**Wednesday, June 29, 2011**

**(Statewide Session)**

~~Indicates Matter Stricken~~

## Indicates New Matter

The Senate assembled at 10:00 A.M., the hour to which it stood adjourned, and was called to order by the PRESIDENT.

A quorum being present, the proceedings were opened with a devotion by the Chaplain as follows:

With ulterior motives…

“David went to Nob, to Ahimelech the priest. Ahimelech trembled when he met him, and asked, ‘Why are you alone? Why is no one with you?’ ” (I Samuel 21:1)

Bow with me as we pray, if you will:

Loving Lord, we read that David approached Ahimelech “alone.” Evidently it was appropriate and necessary for David to do so. But how much more meaningful and worthwhile—though at times frustrating and time-consuming—are those enterprises when we work collaboratively with others. We give You thanks that these Senators know the value of trying to work together, O God. Continue to guide them and to bless them as they do so, striving diligently to complete all of the tasks before them -- like those Gamecocks. In Your loving name we pray, Lord.

Amen.

The PRESIDENT called for Petitions, Memorials, Presentments of Grand Juries and such like papers.

**Leave of Absence**

At 10:30 A.M., Senator SHOOPMAN requested a leave of absence from 5:30 - 8:30 P.M. this evening.

**Leave of Absence**

On motion of Senator BRYANT, at 2:30 P.M., Senator RYBERG was granted a leave of absence for the balance of the day.

**Leave of Absence**

At 4:30 P.M., Senator VERDIN requested a leave of absence until 5:15 P.M.

**Leave of Absence**

At 4:55 P.M., Senator RANKIN requested a leave of absence until 7:15 P.M.

**Expression of Personal Interest**

Senator COURSON rose for an Expression of Personal Interest.

**Expression of Personal Interest**

Senator LOURIE rose for an Expression of Personal Interest.

**Statement by Senators COURSON, LOURIE, LAND, SETZLER LEVENTIS, KNOTTS, MALLOY, CROMER and GREGORY**

We congratulate the University of South Carolina baseball team on winning consecutive College World Series titles. Coach Ray Tanner led the team through a historic, undefeated NCAA tournament; culminating with a sweep of SEC rival University of Florida Gators. Coach Tanner and the Gamecock baseball team have reached a height of success over the last two seasons that was previously unimaginable by the Gamecock Nation.

Today, we call on the Trustees of the University of South Carolina to name the field at Carolina Stadium in honor of Head Coach Ray Tanner. This will be a fitting tribute to honor not only Coach Tanner, but also the players, coaches, and university officials that supported the baseball team as it endeavored to win two national championships for the University of South Carolina.

Designating “Ray Tanner Field at Carolina Stadium” will be an eternal honor to this historic event and will also serve as an effective tool to draw South Carolinians and corporate citizens who aspire to be associated with the two-time National Champions.

**INTRODUCTION OF BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS**

The following were introduced:

S. 992 -- Senator Hayes: A SENATE RESOLUTION TO COMMEND COLONEL JAMES E. HARDIN, JR. OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY RESERVES FOR HIS MANY YEARS OF DEDICATED MILITARY SERVICE AND COMMEND HIS LEADERSHIP AS THE COMMANDER OF THE 12TH LEGAL SUPPORT ORGANIZATION HEADQUARTERED AT FORT JACKSON, SOUTH CAROLINA.
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The Senate Resolution was adopted.

S. 993 -- Senator Leatherman: A SENATE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND CONGRATULATE FRANK JAMES ON THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT AND TO THANK HIM FOR HIS DISTINGUISHED AND EXEMPLARY SERVICE TO THE PEOPLE OF THE FLORENCE COMMUNITY
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The Senate Resolution was adopted.

S. 994 -- Senator Bright: A SENATE RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND COMMEND THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE BLUE RIDGE LOG CABINS EXECUTIVE TEAM AND EMPLOYEES, LED BY PRESIDENT AND CEO MILTON A. “CHIP” SMITH, JR., TO THE STATE’S ECONOMY, AND TO THANK THE COMPANY FOR THE OUTSTANDING WORK THAT HAS HAD SUCH A POSITIVE IMPACT ON SOUTH CAROLINA’S REPUTATION AND VISIBILITY AS AN INNOVATIVE, PRO-BUSINESS STATE WITH A HIGHLY SKILLED WORKFORCE.
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The Senate Resolution was adopted.

H. 4398 -- Reps. Toole, Atwater, Ballentine, Bingham, Frye, Huggins, McLeod, Ott, Quinn and Spires: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO CONGRATULATE CREIGHTON SUMMERS CULVERN OF LEXINGTON COUNTY FOR ACHIEVING THE ELITE RANK OF EAGLE SCOUT AND TO WISH HIM EVERY SUCCESS IN ALL HIS FUTURE ENDEAVORS.

The Concurrent Resolution was adopted, ordered returned to the House.

H. 4399 -- Rep. Limehouse: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR WTMA RADIO PERSONALITY ROCKY “ROCKY D DISABATO” FOR TEN OUTSTANDING YEARS ON THE ROCKY D SHOW, AND TO PROCLAIM AUGUST 31, 2011, AS “ROCKY D DAY” IN SOUTH CAROLINA.

The Concurrent Resolution was adopted, ordered returned to the House.

**REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES**

**Appointments Reported**

Senator PEELER from the Committee on Medical Affairs submitted a favorable report on:

**Statewide Appointments**

Initial Appointment, Medical Disciplinary Commission of the State Board of Medical Examiners, with the term to commence July 1, 2011, and to expire July 1, 2014

4th Congressional District:

Patricia Jane Bock, 110 Creekwood Drive, Spartanburg, SC 29302

Received as information.

Initial Appointment, South Carolina State Board of Nursing, with the term to commence December 31, 2010, and to expire December 31, 2014

2nd Congressional District:

Amanda E. Baker, 141 Montrose Drive, Lexington, SC 29072 *VICE* Rose Kearney-Nunnery

Received as information.

Initial Appointment, South Carolina State Board of Nursing, with the term to commence December 31, 2009, and to expire December 31, 2013

Public:

Anne Smoak Crook, 717 H. C. Raysor Drive, St. Matthews, SC 29135

Received as information.

Initial Appointment, South Carolina State Board of Nursing, with the term to commence December 31, 2009, and to expire December 31, 2013

Public:

James Edward Mallory, 117 Summercourt Drive, Summerville, SC 29485 *VICE* Trey Pennington

Received as information.

Initial Appointment, South Carolina Commission on Disabilities and Special Needs, with the term to commence June 30, 2011, and to expire June 30, 2015

At-Large:

Katherine Davis, 520 Oakbrook Drive, Columbia, SC 29223 *VICE* Kelly Floyd

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R.98, S. 913 by a vote of 2 to 1:

(R98, S913) -- Senator Sheheen: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO ESTABLISH THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY; TO PROVIDE THAT POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE AUTHORITY ARE VESTED IN THE KERSHAW COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY COMMISSION AND TO ESTABLISH TERMS FOR THE COMMISSIONERS; TO PROVIDE FOR THE HOUSING AUTHORITY’S FUNCTIONS, RIGHTS, POWERS, DUTIES, AND LIABILITIES; AND TO PROVIDE THAT THE SOUTH CAROLINA STATE HOUSING FINANCE AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY SHALL REMAIN THE LOCAL HOUSING AGENCY IN KERSHAW COUNTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADMINISTERING THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER PROGRAM.

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it concurs in the amendments proposed by the Senate to:

H. 3792 -- Rep. Rutherford: A BILL TO AMEND SECTION 50‑21‑85, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO THE CONDITIONS UPON WHICH A PERSON MAY OPERATE A VESSEL DISPLAYING, REFLECTING, OR FLASHING A BLUE LIGHT, SO AS TO REVISE THE CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH A PERSON MAY OPERATE A VESSEL WHILE DISPLAYING A BLUE LIGHT, AND TO REVISE THE PENALTY PROVISION.

and has ordered the Bill enrolled for Ratification.

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**THE SENATE PROCEEDED TO A CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS OF COMMITTEES OF CONFERENCE AND FREE CONFERENCE.**

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has tabled the original Committee of Conference Report on the following Bill:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO AMEND ARTICLE 2, CHAPTER 101, TITLE 59 OF THE 1976 CODE, RELATING TO PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING, BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑670 TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST POST ONLINE ALL OF ITS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS AND THE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS FOR CREDIT CARDS ISSUED TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES FOR PUBLIC USE; AND TO AMEND ARTICLE 15, CHAPTER 1, TITLE 1, RELATING TO REPORTING OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS BY STATE AGENCIES, PERSONAL DATA AND THE LIKE, BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS OR MONTHLY REPORTS CONTAINING ALL OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL THE SAME INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS.

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**S. 172--REPORT OF THE**

**COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ADOPTED**

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO AMEND ARTICLE 2, CHAPTER 101, TITLE 59 OF THE 1976 CODE, RELATING TO PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING, BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑670 TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST POST ONLINE ALL OF ITS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS AND THE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS FOR CREDIT CARDS ISSUED TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES FOR PUBLIC USE; AND TO AMEND ARTICLE 15, CHAPTER 1, TITLE 1, RELATING TO REPORTING OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS BY STATE AGENCIES, PERSONAL DATA AND THE LIKE, BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS OR MONTHLY REPORTS CONTAINING ALL OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL THE SAME INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS.

On motion of Senator COURSON, the Report of the Committee of Conference was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator COURSON spoke on the report.

The question then was adoption of the Report of Committee of Conference.

The “ayes” and “nays” were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 37; Nays 1**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Bryant

Campbell Cleary Courson

Cromer Davis Fair

Ford Gregory Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Shane*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Reese

Rose Scott Setzler

Shoopman Thomas Verdin

Williams

**Total--37**

**NAYS**

Bright

**Total--1**

**S. 172--Conference Report**

The General Assembly, Columbia, S.C., June 22, 2011

The Committee of Conference, to whom was referred:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO ENACT THE “SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EFFICIENCY AND ADMINISTRATIVE POLICIES ACT OF 2011”, BY ADDING SECTION 59-101-670 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE; BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS, TO DIRECT THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S STATE OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO PARTICIPATE WITH FIVE REPRESENTATIVES SELECTED BY THE RESPECTIVE PRESIDENTS OF THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES TO STUDY, DEVELOP, AND RECOMMEND A SEPARATE, COMPREHENSIVE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM FOR THE PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; BY AMENDING SECTION 2-47-50, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, SO AS TO DEFINE ‘PERMANENT IMPROVEMENT PROJECT’; TO AMEND SECTION 1-11-65, RELATING TO APPROVAL OF REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WITH APPROVAL OF THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD, CERTAIN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING MAY ENTER INTO GROUND LEASE AGREEMENTS WITH A PRIVATE ENTITY WHEREBY THE PRIVATE ENTITY WILL PROVIDE ALL SERVICES NECESSARY FOR THE CREATION AND OPERATION OF ON‑CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT UPON THE EXPIRATION OF THE GROUND LEASE AGREEMENT, THE PRIVATE ENTITY SHALL SURRENDER THE PREMISES TO THE INSTITUTION; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1210, RELATING TO THE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD’S REVIEW OF DOLLAR LIMITS FOR A GOVERNMENTAL BODY’S PROCUREMENT, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, IN COORDINATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER, MAY APPROVE A CUMULATIVE TOTAL OF UP TO FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS IN ADDITIONAL PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11-35-1550, RELATING TO BID PROCEDURES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS, A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE MAY MAKE SMALL PURCHASES NOT EXCEEDING TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS WITHOUT CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE PROCUREMENT CODE APPLYING; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑3310, RELATING TO INDEFINITE DELIVERY CONTRACTS, SO AS TO INCREASE CERTAIN DOLLAR LIMITS FOR PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING AND TECHNICAL COLLEGES; TO AMEND SECTION 11‑35‑4810 RELATING TO COOPERATIVE PURCHASING, SO AS TO EXEMPT PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING FROM THE REQUIREMENT OF THIRTY DAYS’ NOTICE OF A MULTI-STATE SOLICITATION IF A COST SAVINGS IS DEMONSTRATED TO THE OFFICE OF STATE PROCUREMENT; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑7‑170, RELATING TO ENGAGING AN ATTORNEY ON A FEE BASIS, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING SHALL ENGAGE AND COMPENSATE OUTSIDE COUNSEL IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ADOPTED BY THE STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD FOR MATTERS OF BONDED INDEBTEDNESS, PUBLIC FINANCE, BORROWING, AND RELATED FINANCIAL MATTERS; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑55, SO AS TO PROHIBIT STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM BEING USED TO PROVIDE OUT‑OF‑STATE SUBSIDIES TO STUDENTS ATTENDING STATE‑SUPPORTED INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING; TO AMEND SECTION 59‑101‑620, RELATING TO EDUCATIONAL FEE WAIVERS, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ANY FEE WAIVERS ABOVE FOUR PERCENT MUST BE USED FOR IN‑STATE STUDENTS; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑115, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT WHEN THE GOVERNING BOARD OF A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING OR TECHNICAL COLLEGE ADOPTS A CHANGE TO THE TUITION OR FEES IMPOSED ON STUDENTS, THE CHANGE MAY BE IMPLEMENTED ONLY AFTER A PUBLIC VOTE WITH THE NUMBER OF TRUSTEES OR LOCAL AREA COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR AND AGAINST THE CHANGE BEING COUNTED; BY ADDING SECTION 8‑11‑162, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT TECHNICAL COLLEGE PRESIDENTS ARE COVERED BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE AGENCY HEAD SALARY COMMISSION; TO AMEND SECTION 1‑11‑55 RELATING TO THE LEASING OF REAL PROPERTY FOR GOVERNMENTAL BODIES, SO AS TO PROVIDE THAT A TECHNICAL COLLEGE, WITH THE APPROVAL BY THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, AND A PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING, MAY ENTER INTO ANY LEASE AGREEMENT OR RENEW ANY LEASE AGREEMENT UP TO ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS ANNUALLY FOR EACH PROPERTY OR FACILITY WITHOUT NOTIFYING THE OFFICE OF GENERAL SERVICES FOR AN INVESTIGATION OF AVAILABLE SPACE; BY ADDING SECTION 59-53-168 SO AS TO AUTHORIZE THE STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL AND COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION TO EMPLOY AND ADMINISTER CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY PROVISIONS, AND TO ESTABLISH AN ADVISORY BOARD; BY ADDING SECTION 59‑112‑140 SO AS TO ALLOW THE AREA COMMISSION FOR THE FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE TO WAIVE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR STUDENT PARTICIPANTS IN THE CATERPILLAR DEALER ACADEMY OPERATED BY FLORENCE‑DARLINGTON TECHNICAL COLLEGE; TO AMEND SECTION 2‑77‑20, RELATING TO THE SOUTH CAROLINA HIGHER EDUCATION EXCELLENCE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, SO AS TO REQUIRE THAT ALL THE FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO THE PROGRAM MUST BE ALLOCATED EQUALLY AMONG THE ELIGIBLE INSTITUTIONS; AND TO AMEND SECTION 59‑142‑40, RELATING TO STUDENTS FIRST FINANCIAL RESOURCES FOR SCHOLARSHIPS AND TUITION, SO AS TO UPDATE REFERENCES AND TO PROVIDE THAT FUNDS FOR THE NEED BASED GRANT MUST BE ALLOCATED IN A GIVEN YEAR TO INSTITUTIONS USING A METHODOLOGY THAT CONSIDERS STATE RESIDENT PELL GRANT RECIPIENTS SO THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE AN AMOUNT SUFFICIENT TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LEVEL OF SUPPORT PER STATE RESIDENT PELL RECIPIENT WHEN COMPARED TO TUITION AND REQUIRED FEES, BUT THAT NO INSTITUTION SHALL RECEIVE A SMALLER PROPORTION OF FUNDING THAN WOULD BE PROVIDED UNDER THE STUDENT ENROLLMENT METHODOLOGY USED IN YEARS PRIOR TO FISCAL YEAR 2008‑09.

