NO. 36

JOURNAL

of the

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of the

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA



REGULAR SESSION BEGINNING TUESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2017

TUESDAY, MARCH 13, 2018 (STATEWIDE SESSION)

Tuesday, March 13, 2018 (Statewide Session)

Indicates Matter Stricken

Indicates New Matter

The House assembled at 9:30 a.m.

Deliberations were opened with prayer by Rev. Charles E. Seastrunk, Jr., as follows:

Our thought for today is from Isaiah 40:29: "He gives power to the faint, and strengthens the powerless."

Let us pray. Almighty Father, give these Representatives and staff the strength when they feel tires and let them do the work of the people. Bless these Representatives and staff as they work the budget this week. Give them courage of their conviction to do what is good and right for the people they serve. Protect and bless our Nation, President, State, Governor, Speaker, staff, and all who give of their time and energy for this State. Bless and protect our defenders of freedom and first responders as they protect us. Heal the wounds, those seen and those hidden, of our brave warriors who suffer and sacrifice for our freedom. Lord, in Your mercy, hear our prayers. Amen.

Pursuant to Rule 6.3, the House of Representatives was led in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America by the SPEAKER.

After corrections to the Journal of the proceedings of yesterday, the SPEAKER ordered it confirmed.

MOTION ADOPTED

Rep. ROBINSON-SIMPSON moved that when the House adjourns, it adjourn in memory of Cornelia Cato, which was agreed to.

ROLL CALL

The roll call of the House of Representatives was taken resulting as follows:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown

Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hart
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Mack
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
Weeks	West	Wheeler
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow

Total Present--123

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. SANDIFER a temporary leave of absence.

2

DOCTOR OF THE DAY

Announcement was made that Dr. Larry R. Winn of Easley was the Doctor of the Day for the General Assembly.

CO-SPONSORS ADDED

In accordance with House Rule 5.2 below:

"5.2 Every bill before presentation shall have its title endorsed; every report, its title at length; every petition, memorial, or other paper, its prayer or substance; and, in every instance, the name of the member presenting any paper shall be endorsed and the papers shall be presented by the member to the Speaker at the desk. A member may add his name to a bill or resolution or a co-sponsor of a bill or resolution may remove his name at any time prior to the bill or resolution receiving passage on second reading. The member or co-sponsor shall notify the Clerk of the House in writing of his desire to have his name added or removed from the bill or resolution. The Clerk of the House shall print the member's or co-sponsor's written notification in the House Journal. The removal or addition of a name does not apply to a bill or resolution sponsored by a committee."

Bill Number: Date: 03/13/18	CO-SPONSOR ADDED H. 3345 ADD: THAYER
Bill Number:	CO-SPONSOR ADDED H. 3847
Date [.]	ADD:
03/13/18	THAYER
	CO-SPONSOR ADDED
Bill Number:	Н. 4094
Date:	ADD:
03/13/18	THAYER
	CO-SPONSOR ADDED
Bill Number:	H. 4115
Date:	ADD:
03/13/18	PUTNAM
[HJ]	3

	CO-SPONSOR ADDED
Bill Number:	Н. 4385
Date:	ADD:
03/13/18	THAYER

CO-SPONSORS ADDEDBill Number:H. 4418Date:ADD:03/13/18GAGNON and HERBKERSMAN

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number:H. 4912Date:ADD:03/13/18THAYER

CO-SPONSOR ADDED

Bill Number:	Н. 4945
Date:	ADD:
03/13/18	PUTNAM

STATEMENT FOR JOURNAL

After recusing myself from voting on Section 32, Part IA and Part IB of H. 4950, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section yesterday, March 12. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.

Rep. Phillip Lowe

STATEMENT FOR JOURNAL

After recusing myself from voting on Section 35, Part IA of H. 4950, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section yesterday, March 12. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.

Rep. Chris Murphy

STATEMENT FOR JOURNAL

After recusing myself from voting on Section 58, Part IA of H. 4950, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section yesterday, March 12. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.

Rep. Chris Murphy

[HJ]

H. 4950--AMENDED AND ORDERED TO THIRD READING

Debate was resumed on the following Bill, the pending question being the consideration of Part IA, Section 1:

H. 4950 -- Ways and Means Committee: A BILL TO MAKE APPROPRIATIONS AND TO PROVIDE REVENUES TO MEET THE ORDINARY EXPENSES OF STATE GOVERNMENT FOR THE FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING JULY 1, 2018, TO REGULATE THE EXPENDITURE OF SUCH FUNDS, AND TO FURTHER PROVIDE FOR THE OPERATION OF STATE GOVERNMENT DURING THIS FISCAL YEAR AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

SECTION 1--ADOPTED

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 42 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\school resource officers.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 10, immediately after line 25, by inserting a new line to read:

Column 3 Column 4 Statewide School Resource Officers \$5,000,000 \$5,000,000 Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. GOVAN spoke against the amendment.

Rep. WHITMIRE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 71; Nays 38

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anthony	Arrington
Atkinson	Atwater	Bales
Bamberg	Bannister	Blackwell

5

Bradley Clyburn Collins Dillard Erickson Forrest Govan Henderson Hewitt Jordan Lowe Martin McGinnis V. S. Moss W. Newton Pitts M. Rivers G. M. Smith Stavrinakis West Williams

Bryant Cogswell Crawford Douglas Felder Gagnon Hardee Henderson-Myers Hosey Kirby Lucas McCoy McKnight Murphy Ott Pope Rutherford Sottile Stringer White Yow

Clemmons Cole Delleney Duckworth Finlay Gilliard Hayes Herbkersman Jefferson Knight Mack McEachern D. C. Moss B. Newton Pendarvis Ridgeway Simrill Spires Thigpen Whitmire

Total--71

Those who voted in	the negative are:	
Allison	Anderson	Ballentine
Bennett	Brawley	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Elliott	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Hamilton	Henegan
Hill	Hiott	Huggins
Johnson	King	Long
Mace	Magnuson	McCravy
Norrell	Putnam	S. Rivers
G. R. Smith	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Toole	Trantham
Willis	Young	

Total--38

[HJ]

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 110; Nays 0

Those who voted in th	e affirmative are:	
Allison	Anderson	Arrington
Atkinson	Atwater	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Blackwell	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	McKnight
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires

[HJ]

Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--110

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 1 was adopted.

SECTION 7--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 55 to H. 4950 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\john de la howe reduction.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 2, opposite /Superintendent/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$55,764 \$55,764

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 4, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
\$126,548	\$126,548

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 6, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$1,269 \$1,269

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 7, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

> Column 3 Column 4 \$9,490 \$9,490

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 12, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

8

Column 3	Column 4
\$30,880	\$30,880

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 14, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$272,301 \$272,301

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 16, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$34,450 \$34,450

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA

HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 17, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4

\$6,549 \$6,549

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 23, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$612,746 \$612,746

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA

HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 25, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$692 \$692

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 26, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

> Column 3 Column 4 \$68,961 \$68,961

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 32, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
\$179,191	\$179,191

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 21, line 34, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

[HJ]

Column 3	Column 4
\$29,017	\$29,017

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 5, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$117,931 \$117,931

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 7, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$3.250 \$3.250

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 12, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

> Column 3 Column 4 \$248,414 \$248,414

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 14, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

> Column 3 Column 4 \$90,155

\$90,155

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 22, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
\$268,102	\$268,102

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 24, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 \$87,012 \$87,012

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 22, line 29, opposite /Employer Contributions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
\$874,466	\$874,466

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

[HJ]

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITMIRE spoke against the amendment. Rep. WILLIAMS spoke against the amendment. Rep. PARKS spoke against the amendment.

Rep. PARKS moved to table the amendment.

Rep. HILL demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 84; Nays 30

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Inobe who would have		
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Atkinson	Bamberg
Bannister	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Crawford	Crosby
Davis	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrester
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hixon	Hosey	Howard
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Pitts	Pope
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Simrill	G. M. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thigpen	West

[HJ]

White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow

Total--84

Those who voted in	n the negative are:	
Arrington	Atwater	Ballentine
Bennett	Caskey	Chumley
Collins	Daning	Elliott
Forrest	Fry	Funderburk
Hamilton	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Huggins	Long
Mace	Magnuson	McGinnis
Murphy	Putnam	S. Rivers
G. R. Smith	Stringer	Thayer
Toole	Trantham	Wheeler

Total--30

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. WHITE explained the Section.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 111; Nays 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Atwater	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson

[HJ]

Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	West
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow

Total--111

Those who voted in the negative are: Chumley Hill Magnuson

Long

Total--4

Section 7 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on H. 4950, Part IA, Section 7. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of the Section.

Rep. Laurie Funderburk

SECTION 20--ADOPTED

Rep. BRADLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 73 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\bradley usc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 20a, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, page 46, immediately after line 13, by inserting a new line to read:

Column 3 Column 4 Competency Based Teacher Training Program 500,000 500,000 Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BRADLEY explained the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 1 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\childrenadvocmed.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 20A, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, page 47, lines 16-17, opposite /Child Abuse & Neglect Medical Response Program/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

	Column 3	Column 4
	1,125,000	1,125,000
Renumber sections to conform.		

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke against the amendment.Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment.Rep. PITTS spoke against the amendment.Rep. CASKEY spoke against the amendment.

Rep. G. M. SMITH moved to table the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 108; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:		
Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinakis
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	West	Wheeler
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow
	-	

Total--108

[HJ]

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill

Total--1

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 104; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson

[HJ]

Simrill Sottile Stringer Thigpen Weeks Whitmire Young G. M. Smith Spires Tallon Toole Wheeler Williams Yow G. R. Smith Stavrinakis Thayer Trantham White Willis

Total--104

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

Section 20A was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on H. 4950, Part IA, Section 20A, University of South Caroilna. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of the Section.

Rep. Wm. Weston Newton

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. KIRBY a temporary leave of absence.

SECTION 23--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 2 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm006\muschealthinnov.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 23, MEDICAL UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, page 65, line 34, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
7,500,000	7,500,000

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke against the amendment. Rep. FINLAY spoke against the amendment. Rep. OTT spoke against the amendment.

Rep. FINLAY moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 89 to 3.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 111; Nays 4

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Those who voted in the		
Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Delleney	Dillard
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
King	Knight	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	McKnight
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell

[HJ]

Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
Weeks	West	Wheeler
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow

Total--111

Those who voted in the negative are:CaskeyHillMagnuson

Long

Total--4

Section 23 was adopted.

SECTION 25--ADOPTED

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 30 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\govan denmark 500k.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 25, STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL & COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, page 71, immediately after line 19, by inserting a new line to read:

Column 3Column 4Denmark Tech Program Expansion
500,000500,000Renumber sections to conform.500,000Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 32 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\003\GOVAN 1A \$300K.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 25, STATE BOARD FOR TECHNICAL & COMPREHENSIVE EDUCATION, page 71, immediately after line 19, by inserting a new line to read:

300,000

Column 3 Column 4

Denmark Tech Program Expansion

300,000

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. HOSEY spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. COLE spoke against the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. GOVAN demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 77; Nays 28

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Atkinson
Atwater	Bales	Bannister
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Davis
Delleney	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Magnuson	Martin

[HJ]

McCoy D. C. Moss W. Newton Putnam G. R. Smith Stringer Thayer West Willis McCravy V. S. Moss Pitts S. Rivers Spires Tallon Toole White Yow McGinnis B. Newton Pope Simrill Stavrinakis Taylor Trantham Whitmire

Total--77

Those who voted in the negative are: Alexander Anderson Bennett Bowers Brown Clyburn Govan Gilliard Hosey Jefferson Kirby McEachern Norrell Parks Ridgeway M. Rivers Rutherford Thigpen Williams

Bamberg Brawley Dillard Henderson-Myers King McKnight Pendarvis Robinson-Simpson Weeks

Total--28

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary

Clemmons Cogswell Crawford	Clyburn Cole Crosby	Cobb-Hunter Collins Davis
Delleney	Douglas	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
Weeks	West	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		C

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 25 was adopted.

SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE IN CHAIR

[HJ]

SECTION 28--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 3 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\artscommreduc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 28, ARTS COMMISSION, page 78, line 11, opposite /Distribution to Subdivisions/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 350,000 350,000

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. LOWE spoke against the amendment. Rep. SIMRILL spoke against the amendment.

Rep. STAVRINAKIS moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 71 to 4.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 100; Nays 3

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

	ie ammune e are.	
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Arrington	Atwater	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brown	Bryant
Caskey	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cogswell	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
[HJ]	23	

Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	McKnight
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	Weeks
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--100

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Mace

Magnuson

Total--3

Section 28 was adopted.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 33--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 4 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\006\4SUBHHSREDUC.DO CX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, page 83, line 30, opposite /Rural Health Initiative/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
4,000,000	4,000,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, page 84, line 1, opposite /Nursing Home Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
10,000,000	10,000,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, page 84, line 14, opposite /Coordinated Care/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
16,416,551	16,416,551

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, page 84, line 23, opposite /Behavioral Health Services/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
4,350,000	4,350,000

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. HILL moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 79; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atwater
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bennett
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Bryant	Clary	Clyburn
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
[HJ]	25	

Felder	Forrest	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hixon	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--79

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill

Total--1

Section 33 was adopted.

