:21	alleging	amount
afar	220:22	agreement	268:17 281:22	155:14 174:8,14
220:22	afraid	83:16 153:23	282:4	300:6,13 325:24
affect	133:11 252:13	194:24 196:7	Allison	347:22 348:5
137:15 223:7	afternoon	285:15 286:7	284:15,16	355:19
affected	30:22 222:1	290:25 294:6	allotted	and/or
90:20	339:25	304:18 311:1	162:25 212:22	307:21 314:14
affecting	afternoons	326:10 331:6	allowed	325:20
261:4	110:7	349:11,15	72:18 166:17	Anderson
affections	age	ahead	170:21,24 254:7	147:2
291:23	26:14 105:3	20:19,21 34:2,3,	266:15,17,19	anger
affidavit	146:16	6 127:25 137:9	276:25 297:6	266:4
32:9 40:16	aged	196:8 202:12	307:20 314:23	animal
49:23 77:19	327:10	338:12 342:22	allowing	344:14
145:19 186:24	agencies	Aiken	114:6 260:14	ANN
259:17 296:23	70:1,7 169:5	340:1	268:1 282:6	31:8,23 32:1
338:23	agency	Alicia	alter	Anne
affidavits	70:16,20 81:25	284:14	347:18	274:11 281:4,11
10:15 39:16	236:11	alienated	alternating	annoyed
40:2,10,12,15	agent	325:21	311:10	39:15 54:14
49:17,19 54:15	257:9,11,15	alienating	alternatives	annually
82:25 83:20	aggrieved	291:23	18:6	148:20
90:14 94:5	312:20 314:22	alienation	altogether	anonymous
108:13 114:14	316:7	262:19 263:13,	99:22	25:4 29:1 41:2
125:3 211:14,		18 264:2 265:7	amalgamation	50:22 85:11
18,19 248:16	agree 13:23 42:17	266:9 267:16	214:11	88:25 89:2
265:18 266:2	43:10 45:9	283:3,5 284:13		103:21 161:8
271:22 272:8,9,	50:16 51:9 59:6	285:20 324:23	amateur 168:25	227:19 229:15
19 273:10	65:4 72:10	alimony		358:4
288:19 299:15	88:11 114:4	66:13	amen 75:10 12	anonymously
300:5 301:11	156:11 161:2	all's	75:10,12	17:5 24:22
319:12 320:13	203:6,11 217:2	137:13 265:10	amend	57:23 229:10
324:6 330:7,23	236:19,21 241:8	allegation	347:18	Ansley
331:13	243:13 288:7	319:7	AMENDMENT	279:3 297:15
				2,7.5 27,.15
	I	1	1	1

answer's	105:22 122:19	apply	284:17	arises
135:9	143:16 155:19	156:11,16	area	123:1 143:24
answering	184:24 208:20	applying	20:2 21:17	arms
58:13 290:20	246:17 262:4	27:13	22:16 60:20	48:15
answers	appearances	appoint	61:4 68:15	Armstrong
102:21	128:14	301:24 310:22	81:11 101:16	46:9
	appeared		117:15 119:2	
antennas 304:4	85:12 94:3	appointed	131:10 147:18,	Army 256:5
	210:2 237:19	84:21,24 174:13 291:20 301:20	19 160:20	
anticipating	245:13 287:7		170:24 174:22	arrangement
133:19	325:10 352:14	302:3 310:1,2, 20 352:13	176:17 195:6,8,	291:10 296:15
anybody's			12 197:15	arrogance
221:5	appearing 19:19 21:20	appointing	208:25 232:21	168:10
anymore	41:5 79:5 89:9	303:11	313:19	arrogant
16:3 27:9 69:23,		appointment	areas	197:8 216:23
25 273:16	167:14	58:5 125:19	19:21 36:18	217:23
275:25 279:8	appears	174:10	53:21 96:22	article
289:8 313:24	10:13 16:19	appointments	105:6 147:14,23	73:20 264:23
anytime	32:7 77:15	174:11,15	174:18,19	265:5,11
249:6 250:21	81:24 113:16	appreciation	175:13 202:21	articles
256:9	122:3 124:25	22:7		10:12 32:7
apologize	145:17 186:21	approach	arena 69:10 71:4	77:15 94:2
41:11 165:7	248:14 288:4	193:13		108:10 124:25
193:12 221:20	292:22	approached	arguably	145:17 186:21
258:13,22 338:8	appellate	67:23 177:15	327:7	248:13
343:23 351:19	119:17 156:15,	approve	argue	articulated
apparently	24 274:15,16	196:6 309:10	97:13 211:16	263:25
24:14 29:11	275:15,20,21	approved	212:5,6	ascend
63:18 206:12	288:17 327:9	153:24 274:18,	arguing	216:2
218:23 261:3	342:4	19 284:25 285:2	114:15	ascending
269:5 295:25	Appleby	approximate	argument	169:23
appeal	94:15,16 98:11	125:14	39:25 49:7	ashamed
255:15 275:3,9	application	approximately	114:7,11 168:5	111:17
276:17 280:14	10:10 13:15	78:4 95:22	arguments	asks
286:2 302:4,6	32:5 77:13 94:1	200:17,22	48:22 49:13,17	12:6 195:1
345:16 346:11	108:8 114:21	approximating	114:18 217:24	355:4
350:6 353:22,24	124:23 145:15	200:23	249:24 300:11,	aspect
appealed	186:19 248:12	arbiters	15 301:11	69:16 126:16
275:5 287:10	253:23	236:24	319:18	149:4
appeals	applied		arise	
274:17 275:22	275:1	arbitrary	106:3 163:14	aspects 79:8 126:1,9
appearance	applies	197:8	164:24	148:8 149:4
30:5 75:18,21	13:14	ARC		140.0 147.4
,				

	1	1	1	İ
Assembly	assuming	256:17 319:9,20	112:6 114:24	authority
14:17,25 37:14,	87:17 135:8	324:8	126:21 127:8	17:25 158:22
21 73:12 80:15,	176:13 178:14	attitude	132:8 136:2	313:12 327:16
23 97:20 98:3	179:19 214:22	29:5	158:18 191:7,15	automobile
115:23 116:6	222:17 277:24	attorney	195:12,17	153:2,5 154:3
129:21 130:4	313:1	12:20 40:15	208:25 210:10	avenues
157:7,15	assumptions	82:12 85:12	211:2 212:4,13	199:3 304:2
199:13,21 245:9	114:6	87:4 97:12	230:18,25	315:4,6
356:15,23	assurance	126:10 150:16	236:8,11,12,24	avoid
assessments	242:22	151:10 174:4	237:10,14	54:13 262:4
114:7	assurances	209:8 211:2,3,4	251:9,10,22	314:11 340:7
asset	57:6,10,13	232:11 236:10	252:16 253:4,8,	avoiding
79:14	60:14 242:2	241:16 251:3,	13 256:2	160:18
assets	assure	13,15 253:6	257:19,21	award
90:22	193:1 241:21	255:23 256:7	271:19,24 273:5	313:8
assigned	asterisk	257:13 271:14	274:6 299:23,24	awarded
22:25 69:7	115:4	274:8,9,12	300:2,10,13,22	338:16 341:14
95:21	Atlanta	277:13 280:21,	302:2,13,25	aware
assist	170:17,18	23,24 281:3,5,7,	317:21 333:24	15:1 37:22
128:3 146:21	attached	9 283:14 284:20	336:20,21,25	42:15 45:22
155:3	153:12 335:3	285:9 292:23,25	347:21 349:8 353:7	75:22 80:24
assistance	342:23	293:7,13 301:1		81:1 98:4 116:7
95:11 102:12	attachment	303:2 309:1	attract	130:5 145:13
assistant	259:19	322:14,15,17,23	25:18,19,23	156:21 157:16
12:3	attachments	323:6,8 325:19	attribute	185:2 196:22
assisting	338:24	328:1 333:20,21	66:17 140:14 149:11	199:22 205:18
33:14	attempt	334:8 336:24 337:7 345:2,6		212:13 230:23
assists	291:13	348:2,4,6,11	attributes	246:19 269:17
33:20	attempting	, , ,	166:14 167:25	281:25 292:22
associates	15:1 37:22	349:25 352:4 353:9,23 355:4,	audibly	297:23 354:19
152:4	80:24 98:4	333:9,23 333:4, 7	92:4	356:25
association	116:7 130:5	•	audio	awkward
28:7 274:22	157:16 356:25	attorneys 12:11,18 35:12	300:19	59:24 60:1
329:11	attendance	36:5,12,16	August	aye
Association's	111:5	39:14,20,22	99:21 262:9	92:3,4
263:1	attended	40:6,9,21 49:13	266:11 272:10	
associations	148:18,22	53:15 54:12	278:14	B
151:23	attention	55:5,9 57:20,23	aunt	
assume	17:3 64:10	62:7,8 63:23	297:13	baby
162:11 175:10	86:11,13 87:1,	71:2 78:20 79:5,	authoritarian	70:5,12
313:3	23 101:1,2	18 82:5 84:3,9	150:17 151:2	baby-lawyer
313.3	140:7 195:11	96:10 102:6,9		147:3 166:24
	110.7 175.11	7 0.10 102.0,7		
	I	I	l .	I

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1
177:5	backed	93:25 96:16,17	237:16 251:13,	313:22,24 316:1
bachelor	84:9	103:9,19 108:7	14 274:22 358:6	317:7 355:18
171:4	background	112:17,18	Bar's	basis
back	171:1 195:16	124:22 128:6,8	73:24	59:14 60:3,7,12,
12:14 16:7	314:6	139:20 140:7,12	barely	22 85:13 149:1
20:19 23:13	backing	142:17 145:15	106:13	168:7 174:1
30:7 37:1 44:20	189:14,16	150:6,7 160:21	barometer	226:12 232:8
51:17 53:23	272:15	163:16 165:19	17:5 60:18	300:21 301:2,17
58:8 64:7 67:18	backlog	175:22 179:13	62:14 63:22	326:22 352:6
69:20 72:14	23:22 83:13,14	186:18 191:19,	226:7	beast
75:20 91:2,14	bad	21 197:18	bars	39:4
99:17 104:24	50:24,25 57:22	203:10 209:25	229:11,14 269:6	beat
106:2,9 108:20,	59:3 62:25 89:6	214:16 225:24,	baseball	72:13 106:13,14
22 109:13,17	90:25 102:2	25 226:20,24	30:23	185:12
113:12 115:2	137:25 160:22	228:3 238:23	based	Beaufort
117:11 123:1,6	188:10 225:1	248:11 252:8,10	22:17 56:2,15	17:19 61:6,10,
129:3 140:11	229:6 259:11	358:1	67:20 79:13	18
142:8 143:24	267:4 279:9,10	balls	101:17 126:12	beautiful
150:19 171:17	290:18 358:3	265:21	127:4 146:13	189:2 346:21
172:24 173:9	baffling	Baptist	168:13 175:22	bed
174:2,9 184:10	308:13	183:3	197:12 215:18	19:1
185:1,9 189:1	bag	bar	255:22 263:22	
192:23 198:14,	339:23	13:9 22:13 26:9,	268:15 275:17	befitting
16 208:8 214:24		10 28:10 43:13,	293:10 294:21	66:16
216:22 221:2	baggage	19 44:1 51:13,	299:15 315:11,	beg
228:19 238:16	220:19	14 52:25 53:7	24 328:24	270:20
241:10 244:21	balance	54:2,22 55:22	331:18	begin
246:18 251:4	65:20 66:20	61:6,10,18 73:9		77:22 111:8
264:7 270:12	83:4 120:3	85:4,7 86:24	basic	248:24 249:11
272:10,17,23	261:13	97:9 112:16	148:23	253:10
273:10 277:22	balancing	141:20 148:17	basically	beginning
278:13 280:18	155:21	149:6 151:22,23	18:2 20:9 26:6	65:22 128:11
283:17,18	ball	161:8,16 162:6,	43:10,25 44:13	142:21 182:8
291:2,22 293:12	260:24 265:21	17 165:17 166:2	49:25 70:1 89:6	251:12 303:17
295:22,23	ballot	170:16 175:10,	135:6 173:20	309:24
296:15 297:20	10:10 13:4	22 177:18 180:8	176:3 179:6	begrudge
308:17,20 311:7	16:11 17:3 29:1	200:19 201:7,13	180:13,17,20	29:4
312:21 314:21	32:4 35:20,21	202:1 203:9,20	181:2 194:24	begrudging
316:8,20,21	41:1,19 43:16	204:6 205:20	211:22 216:5	346:19
337:5 345:25	50:16,23 51:10,	206:14 207:25	217:12 218:12	behalf
346:18 347:1,25	16 54:6,21	213:3 214:10	221:4 275:16	14:25 15:2
351:5 355:7	77:13 78:24,25	222:15 229:23	276:20 277:6	16:17 37:21,23
358:18	86:21 88:16	230:8,15,16	279:5 311:13,18	80:23,25 98:3,5
	I	1	1	1

116:6,8 130:4,6	36:11 40:17	320:16,17 321:2	299:7 301:5	boss
157:15,17	44:5 46:3 51:2	322:2 328:21	337:5	224:25 225:8
174:15 199:21,	52:16,25 61:14	332:3 352:25	black	237:4
22 232:18	66:16 67:8	benefitted	46:8 149:17	bother
356:23 357:1	68:14 78:5	121:4	160:8,9 166:18	178:12
behave	79:14 81:16,18	benefitting	187:5,6,7	bottom
177:8 178:19	83:22,25 87:10	281:23	black-and-white	47:17,20 139:9
behaved	91:19 93:23	bent	160:7 163:23	239:10
165:5,8 177:9,	101:18 103:11	183:7	blaming	bought
16	104:22 108:6	Bethel	133:3,9	124:5
behaving	120:21 121:1	110:11	blasts	bound
176:23 178:21	124:21 125:15	bias	306:5	156:22 207:18
behavior	131:9 142:18	257:6	blend	bounds
54:16 100:15	147:13 149:16	biased	32:22	327:16
137:19 149:18	151:17 166:18	255:19	bless	box
150:3 158:18	167:8 169:21,23 170:5 175:8,12	Bible	56:6 90:13	10:10 13:5
177:13,21,24	181:11 189:25	263:1	blessed	16:11 29:1 32:4
221:6 259:9	191:8 192:7	big	346:20,22,23	35:20,21 41:1,
333:4	193:14,19,25	23:22 28:19	Blythewood	19 50:17 51:16
behavioral	194:19 209:13	121:25	278:17	54:6,21 77:13
259:13 266:14,	211:15 213:3,	bigger	board	78:24,25 86:21
20 268:11	17,18 221:13	228:1 298:18,19	161:8 274:23	93:25 96:16,17
333:7,12	229:7 230:24	315:21,23	boastful	103:9,19 108:7
behaviors	231:13 236:18	biggest	272:10	112:17,18
149:19	239:23 244:23	168:2 189:22	body	124:22 128:6,8
belief	250:18 252:25	191:3	65:15	139:20 140:7,12
43:21	254:21,25	bill	bogged	142:17 145:15
believed	265:22 269:4	155:12,13	136:17	150:6,7 160:21
303:7 316:18	300:12,17	Billy	boils	163:16 165:19
352:19	354:11	154:12	330:3	175:22 186:18
believes	Benedetto	birthday	bond	191:19,21
207:17 315:4	284:15 291:14	182:9,10	265:8	192:21,22
342:3	307:17 311:20,	bit	book	197:18 203:10 209:25 225:25
belong	22	12:6 38:20 46:4	241:6	209:23 223:23
183:21	beneficial	48:14 59:8,10	boot	248:11 252:9,10
Belton	60:2 191:16	87:6,15 90:15	34:23	355:8 358:1
169:10	beneficiary	99:12 163:25	border	boxes
bench	240:17	171:13 184:8	159:20	17:3 50:23
10:9 11:5 13:9	benefit	222:4,5 224:1,7,	born	51:10 88:16
17:11 22:15	22:24 59:12	21 240:18	11:23	179:13 214:16
25:23 27:23	92:8 94:11	249:25 251:14	11.23	226:20,24
28:23 32:19	229:5 239:22	256:11 264:7		

boy's	239:5 278:23	build	California	candidacy
322:21	291:14 308:16,	341:8 342:9	73:21,22	10:8 14:13 32:3
boys	20 312:20 316:8	building	call	37:10 50:18
110:25	317:21	236:1	26:13 30:7	53:7 56:2 77:11
Bozeman	bringing	buildings	69:23 91:13	80:11 97:16
266:14 272:24	104:20	274:4	105:4 119:9,13	115:19 129:17
276:8 282:7	brings	built	153:23 185:1,9	157:3 165:21
298:25 304:6	70:23 119:22	95:1	215:24 217:10	184:14 186:17,
307:23 308:9,	187:25 305:25	bull	236:13 260:24	25 199:9 201:14
10,18 309:8,12	broad	268:20	266:19 296:18	248:9 356:11
319:10,21,23	316:1	bully	302:10,15,18	candidate
320:5 332:1	broadly	113:6	309:4 325:5	15:16,17 19:8
bragged	187:2	bunch	358:1	30:25 38:14,15
140:20 272:11	brochures	25:9 216:19	called	76:4 91:21
branches	320:12		94:20 106:2	98:25 99:1
73:12	broke	burden	123:1 143:24	106:24 109:18
brand	111:19	71:1 95:12	158:18,21	117:3 123:10
94:25	BROMELL	337:1	183:19 220:12	130:24,25 144:6
brass	_	Bureau	235:9 262:18	156:22 158:8,9
170:7	76:8 77:2,4,8	269:15 282:2	270:11 276:18	185:15 196:24
break	brought	business	285:5 286:18	197:14 200:6,7,
102:19 234:20	62:6 78:13	37:4 49:5 62:18	300:21 328:23	13 244:22
345:22	111:4,7 120:23	97:5 148:17,19,	calling	246:14 247:10
breaks	121:23 129:4 195:11 228:8	22 173:20	75:20 176:14	258:14 259:24
194:4	236:8 252:4	257:14 268:21	246:18	339:6 356:7
	256:17 278:15	busy	calls	359:14
Bridges	299:15 308:3	12:21 134:10	12:4 261:1	candidate's
268:10 320:3,5, 7 321:4 333:3	309:2 314:21	194:7	265:20 303:21	146:14,15
	315:7 319:9,19,	butt-chewing	Camden	165:21 197:11
briefing	24 321:15	215:23	292:3	323:22 351:13
280:15	340:21	button	camp	candidates
briefly	Brown	179:8	34:23 266:14,20	25:12,14,17,19
24:10 148:5	147:2 153:24	button-type	282:8 284:13	27:6 28:8,11
274:10 294:3	Brown's	178:11	285:21 288:11,	30:4 51:25 85:8
323:23	341:6	bypass	15 302:23	86:14 105:20
bright		329:17	campaign	120:25 121:2
214:15	brownie 106:18	byproduct	32:10 77:20	122:17 143:14
brilliant		137:13	145:20 186:25	151:19 201:8
127:10 139:11	Bryce		camps	203:3 205:18
207:17	279:2	С	268:11 282:25	232:20 246:14
bring	buddy		candid	candidly
86:11,13 87:23	147:2 153:24	cabinet	193:22	61:13 62:11
142:6,17 175:11	269:2	172:24		

	1			1
candor	Carolina	16,17,23 70:13	326:3,6,10,19	14,19 236:14,15
50:11 73:5 76:1	13:10 15:5	71:5 74:4 83:15	334:13 339:1,7,	cash
Canon	16:18 19:1 30:4	84:22 85:14	9 341:9 342:12,	268:22
262:3	38:1 51:14	88:2 91:12,17	15 343:2,6,8,17	cast
canons	75:16 81:3 91:8	95:25 96:2,5,13	344:3,5,21	37:3
128:4	98:8 116:11	111:20 119:20	345:8,11 351:25	catch
canvas	130:9 134:2	122:6 127:24	352:5,10,11,12	237:25 348:8
283:4	137:12,17	133:7 134:18	cases	caught
capable	141:7,10,14	138:11 147:9,	22:17,20 23:1,	17:13 64:10
168:13	144:2 146:11	10,22 148:11,12	13,16,23,24	83:17 84:2
capacities	147:7 148:21	151:5 153:12	39:7 50:9 54:13	271:19
99:25	149:5 156:24	154:21 155:10,	65:23 67:11,16,	causing
capacity	157:20 159:18,	19,24 163:7,8,	21 68:11,24	274:3 332:7
110:23 158:17	23 160:3 170:16	10 164:18,25	69:19 79:4	cautioned
180:18 183:20	184:20 200:1	178:20 179:2,8,	81:11 82:15	9:10 31:9 76:9
caption	246:16 261:4,8,	21 195:22	83:17,18 84:8	92:19 107:7
153:9	16 263:15	205:20 215:2	90:14 101:16,	123:15 144:14
	265:14 267:2	218:16 232:6	19,21 112:23	185:21 247:15
car	268:4,16 270:16	233:16,21,22,24	113:15 114:22	259:5 337:17
30:15	273:15 281:14	236:18,25	119:5,9,11	caveat
card	340:23 341:10	237:11 246:22	126:11 128:1,11	47:11
34:23	342:7 357:4	247:1 254:5	131:11,14,18,22	CD
care	Carolina's	255:11 256:9,	132:2,3 133:18,	194:19
30:19 35:24	108:25 263:5	15,22,25 260:1,	23 135:11	
120:8 156:21	270:14 271:6	4 263:23,25	148:15 163:7	center
183:24 193:16	Carolina-	264:1,14 266:7,	167:23 170:13	170:17 268:25
234:10 267:6	upstate	19 267:15	172:24 174:2,7,	269:1
270:19,20	171:4	271:14 277:13,	13 182:14,16	centerline
338:18	Carolina/	24 284:7,21	184:8,9 207:24	154:6
career	clemson	288:1,17 290:2,	212:23 218:8	centralized
29:9 35:1	163:23	3 291:15,16,17,	230:20 232:5,23	233:8,15
104:23 142:22,	carried	24 292:1,4,6,24	253:13 255:7,12	certification
23 171:23 174:5	35:7	293:2 295:10,20	256:18,20	170:21,24
181:12 238:19,	carry	297:7 298:24	267:11 296:25	certified
25 239:24 256:6	28:1,12 103:14	299:11,21	297:1 302:20	170:20
265:14	240:18	302:9,10,16,19	312:18 314:16	chains
carefully	case	303:18 304:24	315:8 325:19	345:23
197:12	20:9,18,20 39:8	308:4 309:24	329:6 344:7,8	chair
cares	47:17,22 52:10	310:9 312:16	caseworkers	204:22
112:22,25	57:22 58:4,7	314:18 316:5,12	22:23 24:5	chairman
Carmichael	59:2 64:13	317:15,24	69:22,24 70:6	9:1,12,16,19,22
263:21	68:24 69:8,11,	318:12 324:5,	102:6 233:18	10:5,22 15:17,
		21,22 325:14,16	234:1,7 235:10,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
L				

19,21 19:15,16	25 248:8 249:5,	challenge	98:14 103:13	child
22:9,10 24:8,19	8,11,13 257:24	117:18	116:17 130:20	74:6 85:24
28:14,19,25	258:7,12,21,24	challenging	149:8 158:2	118:25 189:1
29:13,18,21,24	259:2,7,8 264:6,	55:2 94:21	196:16 258:5	190:8,9,11
30:11,14,19	9,25 265:3,4	250:2	303:22 327:15	192:7 194:16,25
31:1,4,5,11,15,	268:7 270:1,4,6	chambers	characterization	195:3 208:23
19 32:2,15	271:9,18 275:13	115:2 241:10	354:4	215:19 233:15
36:20,23 37:1	276:10 278:10,	300:18	charged	236:1 267:11
38:15,17,18	12 280:17	champion	113:15 332:16	296:3 308:9,10,
41:16 50:13	281:10,18	270:18 271:8	353:18 355:2	18 309:11
52:3 53:12	282:1,4 283:17,	chance	charges	311:15 326:18
54:25 57:10	23,25 289:22,23	18:21 21:3	195:15	338:18,19
58:10 60:7 64:4	294:1 295:17	56:16 96:12	Charleston	340:22 347:3
67:2,3 68:4,5	297:8,14,16,20,	117:10 131:22	11:20 95:16,21	349:6,10
71:8 73:2,11	23 298:12,14,	147:12 183:25	96:4 133:17	child's
74:9,10,13,19,	18,21,23 299:5	243:12 265:9	134:12 274:22	233:9 338:16
23 75:1,13,24	307:1,3,9,10	270:21,22,23	277:17	Childhood
76:2,5,11,15,18,	309:17 311:2	271:23	check	100:13
21 77:9 78:2	318:7 321:17,	chances	10:13 32:8	children
81:6 90:4,8	21,25 322:5,10,	13:21	33:22 65:19	12:8 22:21
91:5,16,22 99:1	15,18,22 323:1,		77:16 84:17	26:18 50:7
101:12 117:4	4,7,10,13,19,23	change 45:13 68:7	94:4 145:18	55:13 68:17
118:19 130:25	324:2 327:2,6,	81:23 119:7	186:22 248:15	81:22 90:20
131:4 133:1	13,21,25 328:3,		302:11	96:25 101:20
144:7,10,16,20,	6,8,11,14,17	136:10 198:12,		104:10,15
25 145:11	329:14,15	15,16 204:25	checkbox	108:24,25
146:3,7,12	331:23 332:19,	219:18 232:25	139:12	110:2,17,23,24
158:9,11 160:5	25 334:17	234:14 260:3	checked	112:3,23
165:2 168:16,17	336:15,19,23	261:7 266:18	299:21	113:17,18,19,24
169:15,16	337:4,11,13,19	301:14 326:21	checklist	116:24 118:23
175:16 181:20,	338:2,7,11	327:10 339:8	139:8,9	119:3 120:2,7,
23,25 184:11,	339:20,21	340:25 344:14	checkmark	10 131:13
18,23 185:5,11,	342:18 345:14	348:23 352:24	134:21	136:25 137:9,
16,23 186:2,5,	350:24 351:2,4,	353:6	checks	11,15,18 146:25
14 187:6,12,15,	8,15 354:6	changed	108:11 125:1	155:22,25 156:2
18,19 196:2,13	355:24 356:2	16:12 69:20	232:4 261:13	158:25 183:23
200:7,9 209:19,	357:9,12,23,25	119:6 209:6	Cherokee	188:1,17 192:1
22 213:23,24	358:13,23	245:2 284:18,19	147:2	208:18 213:9
216:18 221:24	359:5,10,15	328:22 352:23	chewed	232:15,24
227:1,5,9 230:1,	Chairman's	character	238:24 241:3,4	233:12 234:10
2 234:17 244:7,	258:11	15:12 16:24	chief	244:3 246:8
9 246:10,21,25	chairperson	38:10 51:23	190:3 329:20	256:24 259:14,
247:4,11,17,22,	204:19	53:22 80:5		20 261:4,15
	l 	I	l	I