Beg leave to report that they have duly and carefully considered the same and recommend:

That the same do pass with the following amendments:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, by striking all after the enacting words and inserting:

/ Part I

Citation

SECTION 1. This act is known and may be cited as the “South Carolina Higher Education Efficiency and Administrative Policies Act of 2011”.

Part II

Transaction Register

SECTION 2. A. Article 2, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑670. (A) Each public institution of higher learning shall maintain a transaction register that includes a complete record of all funds expended, from whatever source for whatever purpose. The register must be prominently posted on the institution’s Internet website and made available for public viewing and downloading.

(1)(a) The register must include for each expenditure:

(i) the transaction amount;

(ii) the name of the payee;

(iii) the identification number of the transaction; and

(iv) a description of the expenditure, including the source of funds, a category title, and an object title for the expenditure.

(b) The register must include all reimbursements for expenses, but must not include an entry for salary, wages, or other compensation paid to individual employees.

(c) The register must not include a social security number.

(d) The register must be accompanied by a complete explanation of any codes or acronyms used to identify a payee or an expenditure.

(e) At the option of the public institution, the register may exclude any information that can be used to identify an individual employee or student.

(f) This section does not require the posting of any information that is not required to be disclosed under Chapter 4, Title 30.

(2) The register must be searchable and updated at least once a month. Each monthly register must be maintained on the Internet website for at least three years.

(B) Each public institution of higher learning shall be responsible for providing on its Internet website a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual institution, that posts on its Internet website the institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all of the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number.

(C) Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.

(D) In the event any public institution of higher learning has a question or issue relating to technical aspects of complying with the requirements of this section or the disclosure of public information under this section, it shall consult with the Comptroller General’s Office, which may provide guidance to the public institution.”

B. Article 15, Chapter 1, Title 1 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 1‑1‑1040. All agencies, departments, and institutions of state government must be responsible for providing on their Internet websites a link to the Internet website of any agency, other than the individual agency, department, or institution, that posts on its Internet website that agency’s, department’s, or institution’s monthly state procurement card statements or monthly reports containing all or substantially all the same information contained in the monthly state procurement card statements. The link must be to the specific webpage or section on the website of the agency where the state procurement card information for the state agency, department, or institution can be found. The information posted may not contain the state procurement card number. Any information that is expressly prohibited from public disclosure by federal or state law or regulation must be redacted from any posting required by this section.”

C. This SECTION takes effect upon approval by the Governor, and public institutions of higher learning to which this SECTION applies shall have one year from the effective date of this act to comply with its requirements.

Part III

Human Resources

SECTION 3. The Budget and Control Board’s State Office of Human Resources shall participate with five representatives selected by the respective presidents of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to represent all of the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges to study, develop, and recommend a separate, comprehensive human resources system for the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendation shall include, but not be limited to, prescription of a methodology to establish a uniform compensation and classification plan among the public institutions of higher learning and technical colleges. The recommendations must provide for necessary accountability to the Budget and Control Board, including a process for reporting human resources data. The recommendation must be submitted to the State Budget and Control Board for its review no later than July 1, 2012, and shall not be implemented until approved by the Budget and Control Board pursuant to Section 8‑11‑230.

Part IV

Facilities and Capital Expenditure Revisions

SECTION 4. Section 2‑47‑50 of the 1976 Code, as last amended by Act 143 of 2005, is further amended to read:

“Section 2‑47‑50. The board shall establish formally each permanent improvement project before actions of any sort which implement the project in any way may be undertaken and no expenditure of any funds for any services or for any other project purpose contracted for, delivered, or otherwise provided prior to the date of the formal action of the board to establish the project shall be approved. State agencies and institutions may advertise and interview for project architectural and engineering services for a pending project so long as the architectural and engineering contract is not awarded until after a state project number is assigned. After the committee has reviewed the form to be used to request the establishment of permanent improvement projects and has reviewed the time schedule for considering such requests as proposed by the board, requests to establish permanent improvement projects shall be made in such form and at such times as the board may require.

Any proposal to finance all or any part of any project using any funds not previously authorized specifically for the project by the General Assembly or using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall be referred to the committee for review prior to approval by the board.

Any proposed revision of the scope or of the budget of an established permanent improvement project deemed by the board to be substantial shall be referred to the committee for its review prior to any final action by the board. In making their determinations regarding changes in project scope, the board and the committee shall utilize the permanent improvement project proposal and justification statements, together with any supporting documentation, considered at the time the project was authorized or established originally. Any proposal to increase the budget of a previously approved project using any funds not previously approved for the project by the board and reviewed by the committee shall in all cases be deemed to be a substantial revision of a project budget which shall be referred to the committee for review. The committee shall be advised promptly of all actions taken by the board which approve revisions in the scope of or the budget of any previously established permanent improvement project not deemed substantial by the board.

For purposes of this chapter, with regard to all institutions of higher learning, permanent improvement project is defined as:

(1) acquisition of land, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(2) acquisition, as opposed to the construction, of buildings or other structures, regardless of cost, with staff level review of the committee and the Budget and Control Board, Capital Budget Office, up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars;

(3) ~~construction of additional facilities and~~ work on existing facilities for any given project including their renovation, repair, maintenance, alteration, or demolition in those instances in which the total cost of all work involved is ~~five hundred thousand~~ one million dollars or more;

(4) architectural and engineering and other types of planning and design work, regardless of cost, which is intended to result in a permanent improvement project. Master plans and feasibility studies are not permanent improvement projects and are not to be included;

(5) capital lease purchase of a facility acquisition or construction in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; ~~and~~

(6) equipment that either becomes a permanent fixture of a facility or does not become permanent but is included in the construction contract shall be included as a part of a project in which the total cost is one million dollars or more; and

(7) new construction of a facility that exceeds a total cost of five hundred thousand dollars.

Any permanent improvement project that meets the above definition must become a project, regardless of the source of funds. However, an institution of higher learning that has been authorized or appropriated capital improvement bond funds, capital reserve fund or state appropriated funds, or state infrastructure bond funds by the General Assembly for capital improvements shall process a permanent improvement project, regardless of the amount.

For purposes of establishing permanent improvement projects, Clemson University Public Service Activities (Clemson‑PSA) and South Carolina State University Public Service Activities (SC State‑PSA) are subject to the provisions of this chapter.”

Part V

Procurement Code Revisions

SECTION 5. Section 11‑35‑1210 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding an appropriately numbered subsection at the end to read:

“( ) Subject to subsection (1), the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, in coordination with the appropriate Chief Procurement Officer, may approve a cumulative total of up to fifty thousand dollars in additional procurement authority for technical colleges, provided that the designated board office makes no material audit findings concerning procurement. As provided by regulation, any authority granted pursuant to this paragraph is effective when certified in writing by the designated board office.”

SECTION 6. Section 11‑35‑1550 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑1550. (1) Authority. The following small purchase procedures may be utilized only in conducting procurements for governmental bodies that are up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value. A governmental body may conduct its own procurement up to fifty thousand dollars in actual or potential value, and a governmental body that has received procurement certification pursuant to Section 11‑35‑1210 to handle the type and estimated value of the procurement may conduct the procurement under its own authority in accordance with this code. Procurement requirements must not be artificially divided by governmental bodies so as to constitute a small purchase pursuant to this section.

(2) Competition and Price Reasonableness.

(a) Purchases not in excess of two thousand five hundred dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, small purchases not exceeding two thousand five hundred dollars may be accomplished without securing competitive quotations if the prices are considered reasonable. The purchasing office must annotate the purchase requisition: ‘Price is fair and reasonable’ and sign. The purchases must be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers. When practical, a quotation must be solicited from other than the previous supplier before placing a repeat order. The administrative cost of verifying the reasonableness of the price of purchase ‘not in excess of’ may more than offset potential savings in detecting instances of overpricing. Action to verify the reasonableness of the price need be taken only when the procurement officer of the governmental body suspects that the price may not be reasonable, comparison to previous price paid, or personal knowledge of the item involved.

(b) Purchases over two thousand five hundred dollars to ten thousand dollars. Except as provided in subitem (d) below, solicitation of written quotes from a minimum of three qualified sources of supply must be made and documentation of the quotes attached to the purchase requisition for a small purchase over two thousand five hundred dollars but not in excess of ten thousand dollars. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible sources.

(c) Purchases over ten thousand dollars up to fifty thousand dollars. Written solicitation of written quotes, bids, or proposals must be made for a small purchase over ten thousand dollars but not in excess of fifty thousand dollars. The procurement must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. A copy of the written solicitation and written quotes must be attached to the purchase requisition. The award must be made to the lowest responsive and responsible source or, when a request for proposal process is used, the highest ranking offeror.

(d) For public institutions of higher learning in this State excluding technical colleges, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subitem (a) apply are those purchases not exceeding ten thousand dollars, and for these purchases subitem (b) above does not apply. In addition, purchasing cards of the institution for these purchases also may be used by officials or employees of the institution as the governing board approves.

(3) All competitive procurements above ten thousand dollars must be advertised at least once in the South Carolina Business Opportunities publication or through a means of central electronic advertising as approved by the designated board office. Governmental bodies may charge vendors the cost incurred for copying and mailing bid or proposal documents requested in response to a procurement.

(4) The Division of Aeronautics of the Department of Commerce may act as its own purchasing agency for all procurements of maintenance services for aircraft and these procurements may be conducted pursuant to ~~Section 11‑35‑1550~~ subsection (2)(b).

(5) For a technical college authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, small purchase amounts to which the provisions of subsection (2)(a) apply are those purchases up to an amount not to exceed ten thousand dollars. If authority is approved, a technical college may use purchasing cards for these purchases up to the amount approved by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education.”

SECTION 7. Section 11‑35‑3310 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑3310. (1) General Applicability. Indefinite delivery contracts may be awarded on an as‑needed basis for construction services pursuant to the procedures in Section 11‑35‑3015(2)(b) and for architectural‑engineering and land surveying services pursuant to Section 11‑35‑3220.

(a) Construction Services. When construction services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of seven hundred fifty thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be one million dollars for total expenditures and two hundred fifty thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(b) Architectural‑Engineering and Land-Surveying Services. When architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services contracts are awarded, each contract ~~shall~~ must be limited to a total expenditure of three hundred thousand dollars for a two‑year period with individual project expenditures not to exceed one hundred thousand dollars; however, for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college service contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, these limits shall be five hundred thousand dollars for total expenditures and two hundred thousand dollars for individual expenditures within the time periods specified.

(2) Small Indefinite Delivery Contracts. Small indefinite delivery contracts for architectural‑engineering and land-surveying services may be procured as provided in Section 11‑35‑3230. A contract established under this section ~~shall~~ must be subject to Section 11‑35‑3230, and any regulations promulgated ~~thereunder~~ except that for public institutions of higher learning, and for technical college delivery contracts authorized by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, the individual and total contract limits shall be fifty thousand and one hundred fifty thousand dollars, respectively.”

SECTION 8. Section 11‑35‑4810 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“Section 11‑35‑4810. Any public procurement unit may participate in, sponsor, conduct, or administer a cooperative purchasing agreement for the procurement of any supplies, services, or construction with one or more public procurement units or external procurement activities in accordance with an agreement entered into between the participants. Such cooperative purchasing may include, but is not limited to, joint or multi‑party contracts between public procurement units and open‑ended state public procurement unit contracts which shall be made available to local public procurement units, except as provided in Section 11‑35‑4820 or except as may otherwise be limited by the board through regulations.

However, thirty days’ notice of a proposed multi‑state solicitation ~~shall~~ must be provided through central advertising and such contracts may be only awarded to manufacturers who will be distributing the products to South Carolina governmental bodies through South Carolina vendors; provided, however, that the provisions of this paragraph do not apply to public institutions of higher learning if the institution demonstrates a cost savings to the Office of State Procurement in regard to the multistate solicitation and procurement.”

Part VI

Miscellaneous Provisions

SECTION 9. Section 1‑7‑170 of the 1976 Code, as added by Act 353 of 2008, is amended to read:

“Section 1‑7‑170. (A) A department or agency of state government may not engage on a fee basis an attorney at law except upon the written approval of the Attorney General and upon a fee as must be approved by him. This section does not apply to the employment of attorneys in special cases in inferior courts when the fee to be paid does not exceed two hundred fifty dollars or exceptions approved by the State Budget and Control Board. This section does not apply to an attorney hired by the General Assembly or the judicial department.

(B) A public institution of higher learning shall engage and compensate outside counsel in accordance with policies and procedures adopted by the State Budget and Control Board for matters of bonded indebtedness, public finance, borrowing, and related financial matters.”

SECTION 10. Article 1, Chapter 101, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑101‑55. State appropriated funds shall not be used to provide out‑of‑state subsidies to students attending state‑supported institutions of higher learning.”

SECTION 11. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑115. When the governing board of a public institution of higher learning, excluding technical colleges, adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the institution only after a public vote with the number of trustees voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. For technical colleges, when the local area commission of a technical college adopts a change to the tuition or fees imposed on students, the change may be implemented by the technical college only after a public vote with the number of local area commissioners voting for and against the change being counted. A majority vote is required to implement any change to the tuition or fees. A change to tuition or fees adopted by the local area commission must be reported to the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education within five business days.”

SECTION 12. Article 1, Chapter 11, Title 8 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 8‑11‑162. For purposes of Section 8‑11‑160 and the other provisions related to the authority of the Agency Head Salary Commission, Technical College Presidents are covered by the authority of the commission.”

SECTION 13. Section 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(2) The Budget and Control Board is hereby designated as the single central broker for the leasing of real property for governmental bodies. No governmental body shall enter into any lease agreement or renew any existing lease except in accordance with the provisions of this section. However, a technical college, with the approval by the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education, and a public institution of higher learning, may enter into any lease agreement or renew any lease agreement up to one hundred thousand dollars annually for each property or facility.”

SECTION 14. Article 2, Chapter 53, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑53‑168. (A) The State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education (state board) is granted the authority to employ and administer certain administrative efficiency provisions provided in Sections 11‑35‑1210, 11‑35‑1550(2), 11‑35‑3310, 11‑35‑4810, 1‑7‑170, 59‑101‑620, and 1‑11‑55(2) of the 1976 Code. The state board shall establish a tiered system for categorizing technical colleges with respect to their financial strength and ability to manage day‑to‑day operations. Technical colleges, by way of application from their area commissions, may request the state board apply these administrative efficiency provisions to their respective institutions. The state board shall review the technical college’s request and determine the proper category for the technical college.

(B) The state board shall establish an advisory board to provide oversight and review of the provisions of this chapter. The state board shall submit an annual report on oversight to the Governor, the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, and the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee by November fifteenth of each year and shall submit a report every two years to include how changes have benefitted the agency to the Governor and the Chairmen of the House Ways and Means Committee, the Senate Finance Committee, the House Education and Public Works Committee, and the Senate Education Committee.”

SECTION 15. Chapter 112, Title 59 of the 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 59‑112‑140. The area commission for the Florence‑Darlington Technical College may waive the requirements of this chapter for student participants in the Caterpillar Dealer Academy operated by Florence‑Darlington Technical College.”