SECTION 48--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 5 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\sgcreduc.docx), which was tabled: Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 48, SEA GRANT CONSORTIUM, page 140, line 4, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
37,500	37,500

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 48, SEA GRANT CONSORTIUM, page 140, line 16, opposite /Employer Contributions/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 12,500 12,500

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL spoke against the amendment and moved to table the amendment which was agreed to by a division vote of 65 to 2.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 103; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:			
Allison	Anderson	Anthony	
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater	
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister	
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell	
Brawley	Brown	Bryant	
Burns	Caskey	Clary	
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	
Cogswell	Cole	Collins	
Crawford	Crosby	Daning	
Davis	Delleney	Dillard	
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott	
Erickson	Felder	Finlay	
Forrest	Forrester	Fry	
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard	
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee	
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers	
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt	
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey	
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson	
Johnson	Jordan	King	
Kirby	Knight	Loftis	
Lowe	Lucas	Mace	
Martin	McCravy	McEachern	
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell	

[HJ]

Ott	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	Weeks
West	Wheeler	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--103

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

Section 48 was adopted.

SECTION 49--ADOPTED

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 41 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\prt reduction.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 8, opposite /Advertising/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to, by a division vote of 54 to 12.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 36 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\002\prt redirect.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 141, line 26-27, opposite /Undiscovered South Carolina Grants/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
500,000	500,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 3-4, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
667,620	667,620
(11.00)	(11.00)
1	

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 6, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
88,800	88,800
and if amondad	Section 10

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 7, opposite /Regional Promotions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
2,475,000	2,475,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 8, opposite /Advertising/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 13,214,793 13,214,793

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 9-10, opposite /Destination Specific Advertising/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
14,000,000	14,000,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 11-12, opposite /Sports Marketing Grant Program/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

29

Column 3	Column 4
2,500,000	2,500,000
0	

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. TAYLOR moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 66 to 4.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 9 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\002\hill welcome centers.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, lines 11-12, opposite /SPORTS MARKETING GRANT PROGRAM/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

	Column 3	Column 4	
	2,500,000	2,500,000	
rther	as and if amended	Section 49	D

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 49, DEPT. OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 142, line 20, opposite /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ by increasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
2,500,000	2,500,000
0	

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 61 to 7.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 105; Nays 0

Those who w	oted in the affirmative are:	
Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater

Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Johnson
Jordan	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Trantham	Weeks	West
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Willis	Young	Yow

Total--105

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 49 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on 4950, Part IA, Section 49. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of the Section.

Rep. Sylleste Davis

SECTION 50--ADOPTED

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 23 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\allcommerceredux.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 3, opposite /Director/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 175,980 175,980

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 5, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
260,000	260,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 7, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
145,000	145,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 9, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
113,000	113,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 14, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

				Colu	mn 3		Column 4		
				526	6,660		526,660		
Amend	the	bill	further,	as	and	if	amended,	Section	50,

[HJ]	32

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 16, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

> Column 3 Column 4

5,000 5.000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 17, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
190,000	190,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 22, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
175,000	175,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 24, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3		Column 4	
76,000		76,000	
	• •		

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 145, line 33, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
1,054,500	1,054,500

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 1, opposite /Unclassified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4	
127,000	127,000	

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 3, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by: Column 3 Column 4 1

00,000	100,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 4, opposite /Other

33

Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
1,867,000	1,867,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 5, opposite /Public-Private Partnerships/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
101,065	101,065

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, lines 6-7, opposite /Local Economic Development Alliances/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
5,000,000	5,000,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 8, opposite /Locate SC/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 8,000,000 8,000,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 13, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
566,000	566,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 15, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4	
70,000	70,000	
1	 1 1	C

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 16, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
235,000	235,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 17, opposite /Alloc Private Sector/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

34

Column 3	Column 4
125,000	125,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 18, opposite /Council on Competitiveness/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
250,000	250,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, lines 19-20, opposite /SC Small Business Development Centers/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
500,000	500,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 146, line 33, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
690,000	690,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 1, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 25,000 25,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 2, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

(Colu	mn 3		Column 4	
	215	5,000		215,000	
or	00	and	if	amandad	S.

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 3, opposite /Bus. Devel. Mktg./ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 750,000 750,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, lines 4-5, opposite /SC Manufacturing Extension Partnership/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

35

Column 3	Column 4
1,582,049	1,582,049

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 20, opposite /Closing Fund/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
22,300,000	22,300,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 25, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
203,036	203,036

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 27, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 25,000 25,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 28, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3 Column 4 230,000 230,000

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 14, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

	Column 3	Column 4	
	190,000	190,000	
Amend the bill further	, as and	if amended,	Section 50,
DEPARTMENT OF COMM	ERCE, page	148, line 16, o	pposite /Other
Personal Services/ by decreas	ing the amou	unt(s) in Colum	ns 3 and 4 by:
	Column 3	Column 4	
	5,000	5,000	
Amend the bill further	, as and	if amended,	Section 50,
DEPARTMENT OF COMM	ERCE, page	148, line 17, o	pposite /Other
Operating Expanses / by dear	againg the g	mount(a) in Col	umma 2 and 4

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 17, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
390,000	390,000

[HJ]

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 22, opposite /Classified Positions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
107,500	107,500

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 24, opposite /Other Personal Services/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3		Column 4
5,000		5,000
	• •	

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 25, opposite /Other Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

(Colu	mn 3		Column 4		
	106	5,500		106,500		
er	as	and	if	amended.	Section	4

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 148, line 33, opposite /Employer Contributions/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
1,450,888	1,450,888
C	

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL spoke against the amendment and moved to table the amendment.

Rep. SIMRILL demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 98; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley

Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cole	Collins	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Felder	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Hewitt	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Martin	McEachern
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	West	Wheeler
White	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	

Total--98

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 6 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\commercereduc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, lines 4-5, opposite /SC Manufacturing Extension Partnership/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Columns 5 and 4 by.				
Column 3 Column 4				
250,000 250,000				
Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 5	0,			
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 20, opposite /Closin	ıg			
Fund/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:	-			
Column 3 Column 4				
2,500,000 2,500,000				
Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 5	0,			
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, page 147, line 28, opposite /Other				
Operating Expenses/ by decreasing the amounts in Columns 3 and 4 by:				
Column 3 Column 4				
150,000 150,000				
Renumber sections to conform.				
Amend totals and titles to conform.				
Rep. HILL explained the amendment.				

Rep. SIMRILL moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 71 to 4.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 97; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Atwater
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
[HJ]	39	

Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Govan	Hamilton	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Hewitt	Hiott	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Williams	Young
Yow		-

Total--97

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

Section 50 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on H. 4950, Part IA, Section 50. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of the Section.

Rep. Wm. Weston Newton

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. ATWATER a temporary leave of absence.

SECTION 63--ADOPTED

Reps. CLARY and HIOTT proposed the following Amendment No. 51 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\dps director deletion.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 63, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, page 168, lines 2-3, opposite /DIRECTOR/ by decreasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
162,313	129,851
(1.00)	(0.80)

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. CLARY explained the amendment.

Rep. CLARY moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 91; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

[HJ]

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bennett
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clyburn	Cogswell	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby

Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stringer	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Toole	Trantham
West	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--91

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 63 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

After recusing myself from voting on Section 63, Part IA of H. 4950, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting.

Rep. Tommy Pope

SECTION 72--RECONSIDERED AND ADOPTED

The motion of Rep. HIOTT to reconsider the vote whereby Section 72 was rejected was taken up and agreed to.

42

Rep. SIMRILL explained the Section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 88; Nays 0

```
[HJ]
```

Those who voted in the	e affirmative are:	
Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Bales	Ballentine
Bamberg	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bradley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Forrest	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Howard
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	B. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pitts	Ridgeway	S. Rivers
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stringer
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
West	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		

Total--88

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 72 was adopted.

SECTION 86--ADOPTED

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 24 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\004\commtoctc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IA, Section 86, COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FUNDS, page 211, line 6, opposite /Alloc Counties - Restricted/ by increasing the amount(s) in Columns 3 and 4 by:

Column 3	Column 4
47,937,178	47,937,178

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

General Funds Redirected from the Dept. of Commerce

I. Administration and Support

A. Office of the Secretary

PART IA, SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 3, OPPOSITE /DIRECTOR/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 175,980 175,980

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 5, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 260,000 260,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 7, OPPOSITE /UNCLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 145,000 145,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 9, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 113,000 113,000

B. Financial Services

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 14, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 526,660 526,660

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 16, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 5,000 5,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 17, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 190,000 190,000

C. Information Technology

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 22, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 175,000 175,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 24, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 76,000 76,000

II. Programs and Services A. Global Business Development [HJ] 45

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 145, LINE 33, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 1,054,500 1,054,500

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 1, OPPOSITE /UNCLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 127,000 127,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 3, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 100,000 100,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 4, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 1,867,000 1,867,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 5, OPPOSITE /PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 101,065 101,065

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINES 6-7, OPPOSITE /LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLIANCES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 5,000,000 5,000,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 8, OPPOSITE /LOCATE SC/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 8,000,000 8,000,000

B. Small Business/Existing Industry

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 13, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 566,000 566,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 15, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 70,000 70,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 16, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 235,000 235,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 17, OPPOSITE /ALLOC PRIVATE SECTOR/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 125,000 125,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 18, OPPOSITE /COUNCIL ON COMPETITIVENESS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 250,000 250,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINES 19-20, OPPOSITE /SC SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 500,000 500,000

D. Marketing, Communications & Research

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 146, LINE 33, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 690,000 690,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 1, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 25,000 25,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 2, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 215,000 215,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 3, OPPOSITE /BUS. DEVEL. MKTG./ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 750,000 750,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINES 4-5, OPPOSITE /SC MANUFACTURING EXTENSION PARTNERSHIP/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 1,582,049 1,582,049

E. Grant Programs

1. Coordinating Council for Economic Development

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 20, OPPOSITE /CLOSING FUND/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 22,300,000 22,300,000

2. Community Grants

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 25, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 203,036 203,036

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 27, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 25,000 25,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 147, LINE 28, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 230,000 230,000

F. Regional Education Centers

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 14, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 190,000 190,000 [HJ] 4

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 16, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 5,000 5,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 17, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 390,000 390,000

G. Innovation/Emerging Industries

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 22, OPPOSITE /CLASSIFIED POSITIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 107,500 107,500

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 24, OPPOSITE /OTHER PERSONAL SERVICES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 5,000 5,000

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 25, OPPOSITE /OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 106,500 106,500

III. Employee Benefits

SECTION 50, DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, PAGE 148, LINE 33, OPPOSITE /EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS/ BY DECREASING THE AMOUNT(S) IN COLUMNS 3 AND 4 BY:

COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 1,450,888 1,450,888

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Those who voted in the	ummunve ure.	
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pope
[HJ]	51	

Ridgeway

G. R. Smith

Stavrinakis

Sandifer

Taylor

Toole

Wheeler

Williams

Putnam Robinson-Simpson G. M. Smith Spires Tallon Thigpen West Whitmire Young S. Rivers Simrill Sottile Stringer Thayer Trantham White Willis

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 86 was adopted.

SECTION 111--ADOPTED

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 84; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Bales
Bennett	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Forrest	Forrester
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long

[HJ]

Lowe	Mace	Mack
Magnuson	Martin	McEachern
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Putnam
Ridgeway	S. Rivers	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stringer	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Willis	Young

Total--84

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 111 was adopted.

SECTION 113--ADOPTED

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 107; Nays 0

Those who voted in th	e affirmative are:	
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crosby	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee

[HJ]

Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Howard	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Mack
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
S. Rivers	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	Weeks	West
Wheeler	Whitmire	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--107

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 113 was adopted.

PART IB

SECTION 1--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. COLLINS proposed the following Amendment No. 67 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\interscholastic athletic association dues.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 285, paragraph 1.60, after line 13, by inserting:

/(6) does not implement statewide indepentent contractor fees. If fee

schedules are implemented by the association, body, or entity, then benefits, including but not limited to workers' compensation, health insurance, and pension are to be provided to the independent contractor./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform

Rep. COLLINS explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HAYES raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 67 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill. Rep. COLLINS spoke against the Point. The SPEAKER overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. HAYES spoke against the amendment.

SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE IN CHAIR

Rep. HAYES continued speaking.

Rep. HAYES moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COLLINS demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 72; Nays 32

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Atkinson	Bales	Bannister
Blackwell	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Caskey
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Finlay	Forrest	Gagnon
Gilliard	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hixon	Hosey	Howard
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Kirby	Knight
[HJ]	55	

Lucas	Mack
McCoy	McCravy
McGinnis	D. C. Moss
B. Newton	W. Newton
Ott	Parks
Pope	Ridgeway
S. Rivers	G. M. Smith
Spires	Stavrinakis
Toole	Weeks
Wheeler	White
Willis	Yow
	McCoy McGinnis B. Newton Ott Pope S. Rivers Spires Toole Wheeler

Total--72

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Allison	Arrington	Ballentine	
Bennett	Burns	Chumley	
Clary	Collins	Crosby	
Daning	Elliott	Erickson	
Felder	Forrester	Fry	
Funderburk	Hamilton	Hewitt	
Hill	Hiott	Huggins	
Loftis	Long	Mace	
Magnuson	Murphy	Pendarvis	
Putnam	G. R. Smith	Tallon	
Thayer	Young		

Total--32

So, the amendment was tabled.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* granted Rep. D. C. MOSS a temporary leave of absence.

Reps. HENDERSON, ELLIOTT and ALLISON proposed the following Amendment No. 84 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\hwm\008\poverty.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 268, paragraph 1.3, line 13, by striking:/ *Students in poverty are students who qualify for Medicaid*,

56

SNAP, TANF, or are homeless, transient, or in foster care. /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 268, paragraph 1.3, lines 28-30, by striking the lines in their entirety and inserting /For the current school year, the Department of Education will continue to use counts from the prior school year to determine poverty funding for the add-on weighting. The Department of Education will continue to work with school districts to determine students eligible for the poverty add-on using the *following* data elements: used to determine USDA community eligibility to be used in future years *students in poverty are students who qualify for Medicaid, SNAP, TANF, or are homeless, transient, or in foster care.*/

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HENDERSON explained the amendment.