262:10,15 263:6	Chrystal	98:12 116:15	classes	client's
264:24 265:23	92:13	130:15 141:20	183:6	13:17 237:1
266:23 270:14,	church	157:25 161:9,25	Claus	clients
15,18 271:3,4,6,	110:11,13	162:18 165:19	132:24	38:24 48:17
8 276:7 284:13	Ciavarella	196:14,21	clause	49:15 127:19
285:23 286:8	269:6	197:21 198:5	305:7	147:12 158:23
295:21 303:5,8	circuit	200:16 203:10	clean	159:2,3,12
304:5 305:4,9	17:15,18,23	204:8,22 205:4,	109:24	167:3,4,15
308:7 309:11	18:1 27:24 33:9	11,21 206:15,	cleaned	176:25 179:7
312:15 313:10	44:11,12,16,23	22,23 207:25	18:22 245:16	289:10 325:12
314:14,25 324:4	52:25 61:19	224:11 227:23	clear	clients'
327:7,10 329:1	78:14,18 79:15	237:16 238:5	13:15 66:15	159:6,7
330:25 333:11	94:24 105:6	268:16 358:5	112:24 261:19	clinical
350:15 352:20	118:22 120:19	city	264:10 269:8	267:9
children's	146:8,11 192:18	146:8	327:2 334:18	clock
100:8 101:1,2	196:24 213:2	civil	Clemson	20:9,14 133:7
159:12 233:24	220:7 230:11,12	84:14 177:12	159:18,22 160:3	clock's
235:9 303:4	244:22 245:7,10	245:8 255:10	clerk	47:24
Chip	circuits	269:20 305:9	33:6,19 67:17,	close
232:12	27:7,12 252:18	341:12	20 95:12 96:7	29:25 75:10,25
chips	circumstance	civilian	133:16 232:17	91:6,17 140:11
339:23	165:4	59:21	305:24 306:3	184:13 187:8
choice	circumstances	civility	355:1,12	192:20 247:4
318:25	163:13 314:3	177:17,19	clerk's	269:23 270:9
choices	335:19 340:25	claim	33:13 84:22	271:2 302:9
321:5	341:1	155:10 164:3	153:10 213:1	359:11
choose	circus	claimed	305:22 355:1,6	closed
303:6 313:9	72:8,9	155:11	clerks	30:12 122:14
320:2	Citadel	claiming	33:12 62:7	246:23 257:12
choosing	256:4	259:10	95:17	301:4 358:20
28:2 311:14	cite	claims	CLES	closely
chose	264:14	159:13	255:3	52:21
24:23 307:16,	cited	clarification	clever	closer
17,20 311:23	291:15	251:25 332:2	347:25	171:18 226:11
331:8 341:17	cites	clarify	client	233:10
Chris	257:3	205:25 348:20	83:5 150:23	closest
173:13,14	citizen	Clark	154:14 168:6	226:10
Christmas	257:25 340:16	95:16	169:25 177:10	closing
34:23 112:1	citizens	class	179:23 236:21	114:18
chronologically	15:8 29:2 38:5	24:11 111:16	237:1,10 241:5,	closure
340:7	53:13 54:23	171:10 309:13	7 289:10 294:21	119:22 120:1
	55:20 79:25			
	•	•		

	171.0	0.64.4.7.5	22.102.2.2	00.050.0550.15
clothes	171:2	8 64:14 71:23	22 192:2,3	23 252:8 259:16
173:6	Colleton	113:9 128:14,18	207:12,24	260:10 261:12
Club	18:1 26:9 33:6,	150:15,20	208:11 209:25	268:15 269:13,
100:11 169:10	12 34:11 35:7	151:10,16	210:1,22	16 276:11
clubs	Collins	161:10 165:10	214:20,24	279:24 280:7,12
169:6	144:8,9,13,19,	176:24 180:3	216:19 217:13,	282:6 298:4
clue	23 145:5,7,10,	194:15 208:24	17,18 226:23	299:2 303:18
160:12 165:2	11,25 146:8,10,	210:13,21	227:2,6,7,19	321:1 326:25
313:17	15,19 147:14	221:15 223:16	228:2,5,6	339:2 350:25
co-chairing	148:5 149:5	225:25 228:8	239:15,20	356:10
270:10	150:5,9 152:3,	239:15 254:10	241:22 242:25	Commission's
coaster	24 153:1,16,17	255:18	252:9,11 253:1,	15:5 38:1 81:3
160:18	154:2,12,13	commented	2 279:22,25	98:8 105:19
coat	155:1,2,15	38:11 116:21	280:8 298:7	116:11 122:16
30:15	156:4,21 157:1,	commentor	326:24 335:16	130:9 143:14
Coburn	25 158:3 160:6	216:4	358:4	157:20 199:25
173:25	168:19 169:18	comments	commission	357:4
	175:18 181:22	13:5,6 16:14,15	9:25 10:3 13:4	commissioned
coddling 72:11	184:11,17,22	22:4 25:4,5,7	14:13 27:11	256:5
	185:4,10,11,14	29:25 35:21,22	31:22,25 32:13	commit
code	Columbia	36:2,3,8,10,17	35:19 37:3,9	61:19
15:5 38:1 81:3	11:20 121:24	39:13,14 41:19	51:18 52:6 53:6	commitment
98:8 116:11	268:4 294:12	42:21 43:2,11	54:17 56:8	57:11 98:20
130:9 156:22	322:9	45:13 50:4	58:16 59:23	116:24
157:20 200:1	combatant	51:16 56:4 57:8	63:5 65:16 77:1,	committed
261:19,21,24	170:10	60:25 61:20	7,23 78:23	45:21 55:3,8,15
264:16 357:4	combined	62:22 63:9	80:10 85:7 90:6	85:24 272:7,19
Cody	340:17	64:12 65:11	93:14,19,22	committee
274:14	comfort	66:2,22,23 67:1,	96:16 97:16	15:9 29:2 34:12
cognizant	26:2 57:7	23 78:25 79:1,9,	107:24 108:2,5,	35:10 38:5,11
36:14 39:24	comfortable	16,17 85:4,6,7,	16 112:17	52:9 53:8,13,15,
cold	12:17 13:1	9,15,16,18 86:6	115:19 122:17	16 55:20 76:3
15:24,25 173:7	64:15 156:17	87:22 88:24	123:23 124:14,	79:25 80:3
207:16	commend	90:5,6 96:17,19	17,20 128:5	92:11 98:12,18,
colleagues	29:15 183:10	97:3 104:3	129:16 141:23	19 100:17
191:18		109:4 112:18,20	145:4,9 150:5	116:15,21,23
collect	243:4,17,24	113:3 128:7,9	157:2 185:1	117:6 130:15,18
33:21	commended	146:1,5 149:13	186:9,12 190:18	141:20 146:1
collective	140:16	150:7,14 158:17	191:19 196:22	149:6 157:25
201:13	comment	160:24 161:10	197:11 199:8	158:3 161:9,25
college	13:19 40:24	162:8 165:24	201:25 209:20	162:6,18 165:19
26:6 153:6,20	41:3,7,8 51:2	166:8 175:21	229:22 239:5	166:1 175:23
20.0 133.0,20	53:24 57:4 58:3,	178:18 191:20,	241:21 248:3,6,	100.1 175.25
	1	1	1	'

196:14,21,22,25	companies	24 342:23	computer	253:2 315:19
197:21 198:6	148:16 154:11	350:20 351:9,22	194:21 218:14	338:18
200:16,19	257:11,12,17	352:5 353:8	Conahan	conclude
204:9,22 205:4,	compare	358:16	269:6	246:12 358:19
11,21 206:15,	132:23	complaint's	concept	concluded
22,23 207:25	compared	303:23	325:24 329:3	23:24,25 278:6
208:1,14 224:11	161:23 201:19	complaints	concern	346:13
228:11 237:16	205:7 314:15	193:21 237:21	13:22 36:6	concludes
238:5 241:24	comparing	238:10,14 258:8	64:25 79:19,20	122:14 143:12
251:15 257:25	203:7 210:21	356:5	133:6 150:18	conclusion
258:3 260:8	compassion	complete	151:9,12,20	243:3
339:11 340:11	116:24	109:22 119:24	152:3,7 192:4,	condescending
358:5	compassionate	236:3 272:25	13,15 193:7,10	36:4
committee's	113:2	311:14 312:4	201:25 208:23	conditions
203:10 227:23	compelled	completed	220:25 221:9	269:17 330:9
259:23 339:5	94:8 136:11	11:8 33:3 78:10	224:18 228:1	conducive
Committees	compensation	95:3 109:15	236:9 253:5,20	216:12
54:23	29:8	126:5 189:9	254:12 255:20	conduct
committing		250:9	288:25 319:8	
60:13 267:11	competence 19:11	completely	concerned	86:7,25 113:17, 22 156:23 239:3
common		210:7	21:7 41:20	259:11 261:19
127:16 324:14,	competent	complex	133:2 163:19	
16	255:17 260:22	79:4 102:14	180:24 194:5	conducted
communicate	302:25	148:6 212:23	198:8 200:11	37:3
164:22 322:11	complain	213:4 252:13	202:6,15 208:15	conducting
323:8	140:15	compliance	220:17 226:2	49:5
communication	complainant	10:11 32:6	227:23 228:4	conference
253:25 254:1,8	297:19 332:13	77:14 94:1	240:22 241:1	190:5 302:15
communications	complainants	108:9 124:24	304:3	conferences
169:2 253:21	258:9 286:19	145:16 186:20	concerns	65:13 255:3,4
268:20	complained	248:12	13:19 15:15	confidence
community	307:18	complicated	21:5 36:2 38:13	58:25 223:12
53:14 63:23	complaining	71:3 114:22	62:6 66:22	261:22,25 262:7
64:1 100:6	164:25	119:12	79:16 97:4,7	confident
104:24 109:13	complaint	compliments	98:24 113:3,7	242:7 332:11
110:4 120:24	33:21 259:9,17	22:12	114:9 117:2	confines
142:9 169:1	270:23 283:9	comply	130:23 150:14	254:7 327:14
171:12 184:1	285:18 287:3	261:20 262:6	158:7 175:21	confirms
243:23 245:19	297:2,25 298:3,	composed	192:3 194:12,15	116:22
263:20	5,19,25 327:18	279:4	197:17,20,21	conflict
comp	332:22 334:22		200:5 205:25	69:14
220:8	335:9 336:18	compromise 225:22	206:1 208:15	conflicted
	337:19 338:14,	223.22	222:19 224:6,10	

		1	1	1
96:3 317:15	consideration	157:14 199:20	context	85:13
324:25	155:22	253:11 267:7	39:17 42:17	contract
conflicts	considerations	322:14 323:5,6	48:5 163:23	174:4
10:14 11:3 32:8	156:17	328:1,4 329:16	164:1 216:15	contracted
77:16 94:4	considered	336:21,23,24	217:6 220:7	70:7,17
108:12 125:2	182:24 183:5	337:6 356:22	253:14	contracts
145:18 186:22	212:23 262:20	contacted	contexts	174:9
248:15	305:9	14:12 32:10	42:7	contrary
confronted	consistency	37:9 80:10	continually	113:18 207:13
217:5	81:24 82:4	97:15 115:18	267:4	control
confused	102:7 122:4	129:16 157:2	continue	36:14 65:5
159:21 160:10	consistent	199:8 246:4	11:1,25 29:14	71:19 72:8
285:18	43:2 86:15	356:10	32:19 39:7 66:4	88:18,19 159:2
confusion	121:17 122:5	contacting	75:3,8 78:6,17	190:21 216:9
340:8	136:8 197:5	14:16 37:13	85:14 91:3,19	controlling
congratulate	238:7	80:14 97:19	94:18 95:6	239:16
16:16	consistently	115:22 129:20	105:5,10 109:10	controversy
Congratulations	45:3 97:12	157:6 199:12	110:23 113:21	12:16
124:8	128:15	356:14 359:2	118:21 125:16	convenient
connected	constitution	contacts	127:5 134:19	13:16
39:19	332:16	357:20	172:5 188:11,19	conversation
connection	constitutional	contained	189:3 198:4,22	26:25 177:12
183:4 294:11	15:10 38:6 80:1	35:21 40:16	199:2 202:22,24	conversations
333:3,6,10,14	98:16 116:19	78:25 96:18	208:19,20	159:3 193:22
connections	130:16 158:4	112:19 128:8	209:12 241:19	225:2 254:4
250:20 269:4	196:18 258:2	146:13 191:21	249:19 253:19	
conscientiousnes	constraints	252:10	275:17 314:10	Conversely 261:24
S	47:16	contemporaries	324:18	
116:23	constricting	138:24 236:7	continued	conviction
conscious	353:15	contemporary	19:1 194:3	245:3
217:19	construction	61:24	290:18	convictions
consecutive	273:22,23	contempt	continues	340:18 342:16
85:25	constructive	86:2 111:4,7	221:7 261:1,2	copious
	231:4	315:2,24 316:12	continuing	115:1
consent 146:15 277:6,8,	constructively	content	24:17 61:8	copy
12 304:19	89:17	354:20	101:14 131:9	206:24 304:12
322:1,12 327:22	consulted	contentious	181:12 187:3	305:15 306:3,11
329:19 336:25	310:3	19:24	209:14 229:2	cordial
consented	contact	contested	238:2	191:17 207:11
285:9	14:24 37:20	148:6,14,15	continuity	corking
	80:22 98:2	324:5,15	233:3,6	218:11
consequences 263:5	116:5 130:3		continuous	corner
	110.5 150.5			
	I	1	I	l .

172:24	costs	169:13 177:6	62:9 63:1 65:24	184:10 187:22
corollary	331:3,6	190:2,9 192:19	67:17 68:22	188:4,8,23
183:18	counsel	194:7 195:9	70:9,20 71:5	189:8,13,18
Corps	109:5 125:11	213:4 231:7,10,	72:9 73:15	190:19,24
99:15	164:4,6 300:16	18,19 232:7	76:22 78:5,6	191:17 192:6,
	313:6 329:16	233:4,10 234:9	79:7,10 81:14,	12,18 193:8
correct	352:10 354:16,	236:10 243:5	16,23 82:7,8	194:19,22 195:2
9:14 30:9 52:14	18	269:20 290:7,11	83:5 84:3 85:12,	205:15 209:9
56:20 75:22	counseling	292:6,9 299:10	19 94:18,19,24	215:22 216:15
91:14 92:23	331:6	301:22 304:24	95:7,17,25 96:1,	219:23 220:7,9
93:5 106:4		305:22 329:21	7,21 97:2,6	223:1,2,4,5,13,
107:11 160:24	counselor's	couple	101:18,21	24 224:2
176:16 179:25	278:21 286:25	14:6 16:7 28:20	102:17 103:11	230:11,12
185:3 208:6	counselors	54:11,12 81:10	104:14 105:5	231:10,17,21
225:1 238:1	262:12,13 273:8	84:24 90:5	108:24 109:10,	232:1,4,17,19
252:2,6 275:21 277:21 288:13,	283:2,3,4	102:8 103:8	11,18,20,23	243:4,14 244:4,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	296:23,24	111:3 132:16	110:1,9,21	23 245:7,10
21 290:4 295:13	count	152:8 158:14,19	112:10 113:15,	246:2,8 248:18
296:4 302:8	182:2	160:19 175:21	24 114:18 115:2	249:19,20,22,23
311:20 321:17	counterclaim	188:5 250:20	116:25 117:17,	250:5 255:6,17
323:3 327:20	155:5,7	262:17 271:10,	24,25 118:2,9	257:21,22
340:7 342:25	countered	24 284:2 286:8	119:4,16,17,18	259:10 262:24
343:12,15	227:25	347:14	120:18,21,25	263:12,15,17,
344:6,22,24	counties	coupled	121:2 125:15,16	21,24 274:18,23
345:4,7 346:3,5,	17:22 18:8	207:25	126:17 127:15	275:15,20,21
10 350:1,4	34:13 35:8		131:9,15 133:3,	278:14 279:21
354:16,23 355:3,21	44:12 102:10	court 10:25 11:1	25 135:23 137:3	280:20 284:25
, ,	131:21 134:7	10:23 11:1	138:10 145:1	288:17 289:8
corrected	191:10 211:8,12	16:3,18 17:14,	146:20,23	290:10 291:21,
84:23 202:11	229:11,13	17,19 18:1,11,	147:10,16,18	22 292:8,9,19
214:14	country	13,19 19:23	148:3,7,8	293:17 298:3
corrections	15:8,25 38:5	21:16 22:18,20,	150:13,24	301:10 304:21,
186:3	314:25 317:10	23,24 23:12,13	151:12 152:12,	23 305:7,8,9,18,
correctly	county	27:13,24 28:6	14 154:6,18,20	25 309:6
43:25 53:20	26:9 33:7,13	32:19,20 33:1,6,	156:10,24	310:19,20 312:2
84:22 161:14	34:11 35:7 61:6,	10,15 34:8	158:24 159:10,	313:23 314:6,
248:17 255:15	10,18,19 62:8	38:21,25 39:5	15 161:3 163:14	10,13 317:5
290:21 296:2	95:1,17,21	42:4,5 45:6	164:11,13	318:2,14 322:6
corroborate	102:8 104:16	46:2,8 47:11	166:23 167:8,21	324:4,22,25
227:22	106:10 109:19	48:17 49:2,5,6,8	170:3,13 172:24	325:11 326:1
cost	112:2 132:5	50:1 51:21	176:19 178:9	327:9 329:19,20
282:19 330:4,23	133:17 151:24,	55:12 56:5	180:16 182:14,	333:18 334:1,5,
331:7	25 153:11	58:21 61:10,16	15 183:20,22	10 335:16,19
		30.21 01.10,10		
	l			

336:4 338:20	334:9 340:13	crime	crying	326:9 338:15,16
341:4,12 342:12	349:5	111:25	89:11	340:21,24
344:6,9 345:21	courts	criminal	Crystal	341:14 348:23
346:17 348:13	18:5,6,8,25	126:12 184:9	92:14	349:4
350:3,14 351:25	122:3 134:2	195:15 245:8	cult	custom
352:16	156:14,15	349:8	267:13	341:10
court's	263:13 342:4	criminals	cults	customers
95:12 127:17	covered	278:18,24	267:13	274:2
198:24	260:7 339:10	crisis	curfew	cut
court-appointed	crafty	50:10	232:3	26:19 48:24
71:2	270:25	criteria	curing	74:17 114:10,14
courteous	Crawford	10:9 32:4 38:6,8	61:3	212:6,7 263:7
35:25 67:9	61:12 187:18,	57:1 58:18,20	curious	273:24 274:3
79:21	19,20 196:2,13	75:15 77:10	160:6 161:16	313:7 328:14
courtesy	197:16 200:4	80:1,4 91:8	341:25	337:21 351:20
19:25 20:3	206:21 226:20,	93:24 98:13,16	Currence	cuts
112:9 179:20	22 227:3 323:11	105:20 108:7	284:21 293:18	39:14 279:14
297:9	328:4,7,8	116:16 122:16	294:13,20	cutting
courthouse	create	124:22 130:16,	322:17 329:17,	39:20 326:23
94:25 109:25	194:12 235:10	19 143:14	18	cycle
225:7 232:9	created	145:14 149:7,10	current	102:23
278:23	194:17 196:10	165:17 184:16	233:16 274:22	102.20
courtroom	267:15	186:18 196:15,	296:15	
11:3 12:14,15	creates	18,20 197:15	curtain	ш
13:1 29:16	197:9	203:7 222:21	104:2	D.C.
36:15 43:14	credence	246:14 248:10		269:5
55:6 62:1 76:23	51:18 56:8 75:5	258:1,4 327:15	curtains	dad
86:3 87:6 88:18,	227:19 228:2	358:9,25	140:10,11	265:9 267:6
19 95:11 100:1	credibility	criticism	custodial	dad's
103:14,16	103:20	89:16	307:22	29:15
121:18 142:25	credit	criticize	custody	daddy
164:13 167:3	13:9 20:4	240:13	49:10 66:12	279:7,9,10
168:5,15	223:15	criticized	148:15,17,18	daily
190:22,24 191:3	Creech	20:2	149:4 194:25	60:22 226:12
192:1 197:4	194:18 195:5	crossed	213:4 256:23,	232:8 250:18
208:21 209:16	194.18 193.3	148:11 154:6	24,25 257:1	Daina
210:11 211:2,5		cruel	262:11 264:1	131:2
216:9 225:23	Creech's 231:1	210:6	266:18 267:11	dam
237:8 238:6		crumbling	290:4,12 291:6, 12 295:20	154:9
239:16 241:3	Creel	272:17	296:4,11,13,19	
252:16 254:5	257:20	crux	307:15 311:9	Dana
266:17 330:15	crib	298:19	313:8 318:21	265:15,16,17
	70:5,12	270.17	313.0 310.21	291:19 292:2
		1		1

341:7	David	deadlines	deciding	dedicated
dangerous	92:18 93:15,17,	131:25	66:12 90:14	79:3 191:24
99:7	19 121:14,15	deal	decision	Dee
dangers	142:14 144:7,13	22:6 42:8 54:12	45:23 55:10	79:24 130:14
264:24	145:4,7,9 154:1,	72:15 90:23	66:8,10 82:11	deemed
Danny	13 155:2 267:14	126:3 134:13	114:20 115:10,	30:6 52:8 75:8,
258:18,19	Dawson	150:16 210:18	11 138:4 139:18	19 91:10 184:25
data	119:9	dealing	155:23 162:8	358:2
9:13,25 31:12,	day	55:9 148:25	167:4 168:13	deep
22 76:12 77:1,3	21:24 27:15	194:25 220:19	173:20 174:3	327:7
92:22 93:14,16	33:10 35:18	305:7 326:2	211:17 233:23	deeper
107:10,24	53:18 64:20	dealings	237:12 285:10	39:12
123:21 124:14	73:18 88:1,4	55:5	300:20,22	default
144:17 145:4,6	95:8 104:11	dealt	301:15 310:10	61:4
186:9 247:19	111:15 115:1	86:4 324:21	332:10 345:16	defend
248:3	121:9 127:1	325:20	347:8,9	270:23
database	132:5 134:20	death	decisions	defendant
233:9	162:22 175:19	266:21,24	9:4 35:24 53:17	84:14 296:6
date	187:17 188:22	debate	65:1,2 66:4,5,25	
14:21 37:18	191:13 241:10	17:2 18:4	67:10 74:5 79:3	defending 219:23
80:19 95:7	250:18 266:18	debates	92:7 114:7	
97:24 116:2	270:22 278:14	104:19	115:7 128:11	defense
129:25 157:11	280:12 292:3	DEBORAH	134:25 163:21	99:23 174:8,14
199:17 340:20	299:10 300:15	31:8,23 32:1	188:1 198:5	defer
348:9 349:21	309:13 335:9	decade	220:24 225:20	10:21
356:19	348:13	46:3	252:14,23 342:1	deferred
dates	day-to-day		345:24 350:5	133:1
257:3 329:7	149:1 166:2	decades 41:4	declaration	deficit
Daubert	172:23		211:15 331:22	61:4
263:23,24 264:4	days	December 05.7.192.7	declarations	definitively
daughter	34:4 36:11	95:7 182:7	330:18 331:20	319:22
266:13 267:22,	47:10 84:24	decent	declared	defunded
23 278:15	95:6 111:6,10,	43:12 44:5	290:6	18:9
279:4,14,19,20	12 132:6	decide	decline	degree
293:24 295:24	188:10,20,22	75:7 142:8	94:11	225:12 325:22
297:10 335:17	193:3,5 212:20	179:24 299:15	declined	degrees
340:21 341:18,	228:13 276:9	327:9 328:24	94:24 154:19	207:2
23	282:15,16,22	332:22	decorum	delay
daughter's	286:9,15 301:7	decided	179:21	94:11 155:25
268:6 269:23	308:7 324:7	64:13 152:11	decree	359:8
297:14 322:20	351:25	171:17 249:21	290:13	delete
	deadline	314:25		334:6
	353:23			

deliberate	33:22,23 34:17	desired	diamond	dignity
210:5	81:25 85:24	186:25	273:24	104:6 112:10
deliberations	102:1 119:12,25	desk	dictate	diligence
52:5 105:24	126:11 234:11	147:9 148:11	115:2	98:20
122:21 143:18	235:20,22 236:6	173:4 193:4		
184:25	237:6		dictatorial 168:11 197:7	diligent 78:22 251:20
deliberatively	depending	desperately 38:24	224:17	
73:16	156:9	destress		diminishes 261:25
	depends	193:24	died 270:12	
delinquencies 147:19	25:12 234:21			dinner
	deposition	destroying	difference	177:11
delinquency	273:9 275:2	113:19	32:22 50:8	direct
175:5		destructive	146:24 147:4	86:2 116:22
delinquent	deputies 232:2,7,8	221:8	188:2,3,21 202:20 206:20	208:22,24
84:18	, ,	detail	202:20 206:20 208:9 274:20	209:3,5 222:13
delinquents	deputy 121:24 232:3	237:7 285:18		298:24 334:9
174:21	278:22	299:2	differently 197:25 214:18	direction
deliver		detailed		57:9 138:13
73:18 209:16	derelict	114:23 115:6	difficult	148:4
delivery	89:20	303:25	54:12 56:7 57:3	directive
198:5 209:14	describe	detention	58:4,7 64:13	334:1 336:4
demeanor	148:6 151:2,3	268:25 269:1	65:1,2 66:25	director
67:9 150:10	183:9	determination	70:18 73:6,7 74:4 94:21	172:10,11,23
151:11 162:9	description	299:19	102:11 106:20	173:10,12 182:4
167:15,19	253:19	determine	136:1 150:15,16	267:9
168:12 178:18	Desert	327:14	151:10 165:13	disadvantages
190:19 197:4	99:16,17	determined	178:8 183:23	208:11
238:7 253:3,21	deserve	141:13 311:16	202:14 207:18,	disagree
demeanor's	22:6 46:23	develop	22 225:11,13	51:7,9 114:1,10
85:20	87:13 134:25	34:13 358:14	226:18 250:2	156:6 161:2
demeanors	deserved	developed	252:14 317:6	203:6
170:5,6	132:20 210:4,12	33:19,25 34:10	difficulties	disagreed
demolition	deserving	67:8 180:21	172:19	85:17 96:2
274:4	75:20 105:23	246:2	difficulty	155:13 280:5
denied	122:20 143:17	development	73:24 74:1	discard
287:11 302:6	184:25	180:14 326:12	diffuse	50:22
dental	designate	devote	138:14	disconnect
270:13	318:2	48:9 114:20	digest	70:9 162:2
deny	designed	devoted	303:14	discourteous
257:6 335:18	169:2	113:2	dignified	210:24
354:4	desire	devotion	79:21	discovered
department	29:14 32:10	327:7	19.41	257:16
	68:20 203:2			

1	1	152 10 22	247.19.260.7	D
discovery	disorders	153:18,22	247:18 260:7	Downtown
153:7 277:19	263:2	290:10,13	299:16 300:7,13	154:7 172:19
326:12 329:24	display	292:11	301:9,11 305:19	draconian
discredited	29:5	divorced	339:2,3,10 352:10 353:19	263:4 271:25
263:20	disposition	153:21,22 290:6		draconian-style
discretion	95:18 153:3,19	291:4	dog	262:23
236:13 303:6	154:4,14 155:5	divorces	236:15	drafted
307:16,21	295:20	33:11	doggone	312:7
311:14 312:4	dispute	DJJ	134:18	drag
313:9,23 314:12	42:11 308:1	100:18 104:9	dollar	170:7
315:5 316:2,9	330:12,22	190:10 233:10,	282:14	drastic
331:10	disputed	13,14,16	dollars	326:13
discuss	271:16	DMH	286:16,21	drastically
16:15 148:7	disputes	284:16	domestic	69:21
228:15	134:4 194:25	docket	184:6,7 195:14	dress
discussed	disrespect	36:15 48:6	Donaldson	241:10
36:8 41:20	348:15 349:24	83:13 112:21	300:1 301:17	dressed
212:19 237:16	disrespected	133:18 134:14	303:2 314:24	240:24
245:15 343:11	209:8,17	137:21 138:5	318:21 319:13	drive
discussing	disrespectful	211:25 221:16	330:25 331:7	340:1
356:6	54:16 195:12	250:25 312:19	donation	driver
discussion	197:7 209:1	339:1	112:1	121:24
163:4	210:25 230:14,	docket all	door	driving
discussions	18 253:4,7,17	191:13	163:2	106:7 236:15
166:25 236:6	dissect	docketed	double	drug
237:10	214:6	136:20	86:1	17:14,17,19
disgruntled	distinction	docketing	double-edged	18:5,8,11,13,19,
65:4 166:8	178:19 237:13	133:16,23	220:2 237:22	25 108:24
340:12,14	distraught	doctor	doubt	109:17,20,23
disheartening	274:13	111:13 291:18	18:20,24 19:2,4,	110:1 188:4,8
27:19 36:18	District	document	11 21:6,12 24:4	189:8,13,18
dishonest	101:4 112:2	49:19 305:19	100:3 149:16	231:10,17,20
271:21	districts	306:8,12 322:1	175:9 214:15	232:4,19 243:4,
dismiss	270:16	323:14 335:4	217:9 239:22	14 244:3 246:2
216:20	disturb	337:1 339:1	272:6	DS
dismissed	309:6	347:24 351:9,10	Douglas	190:7
153:7,14	diversion	documentation	154:2 274:14	DSM
154:11,24	109:21	211:14	downhill	262:25
352:1,3 353:24	divorce	documents	171:19	DSS
disobeyed	33:14 147:10	39:21 48:19	downstairs	23:15 69:22
334:1	148:12 149:4	76:12 83:10,19	306:2	81:20 100:19
		123:22 144:17		101:21 102:2,16