SECTION 16. Section 2‑77‑20(C) of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“(C) An institution seeking to qualify as an eligible institution must submit an annual application to the commission. The commission must certify the eligibility of institutions seeking contracts pursuant to this section. ~~Of the~~ The funds appropriated for this program~~, one‑half~~ must be allocated equally among the eligible institutions. ~~The remainder of the appropriated funds shall be awarded to eligible institutions based upon merit, through criteria developed by the Commission on Higher Education.~~”

SECTION 17. Section 59‑142‑40 of the 1976 Code is amended to read:

“~~The provisions of this chapter apply to eligible students beginning in the 1996‑97 academic year.~~ Funds must be allocated in a given year to institutions using a methodology that considers state resident Pell Grant recipients so that each public institution shall receive an amount sufficient to provide a similar level of support per state resident Pell recipient when compared to tuition and required fees. However, no institution shall receive a smaller proportion of funding than would be provided under the student enrollment methodology used in years prior to fiscal year 2008‑09 ~~based on the percentage of the state full‑time enrollment enrolled at the institutions in the preceding year~~. Funds must be awarded to eligible students according to the financial need of the student.”

Part VII

Severability and Time Effective

SECTION 18. If any section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this act is for any reason held to be unconstitutional or invalid, such holding shall not affect the constitutionality or validity of the remaining portions of this act, the General Assembly hereby declaring that it would have passed this act, and each and every section, subsection, item, subitem, paragraph, subparagraph, sentence, clause, phrase, and word thereof, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, subsections, items, subitems, paragraphs, subparagraphs, sentences, clauses, phrases, or words hereof may be declared to be unconstitutional, invalid, or otherwise ineffective.

SECTION 19. Unless otherwise provided, this act takes effect upon approval by the Governor. /

/s/Sen. John E. Courson /s/Rep. Phillip D. Owens

/s/Sen. Darrell Jackson /s/Rep. Lester P. Branham

/s/Sen. Michael T. Rose /s/Rep. Rick Quinn

On Part of the Senate. On Part of the House.

, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has adopted the report of the Committee of Conference on:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO AMEND ARTICLE 2, CHAPTER 101, TITLE 59 OF THE 1976 CODE, RELATING TO PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING, BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑670 TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST POST ONLINE ALL OF ITS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS AND THE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS FOR CREDIT CARDS ISSUED TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES FOR PUBLIC USE; AND TO AMEND ARTICLE 15, CHAPTER 1, TITLE 1, RELATING TO REPORTING OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS BY STATE AGENCIES, PERSONAL DATA AND THE LIKE, BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS OR MONTHLY REPORTS CONTAINING ALL OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL THE SAME INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS.

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that the Report of the Committee of Conference having been adopted by both Houses, and this Bill having been read three times in each House, it was ordered that the title thereof be changed to that of an Act and that it be enrolled for ratification:

S. 172 -- Senators Rose, Fair, Leatherman, Bright, Bryant, Campsen, Knotts, O’Dell, S. Martin, Ford and McGill: A BILL TO AMEND ARTICLE 2, CHAPTER 101, TITLE 59 OF THE 1976 CODE, RELATING TO PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING, BY ADDING SECTION 59‑101‑670 TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST MAINTAIN A DETAILED TRANSACTION REGISTER OF ALL FUNDS EXPENDED EACH MONTH AND POST THAT REGISTER ONLINE, AND TO PROVIDE THAT EACH PUBLIC INSTITUTION OF HIGHER LEARNING MUST POST ONLINE ALL OF ITS CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS AND THE CREDIT CARD STATEMENTS FOR CREDIT CARDS ISSUED TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND EMPLOYEES FOR PUBLIC USE; AND TO AMEND ARTICLE 15, CHAPTER 1, TITLE 1, RELATING TO REPORTING OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE APPROPRIATED FUNDS BY STATE AGENCIES, PERSONAL DATA AND THE LIKE, BY ADDING SECTION 1‑1‑1040 TO PROVIDE THAT ALL STATE AGENCIES MUST HAVE A LINK ON THEIR INTERNET WEBSITE TO THE STATE AGENCY RESPONSIBLE FOR POSTING ON ITS INTERNET WEBSITE THE AGENCY’S, DEPARTMENT’S, OR INSTITUTION’S MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS OR MONTHLY REPORTS CONTAINING ALL OR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL THE SAME INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE MONTHLY STATE PROCUREMENT CARD STATEMENTS.

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**THE SENATE PROCEEDED TO THE REAPPORTIONMENT BILLS.**

**DEBATE INTERRUPTED**

H. 3992 -- Reps. Harrell, Lucas, Harrison, Clemmons, Barfield, Cooper, Hardwick, Owens, Sandifer, G.R. Smith, J.R. Smith, White, Bingham and Erickson: A BILL TO AMEND SECTION 1‑1‑715, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE UNITED STATES CENSUS, SO AS TO ADOPT THE UNITED STATES CENSUS OF 2010 AS THE TRUE AND CORRECT ENUMERATION OF INHABITANTS OF THIS STATE; TO ADD SECTION 7‑19‑35, SO AS TO ESTABLISH SEVEN ELECTION DISTRICTS FROM WHICH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS FOR SOUTH CAROLINA ARE ELECTED COMMENCING WITH THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTION; TO REPEAL SECTION 7‑19‑40, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FROM WHICH SOUTH CAROLINA MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WERE FORMERLY ELECTED; TO JOINTLY DESIGNATE THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE OF THE SENATE AND THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS THE APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE SUBMITTING AUTHORITY TO MAKE THE REQUIRED SUBMISSION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT PLAN TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNDER THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT; AND TO PROVIDE THAT A MEMBER OF ANY BOARD, COMMISSION, OR COMMITTEE REPRESENTING A CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT, WHOSE RESIDENCY IS TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER DISTRICT BY THIS ACT, MAY SERVE, OR CONTINUE TO SERVE HIS TERM IN OFFICE; HOWEVER, THE APPOINTING OR ELECTING AUTHORITY MAY ADD AN ADDITIONAL MEMBER ON A BOARD, COMMISSION, OR COMMITTEE WHICH LOSES A RESIDENT MEMBER.

The Senate proceeded to a consideration of the Bill, the question being the third reading of the Bill.

Senator McCONNELL was recognized to speak on the Bill.

On motion of Senator PEELER, with unanimous consent, debate was interrupted by recess, with Senator McCONNELL retaining the floor.

**RECESS**

At 12:52 P.M., with Senator McCONNELL retaining the floor, on motion of Senator PEELER, with unanimous consent, the Senate receded from business until 1:45 P.M.

**AFTERNOON SESSION**

The Senate reassembled at 2:20 P.M. and was called to order by the PRESIDENT.

**AMENDED, READ THE THIRD TIME**

**RETURNED TO THE HOUSE WITH AMENDMENTS**

H. 3992 -- Reps. Harrell, Lucas, Harrison, Clemmons, Barfield, Cooper, Hardwick, Owens, Sandifer, G.R. Smith, J.R. Smith, White, Bingham and Erickson: A BILL TO AMEND SECTION 1‑1‑715, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE UNITED STATES CENSUS, SO AS TO ADOPT THE UNITED STATES CENSUS OF 2010 AS THE TRUE AND CORRECT ENUMERATION OF INHABITANTS OF THIS STATE; TO ADD SECTION 7‑19‑35, SO AS TO ESTABLISH SEVEN ELECTION DISTRICTS FROM WHICH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS FOR SOUTH CAROLINA ARE ELECTED COMMENCING WITH THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTION; TO REPEAL SECTION 7‑19‑40, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FROM WHICH SOUTH CAROLINA MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WERE FORMERLY ELECTED; TO JOINTLY DESIGNATE THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE OF THE SENATE AND THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS THE APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE SUBMITTING AUTHORITY TO MAKE THE REQUIRED SUBMISSION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT PLAN TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNDER THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT; AND TO PROVIDE THAT A MEMBER OF ANY BOARD, COMMISSION, OR COMMITTEE REPRESENTING A CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT, WHOSE RESIDENCY IS TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER DISTRICT BY THIS ACT, MAY SERVE, OR CONTINUE TO SERVE HIS TERM IN OFFICE; HOWEVER, THE APPOINTING OR ELECTING AUTHORITY MAY ADD AN ADDITIONAL MEMBER ON A BOARD, COMMISSION, OR COMMITTEE WHICH LOSES A RESIDENT MEMBER.

The Senate resumed consideration of the Bill, the question being the third reading of the Bill.

Senator McCONNELL was recognized to speak on the Bill.

Senator LEVENTIS asked unanimous consent to make a motion to take up Amendment No. 37 for immediate consideration.

There was no objection.

**Amendment No. 37**

Senator McCONNELL proposed the following amendment (JUD3992.039), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, beginning on page 2, line 17, by striking SECTION 2 in PART II in its entirety and inserting therein the following:

/ SECTION 2. The 1976 Code is amended by adding:

“Section 7‑19‑35. The State is divided into seven congressional districts as follows:

“DISTRICT 1

Area Population

Charleston County 350,209

Georgetown County

Black River 2,331

Carver’s Ferry 310

Dreamkeepers 1,561

Georgetown No. 1 1,052

Georgetown No. 2 2,708

Georgetown No. 3 730

Georgetown No. 4 2,623

Kensington 1,468

Murrell’s Inlet No. 1 2,876

Murrell’s Inlet No. 2 2,480

Murrell’s Inlet No. 3 1,013

Murrell’s Inlet No. 4 1,562

Myersville 633

Pawley’s Island No. 1 2,913

Pawley’s Island No. 2 3,689

Pawley’s Island No. 3 2,293

Pawley’s Island No. 4 2,501

Pawley’s Island No. 5 2,962

Pee Dee 760

Penny Royal

Tract 9207

Blocks: 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3018, 3020, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3030, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3043, 4000, 4003, 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4012, 4013, 4014, 4015, 4016, 4017, 4018, 4019, 4020, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4028, 4055, 4056, 4100, 4107, 4108, 4109, 4110, 4111, 4112, 4118 1,029

Penny Royal Subtotal 1,029

Plantersville 964

Sampit

Tract 9208

Blocks: 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066 1

Sampit Subtotal 1

Santee

Tract 9208

Blocks: 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2152, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2175, 2177, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2226, 2227, 2228, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3043, 3044, 3045, 3046, 3047, 3048, 3049, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3056, 3057, 3058, 3059, 3060, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, 3067, 3068, 3069, 3070, 3071, 3072, 3073, 3074, 3075, 3076, 3077, 3078, 3079, 3080, 3081, 3082, 3083, 3084, 3085, 3086, 3087, 3088, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3093, 3094, 3095, 3096, 3097, 3098, 3099, 3100, 3101, 3102, 3103, 3104, 3105, 3106, 3107, 3108, 3109, 3110, 3111, 3112, 3113, 3114, 3115, 3116, 3117, 3118, 3119, 3120, 3121, 3122, 3123, 3124, 3125, 3126, 3127, 3128, 3129, 3130, 3131, 3132, 3133, 3134, 3135, 3136, 3137, 3138, 3139, 3140, 3141, 3142, 3143, 3144, 3145, 3146, 3147, 3148, 3149, 3150, 3151, 3152, 3153, 3154, 3155, 3156, 3157, 3158, 3159, 3160, 3161, 3162, 3163, 3164, 3165, 3166, 3167, 3168, 3169, 3170, 3171, 3172, 3173, 3174, 3175, 3176, 3177, 3178, 3179, 3180, 3181, 3182, 3183, 3184, 3185, 3186, 3187, 3188, 3189, 3190, 3191, 3192, 3193, 3194, 3195, 3196, 3197, 3198, 3199, 3200, 3201, 3202, 3203, 3204, 3205, 3206, 3207, 3208, 3209, 3210, 3211, 3212, 3213, 3214, 3215, 3216, 3217, 3218, 3219, 3220, 3221, 3222, 3223, 3224, 3225, 3226, 3227, 3228, 3229, 3230, 3231, 3232, 3233, 3234, 3235, 3236, 3237, 3238, 3239, 3240, 3241, 3242, 3243, 3244, 3245, 3246, 3247, 3248, 3249, 3250, 3251, 3252, 3253, 3254, 3255, 3256, 3257, 3258, 3259, 3260, 3261, 3262, 3263, 3264, 3265, 3266, 3267, 3268, 3269, 3270, 3271, 3272, 3273, 3274, 3275, 3276, 3277, 3278, 3279, 3280, 3281, 3282, 3283, 3284, 3285, 3286, 3287, 3288, 3289, 3290, 3291, 3292, 3293, 3294, 3295, 3296, 3297, 3298, 3299, 3300, 3301, 3302, 3303, 3304, 3305, 3306, 3307, 3308, 3309, 3310, 3311, 3312, 3313, 3314, 3315, 3316, 3317, 3318, 3319, 3320, 3321, 3322, 3323, 3324, 3325, 3326, 3327, 3328, 3329, 3330, 3331, 3332, 3333, 3334 1,457

Tract 9901

Blocks: 0015, 0016, 0017, 0018, 0019, 0020, 0021 0

Santee Subtotal 1,457

Spring Gully

Tract 9206

Blocks: 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1055, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1081, 1082, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1094, 1095, 1105, 1109, 7017 15

Tract 9207

Blocks: 1033, 1036, 1037, 1039 0

Spring Gully Subtotal 15

Winyah Bay 1,335

Horry County 269,291

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,766

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 2

Area Population

Aiken County 160,099

Calhoun County

Bethel 1,412

Sandy Run 2,399

Edgefield County 26,985

Lexington County 262,391

Orangeburg County

Bolentown 2,173

Limestone 1 2,746

Limestone 2 2,449

Neeses-Livingston 1,991

North 1 1,859

North 2 1,966

Pine Hill 1,727

Springfield 1,817

Suburban 6

Tract 109

Blocks: 4059 0

Tract 115

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 4000, 4001, 4002, 4003, 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4012, 4013, 4014, 4015, 4016, 4017, 4018, 4020, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4027, 4028, 4030, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4036, 4037, 4038, 4039, 4040, 4041, 4042, 4043, 4044, 4045 1,466

Suburban 6 Subtotal 1,466

Suburban 7 2,380

Richland County

Arcadia 2,142

Ballentine 3,554

Blythewood #1 1,465

Blythewood #2 2,317

Blythewood #3 2,034

Cooper 1,335

Dutch Fork #1 3,071

Dutch Fork #2 4,249

E Forest Acres 1,526

Estates 6,565

Friarsgate #1 2,959

Friarsgate #2 2,393

Gregg Park 2,646

Hampton 2,856

Harbison #1 3,805

Harbison #2 1,871

Keenan

Tract 111.01

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 2002, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026 1,447

Keenan Subtotal 1,447

Kelley Mill 1,506

Lake Carolina 3,923

Longcreek 4,849

Lykesland 3,259

Meadowfield 2,333

Monticello

Tract 102

Blocks: 1026, 1027, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1104, 1105, 1106, 1107, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2053, 2088, 2092, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3043, 3044, 3045, 3046, 3047, 3048, 3049, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3056, 3057, 3058, 3059, 3060, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, 3067, 3068, 3069, 3070, 3071, 3072, 3073, 3074, 3075, 3076, 3077, 3078, 3079, 3080, 3081, 3082, 3083, 3084, 3085, 3086, 3087, 3088, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3093, 3094, 3095, 3096, 3097, 3098, 3099, 3100, 3107, 3108, 3109, 3110, 3111, 3112 2,317

Tract 105.01

Blocks: 2000 3

Monticello Subtotal 2,320

N Forest Acres 1,997

Oak Point 4,427

Oakwood 1,285

Old Friarsgate 1,652

Parkridge 1,352

Parkway #2 4,409

Pennington 3,977

Pontiac 5,311

Pontiac- Ward 26 14,503

Rice Creek 5,812

Ridgeview 7,104

River Springs 5,019

Riverwalk 3,760

Round Top 957

S Forest Acres 1,984

Satchelford 1,775

South Beltline 2,714

Spring Hill 1,618

Springville 4,369

Trenholm Road 1,183

Valhalla 3,772

Ward 12 2,034

Ward 13 2,786

Ward 14 2,038

Ward 15 1,297

Ward 16 1,531

Ward 17 1,911

Ward 23 1,357

Ward 24 1,142

Ward 25 2,104

Ward 33

Tract 13

Blocks: 2022, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3009, 3010, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018 343