Rep. HENDERSON spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. WHITMIRE spoke in favor of the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. FELDER proposed the following Amendment No. 28 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\school attendance .docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SDE: School District Residence Boundaries) For Fiscal Year 2018-19, and with funds appropriated to local school districts, upon the reestablishment of a portion or entirety of a county boundary that impacts the school district boundary, persons residing on the impacted property may continue to enroll their children who previously attended a school in the district until such time as the child graduates from high school, as long as the child continuously resides at the same property until graduation. For the purposes of this section, "children" includes those children who are residing with their legal guardians whose property is impacted by a county boundary reestablishment in conflict with the immediate prior school district boundary. This proviso only applies to those persons residing on the impacted property and their children who reside with them. Once those persons move from the property or no longer have children living in the residence who are attending or will attend schools in the South Carolina K-12 public

[HJ]

education system, this proviso no longer applies to that property. A district may draw down State and Federal funding for students enrolled under this section. A local board of trustees of the district where a student is being allowed to attend pursuant to this proviso shall determine the charge a student must pay for any bonded indebtedness that student would normally pay if they resided in the district. This proviso does not require the former resident of a county to continue enrollment of their children in school in the county in which their

property was located before the reestablishment. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. FELDER explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 43 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\statewide school resource officers proviso.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SDE: Statewide School Resource Officers) Funds appropriated for the Statewide School Resource Officers shall be utilized by the Department of Education (department) for the purpose of hiring certified law enforcement officers to serve as a school resource officer for school districts that otherwise would lack the adequate resources to hire their own school resource officers. In making determinations of eligibility the department shall use the most recent index of taxpaying ability as the district's indicator of ability to pay, with districts of the lowest index of taxpaying ability receiving priority consideration. Districts must apply for funding through the department and no districts shall receive an award of more than eight certified law enforcement officer positions. In making awards the department shall provide funding directly to the local law enforcement agency to pay for the cost of the law enforcement officer that will serve as a full-time school resource officer. /

58

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Reps. KING and ATWATER proposed the following Amendment No. 46 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\school closings.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(SDE: School Holidays) For Fiscal Year 2018-19 local school districts must observe Martin Luther King, Jr. Day and memorial Day as legal holidays and schools and offices of the school districts must be closed on those dates. Districts may not schedule make-up days on either day. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. KING explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. FELDER raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 46 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE sustained the Point of Order.

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 63 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm008\school safety.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/<u>(SDE: School Safety) Districts shall utilize funds appropriated under</u> <u>Aid to Districts (or a General Fund Aid to Subdivisions) to increase</u> <u>school safety measures, which may include: employment of an School</u> <u>Resource Officer, installation of secured entrances and exists, training</u> <u>for staff and mental health services. Districts shall provide plans to the</u> <u>department for approval no later than October 1st.</u>/

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITMIRE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* granted Rep. SANDIFER a temporary leave of absence.

Rep. ALLISON proposed the following Amendment No. 69 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\CHARTER SCHOOL SPONSORS2.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(SDE: Charter School Sponsors) For Fiscal Year 2018-19, and with funds provided to charter school authorizers, institutions of higher education and the South Carolina Public Charter School District may not sponsor more than a combined total of sixty schools./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. ALLISON explained the amendment.

Rep. ALLISON spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. G. R. SMITH spoke upon the amendment.

Rep. G. R. SMITH spoke upon the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. KING raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 69 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill. The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. G. R. SMITH continued speaking.

Rep. WHITE spoke upon the amendment.

Rep. ERICKSON moved to table the amendment.

Rep. HILL demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 33; Nays 75

Those who voted in the affirmative are:		
Ballentine	Bennett	
Burns	Chumley	
Crosby	Davis	
Duckworth	Elliott	
Fry	Gagnon	
Henderson	Herbkersman	
Hill	Johnson	
Long	Mace	
McCoy	Murphy	
S. Rivers	G. R. Smith	
Willis	Yow	
	Ballentine Burns Crosby Duckworth Fry Henderson Hill Long McCoy S. Rivers	

Total--33

Those who voted in the negative are:		
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Atkinson	Bales
Bamberg	Bannister	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bowers	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Caskey
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cole	Collins
Daning	Dillard	Douglas
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Funderburk	Gilliard
Govan	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Lucas
Mack	Martin	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	V. S. Moss
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pope
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	Rutherford
Simrill	G. M. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Trantham

[HJ]

Weeks White West Williams Wheeler Young

Total--75

So, the House refused to table the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 70 (Doc Name COUNCIL\SA\4950C005.AGM.SA18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SDE: Highest Potential in Education) With the funds appropriated in Part 1A to the Department of Education, in the current fiscal year, the Department of Education shall provide each child in this state a high-quality education allowing each student to reach his highest potential./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITMIRE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. GOVAN demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 70; Nays 32

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anthony	Arrington
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Blackwell	Bradley
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Cogswell	Cole	Crawford
Crosby	Davis	Delleney
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson

62

Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Magnuson	Martin
McCravy	McGinnis	V. S. Moss
Murphy	Pope	Putnam
S. Rivers	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Willis	Young
Yow		

Total--70

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Anderson	Bamberg	Bernstein	
Bowers	Brown	Clyburn	
Collins	Dillard	Funderburk	
Gilliard	Govan	Henderson-Myers	
Henegan	Hosey	Jefferson	
King	Kirby	Knight	
Mack	McEachern	McKnight	
Norrell	Ott	Parks	
Pendarvis	Ridgeway	M. Rivers	
Rutherford	Thigpen	Weeks	
Wheeler	Williams		

Total--32

So, the amendment was tabled.

Reps. WHEELER and BRAWLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 81 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DG\4950C023.BBM. DG18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an

63

appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SDE: Sumter Schools)In the current fiscal year, the Sumter County School District must use funds appropriated or authorized in this act, to operate DeLaine Elementary, Mayewood Middle, and Rafting Creek Elementary, in a manner similar to that of the previous fiscal year. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHEELER explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. G. M. SMITH raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 81to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* overruled the point of order.

Rep. WHEELER continued speaking.

Rep. BRAWLEY spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke against the amendment.

Rep. G. M. SMITH moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 53 to 26.

Reps. WHEELER and BRAWLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 82 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DG\4950C024.BBM. DG18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SDE: Sumter Schools)In the current fiscal year, the Board of Trustees of the Sumter County School District must not use any funds appropriated or authorized in this act, including personnel salary, to close DeLaine Elementary, Mayewood Middle, or Rafting Creek Elementary./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. WHEELER explained the amendment.

Rep. WHEELER moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Reps. ERICKSON, PITTS, GOVAN and MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 88 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\crisis intervention team-erickson, pitts, govan, mace.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(SDE: Crisis Intervention Team) For the current fiscal year, the Department of Education and the State Law Enforcement Division must develop within existing staff a Crisis Intervention Team to coordinate, collect and compile Crisis Intervention & School Safety Plans from each school district with their input. The report shall include recommendations for the General Assembly to consider which may include, but are not limited to, physical building security, bullet proof and access controlled doors, RFID chip in student identification cards, mental health services, school resource officers, and other school safety measures. Total costs associated with each recommendation shall be included in the report. If additional funding is required to implement the recommendations, the Department of Education and the State Law Enforcement Division are directed to include the recommended funds in their fiscal year 2019-20 agency budget plan. The report shall be submitted to the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee and the Governor by December 31, 2018./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. ERICKSON explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. KING and ATWATER proposed the following Amendment No. 91 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\ SCHOOL HOLIDAY SAVINGS2.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 1, DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, page 295, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(SDE: School Holidays) For Fiscal Year 2018-19 local school districts must observe Martin Luther King, Jr. Day and memorial Day as legal holidays and schools and offices of the school districts must be closed on those dates. Districts may not schedule make-up days on either day. Schools and school districts may utilize the funds realized from

[HJ]

observing those holidays to provide educational training related to the Martin Luther King Jr. Day and Memorial Day observance. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. KING explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 111; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton

[HJ]

W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	West
Wheeler	White	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow

Total--111

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 1, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 3--ADOPTED

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 16 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\hill lottery 3.2.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 325, paragraph 3.2, line 34, by striking the proviso in its entirety.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 18 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\hill lottery readysc.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 331, paragraph 3.6, line 8, by striking:/ (9)State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education-ReadySC Direct Training \$9,432,046 /

[HJ]

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 331, paragraph 3.6, line 11, opposite (11) Lottery Reserve Trust Fund by striking /<u>\$41,272,877</u>/ and inserting /<u>\$50,704,923</u>/

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 73 to 2.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. NORRELL a temporary leave of absence.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 76 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\003\COBB HUNTER LOTTERY SUB.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 331, paragraph 3.6, line 15, opposite (3) State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education--Workforce Scholarship Grants by striking /<u>\$11,000,000</u>/ and inserting /<u>\$9,500,000</u>/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 331, paragraph 3.6, line 3, opposite (5) Commission on Higher Education--Need Based Grants by striking /<u>\$17,537,078</u>/ and inserting /<u>\$18,537,078</u>/

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 331, paragraph 3.6, line 4, opposite (6) Higher Education Tuition Grants Commission--Tuition

Grants by striking / \$8,830,008 / and inserting / \$9,330,008 /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 69; Nays 38

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Bales
Ballentine	Blackwell	Bradley
Bryant	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cogswell
Cole	Crosby	Davis
Delleney	Duckworth	Elliott
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hixon	Huggins	Johnson
Jordan	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	W. Newton
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
S. Rivers	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Willis	Young

Total--69

Those who voted in the negative are:				
Alexander	Anderson	Anthony		
Atkinson	Bamberg	Bernstein		
Bowers	Brawley	Clyburn		
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas		
Funderburk	Gilliard	Govan		
Hayes	Henderson-Myers	Henegan		
Hill	Hosey	Jefferson		
King	Kirby	Knight		
Mack	McCravy	McEachern		

[HJ]

Ott Ridgeway Rutherford Williams Parks M. Rivers Thigpen Yow Pendarvis Robinson-Simpson Wheeler

Total--38

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 44 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\govan lottery \$500k.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 3, LOTTERY EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT, page 332, paragraph 3.6, after line 15, by inserting:

/Of the funds appropriated to State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education for High Demand Job Skill Training Equipment, \$500,000 shall be Directed to Denmark Technical College. The remainder of the funding shall be appropriated based on the formula funding. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. COLE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. GOVAN demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 79; Nays 30

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Atkinson
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Blackwell	Bradley	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Clary
Clemmons	Cogswell	Cole
Collins	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest

Forrester Gagnon	Fry Hamilton	Funderburk Hardee
Henderson	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Ott	Pope
Putnam	S. Rivers	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Toole	West	White
Whitmire	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--79

Those who voted in the negative are:				
Alexander	Anderson	Anthony		
Bales	Bamberg	Bowers		
Clyburn	Dillard	Douglas		
Gilliard	Govan	Hayes		
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Hosey		
Jefferson	King	Kirby		
Mack	McEachern	McKnight		
Parks	Pendarvis	Ridgeway		
M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford		
Trantham	Wheeler	Williams		

Total--30

So, the amendment was tabled.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that Proviso 3.2 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE overruled the Point of Order.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that Proviso 3.5 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill. The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE overruled the Point of Order.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

Section 3 was adopted.

SECTION 7--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 20 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\008\john de la howe.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 7, JOHN DE LA HOWE SCHOOL, page 335, after line 15, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(JDLH: Wilderness Camps) Of the funds appropriated to John De La Howe, the school must follow the Department of Social Services regulations for Wilderness Therapeutic Camps for Children./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. ERICKSON explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 110; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
[HJ]	72	

Crosby Delleney Duckworth Felder Forrester Gagnon Hamilton Henderson Herbkersman Hiott Jefferson King Loftis Lucas Martin McEachern D. C. Moss B. Newton Parks	Daning Dillard Elliott Finlay Fry Gilliard Hardee Henderson-Myers Hewitt Hixon Johnson Kirby Long Mace McCoy McGinnis V. S. Moss W. Newton Pendaryis	Davis Douglas Erickson Forrest Funderburk Govan Hayes Henegan Hill Huggins Jordan Knight Lowe Magnuson McCravy McKnight Murphy Ott Pope
	Hivon	Huggins
King		Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Lucas	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	McKnight
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy
B. Newton	W. Newton	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Trantham
West	Wheeler	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--110

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 7, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 11--ADOPTED

Rep. MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 11 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\che tuition rates restriction

.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 11, COMMISSION ON HIGHER EDUCATION, page 339, after line 4, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (CHE: Tuition Rates Restriction) For the current fiscal year, state institutions of higher learning that receive general fund appropriations shall be prohibited from raising tuition rates. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MACE explained the amendment.

Rep. MACE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 109; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Delleney	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
[HJ]	74	

Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	W. Newton
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	West
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--109

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 11 was adopted.

SECTION 33--ADOPTED

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 65 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\dhhs work requirements. docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 33, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES, page 352, after line 16, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(DHHS: Community Engagement Requirements) (A) The Department of Health and Human Services may not implement any waiver sought pursuant to this proviso unless it has first been determined to meet any relevant federal budget neutrality tests.