132:5 174:4,14,	338:6 341:4	effect	81:16 169:23	emotions
16 190:7,8	342:1,14 345:20	341:22	230:22 231:13,	42:12 90:23
195:14 232:5	early	effective	16 242:23	137:1
233:4,8,9,10,13,	36:11 58:4	146:22	election	employ
14,16,21,24	101:25 154:17	effectively	10:16 94:6	166:14
234:6 235:7,10	earned	48:23 56:2,16	108:14 125:4	empower
236:8 237:9,14	22:15	57:7 73:23	200:14 248:20	65:1
246:4	easier	136:4 182:12,13	elements	encapsulate
dual	303:14	200:15 218:11	45:4,8	249:22
100:18	easiest	311:24 318:4	eleven	encounter
due	58:14,24	effects	78:4 81:19	73:17
20:18 155:12	easily	341:21	192:2 274:25	encourage
279:13	170:1	effectually	eligible	67:22 105:1,5
dug	easy	56:2	176:7,8	121:7 125:6
39:12	90:14 102:20	efficient	eliminated	142:18
duly	170:12 316:11	126:19	230:19	encouraged
9:10 31:9 76:9	eat	effort	elite	34:20 213:13
92:18 107:6	339:25	63:16 217:11,19	325:6	encouragement
123:15 144:13	eating	221:18 233:25	Elizabeth	62:5
185:20 247:14	339:23	efforts	257:20	end
259:4 337:16	echo	120:5	eloquently	41:25 53:18
duty	22:12 66:22,23	egregious	279:5,21	64:7 87:2 127:1
59:22 99:15	67:5 72:3 311:6	271:20,25	embarrass	128:11 138:2,3
213:10	economic	ehere	241:6,16 242:11	181:14 182:10,
dysfunction	10:14 32:8	27:14	embarrassing	11 187:13
102:24	77:16 94:4	eight-	41:8,13 295:6	198:17 212:25
dysfunctions	108:11 125:1	59:22	embarrassment	253:10 315:10
102:19	145:18 174:2	eight-track	216:6	317:3 335:10
	186:22 248:15	74:7	emergencies	346:24 348:16
E	editor	eighteen	24:4	356:7 357:13
.,	73:21	272:4 275:1	emergency	endeavor
e-mails	editorializing	eighty-eight	48:5 232:5	190:13
266:2	63:15 284:4	171:10	301:2,6 302:4,6	endeavors
earlier	educated	elaborate	emit	189:10
9:3 30:2 45:5	313:18	40:20 82:3	164:20	ended
52:17 55:18 57:5 71:17,22	education	elapsed	emotional	171:3 182:8
117:16 135:18	102:17	347:20 348:3	127:18,19 138:9	274:13 291:24
160:6 205:2	educational	elect	223:9,10 273:17	297:3 301:16
225:6 233:2	61:9 171:1	141:7,10 203:16	354:3	341:18 342:13
244:19,20 249:2	Edwards	elected	emotionally	ending
263:25 336:22	193:18 206:7	27:25 55:2	113:15	142:23

		1		 I
ends	322:2 331:7	essence	91:8 93:24	24:9,20 27:3
30:12	entirety	352:23	98:13,16 105:19	32:17 38:19
enforcement	345:11	essential	108:7 116:16	41:17 50:13
102:16 169:4	entitled	20:13 42:19	122:16 124:22	58:11 64:5 67:4
288:6	339:1 342:24	essentially	130:16,19	68:6 71:9 78:3
engage	entity	155:10 346:12	143:14 145:14	81:9 82:19 85:3
239:2 254:1,8	60:10 231:22	established	149:7,10 184:16	86:19 90:8
enhancing	entry-level	264:4	186:17 196:15,	94:16 99:5
218:16	234:6	estimate	17,19 197:15	101:13 103:7
enjoy	envelope	44:18	222:21 246:13	109:8 117:7
11:2,4 12:6	355:6,10	estranged	248:10 258:1,4	118:20 120:15
21:14 29:18	environment	325:21	327:15 358:8	125:13 131:5
94:25 108:19,22	120:8,9 127:17	ethical	Evans	132:13 140:4
109:1 125:23	135:5 171:11	15:11 38:9	153:1	146:18 158:13
187:21 188:2	equal	51:22 53:23	even-keeled	160:5 168:18
250:12	73:12	80:4 96:25	167:17	169:17 175:17
enjoyable	equally	98:13 116:16	evening	181:25 187:20
210:6	73:8 331:2,5	130:19 149:7	123:9 249:15	200:9 209:23
enjoyed	, ·	158:1 196:15	338:4	213:25 221:25
11:3,11,13,17,	equipment 273:23	258:4	event	230:3 235:5
21 30:23,24		ethics	350:2	244:9 249:14
enjoying	equitable 214:8	10:12 30:4 32:6	eventually	259:15 271:9
11:5		75:17 77:14	171:3	276:12 278:12 284:1 289:24
enjoys	equity	91:9 92:2 94:2	everybody's	294:2 303:16
161:7 238:21	164:14	105:21 108:9	39:8 88:9	309:17 311:3
enmity	equivalent	122:18 124:24	260:11	318:8 324:2
203:1	190:7	143:15 145:16	evidence	330:1 333:1
Enough's	erased	180:19 184:20	115:9 255:10	334:17 338:13
273:7	281:2	186:20 246:16	evident	345:14 351:15
enrolled	erode	248:13 358:25	119:1	354:7 356:3
330:25	223:11	evaluate	evidentiary	examples
	err	58:18,21 222:24	96:23 263:17	115:8 158:20
ensure 60:24	14:7 48:1	226:5,7	evoke	176:22 177:2
	155:20 156:1	evaluating	216:25	210:10,13 211:1
enter	erratic	236:24	exact	252:11 257:2
84:22 269:24	113:5 114:1	evaluation	50:4	exceeding
349:11,15	erroneous	50:18 264:3	exam	19:11
entered	345:24	evaluations	10:19	
286:7 288:22	error	148:19 149:14	EXAMINATIO	exceedingly 161:22
290:9	84:16	evaluative	N EXAMINATIO	
entire	errors	10:9 32:4 38:6,8	10:23 15:22	excellent
43:17 138:11	353:6	55:23 80:1,4	19:17 22:11	27:7 35:25 79:7
272:5 298:3		33.23 00.1,7	17.11 44.11	96:20 97:2
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

120:9 128:16	exercise	expense	95:2,18 99:12	extent
191:23 252:22	55:8	308:10	100:8 109:14	179:13 215:9
exception	exhibit	experience	126:4 153:2,18	216:20 222:6,9
22:5 156:19	9:24 10:2 31:21,	15:13 16:24	154:3,14 155:4	313:23 317:8
215:9	24 76:25 77:3,6	19:3 20:8 22:18	165:25 190:18	347:12
exceptional	93:7,12,13,16,	38:8 46:24 47:4	194:15 222:3	extol
300:1	18 107:23 108:1	51:24 52:1	250:8 349:4,13	143:4
exceptionally	124:13,16	53:24 55:1,3	explained	extra
340:6	145:3,6,8 164:2	68:15 73:4 80:5	161:17 346:16	18:12 138:2
excerpt	186:8,11 223:19	89:8 97:1 98:15	explaining	extraordinarily
269:24	248:2,5 323:22	100:1 101:17	344:4	134:10
	351:13	102:7 104:20,21	explanation	extreme
excerpts 261:17 268:6	exhibiting	116:18 120:23,	303:25	314:2
	207:14	24 121:5 125:22	explanations	extremely
excessive 111:8	exhibits	130:20 142:7,18	284:10	96:21,22 150:9,
	76:23 83:10	146:21 148:6,8	explore	10 178:23
excessively	93:2 248:1	149:9 158:2	190:14	179:18 190:25
150:17	exist	171:13 174:23		250:1 324:24
exchange	226:9 283:4	196:17 198:23,	explored 233:2	exwife
165:15 204:7	existence	25 199:4 210:6		262:11,17
280:15 334:19	59:9 263:19	238:21 239:5	expose	265:24 266:8
exchanged	existing	242:12 250:14	272:9 273:9	272:16 273:8
354:15	164:18,19	251:20 258:6	282:12	275:4 282:17
excluded	exists	273:6 289:9	exposed	290:6 291:4
311:18	74:1	347:1 350:17	161:13 280:4	294:10 322:7
exclusively		experienced	282:12	327:8
254:21	expect	38:12 53:25	express	exwife's
excuse	91:11,16 105:20	87:15 113:1	100:16	271:22 272:5
9:13 202:11	122:9 142:24,25	117:16 125:19	expressed	278:22 328:1
208:2 274:15	143:14 149:18, 25 152:15	142:20 148:2	13:19 36:2	
291:18	177:22 185:8	251:20	79:16 97:3	eye 17:13 253:11
excused	220:25 246:14,	experiences	113:3 150:14	302:9
30:25 76:4	21,25 251:19	100:13 104:24	192:2 213:3	302.9
91:21 106:24	, and the second	expert	253:1 319:8	
123:10 144:6	expectation 75:15	263:22 296:24	expression	F
185:15 247:10		297:2,5 317:18,	110:18	facade
297:19 359:14	expectations	20 318:2	extended	341:5
executive	19:12	experts	22:13	face
9:2 36:21 37:2	expected	235:17 317:17,	extending	28:20 56:3
91:24 92:6	245:6	21 326:5	253:8	103:23 110:18
359:16	expects	explain	extensive	140:9 235:1
exemplary	122:17	11:7 33:2 78:9	68:15 99:11	273:15
139:20 142:17			152:1 289:9	213.13

face-to-face	65:6,14 72:12	257:4	113:14 114:18	305:7 312:2
110:19	78:21 79:3	familiarized	116:25 117:17,	314:6,13,14
faces	87:18,19 90:17	40:6	24,25 118:2,9	317:5 318:14
137:5	96:12,24 97:9	familiarizing	119:4,15	320:3,5,7 324:4
facilitate	112:7 113:1	126:9	120:18,21 121:2	325:11 327:4
311:24	115:14 135:1	families	125:15,16	329:20 333:3
facility	150:11 174:8,14	22:21 50:7,9	126:9,17	341:4 344:9
273:22	180:4,6 191:24	55:13 68:17	127:15,17	345:21 346:17
fact	192:3 203:9	70:1 81:22	131:9,15 133:3	350:3 351:25
17:25 24:21	207:19 210:5	90:21 101:9,20,	135:23 138:10	359:6
48:15 52:11	212:5,17 213:7	23,25 102:13,	146:19,23	fashion
54:17 56:3	214:4 235:15	18,22 116:24	147:10,16,18	243:16
71:10,13,20	252:15 255:24	118:23 119:4	148:2,7,8	fast
81:15 85:23	260:22 303:9	131:14 146:25	150:13,24	115:4
138:17 152:5	311:16,17	147:5 149:1	152:12,14	fat
161:9,22 166:5	fairly	155:22 183:22	156:10 158:23	121:25
177:18 186:15	21:23 65:23	232:24 326:5	159:9,15 161:3	father
205:3 219:12	73:16 170:1	families'	163:14 164:11,	49:10,11 64:23
228:10 267:20	215:7 223:17	32:23,25 53:18	13 166:23	73:21 256:22,25
271:23 275:13	252:21 332:12,	family	167:7,21 170:3,	257:1 258:19
293:7 294:18	14	10:25 11:1	13 172:24	267:5,21 270:11
297:4 303:11	fairness	13:11 16:3,18	176:19 180:16	282:17 291:23
304:1 307:17	59:1 74:14	19:23 21:16	181:3 182:15	340:15
330:24 341:2	faith	22:18,20 27:13,	183:20,22	father's
346:19 348:20	260:18	24 28:6 32:19,	184:10 187:22	262:14 267:3
factor	fall	20 33:1 38:21,	188:25 190:19,	fathers
214:10	196:24	24 42:5 46:2,8	24 193:8	70:24 257:7
factors	falling	47:11 48:17	194:19,22	favor
52:5	217:12	49:2,5,8 51:21	198:24 205:15	13:18 79:12
facts	falls	55:12 56:5	213:3 216:15	
	269:9	58:21 61:10,16	218:4 220:8	82:8 92:3
82:12 256:16 271:1 284:7	familiar	62:9 63:1 65:24	223:1,2,3,5,24	255:19 264:7,14
287:4 326:12	10:6 14:15 30:1	68:22 69:1,8	224:2 232:1	favorable
332:10 349:7	37:12 77:9	70:9 72:8 74:6	246:8 249:19,	85:5,6 86:11
	80:13 97:18	78:5,6 79:7,10	20,22,23 250:5	favorably
factual	115:21 129:19	81:14,16,23	251:19 254:21	51:20,25
338:21	157:5 174:16	94:18,19,24	255:4,6,12,17	favorites
fails	183:10 186:14	95:25 96:1,21,	256:7 257:5,19,	79:11
169:3	199:11 200:24	22 97:2,6	20 258:15,17	FBI
fair	248:8 261:18	101:17,21	263:13 268:10	269:7,8
13:2 16:9 17:4	299:13 356:13	103:11 104:14	272:5 274:23	February
41:25 42:1 43:4	familiarity	105:5 109:10,11	282:18 286:20	182:8,10,11
44:15 45:20	i ammatity	110:21 111:1	289:8 300:2	292:24

Fadaval	50.22.07.0	fiftage minute	206.2.2.8.0	19 221.20 22
Federal 269:15 282:2	59:22 97:9	fifteen-minute 39:1 83:3	296:2,3,8,9 297:25 306:14	18 331:20,22 333:2,6,10,14
	fees	212:18,24	310:11 320:25	1 ' ' '
fee	330:17	212.16,24 213:16 218:4	353:7 355:9	find
154:18 174:11,	fellow			28:2,8 49:18
12	30:24 222:15	fifty	filing	53:8 54:17 60:2
feedback	254:23 255:5	203:22	172:23 192:14	63:17 68:19
59:13 60:2,23	325:7	fight	fill	101:8 109:13
63:14 202:23	felt	113:20 137:10	238:23	119:16 120:1
204:17,24	14:4 40:2 43:13	fighting	filled	152:18 176:23
206:2,4 208:22	47:1 87:11,20	74:7 137:14	160:20	177:4 178:3,8
209:3,5 224:24	151:6 163:13	347:5	filling	180:15 193:24
225:14 226:18	216:3 223:17	figure	141:15 230:14	195:12 199:3
229:3,7,15,24	230:17 251:14	159:13 229:10	final	202:7,13 208:25
231:5	269:10	237:20,23	30:12 33:18	211:1 218:25
feel	female	238:17 332:9	34:4,6,9 155:1	223:3,5 235:17
11:8 12:17 13:1	51:21 55:17	350:8	262:3 271:16	276:24 282:3
33:3 34:24	fence	file	278:10 296:1	341:25
36:12 41:9 59:3,	266:5	34:2 283:21	299:18 304:18	finding
4 60:15 65:3	Fender	289:11 295:3	307:7 308:4	149:11 197:21
69:17 72:4,13	46:9	298:3 304:24,25	310:25 313:12	205:4 224:11
78:10 84:5	fiancee	305:23,25	315:6 326:12	236:18 317:11
87:16 88:23	258:19	306:13 310:12	341:1,24 342:2,	341:2 344:20,
89:19 95:3	field	316:20 320:17,	11 343:2,5,8,9,	23,25
96:11 109:15	111:16	23,24,25 321:15	12,13,17 344:3,	findings
125:17,25	fields	323:6 326:25	15,19,20	57:8
126:5,14,15	158:1,4	331:23 332:3,5	345:16,17	fine
136:10 139:17	Fiffick	334:21 335:1,4,	346:2,6,11	27:13 107:2
140:1 146:20	146:5,7,12,18	6,8,12 337:2	348:24 353:25	137:10 181:11
147:15 166:9	157:24 160:24	347:17 348:9	finally	187:14 242:6
188:2,20 198:22		352:5 353:9,11	119:18 188:8	270:5 293:19
202:16,19	fifteen	355:20	208:22 262:12,	300:8 313:20
209:8,10,17,18	21:9 38:23	filed	17 274:21	finest
210:11 214:18	47:23 48:2	10:15 32:9	300:20 323:13	17:8
223:21 226:7	82:23 83:11 114:12 133:15	77:19 84:14,18	financial	finish
228:24 250:9	135:17 136:11,	94:5 95:15	9:13 124:3	20:19 182:17
feeling		108:13 125:3	141:15 148:8	246:1 276:3
21:23 47:3 64:1	19,22 138:6 172:5 211:9,10,	137:3 153:1,13	149:4 189:14,16	340:1 351:25
215:7	11,22 213:6,19	154:2,5,8,13	211:14 213:4	finished
feelings	220:10 270:15	155:3,6,7	231:20 245:16	50:2 212:7
222:15 237:25	352:8	186:24 248:16,	268:18,25	246:23 307:11
240:18		19 280:15 286:2	273:16 281:23	323:25 358:7
feels	fifteen-dollar	290:13 292:4	282:5,7 330:8,	fire
	33:22			

		1 _		1
169:4	focus	Forgive	242:23 275:23	276:13 293:11
firm	45:4 68:7 71:21	202:12 325:3	294:25 302:7	frank
99:18 112:7	72:10 138:13	334:21	313:2	61:17
113:2 155:8	170:23 248:10	forgotten	foster	frankly
167:18 173:24	focused	12:20	32:14,17 36:20	13:17 61:24
174:1 182:19	88:2 93:24	form	37:5,6 38:4	72:19 88:15
252:21	108:6 124:21	89:10 184:10	110:25 119:10,	89:25 132:20
fit	206:18	194:18,23	13,18 120:8,9	151:14 152:9
226:16 301:23	folks	195:1,8 196:8,	234:10 267:6	226:10 227:22
fitness	51:2 54:12 57:7	10 230:20 231:1	284:15,16	fraternity
15:11 38:9	101:22 136:1	308:22 314:10	Foster's	142:12
51:23 53:23	147:6 149:1	333:22	287:25	free
80:4 96:25	150:21 161:23	formal	found	336:16 345:22,
98:13 116:16	166:6 173:3,8	106:1 122:24	15:9 33:7 39:1	23
130:19 149:7	175:6 180:22	143:22 185:6	40:20 48:14	frequently
158:1 196:16	325:15	forms	52:10,11,22	61:22 62:4
258:4 303:22	follow	194:13,21	53:3 56:12	152:6
five-year	50:14 105:20	195:23 209:7	73:20 80:3 83:2	Fridays
267:10	122:17 128:12	230:14	110:20 128:18	33:10
fix	140:5 143:15	Fort	130:18 149:6,9	friend
221:21 234:12	182:1 196:25	256:12	156:23 157:25	62:11 258:20
265:25 266:8	201:16 234:18	fortunate	158:3 161:18	friends
flabbergasted	309:18 314:3	99:14 102:8	162:1 170:17	152:21 268:19,
281:11,15	318:17 342:8	110:25 121:13	205:5 235:6	20 278:17,18
flag	350:14 354:15	fortunately	256:24 258:3	282:7 338:10
224:15	food	26:9 55:24	261:3 272:8	Frierson
flat	110:13 173:6	forty	273:8 358:5	329:22
121:25 174:12	fool	88:4 282:20	Foundation	frivolous
flattering	133:12	286:13,16	101:4	159:13 164:3
86:12	football	forty-eight	fourteen	
flavor	160:15	352:8	228:13	front 13:12,20 17:6
86:24	footsteps	forty-five	fourth	19:19 21:20
flexible	29:15	162:25 200:22	152:3 154:1	25:20,21 41:6
193:8	Force	forum	fractured	46:15,21 59:2,
	100:13,21	331:24	324:15	16 61:18 63:24
flip 46:20 178:7	foregoing	forward	Fraley	76:13 90:15
214:9 219:22	29:8	18:23 27:6 34:8	147:1 166:17,	92:22 103:22
	foreign	35:8 40:23 78:7	22,24 167:8,11,	109:18 112:8,14
floor	325:24	104:19 110:22	12 181:9	122:3 127:11
235:12 242:24	forget	117:9 126:7	frame	138:21 166:3
297:24	172:17 238:20	143:3 228:19,24	43:14 44:21	167:14 206:19
Florida	1/2.1/ 230.20	236:4,16 237:12	94:10 211:24	208:8 210:2
23:5,6		250.4,10 257.12		200.0 210.2
		1		1

217:16 229:11,	funny	geeks	geographic	114:15,24
12 232:14,16	63:3 118:5	169:7,8	318:3	124:11 137:24
241:5,7 265:16	121:20	general	George	142:8 158:19
278:17 287:7	future	14:16,25 37:13,	95:16	168:7 180:23
300:12 340:13,	11:25 78:15	21 44:1 73:12	Georgetown	197:19 208:2
15	194:3 243:1	80:14,23 95:24	82:16,17	211:15 219:5
frustrated	271:3 272:13	97:9,19 98:3	Georgia	226:14 227:13,
84:9 274:1	273:14	104:10 115:22	147:1 159:18,24	18 234:12,24
frustrating		116:6 129:20	160:1 171:3,14,	239:21 243:11
88:10	G	130:4 157:6,15	17	246:5 253:12
frustration		199:12,21 245:9	GERALD	254:17 257:3
68:20 164:2,20	gagged	257:7 353:16	9:9 10:1,4	260:20 264:14
266:4	136:4	356:14,23	get all	265:2 297:1
Frye	gain	generally	59:19 287:3	299:6 311:7
263:25 264:5	329:18	29:4 39:20	get along	312:4 313:9
fulfill	galore	40:11 42:12	139:14	351:16
245:24	324:6	49:10 51:3	gift	giving
fulfilling	game	68:24 69:2	67:10	20:9 57:2 75:4
105:12 244:25	181:14	103:22 132:5	Ginter	89:3 102:12
full	garden	133:16 136:19	248:17 258:9	106:16 108:20,
49:17 114:7	110:1,3	253:11 301:18	337:8,10,12,16	22 109:13
162:25 163:3	Gardener	326:8,10 335:22	338:1,4,10,14,	141:16 234:25
182:2 189:6	267:15	generated	15,23 340:2	268:24 332:1
191:13 296:11,	gardening	44:21	342:20 343:23	glad
13 335:5 340:20	110:2	generation	345:2,15 348:15	29:21 77:25
349:4	garnet	243:8	349:11 350:16,	126:3 136:9
fully	160:8	generational	25 351:3 353:22	245:12 288:2
19:21 205:18	gather	102:19	354:5 357:14	293:23
fun	358:15	gentle	Ginter's	glaring
12:9 171:15	gathered	162:14	332:21 351:9,21	89:19
210:12 241:4	57:24	gentleman	353:9	glass
function	gave	163:1 177:9	girls	222:10
49:1	41:12 67:20	261:6 266:22	25:8	glowing
fund	117:25 129:11	267:13	give	67:21 180:4
235:10	235:24 266:21	gentlemanly	13:18 14:1,8	go-round
funding	272:16 300:23	177:13	19:7 21:3,10	218:2 221:15
235:24	307:16 311:13	gentlemen	23:12 53:11,12	goal
funds	313:3 318:21	172:20 268:3	54:1 56:8,16	11:9 33:4 35:3
350:6	350:8	272:3 279:24	57:6,7,11,13	78:11 85:20
funniest	gears	genuinely	58:15 60:22	95:4 109:16
63:4 225:6,8,9	47:9	35:24	61:8 84:2 88:18	126:6,19 127:2
231:3	.,,,		102:22 111:21	167:7 179:23
231.3				
	1	1	1	l