Tract 21

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3027 847

Tract 30

Blocks: 1004, 1005, 1006, 1008, 1009, 1010 74

Ward 33 Subtotal 1,264

Ward 34 1,536

Ward 6 1,785

Woodlands 2,841

Saluda County 19,875

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,766

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 3

Area Population

Abbeville County 25,417

Anderson County 187,126

Greenville County

Baker Creek 2,366

Donaldson

Tract 33.03

Blocks: 1000, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005 20

Tract 33.04

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009 510

Tract 34.01

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050 1,079

Donaldson Subtotal 1,609

Dunklin 3,698

Fork Shoals 2,730

Long Creek 1,784

Moore Creek

Tract 33.04

Blocks: 1021, 1022, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033 1,323

Moore Creek Subtotal 1,323

Mt. Pleasant

Tract 20.03

Blocks: 1017, 1018, 1019, 1021, 1022, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033 3,743

Mt. Pleasant Subtotal 3,743

Piedmont

Tract 33.01

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2042, 2046, 2051, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3027, 3034, 4000, 4001, 4002, 4003, 4004, 4005, 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4012, 4013, 4014, 4015, 4016, 4017, 4018, 4019, 4020, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4027, 4028, 4029, 4030, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4036, 4037, 4038, 4039, 4040, 4041, 4042 4,586

Tract 35

Blocks: 1044, 1064, 1069 0

Piedmont Subtotal 4,586

Pineview 1,687

Raintree

Tract 30.13

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015 2,449

Tract 31.01

Blocks: 2025, 2027, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070 0

Tract 31.03

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1052, 1063, 1091, 1094 882

Raintree Subtotal 3,331

Ranch Creek

Tract 29.05

Blocks: 1061 0

Tract 33.04

Blocks: 1018, 1019, 1023 40

Ranch Creek Subtotal 40

Reedy Fork 3,744

Royal Oaks

Tract 20.05

Blocks: 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1051, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011 1,949

Tract 34.01

Blocks: 1010 0

Royal Oaks Subtotal 1,949

Verdmont 2,517

Ware Place 1 3,041

Woodmont 3,679

Greenwood County 69,661

Laurens County 66,537

McCormick County 10,233

Newberry County 37,508

Oconee County 74,273

Pickens County 119,224

Union County 28,961

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,767

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 4

Area Population

Greenville County

Aiken 2,215

Altamont Forest 1,471

Asheton Lakes 3,488

Avon 2,310

Belle Meade 2,744

Bells Crossing 3,631

Belmont 1,643

Berea 3,340

Boiling Springs 2,546

Botany Woods 2,633

Bridge Fork 2,003

Brookglenn 1,818

Canebrake 3,560

Carolina 2,520

Castle Rock 3,481

Chestnut Hills 3,078

Circle Creek 2,590

Clear Creek 2,214

Conestee 3,515

Darby Ridge 3,079

Del Norte 3,499

Devenger 2,297

Donaldson

Tract 33.03

Blocks: 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1007, 1044 80

Donaldson Subtotal 80

Dove Tree 2,353

Eastside 3,286

Ebenezer 3,274

Edwards Forest 2,931

Enoree 3,843

Feaster 2,160

Fountain Inn 1 4,156

Fountain Inn 2 2,229

Fox Chase 2,424

Frohawk 1,968

Furman 5,517

Gowensville 2,603

Granite Creek 3,094

Graze Branch 1,935

Greenbriar 2,154

Greenville 1 1,886

Greenville 10 3,655

Greenville 14 2,399

Greenville 16 2,137

Greenville 17 2,061

Greenville 18 1,652

Greenville 19 3,298

Greenville 20 1,553

Greenville 21 1,789

Greenville 22 2,727

Greenville 23 2,609

Greenville 24 3,918

Greenville 25 3,633

Greenville 26 2,793

Greenville 27 3,932

Greenville 28 1,591

Greenville 29 3,138

Greenville 3 2,981

Greenville 4 3,970

Greenville 5 2,989

Greenville 6 1,318

Greenville 7 3,324

Greenville 8 3,832

Grove 2,932

Hillcrest 2,748

Holly Tree 1,551

Jennings Mill 1,830

Kilgore Farms 2,977

Lakeview 4,538

Laurel Ridge 3,063

Leawood 3,877

Locust Hill 1,859

Maple Creek 3,500

Maridell 2,773

Mauldin 1 2,495

Mauldin 2 3,584

Mauldin 3 3,351

Mauldin 4 4,236

Mauldin 5 4,100

Mauldin 6 2,949

Mauldon 7 2,466

Mission 2,937

Monaview 5,650

Moore Creek

Tract 30.14

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 1,302

Tract 30.15

Blocks: 2005, 2007, 2008 63

Tract 33.04

Blocks: 1020, 1025 0

Moore Creek Subtotal 1,365

Mountain Creek 2,558

Mountain View 3,166

Mt. Pleasant

Tract 20.03

Blocks: 2008, 2009, 2023, 2024 65

Mt. Pleasant Subtotal 65

Neely Farms 3,675

Northwood 2,706

Oakview 3,928

ONeal 3,181

Palmetto 2,726

Paris Mountain 1,558

Pebble Creek 2,531

Pelham Falls 1,502

Piedmont

Tract 35

Blocks: 1042, 1043, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1055, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1080 85

Piedmont Subtotal 85

Poinsett 3,774

Raintree

Tract 30.13

Blocks: 1009 2

Raintree Subtotal 2

Ranch Creek

Tract 29.05

Blocks: 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1034, 1035, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1062 1,561

Tract 33.04

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1024 1,788

Ranch Creek Subtotal 3,349

Riverside 2,889

Riverwalk 3,132

Rock Hill 3,620

Rocky Creek 2,538

Rolling Green 1,827

Royal Oaks

Tract 20.01

Blocks: 1029 118

Tract 20.05

Blocks: 3012 21

Royal Oaks Subtotal 139

Saluda 2,212

Sandy Flat 3,902

Sevier 3,687

Silverleaf 2,611

Simpsonville 1 3,451

Simpsonville 2 2,557

Simpsonville 3 3,215

Simpsonville 4 3,137

Simpsonville 5 2,509

Simpsonville 6 3,288

Skyland 3,456

Slater Marietta 5,410

Southside 3,221

Sparrows Point 2,584

Spring Forest 3,084

Standing Springs 2,356

Stone Valley 3,388

Stonehaven 2,304

Suber Mill 3,572

Sugar Creek 2,838

Sulphur Springs 3,871

Sycamore 2,014

Tanglewood 4,299

Taylors 3,535

Thornblade 4,432

Tigerville 4,192

Timberlake 3,203

Trade 3,806

Travelers Rest 1 3,317

Travelers Rest 2 2,414

Tubbs Mountain 2,966

Tyger River 2,450

Wade Hampton 4,068

Walnut Springs 3,838

Welcome 4,181

Wellington 1,683

Westcliffe 3,455

Westside 4,646

Woodruff Lakes 3,647

Spartanburg County

Abner Creek Baptist 1,526

Anderson Mill Elementary 5,456

Arcadia Elementary 2,634

Beaumont Methodist 1,186

Beech Springs Intermediate 3,058

Ben Avon Methodist- Mt. Sinai Baptist 4,500

Bethany Baptist 3,217

Bethany Wesleyan 3,238

Boiling Springs 9th Grade 4,639

Boiling Springs Elementary 4,573

Boiling Springs Intermediate

Tract 228.01

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045 2,367

Tract 228.02

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2016, 2017, 2022, 2037, 2038, 2039, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3033, 3034, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041 2,549

Tract 229

Blocks: 1121, 1122, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3129, 3130, 3131, 3132 47

Boiling Springs Intermediate Subtotal 4,963

Boiling Springs Jr. High

Tract 224.05

Blocks: 1004, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026 1,841

Boiling Springs Jr. High Subtotal 1,841

C.C. Woodson Recreation Center 2,241

Canaan Baptist 1,724

Cannons Elementary 1,702

Cavins Hobbysville 1,479

Cedar Grove Baptist 2,215

Chapman Elementary 3,006

Chapman High School 4,170

Cherokee Springs Fire Station

Tract 223.02

Blocks: 3043, 3045, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3057, 3059, 3060 253

Tract 224.03

Blocks: 4000, 4003, 4004, 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4018, 4019, 4020, 4029, 4030, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4036, 4037, 4038, 4039, 4040, 4041, 4042, 4043, 4044, 4045, 4046, 4050, 4052, 4053, 4054, 4055, 4056, 4057, 4058, 4059, 4060, 4061, 4062, 4063 878

Cherokee Springs Fire Station Subtotal 1,131

Cleveland Elementary 4,501

Clifdale Elementary 1,416

Converse Fire Station 1,936

Cornerstone Baptist 2,149

Cowpens Depot Museum 2,069

Cowpens Fire Station 2,891

Croft Baptist 1,892

Cross Anchor Fire Station 1,311

Cudd Memorial 2,298

Daniel Morgan Technology Center 1,563

Drayton Fire Station 2,721

E.P. Todd Elementary 3,594

Eastside Baptist 1,708

Ebenezer Baptist 1,526

Enoree First Baptist 2,314

Fairforest Middle School 4,594

Friendship Baptist 6,039

Gable Middle School 3,978

Glendale Fire Station 2,278

Grace Baptist 2,572

Gramling Methodist 2,206

Hayne Baptist 6,189

Hendrix Elementary 5,535

Holly Springs Baptist 4,260

Inman Mills Baptist 3,883

Jesse Bobo Elementary 2,725

Jesse Boyd Elementary 2,474

Landrum High School 3,564

Landrum United Methodist

Tract 225

Blocks: 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 1090, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1097, 1098, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1107, 1108, 1109, 1141, 1144, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3086 770

Tract 226

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 1069, 1070, 1071, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1080, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3043, 3044, 3045, 3046, 3047, 3048, 3049, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3056, 3057, 3058, 3059, 3060, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 3066, 3067, 3068, 3069, 3070, 3071, 3072, 3073, 3074, 3075, 3076, 3077, 3078, 3079, 3080, 3081, 3082, 3083, 3084, 3085, 3086, 3087, 3088, 3089, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3093, 3094, 3095, 3096, 3097, 3098, 3099, 3100 3,303

Landrum United Methodist Subtotal 4,073

Lyman Town Hall 4,365

Mayo Elementary

Tract 223.02

Blocks: 3044, 3046, 3047 73

Mayo Elementary Subtotal 73

Motlow Creek Baptist 1,483

Mt. Calvary Presbyterian 5,021

Mt. Moriah Baptist 2,245

Mt. Zion Full Gospel Baptist 1,265

North Spartanburg Fire Station 3,815

Pacolet Town Hall 1,241

Park Hills Elementary 1,585

Pauline Gleen Springs Elementary 1,599

Pelham Fire Station 1,773

Pine Street Elementary 1,563

Poplar Springs Fire Station 2,985

Powell Saxon Una Fire Station 2,629

R.D. Anderson Vocational 2,091

Rebirth Missionary Baptist 4,529

Reidville Elementary 4,231

Reidville Fire Station 5,480

Roebuck Bethlehem 1,841

Roebuck Elementary 3,922

Silverhill Methodist Church 936

Southside Baptist 2,169

Spartanburg High School 3,069

Startex Fire Station 1,737

T.W. Edwards Recreation Center 2,386

Travelers Rest Baptist 4,755

Trinity Methodist 2,393

Una Fire Station 1,377

Victor Mill Methodist 3,909

Wellford Fire Station 3,754

West Side Baptist 3,564

West View Elementary 4,991

White Stone Methodist 1,297

Whitlock Jr. High 2,570

Woodland Heights Recreation Center 3,196

Woodruff American Legion 1,133

Woodruff Armory Drive Fire Stations 2,282

Woodruff Fire Station 1,816

Woodruff Town Hall 3,541

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,767

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 5

Area Population

Cherokee County 55,342

Chester County 33,140

Chesterfield County 46,734

Dillon County 32,062

Fairfield County 23,956

Kershaw County 61,697

Lancaster County 76,652

Lee County 19,220

Marlboro County 28,933

Spartanburg County

Arrowood Baptist 1,027

Boiling Springs High School 2,153

Boiling Springs Intermediate

Tract 228.01

Blocks: 2006 10

Boiling Springs Intermediate Subtotal 10

Boiling Springs Jr. High

Tract 224.05

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2007 108

Tract 228.01

Blocks: 3007 3

Boiling Springs Jr. High Subtotal 111

Carlisle Fosters Home 2,677

Cherokee Springs Fire Station

Tract 214.02

Blocks: 2006 0

Tract 224.03

Blocks: 2026, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2039, 4001, 4002, 4005, 4012, 4013, 4014, 4015, 4016, 4017, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4027, 4028, 4047, 4048, 4049, 4051 1,295

Cherokee Springs Fire Station Subtotal 1,295

Chesnee Senior Center 3,729

Colley Springs Baptist 4,043

Lake Bowen Baptist 5,419

Landrum United Methodist

Tract 225

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022 244

Landrum United Methodist Subtotal 244

Mayo Elementary

Tract 223.02

Blocks: 1033, 1034, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3048, 3049, 3056, 3058 2,949

Mayo Elementary Subtotal 2,949

Mountain View Baptist 1,980

Oakland Elementary 2,734

Swofford Career Center 4,567

Sumter County

Cherryvale

Tract 18.02

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2003, 2010, 3002, 3003, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3044 855

Cherryvale Subtotal 855

Dalzel #1 2,409

Dalzel #2 1,851

Ebenezer #1 2,193

Ebenezer #2

Tract 4

Blocks: 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3028, 3029, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3041, 3042, 3043, 3045, 3046, 4059, 4060, 4066 1,533

Ebenezer #2 Subtotal 1,533

Green Swamp #1

Tract 2.02

Blocks: 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2135, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2154, 2155, 2157 1,032

Tract 3

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3015, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3150, 3151, 3152 666

Tract 18.02

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 4001, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4017, 4018, 4019, 4020, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4027, 4028, 4029, 4030, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4036, 4037, 4038, 4039, 4040, 4041, 4042, 4043, 4044, 4045, 4051, 4055, 4056, 4057, 4058, 4060, 4061, 4062, 4063, 4064, 4066, 4067, 4068 1,269