(B) With funds available to the department in the current fiscal year and to address social determinants of health by promoting employment, retraining, or other appropriate activities through community engagement requirements, the department shall, as

75

permitted by law:

(1) apply community engagement requirements to currently enrolled Parents/Caretaker Relatives, and

(2) eliminate existing policies that discourage work, and extend coverage only to those Parents/Caretaker Relatives with incomes between 67 and 100 percent of the federal poverty line who have either:

(a) agreed to meet the new community engagement requirements during the term of their coverage, or

(b) been exempted from community engagement requirements by law or policy, such as populations including but not necessarily limited to children, the elderly, or persons with disabilities.

(3) Submit to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services no later than August 31, 2018 one or more waiver applications or other instruments necessary to implement each of the above items as expeditiously as possible.

(C) The Department of Employment and Workforce and any other requested state agency or institution shall support the department's development and implementation of community engagement standards so as to promote employment, retraining, volunteering, and other activities that foster independence./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 82; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Chumley	Clary	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell	Crawford

Crosby	Daning	Davis
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Forrest
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hamilton
Hayes	Henderson	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Mace
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Pitts	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
Whitmire	Williams	Young
Yow		

Total--82

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill

Total--1

Section 33 was adopted.

SECTION 34--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. G.M. SMITH, CLYBURN, BALES, BRAWLEY, KING and COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 52 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\010\DHEC AIDS TREATMENT FUNDING.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 34, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL, page 363, after line 27, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(DHEC: HIV/AIDS Treatment and Prevention) From the funds appropriated to the Department of Health and Environmental Control in the current fiscal year for HIV and AIDS prevention and treatment, no less than \$500,000 shall be authorized for the Joseph H. Neal Wellness Center and CAN Community Health Inc. to develop a partnership to provide comprehensive medical, psychological and educational services to all patients, regardless of their financial situation, insurance status, or ability to pay. The department shall ensure the funds are expended solely for testing and treatment services. Funds may be used to enhance the services provided through any allocation of federal funds or the state's AIDS Drug Assistance Program rebate funds. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. MURPHY proposed the following Amendment No. 89 (Doc Name COUNCIL\SA\4950C003.DKA.SA18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 34, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL, page 363, after line 27, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ 34. (DHEC: Ocean and Coastal Resource Management) Notwithstanding the appropriations in Part 1A, Ocean and Coastal Resource Management may not expend any funds or assets, including employees, to regulate and shall cease regulating in Dorchester County except for inside the critical area boundary located in the seaward portion of Dorchester County bounded beginning at the intersection of the county line and S.C. 165, then northward along S.C. 165 until its intersection with S.C. 642, then southeastward along S.C. 642 until its intersection with the county line. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MURPHY explained the amendment.

Rep. HIOTT moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to by a division vote of 29 to 27.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 89; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are: Allison Anderson Anthony Arrington Atkinson Bales Ballentine Bannister Bamberg Bradley Blackwell Bowers Brawley Brown Bryant Burns Clary Chumley Clemmons Clyburn Cogswell Crawford Crosby Daning Davis Dillard Douglas Erickson Duckworth Elliott Felder Finlay Forrest Forrester Funderburk Gagnon Gilliard Govan Hamilton Hardee Hayes Henderson Henegan Herbkersman Hewitt Hill Hiott Hixon Hosey Jefferson King Kirby Knight Loftis Lowe Magnuson Long McGinnis Martin McEachern D. C. Moss V. S. Moss McKnight B. Newton Ott Parks Pendarvis Pitts Ridgeway Robinson-Simpson M. Rivers S. Rivers Sandifer Simrill G. R. Smith Sottile Spires Tallon Taylor Thayer Thigpen Toole West Trantham Willis Whitmire Williams Yow Young Total--89

[HJ]

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 34 was adopted.

SECTION 38--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. G. R. SMITH and ERICKSON proposed the following Amendment No. 56 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\dss child placement.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 38, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, page 374, after line 6, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

(DSS: Faith-Based Private Child Placing Agencies) From the funds appropriated to the Department of Social Services, the department shall make and promulgate such rules and regulations relating to licensing standards and other matters as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of Title 63, Chapter 11, Article 1 of the 1976 Code as applied to faith-based private Child Placing Agencies. For purposes of these regulations, any person or entity who holds legal or physical custody of a child for the purpose of placement for foster care or adoption or a private placement and, which for the purposes of these regulations, retain their own system of foster homes, is a child placing agency as defined in S.C. Code of Regulations 114-550. Such regulation must not discriminate or suggest the taking of any adverse action against a faithbased child-placing agency or an agency seeking to become a childplacing agency on the basis, wholly or partly, that a faith - based child placing agency has declined or will decline to provide any service that conflicts with, or provide any service under circumstances that conflict with, a sincerely-held religious belief or moral conviction of the faithbased child placing agency./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. R. SMITH explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. SIMRILL and G. M. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 59 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\010\DSS FOSTER PLACEMENT.DOCX), which was adopted:

[HJ]

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 38, DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES, page 374, after line 6, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (DSS: Foster Care Child Placements) With funds appropriated and authorized to the Department of Social Services for fiscal year 2018-2019, the department shall ensure that the following provisions are implemented related to child placements. The department shall promulgate any necessary rules or regulations to implement these provisions:

(A) If a child in foster care has been placed within the same foster home for at least 9 consecutive months and if the foster parents are willing to provide permanency through adoption for the child, the department must obtain an attachment assessment of the child and current foster parents before selecting a different adoptive placement or other alternative setting. The attachment assessment must be conducted by a qualified attachment expert. Qualified attachment experts may include individuals who can demonstrate training and or education in attachment theory, developmental psychology, and measures of attachment in additional to a minimum of ten completed attachment assessments.

(B) If a child's permanency plan includes reunification with a parent or caregiver, the department shall develop a transition plan for the child, with input from the Guardian ad Litem and a child-focused mental health professional. The department's proposed transition plan must include sufficient visitation with the permanent guardian to promote a successful and emotionally healthy transition for the child, facilitate a positive relationship between caregiver and child, and lessen trauma that may result from the move. If the department pursues placement with a natural parent, relative, or other adult with whom the child has never lived, as determined to be in the child's best interest, the department's proposed transition plan must be progressive and include increased overnight visitation with ongoing assessment of the plan and the child's adjustment by the Guardian ad Litem and child focused mental health professional. Modifications to the plan must be driven by the child's adjustment to the transition.

<u>(C)</u> In accordance with Section 63-7-1710, the department must file a Termination of Parental Rights petition if a child has been in foster care for 15 of the last 22 months unless there are extenuating circumstances as follows:

(1) When the child is over the age of 16 and the department has identified another planned permanent living arrangement.

[HJ]

(2) The department asserts to the court that the child may be safely returned to the parent because the parent has remedied the conditions that caused the removal, with or without supervision by the department for up to 12 months.

(3) The department's proposed treatment plan can be extended up to 18 months but only if: (a) the department presents compelling and persuasive evidence of how the parent has demonstrated due diligence in completing the plan; (b) the department can articulate for the court specific reasons to believe the parent will timely remedy the conditions which led to the removal; (c) the department affirms that the return of child to the parent would not cause unreasonable risk of harm; (d) the department has compelling reasons to assert that a Termination of Parental Rights is not in the best interests of the child; (E) the department has compelling reasons to assert the best interests of the child will be served by the extension.

(4) If the department assesses the viability of adoption and determines that adoption is not a viable option and has compelling reasons to assert that Termination of Parental Rights is not in the best interests of the child, then the department may pursue a permanent plan of custody or legal guardianship to relative or other person.

(D) In accordance with Sections 63-7-1640(G) and 1700(E), the department must file the petition for a Termination of Parental Rights within sixty day of the family court order designating the child's permanent plan or concurrent plan as Termination of Parental Rights and adoption.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. SIMRILL explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 90; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown

Bryant	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Mace	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Putnam	Ridgeway	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Thigpen	Toole	Trantham
West	Whitmire	Williams
Willis	Young	Yow
	-	

Total--90

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 38, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 49--ADOPTED

Rep. BOWERS proposed the following Amendment No. 29 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\002\lake warren state park.docx), which was rejected:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 49,

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS, RECREATION & TOURISM, page 381, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (PRT: Land Acquisition) Of the funds appropriated to and/or authorized for the Department of Parks, Recreation, and Tourism, the department is directed to expend up to \$400,000 to purchase the one hundred and seven acres of land neighboring Lake Warren State Park./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BOWERS explained the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment.

Rep. BOWERS demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 50; Nays 56

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Ballentine	Bannister
Bryant	Clary	Clemmons
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crosby	Davis	Delleney
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Gagnon	Hamilton	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hill	Hiott
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan
Lowe	Lucas	Martin
McCravy	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	S. Rivers	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Tallon	Thayer	Trantham
West	White	Whitmire
Willis	Yow	

Total--50

84

Those who voted i	n the negative are:	
Anderson	Anthony	Arrington
Atkinson	Bales	Bamberg

Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Caskey	Chumley
Cobb-Hunter	Daning	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott
Funderburk	Gilliard	Govan
Hayes	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Hewitt	Hosey	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Ridgeway	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Sandifer	Taylor
Thigpen	Toole	Wheeler
Williams	Young	

Total--56

So, the House refused to table the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment. Rep. BOWERS spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment.

Rep. OTT demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 28; Nays 76

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Bales
Bamberg	Bernstein	Bowers
Brown	Cobb-Hunter	Daning
Douglas	Govan	Hart
Hayes	Henegan	Hosey
King	Kirby	Knight
Mack	McKnight	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
[HJ]	85	

Ridgeway Thigpen Robinson-Simpson

Rutherford

Total--28

Those who voted in the negative are:		
Allison	Arrington	Ballentine
Bannister	Bennett	Blackwell
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Davis
Delleney	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Gilliard	Hamilton	Henderson
Henderson-Myers	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Magnuson
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
McEachern	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	S. Rivers	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Willis	Young
Yow		

Total--76

So, the amendment was rejected.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 111; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:			
Allison	Anthony	Arrington	
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister	
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell	
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley	
Brown	Bryant	Burns	
Caskey	Chumley	Clary	
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	
Cogswell	Cole	Collins	
Crawford	Crosby	Daning	
Davis	Delleney	Dillard	
Douglas	Duckworth	Elliott	
Erickson	Felder	Finlay	
Forrest	Forrester	Fry	
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard	
Govan	Hamilton	Hart	
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers	
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt	
Hill	Hiott	Hixon	
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson	
Johnson	Jordan	King	
Kirby	Knight	Loftis	
Long	Lowe	Lucas	
Mace	Mack	Magnuson	
Martin	McCoy	McCravy	
McEachern	McGinnis	McKnight	
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy	
B. Newton	W. Newton	Norrell	
Ott	Pendarvis	Pitts	
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway	
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	
Rutherford	Sandifer	Simrill	
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile	
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon	
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen	
Toole	Trantham	West	
White	Whitmire	Williams	
Willis	Young	Yow	

Total--111

[HJ]

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 49 was adopted.

SECTION 54--ADOPTED

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 106; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anthony	Arrington
Atkinson	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hart	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Pendarvis
Pitts	Pope	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer	Simrill

[HJ]

G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Yow		

Total--106

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 54 was adopted.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 60--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. PITTS proposed the following Amendment No. 62 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\electronic discovery pilot program deletion.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 60, PROSECUTION COORDINATION COMMISSION, page 391, paragraph 60.13, lines 18 - 23, by striking the proviso in its entirety.

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 113; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell

Bowers Brown Caskey Clemmons Cogswell Crawford Davis Douglas Erickson	Bradley Bryant Chumley Clyburn Cole Crosby Delleney Duckworth Felder	Brawley Burns Clary Cobb-Hunter Collins Daning Dillard Elliott Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Sandifer	Simrill
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--113

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 60, as amended, was adopted. [HJ] 90

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 65--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. PITTS proposed the following Amendment No. 77 to H. 4950 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\scdc cbd study.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 65, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, page 404, paragraph 65.29, lines 17-21, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting / <u>(CORR: CBD</u> <u>Pilot Program) Of the funds appropriated or authorized to the Department of Corrections, the department shall initiate a</u>

study committee with MUSC, DHHS, and DMH to explore the use of cannabidiol oil, also known as CBD oil, in a therapeutic manner for eligible incarcerated individuals. The committee shall review applicable laws to include 45 CFR 46, related to research authorized for use on prisoners and the federal protections created for prisoners as subjects of biomedical and behavioral research and develop criteria, eligibly, guidelines, policy recommendations, and an overview of the necessary federal approvals and boards required for the administration of a pilot program. Upon completion of the study, a report must be submitted to the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee and the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee that details the process and procedures involved, potential outcomes and cost savings, and feasibility of establishing a pilot program to allow use of CBD oil in a therapeutic manner for eligible incarcerated individuals./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PITTS explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. MURPHY proposed the following Amendment No. 98 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DG\4950C021.BBM.DG18.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 65, DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, page 404, after line 21, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/<u>(CORR: Video Bond Conferencing)</u> In the current fiscal year, and from the funds appropriated to the Department of Corrections, the video conferencing bond system shall be used for all bond hearings for inmates

[HJ]

incarcerated at Lieber Correctional Institution and charged with criminal offenses in Dorchester County. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MURPHY explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 92; Nays 5

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Those who voted in the	arrithtitati ve are.	
Alexander	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Mace	Mack	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
V. S. Moss	B. Newton	Norrell
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis
Pitts	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Taylor

[HJ]

Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	West	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--92

Those who voted in the negative are:AllisonBryantRobinson-SimpsonTallon

D. C. Moss

Total--5

Section 65, as amended, was adopted.