193:6 207:13	338:4 339:15	grateful	137:19	218:3 235:6
250:10 280:17	358:3	250:6	growing	237:22 238:13
goals	Goodwin	gratifies	134:6,12	239:12 295:25
189:23 231:16	348:7,8	108:23 135:2	growth	298:16 309:10
God	Gosline	gratifying	134:10	313:18 315:21
56:6 66:10	153:17	110:17,20	Guard	318:21,25 329:1
90:13	Gould	gravitate	99:20	331:18,21
golly	287:25 317:18	170:6	guardian	guidance
26:20	government	great	84:21 147:22	119:16 278:21
good	73:13 169:5	22:6 28:14	195:11,17,22	guide
10:22 12:6,13,	262:1	110:24 121:1,7	208:25 209:8	62:14 148:3
25 15:24 16:9	grade	134:13 140:9	230:14,18,20	guidelines
23:2,11 24:24	240:25	171:8,16 237:7	291:20 301:20,	15:5 38:1 81:3
25:1,11,19,21	grades	252:13,16	21,24 302:3,12	98:8 116:11
26:8,10,17,18	140:19,23	268:10 324:9	309:18,21,22	130:9 157:20
28:11 29:10,21	graduate	greater	310:2,7,15,20,	199:25 357:4
31:19 35:23	109:23 171:10	54:1 57:2	23 312:16 313:6	guilty
38:20 44:18	188:7 256:4	greatest	315:18,19	179:6 349:18
50:24,25 53:16		62:23	340:16 345:8,10	Gun
65:21 71:21	graduation 34:22	greatly	352:12,13	169:10
78:22 82:21,22		309:6	353:1,20	guy
87:6 89:5,7,24	grain 179:12	Greenville	guardian's	24:24 46:12
90:25 102:9		44:24 191:12	352:21	102:2 160:8
103:23 104:5	grandfather	192:18,19,21	guardians	269:1
108:21 112:11	171:16	211:8 231:21	302:2	guys
117:10 118:1,7,	grandmother	232:11	guess	25:7 265:24,25
8 122:4 123:8	129:4	Greenville/	11:11,12,22,24	Guyton
137:17 138:22	grandson	anderson	23:19 25:3 28:3	92:9,12,16,18,
139:14,15,18	11:23	169:13	42:2,3 45:11,20	21,24 93:2,9,15,
140:1,10	Granny		46:17,20 47:15	17,20,21 94:10,
144:21,24	129:11	grew 147:1,3 177:5	49:18 57:3 64:7	17,25,21 54.10,
146:25 160:16,	grant	341:21	71:16 87:22	20,22,23 98:12
22 166:6 173:18	18:2		89:19 126:8	103:4 106:5,11,
188:9 193:22	granted	ground 235:12	139:8 149:15	15 109:19 117:8
202:20 203:17	23:18 66:13		150:19,22	121:14,15
209:1 214:12	155:24 177:23	grounds	154:21 155:21	Guyton's
217:15 219:21	256:22 257:1	109:25	170:9 171:6	98:20
222:1 224:25	301:5,13,16,18	group	175:22 177:2	
229:16 235:2,4	granting	162:11 183:11	178:1 182:3	тт
244:14 249:15	155:20 156:1	groups	183:8 192:5	H
256:11 258:20	grapevine	102:15	203:13 205:10,	h-e
270:20 297:16	62:10	grow	22 210:25 216:8	86:1
301:23 327:13		90:25 110:9,10		
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

half	handled	223:22,24 224:1	Hayes'	13:25 14:1
83:9 132:5	68:13 81:14	261:13 279:18	163:24	20:22 21:4 39:8
173:17 282:10	174:7 210:8	283:16 302:18	head	43:4 47:9 48:12
339:14	223:6	307:4 321:14	28:16 30:15	50:15 54:7,11
half-hearted	handling	342:13 354:1	46:22 74:21	57:7 66:23
120:4	17:9 49:5 148:6	hard	122:1 138:9	69:11 73:15
hall	182:14	35:16 48:15	167:1 254:11,19	84:8 96:13
215:11 220:12	hands	66:7 67:11	255:14 277:20	104:9 135:1
hallway	218:13 354:25	102:19 112:9	head-	206:3 215:17
147:21	355:16	118:3 121:16	154:7	218:22 219:2,10
halt	hands-on	141:16,24	heal	237:13 238:14
272:25	250:4	163:21 187:24	137:7,8	244:10 265:17,
ham	handwritten	192:7 198:9,21,	health	18,19 270:12
168:25	259:20	24 202:19 204:2	15:10 38:7 80:2	276:18 283:20
Hampton	hanging	208:12 222:7	98:17 100:7	288:17 292:2
18:2	120:3	233:19 239:3	116:19 130:17	298:9 299:3
hand	happen	241:14 242:25	158:5 173:21	301:3 303:19
9:7,22 19:24	23:11,21 30:8	251:20 253:8,18	196:18 258:2	304:3 308:5
31:6,20 76:6,21	84:11 89:22	314:17 316:7	healthcare	324:12,14
92:16 93:10	120:11 179:10	harm	270:13	328:15 332:3
107:4,22 123:12	188:23 261:9	155:25	healthy	335:14 345:15
132:22 144:11	268:1 314:20	harmful	267:8 268:8	352:10 353:20
145:1 176:25	happened	158:24	270:11,13	358:3
185:18 247:12,	46:24 87:12,17	Harrelson	hear	hearing
25 255:8,9	96:6 113:13	99:18	21:3 22:25 23:9	18:7 20:17 24:5
337:14 349:5	215:16 268:3	harsh	24:4 26:19	33:18 34:4,7,9
hand-in-hand	273:2 281:19	149:14	27:19 48:18	39:1,16,22 48:6
109:21	290:14 291:15,	harvest	49:17,20,22	49:21 62:12
hand-pick	16 299:10	110:10	54:13 62:10	68:25 75:4
28:9	300:14 302:15	hate	63:24 64:25	82:24 90:7
handed	312:19 315:22	20:16 26:8	65:23 82:24	95:22 105:16
323:14	316:19 325:23	113:16 198:22	83:17 84:6 99:8	107:19 114:12
	340:8 352:5	222:15	103:2 168:5,14	122:14 124:9
handful 85:5 86:10	happening	hats	187:11 214:10	135:1,3,16,23 136:20 137:3
85:5 86:10 162:15	47:4 112:13	179:17,18	217:23 251:17	136:20 137:3
	235:12 237:8	haunt	264:9 288:5	/
handle	290:16 291:3	58:8	300:10 301:2	143:10,12 145:23 184:12
22:19 36:21	happily	Hayes	303:20 306:21	212:2 218:9
83:14 90:15,17	293:23	24:8,9 99:3,4,5,	322:7 325:8	220:10,15 230:6
100:19 103:16	happy	18 101:10	336:2 342:5	235:11 236:20
147:17 149:3	29:6,7 97:11	117:6,7 158:12,	353:2,21	238:10 241:15
156:8 175:2	103:12 160:11	13 176:21	heard	244:8 247:5
232:23 337:6	105.12 100.11	15 170.21		2 11 .0 241.3

253:10 287:8	105:23 121:22	high-stress	115:15 189:18	Honorable
288:3,9,18,20	122:20 143:17	177:1	203:3 219:23	9:9 10:1,3 31:8,
295:1 299:9,12,	184:25	higher	258:12 272:18	23,25 76:8 77:2,
20,23 300:5,7,9	heck	52:13	303:13 307:9	4,7 92:18 93:15,
301:6,8 308:5	26:9		holding	17,19 107:6,25
310:17 317:14	heirs	highest 13:18 51:25	205:17	108:2 123:14
318:17,20 324:6	84:19,20	53:21 234:10	Hollywood	124:15,17
332:9 334:22	, ,		129:3 268:2	185:20 186:10,
341:14 342:2,11	held	highly		12 248:4,6
343:20 351:1,23	28:25 69:4	138:9 161:21	Holmes	291:19
352:7,12,14	73:13 148:20	324:15 358:5	76:8,14,17,20	hope
353:3,17 354:10	176:5 200:14 299:20 353:17	highways	77:2,5,8,25	12:13,25 29:10
356:1,8 357:11		273:24 274:3	78:4,19,23 79:2,	35:8,14 36:10,
358:20	hell	Hill	7,9,23,25 80:3	11,18 43:15,21
hearings	271:4	44:25 101:3	85:1 91:15,20	53:12 66:6
38:21 39:10,18,	hell's	103:3 106:11	home	72:21 75:3
25 40:19 54:15	113:22	123:7 172:12,	30:15 44:16,22	105:10 147:11
67:19 69:4	helpful	13,21 182:4	70:2,4,12,15,16	162:11 180:25
82:23 83:3,7	68:23 69:5,9,16	183:3	101:2,3 171:18	188:3 193:25
133:14 166:25	70:21 85:9	hindsight	188:20,22	194:11 221:8,10
191:15 194:4,6	103:20 142:1	328:21	195:15 244:2	234:23 246:3
211:9 212:11,18	helping	hire	260:11 340:1	250:23 272:14
213:15,16	104:15 194:1	154:19 234:1	homeless	280:7 290:20
217:20 218:4	246:8	hired	110:12,14	317:2 326:7
221:14 272:13	Henderson	154:23 155:3,9	182:2,4	349:8
287:22 299:13,	23:4 67:7	236:11	hone	hopeful
14 301:13	hesitate	history	127:5 203:5	298:5
310:18 326:8	51:4	161:1 190:10,11	honest	hoping
342:12 343:21	hey	233:16 287:6	59:13 60:22	166:20 198:12
344:10	21:2 48:2 88:18	327:4	63:14 162:4	Horry
heart	218:5 219:17	hit	202:6 204:12	82:17
36:10 111:19	hiding	74:20 132:25	222:12 233:7	
214:22 217:10	339:15,23	138:8 154:7	281:10	horse
245:11,12 246:8	high	Hitchcock	honestly	268:10 286:18, 21 287:1 320:3
316:4,5 324:24	12:2,4 21:16,19	58:10,11 65:20	204:12 210:19	321:4 333:7
hearts	28:25 42:12	221:24,25	228:16 283:18	
217:10	73:13 102:4	227:10 231:3	326:3	hospital
heaters	149:24 168:21	242:13 318:7,8	honesty	171:18
173:6	171:9 180:18	323:24 324:1	90:12	hostile
heavily	240:7,8 250:15	hitting	honor	113:6
345:21,22	278:17 324:25	57:1	19:10 27:21,25	hot
· ·	358:3,10	hold	41:5	151:22 178:11
heavy		55:14 86:1		207:16 244:17
	1	T.	1	1

hottest	humanizes	identified	252:15	impressive
137:1	110:8	252:18	impartiality	19:23 22:14
hour	humble	identify	59:1 116:22	impropriety
48:7 83:9,12	113:2	210:14 329:6	261:22 262:8	30:6 75:19,21
84:1 110:4	humiliate	ignorance	impartially	105:22 122:19
145:23 146:2	242:12	133:13	65:23	143:17 155:18
184:13 200:22	hundred	ignore	impatience	184:24 246:18
281:20	223:25 227:1,3,	71:16 89:7	57:25	262:4,5
hourly	5 322:3	341:17	impatient	improve
216:18	hung	ignoring	54:15 97:11	22:19 36:19
hours	71:11	334:9	197:9	64:18 66:6,24
133:19 260:13	hurry	III	impeccable	68:16 81:21
299:22	185:12	123:15 124:15,	265:14	89:17,23
house	hurt	18	impolite	101:19,22 119:3
49:11 140:20	341:18	ilk	41:4	131:15 138:6
household		121:10 142:6		232:22 243:25
235:4	hurting 179:7	ill	importance 45:24 55:4	245:19
housekeeping		137:14 237:25	45:24 55:4 269:14	improved
14:11 37:7	husband			132:7
38:10 80:8	64:21 187:2	illness	important	improvement
97:14 115:17	203:1 244:2,13	104:9	16:13 49:14,18	235:13,21
129:13 156:20	247:6 258:18	imagination	50:17 55:14	237:24
199:6 356:4	husband's	225:19	56:10 58:20	improvements
357:8	257:14	imagine	66:16 73:8	238:4
houses	hush	260:12 300:3	74:12,13,21,23	improving
222:10	326:7	immediately	126:16 134:25	237:24
	Husqvarna	209:6,11 275:5	140:14 141:7,17	in-between
Houston	273:21	286:2 310:2	142:20 222:24	194:4
148:19,20		immensely	223:7 261:11	
How's	I	166:24	302:22 340:6,9	inability
302:11,12		immunity	importantly	168:11
hue	i.e.	269:9	13:13 16:17,23	inaccuracies
89:11	178:9	impact	262:10 340:17	338:21
huge	idea	32:25 34:20	imposing	inadmissable
39:21 233:18	23:12 48:24	45:18 53:18	168:14	264:3
274:20 282:7,25	58:15 151:13,14	55:13 71:4 88:8	impoverished	inappropriate
283:4	162:2,10 168:3	impacted	102:18	149:19 176:24
Hugh	226:14 227:14,	118:23 131:14	impressed	177:4
99:18	15 279:5 311:22	impacting	98:19 128:15	inappropriately
human	326:18	219:15	210:7	150:25
88:7,13 179:11	ideas	impartial	impression	incarceration
humanity	117:20	96:12 150:11	41:12 313:4	338:22
13:15		70.12 130.11		

			1	
incentive	incorrect	inevitable	input	19:10 28:1
234:25	280:10	212:2	22:14 51:5	141:21 262:8
Inches	increase	influenced	54:21,22 200:12	intelligent
300:8	95:10	215:1	208:5	150:11
incident	increased	informally	inquire	intemperate
210:20	234:4	254:4	75:20	41:5
include	incredible	information	inquiry	intend
145:14 248:11	73:16	59:20 70:10	93:24 108:6	45:12
included	incredibly	195:2 196:23	124:21	intended
10:10 13:7	210:5	197:12 211:19	inquisition	178:6 253:16
31:16 93:4,25	incumbent	213:10 230:21	215:14	intent
98:18 99:23	139:21	235:8 246:5	insane	14:12,19 37:9,
108:7 124:22	independent	251:14 280:16	275:6	16 80:9,17
146:14 247:23	65:6,15 236:24	288:19 302:25	insanity	97:15,22
264:25 280:14	237:5 261:20	309:2 320:12	308:18	115:18,25
includes	353:14	327:1 337:23	insight	129:15,23
32:4 184:18	independently	341:16 351:17	166:2	157:2,9 199:7,
186:18	301:25 302:22	358:15	insinuate	15 356:10,17
including	indepth	infraction	342:3	interact
14:15 37:12	190:13	344:12	insistence	60:21 169:8
77:12 80:13	indicating	infractions	335:25	205:19
97:18 99:16	192:13	344:11	insisting	interacted
115:21 129:19	indication	infrastructure	237:1	170:3
155:5 157:5	104:5 214:19	133:25 134:3	inspecting	interaction
199:11 261:21	227:21	initial	70:2	162:14 231:25
272:4 332:13	indictment	33:21 69:11	instance	interactions
356:13	220:4	176:6 278:4	59:20 336:10	43:20 57:20,21
income	indigent	347:9	instruct	interacts
331:17,19	70:25	initially	335:16	58:23
incompatible	indiscretions	153:8 312:23	instructed	interest
164:18	347:9	331:11	333:18 334:10	10:14 32:8
inconsistent	individual	initiated	instructions	77:17 94:4
218:7	13:14 151:4	277:19	114:23 115:9	96:24 101:14
inconvenienced	340:22 341:15	injure	300:23	108:12 110:24
36:16	347:11	262:1	instrumental	113:18,24
incorporated	individually	injured	119:8 231:9	125:2,6 131:9
15:16 38:14	229:23	338:19	insurance	145:18 159:12
98:25 117:3	individuals	injuries	154:11 173:22	169:20 186:23
130:24 155:2	166:3 345:23	154:10		195:3 235:6
158:8 200:6	indoctrination	injury	integral 246:6	248:15 281:23
incorporating	47:12	262:1		303:4,8,10
62:16	77.12		integrity	312:15 326:18,
	•	•	•	•

19 329:1 347:2	interviews	50:7 100:10,12	341:5 342:6,24	job
351:5 352:20	206:23	101:1,20 104:16	343:18 344:4	25:1,11 29:5
interesting	intimidate	136:25 152:25	346:8 347:19	32:21,22 33:13
235:17 279:12	72:5	190:1 213:10	353:20	50:3,6 54:19
282:3	intimidated	232:5,24 233:9	issues	59:21,22 78:7,8
interests	72:6 209:17	235:8 237:8	14:11 18:4	85:23 94:21
74:6 192:1	intimidating	254:6 255:25	33:17 37:8	104:5 106:20
243:10 256:24	66:18	257:5 266:18	38:22 40:7 49:9	112:12 119:1
interim	introduce	269:16 309:19	66:5 97:14	121:8 125:17
60:11 182:3,5	92:11,12 187:4	310:23 312:16,	100:8 103:8	126:1 136:2
326:22	258:16 338:9	17 313:6,7	115:17 156:20	137:12 139:22
interpret	introduced	314:23 315:18	163:15 173:5	156:13 173:21
65:16,18 211:3	351:10	317:14 324:5	197:9 199:7	174:3 187:25
316:11	introduction	325:14 345:10	210:18 213:4,5	188:9,14,16
interrupt	42:4 45:6	346:22 351:24	226:9 245:16	195:18 202:20,
202:8 227:17		353:3	266:3 299:15	21 212:8 224:23
231:15 307:1	invested 254:22	involvement	316:25 341:16	229:18,19
interrupting		195:14 298:23	343:2 347:8	233:19 242:13,
325:2	investigate 77:11 186:17	involvements		16 243:18,19
interruption		100:6	J	245:17 250:2
338:7	investigated	involving		254:2,18 304:1
intervene	32:3 93:23	81:20 95:22	Jackson	327:3,14 332:15
15:1 37:22	108:5 124:20 145:12 352:13	156:5 256:9,19	256:12	jobs
80:24 98:4		isolated	jail	27:14 54:20
102:23 116:7	investigation	59:9 225:25	100:23 267:7	152:5
119:10,14,19	10:8 15:15 38:13 98:24	isolation	JAM	Jody
130:5 157:16	117:2 130:23	225:12	77:2,4,7	187:5,6
356:25		issue	James	Joe
intervention	158:7 197:1 200:5 248:9	18:7 39:2,11	123:14 124:15,	11:13 12:1
326:5 333:16	269:8,15 282:1,	82:22 83:2 84:8	17 232:11	250:14
interview	2 332:8 352:15	89:19 133:23	JAN	jogged
113:9 162:6,20	investing	195:4 196:7	76:8	256:20
197:3 198:6,10,	104:14	211:7 212:19	Jaycees	Johanna
18 200:20	Investment	221:21 230:13,	101:1	356:2
203:10 228:7,	63:5	24 236:8 250:24	Jeff	joining
13,15 230:15,16	invited	298:10 312:20	348:7	34:20 99:18
238:5,8 242:16	65:13	313:22 314:19	Jerry	357:17
251:13	invoke	326:21 329:19	61:25	joint
interviewed	73:19 163:22	331:24	Jim	291:6 338:16
29:3 197:6	249:5	issued	147:1 267:14	joke
204:25 227:24		271:17 309:25	Jo	29:12 62:25
	involved	311:9 318:20	204:20	129:2
	22:21 32:25			
	<u> </u>	1	1	I

jokes	18,24 29:12,17,	20 108:4,18	193:18 194:18,	287:7 288:5,14
225:6,8	20,23 30:1,10,	109:3,6,9,11,19	22 195:5 196:1,	291:19 292:2
Jonathan	13,14,17,20	110:21 112:5,	10,12,14,23,24	293:4,11,15
287:25	31:1,4,14,18	21,22,25 113:1	197:2,17 199:6	294:6,15,22
Jones	32:2,15,18,20	115:12 116:15,	200:10,13	297:6,20,22
107:2,6,12,16,	33:2 35:11,19,	21 117:8,24,25	201:6,14 205:8	298:2,13,16,20,
20,25 108:3,18	23,24,25 37:7,8	118:6,21 120:16	206:7 207:18	22 299:1,8
109:6,9 112:5,	38:5,11,20 41:5,	121:25 122:12,	208:4 209:24	300:8 302:5,24
25 115:12	18 42:18 43:7	22 123:2,8,11,	210:3 214:1	303:15,22
116:15 117:8	45:4 46:8,9,10,	19,20,24 124:2,	215:11,17 219:3	304:12 305:25
120:16 122:22	15 50:14 52:7,	5,10 125:8,10,	222:1,2,14,18	306:1,21 307:6
123:2,8 133:11	17,24 55:16,17	12,14,16,19,20	223:13 225:5,7	308:17 311:4
267:14	56:25 58:12,22,	126:4,17,25	226:16 227:7	315:8 316:24
Jones'	23 59:2 60:19	127:7 128:5,10,	229:25 230:4,25	317:21 318:9
116:21	61:23,25 63:22	15 129:9,12	234:19,21 235:2	319:16 321:7,9,
jot	64:6,19 65:22,	130:15,18	237:15 240:23	19 323:14,18
264:22	24 66:17 68:7	131:3,6 132:14	242:4 243:3	325:10 326:16
journal	69:7,10,17	133:10 134:17	246:11,20,24	327:16,21,24
73:20 268:6	70:11 71:5,10	135:4,16	247:3,8,21,24	329:21,22 330:2
269:23	72:7 73:7,10,17	138:20,24	248:8 249:1,7,	332:18,24 333:2
	74:8,12,17,20,	140:5,9,10,11,	10,15,18,20,22	334:3,15,18
Joyce 258:18	25 75:6,12,23,	14,18 142:4,9,	250:8,24 251:8,	336:15 338:15,
	24 76:2,5,11,14,	12,22 143:9,11,	19,23,25 252:8,	20 341:6,7
JQ	17,20 77:9,25	20 144:3	20 253:20	342:8,17,24
162:18	78:4,6,19,23	146:20,22,23,25	254:10,18	343:5,10,14,16,
JQC	79:2,6,7,9,23,25	147:1,16	255:17,18,23	17 344:2,3,9,17,
53:7 200:18	80:3 81:10 84:6	150:13,17	256:7 257:8,18,	18,19,23,25
201:12 203:9	85:1,4 86:20	152:12,14	25 258:13,14,	346:7,12 347:18
208:1	87:7,16,25 88:3	153:24 155:23	17,23,25 259:1,	348:19 349:5,22
Jr	90:4 91:15,20	156:17 159:10	10 261:2,5,7,23	350:2,3,8,10,14
9:9 10:1,4	92:9,12,15,21,	161:4 164:11,15	262:4,5 265:15,	351:4,7,12
153:1,17 154:13	24 93:2,9,21	166:12,14,17,	16,17,18,20	353:24 354:4
judge	94:10,17,19	22,23 167:8,11,	266:10,24	355:25 356:4,9
9:5,15,18,21	95:2,14,21 96:4,	12,21,25 168:12	267:25 268:4,9,	357:9 358:11,22
10:20,24 11:1,7	7,9,20,21,23	169:22,25 170:3	17 269:5,6,11,	359:4,7,9,12
12:10 13:4,8,19	97:2,6 98:12,20	174:22 178:21	18 272:10,14	judge's
14:10 15:9,23	99:13,16,19,24	179:15 181:8	274:25 275:14	23:3 28:7 86:25
16:1 17:6,17,20	100:3 101:14	185:16 186:1,4,	276:18 278:24	155:19 262:5
18:12,13,19	103:1,4,11,13	7,14 187:5,10,	279:1,6,7,19,20,	judged
19:5,7,9,18	104:11,18,22,25	14,16,21,22	25 280:3,4,5,6,	200:12,14
22:12,18,25	105:15,17	188:12 190:16,	7,9 281:22	judgement
23:3,4 24:21,24	106:5,11,15,25	19,20,21 191:6,	284:25 285:1,	49:6 113:4
27:4,20 28:4,14,	107:2,9,12,16,	19,23,25 192:17	10,11,13,20	114:5,6 153:9,
				1

			1	1
14	305:8 324:17,20	269:8 280:12		kind
judgements	325:11 326:11,	judicial-wise	K	16:8 19:21 23:6
114:19	20 342:9 347:22	11:11		39:11 41:18
judges	353:2,14	judiciary	Kathy	43:13 47:12,16
13:8,10,12	judgeship	19:10 27:15	258:19	48:14,24 58:15
16:14,16 17:2	183:17 245:3	28:1 58:25 65:6	keel	59:13 60:10
19:6 20:2 23:4,6	judgeships	69:6 74:24	137:7	61:1 62:17
25:7 28:5 38:21	244:20	175:11 223:12	keen	71:12,24 72:7
46:6,8,21 48:17	judging	261:22,25 262:8	30:3	76:22 86:20
51:20 52:16	73:24 74:2	July	keeping	117:18 126:17
54:20 59:7	judicial	188:7	220:18	127:21 133:11
66:15 68:23	9:24 10:2 11:14,	junk	Kelly	135:13 137:19
71:4 73:23,24	22 15:13 16:20	262:21	153:17,20	138:14,16 160:7
74:2 79:10,11,	31:21,24 36:4	jurisdiction	185:20 186:10,	162:14 163:25
20 89:23 95:17	37:2 51:18,24	272:11 302:10	12	167:19 172:6
96:3,7 103:19	52:7,8,22 53:5,8	312:19 315:15	Kershaw	175:18 178:22
104:14 105:2,9	54:13 58:19,24	jurist	292:6	179:7 180:7
109:19 117:18	61:14 65:13,16	79:2 127:10	kickback	181:14 192:7
118:2 119:16	70:22 73:23	jury	268:18 282:5	203:4,5 204:2
121:1,2,10	76:25 77:6	65:24	kickbacks	209:25 214:11
133:3 135:15,	79:17 80:6 85:8,	Justice	268:25	215:13 216:9,
18,23 137:22	25 93:13,18,22	34:18 85:25	kicking	20,25 217:4,7,
138:21 141:8,10	97:1,4 98:15	126:11 190:4	268:21	13 220:17 222:3
142:5,16,21	101:7 107:23	302:5	kid's	224:7,14 226:1
147:3 148:3	108:1,4,24		279:22,25	227:25 229:18
156:10 161:3	109:17,20,23	justify	kids	231:2 238:25
164:15 168:2,3	110:1 116:18	170:23		244:18 252:15,
170:4 175:1	124:13,16,19	juvenile	26:6 100:11,14,	21 256:20
191:16 195:8	128:16,17	34:17 100:15	18,23 101:3,7, 23 120:7 244:3	264:24 273:6,15
201:8,19 203:16	130:21 140:14	111:4 121:23	266:12 267:1	277:20 283:2
205:7,18 213:2	142:7 145:3,8	126:11,17	268:5,11,22,24	293:17 302:9
215:8 218:25	146:11 149:6,10	147:19,22 174:21 175:5	271:5 272:17,22	303:10 308:13
225:11,13	151:19 156:22,	188:4,8,24	273:2,10,13,15	311:6 317:5
226:24 229:6	23 158:2 161:18	, ,	277:22 278:19	329:3
232:20 233:23	162:1 186:8,11	189:8,13,18	280:18 281:6	Kinlaw
236:7 238:19	196:20,23	192:5,12 231:16,20	283:16,17	192:17
240:2,11 244:1	197:15,18	231:16,20	288:11,15	kitchen
255:3,4,6	200:18 203:3	268:24 269:1	290:15,18,23	153:23
260:18,19	205:11 208:1		291:2,24 293:12	Kiwanis
261:20 262:23	222:16,22,23	juveniles	kill	100:10
263:3 265:13	223:20 226:4	50:8 110:3,14,	280:1,2	Kleckley
268:23 269:3,10	241:2 248:2,5	18 112:24	200.1,2	46:9,10
300:9,11 301:23	258:6 261:19	121:20		

	1	l		10-10-10
knee-jerk	lacks	late	77:14 91:8 92:2	127:18 135:19
114:7,19 115:11	340:19	97:12 145:23	94:2 105:21	136:3 142:11,19
265:22	ladies	146:2 154:16	108:9 124:24	147:11,23
knew	163:5 177:15	175:18 191:12	145:16 156:11	148:16,21
41:10 57:10	261:6 266:21	192:24 193:1,2	184:20,24	149:2,18,20,21
60:25 163:9	267:12 268:3,21	258:15 260:10	186:20 246:16	152:25 159:1
167:2,4,12,13,	272:3 279:24	273:14 339:19	248:13 358:25	162:12 163:19
14,15 224:5	lady	351:18 359:7	lawsuit	164:17,20
328:22	26:12,18	laughing	34:2 69:12	174:12 175:5
knock	laid	162:7	95:15,19 152:25	177:3,6,14,19
170:7	12:14 355:21	law	153:3,13 154:1,	178:25 197:8,13
knowing	landed	11:4,15,18	4,9,12,15 155:1,	218:12 250:13
205:19 339:9	147:9	13:14 24:12,15	5 235:9 252:1	lawyers'
knowledge	Landrum	26:3 27:16,23	269:20,22	174:15
13:14 67:9	146:10 148:11	32:23,24 67:10	lawsuits	layman
151:18 239:13	171:9	69:20 75:16	252:4	263:10
252:4 267:1	lane	79:13 96:23	lawyer	leaders
292:5	274:1	99:18 102:16	16:7 26:25	28:6 267:14
knowledgeable		108:21 117:23	30:21 32:24	leading
13:13 16:9	language 224:16 333:19	119:15 122:18	38:23 46:24	60:16
96:21,22 117:23	334:11	126:9,12,13	48:21 64:21	leads
251:21		128:12 141:9,10	88:3 117:24	100:15
Knoxville	lap	143:15 147:15	122:3 135:24	leaned
190:5	106:16	150:2 152:4,8	138:25 139:3	63:22
knuckles	lapse	156:6,9,13,15	142:11 150:23	learn
170:7	170:21,24	163:11,24	151:5 152:23	126:14 147:24,
	lapsed	164:18 169:4	168:5 173:2,18	25 175:7 181:20
Koon 154:12	348:9	172:5,15,16	174:9 177:11	243:15 245:21
	large	173:8,9,24	181:12 182:25	
Koresh	33:7,9 66:21	174:18,24 181:3	184:3 215:16,20	learned
267:14	155:12 174:1	218:17 240:1	216:24 241:7,9	24:14,15
Kurig	231:21 234:6	241:4 250:3,5,	242:6 263:9,10	138:23,25
74:8,10,11,15	largest	15,17 251:19,21	269:20 274:24	171:15 190:6
	61:19	252:21 254:21	329:4	195:10 215:18
L	Larry	255:5,9,12	lawyer's	230:7,15,17
	153:1	256:7 257:19	283:11,12	273:1
labor	lash	261:21 262:2,6	lawyers	Learners
18:15 243:20	87:16	268:24 269:11,	24:22 26:8 27:8	270:11,13
lack	lasted	12 274:12	39:5 48:16	learning
102:11 151:18	152:9 173:16	281:13 300:2	66:18 83:2	193:11 242:12
162:5 168:9	lastly	314:7 332:16	87:15 105:5	255:4,6
lacking	13:10 104:18	laws	112:14 117:17	leave
71:1 197:10	15.10 10 1.10	10:12 30:4 32:6	118:9 121:7	30:15 86:3