Green Swamp #1 Subtotal 2,967

Hillcrest 1,341

Oakland Plantation #1

Tract 2.01

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 3002 813

Oakland Plantation #1 Subtotal 813

Oakland Plantation #2

Tract 2.01

Blocks: 2018, 2019, 2025 24

Oakland Plantation #2 Subtotal 24

Rembert 3,679

Shaw 2,395

Thomas Sumpter 1,774

Wilson Hall 2,184

York County 226,073

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,765

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 6

Area Population

Calhoun County

Cameron 986

Center Hill 1,659

Creston 317

Dixie 2,855

Fall Branch 732

Fort Motte 380

Lone Star 1,299

Midway 421

Murph Hill 686

St. Matthews 2,029

Clarendon County 34,971

Darlington County 68,681

Florence County 136,885

Marion County 33,062

Orangeburg County

Bethel 1,049

Bowman 1 1,967

Bowman 2 1,167

Branchville 1 1,479

Branchville 2 701

Brookdale 1,672

Cope 1,063

Cordova 1 2,326

Cordova 2 2,955

Edisto 1,593

Elloree 1 1,432

Elloree 2 1,096

Eutawville 1 2,013

Eutawville 2 2,764

Four Holes 863

Holly Hill 1 2,759

Holly Hill 2 2,721

Jamison 2,884

Nix 2,088

Norway 1,917

Orangeburg Ward 1 1,062

Orangeburg Ward 10 1,090

Orangeburg Ward 2 1,241

Orangeburg Ward 3 2,102

Orangeburg Ward 4 2,618

Orangeburg Ward 5 1,217

Orangeburg Ward 6 1,301

Orangeburg Ward 7 927

Orangeburg Ward 8 868

Orangeburg Ward 9 995

Providence 1,544

Rowesville 961

Santee 1 1,876

Santee 2 1,840

Suburban 1 1,781

Suburban 2 1,053

Suburban 3 2,201

Suburban 4 1,085

Suburban 5 2,244

Suburban 6

Tract 115

Blocks: 4019, 4029 84

Suburban 6 Subtotal 84

Suburban 8 1,188

Suburban 9 2,271

Vance 2,076

Whittaker 1,793

Richland County

Ardincaple 430

Beatty Road 2,055

Bluff 3,547

Brandon 6,192

Briarwood 3,990

Caughman Road 2,545

College Place 2,668

Dennyside 1,136

Dentsville 3,332

Eastover 3,742

Edgewood 2,894

Fairlawn 4,445

Fairwold 1,165

Gadsden 2,597

Garners 1,532

Greenview 2,292

Hopkins 3,832

Horrell Hill 3,823

Hunting Creek 730

Keels 5,834

Keenan

Tract 108.04

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038 1,200

Keenan Subtotal 1,200

Killian 1,995

Kingswood 4,286

Licolnshire 3,360

McEntire 1,148

Meadowlake 3,410

Midway 5,180

Mill Creek 3,215

Monticello

Tract 102

Blocks: 2087, 3101, 3102, 3103, 3104, 3105, 3106 394

Tract 105.01

Blocks: 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025 865

Monticello Subtotal 1,259

North Springs #1 4,734

North springs #2 3,604

Olympia 7,173

Parkway #1 8,518

Pine Grove 2,857

Pine Lakes 4,214

Pinewood 2,419

Polo Road 8,550

Ridgewood 965

Riverside 2,182

Sandlapper 5,168

Skyland 1,945

Spring Valley 3,058

Spring Valley West 4,095

St. Andrews 1,938

Valley State Park 3,320

Walden 7,768

Ward 1 6,059

Ward 10 2,176

Ward 11 2,289

Ward 18 2,207

Ward 19 2,194

Ward 2 1,017

Ward 20 2,424

Ward 21 3,172

Ward 22 2,471

Ward 29 2,217

Ward 3 2,014

Ward 30 1,297

Ward 31 1,723

Ward 32 1,345

Ward 33

Tract 13

Blocks: 3012 106

Ward 33 Subtotal 106

Ward 4 2,042

Ward 5 5,092

Ward 7 2,093

Ward 8 2,163

Ward 9 2,185

Westminster 2,958

Whitewell 3,174

Wildewood 3,528

Woodfield 5,185

Sumter County

Bates 906

Birnie 1,458

Burns-Downs 1,251

Causeway Branch #1 1,917

Causeway Branch #2 1,094

Cherryvale

Tract 18.02

Blocks: 2002, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 691

Cherryvale Subtotal 691

Crosswell 2,408

Delaine 2,372

Ebenezer #2

Tract 4

Blocks: 3027, 3030, 3031, 3035, 3040, 3044, 3047, 3048, 3049 730

Ebenezer #2 Subtotal 730

Folsom Park 2,516

Furman 2,698

Green Swamp #1

Tract 18.02

Blocks: 4059, 4065, 4070 62

Green Swamp #1 Subtotal 62

Green Swamp #2 1,350

Hampton Park 1,040

Horatio 812

Lemira 2,248

Loring 2,000

Magnolia-Harmony 1,356

Manchester Forest 2,396

Mayesville 772

Mayewood 1,987

McCray’s Mill #1 1,853

McCray’s Mill #2 2,300

Millwood 1,055

Morris College 2,199

Mullberry 1,527

Oakland Plantation #1

Tract 2.01

Blocks: 3000, 3001, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018 1,193

Oakland Plantation #1 Subtotal 1,193

Oakland Plantation #2

Tract 2.01

Blocks: 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2063, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2069 1,406

Tract 18.02

Blocks: 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1024, 1160 0

Oakland Plantation #2 Subtotal 1,406

Oswego 1,709

Palmetto Park 2,565

Pinewood 2,799

Pocotaligo #1 3,212

Pocotaligo #2 2,378

Privateer 2,751

Salem 514

Salterstown 1,580

Savage-Glover 932

Second Mill 2,264

South Liberty 1,050

South Red Bay 1,425

Spectrum 1,499

St. John 1,835

St. Paul 2,597

Stone Hill 1,029

Sumter High #1 1,043

Sumter High #2 1,926

Sunset 1,889

Swan Lake 1,533

Turkey Creek 1,984

Wilder 1,327

Williamsburg County

Hemingway

Tract 9702

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1050, 1052, 1053, 1054 402

Tract 9703

Blocks: 2011, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3018, 3019, 3020, 3021, 3022, 3023, 3024, 3025, 3026, 3027, 3028, 3029, 3030, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 3037, 3038, 3039, 3040, 3041, 3042, 3043, 3044, 3045, 3046, 3047, 3048, 3049, 3050, 3051, 3052, 3053, 3054, 3055, 3056, 3059, 3060, 3061, 3062, 3063, 3064, 3065, 5002 1,837

Hemingway Subtotal 2,239

Henry- Poplar HL

Tract 9703

Blocks: 3057, 3058, 5001, 5003, 5006, 5007, 5020 198

Henry- Poplar HL Subtotal 198

Indiantown

Tract 9703

Blocks: 4013, 4014 0

Indiantown Subtotal 0

Muddy Creek

Tract 9703

Blocks: 1008, 1009, 1010, 1012, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1069, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2031, 2061, 3031, 3032 529

Muddy Creek Subtotal 529

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,767

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000

DISTRICT 7

Area Population

Allendale County 10,419

Bamberg County 15,987

Barnwell County 22,621

Beaufort County 162,233

Berkeley County 177,843

Colleton County 38,892

Dorchester County 136,555

Georgetown County

Andrews 2,743

Andrews Outside 1,702

Bethel 1,731

Brown’s Ferry 2,210

Cedar Creek 781

Choppee 1,465

Folly Grove 1,228

Penny Royal

Tract 9207

Blocks: 4001, 4002, 4004, 4005 31

Penny Royal Subtotal 31

Pleasant Hill 1,412

Potato Bed Ferry 844

Sampit

Tract 9202.01

Blocks: 1062, 1065, 1116, 1117, 1119 121

Tract 9208

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1042, 1043, 1044, 1045, 1046, 1047, 1048, 1049, 1050, 1051, 1052, 1053, 1054, 1055, 1056, 1057, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1067, 1068 1,341

Sampit Subtotal 1,462

Santee

Tract 9208

Blocks: 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2153, 2154, 2156, 2157, 2159, 2163, 2174, 2176, 2178 338

Santee Subtotal 338

Spring Gully

Tract 9202.01

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1072, 1073, 1074, 1075, 1076, 1077, 1078, 1079, 1081, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1086, 1087, 1088, 1089, 1090, 1091, 1092, 1093, 1094, 1095, 1096, 1099, 1113, 1118 259

Tract 9203.01

Blocks: 1133, 1154, 1161, 1162, 1163, 1164, 1165, 1166, 1167, 1176 11

Tract 9206

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1029, 1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1035, 1036, 1037, 1038, 1039, 1040, 1041, 1079, 1080, 1083, 1084, 1099, 1100, 1101, 1102, 1103, 1104, 1110, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3007, 3008, 3009, 3010, 3011, 3012, 3013, 3014, 3015, 3016, 3017, 3030, 3031, 3032, 3033, 3034, 3035, 3036, 4008, 4009 2,675

Spring Gully Subtotal 2,945

Hampton County 21,090

Jasper County 24,777

Williamsburg County

Black River 487

Bloomingvale 1,249

Cades 898

Cedar Swamp 423

Central 1,187

Earles 975

Greeleyville 1,957

Harmony 498

Hebron 753

Hemingway

Tract 9702

Blocks: 1051 5

Hemingway Subtotal 5

Henry- Poplar HL

Tract 9703

Blocks: 5000, 5004, 5005, 5015, 5016, 5017, 5018, 5019, 5021, 5022, 5023, 5024, 5025, 5026, 5027, 5028, 5029, 5030, 5031, 5032, 5033, 5034, 5035, 5036, 5037, 5038, 5039, 5040, 5041, 5042, 5043, 5044, 5045, 5046, 5047, 5048, 5049, 5050, 5051, 5052, 5053, 5054, 5055, 5056, 5057, 5058, 5059, 5060, 5061, 5062, 5063, 5064, 5065, 5066, 5070, 5071, 5072, 5073, 5074, 5075, 5076, 5077, 5078, 5079, 5080, 5081, 5082, 5084, 5085, 5086 641

Henry- Poplar HL Subtotal 641

Indiantown

Tract 9702

Blocks: 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073 790

Tract 9703

Blocks: 4000, 4001, 4002, 4003, 4004, 4005, 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009, 4010, 4011, 4012, 4015, 4016, 4017, 4018, 4019, 4020, 4021, 4022, 4023, 4024, 4025, 4026, 4027, 4028, 4029, 4030, 4031, 4032, 4033, 4034, 4035, 4036, 4037, 4038, 4039, 4040, 4041, 4042, 4043, 4044, 4045, 4046, 4047, 4048, 4049, 4050, 4051, 4052, 4053, 4054, 4056, 4057, 4058, 4059, 4060, 4061, 4062, 4063 964

Tract 9704

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1008, 1009, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1018, 1069 135

Indiantown Subtotal 1,889

Kingstree No. 1 4,340

Kingstree No. 2 1,586

Kingstree No. 3 3,485

Kingstree No. 4 1,918

Lane 1,129

Morrisville 323

Mount Vernon 509

Muddy Creek

Tract 9703

Blocks: 1000, 1001, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1011, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1017, 1018, 1019, 1020, 1021, 1022, 1023, 1024, 1025, 1026, 1027, 1028, 1044, 1058, 1059, 1060, 1061, 1062, 1063, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1067, 1068, 5008, 5009, 5010, 5011, 5012, 5013, 5014, 5067, 5068, 5069, 5083 584

Muddy Creek Subtotal 584

Nesmith 750

Pergamos 384

Piney Forest 556

Salters 2,545

Sandy Bay 524

Singletary 407

Suttons 320

Trio 1,135

DISTRICT TOTAL 660,766

PERCENT VARIATION 0.000 /”

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend title to conform.

The amendment was adopted.

The question then was the third reading of the Bill.

Senator GROOMS spoke on the Bill.

**Remarks by Senator GROOMS**

Mr. PRESIDENT and members of the Senate, we have heard a lot today about how horrible the plan is that passed this body that is now before us on third reading. We have heard a lot of things about getting the butcher knife out and slicing through the Pee Dee. We heard a lot about how we need to keep the Tri-county area -- Charleston, Berkeley, and Dorchester -- together as one community of interest, one community. We are not hearing about the butcher knife that sliced the other parts of the State. We are not hearing about the slice that comes through Dorchester and Berkeley County all the way down to the Cooper River cutting over into North Charleston. We don’t hear about that; but it’s there. It is there. It doesn’t appear in this plan. Berkeley and Dorchester are separate. They are whole. Charleston is whole. They are no longer in the same Congressional District, but the other plan, the plan that this plan defeated, had two splits. But it was a meandering type of split. It split things up. It split individual communities. This plan doesn’t have that split. You don’t see the butcher knife being taken out to parts of Dorchester, Berkeley, and Charleston. The butcher knife isn’t there.

Senator LEVENTIS: I remember when, in 1981, we drew Congressional reapportionment. I remember them hauling a cake in here because for the first time in the history of the State Congressional reapportionment split a county. That county was Berkeley. I think it was the only county split that year. And I don’t think Senator DENNIS was that terribly happy with it; but he realized that it could be of benefit to have more than one Congressman representing you. But he also realized that day-to-day what the folks care about back home is results not lines, and there have to be some lines with which you are dealing. And I appreciate that. But they are not terribly concerned about the fact that Sumter and Newberry used to be in the same Congressional District. We didn’t begrudge what Newberry got. They didn’t begrudge what we got. Why all this concern when there are general communities of interest and you’ve outlined them very well?

Senator GROOMS: Senator, I can tell you why there are some concerns, and if I were from Florence County, I would want Florence to be the center of a new Congressional District. Absolutely, I would. But, I’m not. I’m from a different part of the State. One of the first questions asked of this body earlier -- if the population has grown in the Pee Dee, why not give them a new Congressional District? I will ask you the same question. If the population has grown at a greater rate with more people in the Berkeley, Dorchester, and Beaufort area, why not give them a new Congressional District? Every argument that was made earlier against this plan can be made against the plan that this defeated -- every one. Every one, except for the part about the Pee Dee getting chopped up. There are some splits in the Pee Dee under this plan, but there are splits throughout the entire State. What this plan does -- the plan that we will have up for a vote in just a little while -- to the greatest extent possible, keeps counties whole. Counties should be whole.

REMBERT DENNIS, back in 1981 -- the Senator from Sumter just made reference to it -- held this podium, and he spoke. He didn’t want Berkeley County split. Guess what? It’s been split. Senator DENNIS, sitting up there, that portrait looking at me right now -- I’m now his successor and I have the opportunity to make Berkeley County back whole. But you know what? No one in this body would vote with me to put Berkeley back whole if it didn’t benefit the entire State, if it didn’t make sense, if it didn’t keep communities of interest whole, or if it didn’t preserve core areas of our current Congressional Districts. You wouldn’t have given me the consideration, but you did -- and there is an opportunity right now to put Berkeley County, Dorchester, Colleton, Beaufort, Jasper, Hampton, Allendale, Barnwell, Bamberg whole, Williamsburg almost whole -- just one little teeny portion -- and we split Georgetown County just as the plan that this defeated -- the Senate Judiciary Committee plan.

We heard a lot about television towers. Where do people get their news? Where do you think the people in Northeast Richland get their news? Could it be WIS TV? I believe so. I believe WIS is probably the largest television station in the State, but I guarantee you the people in North Charleston and the people in Daniel Island do not get their news from WIS. So, why are they connected in the same Congressional District? Under the plan, this one defeated, Richland County and the Atlantic Ocean are connected together. Now let me ask you, do you think that’s a community of interest? It’s not. No, it’s not. But the larger communities of interest are put together in this plan. Every section of this State, you could, you will be able to say, under the plan we defeated, what do the people in Walhalla have to do with the people that live in Edgefield? Is that a community of interest? Probably not, but there are multiple communities of interest that have more in common than other places, and they’re included in the same Congressional District that this plan replaced. We are talking about the people. Do the people in Lee County -- what do they have in common, what do they have in common with the people in Winyah Bay? I would love to know. Is there a direct community of interest between the people in Lee County and the people in downtown Georgetown, down in the harbor? No, it’s not. So every argument that was used against this plan can be used against the plan it beat. And you know why it beat it? It is a superior plan in that it preserves, to the greatest extent possible, existing cores of the current Congressional Districts. It preserves communities of interest. It preserves county lines. The only difference is the new district does not appear in the lower part of the State. It appears in the Pee Dee region. Well, that’s the only difference. Well, that’s the major difference. But then you get to the other differences. Which plan best meets the criterion established by the House and Senate? It is this plan. When you look at the total number of people that will find themselves in a new Congressional District, this plan has the least amount of changeover. The other plan, with some of the changes, makes it even further apart. So, more people stay in their current Congressional District under this plan than any other plan.