SECTION 82--ADOPTED

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 89; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bennett	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Dillard
Douglas	Duckworth	Felder
Forrest	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Jefferson
King	Kirby	Knight
Loftis	Long	Lowe
Mace	Mack	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss

B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	West
White	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--89

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 82 was adopted.

SECTION 83--ADOPTED

Rep. DANING proposed the following Amendment No. 60 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\chselectric.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 83, DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT AND WORKFORCE, page 415, after line 24, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(DEW: Electrical Contractor Grants) Of the funds authorized or appropriated to the Department of Employment and Workforce, the department shall provide \$100,000 to the Charleston Electrical Contractors Association to be used for direct grants for training in the electrical industry through the Department of Labor registered Apprenticeship program.

The funds shall be used to further the education of apprentices, provide hands-on activities and demonstrations in a controlled environment, and purchase books and materials for students. Additionally, the funds shall be used for career awareness within the community and to provide teacher development and training./

94

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. DANING explained the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS spoke against the amendment and moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 89; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Bales	Ballentine	Bennett
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Brawley	Brown	Bryant
Burns	Chumley	Clary
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Forrest	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Mace
Mack	Martin	McEachern
McGinnis	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Putnam
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Sandifer
Simrill	G. R. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	West	White

[HJ]

Whitmire Young Williams Yow Willis

Total--89

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

Section 83 was adopted.

SECTION 84--ADOPTED

Rep. MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 13 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\dot restriping.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 84, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, page 417, after line 5, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ <u>(DOT: Road Restriping)</u> From the funds authorized for the Department of Transportation the department is directed to restripe the two lane sections of I-526 east or west bound between Long Point Road and the Don Holt Bridge to three lanes./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MACE explained the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS spoke against the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS moved to table the amendment.

Rep. MACE demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 56; Nays 32

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Bales	Ballentine
Blackwell	Bowers	Bradley
Bryant	Burns	Caskey

Chumley	Clary	Crawford
Douglas	Duckworth	Erickson
Felder	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Hamilton
Hardee	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hill	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Huggins	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	B. Newton
Pitts	Putnam	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Spires	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Toole	West
White	Whitmire	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--56

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Anderson	Anthony	Arrington	
Bernstein	Brawley	Brown	
Cogswell	Crosby	Daning	
Davis	Finlay	Funderburk	
Gilliard	Henegan	Hewitt	
Jefferson	King	Knight	
Mace	Mack	McCoy	
McKnight	Murphy	Norrell	
Ott	Pendarvis	M. Rivers	
Robinson-Simpson	Sottile	Stavrinakis	
Trantham	Williams		

Total--32

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. BRAWLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 61 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\006\zeiglerroaddot.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 84, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, page 417, after line 5, by

97

adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(DOT: Zeigler Road Repair) Of the funds authorized to the Department of Transportation, the department shall utilize up to \$1,000,000 for the repair and/or reconstruction of Zeigler Road in Richland County./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BRAWLEY explained the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS spoke against the amendment. Rep. BRAWLEY spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. LOFTIS moved to table the amendment.

Rep. BRAWLEY demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 68; Nays 31

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Ballentine
Bannister	Bennett	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Bryant
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Duckworth
Elliott	Felder	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Hamilton	Hardee	Henderson
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hill
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McGinnis
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	B. Newton
Pitts	Putnam	S. Rivers
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Toole	West
[HJ]	98	
LJ	20	

White	Whitmire	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--68

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Anderson	Anthony	Atkinson	
Bales	Bernstein	Brawley	
Brown	Clyburn	Dillard	
Douglas	Finlay	Funderburk	
Gilliard	Hayes	Henegan	
Hosey	Jefferson	King	
Knight	Mack	McEachern	
McKnight	Norrell	Ott	
Pendarvis	M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	
Rutherford	Thigpen	Trantham	
Williams			

Total--31

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 85; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Ballentine
Bennett	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Chumley
Clary	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Dillard
Douglas	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Funderburk
Gagnon	Gilliard	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
[HJ]	99	

Hill Hosey King Long Mack	Hiott Huggins Knight Lowe	Hixon Jefferson Loftis Mace Martin
	Magnuson McGinnis	D. C. Moss
McEachern V. S. Moss Ott	B. Newton Parks	D. C. Moss Norrell Pendarvis
Pitts	Putnam	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Sandifer
Simrill	Sottile	Spires
Taylor	Thayer	Toole
Trantham	Weeks	West
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Williams Yow	Willis	Young

Total--85

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 84 was adopted.

SECTION 91--ADOPTED

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 104; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Bales	Ballentine
Bannister	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
[HJ]	100	

Elliott	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Jordan	King
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Rutherford	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stavrinakis
Tallon	Taylor	Thayer
Toole	Trantham	Weeks
West	Wheeler	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--104

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 91 was adopted.

SECTION 93--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Reps. OTT and COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 58 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\002\HEAD START FUNDS SUB.DOCX), which was adopted: Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 93, DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION, page 430, after line 7, by

adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(DOA: OCAB Head Start Program) Of the funds appropriated to the Department of Administration, III. Executive Policy & Programs, the department is directed to transfer \$30,000 to OCAB Community Action Agency, Inc., Head Start Program in order to match a grant award for the purchase of a 36-passenger bus to transport Head Start students./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. OTT explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 114; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers
Bradley	Brawley	Brown
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas
Duckworth	Elliott	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hill	Hiott
Hixon	Hosey	Huggins
Jefferson	Johnson	Jordan
King	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Magnuson

[HJ]

McCoy	McCravy
McGinnis	McKnight
V. S. Moss	Murphy
W. Newton	Norrell
Parks	Pendarvis
Pope	Putnam
M. Rivers	S. Rivers
Rutherford	Sandifer
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Spires	Stavrinakis
Taylor	Thayer
Toole	Trantham
West	Wheeler
Whitmire	Williams
Young	Yow
	McGinnis V. S. Moss W. Newton Parks Pope M. Rivers Rutherford G. M. Smith Spires Taylor Toole West Whitmire

Total--114

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 93, as amended, was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I was temporarily out of the Chamber on constituent business during the vote on 4950, Part IB, Section 93. If I had been present, I would have voted in favor of the Section.

Rep. Roger Kirby

SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE IN CHAIR

SECTION 112--ADOPTED

Rep. OTT proposed the following Amendment No. 80 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\EXCESS DEBT SVC LGF 10.8M.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 112, DEBT SERVICE, page 455, paragraph 112.1, line 20-23, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting:

/ 112.1. (DS: Excess Debt Service) Excess Of the excess debt

service funds from available in Fiscal Year 2016-17 2018-19 remaining after funding the State Ports Authority loan related to the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project, \$10,800,000 must be carried forward and expended in Fiscal Year 2017-18 transferred to the Local Government Fund. Any remaining excess debt service funds may be expended to pay down general obligation bond debt for which the State (1) is paying the highest rate of interest, (2) will achieve relief in constrained debt capacity, or (3) reduce the amount of debt issued. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. OTT explained the amendment.

Rep. OTT spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 80; Nays 32

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Arrington
Atkinson	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Blackwell	Bradley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary
Clemmons	Cogswell	Cole
Collins	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson
Felder	Finlay	Forrest
Forrester	Fry	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hamilton
Hardee	Hayes	Henderson
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Huggins
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mack
Martin	McCoy	McCravy
[HJ]	104	

McGinnis Murphy Pope Sandifer G. R. Smith Stavrinakis Thayer West Willis D. C. Moss B. Newton Putnam Simrill Sottile Tallon Toole White Yow V. S. Moss Pitts S. Rivers G. M. Smith Spires Taylor Trantham Whitmire

Total--80

Those who voted in the negative are:				
Anthony	Bamberg	Bernstein		
Bowers	Brawley	Clyburn		
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas		
Funderburk	Henderson-Myers	Hill		
Hosey	Jefferson	King		
Kirby	Knight	Long		
Mace	Magnuson	McEachern		
Norrell	Ott	Parks		
Pendarvis	Ridgeway	M. Rivers		
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford	Weeks		
Williams	Young			

Total--32

So, the amendment was tabled.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 99 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\007\EXCESS DEBT SRV EPI.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 112, DEBT SERVICE, page 455, paragraph 112.1, line 20-23, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting:

/ 112.1. (DS: Excess Debt Service) <u>Excess</u> <u>Of the excess</u> debt service funds from <u>available in</u> Fiscal Year 2016-17 <u>2018-19</u> remaining <u>after funding the State Ports Authority loan related to the Charleston</u> <u>Harbor Deepening Project, \$38,400,000</u> must be carried forward and

expended in Fiscal Year 2017-18 transferred to the F300 Employee Benefits to provide a two percent base pay increase for state employees in accordance with proviso 117.114. Any remaining excess debt service funds may be expended to pay down general obligation bond debt for which the State (1) is paying the highest rate of interest, (2) will achieve relief in constrained debt capacity, or (3) reduce the amount of debt issued. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN spoke against the amendment.

Rep. MACK spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. FINLAY spoke against the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 65; Nays 51

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Bannister
Bennett	Blackwell	Bradley
Bryant	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clemmons	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Duckworth	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Hamilton
Hardee	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hiott	Hixon
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
McCoy	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	S. Rivers	Sandifer

[HJ]

Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Tallon
Thayer	Toole	Trantham
West	White	Whitmire
Willis	Young	

Total--65

Those who voted in the negative are:				
Alexander	Anderson	Anthony		
Atkinson	Bales	Ballentine		
Bamberg	Bernstein	Bowers		
Brawley	Brown	Clary		
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Dillard		
Douglas	Elliott	Funderburk		
Gilliard	Govan	Hayes		
Henderson-Myers	Henegan	Hill		
Hosey	Howard	Huggins		
Jefferson	King	Kirby		
Knight	Long	Mack		
Magnuson	Martin	McCravy		
McEachern	Norrell	Ott		
Parks	Pendarvis	Ridgeway		
M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford		
Taylor	Thigpen	Weeks		
Wheeler	Williams	Yow		

Total--51

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER proposed the following Amendment No. 100 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\007\EMPLO YEE BONUS.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 112, DEBT SERVICE, page 455, paragraph 112.1, line 20-23, by striking the proviso in its entirety and inserting:

/ 112.1. (DS: Excess Debt Service) Excess Of the excess debt service funds from available in Fiscal Year 2016-17 2018-19 remaining after funding the State Ports Authority loan related to the Charleston Harbor Deepening Project, \$38,400,000 must be carried forward and

expended in Fiscal Year 2017-18 transferred to the F300 Employee Benefits and the Executive Budget Office is directed to utilize these funds to provide an \$1,800 one-time bonus to state employees making \$50,000 or less. Any remaining excess debt service funds may be expended to pay down general obligation bond debt for which the State (1) is paying the highest rate of interest, (2) will achieve relief in constrained debt capacity, or (3) reduce the amount of debt issued. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER explained the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN moved to table the amendment.

Rep. COBB-HUNTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 64; Nays 51

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Arrington	Bannister
Blackwell	Burns	Caskey
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Daning
Davis	Delleney	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Gagnon	Hamilton
Hardee	Henderson	Herbkersman
Hewitt	Hiott	Hixon
Johnson	Jordan	Loftis
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
McCoy	McGinnis	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	S. Rivers	Sandifer
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith
Sottile	Spires	Stringer
Tallon	Thayer	Toole
[HJ]	108	

White	Whitmire	Willis
Young		

Total--64

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Alexander	Anderson	Anthony	
Atkinson	Bales	Ballentine	
Bamberg	Bernstein	Bowers	
Brawley	Brown	Clyburn	
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas	
Funderburk	Gilliard	Govan	
Hayes	Henderson-Myers	Henegan	
Hill	Hosey	Howard	
Huggins	Jefferson	King	
Kirby	Knight	Long	
Mack	Magnuson	Martin	
McEachern	McKnight	Norrell	
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis	
Ridgeway	M. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	
Rutherford	Stavrinakis	Taylor	
Thigpen	Trantham	Weeks	
Wheeler	Williams	Yow	

Total--51

So, the amendment was tabled.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 116; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg
Bannister	Bennett	Bernstein
Blackwell	Bowers	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clary

Clemmons Cogswell Crawford Davis Douglas Erickson Forrester Gagnon Hamilton Hamilton Henderson Herbkersman Hixon Huggins Jordan Knight Lowe Mack McCoy McGinnis V. S. Moss W. Newton Parks Pope M. Rivers Rutherford G. M. Smith Spires Taylor Toole	Clyburn Cole Crosby Delleney Duckworth Finlay Fry Gilliard Hardee Henderson-Myers Hewitt Hosey Jefferson King Loftis Lucas Magnuson McCravy McKnight Murphy Norrell Pendarvis Putnam S. Rivers Sandifer G. R. Smith Stavrinakis Thayer Trantham	Cobb-Hunter Collins Daning Dillard Elliott Forrest Funderburk Govan Hayes Henegan Hiott Howard Johnson Kirby Long Mace Martin McEachern D. C. Moss B. Newton Ott Pitts Ridgeway Robinson-Simpson Simrill Sottile Tallon Thigpen
*		
2		e 1
West	Wheeler	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	** 1115

Total--116

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 112 was adopted.

SECTION 117--AMENDED AND ADOPTED

Rep. DANING proposed the following Amendment No. 57 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\002\117.21 orgs state funds.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 467, paragraph 117.21, line 36, after /spent,/ by inserting :

/goals to be accomplished, proposed measures to evaluate success in implementing and meeting the goals, /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 468, paragraph 117.21, line 5, by inserting at the end:

/For accountability purposes, by June thirtieth organizations receiving contributions in this act shall submit a report to the state agency making the contribution that includes an accounting of how the funds were spent and the outcome measures used to determine the success of the stated goals. State agencies receiving such data from organizations shall forward the information to the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee and the Chairman of the House Ways and

<u>Means Committee.</u>/

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. DANING explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. CLEMMONS raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 57 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

The SPEAKER sustained the Point of Order.