			1	
115:13 145:24	legislator	level	97:21 337:22,24	listener
152:11 166:17	14:20 37:17	88:9 151:18	limitations	78:22
199:1 220:6	64:21 80:18	164:24 165:6	14:16 37:13	listening
221:4 303:6	97:23 116:1	179:20 316:2	80:14 97:19	133:2 168:13
336:16 357:17,	126:23,24	leveled	115:22 129:20	214:17 215:4
18	129:24 141:22	334:23	157:6 199:12	litem
leaving	157:10 199:16	Levick	356:14	84:21 147:22
82:11 359:7	242:1 356:18	269:19	limited	195:12,17
lecture	Legislature	Lexington	20:8 43:19	208:25 209:8
61:15	18:5 65:17	134:12,14	300:5 329:24	230:18,21
lectured	156:14	151:24	331:22	309:21 340:16
12:2	Legislature's	license	limiting	345:8,10
lectures	73:25	172:1	43:22	litem's
180:23	lends	licensed	limits	310:16
led	228:2	148:10	253:12	litems
338:18,22	length	lie	Lindi	230:14
leeway	20:5 215:22	159:18	9:23 31:20	literally
20:16	245:15 291:1	lies	93:11 107:22	135:4 189:15
left	lengthy	246:8	124:12 145:1	192:21,22 193:6
12:3 15:25	352:15	life	248:1	286:11 317:9
76:22 112:3	lesser	34:25 35:16	lines	341:23
167:3 198:17	57:2	104:23 112:25	20:7	litigant
331:10	letter	160:16,17	linger	33:11 34:12
legacy	14:12,19 30:3	183:25 223:4	164:23	35:7,9 138:10
28:12 115:13	37:8,16 67:14,	243:16 256:11	link	142:25 150:24
legal	17 73:20 75:16	life-and-death	190:9,10	218:11 223:13
61:8,13 92:8	80:9,17 91:9	66:10	list	litigant's
95:10,11 102:12	97:15,22 105:21	lifestyle	152:1 168:19	98:22
104:21,24	115:18,25	102:18	174:10	litigants
120:24 142:8,	122:18 128:12	lifetime	listed	12:18,24 13:21,
22,23 146:20	129:15,23 141:9	120:24 142:6,7	84:19 190:9	24 33:9,14,15,
149:25 159:9	143:15 157:1,9	lift	257:9,15,16	20 34:1,7 36:5,
166:25 171:23	184:19 199:7,15	92:2	258:10 321:11	12,16 55:6,9
236:12 238:19,	231:6 232:13,17		340:25	65:4 66:17
24 240:3 273:1	246:15,17 355:5	light 64:12 74:18	listen	73:14 79:18
legally	356:9,17 358:24		96:13 166:19	84:5 113:16
305:23	letters	lightly	168:3,7,11	190:25 212:10,
legends	67:6 257:18,21	74:15	204:14 286:23	11 251:22
27:22	letting	likewise	300:18	252:15 253:9
legislative	30:21 72:11	53:24 165:13	listened	336:1
127:4	135:23 318:3	322:12 323:10	300:15	litigants,'
		limit		197:8
				<u> </u>

litigated	located	loose	229:12 233:21	lucky
271:13 324:15	273:22	58:25	236:20 242:5,20	11:19 252:14
345:22	logical	Lord	244:4,6,20	lucrative
litigating	167:5	118:7 327:13	245:7 251:3,10	29:9
322:19	long	346:20	254:22 269:4	Luzerne
litigation	11:5,6 17:10	lose	273:5,25 274:2	269:20
299:25 310:24	28:21,22 71:12	59:3 66:18	284:4 299:2	
313:15 323:2	73:20 75:2 87:4	244:18	300:19 301:9	
348:17 350:3	99:6 102:9	loses	317:9,12 320:11	
351:24 352:2	105:11 122:5,9	224:1	332:2	machine
353:13	134:13 160:16	losing	lots	74:8
litigations	162:23 176:5	215:6	194:10 325:11	mad
310:24	185:17 187:16	loss	loud	225:21
litigators	190:12 200:20	281:16	264:9	Madame
62:9 170:8	219:23 224:4	lost	loudly	322:6
live	234:23 241:10	121:21 154:20	322:6	made
59:8 62:9	244:12,15 247:9	177:18 223:17	love	9:3,17,20 31:13
146:10 160:16	251:11 256:1	349:4	18:15 32:21,24	34:19,25 41:9
222:10 240:3	269:3 276:3,7	lot	34:13 50:3,6,7,	53:13 60:14,20
lived	285:23 300:14	12:7 13:25	10 78:7 90:23	70:3 71:22
273:3	301:11 308:7	18:16 22:4	91:3 92:12	76:16,19 92:7
lives	314:6 318:14	25:12 26:22	112:24 113:17	93:4 97:13
18:22 32:23	350:12 351:19	31:4 39:25 59:8	165:10 188:10	124:1 139:13
33:1 45:9 53:19	long-serving	71:11 72:3	243:20 245:18	144:21 147:4
104:15 111:2	51:21	81:24 99:24	250:6 251:4	149:14 163:21
120:2 134:24	longer	100:25 102:5	265:11	180:11 186:6
223:8 233:24	69:24 160:17	100.23 102.3	loved	188:1,21 194:1
243:25	164:23 175:19	115:7 120:17	249:23 267:24	197:3 208:12
	193:5 212:3	121:21 125:17	loving	217:11,17
living	219:6 234:22	126:1,13 127:15	56:6 90:12	221:15,18 238:6
241:12	300:4 311:6	132:6 134:10	low	242:7 247:19,20
LLCS	longest	136:17,18	15:8,25 38:4	281:9 285:10
257:10	152:10 205:10	138:23,25	73:13 154:8	291:19 301:15
local	247:6	138:23,23		335:16 354:5,9
43:13 44:6 61:6,	looked	147:20 171:15	lower 52.12.202.9	magistrate
9 96:3 151:23	10:9 45:20	184:4 188:13	52:13 202:8	17:20 154:6
163:5 229:14	55:16 117:22	190:24 191:14	205:2	274:25
259:12 333:11,	126:17 183:21	195:6 198:2	lowest	main
15	219:22 234:5	203:18 211:18	16:21,22	189:12 229:11
locale	240:1 266:6	213:10 218:25	loyalty	280:17
317:9	275:14 288:1	224:13 225:13	159:17 160:3	
locally	312:14 335:8,9	224:13 223:13	Lucas	maintain
311:19	312.17 333.0,3	220.1,11 227.19	140:25 141:4	20:5 36:14
	I	I	1	1

			I	İ
75:15 112:10	18 205:20	24 37:7,8 38:5,	Marine	matter
179:20 253:11	212:11,16	12,20 73:10	99:14	17:25 34:4
326:11,16	220:16,24	74:8,12,17,20,	marital	35:15 36:22
358:24	225:2,20 226:8,	25 75:12,23	90:22	43:6 48:2 84:1,4
maintained	15 233:23 238:4	76:2	mark	122:2,4 127:13
261:23	241:12 242:10,	malpractice	93:11 202:9	150:24 155:6
major	17,25 243:12	154:21 155:8,10	248:1	156:5 165:25
89:12 189:11	245:7 246:1	man	marked	179:9,15 223:2
256:4	248:22 250:20	34:16,18 61:24	76:23 93:2	261:4,5 274:19
majority	252:13 264:11	95:22 111:9	304:9	289:12 299:3
85:4 203:25	281:21 285:11	121:25 137:4	marks	324:24 345:22
make	298:8 299:18	167:1 267:4,9	16:22 17:1,7	353:3
10:17 12:16,17	302:17 309:3	279:2	53:21 55:23	matters
14:4 19:9 20:15,	311:4 326:13	man's	358:3,10	36:1 81:14,17,
19 25:20 32:12,	332:9,10,12	34:25 267:15	married	20 83:23 148:7
16 40:3,12	333:24 340:2,6			223:6,7 252:13
43:23 45:13,15,	344:20,23,25	manage 173:4	153:20 173:19	275:12
18,23 49:13	354:2,9,19		256:3	MATTHEW
50:8 53:17 55:8,	makes	management 70:22 100:1	Marsha	259:4
10 63:16 64:14	104:13 112:24	252:16	269:19	MBI
65:1,2 66:4,5,9	129:10 140:1		massage	148:16 151:23
71:4 74:5,18	146:25 207:22	manager	171:25 172:3	Mccool
77:21 78:17	243:15 261:19	70:13 273:21	181:21	257:20
84:3 88:19	269:8 345:24	managers	master's	Mcdonald's
89:14 90:4 93:1	making	69:23,25	171:20	218:17
94:7 97:10	32:22 48:22	mandatory	mate	
98:21 99:13	55:4 60:24	255:3	141:9	Mcdougall
100:22 101:24	62:17 65:1 66:7,	manner	material	284:22
100:22 101:24	10,25 67:10	17:9 18:23	40:8	Mcfadden
107:14 108:15	93:7 107:18	55:14 116:22	materials	104:12
112:2 114:6	114:20 120:4	190:23 252:16	10:11 32:5	Mcgee
118:5 127:5	124:8 126:20	262:7 272:10	77:13 94:1	123:11,14,19,
131:16 135:14	134:25 155:22	319:15 335:23	96:14 108:8	20,24 124:2,5,
137:12 138:4	168:13 188:2,3	manufacture	124:23 145:15	10,15,18 125:8,
139:18 142:5,16	202:20 217:18	273:23	186:19 239:12	10,12,14 128:5,
145:22 146:5	218:13 226:8	marathon	248:12 276:16	10,15 130:15,18
150:12,25	323:16	106:17	298:6	131:3,6 143:9,
159:14 165:7,9,	male	March	math	12,20 144:3
11,25 166:8	51:21 55:17	99:20 251:5	160:23	215:17 219:3
170:1 173:5	Malphrus	marching	Matt	Mciver
176:24 183:25	31:1,4,8,14,18,	82:9	248:18 258:8	38:17,18,19
184:3 187:7	23 32:1,15,18	Marie	340:8 342:14	67:2,3,4 82:19
191:1,25 195:2,	33:2 35:11,19,	274:11 281:4,12		90:16 168:16,
171.1,23 173.2,	33.2 33.11,17,	27 1.11 201.1,12		
	1	1	1	I

17 19 200.21	moota	113:8 189:8	metrics	minimum
17,18 209:21, 22,23	meets 146:15	197:24 198:2	165:20 166:5	25:24 215:5
l '		200:15 204:8		
meaning	member 50.22 140.21	205:1 206:7	mic	Ministries
342:8	59:23 140:21	212:18 233:2	337:13	182:4
Meaningful	228:11 294:12	244:20 246:3	Michael	minority
45:14	325:5	270:22 272:1	144:8,13 145:5,	138:17 162:12,
means	members	279:13 296:23	7,9 155:2	13
71:19,20 103:10	14:12,16,25	317:18 320:1	274:14,15	minors
208:16 210:14	37:9,13,21 56:8	321:3,4,10	microphone	84:20,25
244:6 260:21	76:3 80:10,14,	326:6 335:19	322:6	minute
273:16 293:8	23 90:6 92:4		microphones	213:19 217:9
321:10 324:13	97:15,19 98:3	mentor 62:3 142:19	339:16	minutes
meant	103:17 115:19,	287:25	microscope	20:18 21:9
113:23 338:5	22 116:6		214:6	38:23 47:23
measure	129:16,20 130:4	mentoring	middle	48:2,4,5 82:23,
228:23 229:2,16	157:2,6,15	250:12	39:15 240:16	24 83:8,11
measured	190:18 199:8,	mentors	Midlands	114:12,16
300:6	12,21 201:13	193:18	257:25	133:15 135:17
media	209:20 222:16	merit	midnight	136:11,19,22,23
269:14	230:8 245:9	9:24 10:2 31:21,	135:10	138:6 162:25
mediate	257:5 261:14	24 37:2 51:18	Miles	194:8,9 198:11
164:25	276:11 326:25	65:16 76:25	248:17	200:16,22
mediated	356:10,14,23	77:6 93:13,18,	military	211:9,10,11,16,
170:13 352:3	359:12	22 107:23	34:21 59:22	22 212:5,7
mediation	memory	108:1,5 124:13,	99:11,24,25	213:5,6,8,12
82:15 170:17	256:20 316:21	16,19 145:3,8	100:4 255:19,	219:5 220:10
mediations	men	186:8,11 200:19	21,24 256:10,	244:13,16 247:6
170:19	255:19,21	248:2,5 274:20	11,13,19,22	299:21 352:8
mediator	MENSA	275:13,18	257:5,7	Miracle
170:14,15,16,20	140:21	280:12	million	172:12,13,21
, , , ,	mental	merits	235:25 269:22	182:4 183:3
meet 98:22 129:8	15:10 38:7 80:2	21:8 24:5 47:17	mind	mirrors
	98:17 100:7	68:25	128:1 129:9	135:4
131:24 149:19	104:9 116:20	message	135:15 166:16	mis-impression
150:3 215:13	130:17 158:5	66:14 73:8 75:4	188:15 189:12	326:14,15
223:13 263:22	196:19 258:2	messing	207:17 217:10	mis-poke
353:23	263:1	338:8	298:17 315:23	348:20
meeting	mention	met	318:16	mis-spoke
30:24 100:12	128:21 230:23	61:11 206:7,14	minds	205:2
133:7	274:17	221:19	226:10	misinterpret
meetings	mentioned	Methodist		329:5
189:19	55:16 97:4	110:11	mine	
			61:24 353:15	

	 ,	1 240 40 242 4 5	1	
mislead	moments	340:18 342:16	motions	murderer
320:11	216:16	Morehead	95:22 96:1	266:22
misperception	momma	138:20	287:18 315:2	Murrell
253:15	111:11	morning	343:21 344:9	128:22
missed	momma's	9:3 15:23 31:2	moto	muster
66:21 111:4,6	279:8	100:12 125:23	270:17	55:20,22
165:15	Monday	Morris	mouth	muzzle
mission	23:15	265:15,17	48:23 135:24	48:23
172:23 173:5	Monet	286:20 291:19	136:12	
183:7	247:14 248:4,6	292:2 341:7	mouths	N
mistake	268:4 269:18	Morris'	218:12	
243:15 280:21	340:12,18,25	341:7	move	nail
mistaken	341:3,17,21,25	mother	94:23 131:22	74:21 138:8
50:5	342:3 347:8	49:10,11 85:24	134:1 152:5,20	named
mistakes	348:1,12 349:19	256:23 257:2	237:12 243:16	84:14 96:8
180:11 243:12,	money	262:15,16 265:6	274:1 275:23	173:13 252:1
16	111:12,17 112:4	290:16 291:24	294:25 307:4	names
mock	118:8,11 159:6	297:13 307:15	333:21,25	321:3
251:2	184:3 283:6	311:10 316:15	moved	Nancy
model	moneymakers	327:8 338:16,17	18:22 116:23	204:20
46:18,19 74:1	283:1	mothers	133:1 171:5	nary
79:2 166:22	moneymaking	70:24	192:18 264:3	161:9
167:8 231:18	268:12	motion	275:12,15	Nathan
modeled	Montana	36:23 49:6	304:21	248:17 258:9
139:2 231:24	266:14 272:24	91:22 217:20	moves	337:10,12,16
modification	282:8 304:6	249:23 283:11,	92:1	nation
259:13 266:14,	307:24 308:9,	13 288:6,8	movie	262:20
20 268:11	11,18 309:8,12	294:8 302:14	268:1	national
290:3,12 296:3,	311:23 332:1	306:13,14	moving	99:20 273:20,21
19 333:4,8,12	month	308:22,23	36:15 57:9	333:11,15
modify	23:25 44:11,14	319:2,6,17,19	67:19,21 135:11	nationally
290:13 326:21	52:18 61:7	323:19 329:20,	138:12 169:24	288:1
molesters	189:18,19	23 335:15	236:3 296:18	nature
297:4	months	336:7,8 347:17	multi	39:4 88:7,13
	24:1 111:21	348:9 353:4,5,	220:9	95:18 113:10
mom 258:18 265:7,8	173:1,17 182:3,	10,12,21 355:20	multi-books	135:19 138:10
279:10	6 192:20 266:23	359:16	220:9	153:3,18 154:4,
	271:24 272:23	motion-type	multiple	14 155:4 275:6
moment	276:5,6 285:24	299:14	57:23 212:19	nauseum
68:8 74:10 110:21 198:3	286:1,6 310:24	motioning	301:10 317:14	237:17
234:16	moral	334:8	326:5 338:21	
234.10	137:16 156:16		344:6,7	necessarily 19:21 22:5
			- , -	17.41 44.3
				1

	1	1	1	1
41:24 49:3 62:9	negatively	128:20,21	42:13 106:9	notions
87:13,16 88:14	211:3	132:17 163:18	123:6 137:20	168:14
95:11 98:22	negatives	232:17 339:13	267:21	November
156:11 179:9,21	55:19	347:21 359:13	normalcy	148:10 182:7
180:7 218:18,19	neglect	niche	340:23	nowadays
225:5,24 227:19	22:17,20 23:1,9	180:15	norms	117:18
294:7 316:24	50:9 68:11,18,	Nichols	147:25 341:4	nuances
344:14 349:3	21,24 69:10,11,	95:16	350:9	256:10,14
necessitates	17 81:11,20,22	night	Notch	nudge
193:9	82:15 101:16,	44:25 135:10	273:23	350:16
necessities	19,21 119:2,5	160:11 177:11	note	number
70:4	131:11 174:7	183:6 243:20	15:14 38:4,12	33:7,9 53:2 54:7
neck	232:21,23	247:9 339:24	79:20,24 85:13	68:13 79:9
119:9	neglected	359:13	86:6,7 98:11,23	81:14,19 153:12
needed	101:3 338:19	nights	105:25 116:14	159:17 161:23
21:11 131:20	negligence	189:18	117:1 122:23	168:21 234:10
147:22 151:6	354:3	nine-to-five	130:14,22	243:8 339:2
159:1,13	negligent	243:19	143:21 146:12	numerous
163:20,21	342:17	nineteen	157:24 158:6	95:17
173:21 182:17	negotiate	236:2	187:1 196:13	
193:17,21	165:1	no-nonsense	200:4 257:24	0
245:14 301:12	Newberry	35:13 36:13	noted	
311:16	171:2,8,11	71:24 116:22	84:13 158:17	oath
needing	news	nod	159:16 168:19	65:22 99:7,8
36:14 39:5	16:10 292:17	28:16	196:21 231:9	117:9,10 123:18
needle	302:17	nomenclature	notes	140:19 177:19
240:10	newscaster	256:14	115:1,3,6	297:21 351:6
negate	142:11	nominated	259:20 299:22	object
349:19	newspaper	56:13 120:19	nothing's	31:15,18 76:19
negative	10:12 32:7	non-profit	16:12	186:5 219:1
16:14 36:3,17	77:15 94:2	70:16	notice	247:22 327:19
54:8 55:18	108:10 124:25	non-profits	33:17 34:6,9	354:18
63:13 64:2 73:4	145:17 186:21	70:8	301:1	objected
85:15,16,18	248:13	nonetheless	noticed	280:23 283:18
86:6 91:1 104:3	Nguyen	210:7	99:11 100:5	319:25
161:10 167:22	324:23	nonprofit	159:18 171:1	objecting
196:22 205:1	nice	257:10,11	231:6	319:23
207:12 211:7	13:20 19:6	norm	notifies	objection
215:10 226:21,	30:24 39:9	29:4 314:9,13,	278:24	9:20 93:7
23 227:8 228:2,	46:12 62:22	18	noting	107:18 124:8
8 329:11	67:15 102:7	normal	232:18	144:22 281:9
	105:8 121:16	गण गावा		283:14,21
	'	•	1	1

319:21,23,24	242:19	officials	operating	294:6 318:3
323:15,16	Offender	305:18	62:18 226:15	319:15
333:22,24	100:20	oftentimes	opinion	opposing
351:10	offenses	48:5 70:25	17:4 132:2	164:3,6 252:22
objectionable	100:22	149:16 166:6	180:24 201:13	348:2,11
311:19	offensive	352:4	255:15 296:24	opposite
objective	113:6 136:1	oil-style	297:3	47:7 50:4 129:1
57:1 165:16	178:4	262:18,22	opinionated	228:10
203:7	offer	266:15	210:24	opposition
obligation	36:6 79:19 97:6	older	opinions	10:15 32:9 56:3
268:16	113:7 114:8	62:8 63:23	163:12 297:6	77:20 94:6
observation	150:18 151:19	105:3 177:14	opponent	108:13 125:4
79:6	192:4,15 193:9	on-the-job	55:25	145:20 186:24
observations	222:11,14	208:5	opportunities	248:19
11:14 101:18	253:4,22 254:12	on/week	14:2 20:25	option
obtain	255:20	290:15,21,25	86:23 146:4	190:15 249:2,3
212:23	offered	291:8 295:22,23	251:6	319:13
obvious	222:18 302:2	296:10 316:17	opportunity	options
263:11 266:1	offering	one's	10:17 14:8	102:22
occupational	184:14	306:14	24:22 32:16,25	oral
172:2	offers	one-year	33:1 46:14 50:6	249:24 300:11
occur	333:15	153:25	61:1,12,17	301:11 354:9
106:4 330:11	offhand	ongoing	73:15 75:14	orally
354:22	225:25	259:12	84:3,16 94:23	301:15
occurred	office	open	104:1 105:7,18	orange
153:17 207:22	30:16 33:13,20	25:13 59:13	122:11,15	160:8
312:23 347:25	34:5 82:13	60:22 63:14	143:13 147:6	order
349:23	84:22 95:12	106:1 122:24	165:10 181:9	33:16 114:25
occurring	153:10 163:6	135:24 136:12	187:7 197:20	147:16 214:12
238:3	192:19 213:1	143:22 163:4	206:20 229:20	271:16,20,21,23
occurs	225:8 231:4,23	185:6 206:4	245:19 248:22	272:20,25
241:21	278:22 305:22	222:12 229:15,	250:6,7,13	273:11 274:3,13
October	355:1,7	24 301:10,13	289:2 298:2,4	275:19,24 276:1
61:7 182:7,8	officer	353:19	301:3 310:6	277:6,8,12
230:17 335:10	126:25	opened	354:19 358:14	278:5 282:9
odd	offices	173:19 309:1	oppose	288:15 289:11
312:2	193:6	opening	309:3 352:21	298:22,25
off-the-grid	official	10:17 32:12,16	opposed	300:24 301:2,4,
281:15	276:6 304:23	77:21 94:8,14	21:8 72:11 88:1	14 302:4,7,21
offended	officially	108:15 114:17	135:16 149:11	303:4,5,11
166:9 239:7	275:1 291:1	125:5 187:7,8	179:23 212:24	304:5,7,10,20
100.7 237.1	294:23	248:23 249:3	216:13 220:19	308:9 309:1,10,

14,25 310:1,15,	314:19 316:11,	overreacted	Palmetto	Parise
25 311:9,13	23 326:21	267:20	101:2	348:2
312:3,6 313:22	341:5,7 342:10	oversee	pan	part
314:22 315:1,6,	352:2 354:15	266:16,20	182:25	9:20 27:14 49:7
9 316:1,3,6,8,	organization	312:18	panel	76:19 86:21
10,13,25 317:10	183:4 270:10	overseeing	218:2 342:16	89:21 91:8
318:20 319:4	333:3,7,11,15	315:12	344:8	107:18 112:16
320:1,7,16	organizational	oversees	paper	114:20 124:9
321:5,9,11	100:1	306:3	141:10,12	126:10 128:2
324:20 325:9	organizations	oversight	papers	141:20 156:13
326:4,10	168:20,21,23	314:10,19 315:8	34:2	165:20 174:3
330:13,17	169:1,2 183:7	316:23	paperwork	186:6 196:3,5,7
331:2,9 334:10	original	overt	33:16 34:5	210:5 211:4
335:11 338:21	93:4 274:11	167:13	291:16	215:24 217:19
339:1 341:1,6,	originally	overwhelming	parade	226:15 246:7
20,21,24 342:4,	190:17 274:9	51:2	278:21	247:20 254:1
5,6,9,23,24	282:9			259:14 262:3
343:12,13,14,17	other's	overwhelmingly	paraded	266:12 274:15,
344:3,12,15,20	342:10	24:23 54:10	278:16	16 277:8 280:14
345:17 346:2,6,		97:8 103:10	paragraph	285:15 288:25
7,10,12,13	Othose 31:16	104:4 128:7	304:11	294:3 308:24
347:16,18,23		overworked	parameters	311:13 312:22
348:11,14,15,	outcome	69:18 233:18	42:13 315:25	319:6,17 323:16
21,22,24	14:21 37:18		parens	331:6
352:18,19	80:19 97:24	P	197:13	part-time
353:8,12,14,20,	116:2 129:25		parent	172:16
25 354:12,20,22	157:11 199:17	p.m.	110:25 119:21	parte
355:2,5,7	215:2 356:19	36:25 91:25	174:8 307:22	253:21,24
ordered	outlets	359:18	313:8 315:5	254:1,7,8
285:20 304:10	193:24	packet	316:9 325:21	268:20
307:15 308:15	outset	33:23 39:21	340:14	participants
309:7 312:10	75:14 90:11	114:21 212:12	parental	29:1
316:6,22 318:24	200:11 258:13	packets	66:11 69:13	participate
324:17 325:2,3,	337:22	33:14 211:22	119:11,20	11:25 149:18
16,19 331:9,11	outspoken	212:9	262:19 263:12,	250:14,18 251:1
335:19 343:5,	162:13	pages	18 264:2 265:7	347:21
10,14 344:19	outstanding	300:5	266:9 267:16	participating
348:13 349:21	112:21,22	paid	285:20 314:12	11:12,13 34:17
352:19	150:12 191:25	18:12 72:19	324:23	184:14 251:5
orders	overbearing	282:15 331:7	parents	participation
82:9,13 114:21	151:2	pain	69:3 102:3	73:25 160:20
126:20 192:15,	overemphasis	260:13	119:10,13,14,19	parties
22,24 289:3	220:18		120:4,9 174:15	par ues