Senator VERDIN: Senator, I can tell you that maybe that introduction was a good thing. Did you realize that there are several of us that are sympathetic to the amendment and your sentiments. And we take umbrage to the characterizations that we have endured for four and a half hours already this morning. We were just wanting to establish a little more order here in the Chamber because I think it is very important that even if the deal has been cut and there is a lack of interest here among the members of the body, there is still important messaging that the people of South Carolina needed to hear. And Senator, for the lack of respect you are receiving, I would just suggest that you direct your remarks straight to the people of South Carolina if the Senators are not going to give you the courtesy of good attention.

Senator GROOMS: Senator VERDIN, I appreciate that. I believe that I’m getting the courtesy of the members of this body. I appreciate the opportunity to be heard on this before we take a vote. The word butcher knife was used quite often about this plan. But I submit that the butcher was used for the plan that it replaced. I submit to you that the butcher knife was used a lot less in this plan. What we are doing here is judging two plans.

We hear about this horrible coalition of ten Republicans that went ahead and voted for such a plan as this that it was a surprise. That we some way or another broke for lunch and came back and all of a sudden a coalition had developed -- “a coalition of traitors” I think was the term that was used. The genesis for this, which I explained a little bit yesterday, was right after the numbers came out over county populations. I put on an Excel spreadsheet the counties that had the most growth, located where those counties were, also what were the populations of the existing Congressional Districts and it became obvious based on those numbers where you would put the next district. You put the new district where those populations collided. Where there was growth. Where the two Senate Districts would come together, which would be Districts of the 1st and 2nd. They collided in the Lowcountry of South Carolina. That is the obvious place where the new district should be. I hear that it should go to the Pee Dee, almost as if that were a criteria developed by the House and Senate. It wasn’t. That is not a criteria. Just because there was a historical tie to a Congressional District in the Pee Dee doesn’t mean that you need to put it there; just as it doesn’t mean you should put the 7th Congressional District in the Lowcountry of South Carolina similar to a historical, once-upon-a-time Congressional District when Jasper, Hampton, Beaufort, Colleton, Dorchester, and Berkeley were once in a Congressional District. The history is in the Pee Dee and it is also in the Lowcountry. This was represented by a member here that this is a Democrat plan and that there were ten traitors.

The Senate Republican Caucus had hired a contractor by the name of John Morgan. John Morgan is a nationally recognized Republican demographer. I shared with him my thoughts of what the plan should look like. He developed the first map. He developed this map and it was his conclusion that this map or something similar to what we passed here would have the greatest chances of producing the highest number of Republicans to Congress than the other map.

So for those that say this was a Democratic plan, it is awfully strange that the architect of it was a Republican demographer. The drawing was sent to the Senate Judiciary Committee for their review. The Senate Judiciary Committee cleaned it up, made some changes to it and it became staff Recommendation Number Two. Two recommendations were given by staff. So the whole talk that we broke one day and went to lunch and came back and all of a sudden this Democratic plan was passed by some Republicans wasn’t the case. This was something that had been under review by staff and if this plan was so bad, was so wrong for the State of South Carolina after listening to all the testimony that had been generated, let me ask you this -- think about this. Why would staff recommend it? Why would staff recommend such an evil and vile plan that would harm and butcher certain areas of the State? That’s not the case. There were two plans to consider. This plan didn’t receive the vote in the subcommittee but there were votes for it. There were votes for it in the full Judiciary Committee. There were votes for it as I came to this floor as it was perfected a little bit more. The whole time as this plan was being developed, not one person shared in this body, not one person said how bad this plan would be, how it would be horrible, and how all these bad things we heard would come to pass. It never occurred. The only time we heard anything bad about this plan was once it passed.

Senator MASSEY: Okay. I want to ask a few questions about the communities of interest, particularly about some major objections that we’ve heard so far today. And the two major concerns that I’ve heard today, did you know, Senator, were that I think it took a butcher knife to the Pee Dee and it broke up the tri-county area down in the Lowcountry?

Senator GROOMS: Yes.

Senator MASSEY: Let’s talk about those for just a minute, if you don’t mind. First, let’s talk about the tri-county area. I understand the tri‑county area is Charleston, Berkeley and Dorchester, is that right?

Senator GROOMS: That’s right. The tri-county area is a cohesive unit.

Senator MASSEY: And where are you from?

Senator GROOMS: I’m from Bonneau Beach in central Berkeley County. I represent the upper portions of Berkeley County, the upper portions of Dorchester, central Colleton County and the parts of Charleston County that include Mount Pleasant.

Senator MASSEY: So in your Senate district, do you actually represent portions of all three of the tri-counties?

Senator GROOMS: Yes, I do.

Senator MASSEY: Now, what I’d heard is that, I think you might have taken a butcher knife to the tri-county. I think I might have heard that, because it splits it up. Senator, have you seen the plan that this one replaced?

Senator GROOMS: I’ve seen the plan that this replaced. You mean the plan that butchers the Lowcountry? The plan that brings the knife all the way from north Richland County throughout the Midlands of South Carolina, that crosses Lakes Moultrie and Marion and finds its way into the Francis Marion Forest, then goes south all the way down to where the waters of the Cooper River and the waters of the Wando come together to form the Atlantic Ocean? Is that what you’re referring to?

Senator MASSEY: That’s the one that appears to give the finger to Charleston?

Senator GROOMS: The one that splits the Lowcountry. Is that what you’re speaking of?

Senator MASSEY: Yes, sir.

Senator GROOMS: As far as I know, North Charleston is still part of Charleston County and the tri-county area.

Senator MASSEY: Senator, I’m looking at this and it appears to me that if we look at the one on the screen -- which is the one that you proposed -- it’s got the tri-counties and the two different Congressional Districts, is that right?

Senator GROOMS: It does. My objective was keeping the counties whole. You’re not able to keep all of Dorchester, all of Berkeley and all of Charleston together.

Senator MASSEY: The plan that this one replaces also puts the tri‑county into two Congressional Districts.

Senator GROOMS: It does.

Senator MASSEY: And really the only way that it keeps them together is if it looks like it takes about 20 percent of Dorchester and maybe, I don’t know, a third of Berkeley and sticks it in with Charleston. I mean, the tri-county is not wholly together in the other plan, is it?

Senator GROOMS: It’s not. That is why I say the arguments against this plan are the same arguments that can be used against the other plan. I believe it was also alluded to that this is a political decision for the body between this plan and the other plan. I think you heard that from the Chairman of the Judiciary Committee.

Senator MASSEY: It was a political decision for this body, whether to choose to put the new seat in the Pee Dee or the Lowcountry, is that right?

Senator GROOMS: That is correct.

Senator MASSEY: Now, just a few questions about the Pee Dee, because I have heard a lot about it butchering the Pee Dee. One of the things we heard about was this international airport over in Kingstree, is that right?

Senator GROOMS: Yes.

Senator MASSEY: We heard about this international airport and Kingstree and Williamsburg County has nothing in common with Beaufort. Did you hear about that?

Senator GROOMS: Yes, I did.

Senator MASSEY: Did you know the previous plan -- the one this replaced -- has Williamsburg County and Beaufort in the same plan?

Senator GROOMS: Yes. I’m glad you pointed that out. Yes, Williamsburg County and Beaufort are in the other plan this one replaced.

Senator MASSEY: Is that right? Senator, we heard a lot about in this plan -- how terrible it is that you have Marion County and Horry split. Do you remember hearing about that?

Senator GROOMS: Yes. A horrible split as I recall being reported from the floor.

Senator MASSEY: Senator, did you know the Congressional Districts that we have right now -- the ones the court drew in 2002 -- have Marion County and Horry County split?

Senator GROOMS: Yes, sir. The Congressional plans that we’re operating under now the court gave us, and, I believe, it keeps Horry County whole.

Senator MASSEY: Yes. But, Marion and Horry are split.

Senator GROOMS: Yes, they are in different Congressional Districts.

Senator MASSEY: We heard a lot this morning about how terrible it was to have Horry County and Marion County split in your map, but the reality is, the situation we have currently right now, Horry and Marion are split, is that right?

Senator GROOMS: They are split. The core of the First Congressional District right now is Charleston and Horry. That remains the core of this district.

Senator MASSEY: That’s right. I also heard this morning about -- and I think we even heard about it some this afternoon -- about how the Pee Dee was split into four different districts. Do you remember hearing about that?

Senator GROOMS: Yes. Yes, I do.

Senator MASSEY: I’ve been looking at this map all day. I’m trying to figure out how the Pee Dee is split into four districts.

Senator GROOMS: Well, I understand how they’re saying that. We have Marion, Florence, and Darlington in the Sixth District. You have Horry in the First. You’ve got Marlboro and Dillon in the Fifth.

Senator MASSEY: So, Horry is part of the Pee Dee?

Senator GROOMS: That’s right. So under this map, it’s split into two Congressional Districts. Marlboro and Dillon under Staff Plan Two would have been in the Sixth District. But, that was a political change that was made that didn’t change the county splits. But, what it did do is help pick up votes on the Democratic side. To be able to achieve the objectives I set out to do, the goals of the plan, it was a necessary change to be able to get enough votes to pass the plan. And it was successful to the surprise of many to pull off a plan that actually makes sense.

Senator MASSEY: How about that.

Senator GROOMS: The thing is Senator, why is the other plan different? My tent is not as comfortable being in as some of the other tents that can be erected by some folks here in the Senate. I’ve got nothing I could offer. I have nothing I can take away from anybody in this body. I don’t. But I’m here laying forth a plan. I’ve done it in an up-front manner. I’ve laid out the goals of this plan. I’ve explained why it is a superior plan and the votes fell where they may the other day. Some people are questioning the motives of some people for voting for this plan. But I can guarantee you the genesis of this plan was by a nationally-known Republican demographer that said this plan would yield the greatest chances of having more Republicans in Congress than the other plans. And I can understand that one or two tweaks were able to be made to bring in more votes to pass it. And it was alluded to on the floor, that the people that voted for this -- at least on the Republican side -- there was some name-calling going on. There were some votes that were called out. I don’t know if that should or should not become the practice of this Senate. I hope it would not be. I hope it would not be. We’re not here to embarrass anybody. We’re here to share our ideas. We’re here to lay out for the body what we believe to be right for the people of this State and for the people we represent. And the people I represent don’t like being split in different Congressional Districts. The people that I represent don’t understand why sometimes the lines meander the way they do. When you look at it, it doesn’t make sense. It looks like something that was grown in a petri dish. We’ve done away with that.

Senator ROSE: Senator, I’d like to ask you some questions about a tri‑county area -- Charleston, Dorchester and Berkeley. First of all, the plan that your amendment replaced, didn’t that split up and pretty much butcher Berkeley and Dorchester Counties?

Senator GROOMS: They were split, yes.

Senator ROSE: They were split. They were split not along county lines but right through the county itself, correct?

Senator GROOMS: That’s correct. There was a bridge made in Berkeley that connected upper Richland County to the coast through Berkeley County to be able to include portions of the City of North Charleston and the Sixth Congressional District. The commonalty of and the communities of interest with Richland County and the communities along the Wando and Cooper Rivers -- I can’t explain that.

Senator ROSE: The plan that you introduced that got adopted does not split Dorchester and Berkeley Counties, correct?

Senator GROOMS: No, it does not.

Senator ROSE: It splits the tri-county area but it does it along county lines, correct?

Senator GROOMS: That is correct. Senator, did you know that it would be my preference for the people that I represent that all of Berkeley, all of Dorchester and Charleston be in one Congressional District? But that is not in the cards; it’s not in the plan. I don’t see how that could happen under the constraints.

Senator ROSE: Senator, did you know that’s the preference of the people in my district, too? Senator, would you say that it is fair to say that the people of Dorchester and Berkeley -- well, first of all, Dorchester and Berkeley have communities of interest, the lower part of Dorchester and Berkeley have interest with each other, wouldn’t you say?

Senator GROOMS: There are smaller communities of interest within the larger communities of interest, yes.

Senator ROSE: Do you think it’s fair to say that the people in Dorchester and Berkeley feel like they’re kind of an appendage to Charleston or sort of treated like a stepchild to Charleston? Would you say that’s fair?

Senator GROOMS; I don’t know if that’s exactly fair. I’ve heard some talk -- heard some people say that we don’t like being split. And I understand that. This is an opportunity to cure that.

Senator ROSE: Would you say that Charleston County dominates the relationship between Charleston, Berkeley and Dorchester?

Senator GROOMS: In terms of the numbers of population it does. But even as the current configuration of the First Congressional District where it includes portions of Berkeley and portions of Dorchester -- the previous Congressman was from Berkeley County in the Hanahan side.

Senator ROSE: Last question. Do you think as I do that the citizens of Berkeley, Dorchester and Charleston would be better served having the First and Seventh Congressional Districts the way your amendment states them?

Senator GROOMS: It is my passionate belief that the citizens of Charleston, Berkeley and Dorchester would be better served under this plan than under the previous plan.

Senator ROSE: Under your plan that was adopted?

Senator GROOMS: That is correct. And I’m passionate about these counties. I’m passionate about the State and passionate about the people I represent. That’s why I put this plan up there.

Senator ROSE: Mr. PRESIDENT, I’d like to ask unanimous consent that the Senator from Berkeley’s comments be put in the journal.

Senator GROOMS: That’s all. Everyone has seen the plan. You know what it is like. I felt that there needed to be some rebuttal based on the comments that were said earlier. I understand the previous speaker shared his passion for the Pee Dee. I’m sharing that passion for the State of South Carolina in doing the right thing for everyone. I’d urge passage of this plan.

Senator VERDIN: Thank you Mr. PRESIDENT. The Senator from Aiken, who has leave beginning at 3:30, I hope you have the opportunity to cast your vote. I will not be the cause of your not being able to. Senators, I think that every one of us knows that the Subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary has been hard at work since the months of February or March -- not in public hearings in March but establishing criteria for the conduction of this process. Without a doubt, those of us who have keenly watched can say that the GROOMS amendment adhered as strictly as any amendment offered to the criteria that was established by the Senate Judiciary Redistricting Subcommittee. I think that will bear out as this process unfolds over the next several months. I don’t think that there is any doubt about it. As it relates to midnight deals, midnight changes, deals and plans coming out of thin air, it certainly was not the amendment that was formulated and presented by the Senator from Berkeley. Because as he testified, I know that he, with his own pad and paper, without the aid of staff, started configuring a plan to put a new Congressional District on a Berkeley, Dorchester and Beaufort access. When did he start formulating such a plan? When it became obvious that the South Carolina House of Representatives had stolen a march on us, Senator from Charleston, to put in terms that you and I can appreciate, military terms. They put a plan out there in front of the South Carolina Senate.

So, when we started having public hearings on this matter, how many was it Senator from Darlington? Was it eight public hearings in which you went all around South Carolina taking testimony? The will of the people? The reflection and reaction of all of the people? After assimilating all that information over eight periods -- did it go to ten? Did it start out with six and go to eight? Or is it as many as ten? Nonetheless, there was ample input from all over the State. What was derived from that input? Some staff recommendations. Now staff recommendations were presented to that subcommittee, I think we were all privy to them. They went up on the state website. Further input was solicited in the subcommittee -- it gave me whiplash. You talk about spinning a ship on a dime? I’ll have to salute the Judiciary Subcommittee for that ability. The Senator from Darlington tells me that there were ten public hearings. Ten public hearings were responsible for the generation of those two original staff recommendations and upon which the Senator from Berkeley started formulating, crafting and fine-tuning his plan around one of those staff recommendations.

So, to the people of South Carolina, I can tell you, the Senator from Berkeley, through the desire to effectively represent his part of the world -- the Lowcounty of South Carolina -- to try to dovetail with the work that has been so effectively and ably accomplished by the subcommittee this spring, brought a plan that was well-crafted. It was not done in the secrecy of night, not done surreptitiously and it was not done behind closed doors. And, it was certainly not done with any coalition. I think I probably could not count on every one of our hands and toes the number of times this “coalition” suggestion was offered on the floor this morning over the last four and half hours. And you know what, Senators over on this side of the aisle? It gave me heartburn yesterday when I saw what appeared to be innocuous amendments being offered by your side. Senator from Orangeburg, Senator MATTHEWS, I almost had to apologize for not being able to support him on one of his Sixth Congressional District amendments that affected Allendale and portions of Orangeburg. But I towed the line as related to the template.