Rep. G. M. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 48 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\opioid abuse prevention 2.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 502, paragraph 117.142, line 21, by inserting after "*Medical Association*,":

/ the South Carolina Primary Health Care Association, /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 502, paragraph 117.142, line 28, by inserting after

"<u>diagnosis.</u>":

/ <u>Until a waiver is approved to expand access to substance use</u> <u>treatment in Institutions of Mental Disease (IMD), the department shall</u> <u>ensure that IMDs are considered an "in lieu of" service in its managed</u> care contracts, when medically appropriate. /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 502, paragraph 117.142, line 36, by inserting after "*need.*":

/ <u>All medications proven to be effective in treating opioid addiction</u> <u>shall be considered as viable options on a case by case basis to ensure</u> greatest level of success for individuals in the program. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Reps. CLEMMONS, BERNSTEIN and RUTHERFORD proposed the following Amendment No. 19 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DG\ 4950C014.BBM.DG18.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/<u>117.</u>. (GP: Prohibition of Discriminatory Practices) (A) In the current fiscal year and from the funds appropriated to public colleges and universities, when reviewing, investigating, or deciding whether there has been a violation of a college or university policy prohibiting discriminatory practices on the basis of religion, South Carolina public colleges and universities shall take into consideration the definition of anti-Semitism for purposes of determining whether the alleged practice was motivated by anti-Semitic intent.

(B) Nothing in this proviso may be construed to diminish or infringe upon any right protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States or Section 2, Article I of the South Carolina Constitution, 1895.

(C) For purposes of this proviso, the term 'definition of anti-Semitism' includes:

(1) the definition of anti-Semitism set forth by the Special Envoy to Monitor and Combat Anti-Semitism of the Department of State in the fact sheet issued on June 8, 2010; and

112

(2) the examples set forth under the headings 'Contemporary Examples of Anti-Semitism' and 'What is Anti-Semitism Relative to Israel?' in the fact sheet. /

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. CLEMMONS explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. MCKNIGHT raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 19 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill. The SPEAKER overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. CLEMMONS continued speaking. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. ATWATER proposed the following Amendment No. 22 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\007\LITTERPRE VENTION 2.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: Litter Prevention) For the current fiscal year, evidence may be submitted to any local or state law enforcement agency showing footage of a vehicle littering where the vehicle make, model and license plate is clearly visible. A reward must be awarded to the individual providing footage equal to half the total fine amount should a fine be assessed to the registered owner of the vehicle./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. CLARY explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS spoke against the amendment.

Rep. PITTS moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE IN CHAIR

Rep. KING proposed the following Amendment No. 71 to H. 4950 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\king cremation

permits.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/<u>(GP: Cremation/Burial-Removal-Transit Permits)</u> For the current fiscal year, applications for cremation permits and/or Burial-Removal-Transit Permits must not be assessed a fee by the coroner or medical examiner./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. KING explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 71 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

Rep. KING spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE overruled the Point of Order.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. DANING proposed the following Amendment No. 104 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\117.21REPORT ING.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 467, paragraph 117.21, line 36, after /spent,/ by inserting :

/goals to be accomplished, proposed measures to evaluate success in implementing and meeting the goals, /

Amend the bill further, as and if amended, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 468, paragraph 117.21, line 5, by inserting at the end:

/From the funds an organization receives from a state agency, for accountability purposes, by June thirtieth organizations receiving contributions in this act shall submit a report to the state agency making the contribution that includes an accounting of how the funds were spent and the outcome measures used to determine the success of the stated goals. State agencies receiving such data from organizations shall forward the information to the Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee and the Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. DANING explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. NORRELL proposed the following Amendment No. 95 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\007\untested sexual assault kits.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: Study Committee on Untested Sexual Assault Kits in South Carolina)

They shall establish a study committee to identify the number and/or type of untested sexual assault kits in the state. The committee shall review current written policies and procedures that regulate the submission and testing at the state, county and municipal levels; and identify strategies to increase the number of submissions of sexual assault kits for forensic testing.

The study committee shall be comprised of the following:

1. <u>Two members of the Senate appointed by the President</u> <u>Pro Tempore of the Senate</u>

2. <u>Two members of the House of Representatives appointed</u> by the Speaker of the House

3. Chief of the S.C. Law Enforcement Division

4. <u>A representative of the S.C. Sheriff's Association</u>

5. A representative of the S.C. Police Chief's Association

6. <u>A representative of the S.C. Law Enforcement Division</u> Forensic Laboratory

7. <u>A representative from the South Carolina Commission on</u> <u>Prosecution Coordination</u>

8. <u>A representative from the South Carolina Attorney</u> <u>General's Division of Crime Victim Services</u>

9. <u>A representative of county or municipal forensic</u> <u>laboratories</u>

10. <u>A representative of the South Carolina Solicitors'</u> <u>Association</u>

11. <u>A representative of victim advocacy group</u>

[HJ]

Staff support shall be provided by the agencies and associations represented. The Committee shall submit a written report of its finding and recommendations to the House of Representatives, the Senate and the Governor's Office no later than June 30, 2019.

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. NORRELL explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. HILL raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 95 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

Rep. NORRELL spoke against the Point.

The SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE sustained the Point of Order.

Reps. FORREST and OTT proposed the following Amendment No. 97 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\010\shp firefighters.docx), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ <u>(GP: SHP for Volunteer Firefighters) For the current Fiscal Year,</u> active volunteer firefighters who are eligible for the income tax deduction pursuant to Section 12-6-1140 of the 1976 Code, and their eligible dependents, are eligible to participate in the State Health and Dental Insurance Plan, upon paying the full premium costs as determined by the Public Employee Benefit Authority. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. FORREST explained the amendment.

The amendment was then adopted.

Rep. G. M. SMITH proposed the following Amendment No. 101 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\010\GP LEGAL FUNDS.DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: Legal Funding Transactions) With the funds appropriated and authorized to the Department of Consumer Affairs for the current fiscal

116

year, the department shall suspend and cease any investigations, enforcement procedures, or actions as a result of the Administrative Interpretation 3.104,106-1403 issued by the department and dated November 14, 2014. The department shall not make any such administrative determinations for legal funding transactions without the clear expression of intent from the General Assembly regarding the applicability of this administrative interpretation./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. G. M. SMITH explained the amendment.

Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke in favor of the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. FORRESTER raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 101 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

Rep. G. M. SMITH spoke against the Point. The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. FORRESTER moved to table the amendment.

Rep. FORRESTER demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 29; Nays 82

e affirmative are:	
Cobb-Hunter	Daning
Fry	Gilliard
Henderson	Henegan
Jefferson	Johnson
Mack	McCravy
Norrell	Ott
Pendarvis	M. Rivers
Sandifer	Tallon
Toole	Weeks
Williams	
	Cobb-Hunter Fry Henderson Jefferson Mack Norrell Pendarvis Sandifer Toole

Total--29

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Anderson	Anthony	Arrington	
Bales	Ballentine	Bamberg	
Bannister	Bennett	Bernstein	
Blackwell	Bradley	Brown	
Bryant	Burns	Caskey	
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons	
Clyburn	Cogswell	Cole	
Collins	Crawford	Crosby	
Davis	Dillard	Douglas	
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson	
Felder	Forrest	Funderburk	
Gagnon	Hamilton	Hardee	
Hayes	Henderson-Myers	Herbkersman	
Hewitt	Hill	Hiott	
Hixon	Huggins	Jordan	
Kirby	Knight	Loftis	
Long	Lowe	Lucas	
Mace	Magnuson	Martin	
McCoy	McGinnis	McKnight	
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy	
B. Newton	W. Newton	Pitts	
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway	
S. Rivers	Simrill	G. M. Smith	
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires	
Stavrinakis	Taylor	Thayer	
Trantham	West	White	
Whitmire	Willis	Young	
Yow			

Total--82

So, the House refused to table the amendment.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. BAMBERG proposed the following Amendment No. 102 (Doc Name COUNCIL\SA\4950C007.AGM.SA18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL

PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

(GP: Gaming Study Committee) (A) Of the funds appropriated to the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the Department of Revenue, there is created a study committee to examine the feasibility of expanding gaming, the possible revenue generated by expanding gaming, specifically casinos, and to determine possible appropriations of revenue generated by gaming.

(B) The study committee is composed of the:

(1) Speaker of the House of Representatives, or his designee;

(2) Majority leader of the House of Representatives, or his designee;

(3) Minority leader of the House of Representatives, or his designee:

(4) Chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, or his designee;

(5) President Pro Tempore of the Senate, or his designee;

(6) Majority leader of the Senate, or his designee;

(7) Minority leader of the Senate, or his designee;

(8) Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, or his designee; and

(9) Director of the Department of Revenue, or his designee.

(C) Members of the study committee shall serve without compensation, but are allowed the mileage, subsistence, and per diem allowed by law for members of state boards, committees, and commissions, to be paid equally from approved accounts of the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the Department of Revenue.

(D) The study committee shall choose its officers and must be provided with clerical, administrative, and research services by the Senate, the House of Representatives, and the Department of Revenue.

(E) The study committee shall make a report of its findings and recommendations to the General Assembly by June 30, 2019, at which time the study committee terminates./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BAMBERG explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. LOFTIS raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 102 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

Rep. BAMBERG spoke against the Point. The SPEAKER *PRO TEMPORE* overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. TALLON moved to table the amendment.

Rep. HIOTT demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 76; Nays 35

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

These who voted in the uninitative are.			
Allison	Anthony	Arrington	
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister	
Bennett	Blackwell	Bryant	
Burns	Caskey	Chumley	
Clary	Clemmons	Cogswell	
Cole	Collins	Crawford	
Crosby	Daning	Davis	
Delleney	Duckworth	Elliott	
Erickson	Felder	Finlay	
Forrest	Forrester	Fry	
Funderburk	Gagnon	Hamilton	
Hayes	Henderson	Hewitt	
Hill	Hiott	Hixon	
Huggins	Johnson	Jordan	
Loftis	Long	Lowe	
Lucas	Mace	Magnuson	
Martin	McCoy	McCravy	
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss	Murphy	
B. Newton	W. Newton	Pope	
Putnam	S. Rivers	Sandifer	
Simrill	G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	
Sottile	Spires	Tallon	
Taylor	Thayer	Toole	
Trantham	West	White	
Whitmire	Willis	Young	
Yow			

Total--76

[HJ]

Those who voted in the negative are:

Anderson	Atkinson
Bernstein	Bowers
Brown	Clyburn
Dillard	Douglas
Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Hosey	Howard
Kirby	Knight
McEachern	McGinnis
Norrell	Parks
Pitts	Ridgeway
Rutherford	Stavrinakis
Wheeler	Williams

Bamberg Bradley Cobb-Hunter Gilliard Herbkersman King Mack McKnight Pendarvis M. Rivers Weeks

Total--35

So, the amendment was tabled.

Reps. COBB-HUNTER and HERBKERSMAN proposed the following Amendment No. 103 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\001\STATECONTRACTS3 .DOCX), which was adopted:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 117, GENERAL PROVISIONS, page 506, after line 2, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/(GP: State Contracts) Of the funds appropriated or authorized to the State Fiscal Accountability Authority, the authority shall develop guidelines regarding covered contracts exceeding \$50,000 that state agencies enter into which incentivizes contractors to pay their employees promptly. For purposes of this proviso, a "covered contract" is any contract that involves the physical performance of manual labor within South Carolina, if the contracting agency reasonably anticipates that the total cost of the manual labor will exceed seventy percent of the total cost of all labor. These guidelines shall provide that an agency may consider a prospective contractor's record of promptly paying its employees both (a) when making a determination of the contractor's responsibility to perform, or (b) when deciding whether to exercise a contractual right to extend, renew, or otherwise lengthen an existing contract. The guidelines may, but are not required to, include model terms and conditions that allow the State to deem a contractor's

[HJ]

persistent failure to timely pay its employees as a material breach of <u>contract.</u>/

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HERBKERSMAN explained the amendment. The amendment was then adopted.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 112; Nays 0

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anderson	Anthony
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales
Ballentine	Bamberg	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brown
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Clyburn
Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell	Cole
Collins	Crawford	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Erickson	Felder
Finlay	Forrest	Forrester
Fry	Funderburk	Gagnon
Gilliard	Govan	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Magnuson	Martin
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott

[HJ]

Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Thayer	Thigpen	Toole
Trantham	Weeks	West
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--112

Those who voted in the negative are:

Total--0

Section 117, as amended, was adopted.

SPEAKER IN CHAIR

SECTION 118--ADOPTED

Rep. MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 68 to H. 4950 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\santee cooper lobbyist.docx), which was ruled out of order:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 507, paragraph 118.6, after line 27, by inserting:

/ Santee Cooper is prohibited from entering into contracts to provide lobbying services for the organization./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MACE explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. CROSBY raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 68 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill. The SPEAKER sustained the Point of Order.

Rep. HILL proposed the following Amendment No. 26 to H. 4950 (Doc Name COUNCIL\SA\4950C004.DKA.SA18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 507, by striking paragraph 118.6, and inserting:

/ 118.6. (SR: Prohibits Public Funded Lobbyists) All state agencies and institutions are prohibited from using <u>general</u> <u>state</u> fund appropriations to compensate employees who engage in lobbying on behalf of the state agency or institution. The State Ethics Commission shall require state agencies and institutions that report lobbying activities to the commission to certify that the lobbying activities were not funded by <u>general</u> <u>state</u> fund appropriations.