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	1
14:24 36:1	119:1 121:8	pay	269:5,19	people's
37:20 79:5	208:18 246:7	17:3 25:25	people	42:3 134:24
80:22 98:2	past	26:19 28:3 87:1	12:7,14 13:1	peoples'
116:5 130:3	10:13 11:16	111:17 140:6	16:21 17:5	223:8
131:23 137:8	13:13 32:7	174:12 234:4	18:21 20:3,7,11	perceive
157:14 168:15	77:16 81:18	282:10,13,14	23:9 24:21,25	211:4
199:20 293:3	160:11 188:7	paycheck	25:9,20 27:20	perceived
301:9 304:17,	194:10,14	118:6	28:2 29:22	45:22 73:4
21,25 305:1,11,	206:13,16,17,19	paying	36:11 40:23	193:12 208:16,
18 306:2 310:12	208:9 212:20	25:23 136:2	48:16 49:19	21 221:20 253:7
326:9 327:2	217:16 222:2	PDQ	53:13 55:13	perceives
330:9,17,20	239:7 307:4	9:13 81:14 93:4	59:25 60:21	255:13
331:2 336:7	341:23 342:15	146:14 172:10	66:6 67:19	percent
346:22 351:23	past-litigant	185:24	72:11 74:22	44:15,22 81:15
356:22	340:12	peaceful	88:5,24 89:5	184:6,7 224:1
parties'	path	153:22	103:12,21,22	322:3
299:8	119:13 243:24	pedophile	104:2,20 110:12	percentage
partner	313:9	266:22	117:15 118:2	204:1
161:5,6 163:9	patience	pedophiles	119:23 127:18	
174:24	19:25 20:3 31:2	297:3	129:7 134:1,4	perception
partners	76:1 85:23		137:2 140:15	43:12 45:23
152:4,9 173:13	106:8 123:5	pedophilia	142:12,22	197:25 221:11,
parts	143:25 185:17	267:19,20	160:22 169:7	12
307:7	207:9 209:15	Pee	173:3,4 176:22	perform
party	247:5 249:16	79:24 130:14	178:3 180:1	332:17
252:1 254:6	338:5,6 339:13,	peers	198:22,25 199:4	performance
264:1 296:18	18	56:4 176:3	203:23 204:24	59:14,23 60:3,
312:20 313:15,	patient	180:17	206:18 208:8	10 62:1,13
25 315:4 318:3	21:21 79:21	penalty	209:16 210:19	65:21 224:24
325:14,15	85:22 97:9	266:21,24	212:1 214:25	perimeter
330:13 335:3,5	190:20 191:1,5	pendency	216:12 217:16,	316:3
336:8 344:11	252:21	69:4,15	17,21 219:7,15	period
PAS	patiently	pending	220:11,16 222:9	44:5 75:7 160:2
263:19 267:16	73:16	14:21 37:18	223:21,23	172:22 176:10
		80:19 97:24	225:5,20,21	179:16 180:15
pass 55:10 22 60:9	Patten	116:2 129:25	226:10 239:6	182:16 192:17,
55:19,22 60:8	154:2,6	157:11 199:17	240:7,8,17	25 193:4 244:22
139:15 263:4	Paul	276:25 323:2	243:25 245:24	277:3 347:12,20
passed	283:1	326:11 356:19	256:6,16 265:18	perjury
9:3 262:24	pause	pendulum	268:13 272:4	267:11 272:7,9,
passion	202:19 332:1,7	240:15	275:2 302:11	19 273:9
90:12 100:7	pausing	Pennsylvania	303:11 314:21	permitted
101:6 104:9	329:3,6,9	261:10 268:23	358:2	254:3

			<u> </u>	
person	Peter	265:18 268:4,17	placing	19:19 89:9
62:23 63:4	274:21 277:18	269:18 281:22	71:1	121:15 252:24
70:14 113:11	284:21 293:1	284:25 285:10,	plaintiff	pledge
150:15 203:8	322:16,17	11,13,20 287:7	84:17 296:4	14:20 37:17
210:14 214:15	Phil	288:5,14 293:5	plaintiff's	80:18 97:23
225:9 228:12	152:10,15	297:22 298:2,	154:25	116:1 129:24
231:3 243:12	philosophy	13,16,20,22	plan	157:10 199:16
266:20 267:23	155:16 253:24	299:1,8 303:15	61:2 68:25 69:2,	356:18
317:19,23	phone	307:6 321:19	4 119:24 122:5	pledging
321:15 334:9	166:21 266:18	323:18 327:24	172:6 181:4	15:5 38:1 81:3
349:6	300:22	329:22 330:2	189:6 303:3	98:8 116:11
personal	phrases	332:18,24 333:2	310:4 312:14	130:9 157:20
9:13,25 31:12,	114:5 197:6	334:15 338:15,	319:13,25	199:25 357:4
22 35:2 58:18	physical	20 340:19,25	plane	plug
76:12 77:1,3	15:10 38:7 70:3	341:3,17 342:3,	267:2 308:10	69:25 72:2
79:6 92:22	80:2 98:17	24 343:5,14,16	309:7,12	point
93:14,16 104:21	102:12 116:19	344:3,19 346:7,	planned	16:14 40:3
107:10,24	130:17 154:10	13 347:18	319:9	50:16 53:20
123:21 124:14	158:4 196:18	348:1,12 349:19	plans	67:17 77:20
144:17 145:4,6	258:2	350:2 351:7,12	312:13	88:5,23 126:24
186:9 203:1	physically	355:25 356:4,9	plates	131:17 154:17,
223:8 247:19	84:7	357:10 358:11,	265:10	19 165:9 170:25
248:3 256:7	pick	22 359:4,9,12		174:5,22 177:7
333:2,6,10,14	29:5 41:18	Pincus'	play 41:21 79:11	180:9,10,16
personalities	73:23 166:20	340:12 341:21	263:9	182:20,23
135:6	318:4	347:8		183:2,5 195:18
personality	picture	place	played 231:9	197:19,22
170:9 240:24	34:22,23 214:12	52:5 57:21		218:23 219:21
personally	ĺ ,	62:15 120:11	playing	226:3 248:22
114:22 133:10	pictures 294:10	188:14 192:11	66:9	260:16 276:20,
178:8 255:9,11		193:20 198:25	pleadings	22 277:2,25
282:4	Piedmont	200:21 220:20	299:16	281:3 292:16
personnel	98:11 116:14	224:5 261:10	pleas	298:10,15 302:8
12:12 173:5	pile-ons	262:10 264:22	127:16	309:25 310:14
255:25 256:11,	28:20	267:9 271:18	pleasant	318:22 320:24
13,19 257:7	Pincus	275:7 278:25	21:21 59:3	326:13 332:22
perspective	55:16 247:14,	294:10 303:6	pleasantries	336:17 352:1
119:20 154:13	21,24 248:4,7	304:5 311:23	253:10,11	354:8 358:9
persuade	249:1,7,10,15,	319:10,20	pleased	pointed
205:20	18 251:25 252:8	350:12	141:25 210:4	280:9 314:1
Pete	254:18 255:23	places	251:16	317:7
322:17	257:8,18 258:1,	150:1 220:11	pleasure	pointing
322.17	17,23 259:1,10	250:25 321:11	_	

88:17 358:11	358:19	71:5 190:3	practiced	preparation
points	portions	203:18 229:5	42:15 46:2	88:10 114:24
106:18 354:2	340:5 343:15	234:13,14 293:9	126:13 152:15,	147:16,17
359:6	346:14 348:21,	330:6 350:15	19,23 172:16	334:22
policy	22	Post	174:20 215:21	prepare
137:16 306:7,10	position	264:23	241:4 254:21	110:13 174:19
political	39:5,23 40:1	potato	256:1,12 262:18	187:24 191:15
250:16	55:15 60:20	339:23	318:15	337:1
ponder	62:20 63:16,20	potential	practicing	prepared
324:9	64:16 82:6,7	75:21 242:5	11:4 16:4,5 26:3	25:22 76:11
	84:6 120:20	potentially	41:3 69:20	88:6 100:2
poor 36:3 54:13	135:22 143:5	60:21 105:23	148:12 172:15	114:22 115:14
58:24 79:17	146:16 150:10	122:20 143:17	173:9 176:9	144:17 151:17
97:4 111:25	151:6,8 164:7	215:1 218:10	177:7 181:2	191:13,17 211:5
151:11,15	179:1 182:5	223:5 295:6	182:20 240:1	216:5 247:17
223:3,14	188:15 189:5	312:22 317:19	250:3 251:12	299:23 300:3
, in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	190:17 196:25	pounds	252:18	preparedness
Popcorn 286:22	203:3 225:4	121:22	practitioner	150:4
	237:1 241:20		120:18 218:5	prepares
Popcorn's	245:1,21	power 70:4 118:11	practitioners	149:3
286:24	positions	261:12	27:13 105:9	prepped
Pope	40:14 102:5		163:6	255:6
185:16	164:17,20	practical	Pre-	
Pope-black	179:24	69:7 142:6	adjudication	prescribed 119:24
185:20 186:1,4,	positive	179:9 239:5	34:18	
7,10,13 187:5,	13:6 16:15	252:22	precedence	presence 209:16
10,14,16,21	18:23 35:22	practice	342:7,8	
191:6 196:14	45:18 54:8,10	12:11 16:3,8	precious	present
197:17 209:24	63:9 64:2 79:1	35:12 46:15	109:1	10:16 40:9 94:7
210:3 227:7	91:1 96:18	62:2 63:24		181:10 187:1
234:21 235:2	103:10 112:19	68:14 78:20	precise 340:3	248:20 253:13
246:20,24	128:7,9 161:10	81:15 83:24		301:10 352:16
247:3,8	191:22 198:16	96:10 108:21	preconceived	presentation
Pope-black's	204:25 211:7	112:6 117:23	168:3,14	61:8,9 154:25
196:23 197:2	243:16 252:11	121:4 126:10,12	predictable	presentations
201:14	positives	127:8 138:19	112:15 114:2	39:15
portion	207:15	146:17 150:2	prejudice	presented
29:25 44:6	possessed	172:5,7 173:8,	153:15	276:16 301:9
66:21 75:10	151:18	23 191:7 210:16	premature	303:3 312:13
91:6,18 143:12	possession	232:15 251:9,10	113:4 114:5	319:13 320:12,
246:12 327:17	289:4,15	254:23 256:2 300:2 317:5	preoccupied	15 325:25
332:21 356:7	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	300:2 317:3	133:4	354:23
357:13,18,25	possibly			

nuagantina	nuinoinal	nuohlom asl	206.1 207.1	nucliciant
presenting 82:6 316:18	principal 101:4 103:3	problem-solver	206:1 207:1 208:11 209:2,12	proficient 254:23
			211:21 215:25	
presents	prior 14:21 37:17	problematic 178:3 317:11	226:19 228:14	program
70:23 264:24			229:8,22	11:14 12:1
preside	80:19 97:24	problems	230:11,16	33:19 34:18
69:12 85:19	116:2 129:25 155:11 157:11	70:19,24 198:1	274:16 277:19	35:7 109:22
presided		235:19 241:11	295:8 307:21,23	231:14,24 246:1,6 250:14,
81:19 131:18	175:8,12 199:17 204:7 230:22	315:20 316:18,	313:19 314:24	17 259:13
president	236:2 254:20	19 354:20	332:11 345:3	286:14,18
274:22	262:11 289:9	procedural	354:14 355:18	302:23 320:3
presiding	290:7 326:9	96:23 274:19	357:1	330:22
61:15 70:11	350:8 351:24	275:12 287:6,14	produce	
84:7 101:18	350.8 331.24	298:21	181:23	programs
pressure	,	procedure		100:11,25
139:7	priority 156:3 192:1	62:18 79:14	product 341:18 345:21	189:23 190:1 286:10
prestige		209:7 226:16		
118:12	privacy	255:11 330:4	Productions	progress
presume	305:2,10	procedures	273:22	60:20 226:6,8,
18:6,11,15	private	147:25 194:13	products	17 228:24 229:2
83:20 103:24	68:14 148:16	207:17	274:3	progressed
pretend	210:15	proceed	profane	240:2
214:25	privately	9:5 10:19 82:14	113:11	progresses
pretty	132:10	proceeding	profanity	89:14
12:14 21:4,16	privilege	88:20 191:2	113:6,9	progressing
24:14 25:11	150:1 270:10	proceedings	profession	61:3
36:13 44:15	pro	42:19 190:22	104:21 150:1	project
48:17 112:11	13:21,24 20:22	process	177:20	110:2
119:11 215:21	33:9,11,14,15	10:6 15:1 30:1,	professional	prominent
216:22 263:11	34:1,7 190:25	12 37:22 41:22	15:12 16:25	294:12
266:1 313:24	212:10	45:9 52:20	38:9 51:23 53:6,	promise
previous	probate	54:18 55:1 59:1	10,22 80:4	115:11 261:10
94:3 108:11	154:18,20 184:8	65:5 71:12 72:7,	96:25 98:14	339:13
125:1 186:22	probation	14 75:11 80:24	116:17 130:19	promotes
215:15 248:14	111:21 267:11	86:22 98:4	142:2 146:21	218:18 262:7
previously	problem	116:7 126:15	149:8 152:21	prompted
36:9 41:20 93:4	23:18 84:12	130:5 131:20	158:1 180:13	165:24 277:12
311:10	89:13 102:14	132:7 136:8	196:16 251:21	prompting
Price	133:5,24 194:3	141:6,15,18,21	258:5 320:13	217:13
232:11,12	197:2 212:4,25	154:9 157:16	professionals	pronouncing
primarily	217:22 224:20	162:17 186:15	317:14	248:17
138:20	234:6 238:2	200:20 203:13,	professor	proper
	241:9 327:22	14,17 205:13,19	281:13	55:8 190:19
	1	I	I	1

	<u> </u>			
223:20	262:21	push	200:19 208:1	205:22 207:7
property	psycho-circus	23:13 179:8	246:22 258:2	230:4 232:19
42:11 84:18	272:24	pushover	259:24 339:6	240:4 244:10,
154:9	Psychological	71:22	qualified	19,25 245:1,23
proposed	263:1	put	15:9,11 16:23	252:20 253:24
285:11 354:12,	psychologist	9:23 11:14	38:6,8 52:4,9,	273:5 279:15
15	311:19	31:20 45:4	10,11,14,22	280:3 281:21
prosecution	psychologists	48:23 62:15	53:3,9 56:12	283:7 284:24
99:23	262:21	71:21 72:20	79:8,25 80:3	290:20 292:22
prospect	public	91:13 99:8	98:13,15 104:4	295:16 297:9
187:2	58:23,25 73:25	103:20 127:19	116:16 128:19	303:21 305:24
protect	108:19 109:12	138:13 148:3	130:15,18	306:25 307:11,
183:24 305:1	127:2 137:16	150:22 165:9	149:7,9,11,12	14 315:23
protected	171:20 261:21,	193:4 214:6	157:25 158:3	319:16 321:23
156:2	25 262:7 356:8	260:18 267:2	161:19 162:1,5	323:24 324:11
protection	pull	271:22 288:1	196:15,17	330:3 331:4,15
305:9	140:10 174:25	293:17 302:19	201:2,7,10,17	344:1 346:25
protective	292:21 337:13	308:5 311:5	202:2,3,7,9,16	questionable
232:6	punctual	335:11 337:1	203:19,20,21,24	151:11 341:15
protocol	191:10	puts	205:3 208:2,3	questioned
33:25 34:10	purchased	201:7 203:12	228:25 258:1,3	152:4
	84:18	putting	262:13 358:6	questioning
proud 24:12,16 29:13	purity	35:17 155:25	qualify	15:16 38:15
34:14 104:13	90:11	308:10 355:8	146:21 224:21	71:12 98:25
161:24 250:19	purpose		quality	117:3 130:24
	89:25 136:8	Q	89:23 240:7	135:14 158:8
prove 272:7 349:22	231:1 259:24		quarter	200:6 215:15
	318:13 339:6	Q.and	160:14	280:12
provide		320:16	question	questionnaire
20:25 70:17 169:2 230:21	purposes 328:20	qualification	17:8 22:16 23:2	9:14,25 31:12,
		116:18 203:8	28:15 35:4	22 76:12 77:1,4
232:2,9 270:13 298:4 332:4	pursuant 105:19 122:16	qualifications	47:15 52:4	92:22 93:14,17
	143:13 246:13	15:10 38:7 52:8	56:11 57:5	107:10,24
provided		53:8 80:2 93:23	60:16 61:5	123:21 124:14
299:2	pursue	98:17 106:2	64:25 67:18	144:18 145:4,7
providential	135:22 159:12 163:25 179:22	108:6 116:19	68:10,19 72:16	186:9 194:17
56:24		122:25 124:21	84:13 100:5	208:23 247:19
provisions	203:2	130:17 143:23	101:15,17 119:1	248:3
261:21	pursuing	145:13 149:6	131:10,13	questions
proximity	164:3	158:4 161:16	155:18 159:16	15:18 32:13
226:11 318:3	pursuit	165:17 185:7	163:24 169:21	37:4 38:16 40:5
psychiatrists	182:22	196:18 197:11	182:1 185:2	43:17 51:22
			201:9 204:3	54:7,11 58:13,
	<u> </u>			

14 64:16 77:22	quiet	177:10 242:5	227:1,5,9 230:1	rated
80:8 81:7,10	84:19	245:11 249:2	234:17 244:7,9	161:21 175:25
82:18 86:16	quit	269:1	246:10,21,25	358:4
90:5 91:6 94:15	17:24	Rand	247:4,11,17,22,	rating
99:2,10 101:10	quo	267:10	25 248:8 249:5,	176:6,10
105:14 109:4,7	326:11,14,16,	randomly	8,11 258:12,21,	ratings
117:4,6 120:14	17,19,21	299:9	24 259:2,7	176:2
122:13 125:10	quote	Randy	264:6,9,25	rational
129:13 131:1,3	190:20 196:21	267:10	265:4 268:7	167:18 168:7
132:16 140:3	341:3	range	270:1,4,6 271:9	rationale
143:8 146:4,6	quote/unquote	169:12	276:10 278:12	295:3
158:10,14	59:5	ranging	283:23,25	rationally
160:19 161:21	quoted	164:14	289:22 294:1	138:15 179:9
166:21 169:18	154:18 304:18	RANKIN	297:8,14,16,20,	re-associate
175:15 184:12	134.10 304.10		23 298:12,14,	267:5
195:1,13 196:5,		9:1,12,16,19,22 10:5,22 15:19	18,21,23 299:5	
6,8 200:8,10	R	19:15 22:9 24:8,	307:1,3,9	re-litigate
207:4 209:20	radio	19:13 22:9 24:8,	309:17 311:2	303:18 318:11
211:23 222:7	168:21,25	1 ' '	318:7 321:17,	327:1 331:24
230:6,8,25	railroad	29:13,18,21,24	21,25 322:5,10,	339:7
234:15 246:11	136:15	30:11,14,19	15,18,22 323:1,	re-pour
248:24 249:12		31:1,5,11,15,19 32:2 36:23 37:1	4,7,10,13,19,23	274:4
271:11 276:11	raise		324:2 327:2,6,	reach
279:3 284:2	9:6 31:5 76:6	38:17 41:16	13,21,25 328:3,	41:10 179:23
289:25 332:20	92:16 107:3	50:13 58:10	6,8,11,14,17	250:20 322:11
337:21 342:21	123:12 144:10	64:4 67:2 68:4	329:14 332:19	323:10
347:14,15	185:18 247:12	71:8 73:2,11	334:17 336:15,	reached
350:24 354:1	337:14	74:10,13,19,23	23 337:4,11,13,	153:23 180:16
355:24 356:4,6	raised	75:1,13,24 76:5,	19 338:2,7,11	277:3 288:22
357:8,9 358:14	15:15 38:13	11,15,18,21 77:9 78:2 90:4,8	339:21 342:18	343:2
359:1	98:24 110:25	· ·	345:14 350:24	reactions
quick	117:2 130:23	91:5,16,22	351:2,4,8,15	265:22
114:6 240:12	158:7 167:13	144:7,10,16,20, 25 145:11 146:3	354:6 355:24	read
261:18 276:14	192:13 194:12		356:2 357:9,12,	39:15 40:2,3,4,
321:22 323:14	195:4,19 197:18	158:11 160:5	23,25 358:13,23	11,14 48:18
338:7	200:5	168:16 169:15	359:5,10,15	49:16,19 54:15
quickest	raises	175:16 181:20,	rare	83:9,19 96:14
166:7	304:4	23,25 184:11,	156:19	136:12 175:1
quickly	raising	18,23 185:5,11,	rarely	198:8,9 211:19
27:4 39:6 111:2	167:18 338:17	16,23 186:2,5,	342:9	212:9 213:11
138:1 155:23	ran	14 187:6,12,15,	rate	214:16 260:17
223:11 243:9	18:3 120:17	18 200:9 209:19	154:22 234:2	261:17 263:8
354:8	172:19 173:5	213:23 221:24		266:1 276:14
	1	I	I	1

285:18 287:17	reason	61:20 78:23	333:22 335:18	124:9 129:2
291:18 300:10	85:11 87:22	80:18 85:5 94:5	344:24	130:22 143:22
314:8 321:14	88:8 152:11	96:16 97:23	recollections	144:21 146:8,
328:15 337:20,	167:5 171:5	112:16,17 116:1	335:14	13,14 158:6
23 352:10	188:13 196:10	128:5 129:24	recommend	185:5,25 186:6,
353:19	214:17 267:19	150:6 157:10	22:22 81:23	16 200:4
readily	281:11 308:1	191:19 197:12	119:6 232:25	237:18,19
63:18	326:17 347:7	199:16 200:12	recommendatio	246:22 247:20,
reading	349:17 354:18	201:6 204:17,24	n	23 260:17
20:7 40:7,8	reasonable	248:16 252:8	131:16 132:4	267:17,19
215:4 304:10	330:10 331:19	258:8 290:10	257:19,22	268:14 270:1,2
351:16	332:5	298:3 300:25	309:19	279:23 280:1,8,
reads	reasoned	302:25 317:13	recommendatio	10,13 281:8
210:2	252:23	348:4,10,14	ns	282:4 283:9,15
ready	reasons	356:18	13:18 120:18	285:3,10,13,14
9:5 25:22 88:5	72:17 188:13	receiving	352:22	288:23,24 289:2
109:6 131:21	189:3 206:21	268:17 282:5	recommended	292:23 293:3,5,
185:24 241:14	reassigned	recent	284:13 290:23	8,14,16,18,20
260:11 349:21	192:18	11:21 189:10	301:22 308:16	294:5,14 297:5
real	reassure	256:21	352:18	298:11 299:4
27:4 30:3	64:24	recently	recommending	301:3,4,10,14
133:24 134:6	Rebecca	52:19 61:7,11	308:17	302:20 304:16,
139:17 173:21	257:20 258:20	62:15 88:24	reconsider	17,22 305:11,12
201:9 261:17	rebut	119:7 256:18	255:16 287:18	306:1,13,14
285:17 321:22	270:21 271:24	257:8 335:8	288:8 308:23	308:6 309:1
323:13 334:18	recall	recess	347:17 348:9	310:7 322:1,2
realize	43:15 237:19	359:11	353:5,12,21	323:17,21
85:10 141:18	238:9 294:13	recipe	reconsideration	327:19 328:20
222:17 223:23	302:1,3,16	25:2	319:3,7,18,19	331:16 337:9
260:10,11	310:13 311:25	reckless	355:20	339:22 347:17
realized	317:13 319:11	342:17	record	351:11 352:9
86:2 173:1	335:6,7,23	recognize	9:2,20 10:7	353:19 357:21
266:7 271:25	336:9,12 345:1	54:21,22 55:12	15:14 28:17	358:1,8,20
272:18 283:19	recede	126:25 216:10	30:12 31:16,20	359:18
realm	332:20 358:15	219:14 222:17	36:25 37:1	record's
54:2,5 70:23	359:6	recognized	38:13 67:21	288:23 305:17
326:1 330:20	receive	57:2	75:25 76:19,24	recorded
reappointed	37:17 85:9	recognizing	91:12,18,25	198:7,20
352:15	282:7 353:8	55:4 73:6	93:8 98:23	204:11,13
		179:19 351:18	105:25 106:17	206:23
rearrange 219:6	received	recollection	107:18 109:23	recording
217.0	13:4 14:20	239:19 294:9,16	117:1 122:14,24	204:8,16,17
	35:19 39:13	237.17 274.7,10	117.1122.17,27	206:24

	refer		40.12.206.0	220.4.259.20
recordings 300:19		regret 253:6	40:13 296:9	339:4 358:20
	174:1 238:16		301:16,18 315:3	reminded
records	253:25 307:8 334:12	regular	343:11,21	256:18 339:5
259:11 285:6		59:14 60:3,7,11	344:10 352:6	removed
292:9,19,21	reference	62:18 174:1	religious	12:19 59:10
304:21 317:13	67:6 208:13	224:23	182:22 183:16	87:3
rectify	231:7 232:13	regularly	relitigate	render
239:8	265:3	249:25 250:15	260:1 342:15	155:23
recusal	referral	255:11 300:3	rely	rendered
155:16,20,24	281:24	rehabilitate	145:14 165:20	117:14
156:1,18	referring	120:4	166:4	renowned
recuse	194:16 201:2	rehearing	remain	288:1
156:5 255:22	205:3 212:15	287:24	106:1 122:24	reopen
287:20	307:6 346:5	reign	143:22 185:5	301:3
red	reflect	297:6	remaining	repair
224:15	28:17 129:2	reiterate	98:16 258:4	262:15 273:24
redacted	339:22 346:7	298:8 331:23	remains	repeat
338:17 340:22	reflected	relate	197:2	343:25 344:1
341:15,19 343:9	341:16 346:4	113:4 345:12	remark	349:13
344:20,23,25	reflection	related	103:8 142:4,16	repeated
347:9 349:6,7,9,	103:13	68:10 95:25	remarks	19:25
12,16 352:23,25	reflective	153:4 210:1	114:18 145:22	repeatedly
353:15	43:11	290:3	remedy	75:5 218:22
reduced	reform	relates	219:14 242:19	267:3
154:22	243:7 347:13	119:4 236:16	remember	replacing
redundant	refresh	338:14	12:21 87:20	121:10
340:5	298:17	relationship	113:25 115:5	reply
reelected	refreshing	256:3 259:12	120:17 134:14	55:19 358:2
11:10 33:5	28:21 29:3	262:15 303:10	166:25 172:18	report
77:11 78:12	refused	relationships	207:24 214:1	51:14 95:14
95:5 109:16	330:19	152:6 324:16	215:16 230:6	106:1 122:25
189:5,24 250:11	refuted	relax	239:14,15 242:4	143:23 185:6
reelection	346:9	193:23	336:13 339:5	197:14 201:11,
10:8 248:9	regard	release	remembered	12 204:18 207:2
reestablishing	19:12 29:1	106:1 122:25	278:11	228:12 230:21
18:5	67:23 73:13	143:23 185:6	remembering	236:25 264:3
REEXAMINAT	121:12 226:17	released	216:3	302:13 310:8,
ION	293:6 333:23	201:1	remind	11,16 315:19
295:18	336:6	relevant	75:14 91:7	352:16,17 358:6
reexamine	registered	41:24 263:17	105:19 122:15	reported
263:24	257:9,11	relief	143:13 184:15	38:5 79:25
		renei	246:13 259:23	98:12 116:15
				0.12 110.13
	1	I	I	1