But I can tell you, putting myself in your shoes, I’m not begrudging the fact that you saw that there is an amendment over here that is being offered, that is being formulated over the course of this spring, that is being offered and has the support of about a third of this side of the body. So, not only do I take umbrage that we are accused of having some surreptitious plan plotted over here with folks that are “supposed to be in contradiction” to us on this effort. Not only am I not taking umbrage for that, I am not begrudging you for seeking a plan that had a little traction and thrown a little weight to it. But to suggest that it was plotted and concocted to somehow bust up this apparent Seventh Congressional District that is ordained to go to the Pee Dee, I resent it. And I want the people of South Carolina to know that I resent it.

And just as the Senator of Berkeley County resents that modicum of the Senate for calling out members on roll call votes, I do not know if I will engage in that practice, but when I see true butchery taking place on the floor of the Senate, I am going to call it out. The only butchery taking place this morning was the infliction of deep knife wounds to my dear friend from Berkeley. Senator, I hope you get over your butchery. I have seen you butchered before and have seen that you are resilient and you heal. I imagine that this healing will probably take a little longer than any previous. But to the people of South Carolina who want to believe that there is some element here, whether Democrat or Republican, that has nothing other than the best interest of their particular constituencies or the State as a whole, I reject it and I believe this body has the ability to repudiate it. I believe before it is said and done, we will. Thank you, Mr. PRESIDENT.

On motion of Senator ROSE, with unanimous consent, the remarks of Senator GROOMS were ordered printed in the Journal.

Senator VERDIN spoke on the Bill.

Senator ELLIOTT spoke on the Bill.

**Remarks by Senator ELLIOTT**

Senator RANKIN: Did you know that I appreciate and applaud your concerns on behalf of what we have talked about from day one -- that being the community of interest which again perhaps is only in the eye of the beholder but at least exists with regard to the groups involved in NESA. Again, you represent this area in that Pee Dee region, do you not?

Senator ELLIOTT: I have four counties in the Pee Dee. Horry, Marion, Dillon and Marlboro Counties are all part of my Senate district. I know the people in the Pee Dee area.

Senator RANKIN: Perhaps to the consternation of some, your desire is to have Horry in the Pee Dee area and in the Seventh Congressional District. Is that correct?

Senator ELLIOTT: It has been, Senator, for decades. Senator, and perhaps you were the best person to ask that having served in the House and the Senate representing both Horry and the Pee Dee area.

Senator RANKIN: Do you have folks say that they don’t want to be a part of a Congressional District in your Dillon precincts or do they tell you they want to be?

Senator ELLIOTT: If you could bring all of those counties together and have a table they could sit around, the Pee Dee area would say we want to come together as a family. We want to be together. We want to have Congressman to serve us like a part of that family. And they would feel very comfortable if they had a Congressman serving them.

Senator RANKIN: Again, from your vantage point, as a person in the real estate business -- you rent beach houses along with other businesses. You rent peoples’ homes in the Grand Strand and North Myrtle Beach area, correct?

Senator ELLIOTT: That’s correct.

Senator RANKIN: And, is it not true that many of the folks that own those beach houses live in Darlington, Florence, Dillon County and in the Pee Dee area?

Senator ELLIOTT: Yes, some of them. Not all of them.

Senator RANKIN : But there’s a connection with some percentage of the homes you manage with the Pee Dee in that those -- some of those folks own houses that you rent for them, correct?

Senator ELLIOTT: That’s correct.

Senator RANKIN: So the idea that there’s something strange in commerce at least between Dillon, Marlboro or Marion Counties from your vantage point in the real estate and beach house rental business -- do you see different languages that folks speak in the Pee Dee?

Senator ELLIOTT: A little Spanish every once in a while.

Senator RANKIN: I appreciate your taking the podium and speaking on their behalf because you have sat through some of those very hearings, I believe, and have heard the folks not just the Tea Party types -- the democratic types, the white hairs or the black hairs. The folks that have been heard want to be in the Pee Dee region and they want to be in the Seventh Congressional District. Is that right?

Senator ELLIOTT: I haven’t heard anyone in the Pee Dee area say we want to be outside the Pee Dee area in a Congressional district. Not one person.

Senator RANKIN: Isn’t it a fact that the Judiciary Committee staffed by the Senator from Charleston, Senator McCONNELL -- that they’ve been challenged and their charge under the law is to take that public comment and put it into a plan. Is that correct?

Senator ELLIOTT: That’s correct.

Senator RANKIN: Thank you, sir.

Senator ELLIOTT: There are a lot of community programs as the Senator from Florence mentioned awhile ago. There are a lot of organizations -- economic development organizations that are at work in putting those counties together. It’s a lot easier to get a Congressman in there if you have a Congressman that is dedicated to the Pee Dee area and to their like interests than it would be to say have three or four Congressman. I can tell you that when cotton was king Marlboro County, which has about 18% unemployment and is one of the poorest counties in the State today because they didn’t make the transition from agriculture to other types of development early enough -- they used to be considered the richest county in the State. If you look at those old beautiful stately homes and businesses, you can see a lot of money used to be in those counties. In recent years, they’ve fallen on hard times. That’s the reason those communities recognize if they are going to have a brighter future, they’re going to have to work together and depend on each other and work with each other.

Senator RANKIN: Yield for one more question? Again, from your vantage point, you’ve been in county council for a long time. You were elected to the House and served in the ‘80s, is that correct? All right, sir. You, perhaps better than anyone in this Chamber, other than the Senator from Florence, the Finance Committee Chairman, have a unique perspective on how the operation of the Congressional District worked and the working relationship that you had and Horry County had with the Florence Pee Dee Congressional District. How did that work back when we were in the old Pee Dee?

Senator ELLIOTT: Well, we worked as members of county council in the 70s in Horry County. We worked with the other county council members and the various counties in that area. Since I’ve been in Columbia, the House and the Senate work with our colleagues both in the House and the Senate. If someone from the Pee Dee area had an issue, it became my issue as well. We tried to work on it, tried to solve it by again, working together and coming together and getting something accomplished. Our biggest problem today is we really need jobs because it has the highest rate of unemployment in the State and some of the counties probably have the top five highest unemployment figures in the counties in the nation. We can and we hope to do better.

Thank you folks.

On motion of Senator RANKIN, with unanimous consent, the remarks of Senator ELLIOTT were ordered printed in the Journal.

The question then was the third reading of the Bill.

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 25; Nays 15**

**AYES**

Anderson Bright Bryant

Campbell Davis Ford

Gregory Grooms Jackson

Land Leventis Lourie

Malloy Massey Matthews

McGill Nicholson Reese

Rose Ryberg Scott

Setzler Sheheen Verdin

Williams

**Total--25**

**NAYS**

Alexander Campsen Courson

Cromer Elliott Fair

Hayes Knotts Leatherman

*Martin, Larry* McConnell O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Shoopman

**Total--15**

The Bill was read the third time, passed and ordered returned to the House of Representatives with amendments.

**CARRIED OVER**

S. 814 -- Senators McConnell, Ford, L. Martin, Hutto, Malloy, Cleary and Shoopman: A BILL TO AMEND SECTION 1‑1‑715, CODE OF LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1976, RELATING TO ADOPTION OF THE UNITED STATES CENSUS, SO AS TO ADOPT THE UNITED STATES CENSUS OF 2010 AS THE TRUE AND CORRECT ENUMERATION OF INHABITANTS OF THIS STATE; TO ADD SECTION 7‑19‑35, SO AS TO ESTABLISH SEVEN ELECTION DISTRICTS FROM WHICH MEMBERS OF CONGRESS FOR SOUTH CAROLINA ARE ELECTED COMMENCING WITH THE 2012 GENERAL ELECTION; TO REPEAL SECTION 7‑19‑40, AS AMENDED, RELATING TO CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS FROM WHICH SOUTH CAROLINA MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WERE FORMERLY ELECTED; AND TO JOINTLY DESIGNATE THE PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE OF THE SENATE AND THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS THE APPROPRIATE OFFICIALS OF THE SUBMITTING AUTHORITY TO MAKE THE REQUIRED SUBMISSION OF THE CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT PLAN TO THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNDER THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT.

The Senate proceeded to a consideration of the Bill, the question being the adoption of the amendment proposed by the Committee on Judiciary.

On motion of Senator McCONNELL, the Bill was carried over.

Senator LEATHERMAN asked unanimous consent to make a motion that the Senate proceed to a consideration of the veto messages.

There was no objection.

Senator LARRY MARTIN moved under Rule 32A to waive the printing provisions for H. 3701 and H. 3700.

The motion was adopted.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 28, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R.107, H. 3701 by a vote of 112 to 1:

**R. 107, H. 3701--CAPITAL RESERVE FUND ACT**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**R. 107, H. 3701--CAPITAL RESERVE FUND ACT**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator LEATHERMAN spoke on the veto.

Senator LEATHERMAN moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 8**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O'Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Shoopman

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Gregory Massey

Rose Thomas

**Total--8**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override the veto of the Governor on H. 3701. The Governor, unfortunately, has no line-item authority over this spending measure, and I believe that if she had that she would have acceded to some of its contents. I must, however, support several items contained in the Bill such as the South Carolina Department of Commerce Closing Fund and the litany of contributions to deferred maintenance at various public institutions of higher education. Economic development unquestionably remains a core function of government. Deferred maintenance, moreover, is just that, and the longer that we defer it the more taxpayer money it will consume.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 74 to 36:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 1 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; SAT Improvement; $169,487.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO SUSTAINED**

**VETO 1 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; SAT Improvement; $169,487.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 24; Nays 14**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Elliott Fair Ford

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McGill Nicholson

O'Dell Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Williams

**Total--24**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Gregory

Grooms Massey McConnell

Peeler Rose Shoopman

Thomas Verdin

**Total--14**

Having failed to receive the necessary two-thirds vote, the veto of the Governor was sustained, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #1. I oppose the mountain of mandates on local school districts and would leave such programming to the discretion of the local board.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 82 to 28:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 2 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 2 Part IA, Section 1; Page 2; Department of Education; V. Standards and Learning; Special Items; High Schools That Work; $1,403,145.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator FAIR spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 30; Nays 10**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Elliott Fair

Ford Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Massey Matthews

McConnell McGill Nicholson

O'Dell Rankin Reese

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Verdin Williams

**Total--30**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Gregory

Grooms Peeler Rose

Thomas

**Total--10**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #2. I agree with the Governor that this is an unnecessary duplication of existing programs.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 111 to 1:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 3 Part IA, Section 1; Page 10; Department of Education; XIII. Aid to School Districts; A. Aid to School Districts; Special Items; ETV - K-12 Teacher Training; $4,829,281.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 3 Part IA, Section 1; Page 10; Department of Education; XIII. Aid to School Districts; A. Aid to School Districts; Special Items; ETV - K-12 Teacher Training; $4,829,281.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator SHEHEEN spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 36; Nays 3**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Campsen Courson Davis

Elliott Fair Ford

Gregory Grooms Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Massey

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Verdin Williams

**Total--36**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Cromer

**Total--3**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Vetoes #3 and #4. I favor the appropriation of money to the agency in question for this activity, and I will work next year to remove the mandated disbursement of it to ETV so as to allow the agency to solicit competitive bids.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 107 to 0:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 4 Part IA, Section 50; Page 175; Law Enforcement Training Council; I. Administration; Special Item; ETV-State & Local Training of Law Enforcement; $574,244.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 4 Part IA, Section 50; Page 175; Law Enforcement Training Council; I. Administration; Special Item; ETV-State & Local Training of Law Enforcement; $574,244**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator LARRY MARTIN spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 37; Nays 2**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Bryant

Campbell Campsen Courson

Davis Elliott Fair

Ford Gregory Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Reese

Rose Scott Setzler

Sheheen Shoopman Verdin

Williams

**Total--37**

**NAYS**

Bright Cromer

**Total--2**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Vetoes #3 and 4. I favor the appropriation of money to the agency in question for this activity, and I will work next year to remove the mandated disbursement of it to ETV so as to allow the agency to solicit competitive bids.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 103 to 6:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 5 Part IA, Section 2; Page 14; Lottery Expenditure Account; I. Lottery Expenditure Account; Special Items; Unclaimed Prizes; $12,400,000.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 5 Part IA, Section 2; Page 14; Lottery Expenditure Account; I. Lottery Expenditure Account; Special Items; Unclaimed Prizes; $12,400,000.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator LOURIE spoke on the veto.

Senator SCOTT spoke on the veto.

Senator COURSON spoke on the veto.

Senator LEVENTIS spoke on the veto.

Senator HAYES moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 31; Nays 8**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Bright

Campbell Elliott Fair

Ford Gregory Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Massey

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Shoopman

Williams

**Total--31**

**NAYS**

Bryant Campsen Courson

Cromer Davis Grooms

Rose Thomas

**Total--8**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #5. I agree with the Governor that we must move to privatization of the state school bus fleet. We must, however, in the meantime, maintain the safety of the fleet that carries our children on each school day, and I support funding for that purpose.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 78 to 31:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 6 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Greenville Technical College – University Center; $594,390.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 6 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Greenville Technical College – University Center; $594,390.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator FAIR spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 29; Nays 8**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Elliott Fair

Ford Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Shoopman

Thomas Williams

**Total--29**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Gregory

Grooms Rose

**Total--8**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #6, 7 and 8. I agree with the Governor that these spending items represent a duplication of services and ever more so as technology improves. Veto #6, according to Senator FAIR, moreover, represents an appropriation for debt service. The universities involved in these efforts should fund these efforts if they truly reflect their core missions.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 89 to 22:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 7 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; University Center of Greenville - Operations; $1,084,899.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 7 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; University Center of Greenville - Operations; $1,084,899.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator FAIR spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 30; Nays 8**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Elliott Fair

Ford Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Massey Matthews

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Reese

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Thomas Williams

**Total--30**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Gregory

Grooms Rose

**Total--8**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #6, 7 and 8. I agree with the Governor that these spending items represent a duplication of services and ever more so as technology improves. Veto #6, according to Senator FAIR, moreover, represents an appropriation for debt service. The universities involved in these efforts should fund these efforts if they truly reflect their core missions.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 89 to 22:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 8 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Lowcountry Graduate Center; $785,099.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 8 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; Lowcountry Graduate Center; $785,099.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator COURSON spoke on the veto.

Senator COURSON moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 30; Nays 9**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Elliott Fair

Ford Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Reese

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Thomas Williams

**Total--30**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Gregory

Grooms Massey Rose

**Total--9**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #6, 7 and 8. I agree with the Governor that these spending items represent a duplication of services and ever more so as technology improves. Veto #6, according to Senator FAIR, moreover, represents an appropriation for debt service. The universities involved in these efforts should fund these efforts if they truly reflect their core missions.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 52 to 59:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 9 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; I. Administration; Special Items; NFTE; $118,297.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 52 to 58:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 10 Part IA, Section 6; Page 25; Commission on Higher Education; II. Service Programs; Special Items; EPSCOR; $40,329.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 50 to 62:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 11 Part IA, Section 6; Page 27; Commission on Higher Education; V. Total Higher Education Awareness Program; $179,856.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 96 to 16:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 12 Part IA, Section 15A; Page 44; University of South Carolina; I. University of South Carolina; A. USC - Non-Medicine; Special Items; Small Business Development Center; $491,734.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 12 Part IA, Section 15A; Page 44; University of South Carolina; I. University of South Carolina; A. USC - Non-Medicine; Special Items; Small Business Development Center; $491,734.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator LEVENTIS spoke on the veto.