All state agencies and institutions are prohibited from entering into contracts using <u>general</u> <u>state</u> fund appropriations to provide lobbying services to the agency or institution. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. HILL explained the amendment.

Rep. CLARY moved to table the amendment.

Rep. HILL demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 71; Nays 39

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony	Atkinson
Bales	Bannister	Blackwell
Brawley	Brown	Clary
Clemmons	Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Cogswell	Cole	Collins
Crawford	Crosby	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Duckworth
Elliott	Forrest	Fry
Gilliard	Hardee	Hayes
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Herbkersman	Hiott	Hixon
Hosey	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
[HJ]	124	

Knight	Lowe	Lucas
Mack	Martin	McEachern
McGinnis	McKnight	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	W. Newton	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Ridgeway	Rutherford	Sandifer
G. R. Smith	Sottile	Spires
Stavrinakis	Tallon	Taylor
Trantham	Weeks	Wheeler
White	Whitmire	Williams
Young	Yow	

Total--71

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Allison	Arrington	Ballentine	
Bennett	Bernstein	Bradley	
Bryant	Burns	Caskey	
Chumley	Daning	Felder	
Finlay	Forrester	Funderburk	
Gagnon	Govan	Hamilton	
Hewitt	Hill	Huggins	
Loftis	Long	Mace	
Magnuson	McCoy	McCravy	
D. C. Moss	Murphy	Norrell	
Pope	Putnam	S. Rivers	
Simrill	G. M. Smith	Thayer	
Thigpen	Toole	Willis	

Total--39

So, the amendment was tabled.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

The SPEAKER granted Rep. NORRELL a temporary leave of absence.

Reps. KING and BRAWLEY proposed the following Amendment No. 37 (Doc Name COUNCIL\DG\4950C016.BBM.DG18.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118,

STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 513, after line 33, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ (SR: School Safety Fund) In the current fiscal year, in addition to any other tax or fee applied to the sale of a firearm, there is imposed a fee equal to ten percent of the gross proceeds from the sale of a firearm. The fee must be credited to a fund established within the State Department of Education entitled the 'School Safety Fund'. The fund must only be expended to employ or otherwise provide school resource officers. Each school district may apply to the Department of Education for a disbursement from the fund. In determining which district shall receive a disbursement, and the amount thereof, The Department of Education must give priority to school districts that, in the previous fiscal year, did not have a full-time school resource officer at each school within the district. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. BRAWLEY explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS spoke against the amendment. Rep. BAMBERG spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. THIGPEN spoke in favor of the amendment. Rep. BRAWLEY spoke in favor of the amendment.

Rep. PITTS moved to table the amendment.

Rep. BRAWLEY demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 80; Nays 35

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Allison	Anthony	Arrington
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett
Blackwell	Bradley	Bryant
Burns	Caskey	Chumley
Clary	Clemmons	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crawford
Crosby	Daning	Davis
Delleney	Duckworth	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry

Funderburk	Gagnon
Hamilton	Hardee
Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon
Johnson	Jordan
Long	Lowe
Mace	Magnuson
McCoy	McCravy
D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
B. Newton	W. Newton
Pope	Putnam
Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	Sottile
Tallon	Taylor
Toole	Trantham
White	Whitmire
Young	Yow
-	

Govan Henderson Hill Huggins Loftis Lucas Martin McGinnis Murphy Pitts S. Rivers G. M. Smith Spires Thayer West Willis

Bales

Total--80

Those who voted in the negative are:AndersonAtkinsonBernsteinBowers

Atkinson	Bales
Bowers	Brawley
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter
Douglas	Gilliard
Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Howard	Jefferson
Kirby	Knight
McEachern	McKnight
Pendarvis	Ridgeway
Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Thigpen	Weeks
Williams	
	Bowers Clyburn Douglas Henderson-Myers Howard Kirby McEachern Pendarvis Robinson-Simpson Thigpen

Total--35

So, the amendment was tabled.

RECORD FOR VOTING

I inadvertently voted in favor of tabling Amendment 37 of Part IB, Section 118 of H. 4950. I intended to vote against tabling the Amendment.

Rep. Jerry Govan

Rep. MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 83 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\sled pilot system.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 513, after line 31, by adding appropriately numbered items to read:

() D100 - State Law Enforcement Division

Data Integration and Analytics System
\$1

(.1) From the funds appropriated to the State Law Enforcement Division (SLED) for a Data Integration and Analytics System, SLED shall develop a pilot data integration and analytics system to improve access to timely, complete, and accurate information integrating data from, at a minimum, but not limited to, the following data sources by December 31, 2018: (1) SLED; (2) the Judicial Department; (3) the Department of Corrections; (4) the Department of Motor Vehicles; (5) the Sex Offender Registry; (6) the Department of Public Safety; (7) the Department of Natural Resources; and (8) state and local law enforcement departments and agencies.

SLED shall contract with an analytics vendor, using a competitive bid process, to establish the centralized integrated data repository with necessary data analytic resources. The repository shall integrate and provide up to date information in a cloud based environment accessed via a secure connection for use by state and local government.

<u>Data that is not classified as a public record under Chapter 1, Title</u> 30 shall not be considered a public record when incorporated into the pilot data integration and analytics system.

<u>To maintain the confidentiality requirements attached to the</u> <u>information provided to pilot data integration and analytics system by</u> <u>the various state and local agencies, each source agency providing data</u> <u>shall be the sole custodian of the data for the purpose of any request for</u> <u>inspection or copies under Chapter 1, Title 30 of the 1976 Code.</u>

<u>The pilot data integration and analytics system shall only allow</u> <u>access to data from the source agencies in accordance with rules</u> <u>adopted by the respective source agencies.</u>

[HJ]

<u>SLED shall achieve and demonstrate full operational capability of</u> the pilot program before the system is expanded to other areas of the state.

<u>SLED shall ensure that the pilot data integration and analytics</u> system receives access to federal criminal information deemed to be essential in managing the system to support criminal justice professionals.

The pilot data integration and analytics system shall be completed and providing useable data to various state and local law enforcement agencies by December 31, 2018. SLED shall issue a report to the General Assembly by June 30, 2019, on the success of the pilot system with recommendations as to possible statewide expansion and possible other local, state and federal data systems that can be incorporated. /

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MACE explained the amendment.

Rep. PITTS moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Reps. PENDARVIS, KING and PUTNAM proposed the following Amendment No. 86 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\suspend parts of act 388 .docx), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 513, after line 33, by adding an appropriately numbered paragraph to read:

/ <u>(SR: Suspend Portions of Act 388)</u> For Fiscal Year 2018-19, Sections 12-36-1110, 11-11-156, 12-37-220(B)(47), and 6-1-320 of the 1976 Code shall be suspended./

Renumber sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. PENDARVIS explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment.

Rep. KING demanded the yeas and nays which were taken, resulting as follows:

Yeas 82; Nays 32

Those who voted in the affirmative are:			
Allison	Anthony	Arrington	
Atkinson	Bales	Ballentine	
Bannister	Bennett	Blackwell	
Bradley	Bryant	Burns	
Caskey	Chumley	Clary	
Clemmons	Cogswell	Cole	
Collins	Crawford	Crosby	
Daning	Davis	Delleney	
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson	
Felder	Finlay	Forrest	
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk	
Gagnon	Hamilton	Hardee	
Hayes	Henderson	Herbkersman	
Hewitt	Hill	Hiott	
Hixon	Huggins	Johnson	
Jordan	Loftis	Long	
Lowe	Lucas	Mace	
Magnuson	Martin	McCoy	
McCravy	McGinnis	D. C. Moss	
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton	
W. Newton	Pope	Putnam	
S. Rivers	Sandifer	Simrill	
G. M. Smith	G. R. Smith	Sottile	
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon	
Taylor	Thayer	Toole	
Trantham	West	White	
Whitmire	Willis	Young	
Yow			

Total--82

Those who voted in the negative are:			
Alexander	Anderson	Bernstein	
Brawley	Brown	Clyburn	
Cobb-Hunter	Dillard	Douglas	
Gilliard	Govan	Henderson-Myers	
Henegan	Hosey	Howard	
Jefferson	King	Kirby	
Mack	McEachern	McKnight	
Ott	Parks	Pendarvis	
[HJ]	130		

Ridgeway Rutherford Wheeler M. Rivers Thigpen Williams Robinson-Simpson Weeks

Total--32

So, the amendment was tabled.

Rep. MACE proposed the following Amendment No. 105 (Doc Name H:\LEGWORK\HOUSE\AMEND\H-WM\004\SANTEE COOPER 2.DOCX), which was tabled:

Amend the bill, as and if amended, Part IB, Section 118, STATEWIDE REVENUE, page 507, paragraph 118.6, after line 27, by inserting:

/In the current fiscal year, Santee Cooper is prohibited from expending funds to enter into contracts to provide lobbying services for

the organization./

Renumber sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MACE explained the amendment.

POINT OF ORDER

Rep. CROSBY raised the Point of Order that Amendment No. 105 to H. 4950, under Rule 5.3B, was not germane to the Bill.

Rep. CROSBY stated that Santee Cooper did not receive state funds and the Amendment was not germane to the Bill.

The SPEAKER overruled the Point of Order.

The SPEAKER cited the differences between the germaneness standards of Part IB Amendments and Part II Amendments to the Appropriations Bill.

The SPEAKER went further and cited the precedent of former Speaker Wilkins from March 11, 2004, and stated that Part IB Amendments and Part IB provisos may concern other types of funds, not just state funds, and be germane. He stated that nothing in House Rule 5.3B required an amendment or proviso to relate to, effect, or concern state funds, and he overruled the Point of Order.

Rep. MACE continued speaking.

Rep. LOWE spoke against the amendment.

Rep. CLARY moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the adoption of the section.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 115; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:			
Allison	Anderson	Anthony	
Arrington	Atkinson	Bales	
Ballentine	Bannister	Bennett	
Bernstein	Blackwell	Bowers	
Bradley	Brawley	Brown	
Bryant	Burns	Caskey	
Chumley	Clary	Clemmons	
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell	
Cole	Collins	Crawford	
Crosby	Daning	Davis	
Delleney	Dillard	Douglas	
Duckworth	Elliott	Erickson	
Felder	Finlay	Forrest	
Forrester	Fry	Funderburk	
Gagnon	Gilliard	Govan	
Hamilton	Hardee	Hayes	
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan	
Herbkersman	Hewitt	Hiott	
Hixon	Hosey	Howard	
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson	
Jordan	King	Kirby	
Knight	Loftis	Long	
Lowe	Lucas	Mace	
Mack	Magnuson	Martin	
McCoy	McCravy	McEachern	
McGinnis	McKnight	D. C. Moss	
V. S. Moss	Murphy	B. Newton	
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott	
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts	
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway	
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith	
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile	

[HJ]

Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Trantham	Weeks	West
Wheeler	White	Whitmire
Williams	Willis	Young
Yow		-

Total--115

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill

Total--1

Section 118 was adopted.

RECORD FOR VOTING

After recusing myself from voting on Section 118, Part IB of H. 4950, the General Appropriation Bill, I inadvertently voted on this Section. I wish the record to reflect that I meant to abstain from voting. Rep. William Cogswell

STATEMENT BY REP. WHITE

Rep. WHITE gave notice of offering amendments on third reading if necessary, pursuant to Rule 9.2.

MOTION ADOPTED

Rep. WHITE moved to table all pending motions to reconsider, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the passage of the Bill, as amended, on second reading.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 116; Nays 2

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Anderson	Anthony
Atkinson	Bales
Bannister	Bennett
Blackwell	Bowers
	Atkinson Bannister

Bradley Bryant Chumley Clyburn Cole Crosby Delleney Duckworth Felder Forrester Gagnon Hamilton Henderson Herbkersman Hixon	Brawley Burns Clary Cobb-Hunter Collins Daning Dillard Elliott Finlay Fry Gilliard Hardee Henderson-Myers Hewitt Hosey	Brown Caskey Clemmons Cogswell Crawford Davis Douglas Erickson Forrest Funderburk Govan Hayes Henegan Hiott Howard
Henderson	Henderson-Myers	Henegan
Huggins	Jefferson	Johnson
Jordan	King	Kirby
Knight	Loftis	Long
Lowe	Lucas	Mace
Mack	Martin	McCoy
McCravy	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
Murphy	B. Newton	W. Newton
Norrell	Ott	Parks
Pendarvis	Pitts	Pope
Putnam	Ridgeway	M. Rivers
S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson	Rutherford
Sandifer	Simrill	G. M. Smith
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	Weeks
West	Wheeler	White
Whitmire	Williams	Willis
Young	Yow	

Total--116

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill Magnuson

Total--2

So, the Bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered to third reading.

ABSTENTION FROM VOTING H. 4950--General Appropriations Bill

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 59 and 60

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Todd Atwater

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Justin Bamberg

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Bruce Bannister

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or

amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Beth Bernstein

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 20D

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Bill Bowers

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal

Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 117

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Wendy Brawley

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Micah Caskey

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from

voting on **H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019,** for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 45

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Bill Chumley

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 57 and 66

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Gary Clary

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal

Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Alan Clemmons

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 34, 35, 37, 38, 44, 45, 63, and 67

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Gilda Cobb-Hunter

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 14 and 118

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. William Cogswell

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. J. Derham Cole, Jr.