130:15 196:14,	140:2,4 141:5	235:23 250:21	resolved	response
22 197:3,6	143:6,8,11,21	280:23 293:3	252:5 277:24	36:6 57:8 79:19
238:6 257:25	144:3,5 234:17,	301:5,13 302:14	292:24	97:6 113:7
291:21	18,23 235:3,5	303:1 305:10	resolving	114:8 128:20
reporter	263:14 264:13,	311:4 322:25	11:2	150:17 151:19
76:22 145:2	18 283:25 284:1	330:16 335:11	resource	152:6 161:17
248:18 279:21	289:19 295:16,	348:5	109:1	180:4 192:4,15
280:20 322:7	18 303:13,16	requested	resources	193:9 198:14
333:18 334:5,10	307:10,13,14	187:1 198:6	101:8,24	253:4,22 254:11
335:16,20	311:7 317:6	213:15 301:17	102:11,22	255:20 298:5,8,
reporters	323:20 329:14,	requesting	137:11 175:11	24 299:1 300:6
291:21	15,23 330:1	83:3,12	189:14,17	304:18 317:25
reports	359:17	requests	231:20,24	321:1 323:15,22
197:1 201:12	representatives	330:19	respect	351:14,21
203:14	336:1	required	62:2 81:25	responses
represent	represented	177:17,19 257:3	112:9 207:9	76:1 198:16
84:25 120:20	34:12 90:18	332:15	210:8 218:23	216:25 221:22
154:18,22 205:8	256:11,13	requirement	252:24 253:8	251:16
representation	271:14 345:2,5	310:15	262:6 279:13	responsibility
70:25 72:20	349:25	requirements	332:12,15	128:2 261:24
227:24 300:1	representing	100:2 110:5	respected	355:2
representative	73:14 325:15	146:16	79:15 103:17	responsible
15:19,20,22	reputation	requires	194:22 262:21	73:18
24:19,20 27:3	12:10,13,25	327:22	299:24	responsive
64:4,5 67:5,12	15:12 16:24	requiring	respectful	192:14
71:17 91:23	22:15 28:1,12	212:23	35:25 74:15	rest
92:1,5,14,21,25	29:19 35:11,13,	research	79:5 190:23	161:21
93:6,10,21	14,16,17 38:10	167:2 263:11	191:4 207:10,11	result
94:13 99:3	51:24 52:1 53:5,	264:22 265:11	217:19 251:21	72:14 153:2
101:11 103:1,5,	9,17,22 67:8	302:23	respects	154:3 162:5
7 105:14,17	71:23 78:20,21	reside	79:3 113:1	181:24 203:14
106:6,12,22,25	80:5 96:9 97:1	146:9	respond	210:19 216:12
107:3,9,13,17,	98:14 112:5	residence	13:22 71:14	222:18 260:4
21 108:4 109:3	116:17 121:17		114:3 203:23	271:16 339:8
117:5 118:18	127:8 130:20	146:16	207:22 208:12	346:21,22,23
120:13,15	149:8 151:11,15	resident	297:24	results
122:12,23	158:2 161:7	322:8	responded	54:2 200:24
123:3,11,18,20,	180:21 191:7	resolution	206:18 351:8	208:1
25 124:3,4,7,11,	196:17 222:12	127:22 343:3,6,	respondents	resume
19 125:9	251:9,18 258:5	8,9,13	161:8 227:4,6	37:4 328:19
128:17,22,25	314:8	resolve	responders	336:17
131:2 132:12	request	236:17	169:4	
	36:21 213:1,5		107.4	

retail	reversal	292:9 299:10	rogue	83:22,25 133:14
173:20	275:19	304:23 305:22	262:23 263:3	138:18 156:23
retain	reverse	329:21	281:15	213:17,18 215:9
272:11 315:15,	340:23 341:20	riding	role	255:14 285:5,6
17	342:1,4,10	233:21	41:21 46:18,19	300:17 305:3,5,
retained	reversed	rifle	73:6,7 74:1	6,20 306:6,11
317:20	265:7	169:12 306:5	169:24 179:14	318:1 326:15
reticence	review	311:7	231:9	343:22 344:10,
60:21	51:13 59:23	rightfully	roles	13 354:11
retire	69:3 85:7	131:25	178:22,23	ruled
27:16 28:10,11	211:14,17,22	rights	rolled	256:18 263:21
121:9	224:24 242:14	66:11 69:13	347:10	303:25
retired	255:10 259:24	119:11,20	roller	rules
143:4 256:3	298:3 315:17	rigid	160:18	30:1 79:13
retirement	316:10 320:17,	149:23	room	96:23 156:24
63:4 99:21	23 334:23 339:6	Riley	46:22 71:18,19	184:16 186:16
105:2 172:6	343:17 344:3	77:22 78:2,3	84:10 162:7	211:13 254:2
retiring	reviewed	79:24 80:7 81:6	327:3	255:10 299:16
166:19 181:9	15:4 37:25	125:13 130:14	roster	302:14 306:9
265:13	59:25 81:2 82:2	rise	90:17 163:1	334:7 343:21
retrospect	98:7 116:10	165:5	292:8	350:13 353:7,18
297:1,3	130:8 157:19,22	road	rough	355:19
returning	199:24 300:7,15	181:15 222:5	188:22	ruling
355:9	302:5 320:21,25	224:7 273:23	roughly	40:21,22 65:17,
reunification	334:21 339:3	277:2	46:3 278:3	18 66:19 72:14
119:25 303:3,7	353:12 357:3	roads	routinely	85:17 97:13
304:5 307:20,23	reviewing	134:1,2	74:21 330:14	168:4 195:3
304.3 307.20,23	209:24	robe	rubbed	253:17 281:16
312:10 317:22	reviews	74:15 110:8	128:23	285:12 288:6,7,
318:24 319:8,	65:21 229:19	161:13 166:18		8 344:20 354:9
10,13,20,24	rewarding	167:7	rubber-stamp 56:2	rulings
320:3,13	105:8 250:2	Robe-itis		79:13
324:12,13 329:4	rewind	207:24	rude	run
331:10 333:16	342:15	Robeitis	42:22 79:18	42:5,12 48:21
reunify	rhetorical	217:23 239:15	128:13 210:25 216:23 219:18	106:8,14 112:7
290:18	56:11		279:14	136:14 172:18
reunifying	rich	Robertson		177:2 179:2
325:21	223:3	55:17	rudely 238:19	180:2 203:4
reunite	Richard	Rock		218:14 220:11
69:2	267:15	44:25 101:3	rule	221:16 225:23
	Richland	103:3 106:11	21:7,8 22:5	249:21 286:10
revealed	231:21 290:7,10	123:6	40:17,23 49:3 65:4 79:12 82:8	339:16
197:1	231.21 270.7,10		03:4 /9:12 82:8	

running	sake	scheduling	115:12,23 116:3	77:15 94:2
27:8 47:24	264:20 265:23	250:24 251:7	122:20 129:21	108:10 124:25
55:11 88:20	271:3,6 294:13	scheme	130:1 143:18	145:17 186:20
123:5 144:1	sale	28:22 74:14	157:7,12 195:20	248:13
172:20 174:24	84:18	268:22	199:13,18	seasoned
212:17 216:10	salt	schemes	209:2,12 229:8,	105:8
220:19 245:1,5,	179:13	268:12	21 230:12,16	seat
10 261:5 270:19	Sam	school	246:12 252:1	25:13 28:13
runs	290:22	11:15 12:2,4	356:15,20	244:17 245:5
112:21 207:16	Sandra	23:3 24:12,15	screenings	258:25 336:16
rural	348:2	100:24 101:2,4	10:13 32:8	seats
18:8	Santa	111:5,18 112:2	77:16 94:3	25:17 27:9
rush	132:24	139:11 141:9,10	108:11 125:1	seconded
23:23	sat	160:3 171:2,9,	186:22 248:14	36:24 91:23
rushed	167:7 179:14	12,16 182:23	scuttlebutt	323:20
138:3	193:17,18,19	183:1,2 250:15,	63:25	seconds
Rutherford	247:7 348:11	16 270:16	se's	91:2
24:19,20 91:24	satisfied	274:12 278:16,	20:23	secret
92:14	127:22	17 281:13	seal	244:21
,2.11		290:23	292:4,13,23	secretary
	satisfy	schools	293:5 304:17,22	115:3
S	110:4	101:5 159:17	305:18	
sabbatical	Saturday	171:6,7 250:21	sealed	secrets
182:12 183:8	160:11	science	277:9 280:22	286:23,24
safe	Saturdays	171:5 262:21	283:9 285:3,8	Section
106:9 123:6	110:6	sciences	288:23 289:5	14:15 15:6
safety	save	263:22	292:13,20	37:12 38:2 80:13 81:4
194:16 208:23	132:9	scientific	293:3,8,14,18,	97:18 98:9
Safran	saving	263:20	20 294:5,14,15,	115:21 116:12
19:15,16,17	244:3	scope	19,21,22,24,25	129:19 130:10
36:24 41:16,17	scenario	315:1	304:12,25	157:5,21 199:11
50:12 61:22	42:11 71:6	scores	305:11,17,23	200:1 356:13
71:8,9 72:23	schedule	52:1	306:1	357:5
86:17,18,19	20:11 23:16,20	screened	sealing	security
92:1 132:12,13	38:25 133:4	52:17 145:12	283:15 285:6,9,	232:2,9
134:16 137:23	219:6	190:17	13,14 293:16	seek
140:6 175:16,17	scheduled	screening	295:3 298:10	63:17 119:16
213:23,24,25	299:9,11,21	9:6 14:17,22	304:16,20	245:3
224:21 276:11,	300:4 301:6,13	30:1 37:4,14,18	seals	
12 294:1,2,9,19	352:7	75:11 80:15,20	259:10	seeking
295:14 311:2,3	schedules	91:7 95:15	search	40:13 82:6 117:16 244:19
318:6 354:6,7	38:23	97:20,25 105:23	10:12 32:6	296:9,11,13
•		77.20,23 103.23		490.9,11,13

seemingly	335:18 339:14,	serve	serving	11 155:11,13
42:22 342:2	20,21,22 359:16	24:17 25:1 34:6	10:24 11:1	232:18 302:18,
sees	send	53:14 78:6 90:1	13:10 19:10	19 307:5 310:12
86:24	33:23 201:11	97:2 110:14	29:7,22 32:18,	settlement
segment	229:9 289:11	117:13 118:14	19 75:8 78:4	271:17 276:1,4,
43:12 45:17	312:4,5 355:6	121:14 142:9	91:19 94:17,18	6 277:4 285:1
selection	sending	146:19 147:16	109:9,10 125:14	288:22 293:22
9:24 10:2 31:21,	100:22 331:25	151:17 158:16	127:1 169:20,25	295:19 307:3,7
24 37:3 51:18	sense	184:1,2 189:4,6	182:3 187:21	308:6
65:16 73:23	19:20 127:6	208:18 211:7	188:11 205:10	sex
76:25 77:6	138:9,16 158:21	served	249:18,19	267:22,23
93:13,18,22	164:8 169:21	27:23 28:21	267:10 355:2	sexual
107:23 108:1,5	217:4 268:10	33:21 34:5	session	266:22 297:4
124:13,16,20	286:18,21	99:14,21 103:10	9:2 36:21 37:2	shackles
145:3,8 186:8,	308:25 315:12	118:15 126:23	91:24 92:6	95:23
11 248:2,5	320:4 321:4	141:22,23 173:6	113:22 359:16	shadow
self-	325:18 333:7	256:25 269:3	Sessions	272:6
34:11	sensitive	serves	95:25	Shaheed
self-addressed	214:10	116:25	set	277:15
355:9	sensitivity	service	28:12 33:10	Shahid
self-represented	45:7	19:13 29:14	34:3 42:18,23	274:21 277:15,
35:6,9	sentence	33:17,24 34:9	71:18 83:8	16,18 293:1,10,
seminars	192:6,11	72:24 77:11	105:11 114:12,	19 294:15,18,
152:2 176:13	sentenced	78:1 91:4,18	23 121:1 129:9	20,24 322:16
seminary	95:24	98:20 99:9,11	132:5 134:19	shake
182:24 183:6	sentences	101:15 103:2,6,	135:15 138:11,	72:12 239:3
Senator	85:25	15 108:20	25 211:9,11	
22:9,10,11 24:8	sentencing	109:12 110:4	218:9 263:23	shaking 46:22
36:23 68:4,5,6	192:4,5,9 243:7	117:14 118:22,	342:7 347:23	
81:8,9 82:18	, ,	23 120:17	350:11,12,13	shape
85:3 86:16,18,	separate 69:12 124:4	121:11 123:4	sets	63:11 89:10 184:10
21 99:3 101:11,	231:22 343:10,	125:16 127:1,4	213:1	
12,13 117:6	16 344:2,15,17,	131:8,10 144:2	setting	share
118:3,18,19,20	18,19 356:5	169:1 183:17	19:23 42:5 45:6	289:14 330:17
131:3,4,5,20	, and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	188:15 243:22,	61:13,14 133:16	331:2,5
135:13 158:11	separated 137:2 153:21	23 247:9 252:14	152:22	shared
163:24 169:15,		257:15 355:15	settings	280:16
16,17 176:21	separation	services	150:12	she'll
230:1,2,3	153:25	70:17 82:1	settle	145:1 272:11
289:22,23,24	sergeant	102:2 119:25	134:3	shelter
293:25 294:4	256:4	169:4 234:11	settled	110:12 172:18
296:3 314:1	Series	235:20,22 236:6	133:20 154:10,	182:2,4
	151:22	237:6	,	

			1	
sheriff	showed	signature	single	164:6 169:19
231:6,7,25	269:20	354:23	340:14	170:2,14,25
232:1,3	showing	signed	sir	171:8,22 172:14
sheriff's	133:13 153:14	77:18 145:19,20	9:15,18,21	173:15 174:6
33:22,23 34:5	207:9 292:8,11,	192:24 193:2,3	14:14,18,23	176:1,4,16,20
232:7 278:22	18	228:11 293:6,14	15:3,7 17:24	177:5 178:5,13,
shield	shown	294:16,24 301:4	21:12,15 28:24	16,23 179:4,11,
99:17 259:11	43:3 150:11	308:25 310:25	29:17 30:10,13	18 180:6,10,25
shift	297:1	319:4 338:20	31:14 42:1,6,16,	181:4,7,13,17,
161:25	shows	347:24	20,25 43:5,9,15,	19 182:6,11,17
ship	97:12 112:23	significant	24 44:3,7,10,17,	183:15 184:7,
112:7	269:11	197:3 238:6	19 45:1,10,16	17,22 185:4,10
ships	shrift	signing	46:1,5,13,16,25	186:1,4,7
350:15	324:8	192:14	47:2,6,8,19,21	201:18 204:21,
shooting	shut	similar	48:3,9 50:7,21,	23 205:6 206:16
169:12	48:24 272:1	121:10 135:5,6	25 51:15,19	214:3,21 221:3
short	273:7,10 275:2	142:6 171:11	52:12,15,21,23	222:25 230:13
74:18 149:15	280:24 281:5,6,	178:14 214:24	53:2,4 54:5	231:11,14
160:2 217:12	7 282:10	235:25 237:21	55:7,12 56:14,	232:10,12
275:8 324:8	shutting	238:9 239:20	20,22 57:13,15,	244:24 246:20,
339:13 340:3	291:25	343:13	18 58:3 59:6,15,	24 247:3,21,24
shortage	shy	SIMON	18 60:4,6 61:5	249:10 258:17
261:6	66:7,25	109:8 116:14	62:19 63:12,21	259:1 264:16,19
	sick	simple	64:2,22 68:12	270:1 271:12,15
shorter	111:12 171:16	100:24 270:17	72:23 73:1,10	273:4 274:11
39:18		280:3	74:25 75:23	275:9,10 276:2,
shortly	side 14:8 46:20 48:1	simply	92:16 93:11	15,19 277:5,7,
153:20,24	87:10 155:20	87:23 88:17	102:25 107:2,4,	10,14,18,23
300:25		97:10 156:6	12,16,22 109:6	278:1,7 281:2,
shorts	156:1 159:20 169:13 178:7	159:8 178:25	123:2,8,19	18 282:23
110:7	202:15 203:19	253:20 260:21	124:10,12	283:12,23
shot	211:16 212:6	275:22 280:8,18	125:12 131:7,12	284:3,6,9 285:4,
13:2 87:4 311:8	211:10 212:0	313:15	132:11,15	16,22 286:4,10,
shotgun	271:22 286:25	sin	143:2,20 144:9,	15 287:9,12,16,
306:5		241:6	19 145:25	20 288:10,12,
shots	sides 127:22 211:23	sincerity	147:14 148:5	13,18 289:5,7,
306:5		140:6	149:5 150:5	16 290:1,5,8
show	212:5 266:5 299:24	Sinclair	151:16 152:3,24	291:5,7,9 292:2,
34:7 152:2		135:4,16	153:5,16 154:1	5,15,17 293:23 295:7,11,15,25
207:1 216:10	sign	153:4,16	155:7,15 156:4,	295:7,11,13,23
263:8 269:25	196:8 315:9	166:12 174:22	20,25 157:4,8,	296:14,20 297:12,22 304:7
292:25 343:22	321:25 355:14,	215:11 219:4	13,18,22,23	307:12,22 304:7
344:10,13	15	413.11 417.4	158:15 161:6,15	507.12 500.12,

14 309:16,22	156:9 165:3	231:20	311:7 314:1	solicitor
310:17 311:21,	177:1 180:20	smart	317:7 323:20	96:8 111:23
25 312:16 316:4	210:9 216:2	11:18 26:17	329:14,15,23	solicitor's
318:5,10,19,23	219:13 261:3,9,	smartest	330:1 359:17	231:23
319:1 320:6,8	15 266:6 267:8	240:23	Smoak	solution
321:24 322:4,20	294:13 314:9,15	smattering	9:5,9,15,18,21	127:13 316:19
323:9,12,18	326:9 353:1	89:4	10:1,4,20,24	solve
325:13 326:3	situations	smile	11:7 12:10 13:4,	235:19
327:5,12 328:2	46:21 86:4	28:19	8,19 15:9,23	somebody's
331:14 332:18,	137:18 159:7	smiley	16:1 19:18	45:7 48:21
24 334:25	163:14 164:5	234:25	22:12 28:18,24	137:2
338:1,10	178:12 207:21	smiling	29:12,17,20,23	someone's
345:18,19	215:5 239:8	187:2	30:10,13,17,20	221:13
346:11,16	261:9 335:22		46:15 52:24	
348:18 349:17	six-month	Smith	55:18 61:23,25	son 30:22 122:2
350:1,4,21	277:3 278:4	15:19,20,22 27:3 64:4,5	63:22	256:4 266:13
351:2,3,7,12	sixteen		snake	son's
354:11,17,21	250:3 254:22	67:5,12 71:17	262:17,22	293:24
355:4,14,17,22	sixth	91:23 92:1,5,21, 25 93:6,10,21	266:15	
357:22,24 359:4	155:1 201:23	94:13 99:3	so-called	sort
sit	sixty	101:11 103:1,5,	297:5	39:3 111:5
11:15 103:18	34:4	7 105:14,17	social	133:25 308:25
173:4 187:3	skin	106:6,12,22,25	69:24 70:2,6,13	340:24
214:25 220:12	240:19	107:3,9,13,17,	82:1 102:1	sorts
250:17 317:6	SLED	21 108:4 109:3	119:25 152:22	70:4,19
327:9	95:14	117:5 118:18	169:6 234:11	sought
site	sleeves	120:13,15	235:20,22 236:6	14:20 37:17
345:12	240:18	120:13,13	237:6	80:18 97:23
sits		123:3,11,18,20,	societies	116:1 129:24
178:21 345:24	slew	25 124:3,4,7,11,	267:21	157:10 199:16
sitting	161:10	19 125:9	society	356:18
16:14 19:6,9	slot	128:23,25 131:2	267:20	sound
52:7 87:25 88:3	212:24	132:12 140:2,4	soft	118:5 340:5
179:23 191:16	slow	141:5 143:6,8,	263:22	source
200:13 201:6,8,	132:1 219:24	11,21 144:4,5	soften	219:13
19 202:14	slowing	234:17,18,23	201:6	sources
205:7,18 206:6,	131:24	235:3,4,5	sole	197:13
9 208:4 215:3	small	263:14 264:13,	311:14 313:12	South
221:13 232:20	142:12 162:11,	18 283:25 284:1		13:10 15:5
245:20	12,15 171:12	289:19 295:16,	solely	16:18 19:1 30:3
situation	188:7	18 303:13,16	179:15	38:1 51:14
42:3 43:7 71:3	smaller	307:10,13,14	soliciting	75:16 81:3 91:8
118:25 119:3	18:8 102:10		176:14	98:8 108:25

116:11 130:9	176:17 273:13,	spoke	340:10,11,13,15	201:23
134:1 137:12,17	14	111:11 279:5	standard	state
141:7,10,14	speaks	spoken	62:17 149:17	9:2 10:7,11
144:2 146:10	22:14 177:20	61:22,24 102:15	177:17,21 201:7	11:19 17:8 19:1
147:7 148:21	special	spoons	205:17 226:15	32:6 33:8 53:20
149:5 156:23	305:6	74:7	263:24 264:4,5	77:14 82:13
157:20 159:18	specific	spot	313:22 314:18	92:2,5 94:2
170:16 171:4	197:6 210:18,20	203:12	341:5 350:11	101:15 105:11
184:19 199:25	212:14 238:12	spouses	standards	108:9 112:22
246:15 261:4,8,	326:3 328:20	158:25 256:13	149:22,24	117:15 118:22
16 263:5,14	329:6		150:3,20,21	124:24 131:8
265:14 267:2	specifically	square	203:9 263:17,23	132:8 137:12,17
268:4,16	77:12 148:7	54:23	341:11 350:12,	142:13 144:2
270:14,16 271:6	308:8 309:10	Squares	13	145:16 146:8
273:15 281:14	319:11 321:5	129:3	standing	147:1 161:4
340:23 341:9		squeeze	119:19 147:21	169:20 184:2
342:7 357:4	specifics	138:6	163:2 244:13	186:16,20
spared	151:13	Sr		188:18 190:14
216:6	speed	153:1	standpoint 45:11 88:22	203:4,17 208:18
Spartanburg	154:8 175:12	stability	101:8 137:17	232:22 235:18
151:24 153:11	spell	15:11 38:7 80:2		243:23 246:9
154:7 172:19	248:18	98:17 116:20	Stanton	248:13 252:19,
177:6 188:5	spend	130:17 158:5	142:14	20 262:13
191:11 192:22	114:19 115:7	196:19 258:3	start	265:14 267:2
211:8 213:3	132:1 136:18	273:17	10:18 20:15	271:20 273:6
231:7,10 232:7	330:13	stadium	76:5 145:23	296:25 309:7
234:9 243:4	spending	30:23	185:18 189:24	324:18 332:16
245:25	44:25	staff	191:9,10,12	337:8 340:16,23
speak	spent	62:22 95:13	216:21 247:11	341:9 342:7,10
9:10 31:9 61:9,	30:22 38:20	142:1	276:3 340:9	343:20 350:9,
13 65:13 72:8	87:6 152:13	staff's	started	10,11
76:9 92:19	200:16 299:3,22	356:7	16:3,4 35:7 43:7	state's
104:2 107:7	346:17 352:8	stage	50:3 69:19	70:17 263:6
123:15 144:14	spirit	49:9 153:7	71:23 86:21	stated
176:12 185:21	30:3 75:16	307:4	99:20 104:11	86:6 97:5
247:15 259:5	78:14 91:10	stake	110:1 170:16,18	253:20 345:20
260:15 275:14	105:20 122:17	233:24	171:2,19 177:20	statehouse
293:9 295:4	143:15 184:19	stance	182:7 188:4,6	243:10
322:6 337:17	246:15,17	151:6	189:16 195:21	statement
Speaker	358:25		212:9 231:12,14	9:14 10:3,17,20
141:4	split	stand	240:1 245:25	28:15 31:12,25
speaking	54:9 331:11	71:14 109:6	270:11 272:15	32:12,16 76:13
29:4 61:15		314:15 316:22	starting	77:7,21 87:19
27. 4 01.13				11.1,41 01.19
	I	1	I	I

92:23 93:19	15 310:23	18:16	strikes	studying
94:8,14 98:19	staying	Storm	48:21 135:25	149:2
107:11 108:2,15	44:6 135:9	99:17	stripe	stuff
123:22 124:17	stead	story	203:3	89:7 124:3
125:5 144:18	56:1	49:20,22 335:15	strive	139:14 141:16
145:9 160:7	step	straight	64:19,20,24	158:23 262:16,
180:5,6 185:24	26:2 34:1	139:13	167:20 242:24	24 263:11
186:12 187:8	173:10 218:9	straightforward	strived	264:24 268:19
212:11 235:15	Stephen	79:4	126:22	272:21 273:6,16
236:19 247:18	173:14,18,19	strange	strives	282:11 287:24
248:6,23 249:3	Stephen's	312:3	191:25	289:15 291:3
253:22 299:6	173:19	stranger	strong	294:21
statements	stepped	316:15	151:5,6 216:22	stupid
19:25 84:3	172:20 183:14	stream	246:1	141:11 158:23
185:23	266:25 269:7	43:2	strongly	style
states	271:24 272:1,4	street	65:3 125:6	263:4 281:15
190:7 256:5	274:23 327:16	73:19 129:8	struck	343:20
263:7,15,16	steps	strength	113:10 118:24	styles
350:15	224:19 226:4	224:15	281:8	300:11
statewide	269:7	stress	structure	subcommittee
43:19 104:14	sterling	21:17,19 42:11	99:25	235:23
190:3,8	314:8	187:25	struggle	subject
stature	stern	stressful	73:17 205:17	146:1 184:15
240:8	190:23 191:4	187:24	struggling	subjective
status	207:10 211:1	stretch	55:24	165:16
100:18,20,21	stick	137:21 225:19	stuck	submit
151:9 326:11,	24:3 349:7	stricken	23:23 129:11	83:10 206:25
14,16,17,19,21	sticks	264:3 334:2,7	student	300:10 336:25
statutes	189:12	· ·	11:15 139:11	submitted
147:25 164:19	Stoddard	strict	students	40:3,4 43:17
174:25	301:22	184:18 358:24	11:18 12:8	49:20 114:14,21
statutory	stop	strides	139:13 250:12,	123:22 145:19
131:25 146:15	20:16 102:23	224:9	16	204:18 207:2
stay	181:2 276:20	strike	study	211:22 270:4,6
59:10 94:24	296:10	260:25 265:21	10:10,13 32:5	294:23 319:25
194:5 209:13	stopped	279:22,25	77:13 93:25	330:24 337:25
211:24,25 255:9	211:21 272:25	280:7,13	94:3 108:8,11	341:17 355:8
288:7 290:23	276:24	333:19,21,25 334:9,11	124:23 125:1	submitting
301:2,14 357:16	stops	335:16,20	145:15 153:6	14:11,19 37:8,
stayed	274:2	, and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second	186:19,21	16 80:9,17
217:21 234:4	stories	strike's	248:11,14	97:14,22
286:3,8 288:5,	2101162	265:21		115:18,25