Senator HAYES moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 26; Nays 11**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Fair Ford Gregory

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson Reese

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Williams

**Total--26**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Grooms

O'Dell Peeler Rose

Thomas Verdin

**Total--11**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #12. This activity is not a core mission of the university which should be the education of college students.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 108 to 6:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 13 Part IA, Section 17B; Page 65; Area Health Education Consortium; I. Consortium; A. General; Total Funds $5,476,127; General Funds $3,582,260**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 13 Part IA, Section 17B; Page 65; Area Health Education Consortium; I. Consortium; A. General; Total Funds $5,476,127; General Funds $3,582,260**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator ALEXANDER spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 27; Nays 11**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Elliott Fair Ford

Gregory Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Massey Matthews

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Reese Scott Setzler

Sheheen Verdin Williams

**Total--27**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Grooms

McConnell Peeler Rose

Shoopman Thomas

**Total--11**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #13. I agree with the Govenor that these funds might be better spent.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 109 to 1:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 14 Part IA, Section 22; Page 85; Department of Health and Environmental Control; II. Programs and Services; F. Health Care Standards; 2. Total Facility/Service Development; Total Funds $6,120,203; General Funds $411,317.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 14 Part IA, Section 22; Page 85; Department of Health and Environmental Control; II. Programs and Services; F. Health Care Standards; 2. Total Facility/Service Development; Total Funds $6,120,203; General Funds $411,317.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator ALEXANDER spoke on the veto.

Senator PEELER spoke on the veto.

Senator LOURIE spoke on the veto.

Senator MALLOY spoke on the veto.

Senator ALEXANDER spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 27; Nays 10**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Cromer Elliott Fair

Ford Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Reese Scott Setzler

Sheheen Verdin Williams

**Total--27**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Gregory Grooms

Massey Peeler Rose

Thomas

**Total--10**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator McCONNELL**

This veto will not save money. The law requiring a certificate of need will still be in place and the public will have to file at DHEC and have their papers processed, but no one will be there to receive it without funding. Thus, the record will have to be developed in court and lawyer fees will grow. The backup will clog the administrative court and will deny people relief. This is no way to try and restructure. Therefore, I voted to override.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #14. The CON process simply picks winners and losers based on political power, and I oppose it.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 105 to 8:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 15 Part IA, Section 30; Page 120; Arts Commission; Total Funds $3,605,566; General Funds $1,927,795.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 15 Part IA, Section 30; Page 120; Arts Commission; Total Funds $3,605,566; General Funds $1,927,795.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator HAYES moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 6**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Campsen Courson Elliott

Ford Gregory Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Cromer

Davis Fair Thomas

**Total--6**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #15. The Arts Commission is not a core function of government. $3.6 million would fund 62 classroom teachers at the average compensation of $58,000 (and more, obviously, at the average starting salary). $3.6 million would fund therapy for hundreds of developmentally-delayed or physically-handicapped children. $3.6 million would fund a class of 72 new troopers for our highways at an average cost of $50 thousand.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 106 to 3:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 16 Part IA, Section 35; Page 134; Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research; Total Funds $15,350,935; General Funds $8,952,812.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 16 Part IA, Section 35; Page 134; Clemson University (Public Service Activities); III. Agricultural Research; Total Funds $15,350,935; General Funds $8,952,812.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator McGILL spoke on the veto.

Senator McGILL moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 6**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Gregory

Grooms Hayes Jackson

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Massey Matthews

McConnell McGill Nicholson

O’Dell Peeler Reese

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Rose Thomas

**Total--6**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #16. I do not support the complete elimination of the PSA program.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 103 to 10:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 17 Part IA, Section 38; Page 146; Sea Grant Consortium; Total Funds $6,929,892; General Funds $327,392.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 17 Part IA, Section 38; Page 146; Sea Grant Consortium; Total Funds $6,929,892; General Funds $327,392.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 29; Nays 9**

**AYES**

Anderson Campbell Campsen

Courson Cromer Davis

Elliott Ford Gregory

Grooms Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Reese Scott Setzler

Sheheen Williams

**Total--29**

**NAYS**

Alexander Bright Bryant

Fair Hayes Peeler

Rose Thomas Verdin

**Total--9**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #17. Universities are able now to determine if this is a priority and fund it accordingly, and they should make that decision.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 72 to 36:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 19 Part IA, Section 54, Page 188, Human Affairs Commission; I. Administration; Personal Service; Classified Positions; $269,759.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 19 Part IA, Section 54, Page 188, Human Affairs Commission; I. Administration; Personal Service; Classified Positions; $269,759.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator JACKSON spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 25; Nays 12**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Courson

Elliott Fair Ford

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McGill Nicholson

O’Dell Peeler Rankin

Reese Setzler Sheheen

Williams

**Total--25**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campbell

Campsen Cromer Davis

Gregory Grooms Massey

McConnell Rose Thomas

**Total--12**

**Objection**

Senator SCOTT asked unanimous consent to cast his vote in favor of overriding the veto.

Senator LEATHERMAN objected inasmuch as the voting had been closed.

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #19. I support the mission of the Human Affairs Commission, but reports indicate that they are operating at a deficit. I cannot support that conduct.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 108 to 1:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 20 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 253; Budget and Control Board; II. Operations and Executive Training; B. Internal Operations; Special Item; ETV Coverage; $513,269.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 20 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 253; Budget and Control Board; II. Operations and Executive Training; B. Internal Operations; Special Item; ETV Coverage; $513,269.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 36; Nays 2**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Bright

Campbell Campsen Davis

Elliott Fair Ford

Gregory Grooms Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Massey

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Shoopman Thomas Williams

**Total--36**

**NAYS**

Bryant Cromer

**Total--2**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #20. I favor the appropriation of money to the agency in question for this activity, and I will work next year to remove the mandated disbursement of it to ETV so as to allow the agency to solicit competitive bids.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 85 to 27:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 21 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 262; Budget and Control Board; VII. Insurance and Grants Division; C. Office of Local Government; 2. State Revolving Fund; B. Loans; Special Items; Loans; Total Funds $1, 578, 385; General Funds $878,385.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 21 Part IA, Section 80A; Page 262; Budget and Control Board; VII. Insurance and Grants Division; C. Office of Local Government; 2. State Revolving Fund; B. Loans; Special Items; Loans; Total Funds $1, 578, 385; General Funds $878,385.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator LAND spoke on the veto.

Senator LAND moved that the veto of the Governor be overridden.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 26; Nays 11**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Elliott Ford Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Massey

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Reese Scott Setzler

Sheheen Williams

**Total--26**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Fair

Gregory Grooms Rose

Thomas Verdin

**Total--11**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #21. I support the loan program for rural infrastructure, but I agree that the program is sufficiently funded for FY ’12 and that the funds would benefit other core missions of government.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 1 to 7:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 22 Part IB, Section 6.24; Page 333; Commission on Higher Education: Scholarships Funded from Unclaimed Capital Credits.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 0 to 99:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 23 Part IB, Section 76.13; Page 414-415; Office of State Treasurer: Economic Development Unclaimed Capital Credits.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 93 to 17:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 24 Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO SUSTAINED, RECONSIDERED AND**

**OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 24 Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator ALEXANDER spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 24; Nays 14**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Fair Ford Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Matthews

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Reese Scott Setzler

Sheheen Verdin Williams

**Total--24**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Courson Cromer Davis

Elliott Gregory Grooms

Massey McConnell Peeler

Rose Thomas

**Total--14**

Having failed to receive the necessary two-thirds vote, the veto of the Governor was sustained, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #24. Government restructuring within the budget evades the proper supervision and oversight that is the responsibility of the General Assembly.

**Veto 24 Reconsidered and Overridden**

Having voted on the prevailing side, Senator CROMER moved to reconsider the vote whereby Veto 24 was sustained.

The motion to reconsider was adopted.

**VETO 24 Part IB, Section 22.48; Page 351; Department of Health and Environmental Control: SCHIDS.**

Senator CROMER spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 31; Nays 7**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Gregory

Grooms Hayes Jackson

Knotts Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Verdin

Williams

**Total--31**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Massey Rose

Thomas

**Total--7**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 2 to 105:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 25 Part IB, Section 65.10; Page 397; Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation; South Carolina Emergency Response Task Force/State Urban Search and Rescue Program.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 18 to 93:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 26 Part IB, Section 76.14; Page 415; Office of State Treasurer; Audit Finding Follow-Up.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 102 to 6:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 27 Part IB, Section 79.6; Page 418; Election Commission; Primary and General Election Carry Forward.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 27 Part IB, Section 79.6; Page 418; Election Commission; Primary and General Election Carry Forward.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator LEATHERMAN spoke on the veto.

Senator SHEHEEN spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 7**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Gregory O’Dell

Thomas

**Total--7**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #27 and 28. Taxpayers should not be forced to pay for preference primaries.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 107 to 0:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 28 Part IB, Section 79.12; Page 419; Election Commission; Presidential Preference Primary and Ballot Security.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 28 Part IB, Section 79.12; Page 419; Election Commission; Presidential Preference Primary and Ballot Security.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 7**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Gregory O’Dell

Thomas

**Total--7**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #27 and 28. Taxpayers should not be forced to pay for preference primaries.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has sustained the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 0 to 110:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 29 Part IB, Section 86.10; Page 431; Aid to Subdivisions, State Treasurer; Speed Camera Citations Restriction.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 94 to 16:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 30 Part IB, Section 89.87; Page 458; General Provisions; Conservation Bank Funding LLR.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 30 Part IB, Section 89.87; Page 458; General Provisions; Conservation Bank Funding LLR.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator McGILL spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 35; Nays 4**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Campsen Courson Cromer

Davis Elliott Ford

Gregory Grooms Hayes

Jackson Knotts Land

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Massey

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--35**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Fair

Thomas

**Total--4**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #30 and 31. Not only would $2 million serve taxpayers better in the form of K-12 teachers or highway patrolmen, but also every acre of land that comes off of the tax rolls places a further burden upon local taxpayers.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 90 to 20:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 31 Part IB, Section 90.22; Page 476; Statewide Revenue: Excess FY11-12 Revenue – SC Conservation Bank; $2,000,000.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 31 Part IB, Section 90.22; Page 476; Statewide Revenue: Excess FY11-12 Revenue – SC Conservation Bank; $2,000,000.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator McGILL spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 33; Nays 5**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Campsen Courson Cromer

Davis Elliott Ford

Gregory Grooms Hayes

Knotts Land Leatherman

Leventis Lourie Malloy

*Martin, Larry* Massey Matthews

McConnell McGill Nicholson

O’Dell Rankin Reese

Rose Scott Setzler

Sheheen Verdin Williams

**Total--33**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Fair

Peeler Thomas

**Total--5**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Vetoes #30 and 31. Not only would $2 million serve taxpayers better in the form of K-12 teachers or highway patrolmen, but also every acre of land that comes off of the tax rolls places a further burden upon local taxpayers.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 97 to 8:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 32 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 472; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(1)(a) Department of Education – EFA Base Student Cost; $56,174,107.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 32 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 472; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(1)(a) Department of Education – EFA Base Student Cost; $56,174,107.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator MASSEY spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 32; Nays 7**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Cromer Elliott

Fair Ford Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Rose

Scott Setzler Sheheen

Verdin Williams

**Total--32**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Davis Gregory McConnell

Thomas

**Total--7**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator McCONNELL**

This money flows into the districts by the EFA formula. Charleston is penalized by this outdated formula even though it has been changed some. This is one-time money that is being put into the recurring base of educational expenses. This will have to be made up if the revenues do not grow or cut out of the base. This budgeting practice is what is getting this State into financial trouble and creating the budgeting rollercoaster.

Public education is a great thing but money is no guarantee that things will improve. We don’t need to spend this one-time money simply because we have it.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #32. I have consistently supported full-funding of K-12 education.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 92 to 19:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 33 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(6) Clemson University – PSA; Agency Operations; $250,000.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 33 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(6) Clemson University – PSA; Agency Operations; $250,000.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator McGILL spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 31; Nays 8**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Cromer Elliott Fair

Ford Gregory Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McConnell McGill

Nicholson O’Dell Peeler

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Verdin

Williams

**Total--31**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Courson Davis Massey

Rose Thomas

**Total--8**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #33. I do not support the elimination of the PSA program.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 95 to 16:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 34 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(9) Department of Health and Environmental Control; Donate Life; $100,000.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO SUSTAINED, RECONSIDERED AND**

**OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 34 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(9) Department of Health and Environmental Control; Donate Life; $100,000.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator FAIR spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 25; Nays 13**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Elliott Fair

Ford Grooms Jackson

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Matthews McGill Nicholson

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Thomas Verdin

Williams

**Total--25**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Gregory Hayes

Knotts Massey McConnell

O’Dell Peeler Rose

Sheheen

**Total--13**

Having failed to receive the necessary two-thirds vote, the veto of the Governor was sustained, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to sustain Veto #34. This is a private program that should be funded with private dollars.

**Veto 34 Reconsidered and Overridden**

Having voted on the prevailing side, Senator HAYES moved to reconsider the vote whereby Veto 34 was sustained.

The motion to reconsider was adopted.

**VETO 34 Part IB, Section 90.18; Page 473; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue; Item (B)(9) Department of Health and Environmental Control; Donate Life; $100,000.**

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 26; Nays 12**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Courson Fair Ford

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Leatherman Leventis Lourie

Malloy *Martin, Larry* Matthews

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Rankin Reese Scott

Setzler Sheheen Thomas

Verdin Williams

**Total--26**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Campsen

Cromer Davis Elliott

Gregory Grooms Massey

McConnell Peeler Rose

**Total--12**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Message from the House**

Columbia, S.C., June 29, 2011

Mr. President and Senators:

The House respectfully informs your Honorable Body that it has overridden the veto by the Governor on R. 106, H. 3700 by a vote of 89 to 18:

**R. 106, H. 3700--GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ACT**

**VETO 35 Part IB, Section 90.21; Page 475; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue – Increased Enforcement Collections; Second Item; Department of Education – Education Foundation Supplement; $20,000,000.**

Very respectfully,

Speaker of the House

Received as information.

**VETO OVERRIDDEN**

**VETO 35 Part IB, Section 90.21; Page 475; Statewide Revenue: Nonrecurring Revenue – Increased Enforcement Collections; Second Item; Department of Education – Education Foundation Supplement; $20,000,000.**

The veto of the Governor was taken up for immediate consideration.

Senator HAYES spoke on the veto.

Senator DAVIS spoke on the veto.

Senator COURSON spoke on the veto.

The question was put, “Shall the Act become law, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding?”

The "ayes" and "nays" were demanded and taken, resulting as follows:

**Ayes 36; Nays 3**

**AYES**

Alexander Anderson Campbell

Campsen Courson Cromer

Davis Elliott Fair

Ford Gregory Grooms

Hayes Jackson Knotts

Land Leatherman Leventis

Lourie Malloy *Martin, Larry*

Massey Matthews McConnell

McGill Nicholson O’Dell

Peeler Rankin Reese

Rose Scott Setzler

Sheheen Verdin Williams

**Total--36**

**NAYS**

Bright Bryant Thomas

**Total--3**

The necessary two-thirds vote having been received, the veto of the Governor was overridden, and a message was sent to the House accordingly.

**Statement by Senator RYBERG**

I would have voted to override Veto #35. This money ensures that Aiken schools obtain their fair share of funding under the new EFA formula.

**MOTION ADOPTED**

On motion of Senators KNOTTS and SETZLER, with unanimous consent, the Senate stood adjourned out of respect to the memory of Ms. Mary Stewart of Lexington, S.C.

**ADJOURNMENT**

At 7:33 P.M., on motion of Senator McCONNELL, the Senate adjourned for the day and receded pursuant to the *Sine Die* Resolution, H. 4195.

\* \* \*