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Neil Collins

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 16, 113, 114, and 115

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Heather Crawford

142

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Joe Daning

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Greg Delleney

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 16, 25, and 84

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Greg Duckworth

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 67, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 83, 84, and 109

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. Jason Elliott ******

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 38

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Shannon Erickson

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 78

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Raye Felder

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Mike Forrester

145

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 16, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Russell Fry

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 58

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Laurie Funderburk

[HJ]

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 109, and 110

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Chris Hart

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 35, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Rosalyn Henderson-Myers

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am

associated within the past year. A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Jeff Johnson

148

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 23, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Jay Jordan

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 78 and 93

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or

commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. John R. King

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 84 and 86

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is: A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).

Rep. Roger Kirby

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 32, 33, 36, 74, and 75

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department,

or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Phillip Lowe

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Jay Lucas

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 13

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Nancy Mace

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Sections Number 23, 33, and 34

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. David J. Mack III

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 57, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Peter McCoy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 18, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

Rep. John McCravy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Cezar McKnight

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 57, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with

or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest. Rep. Chris Murphy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 20E

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Brandon Newton

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by

myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest. Rep. Wm. Weston Newton

Rep. will. Weston Newton

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 38, 61, 74, and 75

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Mandy Norrell

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 25 and 93

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).

Rep. Anne Parks

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Marvin Pendarvis

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 53

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Mike Pitts

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Tommy Pope

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 84

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Robert Ridgeway

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Todd Rutherford

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 20A, 23, 28, 33, 34, 35, 38, 49, 50, 51, 52, 58, 59, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. James E. Smith

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 17, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into

within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest. Rep. G. Murrell Smith

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 1, 1A, 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Leon Stavrinakis

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 25

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

Rep. Ivory Thigpen

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C) because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. David Weeks

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section, and/or Amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Number 109

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Jay West

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 33, 34, 38, 58, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 70, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, and 111

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

A potential conflict may exist under **S.C. Code § 8-13-740(C)** because of representation of a client before a particular agency or commission by me or an individual or business with whom I am associated within the past year.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by

myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest. Rep. Will Wheeler

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4950, the annual General Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 2018-2019, for the below referenced Part, Section and/or amendment because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

Part IA and Part IB, Section Numbers 25, 33, 35, 36, 37, and 38

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Brian White

H. 4951--AMENDED AND ORDERED TO THIRD READING

The following Joint Resolution was taken up:

H. 4951 -- Ways and Means Committee: A JOINT RESOLUTION TO APPROPRIATE MONIES FROM THE CAPITAL RESERVE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2017-2018, AND TO ALLOW UNEXPENDED FUNDS APPROPRIATED TO BE CARRIED FORWARD TO SUCCEEDING FISCAL YEARS AND EXPENDED FOR THE SAME PURPOSES.

Rep. MAGNUSON proposed the following Amendment No. 3 (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\001\crf-teacher bonus.docx), which was tabled:

Amend the joint resolution, as and if amended, Section 1, page 2, lines

15-43, and page 3, lines 1-39, by striking the lines in their entirety.

Amend the Joint Resolution further, as and if amended, Section 1,

page 4, after line 42, by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/() H630 - Department of Education

Certified Teacher Bonus \$49,736,282/

Amend the Joint Resolution further, as and if amended, page 5, line 1, by adding an appropriately numbered Section to read:

/SECTION . The Department of Education is directed to utilize the \$49,736,282 appropriated above for Certified Teacher Bonus to provide all certified teachers with a one-time bonus based on a pro rata share of the funds./

Renumber items and sections to conform.

Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. MAGNUSON explained the amendment.

Rep. MAGNUSON moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

Rep. GOVAN proposed the following Amendment No. 4 to (Doc Name h:\legwork\house\amend\h-wm\003\crf-crf govan usc to Denmark .docx), which was tabled:

Amend the joint resolution, as and if amended, Section 1, page 2, lines 42-43, and Page 3, Line 1, Item (18) H270 - University of South Carolina, opposite /Relocation/ by striking: /\$5,000,000/ and by inserting: /\$4,000,000/

Amend the Joint Resolution further, as and if amended, Section 1, page 4, after line 41 by adding an appropriately numbered item to read:

/() H590 - State Board for Technical & Comprehensive Education - Denmark Technical College Capital Improvements

\$1,000,000/

Renumber items and sections to conform. Amend totals and titles to conform.

Rep. GOVAN explained the amendment.

Rep. WHITE moved to table the amendment, which was agreed to.

The question then recurred to the passage of the Joint Resolution.

The yeas and nays were taken resulting as follows: Yeas 110; Nays 1

Those who voted in the affirmative are:

Those who voted in the	arrinnarive are.	
Alexander	Allison	Anderson
Anthony	Arrington	Atkinson
Bales	Ballentine	Bannister
Bennett	Bernstein	Blackwell
Bowers	Bradley	Brawley
Brown	Bryant	Burns
Caskey	Chumley	Clemmons
Clyburn	Cobb-Hunter	Cogswell
Cole	Collins	Crosby
Daning	Davis	Delleney
Dillard	Douglas	Elliott
Erickson	Felder	Finlay
Forrest	Forrester	Fry
Funderburk	Gagnon	Gilliard
Govan	Hamilton	Hardee
Hayes	Henderson	Henderson-Myers
Henegan	Herbkersman	Hewitt
Hiott	Hixon	Hosey
Howard	Huggins	Jefferson
Johnson	Jordan	King
Kirby	Knight	Loftis
Long	Lowe	Lucas
Mace	Mack	Magnuson
Martin	McEachern	McGinnis
McKnight	D. C. Moss	V. S. Moss
W. Newton	Norrell	Ott
Parks	Pendarvis	Pitts
Pope	Putnam	Ridgeway
M. Rivers	S. Rivers	Robinson-Simpson
Rutherford	Sandifer	Simrill
G. R. Smith	J. E. Smith	Sottile
Spires	Stavrinakis	Tallon
Taylor	Thayer	Thigpen
Toole	Trantham	Weeks
West	Wheeler	White

[HJ]

Whitmire Young Williams Yow Willis

Total--110

Those who voted in the negative are: Hill

Total--1

So, the Joint Resolution was read the second time and ordered to third reading.

ABSTENTION FROM VOTING H. 4951--Capital Reserve Fund

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Gary Clary

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Heather Crawford

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B)**.

A potential conflict may exist under S.C. Code § 8-13-745(B) and (C) because a contract for goods or services may be entered into within the next year with an agency, commission, board, department, or other entity funded through the general appropriation bill by myself, an individual with whom I am associated in partnership with or a business or partnership in which I have a greater than 5% interest.

Rep. Greg Duckworth

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Nancy Mace

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).** Rep. John R. McCravy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Peter McCoy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).**

Rep. Chris Murphy

In accordance with §8-13-700(B) of the S.C. Code, I abstained from voting on H. 4951, the Capital Reserve Fund, because of a potential conflict of interest and wish to have my recusal noted for the record in the House Journal of this date:

The reason for abstaining on the above referenced legislation is:

A potential conflict of interest may exist in that an economic interest of myself, an immediate family member, or an individual or

business with which I am associated may be affected in violation of **S.C. Code § 8-13-700(B).** Rep. Brandon Newton

Rep. HIXON moved that the House do now adjourn until 12:01 a.m., Wednesday, March 14, which was agreed to.

RETURNED WITH CONCURRENCE

The Senate returned to the House with concurrence the following:

H. 5093 -- Reps. J. E. Smith, Bernstein, Finlay, Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Arrington, Atkinson, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bamberg, Bannister, Bennett, Blackwell, Bowers, Bradley, Brawley, Brown, Bryant, Burns, Caskey, Chumley, Clary, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cogswell, Cole, Collins, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Davis, Delleney, Dillard, Douglas, Duckworth, Elliott, Erickson, Felder, Forrest, Forrester, Fry, Funderburk, Gagnon, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardee, Hart, Hayes, Henderson, Henderson-Myers, Henegan, Herbkersman, Hewitt, Hill, Hiott, Hixon, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, Jordan, King, Kirby, Knight, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mace, Mack, Magnuson, Martin, McCoy, McCravy, McEachern, McGinnis, McKnight, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Murphy, B. Newton, W. Newton, Norrell, Ott, Parks, Pendarvis, Pitts, Pope, Putnam, Ridgeway, M. Rivers, S. Rivers, Robinson-Simpson, Rutherford, Sandifer, Simrill, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Thigpen, Toole, Trantham, Weeks, West, Wheeler, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis, Young and Yow: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE AND HONOR THE A. C. FLORA HIGH SCHOOL JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS AND INSTRUCTORS OF RICHLAND COUNTY FOR THEIR EXCEPTIONAL PERFORMANCE AND TO CONGRATULATE THEM FOR CAPTURING THE 2016-2017 DISTRICT ONE SUPERINTENDENT'S TROPHY AWARD.

H. 5104 -- Reps. Bradley, Alexander, Allison, Anderson, Anthony, Arrington, Atkinson, Atwater, Bales, Ballentine, Bamberg, Bannister, Bennett, Bernstein, Blackwell, Bowers, Brawley, Brown, Bryant, Burns, Caskey, Chumley, Clary, Clemmons, Clyburn, Cobb-Hunter, Cogswell, Cole, Collins, Crawford, Crosby, Daning, Davis, Delleney, Dillard,

[HJ]

Douglas, Duckworth, Elliott, Erickson, Felder, Finlay, Forrest, Forrester, Fry, Funderburk, Gagnon, Gilliard, Govan, Hamilton, Hardee, Hart, Hayes, Henderson, Henderson-Myers, Henegan, Herbkersman, Hewitt, Hill, Hiott, Hixon, Hosey, Howard, Huggins, Jefferson, Johnson, Jordan, King, Kirby, Knight, Loftis, Long, Lowe, Lucas, Mace, Mack, Magnuson, Martin, McCoy, McCravy, McEachern, McGinnis, McKnight, D. C. Moss, V. S. Moss, Murphy, B. Newton, W. Newton, Norrell, Ott, Parks, Pendarvis, Pitts, Pope, Putnam, Ridgeway, M. Rivers, S. Rivers, Robinson-Simpson, Rutherford, Sandifer, Simrill, G. M. Smith, G. R. Smith, J. E. Smith, Sottile, Spires, Stavrinakis, Stringer, Tallon, Taylor, Thayer, Thigpen, Toole, Trantham, Weeks, West, Wheeler, White, Whitmire, Williams, Willis, Young and Yow: A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION TO CONGRATULATE THE RBC HERITAGE PRESENTED BY BOEING GOLF TOURNAMENT HELD EACH YEAR ON HILTON HEAD ISLAND ON THE OCCASION OF ITS FIFTIETH ANNIVERSARY THIS COMING APRIL AND TO RECOGNIZE AND THANK THE HERITAGE CLASSIC FOUNDATION, THE TOURNAMENT SPONSORS, AND COUNTLESS FRIENDS AND VOLUNTEERS WHO HAVE MADE THIS SPECIAL EVENT SO SUCCESSFUL AND UNIQUE AMONG PGA TOUR EVENTS.

ADJOURNMENT

At 11:10 p.m. the House, in accordance with the motion of Rep. ROBINSON-SIMPSON, adjourned in memory of Cornelius Cato, to meet at 12:01 a.m. tomorrow.

[HJ]

Amendment No. 114
Amendment No. 217
Amendment No. 323
Amendment No. 3164
Amendment No. 424
Amendment No. 4165
Amendment No. 526
Amendment No. 638
Amendment No. 930
Amendment No. 1173
Amendment No. 1396
Amendment No. 1667
Amendment No. 1867
Amendment No. 19112
Amendment No. 2072
Amendment No. 22113
Amendment No. 2332
Amendment No. 2444
Amendment No. 26124
Amendment No. 2857
Amendment No. 2983
Amendment No. 3019
Amendment No. 3220
Amendment No. 3628
Amendment No. 37125
Amendment No. 4128
Amendment No. 425
Amendment No. 4358
Amendment No. 4470
Amendment No. 46
Amendment No. 48111
Amendment No. 5141
Amendment No. 5277
Amendment No. 558
Amendment No. 5680
•

Amendment No. 57111
Amendment No. 58101
Amendment No. 5980
Amendment No. 6094
Amendment No. 6197
Amendment No. 62
Amendment No. 6359
Amendment No. 6575
Amendment No. 6754
Amendment No. 68123
Amendment No. 6960
Amendment No. 7062
Amendment No. 71113
Amendment No. 7314
Amendment No. 7668
Amendment No. 7791
Amendment No. 80103
Amendment No. 8163
Amendment No. 8264
Amendment No. 83128
Amendment No. 8456
Amendment No. 86129
Amendment No. 8865
Amendment No. 8978
Amendment No. 9165
Amendment No. 95115
Amendment No. 97116
Amendment No. 9891
Amendment No. 99105
Amendment No. 100107
Amendment No. 101116
Amendment No. 102118
Amendment No. 103121
Amendment No. 104114
Amendment No. 105131

[HJ]

H. 33453 H. 38473	H. 4950 123, 124, 128, 131 H. 4950 135, 136, 137, 138
Н. 40943	Н. 4950139, 140, 141, 142
Н. 41153	H. 4950143, 144, 145, 146
Н. 43854	H. 4950147, 148, 149, 150
Н. 44184	Н. 4950151, 152, 153, 154
Н. 49124	H. 4950155, 156, 157, 158
Н. 49454	H. 4950159, 160, 161, 162
H. 4950 4, 5, 8, 13	Н. 4950 163, 164
H. 4950 17, 40, 42, 55	H. 4951 164, 167, 168, 169
H. 4950 59, 60, 64, 71	Н. 5093170
H. 4950 72, 91, 111, 113	Н. 5104170
H. 4950114, 116, 117, 119	