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1
129:15,23	100:14	super	surprised	sword
157:1,9 199:7,	suffers	13:20 139:22	135:3 162:5,8	220:2 237:22
15 355:5 356:9,	264:1	superior	Surprisingly	sworn
17	sufficient	67:9	125:3	9:10,14 10:3
subpoena	82:24	supersedeas	survey	31:9,12,16,25
329:20	suggest	276:18 287:10,	10:10 32:5	72:19 76:9,12
subpoenaed	68:16 101:22	14 302:6 312:22	35:21 41:1	77:7 92:19,23
272:4 273:9	329:19	313:2	50:17 54:6,21	93:19 107:7,10
282:11	suggested	supervise	77:13 93:25	108:2 123:15,21
subpoenas	27:18 204:6	313:24	96:18 108:8	124:17 144:13,
275:1	255:18 293:7,	supervisors	112:19 124:23	18 145:9 147:9
Subsection	13,20 294:14,18	236:20	128:8 140:8	185:21,24
15:6 116:12	suggesting	supplemental	145:15 150:7	186:12 247:14,
200:3	313:13	315:3	161:8 186:18	18 248:6 259:4
subsequent	suggestion	supplied	191:21 197:18	272:7,9,19
293:21	34:3 293:17	115:9	229:14 238:23	299:6 337:16
subsequently	302:2	support	248:11 252:10	swung
319:2	suggestions	24:10 106:19,	358:1	240:15
substance	205:25	21,23 169:3	surveyed	syndrome
43:3	suit	192:7 236:1	201:13	263:19 264:2
substantial	84:14 96:8	303:1 338:10	surveys	267:16
340:24	153:4	supporting	13:5 16:11 29:2	system
substitute	suite-mates	83:10 211:14	35:20 41:19	22:19 25:10
174:12	128:22	309:21,23 310:8	57:14,17,19	42:4 49:4 59:5
succeeding	suited	supposed	78:24 86:21	65:19 68:17,18
112:25	150:9 152:10	48:20,22 49:3	96:16 103:9,19	81:21,23
		71:22 72:15	112:17 128:6	101:19,21
success 18:16 25:2	sum 220:23	94:22 125:18	139:20 140:7,8,	102:17 119:4,5,
successful		188:24 189:1	12 141:17	17 128:17
129:10 166:15	summarize 39:23 40:15	211:25 217:4	142:17 150:6	131:15 136:22
	39:23 40:15	222:1 282:10	160:21 165:19	159:9,15 174:17
successfully		supposedly	191:20 203:10	175:7 190:8
109:22	summary	220:18	237:16,17 252:9	209:9 218:18,24
succinctly	40:1,10,12 49:6 98:18 255:12	Supreme	suspect	232:22 233:8,
351:20		119:18 263:12,	33:8	13,15 236:2,11
sudden	summons	15,21 324:22	swear	250:5 262:1
23:22,24 272:21	33:21	350:13	273:14	system's
282:13	Sumter	surely	sweet	133:25
sued	16:4 104:11	91:16	339:13	systems
154:20 155:14	301:21	surprise	switch	63:5 262:24
262:11 291:12	Sunday	324:11	47:9	
suffer	182:23 183:1,2	J = 1.11		
		l		l

	talking	teaching	222:16,22,23	ten
	11:22 23:9	147:11 149:2	223:14,20 226:5	57:23 138:7
	38:21 56:18	team	228:9 230:9,24	170:2 172:5
table	91:11 117:15	189:19	241:2 258:6	176:11 193:3,5
153:23 181:6	134:9 142:5	tears	temperamentall	194:9 223:21
191:18	151:14 164:5	218:19	y	tend
tact	176:18 179:14	teasing	46:19	239:6
197:10	185:7 244:11	127:11	temperate	tended
tail's	265:24 272:6	technique	61:20	170:6
236:14	276:17 278:2	181:21	tempering	Tennessee
takes	279:9,10 284:19	tee-shirt	13:14	190:5
21:21 48:4,7	324:7 335:13	110:7	temporaries	tens
82:12 83:12	talkings		136:17,18	215:4
128:10 156:3	177:14	telling	temporary	tension
212:3 213:1	talks	23:5 50:5 105:1	38:21 39:10,16,	12:16
219:5,6 237:24	103:18	117:8 142:16	18,22,25 40:19	
taking	tamp	166:16 266:10	49:9,21 54:15	tenure
83:8 174:15	137:6	268:9 277:11	67:18 82:23,24	11:9 33:4 78:11
175:8,12 183:5	tango	278:3 279:7 305:21 312:6	114:12 136:20,	95:4 109:15 126:6 189:10
193:16 264:22	266:8	313:16 340:10	23 137:2,3	250:10
275:7 283:4	tapes		138:11 211:8	
talk	74:7	temperament	212:11 217:20	term
17:5 20:4 42:22	task	15:13 16:20	218:8 271:20,	33:10 65:23
57:24 62:4		36:4,7 45:24	21,23 276:3,5	168:10 169:3
104:10 147:24	100:13,21 328:25	51:24 52:2,7,22	278:4 287:8	189:6 245:1,25
163:11,15 174:1		53:5,9,24 54:14,	288:8 298:22	267:16 273:1
175:1,4,6	tasks	16 55:5,9 57:24	299:9,11,13,14,	terminated
180:22 328:18	192:14	58:19,24 62:13	20 300:4,9,21	257:16
329:17	taught	64:17,18 66:15, 23 67:9 71:21	301:17 302:21	termination
talked	148:16 151:21,	79:18 80:6	303:4,11 304:10	66:11 69:13
30:2 52:24	22,24 152:2	85:21 86:5,8,13	307:8,15 308:5	119:11,20
88:23 140:19	166:24 177:6	96:20 97:1,5	309:25 310:1,	terms
153:10 163:4,	180:14	98:15 113:4,5	14,17,18 313:8	39:20 51:13
16,18 181:14	tax	116:18 128:16	315:6 318:17,20	70:3,19 73:8
184:20 189:11	84:18 155:2	130:21 140:14,	324:6 326:8	85:20 86:25
193:20 194:14	Taylor	16 149:10 158:3	331:9 339:1	131:16 138:17
195:4 215:14	274:14,15	161:18 162:2	342:4,5,6,24	160:19 163:22
220:23 224:8	Taylor's	167:10 178:18	343:14,21	164:8 165:16
225:5 238:3	353:24	191:24 196:20,	344:10 346:1,7,	178:17,19
290:2	teach	23 197:2,15,19	9,13 347:16,18	179:20,22
talkers	148:21	205:4,12	348:23 351:23	180:13,18
135:19	teacher	207:15,16	352:2,6,12,14	183:17 205:12,
	182:24 183:1,2	207.13,10	353:3,14,17	17 215:1 216:23
			, , , -	

278:2 311:14	theme	101:7 113:14	20 164-11 12	thinty aight
314:11 322:10	207:12,15	122:4 132:25	20 164:11,12, 14,15,21 166:6	thirty-eight 282:20
	· ·	134:16 138:1	167:1 173:2	
358:4,8,17	themes	140:13,18	175:2,20,24	thirty-five
terrible	227:20	140.13,18	173.2,20,24	19:18 205:9
89:11	theory	150:25 167:6	180:2 188:16	thirty-minute
terrific	263:19	174:25 175:4	190:2 193:13,21	212:24 213:14
100:11 150:10	therapeutic	189:12 191:3	190.2 193.13,21	299:11
test	326:4	209:11 212:16	197:24 198:9,11	thirty-plus
201:8	therapies	213:13 214:5	206:17 208:7,22	177:7
testifies	320:14 325:18		212:17 213:8	thirty-three
9:11 31:10	therapist	215:10,12 216:24 217:20		274:24
76:10 92:20	171:25 172:2,4		214:2,12,23	thirty-two
107:8 123:16	259:12 266:17	222:19,20	215:16 217:7	99:22
144:15 185:22	283:1 284:12	223:11 224:6	220:9 221:1	Thomas
247:16 259:6	290:17 291:21	227:16,18	224:8 227:21	95:16
337:18	302:23 307:16,	237:17 240:21	231:2 233:1	Thomason
testify	21 308:16	241:1 244:14	234:13,14 235:3	204:20
10:16 77:18	312:17 317:22	262:18 267:12	238:25 240:24	thought
94:7 108:14	therapists	278:11 293:15	245:14,18,22,25	58:6 111:7
125:5 145:21	262:18,22	296:22 300:16	246:3 255:8	115:5 158:18
232:4 248:21	266:9,16 268:9	317:5,25 321:10	256:7 261:2	159:1 162:6
258:10 260:6	272:5 290:22	322:13 328:22	268:9 277:20	166:23 170:5
299:18 339:9	307:18 310:3	329:16 339:4	282:3,5 290:16	195:18 204:12
testifying	317:21	345:25 352:9	295:5 298:8,9	249:22 268:23
339:17	therapy	things	300:18 305:8,10	287:17 293:10
testimony	172:3,8 262:19	12:22 19:23	357:13	296:12 303:3
31:17 49:21	272:23 303:7	22:4 24:22	thinking	321:19 330:20
118:24 146:13	309:2,8,13	28:22 44:21	128:24 132:24	
168:6 207:8	311:15 312:11,	59:11 60:1	138:14 219:18	thoughtful
263:18,22 264:1	23 313:2 316:6,	62:14 67:19,22	thinks	35:23 128:12
298:1 310:16	13 317:8,23	69:20 70:5	102:2	thousand
335:15,20	318:25 319:8,	74:14 81:20	thirty	282:20 286:11,
336:17 340:6		88:4,14 89:17,	20:17 47:25	16,21
	10,20,24 320:3	21 100:9,23	48:4 75:2 82:23	thread
350:8,19 357:15	324:12 330:5,15	102:1 103:24	83:8,12 97:3	240:10
texting	331:7,10 333:16	110:10 126:2	136:23 162:24	Threat
234:24	thing	127:16 133:20	194:6 205:10	262:19
thankfully	12:9,23 17:13	134:19 135:11	211:10 213:5,8,	three-
154:8	20:3 23:10	137:22 138:1,12	12,19 219:5	309:12
Thanksgiving	25:25 47:18,24	141:16,17	227:2,6 286:11	three-day
159:21	48:11 58:6	149:16 152:16	299:21	286:12
thematics	71:15 83:1	156:17 158:24	Thirty-	threw
226:2	86:22 90:24	159:5,9 163:18,	286:15	111:19,20,22
			200.10	111.17,20,22
	1		I	1

	1			1
throw	114:20 115:7	264:20 265:6	245:9 250:2,13	15,17 342:13
17:3 111:23	120:19 123:1	269:3 271:8	262:7 273:25	TODD
thrust	125:7 126:20	275:22 276:13	325:24 344:7	259:4
210:1	128:10 131:19,	278:2,4,5 279:2	345:5 353:13	toe
thumbs	20 132:2,7	280:17 283:16,	tinderbox	44:24
138:5	133:17 134:13,	24 288:16	90:22	token
Tibet	17,20 136:18	289:21 290:17,	Tips	240:20
312:5	137:20,24,25	22,24 293:11	151:22	told
ticket	138:2 139:7,18,	294:11 298:9	Tire	14:5 34:8 62:25
42:10	21 141:24 142:8	299:3 300:14	263:20	82:10 85:23
tie	143:24 148:12	301:9,12 302:5,	tirelessly	86:1 102:15
184:10	153:7 156:18	19 305:2 306:1	196:25	113:21 129:4
ties	159:7 160:2	308:5 310:19,22	title	131:19 135:8
67:17 259:12	162:25 163:3	312:15,24	84:19	136:4 141:8
tight	171:17 172:16,	314:19 316:19,	titled	159:1 166:17
112:7 203:12	22 173:11	24 318:14 320:9	265:5	193:21 198:11,
till	174:5,16,23	324:9,25 326:4	TLC	14 204:23
135:10 276:4	175:15 176:10	328:24 331:12	155:1	240:21 251:15
	180:10,15	339:16 341:20,	today	267:3 296:3
time	181:18 182:16	21 346:21,24	13:10 15:17	327:18 330:25
18:11 20:5,10,	183:5 190:22	347:7,12,20,23	16:2 36:12	Tommy
15 21:21 22:15,	191:9,10,12,14,	348:3,5,6	38:15 43:11	193:18
23,24 23:12,17, 19 26:14 31:3	16 192:17,25	349:19 351:5,19	50:5 55:18 65:8	tone
	193:4,16,23	354:10,11	66:21 72:25	42:18,23 71:18
38:20,22,25 39:6,9 42:14	194:8 195:5,10,	355:19 358:17	87:12 90:2	138:11 139:1
43:14 44:16,21,	20 196:9,11	time's	92:10,15 94:5,	218:10
22 45:3 46:6	206:9 208:6	27:18	10,14 99:1	tonight
47:16 48:9,10	210:3 211:24	time-saving	100:3 105:18	246:3 260:7,15
49:12,16 50:2	212:17,22	196:7	106:7 107:1	261:14 286:19
54:11 55:11	213:11 214:19	times	108:14 117:4	336:18 339:10
59:25 70:20	215:22 216:10	13:25 14:6 20:1	118:24 124:9	340:1
71:5 72:25	219:1 221:7,16	26:22 47:10	130:25 135:19	Tony
74:11 75:7 83:4	224:4 228:7	59:8 70:8 86:8	158:9 184:20	107:6,25 108:2
87:6,9 89:14,17	229:7 230:10	87:11 97:11	194:6 200:7	134:9
90:15 91:2 92:4	236:10,18	114:11 127:15	222:22 238:10	tool
93:1 94:10	237:19,24	147:21 151:23	241:19 244:25	50:20 51:12
95:24 99:6,16,	238:11 240:9,21	156:7,10,16	245:15 258:10	324:14
23 100:4,19	244:18,22	158:17,23,25	271:2 273:13	toolkit
102:9 103:12	249:2,21 251:11,12	159:6,11 164:19	289:20 298:9	194:18,20
105:11 106:2	251:11,12	183:23 187:23	320:20,21,22,	,
107:15 112:8	253:12,13 254:22 256:1	207:9,10 212:19	23,24 332:3	tools
113:12,25	257:13 260:14	223:21 224:13	334:22 340:10,	50:19 273:24
113.14,43	431.13 400.14	225:13 237:9		
L				

				1
top	traffic	129:5,7 139:8,9	Trudy	twelve
17:1 55:23	42:10 106:13	238:18	286:20	31:3
161:3 167:1	185:13 274:1	treated	true	twenty
252:20 255:9	train	21:23 129:6	25:4 107:11	21:10 47:25
266:8 267:25	220:18,20	182:5 215:7	115:15 140:21	48:4 52:25
329:4	236:15 243:9	223:18 238:19	178:9 180:8	147:8,11 148:9,
topic	train's	240:8 332:12,14	219:16,20	15,25 167:23
61:16	48:20	treating	220:14 224:3	172:5 177:7
topics	trained	35:15	312:8,9 342:5	198:10 200:16
148:17 152:1	142:21 166:18	treatment	trust	244:13 247:6
torn	170:14	68:25 69:2,15	30:8 260:19	twenty-
90:21	training	119:24	359:2	227:5
totally	148:18 170:16,	treatments	truth	twenty-five
73:4 114:10	17,18 208:5	172:8	9:10,11 31:9,10	203:23,24,25
207:13	transcribed	treats	63:7 76:9,10	205:9
touch	335:3	252:23 333:11	92:19,20 107:7,	twenty-four
66:5 111:1	transcript	trend	8 123:15,16	273:20
197:23 328:3	153:9,14 238:15	242:3	144:14,15 155:4	twenty-seven
357:12 358:16	239:11 280:19	trial	185:21,22	270:15
touched	281:1 333:19	23:16 148:19,23	247:15,16	twenty-six
45:5 224:21	334:4,11,13,24	154:24 155:11	259:5,6 337:17,	203:25 227:3
233:13	335:1,6,7,11	191:24 249:23	18	twenty-
tough	336:12 338:25	250:1 251:2	tug-of-war	something
66:4 67:16	transcripts	272:12,14	324:4	16:10
96:11 112:24	289:3 334:6	299:17	tugs	twenty-three
126:2 134:15	349:20	trick	90:21	10:25 51:3
137:4 149:22	transition	347:21	turn	244:17
163:20 225:20	170:11 245:7	trip	84:10 236:10	Twenty-two
tougher	transpired	111:16 123:6	258:7 341:12	244:16
240:19	308:6	Triplett	347:15 349:25	twiddling
Touma	trauma	10:18,21,23	350:9	138:5
290:22	100:14	15:8 30:22	turned	twisted
town	travel	trouble	79:10 154:20	267:18
148:11 163:6	44:9 229:12	133:6	193:2	two-hour
269:15	traveled	troubled	turnover	95:22
TPR	44:8,10	45:8	102:5 233:19	two-lawyer
119:12 120:6	traveling	troublesome	234:2	173:23
track	44:13 192:23	216:17	turns	tying
64:8 244:18	travels	troubling	279:21	218:12
256:19 302:20	106:9	133:9 197:12	tweaked	
tradition	treat	truck	203:18	type 83:1 103:13
105:10	66:6 112:8	124:6		104:22 113:22
	00.0 112.0	14.0		104.22 113.22
	I	I	1	1

140:11 147:24	umpires	219:12 220:3,5,	uniform	unreasonable
168:11 172:3,8	261:6	21 221:8,11	136:9	331:19
175:2,11 225:14	unable	227:17,18	uninformed	unrelated
239:3 250:16	28:3	233:19 234:10	317:4	84:13
253:18 300:8	unadulterated	239:13,14	Union	
302:23 309:8	90:11	245:23 260:5,13	111:3 112:2	unrepresented 73:15
312:14 313:22		261:12 269:13	118:25	unresolved
316:6,13 330:15	unanimously 24:23 51:4	281:22 284:5		266:3
344:5,8		285:17 287:6,13	unique 48:13 141:8	
types	unapproachable	289:7 290:3	352:11	unseal
115:3 131:14	128:13	296:2 298:6		306:13,14
159:5 163:13	unbiased	302:4,22 306:24	United	unsealing
170:6 175:6	260:23	313:19 315:13,	256:5 263:15,16	305:19 322:1
325:18 326:2	uncaring	15 317:1	units	327:19
330:17 344:7	42:22	318:12,13	256:5	unsuccessfully
typically	unclear	328:13 334:3,5	unity	352:2
40:9,17 44:10	119:15	337:22 340:11	78:14	unusual
83:22,25 95:7	uncommon	349:9 354:14	University	301:5 329:13
114:17 120:8	313:8	357:3,19,20	171:3,4	update
213:16,18	uncompromisin	understanding	Unlike	60:10
347:23	g	81:13 95:23	79:11	updated
tyrants	193:8 210:24	144:23 149:13	unnecessarily	255:7
240:11	underlying	190:21 191:1	41:4	uplifting
240.11	301:15 330:18	207:10 277:12	unopposed	251:17
	352:24	293:2 294:5	224:22 261:5	upload
U	understand	295:3	270:19	194:21
U.S.	15:4 19:22	understands	unpardonable	upset
263:21 264:17	37:25 39:4 44:1	268:15 344:8	241:6	86:2 88:15
Ugarte	49:14,15 50:19,	understood	Unpleasant	167:12,17
274:11 281:4,	21 59:7 64:15	276:17 354:9	54:14	225:20
12,13	72:22 74:24	unethical	unpredictable	upstanding
Uh-huh	79:13 81:2	259:9 269:17	207:16	55:15
45:19	91:13 98:7	unethically	unprepared	Upstate
ultimate	101:5 106:4	353:17	85:13,14 211:5	157:24 195:12
55:10 295:19	116:10 122:21	unfair	unprofessional	196:14 198:5
	128:11 130:8	56:24	36:5 54:14	204:22 206:22
ultimately 34:21 214:13	143:18 157:19	unfamiliar	253:3,21	uptight
	176:2,25 177:23		unqualified	139:17
216:2 277:3,9 354:23	178:24 191:2	326:4	16:22 54:18	urge
	199:24 201:16	unfavorable	196:19 197:14	66:24 197:10
umpire	204:15 205:14,	85:10	202:10 203:21	
260:21,24	23 208:15	unhappy	205:5,11 208:2	urges 354:3
261:1,7	218:20,21	166:9	203.3,11 200.2	334.3

utopian	verbally	138:20,24	voluminous	walks
218:6	348:13	violating	300:7 317:13	21:22
	verbatim	316:9	voluntarily	Wall
${f v}$	351:16	violation	189:17	73:19
	verdict	30:5 75:18,21	vote	wanted
vacated	260:20 296:1	91:9,11 184:23	228:15 242:7	67:16 71:15
272:20 273:1,11	verification	185:2 246:16	voted	86:11,13 99:9
275:24 276:1	10:11 32:5	261:24 289:6	128:19 242:6	111:21 118:10
Valenzuela	77:14 94:1	336:4	votes	147:5 152:11
248:24 249:13,	108:9 124:24	violations	9:3 37:3 92:7	154:17 167:8
14 257:24	145:16 186:19	105:22 122:19	201:10	170:2 171:12
259:7,8,15	248:12	143:16 259:14		188:17 198:19
328:5,9 332:25	versa	266:11	W	204:16 206:24
333:1 337:6	233:17	violence		216:25 231:16
338:3,4,13	versed	195:15	wage	256:23 281:7
342:18,19 356:3	314:7 317:4	virtues	25:24	294:3,13,15,24
357:7 359:1	version	143:4	wagging	300:21 306:4
Valenzuela's	289:1 341:12	visible	236:15	311:24 312:5
337:20		194:11 198:13	wait	315:17 353:10
valid	versus 161:19 178:20	vision	125:24 220:12,	354:8
195:18	249:25	270:13	16 229:8	wanting
valuation				42:23 56:6
148:17,23	vested	visit	waiting 20:11 84:9,10	118:21 188:15
valuations	311:9 316:1	105:6 173:11	99:6 163:2	257:1 283:17
148:19	vests	187:3 345:12	185:17 212:1	291:2 296:11
values	313:22	visitation	247:5 260:12	300:23 346:25
135:7	vice	290:21 340:24		war
	233:17	341:6,7	waive	90:21
vantage 131:17	victims	visiting	32:15 145:23,25	warmer
	269:22	95:21 96:4	249:4,8	29:11
Vanwyke	view	vivid	waiving	warrant
283:1	58:18 105:21	335:14	224:14 249:3	51:22 246:18
vegetables	122:18 143:16	vocal	walk	
110:10	163:23 218:6	159:11 166:10	35:15 65:7	warrants
vehicle	252:22	218:3	72:11 136:3	192:7
120:10	viewed	voice	142:25 168:5,15	Washington
veil	217:22	84:5 90:19	216:1 223:4,22,	264:23
92:2	viewing	167:13,18	24	wasted
vein	183:17	void	walked	159:6,7
74:4 183:16	views	183:11	46:22 223:17	watch
185:8	178:14	volumes	349:5	20:14 31:3
verbal	Vinson	22:14 177:20	walking	160:12
352:16	4 1112011	22.17 177.20	215:6	

.4.11		XX7*11*		1
watched 160:14	weight	Williams 23:4	word	worker
	53:7,11,12 54:1		62:10 207:8	35:16 70:2,6,13 251:20
watching	57:3 105:23 121:21 122:20	willingness	289:1 315:18	
20:9 30:23	143:18 184:25	67:13 91:19	wording	workers
235:12	324:9	98:21 117:13	320:2	69:18,24
water		158:16	words	workers'
44:24 70:4	welcomed	wind	62:5 113:5	220:8
Wayne	229:17 237:6	271:19 283:8	151:3 197:6	working
194:18	welfare	window	202:13 224:17	17:14 83:15
ways	313:9	278:4	238:12 263:25	108:23 110:23
181:16 184:4	Wes	wiped	266:6 275:18	115:7 147:12
202:23 312:20	99:18	109:24	280:25	152:6 172:25
314:21	West	wisdom	work	173:24 182:18
weakest	341:13	121:4 265:22	24:11,16 25:8	193:6 198:3,12,
147:18 175:14	whatsoever	withe	47:11 56:17	21 199:3
wealth	202:16 217:25	146:15	59:20,22 62:24	202:21,24
104:20 120:23	whim	witnesses	64:18,19 109:21	208:20 245:18
142:18	313:25	10:16 94:6	110:3 112:9	works
wear	whine	108:14 125:4	121:15,16	178:1 218:24
74:5 161:13	349:3	186:25 197:5,7	125:24 131:17	228:16 229:21
wearing	white	204:24 227:24	141:24 147:13,	world
74:14	149:17	238:8 248:20	20,24 148:8	62:24 118:7
week	wide	299:18	150:22 152:17,	240:3 279:17
23:21 44:11,14	164:14	won	18,19 155:12	318:4 358:9
52:17,18 89:1	widely	160:12 223:23	159:14 161:1	worried
118:15 159:21	103:16	269:21	170:23 174:14	225:24 280:11
187:17 249:25	wife	wonderful	175:5 191:11	worse
290:14,21,25	92:13 103:2	29:18 33:13	193:21 199:3	244:4
291:8 295:22,23	106:18 172:2	46:11,18,19	202:19,21,22	worst
296:10 316:17	173:19 177:11	49:12 61:23	209:13,15	207:23 216:13,
335:10	181:15 234:24	90:24 105:7	232:8,18 233:10	16
weekend	295:12 305:21	161:7 188:23	245:8,14 249:23,24	worthy
160:10 286:21	wife's	191:23		75:8
weekly	101:4 284:20	wonderfully	250:4,5 251:6 253:7,18 333:4,	wow
160:18	329:18	34:11	233:7,18 333:4, 7	87:12 110:19
weeks	Wild	wondering	worked	160:13
23:22 111:3	341:12,13	47:14	34:10 48:6	wrap
286:6	willful	Wood	133:7 148:14	73:3 182:17
weigh	112:1	154:12,16	161:1 163:9	266:10 357:16
204:4	William	woods	196:25 198:3,4	wrapped
weighing	154:2	96:7 119:9	206:17 207:1	48:15
165:21	154.4	75., 117.7	200:17 207:1	
105.21			207.17 271.17	
	I	I	I	I

write	17,18 224:5,7,	240:1 241:23,25	younger	
115:4 141:9	24 230:10	242:1,7,17,18,	121:3 174:9	
written	235:24,25	20 249:18	239:25	
36:2 79:16 97:3	237:21 238:11	250:3,7 254:22	Younginer	
113:3 115:8	239:12,21	262:11,25	248:18 258:8,10	
150:14 192:2	244:22 245:2,	270:11 273:20	259:2,4,16	
253:23 257:19	11,14,17,21	274:24,25	263:16 264:6,8,	
298:5,8 299:1	250:1 257:9	279:2,3 281:14	11,16,19 265:2	
300:6 310:11	270:15 342:2	289:9 324:5	270:2,5,8	
320:25 323:15,	351:24 352:14	335:13 341:20,	271:10 273:18	
22 340:5	yearly	23 345:12	278:10 283:24	
349:18,20	59:23	346:17 347:10,	284:2 289:20,	
351:9,13	years	11	21,25 295:15,19	
wrong	10:25 11:17	yesterday	297:8,12,15,18	
72:2 179:8	12:3 16:7,10	74:9	298:1 299:25	
240:12 242:3	19:19 22:19	York	301:1 303:20	
280:4 311:8	27:24 32:18	95:1 102:8	305:21 307:18,	
313:3	42:14 43:8 51:3	104:16 106:10	25 313:4 314:23	
wrongdoing	53:2 56:12,18,	109:19 134:9	317:18 319:14	
291:22	21 59:17 60:9	231:19	321:23,24	
wronged	68:15 75:1,2	York's	322:3,9,13,16,	
59:5	78:5 81:19	231:24	20,24 323:3,5,9,	
wrote	89:20 94:17	young	12 327:4,5,12,	
67:14 231:6	95:20 99:15,22,	16:7 22:9,10,11	18,20 328:2,4,	
232:13,17	24 100:11	26:12,25 34:16,	10,13,16 331:16	
257:21	103:11 104:12	18,25 36:23	332:13 333:23	
	105:3 109:2,9	68:4,5,6 81:8,9	336:16,19 337:3	
Y	117:14 118:15	82:18 85:3	338:5 339:5	
	120:3 121:4,22	86:16,21	357:14,19,22,24	
year	125:15,20,21,22	101:11,12,13	Younginer's	
11:24 43:7,8,20	128:23 146:17,	111:9 118:18,	259:9 301:19	
44:7,9,14 51:3	24 147:8,11	19,20 131:3,4,5,	335:17 358:16	
56:25 75:2 95:7	148:10,15,25	21 169:15,16,17		
111:6 112:1	151:21 152:5,9,	173:18 230:1,2,	\mathbf{z}	
141:11,24	13 166:17,22	3 242:4 250:12		
151:23 160:15	167:14,23	279:1 289:22,	zone	
166:20 173:17	170:2,22 172:6,	23,24 290:14	26:2	
176:7,8 193:17	17 176:11 177:7	293:6,17,25		
194:11,20 197:1	184:5 188:6	294:4 296:3		
201:21 205:9	189:15 193:15	339:14,20,21,22		
206:13,16,17,19	201:20,24 205:9	359:16		
208:9 209:2,12	214:7 221:1,6	Young's		
214:1 217:7,16,	224:22 235:7	135:14		